STAFF RECOMMENDED REVISIONS TO THE REGULATING PLAN FOR THE PLAZA SALTILO
STATION AREA PLAN (POST 1ST READING)

The proposed Regulating Plan revisions below incorporate and/or modify the information presented
at first reading. The Regulating Plan is an element of each Station Area Plan (SAP). It establishes
TOD Zoning and TOD Design Standards and will replace the Citywide Design Standards in
Subchapter E that are currently in place, once the Station Area Plans are adopted. Many of the
revisions are recommended for the following reasons:

1) To add clarification to specific elements of the current versions of the Regulating Plans so as to
minimize ambiguity during the development review process

2) To remain consistent with future updates to specific standards in the Citywide Design Standards,
regulations that are currently being developed for the North Burnet/Gateway Planning Area, and
existing adopted standards in the University Neighborhood Overlay (in order to minimize
confusion and facilitate understanding, regulation, and enforcement of the standards in the
Regulating Plans, consistency of City regulations is desired when appropriate)

3) To respond to additional staff commentary or a change in conditions since the draft Regulating
Plans were developed.

[Underlined text indicates added language and strikethrough text indicates removed text]

1. Clarify standards related to building placement in order to more clearly establish the roadway
hierarchy, which is the primary method of applying the design standards established by the TOD
Zoning:

Under Section 4.4.2 (Building Placement Factors) revise the text as follows:

A. Principal Street Determination Roadway Type Hierarchy

   1. Any roadway type with an active edge designation has priority.
   2. Absent an active edge designation, the following three roadway types are listed from
      highest to lowest priority for purposes of this Article and Article 5:

      a. TOD Core Transit Corridor;
      b. TOD Pedestrian Priority Street; and
      c. TOD Local Street.

The highest level of priority adjacent to the lot or site is considered the “principal street” for the
purpose of applying many of the standards in Articles 4 and 5. For a lot or site that is adjacent to
more than one roadway with an active edge designation, the roadway designated by the lot owner
shall be considered the principal street.

For a lot or site with no active edge that is adjacent to more than one roadway of equal priority, the
roadway with the highest level of transit service, as determined by the Director, shall be considered the
principal street...

In Article 5 (Building Design Standards), add a general applicability section for clarification purposes and
renumber subsequent sections in this Article accordingly:

5.2 Applicability
For the purposes of applying the standards in this Article, refer to Article 2 for a description and map
of TOD Subdistricts, Article 3 for a description and map of TOD Roadway Types, and Subsection
4.4.2.A: Principal Street Determination.
2. Include the Urban Design Division of NPZD in the Alternative Equivalent Compliance decision-making process to ensure a comprehensive review of these applications.
   In Subsection 1.4.3 make the following revision:
   B.3. The Director, in coordination with the Urban Design Division in the Neighborhood Planning and Zoning Department, shall review the concept plan for compliance with the criteria in Subsection 1.4.4 and shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the concept plan in writing.

3. Include the same type of sign regulations required in the University Neighborhood Overlay (UNO) to ensure that signage is scaled to the pedestrian level.
   Add a Section 4.8 titled Sign Regulations with the following text and renumber subsequent sections in this Article accordingly:
   4.8.1 Applicability
   All development.
   Requirement must be met on all adjacent roadway types

   4.8.2 Sign Regulations
   Development shall comply with the Sign Regulations in the LDC Section 25-10-133, University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Signs.

   Add Section 4.8 to the Alternative Equivalent Compliance Applicability Section 1.4.2 and renumber/re-letter subsequent sections accordingly:

   G. Section 4.8 – Sign Regulations

4. Revise standards that relate to window glazing and exterior lighting to more appropriately address single family and related development.
   Amend the Window Glazing and Exterior Lighting sections by revising the applicability language in Subsections 4.6.1 and 5.3.1 to exempt the following uses from these standards:

   Application Details: Exemptions include single family, single family attached, duplex, two-family, and townhouse development.

