SECOND / THIRD READINGS SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2008-0116 – Radam Lane

REQUEST:
Approve second / third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 608 and 610 Radam Lane (West Bouldin Creek; Williamson Creek Watersheds) from family residence (SF-3) district zoning to general office – conditional overlay (GO-CO) combining district zoning. The Conditional Overlay establishes that development of the property shall adhere to the City’s Design Standards, as it applies to Urban Roadways, and that if a building exceeds 50 feet in height, then each building must be designed and constructed to accommodate retail and commercial uses, along with design features for ground floor spaces.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:
At the time of First Reading, the Applicant’s representative and Southwood Neighborhood Association presented Staff with a signed agreement with four conditions. The first condition addresses that the Applicant will participate and cooperate with the Neighborhood’s objective to have a pedestrian path on non-adjointing property. This condition is best achieved through a private agreement. The second condition states that there will be no requests for parking variances, and because this condition does not further restrict requirements of the Land Development Code, it is best suited to remain in the form of a private agreement. The remaining two conditions regarding design standards and height have been incorporated into the ordinance as a Conditional Overlay.

Since First Reading, the Applicant and Neighborhood have reached agreement that the Commercial Design Standards for Suburban Roadways should apply to the Property. Please refer to attached correspondence. This would require a change to the ordinance approved on First Reading to remove Part 2. A. which references Urban Roadways. As Radam Lane is already designated as a Suburban Roadway, removing Part 2. A. would subject the Property to this requirement, and would be consistent with the agreement between the Applicant and Neighborhood.

OWNERS: Susan Bushong and Tim O’Leary.
AGENT: Jim Bennett Consulting (Jim Bennett).

DATE OF FIRST READING: September 25, 2008, approved GO district zoning with conditions, on First Reading (7-0).

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: November 6, 2008

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

ASSIGNED STAFF: Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@ci.austin.tx.us
ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2008-0116 – Radam Lane

Z.A.P. DATE: July 15, 2008
August 5, 2008

ADDRESS: 608 and 610 Radam Lane

OWNERS: Susan Bushong and Tim O’Leary

AGENT: Jim Bennett Consulting
(Jim Bennett)

ZONING FROM: SF-3

TO: GO

AREA: 0.913 acres
(39,770 square feet)

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant general office (GO) district zoning.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

July 15, 2008: APPROVED A POSTPONEMENT REQUEST BY THE NEIGHBORHOOD TO 8-5-08.

[K. JACKSON; T. RABAGO – 2ND] (7-0)

August 5, 2008: APPROVED GO DISTRICT ZONING.

[K. JACKSON; R. EVANS – 2ND] (6-0)

ISSUES:

The Applicants and their representatives met with the Southwood Neighborhood Association to discuss the proposed rezoning.

At the time of First Reading, the Applicant’s representative and Southwood Neighborhood Association presented Staff with a signed agreement with four conditions. The first condition addresses that the Applicant will participate and cooperate with the Neighborhood’s objective to have a pedestrian path on non-adjacent property. This condition is best achieved through a private agreement. The second condition states that there will be no requests for parking variances, and because this condition does not further restrict requirements of the Land Development Code, it is best suited to remain in the form of a private agreement. The remaining two conditions regarding design standards and height have been incorporated into the ordinance as a Conditional Overlay.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject rezoning area contains one platted lot and a portion of another platted lot, is developed with one single family residence on (zoned SF-3), and has access to Radam Lane. This rezoning area is situated between medical offices and a driveway to a medical office complex to the west (LO-V; GR-V), a shopping center oriented towards Ben White
Boulevard to the north (GR-V) and a fire station to the east (CS). There are a few single family residences and undeveloped lots to the south (LR-CO, SF-3). Please refer to Exhibit A (Zoning Map), A-2 (Aerial) and B (Recorded Plats).

The property is located within the South Austin Hospital Area Zoning Study prepared in 1982 which recognized the Hospital construction and ancillary development, and the major impact it was having on the surrounding area. As shown in Exhibit C, properties along Radam Lane were recommended for limited office district zoning in order to avoid piecemeal zoning and minimize the impact on the surrounding neighborhoods.

The Applicant would like to develop the property with medical offices and has requested the general office (GO) district. Staff recommends the GO district zoning as proposed by the Applicant based on the recommendations of the Area Study and the addition of vertical mixed use building (V) district zoning on the adjacent properties to the west and north which allows more flexible development standards.

**EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>GR-V; CS-1-V; CS-V</td>
<td>Medical offices; Shopping center; Service station with food sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>LR-CO; SF-3</td>
<td>Single family residences; Undeveloped; Uniform and embroidery company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>City of Austin fire station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>GR-V; LO-V</td>
<td>Driveway to the medical offices to the north; Medical offices; Commercial shopping center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AREA STUDY:** South Austin Hospital Area Zoning Study (1982)  
**TIA:** Is not required

**WATERSHEDS:** West Bouldin Creek; Williamson Creek

**CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR:** No

**SCENIC ROADWAY:** No

**NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:**

26 – Far South Austin Community Association  
300 – Terrell Lane Interceptor Association  
428 – Barton Springs / Edwards Aquifer Conservation District  
511 – Austin Neighborhoods Council  
627 – Onion Creek Homeowners Association  
742 – Austin Independent School District  
786 – Home Builders Association of Greater Austin  
950 – Southwood Neighborhood Association  
1037 – Homeless Neighborhood Association  
1108 – Perry Grid 644  
1113 – Austin Parks Foundation
SCHOOLS:

St. Elmo Elementary School  Bedichek Middle School  Travis High School

CASE HISTORIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-2007-0216 – South Manchaca</td>
<td>To add V zoning to 20 tracts within the South Manchaca NPA, as requested by the Neighborhood’s application</td>
<td>To Grant V zoning to 19 tracts, as the Neighborhood recommended</td>
<td>Approved as Commission recommended (12-13-07).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPAV Vertical Mixed Use Building (V) Zoning Opt-In/Opt-Out Process – Bounded by Ben White Boulevard on the north; South 1st Street on the east; West Stassney Lane on the south; and Manchaca Road on the west</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-04-0062 – Project Sharky – 615 Radam Lane</td>
<td>SF-3 to LR-CO</td>
<td>To Grant LR-CO with CO for prohibiting drive-in services, and a list of conditional and prohibited uses</td>
<td>Approved LR-CO as Commission recommended (8-26-04).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATED CASES:

The property contains a 0.458 acre portion of Lot 1 of the Resubdivision of Part of Lot 8, Block D, James E. Bouldin Estate, recorded in September 1961 (C8s-61-116) and Lot 1 less the south 15 feet of Andrew Johnson’s One-Half Acre, a subdivision recorded in January 1965 (C8s-64-004). There are no site plan applications approved or in process on the subject property.

ABUTTING STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Sidewalk</th>
<th>Bus Route</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radam Lane</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>40 feet</td>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY COUNCIL DATE: September 25, 2008

ACTION: Approved GO district zoning with the following conditions read into the record: The conditions were: the owner of the property or their representative will participate and cooperate with the Southwood
ABUTTING STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Sidewalk</th>
<th>Bus Route</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radam Lane</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>40 feet</td>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY COUNCIL DATE: September 25, 2008

**ACTION:** Approved GO district zoning with the following conditions read into the record: The conditions were: the owner of the property or their representative will participate and cooperate with the Southwood Neighborhood Association on the Southwood Neighborhood Association's objective to have a pedestrian path on the property to the west of the above tract that connects 629 West Ben White (City Market) and Radam Lane; there will be no requests for parking variances; parking will be reduced only per current available code guidelines; the site will comply with Design Standards, Subchapter E, as though it were an Urban Street; and if a building on the site exceeds 50 feet in height, the side facing Radam Lane must be an Active Edge as defined in the Saltillo Station Area Plan, on First Reading (7-0).

October 23, 2008 Approved a Postponement by the Applicant to November 6, 2008 (7-0).

November 6, 2008

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st September 25, 2008 2nd 3rd

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@ci.austin.tx.us

PHONE: 974-7719
THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KnOw ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That I, Virginia Reed, joined by my husband pro forma, owner of the hereon designated tract of land out of Lot 8, Block D, Jas. E. Bouldin Estate according to the map recorded in Book "U", Page 75 of the District Court Minutes of Travis County, Texas, being the same land conveyed to me by deed recorded in Volume 2259, Page 178 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, do hereby adopt this plat as my subdivision subject to any easements or restrictions heretofore granted, said subdivision to be known as RESUBDIVISION OF PART OF LOT 8, BLOCK D, JAMES E. BOULDIN ESTATE, and do hereby dedicate to the public all streets shown hereon, WITNESS OUR HANDS this the 31st day of September, A.D. 1961.

