## RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AMENDMENT REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-72-015(RCA) / 1517 E. Anderson Lane - Restrictive Covenant Amendment
PC DATE: December 8, 2009
ADDRESS: 1517 East Anderson Lane
OWNER: ZIF Holdings, Inc. (Iniyat Fidai)
AGENT: DCI Permitting and Land Consulting (David Cancialosi) (512) 799-2401

## ZONING: GR (Community Commercial)

SITE AREA: 3.58 acres ( $155,944 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ )

## AMENDMENT REQUESTED:

1. Delete (1) (e) which prohibits drive-in type cafes (but not prohibiting restaurants or eating places whereby all customers are served inside a building);
2. Delete (1) (h) which prohibits auto repair garages (but not prohibiting filing stations); and
3. Delete (1) (j) which prohibits new or used car or automobile selling lots.
*Note: The applicant has amended the request to only Delete (1) (e) which prohibits drive-in cafes (but not prohibiting restaurants or eating places whereby all customers are served inside a building).

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends amending the Restrictive Covenant to delete Item (1) (e) which prohibits drive-in type cafes (but not prohibiting restaurants or eating places whereby all customers are served inside a building).

Staff does not recommend deleting Item (1) (h) which prohibits auto repair garages (but not prohibiting filling stations); and Item (1) (j) which prohibits new or used car or automobile selling lots.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION: On December 8, 2009, the Planning Commission voted to DENY the amendment to the restrictive covenant; was approved by Commissioner Jay Reddy's motion, Commissioner Clint Small second the motion on a vote of 8-0;1 vacancy on the commission.

## ISSUES:

The owner and the Coronado Hills / Creekside Neighborhood Association have agreed to delete the provision (1) (e) which prohibits drive-in type cafes (but not prohibiting restaurants or eating places whereby all customers are served inside a building) and add language regarding the development of this property. Staff has also indicated which items cannot be part of the amended Restrictive Covenant and should be placed in a Private Restrictive Covenant between the Neighborhood and the owner.

1. For the measurement of the masonry wall to be taken from the improved grade level, so that the wall would not wind up being backfilled and essentially become a wall that is 6 feet on the creek side and at grade on the developed side of the property. Such wall
shall be measured 6 feet in height using construction elevation grades as shown on an approved City of Austin site plan.
2. Along the rear property line, landscaping requirements as outlined in the Land Development Code Chapter 25-2-1006 (Visual Screening) and Section 2.4.3 (Buffering) of the Environmental Criteria Manual must be exceeded at least 50 percent. The Private Restrictive Covenant will define the specific types of landscaping (such as evergreen).
3. For the drive thru restaurant to be a mix of drive thru and a sit-down restaurant (instead of a drive thru only), in order to reduce the amount of vehicle idling and emissions. Since the Land Development Code does not specifically identify the design of a drive thru use, this provision would have to be placed in a Private Restrictive Covenant between the applicant and the neighborhood.
4. For amplification devices to point away from the neighborhood. Any amplification devices would have to comply with the City's sound ordinance and any provisions of the Compatibility Design Regulations of the Land Development Code Chapter 25-21067(B). NOTE: Any other request would have to be in a Private Restrictive Covenant and if the owner is the developer, he has agreed to this provision.
5. For grease receptacles to be placed away from the neighborhood side of the property. This provision would have to be placed in a Private Restrictive Covenant. The owner has agreed to accommodate this provision
6. No pre-dawn trash collection. As pick up times are set by trash service providers, this provision must be placed in a private covenant. However, if the current property owner is the developer, he will try to accommodate the request.
7. Outdoor lighting shall be limited to height that will be determined in a private restrictive covenant. NOTE: All exterior lighting must comply with the Commercial Design Standards of the Land Development Code Chapter 2.5.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: This Restrictive Covenant was executed in 1972 in association with an ordinance which rezoned a larger property fronting East Anderson Lane, from "A Residential" to "GR, General Retail". The covenant restricted several uses and called for the construction of a 6 foot high masonry fence roughly along the south boundary of the larger tract.

