

**Office of Contract and Land Management
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

COMPOSITE

Received: May 1, 2008

Project: Civil Engineering Services Rotation List 2008 - 2011

EVALUATION MATRIX

Project Manager: Steve Nelson

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] TOTAL POINTS
1	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20.8	14	13.8	13.8	7.6	11.2	11	92.20
2	URS Corporation	Y	Y	Y	21.4	14.2	13.6	13.2	7.6	11.2	10.8	92.00
3	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	22	13.4	13.2	13	7.2	10.8	11	90.60
4	Halff Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	21.6	13.4	13.6	13	7	10.8	11	90.40
5	PBS&J	Y	Y	Y	20.8	13.8	12.8	13.8	7	10.8	11	90.00
6	Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.2	13.6	13.4	13.8	7.6	11.2	11	89.80
7	Lockwood, Andrews & Newman, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	20.8	13.6	13.8	12.2	7.2	10.8	10.8	89.20
8	Parsons Water & Infrastructure, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.6	13.4	13.6	12.8	7.8	10.8	11	89.00
9	K Friese & Associates, Inc. (WBE/FW)	Y	Y	Y	20	12.6	12.6	13.6	7.4	11	11	88.20
10	MWM DesignGroup (MBE/FH)	Y	Y	Y	20.2	13.4	13.4	13.4	7	10.6	10	88.00
11	Raymond Chan & Associates, Inc. (MBE/MA)	Y	Y	Y	20.2	13	13.6	13.2	6.8	10.2	11	88.00

**Office of Contract and Land Management
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] TOTAL POINTS
12	Espey Consultants, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.2	13	13.2	13	6.6	10.6	11	86.60
13	Urban Design Group (WBE/FW)	Y	Y	Y	19.6	12.8	12.4	12.6	7	10.6	11	86.00
14	HNTB Corporation	Y	Y	Y	20	13.4	12.8	12	6.6	9.8	11	85.60
15	DAVCAR Engineering Services (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	18.6	12.4	13.2	13.2	6.8	10.2	11	85.40
16	Klotz Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	12.6	12.8	12.8	7	10.2	11	85.40
17	Kurkjian Engineering Corporation (MBE/FH)	Y	Y	Y	18.6	12.8	12.6	13	7	10.4	11	85.40
18	Othon, Inc. Consulting Engineers	Y	Y	Y	18.8	12.8	12.6	12.8	6.8	10.4	11	85.20
19	Earth Tech, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.6	13.2	13	13	7	9.8	11	84.60
20	Baker-Aicklen Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18.4	12.6	12.8	12.8	6.6	10	11	84.20
21	HVJ Associates, Inc. (MBE/MB)	Y	Y	Y	19	12	12.6	12.8	6.6	10	11	84.00
22	Weston Solutions, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	16.4	12.4	13.4	12.8	7	10.4	11	83.40
23	Alan Plummer Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.2	12.4	10.8	12.6	6.4	10.6	11	83.00
24	Encotech Engineering Consultants, Inc. (MBE/MA)	Y	Y	Y	18	12.6	11.4	13.6	6.6	9.8	11	83.00
25	Brown & Caldwell	Y	Y	Y	17.2	12.4	12.6	12.8	6.6	10	11	82.60

**Office of Contract and Land Management
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] TOTAL POINTS
26	Naismith Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.8	12.4	12	13	5.8	10.2	11	82.20
27	CAS Consulting & Services, Inc. (MBE/MA)	Y	Y	Y	17.6	12.2	12.2	12.8	6.8	9.6	11	82.20
28	Hejl, Lee & Associates, Inc. (MBE/MA)	Y	Y	Y	18.2	12	11.8	12.4	6.8	9.6	11	81.80
29	LVN Engineering (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	17.2	12.6	12	12.8	6.4	9.8	11	81.80
30	Malone/Wheeler, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18.4	12	12.4	12.2	6	9.4	11	81.40
31	Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17	11.8	12	13.4	6.4	9.6	11	81.20
32	Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19.6	11.8	10.8	12	6	9.8	11	81.00
33	LJA Engineering & Surveying, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	19	11.8	11.8	12.4	6	9	11	81.00
34	Arizpe Group, Inc. (The)	Y	Y	Y	17.6	11.6	11.2	12	6.8	10	11	80.20
35	Doucet & Associates, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	18.4	11.6	12	12	6.2	8.8	11	80.00
36	Axiom Engineers, Inc. (WBE/FW)	Y	Y	Y	17.2	11.6	11.2	12.6	6.2	10	11	79.80
37	I T Gonzales Engineers, Inc. (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	16.6	12	11.8	12.6	6	9.2	11	79.20
38	Chiang, Patel & Yerby, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	16.8	12.2	11.6	12.8	5	9.4	11	78.80
39	C Faulkner Engineering, LP	Y	Y	Y	17.2	11.8	11.4	11.6	5.8	9.6	11	78.40

