#### SECOND / THIRD READINGS SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-06-0117 - Time Insurance 2

#### REQUEST:

C14-06-0117 - Time Insurance 2 - Approve second/third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 1317 East Riverside Drive and 1220 IH-35 South (Town Lake Watershed) from community commercial-mixed use (GR-MU) combining district zoning to limited industrial service-planned development area (LI-PDA) combining district zoning with conditions. First reading approved on January 11, 2007. Vote: 7-0. Applicant: Schuler Family Trust 1988 (John Schuler). Agent: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower). City Staff: Robert Heil, 974-2330. A valid petition has been filed in opposition to this rezoning request.

The site is an undeveloped 1.67 acre tract along the north-bound frontage road of IH-35 and E. Riverside Dr.

The site is currently zoned Community Commercial – Mixed Use (GR-MU). The request is for Limited Industrial – Planned Development Area (LI-PDA). Staff recommends denial of the request. The request is not consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The applicant has stated his intent in requesting the LI-PDA was to allow his project to have no setback along its frontage with IH-35, and to allow the project to be built up to the property line along the west property boundary. In all other respects – site development standards and allowable uses – it would comply with GR-MU standards.

This case is closely related to another case C14-04-0030 to the east. In that case current zoning is SF-3 and LO and the zoning being requested is GR-MU-CO.

A valid petition of 23.73% has been filed in opposition to the request. See attachment.

OWNER/APPLICANT: Schuler Family Trust 1998 (John Schuler)

AGENT: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower)

DATE OF FIRST READING: January 11, 2007

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: November 8, 2007

#### **CITY COUNCIL ACTION:**

January 11, 2007:

The public hearing was closed and the first reading of the ordinance for limited industrial service-planned development area (LI-PDA) combining district zoning was approved on Council Member McCracken's motion, Mayor Wynn's second on a 7-0 vote with the following conditions. The development would meet: Vertical Mixed Use (VMU) requirements, Two Star Green Building Program requirements, and, at a minimum, VMU Affordability Standards.

CASE MANAGER: Robert Heil e-mail address: robert.heil@ci.austin.tx.us

#### ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-06-0117 Time Insurance II PC Date: August 8, 2006

August 22, 2006 September 12. 2006

ADDRESS: 1317 East Riverside Drive and 1220 South IH-35 (northbound service road)

**AREA:** 1.674 acres

OWNER/APPLICANT: Schuler Family Trust (John Schuler)

**AGENT:** Thrower Design (Ron Thrower)

**ZONING FROM:** GR-MU **TO:** LI-PDA

ISSUES: A valid petiton has been filed at 23.73% opposition

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends denial of the request. The request is not consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

# PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

July 25, 2006: Postponed until August 22, 2006 at the request of staff.

August 22, 2006: Postponed to September 12, 2006 at the request of staff.

#### **September 12, 2006:**

#### APPROVED LI-PDA-CO ZONING WITH CONDITIONS OF:

- PROHIBIT ALL AUTOMOTIVE RELATED USES (RENTAL, SALES, WASHING OR REPAIR);
- PROHIBIT PAWNSHOP SERVICES;
- PROHIBIT SERVICE STATIONS;
- PROHIBIT DRIVE-THRU SERVICES AS AN ACCESSORY USE;
- PROHIBIT MOTOR VEHICLE ACCESS TO MANLOVE STREET;
- ALL RESIDENTIAL UNITS ARE TO BE CONDOMINIUMS FOR SALE;
- DUMPSTERS AND SERVICE AREAS WILL BE CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUILDINGS;
- STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS SHALL INCLUDE TREES, BENCHES AND WIDER SIDEWALKS;
- 25-FEET COMPATIBILITY BUFFER WILL BE HEAVILY VEGETATED WITH TREES AND SHRUBS;
- COMMERCIAL SPACE TO HAVE FLEXIBILITY TO BE FINISHED OUT AS OFFICE OR RETAIL;

