| Proposied Colie Amiendment: | Norith Burnet / Gattway Master Pian Pilase Two Zoning |
| :---: | :---: |
| ImPACI'ON REGULATORY BARRIIERS TO HOUSING DEVELOPMENI | $\square$ Incrimasi $\triangle$ DECRİASE $\square$ NOMMPACT |
| LAND USE / ZONING OPPORTUNTITES <br> FOR AFFORDABLEIIOUSING <br> DEVELOPMENT | $\triangle$ Increase $\square$ DECREASE $\square$ NOIMPACT |
| IMPACION COST OF DEVELOPMENT | $\square$ InCRIEASE $\triangle$ DECREASE: $\square$ NOIMPACT |
| IMPACT ON PRODUCTION OF: AFFORDABLIE IIOUSING | $\boxtimes$ Increase $\square$ Decrease: $\square$ Noimpact |
| Proposed Changes Impacting; Housing Alfordability: | Tile Development Bonus in the proposed North Burnet / Gateway Regulating Plan would allow a bonus in exchange: for Constructon of new collector streets. NHCD COUld not IN MOST' CASES SUPPORT' A DENSITY BONUS THAT DOES NOT REQUIRE: in affordable housing coniribution. Howlever, in this casie, NPZD has Communicated that the applocablifty of the COLAECTOR-STREET OPTION WILL BE LIMITED, AND THAT NEW COLDECTOR Streets whl be necessary to allow for the SIGNIHCANTL, Y HIGHER DENSITY RESIDENILAL DEVED, OPMENT anticipated in the North Burnet / Gateway plan. <br> NHCD supports sections 6.2.1 D ("Provision of Amford able Housing", E ("Feei-in-lileu Payments for Affordable Housing; and Community Benderts") and F ("Allocation of City Funds") WhICH ARE CONSISTIENT WITH OTHER DEVELOPMENT BONUS POLICIES FOR DOWNGOWN AND PUD. |
| AITLERNATIVE LINGUAGETO Maximi/a: Aiford able Housing OPPORTUNITIES: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other housing policy Considerations: | N/A |
| Dite Prepared: | $14,2008$ |
| DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE: |  |



EXHIBIT A - North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Zoning Tract Map Case \# C14-2008-0182



| Tract \# <br> (1) | TCAD Property ID (2) | City of Austin Address (3) | Existing Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 461008 | 3700 YORK BLVD | CH-CO-NP |
|  |  | 3708 YORK BLVD |  |
|  |  | 9709 STONELAKE BLVD |  |
|  | 461009 | 9701 STONELAKE BLVD | CH-CO-NP |
|  | 461010 | 9801 STONELAKE BLVD | MF-3-CO-NP |
|  | 461011 | ABS 792 SUR 18 WALLACE J P ACR 3.664 | CS-NP |
|  | 461012 | 10012 1/2 N MOPAC EXPY SB | MF-3-CO-NP |
| 7 | 461001 | 10010 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY SB | CS-NP |
|  | 461002 | 10001 STONELAKE BLVD | CS-NP |
|  | 461003 | 10011 STONELAKE BLVD | MF-4-CO |
|  | 461004 | LOT 3 BLK A MORSE COMMERCIAL SUBD | MF-4-CO |
|  |  | LOT 3 BLK A MORSE COMMERCIAL SUBD |  |
|  | 461005 | ABS 659 SUR 19 ROGERS J ACR . 570 | MF-4-CO |
|  | 461006 | 10020 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY SB | CS-NP |
|  |  | 10024 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY SB |  |
|  | 461007 | 10100 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY SB | CS-NP |
|  | 478047 | 10201 1/2 STONELAKE BLVD 10201 STONELAKE BLVD | CS-NP |
| 8 | 547992 | 3925 W BRAKERLN | P, IP-NP |
| 9 | 255893 | 9515 N MOPAC EXPY NB | CH-NP |
|  | 460900 | ABS 792 SUR 18 WALLACE JP ACR 3.982 | LI-NP |
|  | 723742 | 10515 1/2 N MOPAC EXPY 10515 N MOPAC EXPY NB | CH-NP |
| 10 | 547989 | LOT 1 BLK A BRAKER POINTE SUBD | LI-PDA-NP |
|  | 547990 | 10801 N MOPAC EXPY NB | LI-PDA-NP |
|  |  | 10803 N MOPAC EXPY NB |  |
|  |  | 10921 1/2 N MOPAC EXPY NB |  |
|  | 548250* | 11101 N MOPAC EXPY NB | LI-PDA-NP, LI-PDA-H-NP |
|  | 548251 | 11201 N MOPAC EXPY NB | LI-NP, LI-PDA-NP |
| 11 | 548317 | 11901 N MOPAC EXPY NB | LI-NP |
|  | 548318 | 11921 N MOPAC EXPY NB | LI-NP |
|  |  | 11925 1/2 N MOPAC EXPY NB |  |
|  |  | 11965 1/2 N MOPAC EXPY |  |
|  | 548319 | LOT 2 NORTH LOOP BUSINESS PARK SEC 1-A | LI-NP |
|  | 548320 | 11902 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 548321 | ABS 659 SUR 19 ROGERS J ACR . 556 | LI-NP |
| 12 | 548326 | 2600 1/2 GRACY FARMS LN | MF-3-CO-NP, RR-NP |
|  |  | 2600 GRACY FARMS LN |  |
|  |  | 2606 1/2 GRACY FARMS LN |  |
|  | 548327 | 2700 1/2 GRACY FARMS LN | GR-CO-NP, GR-NP |
|  |  | 2700 GRACY FARMS LN |  |
|  | 548330 | LOT 2 WALNUT CREEK CENTER SEC 1 LOT 3 WALNUT CREEK CENTER SEC 1 | P-NP |