Virginia Reed
Clay Reed

APPROVED FOR ACCEPTANCE ON the 15th day of September, A.D. 1961

By

Boyle W. Osborn, Director of Planning

FILED FOR RECORD on the 15th day of September A.D. 1961 at 3:00 o'clock P.M.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

Miss Emilie Limberg, clerk of the county court within and for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing instrument with its certificate of authentication was filed for record in my office on the 15th day of September A.D. 1961 at 3:00 o'clock P.M. and duly recorded on the 15th day of September A.D. 1961 at 3:00 o'clock P.M. in the Plat Records of said County in Book 13, Page 93.

Miss Emilie Limberg, Clerk, Travis County, Texas

By

Elize Pruitt

Deputy
THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS
Know All Men by These Presents:

That we, Andrew Johnson and wife, Grace Johnson, owners of a half acre of land out of Lot 6, Block D, James E. Beulig Estate, according to our deed of record in Vol. 2667, Page 229 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, do hereby adopt the plat attached hereto as our subdivision of said property.

WITNESS OUR HANDS this the 19 day of Jan. A. D. 1964.

Andrew Johnson
Grace Johnson

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Andrew Johnson and wife, Grace Johnson, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that they each executed the same for the purposes and considerations therein expressed, and she the said Grace Johnson, wife of the said Andrew Johnson, having been examined by me privy and apart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her, she, the said Grace Johnson, acknowledged such instrument to be her act and deed, and declared to me that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and considerations therein expressed and that she did not wish to retract it.


[Signature of Notary Public]
Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

I, Miss Emilie Limberg, Clerk of the County Court within and for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing instrument of writing with certificate of authentication was filed for record in my office on the 19 day of Jan. A. D. 1964, at 4 o'clock P.M. and duly recorded on the 20 day of Jan. A. D. 1964 at 4 o'clock P.M. in the Plat Records of Travis County in Book 1, at Page 14.

WITNESS MY HAND AND THE SEAL OF THE COURT OF SAID COUNTY, this the date last written above.
Miss Emilie Limberg, Clerk County Court, Travis County, Texas.

[Signature of Clerk]

Surveyed By:

[Signature of Surveyor]
O. P. Schoolfield
Registered Public Surveyor.

Approved for Acceptance:

[Signature of Approver]
Hoyle W. Osborn, Director Planning.

Accepted and Authorized for Record by the Planning Commission of the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, Jan. 14, 1964.

[Signature of Chairman]
[Signature of Secretary]

Filed for Record at 4 o'clock P.M., this the 20 day of Jan. A. D. 1964 at
Miss Emilie Limberg, Clerk County Court, Travis County, Texas.

[Signature of Clerk]

[State of Texas]
[Seal]
SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant general office (GO) district zoning.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The general office (GO) district is intended for offices and selected commercial uses predominantly serving community or City-wide needs, such as medical or professional offices. A building in a GO district may contain more than one use.

2. Zoning changes should promote an orderly and compatible relationship among land uses.

Staff recommends the GO district zoning as proposed by the Applicant based on the recommendations of the Area Study and the addition of vertical mixed use building (V) district zoning on the adjacent properties to the west and north which allows more flexible development standards.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The subject lots are developed with one single family residence and two outbuildings. There appear to be no significant topographical constraints on the site.

Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the GO zoning district would be 80%. In the West Bouldin Creek portion of the site, this is the more restrictive figure, and in the Williamson Creek portion, this is a consistent figure between the watershed and zoning regulations.

Environmental

The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. According to current maps, this site lies on, or very close to, the divide between the Williamson Creek (Suburban) and the West Bouldin Creek (Urban) watersheds. Both of these fall within the desired development zone. A geological and/or topographical analysis of the site may be needed to determine the exact boundaries of the aforementioned watersheds.

At this time, site-specific information is unavailable regarding existing trees and other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any pre-existing approvals which would preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain within the project area.

Following are the comments for each watershed classification:

**Urban Watershed**

Impervious cover is not limited in this watershed class; therefore the zoning district impervious cover limits will apply.

This site is required to provide on-site structural water quality controls (or payment in lieu of) for all development and/or redevelopment when 5,000 s.f. cumulative is exceeded, and detention for the two-year storm.

**Suburban Watershed**

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following water quality control requirements:

- Structural controls: Sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

**Transportation**

No additional right-of-way is needed for Radam Lane at this time.

