Public Works Department
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications

COMPOSITE

Received: June 18, 2008

Project: Jollyville Transmission Main from Water Treatment Plant 4

EVALUATION MATRIX

Project Manager: Stacie Long & Tina Van Wie

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y=Yes N = No Affidavit	*Item 1* Y=Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	*Item 2* Y=Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	*Item 3* [24] Experience of Project Manager (10) Engineer (8) Principal (6)	*Item 4* [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	*Item 5* [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	*Item 6* [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Subconsultant Firms' Comparable Project Experience	*Item 7* [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	*Item 8* [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	*Item 9* [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] SUB TOTAL	*Item 10* [25] Optional Interview	[125] TOTAL POINTS
1	Black & Veatch Corp.	Y	Y	Y	22.4	13.6	14	14.8	8	11.4	11	95.2	23.08	118.28
2	Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20.2	13.4	14.6	14	7.2	10.4	10.8	90.6	21.88	112.48
3	URS Corporation	Y	Y	Y	20	13.6	14.2	14.4	7.2	10.8	10.8	91.0	21.30	112.30
4	PB Americas, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20	12.4	14	14.4	7.4	10.8	11	90.0	21.40	111.40
5	Brierley Associates	Y	Y	Y	21.8	12.4	14	13.4	6.8	9.4	11	88.8		88.80
6	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20.6	13	13.6	13	6.2	11.4	11	88.8		88.80
7	Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	21	12.6	12.8	13.4	7.4	10.2	10.8	88.2		88.20
8	Kellogg Brown and Root (KBR)	Y	Y	Y	19.8	13	11.2	13.8	7	10.6	11	86.4		86.40
9	Weston Solutions, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.2	13	12.4	12.8	7.2	10.4	11	84.0		84.00
10	Stanley Consultants	Y	Y	Y	17.8	13.2	12.6	12.4	6.8	9.8	11	83.6		83.60
11	Binkley & Barfield, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.6	12.8	11.2	14	7	8.2	11	81.8		81.80
12	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0		0.0