9 $7!$ !! $4 \times \exists$

| Tract \# <br> (1) | TCAD Property ID (2) | City of Austin Address (3) | Existing Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { (cont.) } \end{gathered}$ | 260127 | LOT 1 KRAMER LANE 65 SEC 4 | LI-NP |
|  | 377927 | 2236 1/2 W BRAKER LN | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2236 W BRAKER LN |  |
|  | 548009 | 11604 STONEHOLLOW DR | LI-NP |
|  | 548010 | 11550 STONEHOLLOW DR | LI-NP |
|  | 548012 | 11500 METRIC BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 11530 STONEHOLLOW DR |  |
|  | 548013 | ABS 70 SUR 59 BROOKE J C ABS 29 SUR 58 APPLEGATE J ACR . 51 *TOTAL | P-NP |
|  | 548021 | 11400 1/2 METRIC BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 11406 1/2 METRIC BLVD |  |
|  |  | 11406 METRIC BLVD |  |
|  | 548255 | 2309 GRACY FARMS LN | MI-CO-NP, GR-NP |
|  |  | 2311 1/2 GRACY FARMS LN |  |
|  | 548256 | 11800 1/2 STONEHOLLOW DR | LI-NP |
|  |  | 11800 STONEHOLLOW DR |  |
|  | 548257 | 11700 STONEHOLLOW DR | LI-NP |
| 17 | 547998 | 2526 1/2 KRAMER LN | P-NP |
|  |  | 2526 KRAMER LN |  |
|  | 547999 | 2618 1/2 KRAMER LN | GR-MU-NP |
|  |  | 2618 KRAMER LN |  |
|  | 548000 | $113011 / 2$ BURNET RD | MI-NP, P-NP |
|  |  | 11301 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 548254 | 11501 BURNET RD | MI-NP |
|  |  | 11825 1/2 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 548322 | 11901 1/2 BURNET RD | GO-NP |
|  |  | 11901 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 548323 | 11911 BURNET RD | GO-NP |
|  | 548324 | 11900 HOBBY HORSE CT | MF-3-CO-NP |
|  |  | 11945 1/2 BURNET RD |  |
|  |  | 11947 1/2 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 548325 | 11901 HOBBY HORSE CT | MF-3-CO-NP |
|  | 721537 | 11101 BURNET RD | GR-MU-NP |
| 18 | 547947 | 2540 BROCKTON DR | LI-NP |
|  | 548001 | 2600 BROCKTON DR | LI-NP |
|  | 548002 | 10809 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2608 BROCKTON DR |  |
|  | 548003 | 10809 1/2 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 10811 1/2 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 548004 | 11005 BURNET RD | LI-NP, CS-1-NP |
|  |  | 2639 1/2 KRAMER LN |  |
|  | 548005 | 2631 KRAMER LN | LI-NP |
|  | 548006 | 2508 1/2 BROCKTON DR | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2525 1/2 KRAMER LN |  |
|  |  | 2525 KRAMER LN |  |
|  |  | 2601 KRAMER LN |  |
|  | 548007 | 2518 BROCKTON DR | LI-NP |




