

**Public Works Department
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

Composite

Received: September 5, 2007

Project: Parks Renovations & Improvements Rotation List 2007 - 2010

EVALUATION MATRIX

Project Manager: Robert Brennes

Firm (or Joint Venture)	Yes or No Affidavit	Item 1 Yes or No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Yes or No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of the Project Engr/Arch (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Subconsultant Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] SUB TOTAL	Item 10 [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS
MWM Design Group	Y	Y	Y	22.6	14.4	14.6	12.2	7.2	10.2	11	92.2		92.2
Larson Burns & Smith, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	22	14	13.8	10.8	6.6	9.6	11	87.8		87.8
Claunch & Miller, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20.2	12.4	13.8	12	6.6	10.2	11	86.2		86.2
Half Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.4	13.2	13.4	11.2	6.6	10.2	11	85		85
The Arizpe Group	Y	Y	Y	21.2	12.2	12.8	11.2	6.8	9.4	11	84.6		84.6
Land Design Partners	Y	Y	Y	19.6	12.4	12.2	12.4	6.6	10	11	84.2		84.2
The Lawrence Group	Y	Y	Y	18.6	13.8	11.4	13.2	6.6	9.6	11	84.2		84.2
Stansberry Engineering Co.	Y	Y	Y	19.6	13	10.4	12.8	6.8	10.4	11	84		84
Kellogg Landscape Architecture Construction, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	21	12	13.8	11.2	6.6	8.2	11	83.8		83.8
Architecture + Plus	Y	Y	Y	20.2	12.8	11.2	11.6	6.4	9.4	11	82.6		82.6
Coleman & Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.8	14	12	12.6	6.2	10.2	11	81.8		81.8
Limbacher & Godfrey Architects	Y	Y	Y	18.8	14.4	11.8	10.8	6.6	8.4	11	81.8		81.8
Naismith Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.8	11.8	13.8	13	6.8	9.2	11	81.4		81.4

**Public Works Department
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

Firm (or Joint Venture)	Yes or No Affidavit	Item 1 Yes or No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Yes or No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of the Project Engr/Arch Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Subconsultant Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	Item 10 [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS
H.R. Gray	Y	Y	Y	19.4	13.4	9	12.6	6.8	9.2	11		81.4
I.T. Gonzales Engineers	Y	Y	Y	18.2	13.2	10.4	12	6.8	9.2	11		80.8
J. Robinson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18.4	13.4	10.4	11.2	6.4	9	11		79.8
Stanley-Salaiz Joint Venture	Y	Y	Y	17.4	13	10.6	11.2	7	9.2	11		79.4
Sunland Group	Y	Y	Y	17	13.2	11.6	12.6	6.8	7.2	11		79.4
Negrete & Kolar Architects	Y	Y	Y	18	13	11	11	6.4	7.4	11		77.8
Bottinogrand Architects	Y	Y	Y	14.2	13.6	9.6	12	6.2	8.6	11		75.2
Thonhoff Consulting Engineers	Y	Y	Y	13.4	13.2	10.6	12.4	6.4	8.2	11		75.2
King Engineering Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	14.2	12.6	11.6	11.4	5	8.8	11		74.6
Land Design Studio	Y	Y	Y	13.4	13	9.6	10.4	6.2	6.8	11		70.4
Waterstreet Engineering	Y	Y	Y	13.4	12	7.2	11.2	6.8	8.6	11		70.2
Rathala & Bahl, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.6	10.8	10.2	11.6	4	6.8	11		70