Stuart Wolff up
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 21, 2008

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms Maureen Meredith<br>Neighborhood Planning \& Zoning<br>City of Aust<br>505 Barton Springs<br>Austin, Texas 78704

Re: Support for the $S F-1$ zoning classification, as recommended by the Planning Commission, for tracts of real property located at 8901 SHH. 71 West and 7219 Mowinkle Drive, Austin, Travis County, Texas

Dear Ms. Meredith
We represent PromiseLand Church West (1301 Capital of Texas Hwy, Suite A308, Austin, Texas 78746) (the "Owner"), owner of an approximately 5328 -acre tract located at 8901 SH. 71 West (TCAD Tax Parcel ID No 01014803010000 ) and an approximately 1494 -acre tract located at 7219 Mowinkle Drive (TCAD Tax Parcel D No 04045001190000 ) (the "Property").

This letter constitutes Owner's support for the SF-1 zoning recommendation and the Single Family/Rural Residential FLUM recommendation for the Property made by the Planning Commission on July 8, 2008 pursuant to Zoning Case No Cl 4-2008-0125 West Oak Hill Neighborhood Planning Area Rezoning We also beheve this recommendation is consistent with that made by representatives of the OHAN neighborhood association planning group

It is the mtent of the Owner of the Property to develop this tract with a church and a parsonage, and related uses A religious use is permitted in either SF-1 or RR zoning, and as such, is consistent with the recommended zoning and FLUM.

Please let me know if we may provide you with any additional information.


301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200 • Ausan, Texas 78701 • $5124042200 \cdot$ fax 5124042244 www drennergolden com
cc Mr Buddy Patten (via mail) 11921 North Mopac Expiessway
Sute 110
Austm, TX 78759

From: Ruth
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008249 PM
To: Meredith, Maureen
Subject: Zoning of Waters Property
Ms Meredith,
On Thursday, August 21, 2008 the City Council will be addressing agenda item 70 concerning the re-zoning of the the Waters property in Oak Hill

A crucial zoning point is the SF6 spot zoning The city recommendation is of SF1 I am concerned about increased traffic, safety and set back concerns to be addressed in the plating and site plan The SF6 does not allow input from its neighbors The development of this site directly effects the area around this acreage

There is also a flag lot being used by the Waters and one other family This is only 40 feet wide and lies between four other homes and two subdivisions The flag lot should not be allowed to be developed into a road If the Waters are not willing to sell this flag lot to neighboring homes, it should be plated as a green belt

This SF6 development will also present a safety issue for The Estates of Shadowridge We have approximately 60 children living in the neighborhood This does not include children who are visiting and playing at these homes There is only one entrance/exit to our neighborhood The neighborhood was not designed to by a collector street

I also have environmental concerns The Waters' property is in the Barton Springs Recharge zone Dense development of the Waters' tract will directly effect the residents who are downhill from the Waters It could possibly cause additional water runoff and flooding of these properties Homeowners whose land abuts the Waters property are already dealing with high water in their back yards when we have heavy rains

Additionally, I am concerned about the Oak Hill Planning Contact Team Mrs Waters was a member and proposed her own zoning to the other members of this group Other property owners were not given the same opportunity The OHPCT did not ask for public input and we did not always receive advance notice of their meetings The same group recommended SF1 for the other lots in the neighborhood

Part of the Planning Commission decision was based on Mrs Waters being a long-term landowner She moved onto her property in 1995 Our subdivision was plated in 1988 and roads were cut bult in 1996 Therefore, we are in similar positions The development of The Estates of Shadowridge was not a surprise to Ms Waters She bought her property knowing that she would be surrounded by single family housing on a minimum of $1 / 2$ acre lots

The zoning of SF1 will be in compliance with other homes in the area and increase, not decrease our property values The SF1 will also mantain the tax base in our neighborhood for future city planning

I am confident that you will reconsider SF1 zoning in the Waters zoning request The reason we have zoning is to protect the majority of the homeowners

