Late Backup

Municipal Parking Analysis

January 10, 2008

Background

- Council's Land Use and Transportation Committee requested a briefing on potential models for the creation of a parking enterprise system in Austin:
 - To facilitate financing of public parking where needed and to help shape development
 - To help create a long-term funding source to support public goals
- Two briefings were completed (Sept and Oct) and included an analysis of:
 - Examples of parking enterprise systems in other cities
 - □ Tools in existing State law
 - □ Inventory of existing City parking facilities
 - Opportunities and constraints

Today's Presentation

Recap of previous briefings to the Council's Land Use and Transportation Committee

□ Analysis and Trends

- Summary of Committee's discussion
- Suggestions for next steps
- Council questions and discussion

Parking Enterprises

San Antonio, Texas Portland, Oregon Albany, New York Norfolk, Virginia Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Observations

- Parking systems can be self supporting
 - Key profitability and credit rating factors
 - □ Effect of age and type of facilities on costs
 - □ Effect of market demand on available revenue
- Parking is not an essential service
 - During a downturn, rate increases may negatively affect demand
- □ If existing assets placed in parking system:
 - Legal assessment of existing bond covenants needed
 - Existing facilities may already be encumbered by financing arrangements or subject to federal regulations
 - Physical condition assessment needed
 - Demand and utilization evaluation

Common Themes of Successful Parking Enterprises

□ Established over time

- Mature systems are more profitable (debt is paid)
- Parking assets located in areas of high demand or stable user base
 - Downtown, thriving business districts
 - Tourist destinations
 - Parking meters boost revenue without significant offsetting costs
 - Austin meter revenue currently dedicated to Great Streets and maintenance activities (street signs, markings, signals)
- □ Could require City subsidy in early years
 - Repayment plan needed if subsidy required
- Underlying pledge of City revenues lowers financing costs

Summary of Tools in State Law

- Cities have legal authority to own and operate parking facilities under State law and City charter
- Cities may create municipal parking authorities or non-profit corps to finance public facilities or fulfill any public purpose
- Parking authorities allowed but none in Texas currently (limitations on amt of outstanding revenue bonds = \$20M)
- □ City enterprise fund is simplest approach

Inventory of Existing City Parking Facilities

One Texas Center Town Lake Center City Hall Waller Creek Parking Meters Austin Convention Center Austin-Bergstrom International Airport

Suggested Next Steps for Austin

- Assess feasibility as opportunities arise in areas of high parking demand
 - On a garage by garage basis
 - Revenue should cover operations & debt service
 - Seaholm and Green redevelopment projects
- Use city enterprise fund to record and track financial activity
- If excess funds are projected, Council could dedicate for specific purpose(s)
 - Trails, transit, pedestrian, bicycle oriented

Suggested Next Steps for Austin

- Work with a federal legislative professional to assess grant opportunities for trails, transit or pedestrian oriented infrastructure, including parking
 - Council's action in Dec-2007 for federal representation now provides resources
 - Then, may need outside expertise for assistance in developing a plan to qualify for funding if opportunities look viable

Green Redevelopment

- Evaluate developer proposals under two scenarios to assess feasibility
 - City finances and operates parking facility

or

Developer finances and operates

Conduct more in-depth analysis if proposals indicate city-owned option looks promising

Questions or Comments

.

Municipal Parking Analysis

Supplemental Information

Parking Enterprises

San Antonio, Texas Portland, Oregon Albany, New York Norfolk, Virginia Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

San Antonio

- City enterprise fund
- City controls rates, public works department operates and maintains
- □ Facilities acquired over time include:
 - 3,300 spaces (6 garages)
 - 1,100 surface spaces
 - Mature system (most assets acquired before 1997)
- □ \$23 million in outstanding debt
 - General fund infuses \$1M per year into system for ongoing costs; recent parking study recommends charging market rates to become self supporting

Portland

- □ City enterprise fund
- □ Includes 2 parking meter districts
- □ Facilities acquired over time include:
 - 3,800 spaces (7 garages)
 - 6,000 parking meters
 - Mature system (30 years in operation)
- □ \$26 million in outstanding debt
- □ Debt service coverage 7X in 2005

Albany

- Parking authority
- □ Facilities include:
 - 2,600 spaces (3 garages)
 - 1,900 parking meters
 - 400 spaces (8 surface lots)
- Downtown parking demand at all time high
 - Consultant hired to assess future demand
- □ \$11 million in outstanding debt
- □ Debt service coverage 1.0X in 2006

1

Norfolk

- □ City enterprise fund
- □ Facilities include:
 - 12,500 spaces (14 garages)
 - 700 parking meters
 - 5,900 spaces (14 surface lots)
- \$77 million in outstanding parking system debt
- □ \$10 million in outstanding GO debt
- □ Debt service coverage 1.4X in 2004

Oklahoma City

- □ Transit and parking authority
- □ Facilities include:
 - 9,000 spaces (7 garages)
 - 4 of 7 garages built before 1980
- □ \$57 million in outstanding debt
- Debt service coverage minimal
- □ Owes water utility fund \$2 million
- □ Owes General Fund \$1.7 million

Inventory of Existing City Parking Facilities

One Texas Center Town Lake Center City Hall Waller Creek Parking Meters Austin Convention Center Austin-Bergstrom International Airport

Existing City Parking Facilities

Opportunities are primarily related to overflow parking at night and during weekend for events in immediate area, including Long Center

One Texas Center, Town Lake Center

- Downtown parking City Hall, Waller Creek (nights and weekends)
- □ No revenue estimates or implementation costs completed

Parking Meters

- □ Current revenue of \$2.5 million
 - About \$500,000 dedicated to Great Streets
 - Remainder used to fund street maintenance activities
- □ Meters hours from 8am to 5pm
- □ LUT discussed reviewing hours/structure...
 - …as part of a comprehensive parking study
 - …with feedback from merchants

Existing City Parking Facilites

- □ Airport and convention center
 - Regulatory or debt covenant constraints
- Airlines pay their share of airport costs, and parking provides the primary source of revenue to cover non-airline costs
 - Remaining funds set aside for future expansion
 - Federal Aviation Administration directives to maintain self sustaining operations and avoid revenue diversion
- Convention center parking network is designed to optimize flexibility for large events rather than focus on contract parking