**Office of Contract and Land Management
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] TOTAL POINTS
40	Vickrey & Associates, Inc. (WBE/FW)	Y	Y	Y	15.6	12.2	11.6	12.4	6	9.6	11	78.40
41	Jones & Carter, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.8	11.4	11.4	13	5.8	9.6	11	78.00
42	Thonhoff Consulting Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	16.2	11.2	11.6	12.2	6.2	9.4	11	77.80
43	Binkley & Barfield, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17	11.2	11.8	11.8	6	9	11	77.80
44	Pape - Dawson Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	16	11.8	11.4	11.6	5.8	9.8	11	77.40
45	Huitt-Zollars, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.4	11	11.4	11.6	5.8	9.2	11	77.40
46	Associated Consulting Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	17.4	11.4	11.2	11	5.8	9.2	11	77.00
47	RGT Engineering, Inc. (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	15.4	11.6	10.6	12.8	6.2	9.2	11	76.80
48	S&B Infrastructure, LTD	Y	Y	Y	16.4	11.4	11.6	11.6	5.8	9	11	76.80
49	KSA Engineers	Y	Y	Y	16.2	11.4	10.8	12	5.8	9.2	11	76.40
50	BWR (Bucher, Willis & Ratliff Corporation)	Y	Y	Y	16	11	10.8	12.2	5.6	9.4	11	76.00
51	Charles D. Gooden Consulting Engineers, Inc. (MBE/MB)	Y	Y	Y	16	11.8	11.4	11.6	5.4	8.6	11	75.80
52	Cedillos & Wilson, LLC (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	16.2	11.4	11	11.8	5.6	8.4	11	75.40
53	MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.6	11.2	11.2	11.8	5.2	8.6	11	74.60

**Office of Contract and Land Management
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

	Firm (or Joint Venture)	Y = Yes N = No Affidavit	Item 1 Y = Yes N = No M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Item 2 Y = Yes N = No Prime Firm's EEO Program	Item 3 [24] Experience of Project Manager (16) Principal (8)	Item 4 [15] Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Item 5 [15 w/subs] [30 w/o subs] Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Item 6 [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs] Sub Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Item 7 [8] Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Item 8 [12] Team's Structure and Project Approach	Item 9 [11] COA Experience with Prime	[100] TOTAL POINTS
54	Rhed Oaks Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.2	11.4	10.6	11.8	5.6	9	11	74.60
55	Huggins/Seiler & Associates, LP	Y	Y	Y	16.6	10.8	10.4	11	5.4	8.8	11	74.00
56	Ratnala & Bahl, Inc.	Y	Y	Y	15.8	10.8	11.2	12	5.4	9.4	8	72.60
57	Aguirre & Fields, LP (MBE/MH)	Y	Y	Y	16.4	11	11.4	10.6	4	7	11	71.40
58	Rogers Design Services	Y	Y	Y	14.8	10	8.6	10.2	5	6.8	11	66.40
59	Claunch & Miller, Inc.	Ineligible			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
60	Carter & Burgess, Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
61	Dannenbaum Engineering Company - Austin LLC	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
62	King Engineering Associates, Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
63	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
64	PB Americas, Inc. dba Parsons Brinckerhoff Americas Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
65	Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc.	Non-Responsive			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
66	Unintech Consulting Engineers, Inc. (MBE/FA)	Non-Compliant			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00