5. Clarify standards that relate to shade and shelter.
   In Section 5.4 “Shade and Shelter” make the following revisions and re-letter subsequent subsections accordingly:
   A. A shaded sidewalk shall be provided alongside at least 50 percent of the following:
      1) All building frontages adjacent to or facing the principal street and adjacent parking.
      2) All building frontages adjacent to off-street parking.

   B. When adjacent to off-street parking, the shaded sidewalk shall be raised above the level of the parking by way of a defined edge. ADA ramps along the building must also be shaded.

   [C & D remain unchanged]

   E. For emergency service providers, Alternative Equivalent Compliance may be sought for relief from the principal street shaded sidewalk requirements of Subsections A and C above to the extent necessary for emergency service vehicle and overhead door access.

6. Add/revise specific definitions in Article 6 for clarification purposes.
   ▪ Net site area: Refer to Section 28-8-62 of the Land Development Code
   ▪ Joint use driveway: Refer to Section 25-6-417 of the Land Development Code.
7. Revise the applicability standards in Section 1.2.
The language below is included to better define the types of development that require full compliance with the TOD Design Standards as the original language is subject to interpretation and ambiguous. The revised language is also necessary to modify the existing section on partial exemptions with the TOD Design Standards (the previous language used a monetary value to measure the extent of the improvement, which would not be verifiable by Development Review staff and most likely not available at the site plan stage). The revisions are consistent with future modifications to the Citywide Design Standards and the North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Regulating Plan.

1.2.3 TOD Design Standards
B. General Exemptions from the TOD Design Standards
The following types of development are exempt from the TOD Design Standards of this Document:
1. Development that does not require a site plan under Chapter 25-5-2(B), (C), (E), (F), (G), (H), (I), or (J);
2. Interior remodeling of a building, including interior additions; and
3. Development for which public access is prohibited due to health, safety, public security, and welfare reasons.

C. Full Compliance
Except as provided Unless exempted in Subsections B and D, if a particular standard of this Document is applicable to development on a particular site, then that standard shall be applicable to the following activity:
1. New construction on previously undeveloped land; and
2. New construction and site development, including improvements, where all existing buildings have or will be completely demolished or rendered unusable as determined by the Director, and
3. Any new freestanding building added to a site with existing development.

Redevelopment or major rehabilitation (for redevelopment or major rehabilitation, the Director shall determine the portion of the site to which the standard applies, based on the extent of change proposed). For the purposes of this Document, it shall include any project that will, combined with all other redevelopment or rehabilitation of the site that has occurred since the effective date of this Document:
   a. For sites of less than one acre, generate 1,000 vehicle trips or more per day above the estimated trip level on the effective date of this Document, and for sites of one acre or more, generate 2,000 vehicle trips or more per day above the estimated trip level on the effective date of this Document; or
   b. Increase the site’s impervious cover by 25 percent or more beyond the amount of impervious cover existing on the effective date of this Document.

D. Partial Exemptions
For a project that is not subject to Subsections B and C above, the Director shall determine which standards of this Document apply to the project, or a portion of the project, in accordance with the following requirements:
1. The portion of the project where new buildings are constructed or existing buildings are expanded must comply with Section 4.6 (Exterior Lighting), Section 4.7 (Screening of Equipment and Utilities), and the applicable sidewalk requirements in Section 3.3 (Sidewalk Standards).
2. The portion of the project where new buildings, exterior additions to existing buildings, and remodeled facades are constructed must comply with the requirements of Article 5 (Building Design Standards).