Thank you for listening to my concerns I know that you will give them an honest and open-minded review

Ruth Felger<br>The Estates of Shadowridge

# Ray N. Donley and Aıleen M. Hooks 8015 Dark Valley Cove <br> Austin, TX 78737 <br> (512) 301-3231-Home <br> (512) 657-6583 - Cell <br>  

August 19, 2008
VIA FACSIMILE TO 974-3212
and EMAIL TO lee.leffingwell@ci.austin.tx.us
Austin City Councilmember Lee Leffingwell
VIA FACSIMILE TO 974-1884
and EMAIL TO brewster.mccracken@ci.austin.tx.us
Austin City Councilmember Brewster McCracken
VIA FACSIMILE TO 974-1888
and EMAIL TO randi.shade@ci.austin.tx.us
Austın City Councilmember Randı Shade
VIA FACSIMLLE TO 974-1886
and EMAIL TO laura.morrison@ci.austin.tx.us
Austin City Councılmember Laura Morrison
VIA FACSIMILE TO 974-1890
and EMAIL TO sheryl.cole@ci.austin.tx.us
Austin City Councilmember Sheryl Cole
In Re: Oak Hıll Combined Neıghborhood Plan, West Oak Hıll Contested Tracts: 6800 Waters WayDear Councilmembers:My wıfe, Aıleen Hooks, and I own 8015 Dark Valley Cove, TCAD\#510978 Ronand Jackie Waters, who own the above-referenced property, are our neighbors. It is ourunderstanding that the City Council will meet this Thursday, August 21 regardingproposed zoning for the above-referenced property, as well as our property. I havealready sent you a letter regarding the proposed zoning for our property.

# Austin City Council 

August 19, 2008
Page 2

The purpose of this letter is to support the zoning recommendation for the Waters' property made by the Planning Contact Team and by the Waters It is my understanding that the Planning Commission approved the SF6-CO zoning on July 8, 2008. We object to and ask the City Council not to accept the recommendatıons for the above-referenced property proposed by our neighbors in the Shadow Ridge and Loma Vista subdıvisions.

Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to call me with any questions.
Yours very truly,

Ray N. Donley
$\mathrm{RD} / \mathrm{ch}$

| Meredith, Maureen |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Loayza, Katherıne |
| Sent: | Tuesday, August 12, 2008 1125 AM |
| To: | Meredith, Maureen, Guernsey, Greg |
| Cc: | Lynn and Martin Leffker |
| Subject: | West Oak Hill Neighborhood Plan/Rezoning |

Attachments: Leifker property exhibits pdf

## Maureen/Greg,

My clients, Lynn and Martin Leifker, are out of town this week, but I wanted to make sure that you still have for your records their opposition to the Waters tract rezoning to SF-6 The Leffer's are not opposed to the SF-1 zoning recommended by staff However, because of their unique situation with a private access easement on their property for the benefit of the Waters and the Donley/Hooks tracts, they request that staff add a conditional overlay to the rezoning No other property in the West Oak Hill area has this unique encumbrance on their lot

Suggested language being " prohibit access through Lot 10, Biock B of the amended plat of Shadowridge Crossing Section 9, (their lot) recorded in Vol 102, page 271-272, should the Waters or the Donley/Hooks properties be subdivided into more than one lot, or

If the Waters tract, is zoned SF-6 and no subdivision is required, then apply a conditional overlay that states if the property is developed for more than one residential dwelling, then access is prohibited thru Lot 10, Block B and also reference the Donley/Hooks tract prohibiting access through Lot 10 if developed/subdivided into more than one residential dwelling

The need for the overlay is that Ms Waters has indicated her intent to use this private access easement for future development on her property I can't imagine the City would allow this to occur, but in any event, it would be extremely helpful and give the Leffker's peace of mind, if the zoning of these properties clearly prohibited use of the access easement for more than the intended use of one residential dwelling per lot on the Waters and Donley/Hooks tracts

