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## Zoning Ordinances / Restrictive Covenants <br> (HEARINGS CLOSED) <br> RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION

ITEM No. 44

Subject: C14H-05-0017 - Baugh-Colby House - Approve third reading of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 1102 Enfield Road (Shoal Creek Watershed) from multı-family residence-medium density-neighborhood plan (MF-3-NP) district zoning to multı-family residence-medium density-conditional overlay-neighborhood plan (MF-3-CO-NP) combining district zoning. First and second readings approved on December 14, 2006 Vote• 6-1 (Leffingwell-Nay). Applicant. Historic Landmark Commission. Agent: Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department. City Staff' Steve Sadowsky, 974-6454.
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## THIRD READING SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER C14H-05-0017 (Baugh-Colby House, 1102 Enfield Road)

## REQUEST.

Approve third reading of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 1102 Enfield Road (Shoal Creek Watershed) from multi-family residence, neighborhood -plan (MF-3-NP) combiming district zoning to multı-famuly residence, conditional overlay, neighborhood plan (MF-3-CO-NP) combining district zoning, with the conditional overlay restricting development on the site to two (2) single dwelling structures with a maximum height of 35 feet

## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

Council denied historic zoning for this property and approved the conditional overlay stated above on first and second readings on December 14, 2006 and asked staff to present an analysis of the mpacts (if any) of the McMansion ordinance on the site and under the proposed conditional overlay.

OWNER• Peter Gardere

AGENT Jim Bennett

## APPLICANT Peter Gardere

DATE OF FIRST AND SECOND RE $\Lambda$ DINGS: December 14, 2006, approved MF-3-CO-NP combining district zoning on $1^{\text {si }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ readings with the conditional overlay restricting development on the property to two single dwelling structures with a maxımum height of 35 feet ( $6-1$, Leffingwell opposed).

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE February 15, 2007
CITY COUNCIL ACTION:
ORDINANCE NUMBER.
ASSIGNED STAFF: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, 974-6454
e-mall• steve sadowsky@ci.austm.tx us


## Analysis of 'McMansion' Ordinance on the Baugh-Colby Propērty

On December 14, 2006, Council approved MF-3-CO-NP on $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ readings for the Baugh-Colby site at 1102 Enfield Road ( $6-1$, Leffingwell opposed) The conditional overlay limits development on the property to two detached units, each with a maximum height of 35 feet

If two detached units were built on one lot, regardless of the zonıng district, the City would consider this a twofamily residential use (essentally, a main house and 'garage' apartment) The regulations for a two-family residential use limit the secondary unt to 850 square feet or less in size

If it is the Council's desire to allow only two detached units but also allow the secondary unit to be larger than 850 square feet, this can only be achieved by subdividing the property with each lot being at least $\mathbf{5 , 7 5 0}$ square feet in total area. (Regardless of the zoning district, the City's Land Development Code does not allow two detached structures in which the secondary unit is larger than 850 square feet unless the two units are developed as a condominium residential use. If developed as a condominium use, the minimum site area is 14,000 square feet The Baugh-Colby property is 12,837 square feet )

This analysis assumes that the property will be subdivided into two lots. Given the property's total size of 12,837 square feet (per the Travis County Appraisal District's website), this analysts assumes that each newly subdivided lot is 6,418 square feet

Then, if a single dwelling unit were developed on each property, the McMansion ordinance would apply. The following requirements would have to be met on each lot:

Assiumption: Single-family residential use on $\mathbf{6 , 4 1 8}$ square foot lot

1) Maximum gross floor area of 2,567 square feet
( 0.4 FAR limit >> $6,400 * 0.4=2,567$ )
2) Maximum building height of $\mathbf{3 2}$ feet
3) Setback plane ('tent'/building envelope) requirement

- Max. height at 5 -foot interior yard setback line would be 20 feet
- Max. helght at 10 -foot rear yard setback line would be 25 feet
- Some architectural features (e g, gables, dormers) would be allowed to protrude through the setback planes

4) Wall articulation requirement

- For side walls that are taller than 15 feet and an average of 15 feet or less from an interior lot line, the wall must articulate ( 4 feet in for a distance of 10 feet).
- New construction that is less than 2,000 square fect in gross floor area and less than 32 feet in height is exempt from the articulation requrement

The Residential Design and Compatibility Commıssion (RDCC) may, but only within certan limits,

- Increase the square footage allowed
- Increase the amount of architectural features allowed to protrude through the setback plane
- Increase the maximum side length before articulation is required
- Increase the maximum height of the side or rear setback planes, which would allow for greater building height (but with an overriding max bulding herght of 32 feet).

If the above modifications are not granted by the RDCC, only the Board of Adjustment may grant relaxations to the McMansion ordinance, including the maximum building height The Board of Adjustment is the only body authorized to waive the McMansion ordinance in its entirety

Diagrams Depicting McMansion Ordinance Setback Plane and Wall Articulation Requirements


Figure 8: Side and Rear Setberek Planes on Level Ground
The side and rear setback plones form a "fent" over the lof, rising trom the property lines for 15 feet and fhen angling in at 45 -degree angles from the side and rear. The required frant, rear, and side yard setbacks are indicated by the darker shading on the ground.


Figure 20: Side Wall Articulation (New Construction)
All new construction must meet the sidewall orticulation stondards.

Dear Mayor and Council,
As President of the Old Enfield Homeowners Association, I am writing to offer a compromise proposition in advance of your vote regarding the historic zoning case of 1102 Enfield, the Baugh-Colby House.

The Association remains in full support of historically zoning this property in accordance with the recommendations and decisions of the Landmark Commıssion, Planning Commission, and staff. If you decide against historic zoning, the only acceptable alternative is a conditional overlay.

