FINAL

Affordable Housing Incentives Task Force Report



of the state of th

Presented by the AHITF Co-Chairs Frank Fernandez Tim Taylor

May 2007

Affordable Housing Incentive Task Force Resolution No. 20060622-075

- Directed the City Manager to assemble an affordable housing task force
- The AHITF was asked to review, develop and recommend policy enhancements
- □ First meeting was July 17, 2006
- ☐ The final report of consensus recommendations was completed on February 20, 2007.

AHITF Process

- Review of Best Practices
- Decision Points
- Challenges to Affordability
- Core Values
- Incentives Concepts and Principles
- **□** Recommend Affordable Housing Incentives ,

AHITF Process:

Best Practices

- AHITF focus on Voluntary Programs that provide density bonus and fee-in-lieu options
- Austin's existing models
 - S.M.A.R.T. Housing
 - **UNO**
 - VMU
 - RMMA

AHITF Process: Decision Points

- Legal Issues
- Affordability Requirements
- Applicability
- Targeting
- Comparability
- Meeting the Requirements and Alternatives
- Compliance Period
- **□** Compliance Mechanisms
- Compensating Incentives

AHITF Process:

Challenges to Affordability

- To better understand the challenges to producing affordable housing, AHITF examined existing conditions:
 - Market forces
 - Land costs
 - Development costs
 - Regulatory barriers
 - Differing definitions of affordability

AHITF Process:

Core Values

- Deeper Affordability Targets: It is desirable to reach deeper levels of affordability.
- Long-term Affordability: We value housing that will remain affordable over the long term;
- Geographic Dispersion: Affordable housing should be dispersed throughout the City of Austin.

Affordable Housing Incentives: Concepts and Principles

- Incentives are required to achieve the Core Values.
- Balance incentives with affordability
- Consideration of fiscal impact to the City budget
- S.M.A.R.T. Housing as baseline

Affordable Housing Incentives: Categories

- Three general categories of incentives:
 - Expedited Review and Approvals
 - Expanded Fee Waivers
 - Enhanced Development Entitlements

Affordable Housing Incentives: Development Types & Zoning

- General development categories:
 - Downtown
 - Urban Infill
 - Suburban
- Recommendations based zoning categories:
 - Central Business District (CBD)
 - Downtown Mixed Use (DMU)
 - Multi-family (MF)
 - Single-family (SF)

CBD and DMU Zoning

- For developments seeking FAR increase:
 - □ Fast track development approvals
 - All development fees waived
 - Any and all other City Fees waived

CBD and DMU Zoning

- 10% of "bonus" area must be affordable
 - □ For CBD, above 8:1 FAR is bonus
 - □ For DMU, above 5:1 FAR is bonus
- Rental
 - □ 80% MFI or less; 40-year affordability period
- □ Ownership
 - □ 120% MFI or less; permanent affordability (possible Community Land Trust units)

CBD and DMU Zoning

- Developments not seeking zoning change or FAR bonus
 - Eligible for CBD/DMU incentives, if:
 - 5% of total square footage meets affordability requirements.

□ CBD and DMU Zoning

☐ Fee-in-lieu:

- □Fee of \$10 per bonus square foot.
- □Funds to be invested in affordable housing within 2 miles of downtown.
- □If not spent within 18 months, funds may be invested elsewhere in the city.

Multi-family (MF) Zoning

- Requirements
 - □ 10% of rental units at 60% or below MFI
 - □ 40 year affordability period.
 - □ Developments must be S.M.A.R.T. Housing Certified.
 - Accessibility requirements reduced from 10% of units to 5%.
 - □ Incentives apply "greenfield" sites only.

□ Multi-family (MF) Zoning

- Incentives
 - Additional fee waivers available.
 - Upzoning for MF zoned sites:

Existing Zoning	New Zoning	Conditional Overlay (Capped Height)
MF-2 AND MF-3	MF-6	40 FEET
MF-4 AND MF 5	MF-6	60 FEET

- ☐ Single Family Incentives for S.M.A.R.T. Housing Development
 - Alternative compliance for single family permits
 - Establish 2,400 square feet as threshold for applicability of wall articulation requirements.
 - Exemption from wall articulation for new subdivisions of at least one acre (5 or more houses)
 - □ Alternate compliance for topographic survey.

- ☐ Single Family Incentives for S.M.A.R.T. Housing Development:
 - Alternative single family subdivision standards
 - □ Allow small lot (SF4A) standards on single-family tracts of 3 acres or larger of unsubdivided land.
 - □ Provide option to reduce street widths down to 24 feet in new subdivisions.

- S.M.A.R.T. Housing has been effective tool, however, incentives have not kept pace with:
 - Increased development costs;
 - Increased land costs;
 - Housing market conditions.
- Add the following additional fee waivers to those currently applicable to all S.M.A.R.T. Housing developments:
 - Water Meters;
 - Sewer Taps;
 - Inspection for Underground Electric;
 - Landscape Inspection Fee.

Developments achieving Core Values should receive additional "bonus" fee waivers:

- Water Quality Fee-in-Lieu;
- Infrastructure Reimbursement;
- □ Fees for 2nd water/wastewater service to a lot;
- Utility Pole Relocation; and
- Parkland Dedication Fee.

- For SMART Housing providing longterm and deeper affordability, allow the following standards:
 - Duplex / secondary units on lots less than 7,000 sq ft.
 - 50% impervious cover if no impact to nearby properties.
 - Secondary units may have up to 850 sq ft on 2nd story.

- For SMART Housing providing longterm and deeper affordability, allow the following standards:
 - 2 detached houses on lots 7,000 sq ft or greater without limiting size, if other requirements are met.
 - 8 bedrooms in a duplex.
 - Replacement of legal, non-complying structure using previous setbacks.

□ Enhance flexibility of S.M.A.R.T. Housing:

- Expand income standards
 - □ Allow 35% of income to be spent on housing.
 - Mortgages may exceed 35% of income with City approved homebuyer education.
- Establish Community Land Trust equivalency
 - a Alternative to requirement of 40% of units serving income eligible households.
 - Fewer total units affordable, but for longer affordability periods.

AHITF: NEXT STEPS

Presentation of recommendations to:

3/27/07: Planning Commission

4/10/07: Community Development Commission

5/17/07: City Council