I am attaching some exhibits that the Leifker's prepared in anticipation of speaking at last weeks public hearing that should help describe the situation, and a cover letter from the Leifker's which indicates their concerns

I appreciate your assistance in considering this conditional overlay Please let me know if you need any additional information
<<Leifker property exhibits pdf>>

Katherıne P Loayza
Land Use Consultant
Jackson Walker L L P
100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100
Austın, Texas 78701
(512) 236-2259
(512) 691-4412 (fax)
mallto

# Loayza Pg 2.65 

Council Member
RE: Council Agenda Items 86 \& 87, Tract L (The Waters Tract)

## Council Member,

We have a private access easement though our property ( 6733 Hot Springs Drive) dating back about 60 years. Although the two households it serves (Waters and Donley/Hooks) are no longer land-locked and now have public right-of-way access through other parts of their properties, we have not sued to have them vacate the private easement because of the legal expense involved.

The private easement is written to support only the two households. Mrs. Waters told us that a condo development she wants to build on her property would have one owner (the HOA), and therefore would count as one household and would be eligible to send its traffic through the private easement on our land. Her attorney, Jeff Howard, conservatively estimates that a 30 -unit condo development would mean an additional 300 or more daily car trips. We do not want this traffic going through our property for the following reasons:

1) the noise and pollution of 300 additional cars passing parallel to our backyard fence and 10 feet from our master bedroom;
2) the risk to our children's and our safety if someone crashes through our fence or into our house or yard;
3) our exposure to personal liability if someone has a wreck on our property;
4) our exposure to repair expenses if the increased traffic damages the concrete driveway.

We request that the Waters be given a conditional overlay to their zoning that will prohibit access from a development through our easement.

Thank you for your consideration.

Martin and Lynn Leifker
6733 Hot Springs Drive
Austin, TX 78749
P.S. Please see the attached visual aids showing our home and the Waters tract.
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From: John McCulloch \& Lynne Fahlquist
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008822 AM
To: Meredith, Maureen, Connect, Austin City Connection
Cc: Oak Hill NPCT, Perkıns, Rick, Lynne \& John
Subject: Comment to City Council about the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Plan

Dear City Council Members and Maureen Meredıth,
Although the City of Austin Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department solicited and coliected information during the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Plannıng Area process about desires for civic uses such as community centers, schools, parks, and open space during the Oak Hill Neighborhood Plannıng process, I am told that the City cannot recommend such uses on specific parcels of land in the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Planning Area Future Land Use Map (OHCNPA FLUM)
This information is captured in the text of the plan, but is not depicted in the resulting zoning map and FLUM. Since the zoning map and FLUM are important and visual representations of the neighborhood planning process outcome, then I suggest that a written disclaimer be added to the OHCNPA FLUM and zoning map that states something like:
"Although public spaces such as parks, open space, schools, and community centers are very much desired and underrepresented in the OHCNP area, these public uses cannot be depicted on this map because of private property rights concerns. Such public spaces become identrfied as land is developed or redeveloped."

I hope that you will take this suggestion under consideration as you deliberate the specifics of the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Plan.

Thank you,
Lynne Fahlquist
Oak Hill Combined Neıghborhood Plannıng Area Resident (and neıghborhood plannıng participant)

## Clarf, Thomas \& Winters

a professional oorporation

POST OFFTCE BOX 1148 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767
300 WEST $6^{\text {T }}$ STREET, $15^{\text {tu }}$ FLOOR aUSTIN TEXAS 78701