The applicant's request is to delete provision (1) (e) which prohibits drive-in type cafes (but not prohibiting restaurants or eating places whereby all customers are served inside a building); delete (1) (h) which prohibits auto repair garages (but not prohibiting filing stations); and delete (1) (j) which prohibits new or used car or automobile selling lots. Staff recommends the deletion which prohibits drive-in cafes as outlined in the Issues section above, but does not recommend deleting the provisions of auto repair garages and new or used car or automobile selling lots.

It is the position of the staff that any noise anticipated with a drive-in would not be any greater than the noise generated by the abutting two lane access road or the overhead expressway. Additionally, any drive-in café would be oriented towards the street and would not interface with the neighborhood.

The staff does not support deleting provisions of auto repair garages or new or used car or automobile selling lots due to the Buttermilk Branch Creek which forms the south property line. Additionally, those uses typically have operating characteristics incompatible with residential environments. Since
the subject lot abuts single family residences, it is the position of staff to not recommend the deletion of auto sales and repair uses.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | GR | Undeveloped |
| North | GR | Retail/Office |
| South | SF-3 | Single-family Residences |
| East | GR | Apartments |
| West | CS | Retail/Service Station (Under Construction) |

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AREA: St. Johns/Coronado Hills
WATERSHED: Buttermilk Branch DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes
CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No SCENIC ROADWAY: No

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Home Builders Association of Greater Austin
Austin Neighborhoods Council
Villas of Coronado Hills Homeowner's Assn.
Edward Joseph Developments, LTD
Homeless Neighborhood Organization
Austin Parks Foundation
NorthEast Action Group
Austin Street Futbol Collaborative
Coronado Hills Neighborhood Assn.
League of Bicycling Voters
Old Town Homeowners Assn.
Super Duper Neighborhood Objectors and Appealers Organization
Austin Monorail Project
CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-97-0165 1608 BLOCK OF E ANDERSON LA TPA EXPANSION | From SF-3 to GR | 1/12/1998 APVD STAFF <br> ALT REC OF GR-CO <br> BY CONSENT (9-0) | 2/12/1998 APVD PC REC OF GR-CO W/CONDS (7-0) 3 RDGS - Limits vehicle trips to less than 2,000 per day. |
| C14-96-0024 - <br> 7605 CAMERON RD MITCHELL REZONING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From SF-3 to } \\ & \text { GR-CO (TR 1), } \\ & \text { From SF-3 to } \\ & \text { SF-5 (TR 2), } \\ & \text { From SF-3 to } \\ & \text { RR (TR 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4/26/1996 APVD GR, } \\ & \text { SF-5 \& RR (9-0) } \end{aligned}$ | 6/6/1996 APVD GR-CO (1ST 150'); SF-5 \& RR (FLOODPLAIN) CONDS; (6-0); 2ND/3RD RDGS - Limits vehicle trips to less than 2,000 per day. |
| C14-96-0003-1700 | From MF-3-CO | 2/27/1997 APVD LO-CO | 6/13/1997 APVD LO-CO \& RR |


| BLOCK OF E | to LO-CO (TR | AND RR FOR | (FLOODPLAIN) SUBJ TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANDERSON AV - | 1), From MF-3- | FLOODPLAIN SUBJ TO | CONDS ( $6-0$ ); 2ND/3RD RDGS |
| CREEKWOOD OFFICE | CO to RR (TR | CONDS (7-0) | - Limits vehicle trips to less than |
| BLDG. | 2) |  | 2,000 per day. |

RELATED CASES: C14-85-339 - Original Rezoning Case
CITY COUNCIL DATE:

## ACTION:

January 28, 2010
ORDINANCE READINGS: $1^{\text {st }} 2^{\text {nd }} 3^{\text {rd }}$
ORDINANCE NUMBER:
CASE MANAGER: Joi Harden
PHONE: 974-2122
E-MAIL: joi.harden@ci.austin.tx.us
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