- SIDWALKS ON IH-35 WILL BE RECONSTRUCTED TO PROVIDE WIDER WALKING PATHS:
- ENTIRE STRUCTURE TO HAVE EQUAL CONSTRUCTION ON ALL SIDES INCLUDING MASONRY ON ALL SIDES;
- NEIGHBORS TO HAVE CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT WITH A SITE PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTY:
- ACCESS TO PROPERTY WOULD BE LIMITED TO TWO
  DRIVEWAYS TO E. RIVERSIDE AND ONE DRIVEWAY TO IH-35,
  ALL POINTS OF ACCESS TO HAVE INTERCONNECTIVITY TO
  ALLOW ACCESS TO ALL DEVELOPMENT TO BOTH ROADWAYS;
  NO ACCESS IS ALLOWED TO SUMMIT STREET;
- E. RIVERSIDE BUILDING 50% OF THE BUILDING TO BE 3 STORY AND 50% TO BE 4 STORY STRUCTURE, PARKING TO BE CONTAINED WITHIN TWO LEVEL STRUCTURE THAT WILL NOT EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF THE BLUFF;
- IH-35 BUILDING 2 STORY STRUCTURE WITH UNDERGROUND PARKING:
- BOTH RETAINING WALLS ARE REMOVED AND THE SITE IS EXCAVATED TO THE STREET LEVEL;
- NO COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT IN AREA THAT EXTENDS INTO NEIGHBORHOOD;
- TREE MITIGATION FOR ALL PROTECTED TREES REMOVED BY EXCAVATION TO BE REPLACED AT 100%;
- LIMIT THE DEVELOPMENT TO 60-65 UNITS, AND 75,000 SQUARE FEET OF COMMERCIAL SPACE;

#### ADDED CONDITIONS OF:

- 2000 VEHICLE TRIPS PER DAY;
- 85% IMPERVIOUS COVER;
- 25% GREEN ROOF SPACE;
- INCLUDE SCREENING FOR ALL MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. [J.REDDY, M.MOORE 2<sup>ND</sup>] (6-1) S.KIRK NAY; P.CAVAZOS, M.DEALEY ABSENT

#### **DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:**

The site is an undeveloped 1.67 acre tract along the north-bound frontage road of IH-35 and E. Riverside Dr.

The site is currently zoned Community Commercial – Mixed Use (GR-MU). The request is for Limited Industrial – Planned Development Area (LI-PDA). Staff recommends denial of the request. The request is not consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The applicant has stated his intent in requesting the LI-PDA was to allow his project to have no setback along its frontage with IH-35, and to allow the project to be built up to the property line along the west property boundary. In all other respects – site development standards and allowable uses – it would comply with GR-MU standards.

This case is closely related to another case C14-04-0030 to the east. In that case current zoning is SF-3 and LO and the zoning being requested is GR-MU-CO.

#### **EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:**

	ZONING	LAND USES
Site	GR-MU	Undeveloped
North	MF-6, GO-CO and L-CO	Hotel, to be approved for redevelopment into condos
South	LR and SF-3	Office and Single Family Homes
East	SF-3	Single Family Homes
West	LR (and ROW)	IH-35 Right of Way, Vacant, and office.

**AREA STUDY:** The site is part of the Riverside neighborhood plan, going to City Council for approval on September 28. The proposed future land use of this plan calls for mixed-use on the site.

<u>TIA:</u> A traffic impact analysis was waived for this case because the applicant agreed to limit the intensity and uses for this development. If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-117]

WATERSHED: Town Lake DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: No

#### REGISTERED NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZTIONS

- East Riverside / Oltorf Neighborhood Planning Team
- South River City Citizens Association (SRCC)
- Southeast Austin Neighborhood Alliance
- The Crossing Gardenhome Owners' Association
- South Austin Trails and Greenbelt Alliance
- People Organized to Defend Earth and her Resources (PODER)
- South Central Coalition
- Austin Neighborhoods Council (ANC)
- Terrell Lane Interceptor Association
- Barton Springs / Edwards Aquifer Conservation District

# **SCHOOLS: (AISD ISD)**

Travis Heights Elementary School Fulmore Middle School Travis High School

### RELATED CASES

Case	Address	Request	Status
C14-01-0001	1400 block Edgecliff	MF-6	Approved 05/08/01
C14-01-0002	1400 block Edgecliff	MF-6	Approved 05/08/01
C14-06-0053	IH-35 and Riverside Dr	L, GO and MF-6	Approved 7/27/06

## **ABUTTING STREETS:**

Name	ROW	Pavement	Classification
IH 35	Varies	Varies	Major Arterial
Riverside Drive	Varies	Varies	Major Arterial

• Riverside Drive is classified in the Bicycle Plan as a Priority 2 bike route.