3. Subject to the requirements in Paragraphs a-c of this subsection, all new buildings and additions to existing buildings must comply with the applicable building placement requirements in Section 4.4:

   a. Full compliance with building placement requirements is required unless the Director determines that it cannot be achieved due to:
      1. The location of existing buildings or other improvements to be retained on the site;
      2. The size or magnitude of the proposed addition;
      3. The nature of a use to be included in a proposed addition to an existing building that limits placement of that use on the site;
      4. Topography, protected trees, or critical environmental features; or
      5. The location of water quality or detention facilities.

   b. An applicant must carry the burden of establishing that full compliance with building placement requirements cannot be achieved under the criteria in Paragraph a and must provide all information requested by the Director.

   c. If the Director determines that full compliance cannot be achieved based on the criteria in Paragraph a, an applicant must comply with the building placement requirements to the extent possible.

D. If neither of the conditions in Subsection B above is met, and if the value of the proposed alterations on the site as determined by the Director is at least $100,000, the site must comply with the development standards listed below.

1. Single-Family Dwelling Exemption from this Subsection
   The reconstruction and rehabilitation of an existing single-family home is exempt from the provisions in this Subsection.

2. Exceptions from Value of Alterations
   The value of the alterations is based on the entire project and not individual building permits. The following alterations and improvements do not count toward the threshold:
   a. Alterations related to the removal of existing architectural barriers, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act;
   b. Improvements to on-site storm water management facilities in conformance with City standards; and
   c. Any fire, public health, public safety, and/or environmental requirements or agreements with the City.

3. Standards
   Development shall comply with the standards below in the priority order listed:
   a. Sidewalk standards in Section 3.3;
   b. Landscape buffering for surface parking between the clear zone (or supplemental zones if provided) or the existing sidewalk and the parking area, according to the off-street parking design standards in Section 4.5;
   c. Bicycle parking requirements according to Section 4.5; and
   d. Screening of equipment and utilities according to Section 4.7 of this Document.

4. Improvement obligations
   The cost of required improvements is limited to 10 percent of the value of the proposed alterations. If the amount of the improvement obligation is insufficient to provide a complete or useful improvement as determined by the Director, a lower priority improvement listed above in Subsection 3 Standards may be selected.
8. **Revise standards related to curb cut spacing to address small lots.**

   Rename Section 3.5.4 to “Curb Cut Spacing Standards for TOD Core Transit Corridors” and revise as follows:

   A. **Applicability**
   
   All development along a TOD Core Transit Corridor

   B. **General Standards**
   
   In addition to the standards under Subsections C and D below, curb-cuts on streets in the TOD District shall be located in accordance with the driveway spacing standards in Section 5 of the Transportation Criteria Manual (TCM).

   **C. TOD Core Transit Corridors Standards**
   
   Curb cuts for vehicular connection between the site and any adjacent TOD Core Transit Corridor shall not occur more frequently than every 330 feet. A TOD Local Street or TOD Pedestrian Priority Street does not count as a curb cut.

   **D. Small Lots on TOD Core Transit Corridors**
   
   For a lot with street frontage less than 50 feet wide adjacent to a TOD Core Transit Corridor (TCTC) or TOD Pedestrian Priority Street (TPPS), access to the lot shall be provided from a single joint use driveway from the TCTC or TPPS; otherwise, access shall be provided from a TOD Local Street or alley.

9. **Include standards that relate to curb cut width to facilitate pedestrian flow by reducing the impact of curb cuts on primary streets.**

   Add a new Subsection 3.5.5 “Curb-Cut Dimensional Standards” and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly.

   **3.5.5 Curb-Cut Dimensional Standards**

   A. **Applicability**: Applies to all development

   B. **Curb-Cut Width Standards**

   Section 5 of the Transportation Criteria Manual (TCM) specifies driveway standards in 5.3.2 of the TCM. These standards shall continue to apply to residential (Type I) and commercial (Type II) driveways, except as provided in this subsection.

