EXHIBIT A

Neighborhood Stakeholder Comments on the Overall Central West Austin Neighborhood Plan From: August W. Harris III [hand]

Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 10:29 AM

Cc: DiGiuseppe, Paul; Guernsey, Greg; Edwards, Sue; wang beards tinggreem;

mcmediate@msn.com

Subject: RE: PC-Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan Hearing - June 22, 2010

Importance: High

Attachments: Land Use - Neighborhood Stakeholder Brack Tract Language.doc; FLUM Comparisons.doc; Brack FLUM.jpg Commissioners:

On behalf of the Central West Austin neighborhood organizations, we would like to thank you for your service. Due to the hard work of the Neighborhood Planning Subcommittee, much was accomplished on June 16. I will outline the various motions pending before you in regard to the Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan in order to make tomorrow evening's Planning Commission meeting as efficient as possible for all concerned.

**Prior to proceeding through the Motions, we must notify the Planning Commission that the rezoning for Tract 104 (TCAD 109577) located at 700 Hearn Street is now contested. Its current zoning is CS and staff has recommended MF-6 NP. This represents spot zoning and is incompatible with surrounding and adjacent land use. Our recommendation is to rezone SF-6 NP as transitional zoning in an SF-3 area. A petition in opposition is pending.

I. We respectfully request that you <u>move for adoption</u> the following NP Subcommittee recommendations.

<u>Motion 8</u>: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in WANG Planning Area (2600/2601 and 2531/2527 Exposition – TUMC and Sanctuary)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Maintain as Single Family land use.

Motion 12: Contested Special Use Infill Options (Small Lot Amnesty)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend Small Lot Amnesty.

Motion 13: Contested Neighborhood Plan Design Tools (Garage Placement)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Include Garage Placement tool in Windsor Road Planning Area, but not WANG Planning Area.

<u>Motion 15</u>: Contested FLUM designations <u>with</u> rezoning in Windsor Road Planning Area $(1717/1721/1801/1803/1805 \text{ W. } 35^{st} \text{ Street})$

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Maintain current zoning and land use (Neighborhood Commercial FLUM). Note that there is a valid petition in this case.

<u>Motion 16</u>: Contested FLUM designations with rezoning in Windsor Road Planning Area (3402 Kerbey Lane)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend staff recommendation of Neighborhood Office.

Motion 19: Contested FLUM designations with rezoning in WANG Planning Area (2310 W. 7th Street) (Tract 106)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend SF-3.

<u>Motion 20</u>: Contested FLUM designations with rezoning in WANG Planning Area (1504 Robin Hood Trail) (Tract 111)

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend Neighborhood Office.

II. We respectfully request that you <u>move for adoption</u> the following NP Subcommittee recommendations with modifications.

Motion 3: Contested land use recommendation L.6.2 related to Austin State School

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend following language:

L.6.2 The design of any redevelopment should be compact, mixed use, and walkable so that automobile trips are minimized. Redevelopment should result in harmonious, residential development near the existing residential areas and concentrate the more intensive mixed use development toward the northeast corner of the tract at MoPac and 35th Street. Preserving significant amounts of public and private open space is encouraged.

We believe that the following language better reflects consensus and that the language above is too open-ended. While being more prescriptive, the following does allow the opportunity for denser single family development.

L.6.2 The design of any redevelopment should be compact, mixed use, and walkable so that automobile trips are minimized. Redevelopment should result in harmonious <u>single family</u> residential development near the existing <u>single family</u> residential areas and concentrate the more intensive mixed use development toward the northeast corner of the tract at MoPac and 35th Street. Preserving significant amounts of public and private open space is encouraged.

Motion 4: Contested land use objective 7 and recommendation 7.1 and FLUM related to Brackenridge Tract

PC NP Subcommittee Recommendation: Adopt Neighborhood's proposed land use chapter provisions for Brackenridge Tract (Objectives 7-8 with recommendations), but with modifications to remove certain language in the original draft that might be considered inflammatory and to conform Recommendation L.8.2 to Recommendation L.6.2 set forth above.