| Tract \# <br> (1) | TCAD Property ID (2) | City of Austin Address (3) | Existing Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 362209 | 2101 1/2 W RUNDBERG LN | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2105 W RUNDBERG LN |  |
|  |  | 2107 W RUNDBERG LN |  |
|  | 362210 | LOT 4 BLK C BILTON SUBD | LI-NP |
|  | 362211 | LOT 3 BLK C BILTON SUBD | LI-NP |
|  | 362212 | 9310 1/2 METRIC BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9310 METRIC BLVD |  |
|  | 362213 | 9200 1/2 METRIC BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | $92301 / 2$ METRIC BLVD |  |
|  | 362214 | LOT 2 BLK A BILTON SUBD | LI-NP |
|  | 362215 | LOT 1 BLK A BILTON SUBD | LI-NP |
|  | 426261 | N 566SQ FT OF LOT 2 BILTMORE PLAZA | LI-CO-NP |
|  | 426262 | 8965 1/2 RESEARCH BLVD NB | LI-CO-NP |
|  |  | 8965 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  | 426263 | 8989 RESEARCH BLVD NB | P-NP |
|  | 426293 | 2115 1/2 WRUNDBERG LN | LI-NP |
| 30 | 251481 | 9015 1/2 BURNET RD | LI-NP, CS-1-NP, CS-NP |
|  |  | 9025 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  |  | 9029 1/2 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  |  | 9029 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  |  | 9033 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  |  | 9037 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  |  | 9041 RESEARCH BLVD NB |  |
|  | 251482 | 9101 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 251483 | 9315 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  | 253999 | 9601 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9613 MC NEIL RD |  |
|  | 254002 | 9517 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  | 254003 | 9611 MC NEIL RD | CS-1-CO-NP |
|  | 254004 | 9705 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9715 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 255059 | 2301 1/2 RUTLAND DR | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2315 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  |  | 2401 1/2 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  | 362245 | 9317 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  | 362246 | 9603 SAUNDERS LN | LI-NP |
|  | 362248 | ABS 789 SUR 57 WALLACE JP ACR 1.921 | LI-NP |
|  |  | LOT 1 BLK A S L G INDUSTRIAL SUBD |  |
|  |  | LOT 1 BLK A S L G INDUSTRIAL SUBD |  |
|  | 442952 | LOT 4 BLK A * LESS 8479FT METRIC CENTER WEST SUBD ABS 217 SUR 15 * ACR . 194 DAVIS G W | LI-NP |
|  | 442953 | LOT 2 BLK A METRIC CENTER WEST SUBD | LI-NP |
|  | 442954 | 2311 1/2 W RUNDBERG LN | LI-NP |
|  | 442955 | 2311 W RUNDBERG LN | LI-NP |
|  | 442956 | LOT 1 BLK A METRIC CENTER WEST SUBD ACR .750 * OF BAGLEY TOM B ADDN | LI-NP |
|  | 547840 | 9825 BURNET RD | LI-NP |

9 1 ! $9!4 \times \exists$

|  |  |  | $\underbrace{0}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\sum_{i}^{n} \underset{\substack{n}}{\substack{n \\ \hline}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{n}}{\substack{i \\ \hline}}$ | $\underset{\underset{i}{n}}{\substack{n}}$ | ${\underset{i}{1}}_{\substack{1}}^{2}$ | $\sum_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{0} \sum_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{n}$ | $\sum_{i}^{0}{\underset{i}{1}}_{0}^{n}$ | $\frac{\sum_{\underset{1}{2}}^{0}}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \dot{1} \\ & \dot{\omega} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ${\underset{x}{2}}_{\substack{1}}^{0}$ | $\underset{y}{3}$ | $\underset{\substack{n \\ \hline}}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{{\underset{i}{2}}^{0} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\frac{\sum_{1}^{2}}{j}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\frac{\sum_{i}^{0}}{\lambda}$ | - | $\underset{\substack{n\\}}{\substack{n \\ \hline}}$ | $\frac{\underset{i}{2}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{ \pm}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\overleftarrow{N}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 등 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{O} \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \underset{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ | N |  |  |  | No |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{~}{N}}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { y } \end{aligned}$ | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |



| Tract \# <br> (1) | TCAD Property ID (2) | City of Austin Address (3) | Existing Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 30 \\ \text { (cont.) } \end{array}$ | 547841 | 2503 1/2 RUTLAND DR | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2521 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  | 547842 | 2407 RUTLAND DR | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2411 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  |  | 2413 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  |  | 2425 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  |  | 2427 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  |  | 2433 RUTLAND DR |  |
|  | 704133 | 9313 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9315 1/2 MC NEIL RD |  |
|  |  | 9317 1/2 MC NEIL RD |  |
| 31 | 251484 | $92001 / 2$ MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9231 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 253988 | 9322 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9327 BURNET RD |  |
|  |  | 9401 BURNET RD |  |
|  |  | 9403 BURNET RD |  |
|  |  | 9404 MC NEIL RD |  |
|  | 253989 | 9415 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 253990 | 9421 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9504 MC NEIL RD |  |
|  | 253991 | 9505 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 253992 | 9518 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 253993 | 9523 BURNET RD | CS-1-NP |
|  | 253994 | 9525 BURNET RD | LI-NP |
|  | 253995 | LOT 1A *LESS E 5 FT RESUB OF LT 1 ROBERTS MRS O M ESTATE | LI-NP |
|  | 253996 | 9314 1/2 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 9323 BURNET RD |  |
|  | 253997 | 9325 BURNET RD | CS-CO-NP |
|  | 253998 | 9514 MC NEIL RD | LI-NP |
| 32 | 254006 | 2600 LONGHORN BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2632 LONGHORN BLVD |  |
|  |  | 2808 LONGHORN BLVD |  |
|  | 254007 | 2800 LONGHORN BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 2804 LONGHORN BLVD |  |
|  | 254008 | 3006 LONGHORN BLVD | LI-NP |
|  | 255875 | 3100 LONGHORN BLVD | LI-NP |
|  |  | 3106 LONGHORN BLVD |  |
|  | 255876 | 3206 LONGHORN BLVD | LI-NP |
| 33 | 254019 | 9228 WATERFORD CENTRE BLVD | LI-NP, LI-CO-NP |
|  |  | 9304 BURNET RD |  |
|  |  | 9500 BURNET RD 9600 BURNET RD |  |

a $4!9!4 \times 3$

(1) The tract number refers to the numbered tracts on the North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Zoning
Tract Map.
(2) Each TCAD Property ID number represents a separate property, as recorded by the Travis Central Appraisal
(3) The City of Austin addresses listed for each property are those addresses on file with the city pertaining to
that property. If a City of Austin address was not available for a property, the TCAD address and/or legal lot description was used.

9 ㅍ! $94 \times 3$



## CURRENTZONING

NORTH BURNET/GATEWAY NEIG HBO RHOOD PLANNING AREA



September 1, 2008
Molly Scarbrough and
Austin City Council Members
RE: North Burnett / Gateway
File \# c14-2008-0182
Dear Sirs:
As president of the Walnut Crossing Neighborhood Association, I write in regards to the upcoming discussions about the North Burnet/Gateway zoning and development. I wish to propose that the city council investigate and implement measures and zoning restrictions that discourage the de-Austinization of the outer areas of the city.

When visitors and residents alike refer to "Austin", the image and the place that comes to mind is an area of a few square miles in the city center where one can find music venues, restaurants, eclectic shops, pedestrian scaled development, etc. However, increasingly more and more residents of Austin live outside this vibrant, interesting core and in neighborhoods that can be generally characterized as "sprawl."

The area of Austin where I live, in the north mopac corridor, is virtually indistinguishable from suburbs in Houston, Dallas, Los Angeles, or anywhere else in the country. We have Home Depots, Bed Bath and Beyonds, Borders, Toys R Us, McDonalds, etc. all in giant strip malls that face freeways. Someone visiting our part of Austin would never guess that this city had ANY character whatsoever.