August 7, 2008

Ms. Maureen Meredith
Principal Planner
Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department
City of Austin,
505 Barton Springs Road, 5th Floor
Austın, TX 78704
RE: Notice of Withdrawal of Tracts from the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Plan
Dear Ms. Meredith,
I am writing to you on behalf of our clients, Buffalo Equities, Ltd, owner of the five tracts of land described in the attached Exhibit_A, S-J AT THE Y, J.V., owner of the tract of land described in the attached Exhibit B, and OAK HILL AT THE Y, J.V , owner of the tract of land described in the attached Exhibit C , to provide you with written notice of the withdrawal of these seven tracts of land from the Oak Hill Combined Neighborhood Plan currently pending before the City of Austin City Council (NP-2008-0025). A location map of these tracts is attached as Exhibit D, for your reference

Should you have any questions regarding this notice or the tracts of land referenced berein, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincercly,


Kevin M. Flahive

CC (via e-mail): Mayor Will Wynn Mayor Pro Tem Brewster McCracken Council Member Lee Leffingwell
Council Member Mike Martinez
Council Member Sheryl Cole
Councll Member Randi Shade
Council Member Laura Morrison
Mr. Jerry Rusthoven

# West Park PUD and Additional Tracts to be Added Pursuant to CD-2008-0007 

1. $119.814 \Lambda$ cre Tract - metes and bounds attached
2. 6 Acre Tract - metes and bounds attached
3. Lot One (1), Block "A", PSI Retail, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof, recorded in Volume 97, Page(s) 153154 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas.
4. Lot One (1), Haskel Subdivision Section Two, a subdıvision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof, recorded in Volume 85, Page 28A of the Play Records of Travis County, Texas.
5. Lot Two (2), Haskel Subdivision Section Two, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof, recorded in Volume 85, Page 28A of the Play Records of Travis County, Texas.
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METES AID BOUNDS DESCRIPTOR

BEING 60081 ACRES OF LAND, SURVEYED BY BASELINE LAND SURVEYORS, INC. OUTS OP THE JESSE wILLIAMS SURVEY NO G2, DN TRAVIS COUNTY. TEXAS, AND BEANS THAT SAME 61348 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO KERRY S YUM BY OEED OF RECORD IN VDLUME 12571, PAGE 2533 OF TEE E REAL PROPERTY RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS AND RERECORDED DN VOLUME 12587. PAGE 399 OF THE REAL PROPERTY RECORDS OH TRAVIS COUNT, TEXAS, DAVE AND EXCEPT A O 127 ACRE PORTION OF A \& 138 ACNE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO THE STATE OF TEXAS EY INSTRUMENT OF RECORD NN DOCUMENT NO 2001036942 OF TRE OfFICIAL PUULLE RECORDS OF THAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, THEREN KNOWN AS PARCEE NO 28, AND BENG MORE PARTICULAKLY DESCRDED BY METES AND gONDS AS YOLLOWG





 the 0138 fere truer a distance of 1436 fort,

THENCE song the west fine of the 61348 acre bret and the reputed ught-of-way line of Hudson top the following two courses

 an angle postal wis the west hire of the 61348 aces tract and the icputed nght-of-wivay hing of Hudson Loop,

 the couftreast comer of 189 mete rat oftand conveyed to Carolyn Sue Jackson by deed of record it Volume 11071, Page Sib of the Real Property Records de Tex vs County. Texas,


 23141 feel) to a $1 / 4^{\circ}$, moi fabiry found for the northwest comer of the 61348 acre tret, the northeast corner of the 189 ate tract, and being in the south hate of 1199 :14 acre tract of lind conveyed to Buffo Equites, Led by deed of second in Volume 12750, Page 1039 of the Real Property Records © Trans Combs, Texas

THENCE Slog the north have of the 61348 gere tract and the south line of said 119814 acre traci the tollownige tret (3) course

 crate and the south bine of be 119854 acre tact,
 of 15962 fete) 10 a $12^{4}$ upon reboot found for an angle point mi ire north line of the 51348 cere tract and the couth the of the 1198 is ate react,

 nothivess comer of Lar i, Haslet Eubdishsion, Senor Two, a subdivision of record in the
 rebar found for the nottherst tamer of Lat I, of sad Bushel Subdivision, Section I wo, and

