Page 1 of 1

agendallems #30 and #3

Rhoades, Wendy

From:	Sara Summers [s.s. summere@att.net]
Sent:	Tuesday, February 24, 2009 12:10 PM
То:	Watson, Matt; Coleman, Glen; Barrios, Rossana; Williams, Nancy; Moore, Andrew; McDonald, Stephanie; Levinski, Robert; Rhoades, Wendy; Meredith, Maureen
Cc:	Schunte:Wells@appliedbiosystems.com; mary@texaobuilders.orgina; _jappllo.kubn@gmeil.com; teg0100@asheem; carah.suee@yshee.com; 'Dan Palmor'; 'Shitley
	Hoberts, Diana namad, Angeliea Roovel; gs. casterling Cholmail.com
Subject:	C14-2008-0115 & NP-2008-0025

Attachments: case action summary 2.20.09.doc

Re: City Council agenda items 30 and 31 4808 West William Cannon

To Whom It May Concern:

Our neighborhood has been active in land development in Southwest Austin for over 25 years. During this time we have been very successful in reaching consensus between our neighborhood, developers and city staff. Currently, we are working with many parties on 4808 West William Cannon and through these negotiations, it has become obvious that the timing for a zoning change on this piece of property is premature. While we agree on many issues, our talks have stalled on what future development might look like on the land. Considering the current economy and the current development surrounding the parcel, there is just not enough information to support a carte blanche zoning change. While this is a rather small tract, the zoning on this parcel could affect all of the future redevelopment of the single family lots along William Cannon; therefore, the decision must be right and not hasty.

This is not a situation where the city has grown and a long time land owner needs a zoning change to utilize the property. The owner of the property recently bought this land knowing that it was single family. All of the adjacent properties are single family as well. Therefore, as all of the work on the Oak Hill FLUM indicates, there is no need to change the zoning to commercial or retail at this time.

However, if circumstances change and zoning modifications for redevelopment in the future might be appropriate, we would certainly be open to reconsideration at that time.

Western Oaks Property Owners Association Land Development Committee Also on behalf of Westcreek Condominiums and Residents of Westcreek, White Elm

Sara Summers