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-113]

**Water and Wastewater**

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing the water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, system upgrades, utility relocations and or abandonments required. The water and wastewater plan must be in accordance with the City of Austin utility design criteria. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.
Compatibility Standards

This site is located in the Desired Development Zone. Expiration for any site plan will be three years from the date of approval however; under Project Duration [25-1-535(C)(3)] the site plan can only be extended to a maximum of five years from initial submittal date. No other extensions will be allowed under Project Duration for projects in the DDZ. For questions concerning Project Duration please contact Susan Scallion at 974-2659.

Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is across the street from, adjoining or located 540-feet or less from property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive, or on which a use permitted in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district is located will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the south property line, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet wide is required along the property line. In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.

Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

- for a structure more than 100 feet but not more than 300 feet from property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive, 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet from the property zoned SF-5 or more restrictive.
- An intensive recreational use, including a swimming pool, tennis court, ball court, or playground, may not be constructed 50 feet or less from adjoining SF-3 property.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line
- A landscape area at least 15 feet in width is required along the property line if tract is zoned MF-3, MF-4, MF-5, MH, NO, or LO.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet in width is required along the property line if the tract is zoned LR, GO, GR, L, CS, CS-1, or CH.

This site will be subject to commercial design standards; Radam Lane is the principal street and classified as Suburban.
Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2008-0116
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719
Public Hearing:
July 15, 2008 Zoning and Platting Commission

Betty Soil Williams
Your Name (please print)

700 Clifford
Your address(es) affected by this application

Betty Soil Williams 7-5-08
Signature Date

Comments:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
July 14, 2008

To: Wendy Rhoades, City of Austin  
From: David Foster and Deborah Bean, Co-chairs, Southwood Neighborhood Association Land Use Committee  
Cc: Christopher Ewen, President, Southwood NA

Dear Ms. Rhoades:

We are writing on behalf of the Southwood Neighborhood Association to request a postponement of Item 3 on the Zoning and Platting Commission’s July 15 2008 agenda, (“Rezoning: C14-2008-0116”) until the August 5th 2008 meeting of the Zoning and Platting Commission.

Item 3 involves properties located at 608 and 610 Radam Lane, Austin TX 78745. Both properties are within the boundaries of the Southwood NA.

The Southwood NA voted unanimously at its regular meeting of July 9 2008 to request this postponement. The reason is to give the Southwood NA sufficient time to meet with interested parties, including representative(s) of the applicant and residents in the near vicinity of these properties, to determine what is in the best overall interest of our neighborhood so that we may take an informed position on the proposed zoning change.

Representatives of the Southwood NA have already contacted Jim Bennett, the agent for the owner of these properties, to arrange a meeting at our mutual convenience.

Thank you for your consideration and for forwarding this request to ZAP.

Respectfully,

David Foster  
1902 Forestglade Drive  
Austin TX 78745

Deborah Bean  
5132 Meadow Creek Dr  
Austin TX 78745
Portnoy, Steve

From: Steve Portnoy [sportnoy@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 3:04 PM
To: 'jimmy@jimynnassour.com'
Subject: FW: Wednesday's Meeting - Summary

---

Steve Portnoy
Carlson Commercial
7715 Capital of TX Hwy. S
Suite 200-E
Austin, TX 78746

MFL: 512 815.5890

---

From: Dawn Leach [mailto:dleach4@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 12:49 AM
To: david-k1971-foster@austin.rr.com
Cc: Deborah Bean; Christopher Ewen; sportnoy@sbcglobal.net; Dawn Leach; Lupe Sosa
Subject: Re: Wednesday's Meeting - Summary

Tonight's meeting was attended by David Foster and Dawn Leach representing SNA and Jimmy Nassour (who is contracting to buy the property, would build and then co-own with group of doctors), Jim Bennett (who is handling zoning request) and Tim O'Leary (owner who lives? and practices psychotherapy on property - arrived late).

Jim and Jimmy presented a visual that showed a rendering of the properties in that area, including 508-510 Radam Lane, but was not a real site plan. They pointed out that there are three protected trees (one quite large) that would impact the site plan. They also said that there were 8 others that they would make an extended effort to keep. (I went by the site after the meeting and could only really see the 3 that are probably protected- all live oaks I believe. I didn't go onto the property, but did ride around behind it and beside it. David, you looked at it the other day - did you see the additional trees to which they were referring? Do you think they were referring to the ligustrums...?). The envisioned plan had minimum height listed as 50 feet.

