

On Motion the Court adjourned to June 10 "1876
at 12 o'clock. 771.

John T. Herbert
Recorder

Called Meeting City Council

Council Chamber

June 9th 1876

Council called to order at 1.15 P.M.

Mayor Wheeler in the Chair

Roll called and the following members answered
Aldermen Bromond Chandler, Cook, Beffentange &
Goodale James Larmour, Robinson, and Taylor
Absent Alderman Starks Quorum present

His Honor informs the Council that the object
in calling them to gather was to take action on a
petition presented by F. Silva for license to be issued to
him to sell spirituous and various liquors in Ward #1

Alderman Robinson moved that the petition be
granted and the Mayor authorized to issue the same

The Yeas and Nays being called the resolution was
lost by the following Vote

Yeas Aldermen Bromond, Beffentange and Robinson
Nays Aldermen Chandler, Cook, Goodale, James, Larmour
and Taylor

Alderman Chandler moved that the Mayor
and City Attorney be requested to examine the laws on
the subject of License and the powers of the City Council
to regulate and enforce the same. And that the Mayor
be authorized to employ additional Council if in his
judgment he deems it necessary Carried

Alderman Bromond moved that the same Council
be purchased regarding the power and authority of the
City Council to regulate or change the tax assessments
rolls from the amounts as given in by the property owners.
also as to the authority of Council in regulating or prohibiting
the erection of or repairs to wooden buildings in the
fire limit, and that a report on the same be
made to Council at the next meeting

On Motion of Alderman Robinson the Council

adjourned

John F. Horbert
Recorder

Court of Appeals

Civil Chamber

June 10th 1876

The Court of Appeals met at 12 o'clock, P.M. pursuant to adjournment. Mr. Chandler President in the chair

Roll called and the following members answered
Aldermen Leake, Deffenbaugh, Goodale, Jones, Larnum, Robinson, Burke and Taylor

Absent Alderman Bromond. Quorum Present

Petition of John Dickeloh stating that he is the owner of 3 half Lots in Block #181 and that he rendered them at \$600 which he considers a fair price but the Board of Assessors raised them to \$750 and he asks a reduction

✓ On Motion of Alderman Burke the property was reduced to \$600.

Petition of J. M. Mansfield stating he owns Lots Nos 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 in Block #87 that he rendered them at \$1200 and the Board of Assessors raised them to \$2400 and he asks that they be reduced to the assessment as rendered by him

✓ On Motion of Alderman Burke the assessment remains as fixed by the Board of Assessors

Petition of Francis Burloye stating that she owns Lots Nos 2, 3, & 4 in Division "C" and that she rendered the improvements at \$1000 and that it is more than they are worth and asks that they be reduced to \$800.

On Motion the petition was not granted

✓ On Motion of Alderman Goodale the vote just taken be reconsidered

On Motion of Alderman Robinson the petition of Mrs Burloye was granted and the improvements appraised at \$800.

On Motion the Court adjourned to June 12th at 12 M

John F. Horbert

Recorder

Court of Appeals

Council Chamber June 12 1916

Court of Appeals met at 12 O'clock, PM.
pursuant to adjournment. F. H. Chandler President
in the chair

Roll called and the following members answered
Aldermen Cook, Deffenbaugh, L. Goodale, James
Larmon & Robinson

Absent Aldermen Bremond, Shuck and Taylor
Quorum present

Petition of H. H. Heston stating that he owns Lots
Nos 5+6 in Block #156 that he rendered his assessment
at \$2010 and it had been raised to \$2460. he asks that
his property be reduced to \$1800-

On Motion of Alderman Cook a reduction of
\$200- was made on Improvements

Petition of Sophie Burukham stating that she
owns Lots Nos 11+12 in Block #100 that she rendered
them at \$1200 and that the Board of assessors had raised
them to \$1600 and asks that they be reduced to \$600 each

On Motion the petition was granted and the
lots reduced to \$1200-

Petition of George Hancock stating that he
owns Lots Nos 7+8 in Block #29 rendered at
\$1500 and raised by Board of assessors to 1800

On Motion of Alderman Shuck the assessment was
reduced to \$1500-

Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, in Block #55 and Lots Nos 9, 10, 11, + 12
in Block #70. Lots Nos 3+4 in Block #124 and
Lots Nos ~~8+9~~ in Block #172 rendered at \$47300 and
raised by Board of assessors to \$52900 asks the assessors
to remain as given in by him

On Motion of Alderman Larmon the assessment
was reduced to \$47300.