   1. The maximum Type I driveway width for single family, duplex, and townhouse residences shall be 18 feet.
   2. Driveways along street frontages with an active edge designation are discouraged. When they are deemed necessary by the Director, the maximum Type II driveway width for multi-family residential and commercial uses shall be 30 feet along an active edge.
   3. Other Type II driveways within the TOD District shall be no more that 30 feet wide, and they may be expanded to a maximum width of 35 feet when deemed necessary by the Director for proper traffic circulation and access.
   4. The maximum curb return radius for all Type II driveways shall be 15 feet. The maximum curb return radius may be expanded when deemed necessary by the Director for proper traffic circulation and access.
   5. Sidewalk clear zones crossing a driveway shall be continuous and as straight and level as possible. Curb cuts shall ramp up and down to the level of the sidewalk rather than require additional curb ramps along the sidewalk.
10. Revise language related to bicycle parking to reflect recent additional input from the Bicycle and Pedestrian Program staff.

Revise Subsection 4.5.6 “Bicycle Parking Requirements” as follows:

A. Minimum Requirement

Bicycle parking shall be as prescribed by the LDC Appendix A (Tables of Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements). The required amount shall be calculated based on the motor vehicle spaces required by Appendix A prior to any available parking reductions.

1. For retail uses, a minimum of at least 75% of all required parking shall be located along the principal street and within 50 feet of a primary building entrance. For all other uses, the requirement is a minimum of 10%.

2. After meeting the requirement in 1. above, the remainder of required bicycle parking may be located:

   a. Within 50 feet of other building entryways not on the principal street; or
   b. At employee entrances; or
   c. Within a building, or
   d. In a covered motor vehicle parking area.

11. Revise standards that relate to window glazing to better accommodate for alternative methods of meeting the requirement.

In Subsection 5.3.3 “Standards” revise text as follows:

1. At least 40 percent of the wall area along the principal street that is between two and ten feet above grade shall consist of glazing. Doors shall not be considered for the purpose of meeting this requirement.”

12. Clarify standards that relate to building entrances.

Revise Section 5.2 as follows and renumber/re-letter subsequent sections accordingly:

5.2.1 Building Entrance Standards for Pedestrians

A. Applicability:

All development; required along the principal street and active edges; corner site provisions apply.

[B & D remain unchanged]

C. Building entrances shall be provided for each separate ground floor commercial tenant space and entrances shall be located at intervals of no more than 75 feet along the elevation facing the principal street and along any active edge designation.

13. Include standards that relate to building entrances and exits for vehicles to minimize vehicle/pedestrian conflicts in sidewalk areas.

5.2.3 Building Entrance and Exit Standards for Vehicles

A. Applicability

All development except single family, single family attached, duplex, two-family, and townhouse development and emergency service facilities; corner site provisions apply.

B. Building entrances and exits for vehicles shall be located to the rear or side of a building, except as provided in D below.

C. Where multiple street frontages are present, building entrances and exits for vehicles shall not face the principal street, or be located within 100 feet of the principal street, except as provided in D below.

D. Vehicle entrances and exits for structured parking may face a principal street only when no other feasible access is available on another street frontage or alley, as determined by the Director.
14. Revise the use table to add a condition to a privately owned and operated transportation terminal use (Figure 2-2).
Under "Additional Regulations" add: A Transportation Terminal Use is a conditional use if operated by a private entity.

15. Amend the land use section to apply tailored standards to properties on the north side of E. 7th Street.
In response to concerns from neighborhood advocates that the Plaza Saltillo Station Area Plan would not allow the current character on the north side of E. 7th Street to continue, the Plan proposes revised land use standards for this area. This will give property owners more redevelopment options, which includes retaining single-family homes.

Subsection 2.3.6 Corridor Mixed-Use Subdistrict
C. Land Use
1. Permitted, conditional, and prohibited uses are shown in Figure 2-2.
2. North side of E. 7th Street
Properties located on the north side of E. 7th Street shall comply with the permitted, conditional, and prohibited uses that apply to the TOD Mixed Use Subdistrict according to Figure 2-2 with the following exceptions:
   a. A cocktail lounge use and a liquor sales use are additional prohibited uses.
   b. Single-family, Duplex, Two-family, Single-family attached, and Townhouse Residential are additional permitted uses.