

OFFICE OF CONTRACT AND LAND MANAGEMENT
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications

Page 1 of 3

Composite

Received: April 4, 2008

Project: Water & Wastewater System Facility Engineering Rotation List 2008 - 2011

EVALUATION MATRIX

Project Manager: Joe Hoepken

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (10) Principal (6) Engineer (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] SUB TOTAL	Item 10 [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS
1	Camp, Dresser & McKee, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	21	12	14	13	7	9	11	86.0		86.0
2	Chiang, Patel & Yerby, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	10	14	13	6	10	11	84.0		84.0
3	Kalluri Group, Inc. (MBE/MA)	Y	Y	Y	19	10	13	13	7	10	11	83.8		83.8
4	Alan Plummer Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18	11	13	13	6	10	11	83.0		83.0
5	CH2M Hill, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20	10	13	13	6	9	11	83.0		83.0
6	Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	9	13	12	7	10	11	81.4		81.4
7	MWH Americas, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18	9	13	13	7	11	11	81.4		81.4
8	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18	10	14	12	6	10	11	81.2		81.2
9	TCB, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	10	13	13	7	8	11	80.8		80.8
10	Earth Tech, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18	10	13	13	7	9	11	80.4		80.4
11	Black & Veatch Corporation	Y	Y	Y	18	8	14	12	6	10	11	79.4		79.4

5/15/2008

OFFICE OF CONTRACT AND LAND MANAGEMENT
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (10) Principal (6) Engineer (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] SUB TOTAL	Item 10 [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS
12	Lockwood, Andrews & Newman, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	10	12	12	6	9	11	79.0		79.0
13	Malcolm Pirnie	Y	Y	Y	17	9	12	12	7	9	11	79.0		79.0
14	Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15	11	13	13	6	9	11	78.2		78.2
15	Carollo Engineers, P.C.	Y	Y	Y	17	10	12	13	6	9	11	78.2		78.2
16	Thonhoff Consulting Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17	11	12	12	7	8	11	78.2		78.2
17	PBS&J	Y	Y	Y	17	10	13	11	7	8	11	77.2		77.2
18	Brown & Caldwell	Y	Y	Y	18	8	11	13	7	9	11	77.0		77.0
19	Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group	Y	Y	Y	17	10	12	12	6	9	11	77.0		77.0
20	Bury + Partners	Y	Y	Y	16	10	13	11	6	8	11	75.0		75.0
21	KBR (Kellogg Brown & Root)	Y	Y	Y	16	9	12	12	6	9	11	74.0		74.0
22	Claunch & Miller, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17	8	12	12	5	8	11	73.4		73.4
23	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15	9	10	12	5	8	11	71.8		71.8
24	LJA Engineering & Surveying, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15	9	9	12	5	9	11	70.4		70.4
25	Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15	7	11	12	6	8	11	70.4		70.4

OFFICE OF CONTRACT AND LAND MANAGEMENT
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (10) Principal (6) Engineer (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] SUB TOTAL	Item 10 [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS	
26	Ratnala & Bahl, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	14	8	11	12	5	7	11	69.0		69.0	
27	Tetra Tech	Y	Y	Y	15	7	13	10	4	7	11	67.2		67.2	
28	RGT Engineering, Inc. (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	9	7	6	10	5	6	11	54.8		54.8	