There are existing sidewalks along IH 35 and Riverside Drive.

Capital Metro bus service is available along IH 35 and Riverside Drive, although not all the routes that traverse IH-35 can be accessed from the site.

<u>CITY COUNCIL DATE:</u> <u>ACTION:</u>

November 30, 2006 Postponed to January 11 at the request of the

applicant.

January 11, 2007 Approved LI-PDA (7-0)

**July 26, 2007** Postponed to August 23, 2007

August 23, 2007: Postponed to September 27, 2007


**September 27, 2007:** 


ORDINANCE READINGS: 1<sup>st</sup> 1/11/07 2<sup>nd</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup>


**ORDINANCE NUMBER:** 

CASE MANAGER: Robert Heil PHONE: 974-2330

e-mail address: robert.heil@ci.austin.tx.us







#### SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends denial of the request. The request is not consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

## BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

Limited industrial service (LI) district is the designation for commercial service or limited manufacturing use generally located on a moderately-sized site.

The purpose of the planned development area (PDA) combining district is to (1) provide for industrial and commercial uses in certain industrial and commercial districts, and (2) incorporate the terms of a planned development area agreement into a zoning ordinance following annexation of a property that is subject to a planned development agreement.

2. Zoning should not constitute a grant of special privilege to an individual owner; Granting of the request should result in an equal treatment of similarly situated properties.

The adjoining site, with similar characteristics, case C14-04-0030, has a pending application for GR-MU-CO. Staff supports this request, and believes that GR-MU is an appropriate zoning category for both cases.

#### **Transportation**

Additional right-of-way dedication or reservation may be required during the subdivision or site plan process.

The trip generation under the requested zoning is estimated to be 5,531 trips per day, assuming that the site develops to the maximum intensity allowed under the zoning classification (without consideration of setbacks, environmental constraints, or other site characteristics).

A traffic impact analysis was waived for this case because the applicant agreed to limit the intensity and uses for this development. If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-117]

TXDOT review and approval will be required at the time of site plan review, for any access points onto IH 35.

#### **Existing Street Characteristics:**

Name	ROW	Pavement	Classification	Sidewalks	Bus	Bike
IH 35	Varies	Varies	Arterial	No	Yes	No
Riverside Drive	Varies	Varies	Arterial	No	Yes	Priority 2

Riverside Drive is classified in the Bicycle Plan as a Priority 2 bike route.

There are existing sidewalks along IH 35 and Riverside Drive.

Capital Metro bus service is available along IH 35 and Riverside Drive, although not all the routes that traverse IH-35 can be accessed from the site.

#### **Environmental**

The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is located in the Harper's Branch Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as an Urban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. It is in the Desired Development Zone.

Impervious cover is not limited in this watershed class; therefore the zoning district impervious cover limits will apply.

This site is required to provide on-site structural water quality controls (or payment in lieu of) for all development and/or redevelopment when 5,000 s.f. cumulative is exceeded, and detention for the two-year storm. At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any pre-existing approvals which would preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain within the project area.

At this time, site-specific information is unavailable regarding existing trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

#### Site Plan

Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540-feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

TXDOT review and approval will be required at the time of site plan review, for any access points onto IH 35.

### **Compatibility Standards**

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the rear property line, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- For a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive, 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet from the property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.
- An intensive recreational use, including a swimming pool, tennis court, ball court, or playground, may not be constructed 50 feet or less from adjoining SF-3 property.

Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

#### Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing the water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extension, system upgrades, utility adjustments, and utility relocation. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility. The plan must be in accordance with the City design criteria. The water and wastewater utility construction must be inspected by the City. The landowner must pay the associated and applicable City fees.



2807 Manchaca Road, Building 2 Austin, Texas 78704 (512) 476-4456 • Fax (512) 476-4454

August 31, 2006

Mr. Greg Guernsey Director Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department City of Austin, P.O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767

RE: C14-06-0117

Time Insurance Two 1317 E. Riverside Drive

Dear Mr. Guernsey,

The above referenced zoning case was filed as "LI-PDA" to allow some flexibility in the design envelope of any proposed building.

