# Lamar/Justin and Plaza Saltillo Station Area Plans Report on City Council action on October 23, 2008 Partial second reading

#### **Council Action**

CM Cole - Motion maker

Lamar/Justin and Plaza Saitllo SAPs

On second reading only, amend the TOD Ordinance to put compatibility standards back-into the Ordinance (so that properties inside a TOD District can trigger compatibility standards).

CM McCracken – Seconded motion

Do an analysis of compatibility standard effects; look at use of properties and zoning

CM Morrison – friendly amendment

Concerned about heights in backyards of adjacent properties.

Suggestion: Compatibility should not be waived within 100 feet of TOD boundary when a development bonus is granted.

### CM Martinez - friendly amendment

Compatibility should only be triggered by properties that have SF zoning currently <u>and</u> a single family detached home/duplex use. Also, craft language that would allow for a full waiver of compatibility (i.e. within the 100-foot "buffer area") if neighbors are in agreement [see below].

All other Station Area Plan and neighborhood plan amendment items postponed to November 6, 2008 (including all action on the MLK Station Area Plan).

## Potential method to allow for a waiver of compatibility in 100-foot "transition" zone

A waiver of compatibility could be granted to a property within the 100-foot TOD transition zone if property owners of a simple majority of the property lines that edge the subject property (both adjacent edges and edges within 25 feet) agree to the waiver.

#### Items needing Council clarification

- 1. <u>Plaza Saltillo TOD:</u> Does Council want to address (in a different manner from the second reading motion) the list of properties along E. 6<sup>th</sup> Street submitted by the East Cesar Chavez Neighborhood Planning Team that they want to be excluded from development bonuses? (see item 20 in Report on First Reading Action).
- 2. <u>Plaza Saltillo TOD:</u> Does Council want to address (in a different manner from the second reading motion) the properties on the north side of E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street where the draft plan states that compatibility will apply throughout the entire depth of a property if triggered by a property outside of the TOD district? Should they be allowed to waive compatibility within 100-foot buffer if the above requirement is satisfied? (see item 18 in Report on First Reading Action).
- 3. <u>MLK TOD:</u> Does Council want to deal differently (in a different manner from the second reading motion) with the property at 2900 Manor Road where unique compatibility action was taken on first reading that applied a greater area of compatibility in response to a request from a neighborhood resident? The property owner has filed a petition in objection to being treated differently from surrounding properties (see item 19 in Report on First Reading Action).