Recently, we have been witness to the spectacle of The Domain development occurring in our backyards. A casual look at the merchants reveals virtually nothing that is based in Austin. Not the clothing shops, not the restaurants, not the bookstore. Nada. All national chains. Do we not have local booksellers, local restauranteurs, local merchants? Of course we do, by the score. But the nature of these mega-developments is such that the developers are more comfortable and have pre-existing relationships with national chains that have leased with them in Costa Mesa, and Tucson, and Chicago, and they can easily just reproduce what they built in some other city with little effort and little risk.

What, however, becomes of our city? It becomes a pale imitation of other cities, a Xerox of other suburbs, a place where nobody has any stake or interest. People like me have to drive downtown to even remember where we live. If Guero's were to suddenly close, or if ToyJoy were shuttered, or VulcanVideo, people would feel like they had lost a relative, but who would possibly weep for the loss of a Lowes supercenter or the corporate dining experience of a Kona Grill ? Nobody, of course, because we have no connection to it at all, it's a faceless corporate clone of a hundred others just like it.

Granted that there is a certain need for some national chains. Its great that we have a Macys and an Apple Store at the Domain, but a balance must exist. An opportunity for local business to exist side-by-side with the Walgreens and Starbucks. If market forces alone will not accomplish that, and they cannot since a Starbucks can afford to sign a multiyear lease while a new Spiderhouse would not have that sort of deep pocket, then citywide planning policies must step in to help create the city that we all claim to want.

Toward that end, I would like to propose that the council implement Local Retail SetAsides and Formula Business Restrictions to encourage the establishing of locally owned and operated retail within the sprawl part of the city before it is too late. The North Burnet/Gateway area would be a great place to start, but it should be citywide.

Local Retail Set-Asides would mandate at least $20 \%$ of either floorspace or marquee space of any development beyond a certain threshold be locally owned, non-franchise businesses. This sort of contract between developers and cities has been used with success in several municipalities, especially when developers require other kinds of waivers or zoning changes. The subsidies given to the Domain would have caused less ruckus if perhaps there were retailers involved that the local community was vested in. Perhaps a Bookpeople branch instead of another Borders. An Austin Java instead of another Starbucks.

Formula Business Restrictions would further limit the encroachment of franchise businesses at the expense of local versions. Variations of these restrictions, which focus on the design, size, trademarks, etc. rather than the ownership of business are generally more sweeping and general than set-asides. They have been used in communities from California to Maine to even Fredricksburg just up the road. In most cases, these restrictions are meant to curb chain restaurants, but there is no reason that they cannot be used to enforce more general uses. Fredricksburg, in an attempt to maintain the kitschy historic quality of its downtown, requires any chain restaurant to apply for a conditional use permit. In Austin, there are already so many chains that it would be potentially possible to require all new Formula retailers to comply with certain restrictions or abide by set-aside regulations negotiated in development contracts.

Formula restaurant restrictions have withstood court challenges, and so have Local Retail Set-Aside agreements, so they are not pie in the sky options, but real tools available.

Slowly but certainly, all of the available retail space in the greater Austin sprawl is being consumed by franchises and chains. All the small local businesses are being redeveloped out of existence and what we are witness to is the creation of future blight and a complete loss of the dynamic quality that this city has been able to offer and foster in the past. Whenever possible, I prefer to drive a half hour to Vulcan Video or I Luv Video rather than dump my money at the various Blockbusters that litter our area, but it shouldn't have to be that choice, and we should encourage the creation of a place we want to live in, not just accept what comes as the inevitable outcome of market forces.

Please lets work towards that end and consider studying some of the options we have. Austin does not stop at Anderson Lane, and Austin businesses should not either. Thank you for your time and consideration. I hope we can pursue this further in the near future.

Sincerely,
Javier Bonafont
President, WXNA

Among the interesting websites with more information and background on all these issues and solutions is an excellent site called www.newrules.org, under the tab marked "retail".