The site is narrow and backs up to residentially zoned property. Our endeavor for this and the property covered under zoning case number C14-04-0030 is to have the proposed buildings as far from the neighborhood as possible. For the property covered under C14-06-0117 the desire is to lessen the building setback to a point equal to the property line / right-of-way line for IH 35 frontage road. The frontage road pavement is approximately 17'-18' and placing a building along the property line should not cause any issues greater than what is proposed under the soon to be adopted Commercial Design Guidelines. At the corner of IH 35 and E. Riverside is an expansive right-of-way area that is normally vacant and the distance across this area is about 100'. TxDOT does not have any plans to use that area except for the continued use as a staging area for equipment.

The zero foot setback along the IH 35 frontage of the property is the only condition we are seeking to relax under the "LI-PDA" guidelines and the remainder of the development is to be in equal with the request of the adjoining tract which is for "GR-MU".

LAND PLANNERS

I believe that the neighbors, staff, commission and council would agree that commercial buildings further away from the neighborhood is preferable to commercial buildings that are closer to the neighborhood.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at my office.


Sincerely,

A. Ron Thrower

a. Ron Thrower

# **PETITION**

Case	Number:	C14-06-0117	Date:	Jan. 11, 2007
Total	Area within 200' of subj	ect tract: (sq. ft.)	435,951.14	
		DUCKWORTH		
1	03-0206-0217	MATTIE MAE	9,606.28	2.20%
		FLORES HENRY G &		
2	03-0206-0219	KIMBERLY J	16,488.90	3.78%
3	03-0206-0220	CLARK STEVEN A	19,153.67	4.39%
4	03-0206-0221	JOHN T LACARIA JR	14,292.97	3.28%
5	03-0206-0239	CANUP JAMES W	11,831.73	2.71%
6	03-0206-0242	DE LA LLATA NILDA	14,173.69	3.25%
7	03-0206-0245	JOHN T LACARIA JR	10,176.41	2.33%
8	03-0206-0246	JACKSON WILLLIAM	7,711.64	1.77%
9 -				0.00%
10				0.00%
11 -				0.00%
12				0.00%
13				0.00%
14				0.00%
15				0.00%
16				0.00%
17				0.00%
18				0.00%
19				0.00%
20				0.00%
21 -				0.00%
22 -				0.00%
 23 -				0.00%
24				0.00%
25				0.00%
/alida	ated By:	Total Ar	ea of Petitioner:	Total %
	Stacy Meeks		103,435.30	23.73%



# PETITION AGAINST REZONING

To:	Austin City Council	Date: <u>9-5-06</u> File Number: C14-06-0117					
		Address of Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr.					
the ref	ferenced file, do hereby protest against any zone the property to any classification other	by the requested zoning change described in change of the Land Development Code which er than GR-MU or remove the existing zoning					
1.	LI-PDA zoning is incompatible with the abutting single-family homes, does not serve as a suitable transition to single-family use and brings an industrial district into an area that today is primarily a single-family neighborhood of moderately sized lots.						
2.	LI-PDA zoning removes the existing site plans specifically put in place to protect the established residential neighborhood from further commercial encroachment by addressing height, density and intensity of uses.						
3.	LI-PDA zoning conflicts with the goals of the East Riverside/Oltorf Combined Neighborhood Plan of protecting and buffering our single-family neighborhoods.						
4.	Summit and will route industrial and neighborhood. The proposed project will g	rill worsen the existing parking congestion on commercial traffic through a residential generate over 4,000 trips per day, the majority minor residential street incapable of handling					
	Signature Printed Na	me Address					
	. WILLIAM /A	deal 1106 MANIOUE					
1,	Clik Kelly McCo	rmick 1495 Inglewood					
		RECEIVED					

Neighborhood Planning & Zoning

SEP 0 8 2006

See companion Zoning Case No. C14-04-0030, email from Annick Beaudet, Case Manager, dated 7/23/04, concerning TIA.

# RECEIVED

# PETITION AGAINST REZONING

SEP 0 8 2006

To: Austin City Council

Neighborhood Planning & Zoning

Date: 8-14-06

File Number: C14-06-0117

Address of

Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr.