In light of the decisions of the commissions set to oversee historic designations in our Austin neighborhoods, as highlighted above, a one-sided answer to simply not desıgnate this property as historic would be a clear and unmistakable disservice to the neighborhood We were certainly hoping to come to some type of compromise jointly with Mr. Gardere directly prior to a vote. Unfortunately, Mr. Gardere has not met with the Association or any of his neighbors despite Council directing him to do so. He also has not brought forth a development proposal.

If the Council decides to not zone the property historic, the Old Enfield Homeowners Association wants the following conditional overlay appled to 1102 Enfield:

- Number of units limited to 1 single-family
- Minimum lot area not less than 12,500 square feet
- Prohibit small lot SF residential (SF5 or SF6)

The Old Enfield Homeowners Association believes this is a reasonable compromise considering the future demolition of this historic property 1 a taking from the neighborhood and will then be faced with incompatible development by Mr Gardere If the house is not zoned histonc the neighborhood is losing everything while Mr. Gardere gets everything he wants and more. He will be allowed to demolish an historic house whose present condition is a direct result of his actions, and will then be able to develop the property with only financial gain in mind, not compatibility with the neighborhood, or at minimum, adjacent homeowners.

These reasonable condıtions will provide the neighborhood with some safeguards from the uncertain development future of 1102 Enfield. Old Enfield takes great pride in preserving old homes and preserving the integrity of the neighborhood. We are requesting that Council please approve this proposed conditional overlay.

Sincerely,
Marlene Romanczak
President, Old Enfield Homeowners Association

## PETITION

Case Number: C14-05-0117 Date. Nov 16, 2006

Total Area within 200' of subject tract. (sq. ft.)
214,613.84

|  |  | MILLER DONOVAN C \& |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 02-1000-0209 | MARGUERITE | 9,056 23 | 4.22\% |
| 2 | 02-1000-0210 | ANDERSON SHARON L | 9,493 36 | 4.42\% |
| 3 | 02-1000-0211 | MITCHELL JOEL B | 12,318 27 | 574\% |
| 4 | 02-1000-0212 | INGRAM EDSAM M | 9,478 22 | 4.42\% |
| 5 | 02-1000-0213 | INGRAM EDSAM M | 13,777.65 | 6.42\% |
|  |  | CARLSON CARYN |  |  |
| 6 | 02-1000-0502 | LEIGH | 23,352.98 | 10.88\% |
|  |  | WINSTON PATRICIA |  |  |
| 7 | 02-1000-0503 | ELAINE | 43,077 18 | 20.07\% |
|  |  | WEISS RONALD |  |  |
| 8 | 02-1000-0701 | ARTHUR | 22,205.71 | 10.35\% |
| 9 | 02-1000-0702 | GILLESPIE SHARON | 7,323.23 | 341\% |
|  |  | MAGEE STEPHEN |  |  |
| 10 | 02-1000-0720 | PATRICK \& FRANCE | 10318 | 0.05\% |
| 11 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 12 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 13 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 14 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| 15 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| 16 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 17 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| 18 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| 19 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 20 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 21 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 22 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| 23 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 24 |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 25 |  |  |  | 000\% |
| Validated By: |  | Total Area of Petitioner: |  | Total \% |
| Stacy Meeks |  | 150,186.01 |  | 69.98\% |




1102 Enfield Road

$$
\text { ca. } 1918
$$

ART OF A PORTION OF LOTS
' $A$ ', A SUBDIVISION OF PART , IN ḊIVISION "Z" OF THE CITY DED IN VOLUME 3, PAGE 44, ZAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, SAID RTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN fED FIELD NOTES rad

## -

ORDINANCE NO.


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE REZONING AND CHANGING THE ZONING MAP FOR THE PROPERTY GENERALLY KNOWN AS THE BAUGH-COLBY, HOUSE LOCATED AT 1102 ENFIELD ROAD IN THE OLD WEST AUSTGIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AREA FROM MULTIFAMLLY: RESIDENCE MEDIUM DENSITY-NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN (MF-3-NP) COMBBINING DISTRICT TO MULTIFAMILY RESIDENCE MEDIUM DENSITYCONDITIONAL OVERLAYNEIGHBORHOOD PLAN (MF-3-CO-NP) COMBININGDISTRICT.


## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCLLOF THE CLTY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. The zoning map established by Section $25-2191$ of the City Code is amended to change the base district from multifamily residence nedium density-neighborhood plan (MF-3-NP) combining district to multifaimily residence medium density-conditional overlay-neighborhood plan (MF-3-CO-NP) combining district on the property described in Zoning Case No. C14H-05-0017, on fille at the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, as follows:

The south part of Lot 29 and the south 105 feet of the east 13.5 feet (average) of Lot 28, Outlots:6-8, Division Z, Enfield Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis"County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Volume 3, Page 44, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas (the "Property"),
generally known as the Baugh-Colby House', locally known as 1102 Enfield Road, in the City of Austin, Travis "County, Texas, and generally identified in the map attached as Exhibit "A".

PART 2. Except as specifically provided in Parts 3 and 4, the Property may be developed and used in acicordance with the regulations established for the multifamily residence medium density (MF-3) base district and other applicable requirements of the City Code.

PART 3. The Property within the boundaries of the conditional overlay combining district established by this ordinate is subject to the following conditions:
A. Development of the Property may not exceed a density of two single family dwêlling units.
B. The maximum height of a building or structure is 35 feet from ground level.

PART 4. The Property is subject to Ordinance No. 020926-26 that established the Old West Austin neighborhood plan combining district.

PART 5. This ordinance takes effect on $\qquad$ , 2007.

## PASSED AND APPROVED

$\qquad$ , 2007

## APPROVED:

$\qquad$
David Allan Smith
City Attorney
David Allan Smith
City Attorney ATTEST:
 City Clerk