David described the VMU process that the neighborhood has gone through and presented the vision discussed by the committee: that we were willing to support GO if they were willing to make the project mixed use. They pretty quickly gave reasons as to why they didn't think that was viable (ranging from "residential may not be compatible because of noise from ambulances and fire trucks to medical offices might have gases that would be dangerous for residential to the expense of doing mixed use would probably make it unaffordable for nurses to if allowed to develop this area as medical offices, mixed use would naturally follow"). They were not opposed to mixed use per se but felt that it was more appropriate for surrounding property already zoned commercial and liked the idea of a cafe or coffee shop, but didn't necessarily want to provide that themselves.

Jim described a hospital study done by the CoA at some point as part of St. David's planning that...
indicated office zoning for this area. He said the study could be accessed by contacting Wendy. They also said that Dr. Kavoussi (I doubt I spelled that right) and his wife owned three lots east of the medical building on the north side of Radam. Many of you will remember that name from the proposed coffee shop a couple of years ago. Jim indicated that these tracts were already zoned LR or GR and showed us a map. David wonders if we opted these properties into our VMU plan since we looked at all the commercial property in the neighborhood during that process.

David asked "Why GO?" Answer "Site development requires GO to make it work." They then described a plan (not yet on paper) that includes 1/2 floor underground parking with another floor of parking and three stories of offices. [This was a little unclear because when David asked how tall the building would be, they said three stories but then when pressed, said three stories plus a story of parking in addition to the half floor of underground parking. Square feet for the building was estimated to be in the range of 19,000-25,000 (requiring about 100 parking places). Trees and drainage (property slopes to back of property) create building site challenges. Other items: Driveways - hope to "share" driveway with complex next door. Access to shopping center - didn't seem that agreeable because "it wasn't their property that led back there. David suggested that they could address access when they were discussing shared driveway with complex next door. Aside: Discussed importance of having St. David's as a stakeholder in neighborhood planning because in addition to hospital they own other property in that area.

We left the meeting saying that the neighborhood wanted mixed use and that we would have to go back to the committee and talk more. They huddled and we huddled. Options: 1) Stick to original plan of opposing, if won't accept GO with mixed use. 2) Agree to LO only, which doesn't allow the height they want; might give us more bargaining power; and if their theory is correct, if they build it, mixed use will follow on perimeter. 3) Something else?

Note: When I rode my bike over later, I spoke with a property owner at 619 Radam who I know. I filled her in a little regarding the status of the negotiations and asked her what her property was zoned. She was uncertain, and between the City of Austin zoning website and TCAD I cannot figure it out. If anyone can tell me how to find this information I would appreciate it. I am curious because she is on one of the properties that was on the map showing LR. She also stated that she is not bothered by the sirens from the hospital or the fire station. In her words they don't go off that often.

The ZAP approved a postponement until Tuesday, August 5th which is next week so we have a short timeline to decide what to do. We need your feedback as to next steps and your help on a couple of things if you can volunteer to help. Someone needs to:
1) Contact Wendy regarding hospital study
2) Look at VMU application to see if any properties along Radam were zoned commercial and opted in for VMU.

David is out of town until Monday. We propose talking by e-mail and then trying to meet again Monday night. We can meet at CM South or if my kitchen floor is finished, and I think it will be, I am happy to host a meeting again.

Sorry this is so long. Hope to hear from you all. (Lupe, can you pass this info onto Betty?)

Dawn

08/04/08
City Councilmembers

September 25, 2008

Re: C14-2008-0116, 608-610 Radam Lane, 9/25/08 Council Agenda item

Southwood Neighborhood Association and the applicant on the item above propose and agree with the zoning request below. We request staff to agree to the conditions below and to allow the applicant the proposed zoning below.

Proposed motion sheet

GO-CO

Conditional overlay:
1) The owner of the property or their representative will facilitate meetings and cooperate with the Southwood Neighborhood Association on the Southwood Neighborhood Association’s objective to have a pedestrian path on the property to the west of the above tract that connects 629 West Ben White (City Market) and Radam Lane.
2) There will be no requests for parking variances. Parking will be reduced only per current available code guidelines.
3) The site will comply with Design Standards, Subchapter E, as though it were an Urban Street
4) If a building on the site exceeds 50 feet in height, the side facing Radam Lane must be an Active Edge as defined in the Saltillo Station Area Plan.

We agree that the above represents our negotiated zoning.

Chris Ewen, Neighborhood Representative

date 9/25/08

Steve Portnoy, Applicant Representative

date 9/25/08
To Wendy Rhoades

As an agent on zoning case C14-2008-0116
Random Lane, item number 74 on today's
Council agenda, I hereby request a postponement
of this case to Nov. 6, 2008.

Thank you for your help and consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

784-4961