## Scarbrough, Molly

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

## Follow Up Flag: <br> Flag Status:

michael tierce [tierky@yahoo.com]
Monday, September 01, 2008 8:31 PM
Scarbrough, Molly
zoning changes
Follow up
Completed

I am Currently enrolled at St. Edwards. univ. and am interested in the changes the city wishes to make. I only want to voice that there needs to be more green building. I live off of metric and travel down Burnett often and am distracted by the awful site of eerie and gloomy dark brown power lines. With austin being a leading city in many areas of research and development why do we not have more solar powered buildings as well wind towers. Austin is a windy city and with all the building and expansion occurring we must look toward the future, as well I am pushing to see the austin metro-rail being able to run sooner than later. I am displeased with past leadership choices in the department of transportation, austin is a growing and soon to be megalopolis with residents living further out and traveling into the city with only one main interstate, loop 1 and supersluggish highway 183. Better transportation should have all ready been up and running, all three roads are in-drivable for most hours of the day as $I$ travel all three and have for years. I love this city and know we could set a high standard for green cities. I will be at the meeting to voice these concerns with hope that you do not wish to flood our area with more businesses and traffic.
concerned resident, michael tierce
*Please Note: If the Planning Commission does not take action on the proposed NBG zoning changes at the September 9th hearing, the City Council hearing will likely be postponed. If postponed, check the minutes from the October 16th City Council hearing for the new hearing date.

## Contact Information

For questions regaining the proposed NBG zoning changes, please call or email:
Molly Scarborough, Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, at (512) 974-3515 or molly.scarbrough@ci,nustin.tx.us

Si Jd aecesith Informacion en Espafiol, favor de llamar a Sonya Lopez al (512) 974-7694.
Office houri gre 8:00 to $5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Monday through Friday. Please be sure to refer to the File Number on the first page when you call. See enclosed sheets for more information.

## PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File \# C14-2008-0182
Planning Commission Hearing Date: Sept. 9, 2008
You may also send your written comments to the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, coo Molly Scarbrough, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

Name (please print) WICHAEL J. DRISCOLL I am in favor
Address 10301 BURNET ROAD
(Esloy de acuerdo)
I object
(No estoy de acuerdo)
 WOULD FURTHER LIMIT FUTURE USES OF MY PROPERTY.

## INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement the land use and zoning recommendations of the North Burnet/Gateway neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners and utility customers within 500 feet of a proposed zoning change and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for a zoning change has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendations) and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an applicant's hearing to a later date. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.
*Please Note: If the Planning Commission does not take action on the proposed NBG zoning changes at the September 9th hearing, the City Council hearing will likely be postponed. If postponed, check the minutes from the October 16th City Council hearing for the new hearing date.

## Contact Information

For questions regarding the proposed NBG zoning changes, please call or email:
Molly Scarborough, Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, at (512) 974-3515 or molly.scarbrough@ci.austin.tx.us

Si Ud necesita información en Español, favor de lamar a Sonya Lopez al (512) 974-7694.
Office hours are 8:00 to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Please be sure to refer to the File Number on the first page when you call. See enclosed sheets for more information.

## PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File \# C14-2008-0182
Planning Commission Hearing Date: Sept. 9, 2008
You may also send your written comments to the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, c/o Molly Scarbrough, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

Name (please print) CHRLS HILL
Address $\qquad$ lowethorn Bur \# 105 $\begin{array}{ll}\square & \text { I am in favor } \\ \text { (Estoy de acuerdo) } \\ \text { I object } \\ \text { (No estoy de acuerdo) }\end{array}$
Comments:

$\qquad$
sustain a move. Please cousiose NoT RE-ZONINL!

## INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement the land use and zoning recommendations of the North Burnet/Gateway neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners and utility customers within 500 feet of a proposed zoning change and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for a zoning change has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendations) and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an applicant's hearing to a later date. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.
*Please Note: If the Planning Commission does not take action on the proposed NBG zoning changes at the September 9th hearing, the City Council hearing will likely be postponed. If postponed, check the minutes from the October 16th City Council hearing for the new hearing date.

## Contact Information

For questions regarding the proposed NBG zoning changes, please call or email:
Molly Scarborough, Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, at (512) 974-3515 or molly.scarbrough@ci.austin.tx.us

Si Ud necesita información en Español, favor de llamar a Sonya Lopez al (512) 974-7694.
Office hours are 8:00 to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Please be sure to refer to the File Number on the first page when you call. See enclosed sheets for more information.

## PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File \# C14-2008-0182
Planning Commission Hearing Date: Sept. 9, 2008
You may also send your written comments to the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, coo Molly Scarbrough, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.

Name (please print) Steve Sateahak Secketang tsharke II am in favor
Address

(Estoy de acuerdo)
II object
(No estoy de acuerdo)

## Comments:



## INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement the land use and zoning recommendations of the North Burnet/Gateway neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners and utility customers within 500 feet of a proposed zoning change and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for a zoning change has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendations) and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an applicant's hearing to a later date. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.
*Please Note: If the Planning Commission does not take action on the proposed NBG zoning changes at the September 9 th hearing, the City Council hearing will likely be postponed. If postponed, check the minutes from the October 16 th City Council hearing for the new hearing date.

## Contact Information

For questions regarding the proposed NBG zoning changes, please call or email:

> Molly Scarbrough, Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, at (512) 974-3515 or molly.scarbrough@ci.austin.tx.us

Si Ud necesita información en Español, favor de lamar a Sonya Lopez al (512) 974-7694.
Office hours are 8:00 to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Please be sure to refer to the File Number on the first page when you call. See enclosed sheets for more information.

## PLANING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File \# C14-2008-0182

## Planning Commission Hearing Date: Sept. 9, 2008

You may also send your written comments to the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, c/o Molly Scarbrough, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835.


Name (please print) Lind a Revere
Address 2600 GRACY FARMS LN \#423


Comments: lived here for 15 yrs in these apartments. Ido mot want higher taxes that will raise my rent, Also if you close streets for pedestrians, I will have less easy access, already, traffic is increar. on Burnet Read because of the Domain development, which draws peeper with higher income to owe area and pins quality to lite with suse

 land use and zoning recommendations of the North Burnet/Gateway neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners and utility customers within 500 feet of a proposed zoning change and affected neighborhood organizations be notified that an application for a zoning change has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendations) and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an applicant's hearing to a later date. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

## Scarbrough, Molly

From: Heather Way [hway@austin.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 2:23 PM
To: Scarbrough, Molly
Cc: Shaw, Margaret; Lopez, Sonya; 'Karen Paup'; ffernandez@austinhomeless.org; 'Catharine Echols'; 'Walter Moreau'; gndc@sbcglobal.net; rubyroa@att.net; 'Christine Buendel'
Subject: comments on North Burnet/Gateway zoning changes
Hi Molly: I have been meaning to send you comments on the proposed zoning changes for North Burnet/Gateway. I very excited to see the incorporation of affordable housing into the planning documents and especially the whole jobs/housing analysis that was done. The whole planning document is very impressive. That's the first time I have seen such an extensive analysis in city planning documents of the jobs/market housing mismatch. While overall the affordable housing components are on the right track, there are several concerns that housing advocates have with these changes. I mentioned these concerns to Sonya after the neighborhood presentation earlier this summer. A group of housing advocates will be asking the planning commission and council for changes to address these concerns. Thanks for considering our feedback:

1. The $\$ 6 /$ square foot fee in lieu. a. The fee in lieu should not be automatic but should be granted only in exceptional cases. b. Any fee in lieu should be approved on a development by development basis by council. c. Any fee in lieu needs to be at least $\$ 10 /$ square foot or else developers will have no incentive to do the housing onsite. d. Any money raised from a fee in lieu should be spent on affordable housing within the transit area, or at least prioritized for housing within the transit area (it could go into a citywide fund if, after 18 months, no eligible development in the transit area has applied for the funding)
2. For properties that are less than 5 acres, the city should not allow the owners to opt out of the affordable housing in exchange for the collector streets but should instead develop alternative sources of funding for the streets such as a PID.
3. City-owned land. Within the two large city-owned tracts in the TOD, land should be dedicated for affordable housing developments, such as tax credit or section 202 projects. In addition, the city should require a higher percentage of affordable housing on any other residential development produced on these parcels.
4. The city needs to develop a more detailed affordable housing implementation strategy for meeting the jobs/market housing mismatch.
5. Explore partnerships with UT to develop part of the Pickle tract as affordable housing for UT students, faculty, and staff with access to the commuter rail stop.
6. If a TIF is adopted, city policy should require at least $30 \%$ of the TIF funding to be allocated towards affordable housing (same as Houston's policy).
7. The plan should incorporate family-friendly amenities and standards as recommended by the families with children task force. These include playscapes, family-friendly public spaces, family-sized units and design features. These are laid out in more detail in the families with children task force.