## the nornwest cormar of Haskel Subdinston, a subdingion of reeord in Bcok 74, Page to of 

 Icer (record - South $59^{\circ} 55^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ Enst, 2 dirtance of 396 (0) Eeti).
 (reecord - 36906 feet) to : $1 / 2$ " tran tebar found for the southeast corne of the 61348 dere traeh the
 of US Hivy 290 Wesh, frort whech $21 / 2$ " ron rebar found for the southeast corner of sand Lol 1. Haskel Subdinson, Section two, and the southwest comer of suid Haskel Subdinson, bean South

 61348 acre trate mand the north nghtof-way lipe of US Hwy 290 Weyt a distance of 23302 feet
 10 Engrater's Centeriune Slagom $298+1794$ for the northeast eomer of the 0138 aere tract of iand, and beang an angle pount on the scouth the of the 61348 acre trect and the north ight-ot-way lene of US Hury 290 Weat,
 aete taft ind the north aghtor way ime of US Hwy 290 West the followng threc (3) courses

1 North $8 A^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ Westa dislance of 22339 feel (recoud $\sim$ Nonth $15^{\circ} 42^{\circ} 33^{\prime \prime}$ West, a dislance of 22459 Fect) to 2 Typt If Concretio Monument found 17500 fest left of and ar night angle to Enguntats Cemtithe Stasion $295+9336$ for 2 polnt of curvature,


 $85035^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West, a dissurte of 23 of feed) to a Type II Concrete Mronurment found for a paint of eangeacy.

3 Nouth $38^{\circ} 01 \cdot 14^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 662 fect iet the POINT OF BEODNDNG
 Travis County, Texts

Descriphion preparcd from mon-the-ground survey mate diatng danuary, 2003
Bearmg Bats South ime ofa 119814 dere tract of fand conveyed to Buffalo Equhes, Lod by deed of reeord in Yakume 12750, Pige 1039 of the Real Property Reenrds of "Trans Cousty, Texac and bang North $\mathrm{B4}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ I'25" Wess
3 Stothaswell Date

Rogastaced Proftesional Lend Surveyor
Stare $\beta$ T Texan No 5583
Arachments Survey Drawing - BrisclinatProjectivestparkDwelyon rille dwg


## S-J at the Y, J.V.

1. 21.067 Acre Tract - metes and bounds attached

FIELD NOTES for
917,698 SQUARE FEET OR 21.067 ACRES
917,698 SQUARE FEET OR 21.067 ACRES OF LAND OUT OF THE JESSE WILLIAMS SURVEY NO. 62, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS AND BEING THE SAME TRACT AS DESCRIBED IN CONVEYANCE TO HI-PLAINS SAVING AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF DEAF SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS IN VOLUME 10052, PAGE 276 OF THE TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, DEED RECORDS, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS.

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron pin found in the north R.O.W. line of U.S. Highway 290 West, a 140 foot right-of-way, at the Southeast comer of that certain ( 9.13 Acre) tract of Jand conveyed to Austin Commututy College by deed recorded in Volume 11339, Page 1766, Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, same being at the Southwest comer of said Hi-Plaius Saving and Loan Association tract, for the Southwest comer and PLACE OF BEGINNING hereof,

THENCE along the east line of said (9.13 Acre) tract, and the west line of sald Hi-Plains tract, N 30 deg. $24^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 1390.09 feet to a $1 \%^{\prime \prime}$ iron pin found at a west comer of that certain ( 8.54 Acre) tract of land conveyed to Richard W. Schmidt by deed recorded in Volume 10994 Page 286, Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, same being at the Northwest comer of said Hi-Plams tract, for the Northwest comer hereof.