You will find our recommended land use chapter provisions attached with changes made as requested by the NP Subcommittee. In addition, you will find a FLUM map for the Brackenridge Tract and an amended FLUM Comparison for the Brackenridge Tract

III. We request that you <u>move for adoption</u> the following Neighborhood Stakeholder consensus recommendations. In each case, the NP Subcommittee recommendation was to pass the Motion to the full Planning Commission for consideration without recommendation.

<u>Motion 6</u>: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in Windsor Road Planning Area (1014 W. 31st Street)

Stakeholder Consensus Recommendation: Single Family (There is NO property owner recommendation.)

<u>Motion 7</u>: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in WANG Planning Area (3737 Exposition Boulevard – Casis Shopping Center)

Neighborhood Commercial This category is recommended by both the Neighborhood Stakeholders and staff.

<u>Motion 9</u>: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in WANG Planning Area (1505 Forest Trail)

Stakeholder Consensus Recommendation: Single Family (There is NO property owner recommendation.)

<u>Motion 10</u>: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in WANG Planning Area (2506/2508/2510 Quarry Road)

Stakeholder Consensus Recommendation: Single Family (There is NO property owner recommendation.)

Motion 11: Contested FLUM designations without rezoning in WANG Planning Area (1206 Norwalk Lane; 2508 & 2514 W. 12th Street; 2507/2509/2511 Quarry Road)

Stakeholder Consensus Recommendation: Single Family (There is NO property owner recommendation.)

Motion 18: Contested FLUM designations with rezoning in WANG Planning Area (2309 Pruett Street) (Tract 105)

SF-6 NP. While the NP Subcommittee recommended MF-2 with a conditional overlay limiting the project to four units, we believe that SF-6 NP with a conditional overlay limiting the project to 4 units achieves the objective with a transitional zoning category. A petition is believed to be forthcoming in opposition to this rezoning recommendation by staff.

Please feel free to call upon me should you have any questions. Mike Cannatti is absent tonight so we will coordinate appropriately in hi absence.

August W. Harris III

President
West Austin Neighborhood Group
Austin, Texas 78703
Phone 512.320.8808
Fax 512.320.8684

PSave a tree. Don't print this e-mail unless it's necessary.

This electronic communication (including any attached document) may contain privileged and/or confidential information. This communication is intended only for the use of indicated e-mail addressees. If you are not an intended recipient of this communication, please be advised that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this communication or any attached document is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and promptly destroy all electronic and printed copies of this communication and any attached document.

From: August W. Harris III [mailto:

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:23 PM

To: sully.jumpnet@sbcglobal.net; 'Danette Chimenti'; jay_reddy@dell.com; amdealey@aol.com; dave.anderson.07@gmail.com; clint_small@hotmail.com; bdeleon78@gmail.com; vskirk@att.net; kbtovo@earthlink.net

Cc: 'DiGiuseppe, Paul'; 'Guernsey, Greg'; 'Edwards, Sue'; wang-board@westaustinng.com; mcmediate@msn.com

Subject: PC-Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan Hearing - May 25, 2010

Importance: High

Commissioners,

Thank you for the time you have and will invest in the Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan and thank you for your ongoing service. Prior to receiving notice of this hearing, I had been asked to assist Austin ISD, the Austin Police Department, the O.Henry PTA and the neighbors adjacent in regard to ongoing criminal activities on and around campus via a public forum being held at O.Henry Middle School at the same time as Planning Commission. It is my intent to arrive at the Planning Commission hearing as soon as possible.

Per previous emails and discussion with several though not all of you, I would like to point to the following. The only reason that West Austin asked to be advanced into Neighborhood Planning was because of the Brackenridge Tract and the State School. Had we been told at the time or even early on in the process that the City had decided to allow no meaningful planning of either, we would have either withdrawn our request or withdrawn from the planning process altogether thus saving time and scarce resources. There reached a point where, despite the futility of the process, we thought it best to trudge through to the conclusion of this experience.

