

Minutes of a called meeting of the Council.
Austin, Texas, March 10th 1896.

Rev. Lewis Hancock, Mayor, presiding.
(Roll called.)

Present Aldermen Beale, Fischer, Glascock, Glass, Roth, Sawles, Blatt, Bowell, Dred, Underwood, Schneider, Shelley, Kumpf, Taylor, Cobin, Townsend and Gilley.

Absent Aldermen Barnes, Horton, Sinn, Stitschke, Rosenbaum, and Taylor. b.
The following call of the Mayor was read.

Mayor's Office,

Austin Texas March 10th 1896.

A special meeting of the City Council is hereby called to meet in the Council room this day at four o'clock P.M. to consider a proposition involving the settlement of certain litigation between the City and the Austin Water Light & Power Company.

The Federal Court has adjourned the cases over one day, so that this proposition may be considered by the Council, and it is of great importance that the matter be taken up at once. I therefore earnestly hope every member of the Council will make an effort to be present.

Respectfully,

Lewis Hancock, Mayor.

Austin Texas March 10th 1896

3 P.M.

I hereby certify that the following Aldermen have been served with the above call, by having it read to each of them in person viz:

Aldermen Beale, Fischer, Glascock, Glass, Roth, Haynes, Sawles, Sinn, Stitschke, Blatt, Bowell, Dred, Underwood, Rosenbaum, Schneider, Shelley, Kumpf, Taylor, Cobin, Townsend and Gilley, and that Alderman Horton has been served by a true copy having been left at his place of business, he being out of the City.

James G. Lucy.

(City Marshal.

The Mayor then laid before the Council the following message:

Mayor's Office.

Austin Texas, March 10th 1896.

To the Hon. City Council:

Sentiment:

I have called you together in special session to consider and take into consideration an important communication from the City Officers, which I now before you read as follows:

Austin, March 10, 1896.

From the Honorable City Council,

Sentiment:

I beg to inform the Honorable Aldermen, that it was my most inadvertent error in sending out the letter of communication to the officers of the City, or University, to say that the business

¹ Mayor
Call for Special
meeting of Council

City Marshal's certificate
of having served call

Mayor message

Communication from
City Marshal regarding
Charter of incorporation
of Austin, Texas.

Austin Water Light & Power
Co. v/s City of Austin

the Austin Water, Light & Power Company, numbered respectively, # 2780,
2781-# 2786-# 2786.

In the first of which a Mandamus is applied for to compel the payment
of a judgment for \$75,885.84, rendered on March 8th, 1895, with Interest at 6%
from date. The 2nd involves a claim for \$9800, with Interest, for Water fur-
nished for fire protection. The 3rd involves a claim for \$15,443.45, for Water
furnished for fire protection and miscellaneous purposes, under the contract
between the City of Austin and the City Water Company. The 4th involves
a claim for balance due, for electric lights furnished as per contract between
the City of Austin and the Austin Water, Light & Power Company.
(These several claims aggregate about \$55,109.55)

There is now due, from the Austin Water, Light & Power Company, to the
City of Austin, the sum of \$15,030.17, being Taxes for 1893, 1894 and 1895.
The Receiver of the Water Company has offered to settle all matters in
controversy in these suits on the following basis: He will accept in
full of the several demands, the sum of \$5,520.77, and will allow as
a credit on this sum the taxes due, as before stated, which will leave
a balance due of \$9,481.60, by the City of Austin to said Receiver.
He agrees that this balance may be paid in three equal installments
out of taxes to be levied for the year 1896-1897-1898.

In my opinion this settlement should be made. I have conferred
with the Mayor on the subject and he concurs in the opinion expressed
by me.

Should this agreement be made, it will settle all claims asserted
by the City Water Company and the Austin Water, Light & Power
Company up to January 1st 1896.

Geo. F. Genderster.

City Attorney.

These cases are on trial now, and have only been out of Court tomorrow
in order that a settlement might if possible, be reached between the parties.
Several conferences between the City Attorney and myself on the one
side and the Receiver of the Company, Mr. Joseph Hale, the Agent of the
Bondholders of the Company, and their Council, on the other, have
resulted in the above compromise, which is recommended by the
City Attorney, after much labor and thought in the matter.

I have looked into the matters carefully and in detail, and earnestly
recommend that the Council by resolution authorize the City Attorney
to effect the settlement which he sets forth.

I may say further that Mr. J. P. Fisher, who has also represented
the City in these cases, fully concurs in this recommendation.

Respectfully,

Lewis Hancock, Mayor.

Aldermen Hawes and Nichols, entered the Council Chamber and answered
to their names.

Alderman Hawes moved to adopt the suggestions of compromise
recommended by the City Attorney, and Mayor whose motion is carried.
for the following vote:

On Motion of Aldermen Hawes, Nichols, and Lewis Hancock, Mayor,

Additional appendices
and exhibits omitted

Hobindale, Shelley, Kumpf, Sabin, Townsend and Zilber 13.
Stays Aldermen Beale, Fischer, Glascott, Haugan and Schneider 5.
On motion the Council adjourned.

Jno. O'Brien -
City Clerk.