THENCE along the south line of the same Schmidt tract and the north line of the said Hi-Plains tract, the following two (2) courses

S 71 deg $03^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of 374.08 feet to a $1^{\prime \prime}$ pipe found;
S 71 deg. 02' $15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of 724.97 feer to a capped irou pin found at the most Northerly comer of that certan ( 0.363 Acre) tract of land conveyed to C. L. Boles by deed recorded in Volume 11000, Page 1576, Real Property Records of Travis County. Texas, for the most Easterly comer hercof;

THENCE along the north line of the said Boles tract, the following five (5) courses: S 64 deg. $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 79.19 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ capped mon pin set $w /$ cap marked RDS4094;

S 60 deg. $23^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} W$ a distance of 103.66 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ capped uron pin set w/ cap marked RDS4094;

- $\quad \$ 52$ deg. $20^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 71.76 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ capped iron pin set $\mathrm{w} /$ cap marked RDS4094,

S 49 deg. $36^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W a distance of 102.90 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ capped iron pin set $w /$ cap marked RDS4094.

FIELD NOTES for
917,698 SQUARE FEET OR 21.067 ACRE
Page 2 of 2
S 41 deg. $34^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 104.99 feet to a 1" pipe found in the north RO.W. line of U.S. Highway 290, at the most Westerly comer of the said Boles tract, for an angle point hereof,

THENCE along the north R.O.W. line of US. Highway $290, S 56$ deg. $55^{\circ} 08^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 254.64 feet to a Concrete monument found at a point of curve to the right, for an angle point hereof;

THENCE along said curve to the right the radius of which is 2794.79 feet, the arc distance is 1250.02 feet, and the chord of which bears $S 69$ deg. $46^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 1239.63 feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING, containing 917,698 SQUARE FEET OR 21.067 ACRE of land.

SUR VEYED: April 7, 1999
ROY D SMITH SUR VEYORS, PAC


## Oak Hill at the Y, J.V.

1. 17.822 Acre Tract - metes and bounds attached

## FIELD NOTES

FOR
776,316 SQUARE FEET OR 17.822 ACRES
776,316 SQUARE FEET OR 17.822 ACRES OF LAND OUT OF THE JESSE WILLIAMS SURVEY NO. 62, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, INCLUDING ALL OF THE DORA SCMIDT SUBDIVISION RECORDED IN VOLUME 48, PAGE 62 OF THE PLAT RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING THAT CERTAIN TRACT DESCRIBED AS ( 17.83 ACRES) IN TRUSTEES DEED TO RICHARD W. SCHMIDT, ROY C. SCHMIDT, WALTER S. SCHMIDT AND SCHMIDT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, OE RECORD IN VOLUME 10994 RAGE 286 OE THE REAL PROPERTY RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron pin found in the south in e of Lot 7 , Block $N$, Scenic Brook West Section Two Phase Two, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Volume 54 Page 97, Plat Records of Travis County. Texas, same being at the Northeast corner of Lot 4, Block N, Scenic Brook West Section Two, Phase Two, same being at the Northwest corner of Tract A, Dora Schmidt Subdivision, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Volume 48 page 62, Plat Records of Travis County. Texas, for the Northwest corner and pLACE OF BEGTNNING hereof;

THENCE along the south line of Lots 7,8 , and 9 , respectively, of said Block $N$, and the north line of said Tract $A$, Dora Schmidt Subdivision, $573 \mathrm{deg} .30^{\circ} 46^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of 374.39 feet to a $3 / 4$ iron pin found at an angle: point in the south line of lot 2, Scenic Brook Fest Commercial 1-B, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Volume 84 Page 162, for an angle point hereof;

THENCE along the south line of Scenic Brook West Commercial 1-B, and the north line of said Dora Schmidt Subdivision, $S 69$ deg. 49.01 n E a distance of 451.03 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch capped iron pin set w/ cap marked RDS 4094, at an angle point in the south line of Lot 8, Scenic Brook West Commercial 1-B, for an angle point hereof;