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than GR-MU or remove the existing zoning site plans.

- 1. LI-PDA zoning is incompatible with the abutting single-family homes, does not serve as a suitable transition to single-family use and brings an industrial district into an area that today is primarily a single-family neighborhood of moderately sized lots.
- 2. LI-PDA zoning removes the existing site plans specifically put in place to protect the established residential neighborhood from further commercial encroachment by addressing height, density and intensity of uses.
- 3. LI-PDA zoning conflicts with the goals of the East Riverside/Oltorf Combined Neighborhood Plan of protecting and buffering our single-family neighborhoods.
- 4. Any project built under LI-PDA zoning will worsen the existing parking congestion on Summit and will route industrial and commercial traffic through a residential neighborhood. The proposed project will generate over 4,000 trips per day, the majority of which will be directed onto Summit, a minor residential street incapable of handling increased volume.

	. 1 1.1	Signature	Printed Name	Address	
<b>X</b> -	HAL	· 	ARTOUSH DHANIAN	1104 Sum	uit 78741
No.	A Company	May V	LATHLEEN L. Hou		2000 78741 443-055 1015 J. 7874
*	Jeff 17	arria) Jan amer Si	WID SANTHONIS LA NANCOV	USLA 1102 HAND 1104 MANLOUE	<u>018 57.</u> 7874 <u>44</u> 3-0353
				,	

See companion Zoning Case No. C14-04-0030, email from Annick Beaudet, Case Manager, dated 7/23/04, concerning TIA.

File Number: C14-06-0117 Address of Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr. SEP 0 8 2006 Signature Printed Name Address Neighborhood Planning & Zoning 504 Ceproclase 876) STEVEN A. CLARK 1100 Monlove Henry G. Flores 1101 Manlove 78741 worth MATTIE Duckworth 1105 MANLOVE CARL BRAUN Long 2411 Riverside Farms Christopher Cavello 1500 Inglewood Vive Griffith Inglewood 136 Patricia A. Thomas Tray Frow AZALEE GRAY ENOW Go to next pace

Date: 8-14-06 PETITION AGAINST REZONING

RECEIVED

Date: <u> $\sqrt{-14-0}$ </u> & PETITION AGAINST REZONING
File Number: C14-06-0117 Address of Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr.

Signature	Printed Name	Address	
Helm Bening	HELEN FLEMING	2000 BREEZE / DUOW	78741
Chance Stutions	Joanie Steinhaus	2001 Breeze Hollow	MHI
Iffy Stomb	JEFFREY STEINING	ggs 1811 Mayagonda 1812 MAMGORDA Sd MOGUINDOK	w 7874
Rachel anna Sc.	rugge Rachel A. Scru	1995 1811 Matagonda	18141
Koy Duky	Kemp DIXON	1812 MATHGORDA	ST.
Magood	Mary Logo	od Nobwindock	7874
RECEIVED			
SEP 0 8 2006			
Neighborhood Planning & Zoning			
·			
Date: _ 6/14/012	Contact Name:	Toni House	

# PETITION AGAINST REZONING

Го:	Austin City Council	Date: 1-7-07
	-	711 77 1 011 01

File Number: C14-06-0117

Address of

Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr.

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than GR-MU or remove the existing zoning site plans.

- 1. LI-PDA zoning is incompatible with the abutting single-family homes, does not serve as a suitable transition to single-family use and brings an industrial district into an area that today is primarily a single-family neighborhood of moderately sized lots.
- 2. LI-PDA zoning removes the existing site plans specifically put in place to protect the established residential neighborhood from further commercial encroachment by addressing height, density and intensity of uses.
- 3. LI-PDA zoning conflicts with the goals of the East Riverside/Oltorf Combined Neighborhood Plan of protecting and buffering our single-family neighborhoods.
- 4. Any project built under LI-PDA zoning will worsen the existing parking congestion on Summit and will route industrial and commercial traffic through a residential neighborhood. The proposed project will generate over 4,000 trips per day, the majority of which will be directed onto Summit, a minor residential street incapable of handling increased volume.

	Signature	Printed Name	Address
Sol	P	JAMES W. CANUP	15.1 INGLEWOOD ST AUSTINITY 78741
	0		

Please costact Torin House, 225-0016; g questions.