Of note, roughly 23% of all land within the West Austin Neighborhood Group Planning Area and 17.8% of the Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan as a whole is either owned by the University of Texas at Austin or the State of Texas. To not be able to include such a significant percentage of the total planning area in a plan, by its very nature, renders the Plan itself far less relevant. The Planning Area, absent these two tracts, is a highly stable neighborhood that by and large works well and is an asset to the City.

Within the next 9 years, the original term of the Brackenridge Tract Development Agreement will expire. Sites available for redevelopment <u>NOW</u> under the Agreement include nearly 75 acres along Lady Bird Lake currently occupied by the affordable and diverse UT owned Brackenridge and Colorado Apartments. Parenthetically, as you well know, we are striving to preserve the remaining undeveloped 150 acres of critical urban greenspace for civic use.

The WANG planning area has 5,320 dwelling units. Cooper Robertson & Partners has submitted two conceptual master plans to the Board of Regents. The less intensive but equally ill conceived Brackenridge Park Plan, if adopted, would add over 6,000 dwelling units for an increase of 113%.

The other plan calls for far more. Other than downtown, few if any neighborhoods are facing this level of densification. Even an addition of 2,700 dwelling units or the equivalent of MF4 (36) on the Brackenridge and Colorado Apartment tracts would represent approximately a 50% increase, again far more than most neighborhoods citywide are facing, and perhaps an unsustainable number based on the environmentally sensitive nature of virtually all of the Tract not to mention the limits on infrastructure such as school and roads, both of which are at or over capacity in the Planning Area. Given the enormity of what will happen in some form, consideration of infill or any other strategy for densification is inappropriate in the planning area.

Were infill options to be considered by Planning Commission, there <u>are</u> lots throughout the planning area where granny flats or duplexes can be added <u>without</u> further overlays in the Plan if property owners want them. In other words, from this perspective, there is no need for infill options.

As Commissioner Sullivan and others know, aside from the graduate student housing, we do have pockets of older, far more affordable housing stock, including the apartments along Enfield and West 35th. Staff recommendation (and its encouragement given to certain property owners) for zoning changes along West 35th could, in fact, have the effect of eradicating the affordable housing stock there by emboldening redevelopment. As an example of what could happen, apartments on Enfield that had leased for \$600 to \$1,000 a month were demolished in the last few years and replaced by condos that currently are listed for approximately \$450,000 a piece with a mortgage payment alone almost \$3,000, not including taxes, insurance and condo fees. While there is text in the CWACNPA Plan that encourages preservation of these pockets of affordability, we ask for the Commission's help in coming up with solutions to avoid the loss of these affordable projects. EVERY teardown, whether it is single or multifamily, in the planning area results in a far more costly dwelling unit(s). That is the nature of our real estate market, desirable or not.

As I noted in a prior email to staff and several Commissioners, if the Brackenridge Tract is not redeveloped, the City can always bring infill and density options back to be discussed as plan amendments at a later date. To expedite the CWANPA plan, as I am sure everyone wants to do, I ask you to visit with your fellow Commissioners and have infill and any related subject matter tabled indefinitely.

Once again, thank you for your time.

August W. Harris III
President
West Austin Neighborhood Group
Phone 512.320.8808
Fax 512.320.8684
www.cfs-texas.com

PSave a tree. Don't print this e-mail unless it's necessary.

This electronic communication (including any attached document) may contain privileged and/or confidential information. This communication is intended only for the use of indicated e-mail

addressees. If you are not an intended recipient of this communication, please be advised that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this communication or any attached document is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and promptly destroy all electronic and printed copies of this communication and any attached document.

DiGiuseppe, Paul

From: Anguiano, Dora

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 4:16 PM

To: DiGiuseppe, Paul

Subject: FW: CENTRAL WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

From: shieh1@aol.com [.