Block #180 was rendered at \$5000 the Board
of assessors raised it to \$5900 he asks a reduction
of \$1900-

On Motion of Alderman Shuck the assessment stands
as rendered by Board of assessors \$5900

Petition of John W. Robinson stating that he
rendered his assessment on E 1/2 Lot #16 Division 'E'
including Improvements at 2000- that the Board of
assessors has raised the property to \$2750- he asks
that the assessment be reduced to \$2000-

on Motion of Alderman Taylor the petition was granted
and the assessment fixed at \$2000

Communication from J. H. Raymond was laid over
On Motion the Court adjourned to June 13th
at 12 o'clock. M.

John Herbert
Recorder

Court of Appeals Council Chamber

June 13th 1876

The Court of Appeals met at 12 o'clock. M.
pursuant to adjournment F. W. Chandler, President in
the chair

Roll called and the following members answered
Aldermen Hook, Deffenbaugh, James, Robinson Shutes
and Taylor

Absent Aldermen Bremond, Howdell, Larmon
Quorum present

Petition of Elias Palmer stating that Lots #1 & 2
in Block #170 had been raised by the Board of Equalization
from \$1000 to \$1300 and the improvements they have assessed
at \$1000. he is satisfied with assessments on Lots but asks
that reduction be made on improvements and that they be fixed
at \$750 or \$800

On Motion of Alderman Taylor the improvements was
fixed at \$800

Also asks that Piano be reduced from \$500 to
\$200

On Motion of Alderman Robinson the petition was
granted and the Piano assessed at \$200.

Also Improvements on part of Lot #6 had been
assessed at \$2000 - and Lot at \$500 (16 ft) asks that
the Improvements be fixed at \$1500 and the lot at
\$3200

On Motion of Alderman James the lot was assessed
at \$3200 and Improvements at \$1500

Petition of J. H. Raymond Treas Austin Smith Block
Building Co asking reduction in the assessment of the
Raymond House property from that made by the Board of
Equalization to that as rendered by him

On Motion of Alderman Shutes the petition was

granted and the amount fixed at \$31,000

Petition of S M Swenson stating his Lot 348 No 6 Block #84 assessed at \$7500 asks that it be reduced to \$6,500

On Motion the petition was granted and the property assessed at \$6,500 -

Petition of John W Toback for self and others Lots 9, 10, 11 + 12 in Block #86 (W A Hamilton) states that they had been raised to \$1000 - and asks a reduction

On Motion of Alderman Taylor the assessment fixed at \$750 for corner and balance of Lots at \$700 - each Lots #4, 5 + 6 Block 136 (J W Toback) raised by Board to \$1000 each asks that they be reduced to his own assessment

On Motion of Alderman Shuts the assessment remains as rendered by Mr Toback ~~(\$1000 each)~~

Lots #7 + 8 in Block #9 rendered at \$500 - each raised by Board to \$600 - each and asks reduction

On Motion of Alderman Shuts the assessment stands as fixed by Board (\$600 each)

Lot #8 Block #172 (Mrs Ann Hampton) rendered \$600 raised to \$750 asks reduction

On Motion of Alderman Look the assessment remains as rendered by Mrs Hampton (\$600)

Petition of J R Cummings was not considered

On Motion of Alderman Taylor the Court of Appeals will continue their session Ten days and sit on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays

On Motion the Court adjourned to the 14th inst at 12 M

John F. Herbert,
Recorder

Called Meeting City Council

June 13th 1896

Council called to order at 1 o'clock P M
Mayor Wheeler in the Chair

Roll called and the following members answered
Aldermen Schandler, Look, Duffenberg, James, Shuts, Robinson + Taylor

The Chair informed Council that he had called them to gether to take action on report of Finance + Ordinance Committee,

The Committee not being ready to report asked for