Best Regards,
Heather Way
632-1695
-----Original Message-----
From: Scarbrough, Molly [mailto:Molly.Scarbrough@ci.austin.tx.us]
Sent: Tuesday, September 02, 2008 11:35 AM
To: Scarbrough, Molly
Subject: 9/9/08 and 10/16/08 Public Hearings for proposed North Burnet/Gateway zoning changes
Property owners and other stakeholders in the North Burnet/Gateway area -

| Subj: | C14-2008-0182 (North Burnet/ Gateway zoning) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 9/4/2008 5:13:40 PM Central Daylight Time |
| From: | Fredericmorse |
| To: | sully.jumpnet@sbcglobal.net, tracy.atkins@gmail.com, pcavazos_planning@yahoo.com, AMDealey, <br>  <br>  <br> cris@brandocular.com, paulahui16@yahoo.com, saundra_kirk@sbcglobal.net, jay_reddy@dell.com, |
| CC: | Slint_small@hotmail.com |

On September 9th the Planning Commission will consider zoning changes in the North Burnet/ Gateway planning area. In general, the effect of the proposed changes will be to increase permissible densities. However, due to an unfortunate circumstance, the Morse family faces the prospect of having the land we own in the district permanently being assigned less density. We would like for the North Burnet/ Gateway zoning to reflect the zoning our property had for approximately 20 years prior to 2008.

The land in question is five acres (net of detention) which the family has owned for a half century. It is the largest undeveloped tract of land west of MoPac in the North Burnet/ Gateway planning area (excluding UTs ownership). It fronts on Stonelake Blvd. and abuts UT in the rear. In terms of the Planning Commission file, the Morse land is located in Tract \#7. Its property numbers are 461004/ 461005/ 461006.

The land was originally zoned in the 1980's in a process very similar to what the City of Austin is currently doing with North Burnet/ Gateway. The City conducted the "Golden Triangle" study of the area which is now that portion of the North Burnet/Gateway planning district located west of MoPac. The study was conducted for the purpose of zoning the area. The Morse property was zoned CS. A restrictive covenant set its floor-to-area ratio at . 9 .

Last year, the Morse family contracted to sell the five acres to Crescent Resources, a national real estate development company. Crescent was authorized to rezone and resubdivide the property to accomodate its multifamily project. Crescent was concerned that the City would require first floor retail (which was not the case). They also changed the engineeering firms handling the zoning application from Bury and Partners to Longaro and Clarke. In the confusion, Crescent ended up with MF-4 zoning and a .75 floor-to-area ratio. Subsequently, Crescent was unable to obtain financing for its project and they did not purchase the Morse land, but the zoning which they requested never-the-less went into effect.

In similar situations, the City has been willing to let the landowner get his old zoning back, but we have had the misfortune to have our little disaster occur contemporaneously with the North Burnet/ Gateway event. Molly Scarbrough has expressed sympathy, but City staff has been unwilling to restore our .9 floor-to-area ratio in their zoning plan because of concern that it would set some sort of precedent. What we are talking about, however, is a piece of land in the North Burnet/ Gateway planning district being down zoned in 2008. This is a one-of-a-kind occurance. The whole idea of the North Burnet/ Gateway rezoning is to allow for greater densities.

The Morse family would respectfully request that the Planning Commission restore the .9 floor-to-area ratio for our land to make it consistent with the other floor-to-area ratios in Tract \#7 and rectify what we consider to be an in equity.

I would welcome the opportunity to meet with you and answer any questions which you might have. My phone number is 454-6450. My adddress is 4726 Twin Valley Drive. Austin, Texas 78731.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.
Fred Morse
**************
It's only a deal if it's where you want to go. Find your travel deal here. (http://information.travel.aol.com/deals?ncid=aoltrv00050000000047)