THENCE continuing along the south line of Scenic Brook Fest Commercial 1-B, and the north line of said Dora Schmidt Subdivision S 39 deg. $42^{\prime \prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 534.86 feet to

EIELD NOTES TO
776.316 SQUARE FEET OR 17.822 ACRE

Page 2 of 3
a capped iron pin found in the southwest line of Lot 1 , Scenic Brook West Comercial 1-A, according to the map or plat ther freof recorcied
in Volume 70 page 360 , Plat Records of Travis Count being at the most Northerly corner of that certain $\mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Texas, same tract of land to be acquired by the State of Texas fo-279 Acre) purposes, for an angle point hereof;

THENCE continuing along the southwest line of Lot 1 .
West Commercial 1-A, 5 39 deg. 36' 35" E a distance Scenic Brook a I" iron pipe found in the existing northwest R.O.W. Of 27.08 feet to Highwey 290 West, at the most Easterly corner of said line of U.S. Subdivision for the most Easterly corner hereof; $\quad$ Dora Schmidt

THENCE with the existing northwest R.O.W. line of U. S. Hwy. 290
West, the following four (4) courses:
$S 50$ deg. $34^{\prime \prime}$
monument found;
S 56 deg. 11' 16" W a distance of 240.01 feet to a 1 " Iron pipe found;

S 66 deg. $26^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of 149.54 feet to a I" iron plpe found;

S 64 deg. I6' $56^{n}$ W a distance of 23.42 feet to a capped iron pin found at the Southeast corner of the said (17. Capped

THENCE leaving U.S. Highway 290, N 71 deg. 49' $03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ at a distance
of 2.86 feet pass a capped iron pin found at the the proposed State of Texas ( 0.279 Acre) tract. same beist corner of northeast line of a tract described in conveyance to fifing in the Saving and Loan Association of record in Volume 10052 Fi-Plains the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, and Eage 276 of along the same course a total distance of 725.30 feet to continuing pipe found:

THENCE continuing along the common dividing line of said
Acre) Schmidt tract, and said Hi-plains Savings and Load 117.83 deg. $49^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{w}^{\prime}$ a distance of 374.08 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch ironact, $N 7$ in found in the east line of that certain ( 9.13 Acre) iron conveyed to Austin Community College by deed recorded tract of land 11339 Page 1766 of the Real Property Records of Travis in Volume County, Texas, same being at the most Northerly comer Hi-plains Savings and Loan tract, same being at the South the said of the said (17.83 Acre) Schmidt tract, for the most Southest corner Southwest corner hereof;

THENCE along the east line of sald (9.13 Acre) tract, and a west

FIELD NOTES TO
775,316 SQUARE EEET OR 17.822 ACRES
Page 3 of 3
line of said 117.83 Acre) Schmidt tract, $N 29$ deg. 50 .
distance of 312.22 feet to a $5 / 8$ inch iron pin found $i$ line of said Dora Schmidt Subdivision, at the Northeast $\frac{1}{s}$ corner of said (9.13 Acre) tract, for a corner hereof:
THENCE along the north line of said (9.13 Acre) tract line of said Dora Schmidt Subdivision, $N 71$ deg. $42{ }^{\circ}$ distance of 81.10 feet to a $5 / 8$ inch iron pin found at corner of Lot 1 , Block N, Scenic Brook West Section Two same being at the Southwest comer of said Dora Schmidt for a corner hereof;

THENCE along the east line of Lots $1,2,3$, and 4 , res po said Block $N_{\text {r }}$ and the west line of said Dora Schmidt Sum 37 deg. 26' 59" E a distance of 368.84 feet to the PILAC BEGINNING, containing 776,316 SQUARE FEET OR 17.822 ACRE:


SURVEYED: February 21, 2000
REVISED. April 7, 2000
ROY D. SMITH SURVEYORS, PAC
ROY 有 SNTHM
Reg. Professional Land Surveyor 1
1214 West fth Street
Austin, Texas 78703
23750 fin doc
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