See companion Zoning Case No. C14-04-0030, email from Annick Beaudet, Case Manager, dated 7/23/04, concerning TIA.

# PETITION AGAINST REZONING

To:	Austin City Council	Date: <u>/ - / O /</u> File Number: C14-06-0117
		Address of
		Rezoning Request: 1317 E. Riverside Dr.

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than GR-MU or remove the existing zoning site plans.

- 1. LI-PDA zoning is incompatible with the abutting single-family homes, does not serve as a suitable transition to single-family use and brings an industrial district into an area that today is primarily a single-family neighborhood of moderately sized lots.
- 2. LI-PDA zoning removes the existing site plans specifically put in place to protect the established residential neighborhood from further commercial encroachment by addressing height, density and intensity of uses.
- 3. LI-PDA zoning conflicts with the goals of the East Riverside/Oltorf Combined Neighborhood Plan of protecting and buffering our single-family neighborhoods.
- 4. Any project built under LI-PDA zoning will worsen the existing parking congestion on Summit and will route industrial and commercial traffic through a residential neighborhood. The proposed project will generate over 4,000 trips per day, the majority of which will be directed onto Summit, a minor residential street incapable of handling increased volume.

Signature	Printed Name	Address	
ASM MIN	Nilda de lA	1491 Inglewood ST	
	LLATA	1491 Inglewood ST. Austin TX 78741	/
		<del>.</del>	

contact; Toni House

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See companion Zoning Case No. C14-04-0030, email from Annick Beaudet, Case Manager, dated 7/23/04, concerning TIA.

# **Austin City Council**

# MINUTES [excerpts]

REGULAR MEETING THURSDAY, JANUARY 11, 2007

The following represents the actions taken by the Austin City Council in the order they occurred during the meeting. While the minutes are not in sequential order, all agenda items were discussed. The City Council of Austin, Texas, convened in a regular meeting on Thursday, January 11, 2006 in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 301 W. 2nd Street, Austin, Texas.

#### ZONING DISCUSSION ITEMS CONTINUED

78. C14-04-0030 - Time Insurance, Inc. - Conduct a public hearing and approve an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 1405-1415 East Riverside Drive (Town Lake Watershed) from limited office (LO) district zoning and family residence (SF-3) district zoning to community commercial-mixed use (GR-MU) combining district zoning. Staff Recommendation: To grant community commercial-mixed use-conditional overlay (GR-MU-CO) combining district zoning. Planning Commission Recommendation: To grant community commercial-mixed use-conditional overlay (GR-MU-CO) combining district zoning. Applicant: Schuler Family Trust 1998 (John Schuler). Agent: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower). City Staff: Robert Heil, 974-2330. A valid petition has been filed in opposition to this rezoning request. The public hearing was closed and the first reading of the ordinance for community commercial-mixed use-conditional overlay (GR-MU-CO) combining district zoning was approved on Council Member McCracken's motion, Mayor Wynn's second on a 7-0 vote with the following conditions. The development would meet: Vertical Mixed Use (VMU) requirements, Two Star Green Building Program requirements, and, at a minimum, VMU Affordability Standards.

79. C14-06-0117 - Time Insurance 2 - Conduct a public hearing and approve an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 1317 East Riverside Drive and 1220 IH-35 South (Town Lake Watershed) from community commercial-mixed use (GR-MU) combining district zoning to limited industrial service-planned development area (LI-PDA) combining district zoning. Staff Recommendation: To grant community commercial-mixed use (GR-MU) combining district zoning. Planning Commission Recommendation: To grant limited industrial service-planned development area (LI-PDA) combining district zoning. Applicant: Schuler Family Trust 1988 (John Schuler). Agent: Thrower Design (Ron Thrower). City Staff: Robert Heil, 974-2330.

The public hearing was closed and the first reading of the ordinance for limited industrial service-planned development area (LI-PDA) combining district zoning was approved on Council Member McCracken's motion, Mayor Wynn's second on a 7-0 vote with the following conditions. The development would meet: Vertical Mixed Use (VMU) requirements, Two Star Green Building Program requirements, and, at a minimum, VMU Affordability Standards.