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM

To: danette.chimenti@gmail.com; jay_reddy@dell.com; amdealey@aol.com; dave.anderson.07@gmail.com; bdeleon78@gmail.com; vskirk@att.net; clint_small@hotmail.com; kbtovo@earthlink.net; Anguiano, Dora

Subject: CENTRAL WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

Dear Commissioners,

I will not be able to attend tonight's meeting, but would like to show my support to West Austin Neighborhood Group's position about postponing the approval of the Neighborhood Plan. There are unknown factors that I feel are affecting the creation and tuning of our Neighborhood Plan. The two considerable ones are what the future holds for the Brackenridge tract and the State School tract. Time and time again I have heard the concerns of fellow neighbors about changing the current fabric of the Neighborhood without knowing what is to happen at these two ends. These are extremely large portions of land which can generate a considerable amount of traffic to the area, yet provide density, affordability, mixed use development, and even more open space, green space, and civic centers. Which ones, how much, and what other components or challenges it may bring to the area are yet to be known which leaves the stakeholders of the area bracing themselves. Everyone has worked hard thru this process and we do not want everyone's effort to be done in vain. Any advice as to how to proceed would greatly be appreciated. Proper urban design requires proper planning, and without the major pieces, its success is questionable. Thank you very much for your time and service to the Community.

Sincerely,

James Shieh

2901 Windsor Road

DiGiuseppe, Paul

From: jack Gullahorn

Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 11:35 AM

To: DiGiuseppe, Paul

Subject: Thoughts on PC agenda item for Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan

Dear Mr. DiGiuseppe:

I have read the staff proposal for the Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan, and frankly am both perplexed and flabbergasted.

As an appointee of both the the City and the County on the **Comprehensive Plan City of Austin Task Force**, I have been inundated with many different forms of studies, surveys, forums, meetings in a box, and input as to what Austin "should be" in the minds of its citizens (and those in the ETJ).

While there is certainly no small degree of variation in what we find to be foremost in peoples minds, it is almost universally clear that for the core city of Austin, a huge portion of which is represented by the proposed CWA Plan put forth by the staff, the focus is on collaborative means to increase density, a lessening of congestion, a prioritization on neighborhood mixed use that promotes walkable and transit friendly modalities, and creative planning for the future.

This plan seems to be totally void of anything resembling that input, most especially the creative part. The base of the plan appears to look to the future with an eye toward the past, without regard to doing anything other than window dressing that disturbs the current low density, transportation inspiring, demographic singleness that currently exists. Creative thought and anything consistent with what I have been hearing has to be changed for Austin to gracefully face its future challenges doesn't seem to be a priority in this plan.

This would not normally have risen to a level where it would get my attention, but because it is so counterintuitive to everything that the Vision Austin process is telling me, I felt the need to let you know that I personally hope you will reject it and send it back to the drawing board.

Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion.

Jack Gullahorn

CENTRAL WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

Draft Land Use Goal and introduction:

Leave as it is. It is a wonderful neighborhood with all the needs to include Nature and a very safe area for families. It also includes schools and health resources. Traffic would become horrendous. There is already LOTS of it on Exposition Blvd. by its use to get farther northwest where development has grown. Increased traffic would also be dangerous to the school children.

- 1. Preserving the exsisting family neighborhoods of Central West Austin. Yes 100%
- 2. Preserve and enhance existing multifamily housing and neighborhoodserving commercial districts, by encouraging renovation on existing sites. Yes - 100%

Casis Shopping Center should remain as it is.

Tarrytown Shopping Center could be revitalized if the owner was humane and considered and cared for the residents. If there is any such law or city ordinance that could file charges against her, I strongly recommend it. Howson Library, the fire station and businesses are of great value to the residents and encourages the area to "be family".

All churches in the area and a wonderful asset and a true gift for all those attending

Deep Eddy area is fine as it is Blessed with part of Lady Bird Lake.

35th Street is fine as it is. Hope it will be preserved.

I agree 100% with 1.2.8 that the blocks between 34th & 35th Streets and Jefferson and Mills Ave. remain as they are along with Bryker Woods School remain as they are.

3. All redevelopment shold be compatible with the character of the adjacent neighborhood and should be guided by green design principles.

Single-family Residential design guidelines.

I agree as written,

Multi-Family Commercial design guidelines.

I agree as written

- 4. Encourage the northeast corner of the Windsor Road Planning Area to become a mixed use, urban neighborhood, respecting and providing amenities to Bryker Woods and West 31st Street Neighborhood.
- 1.4.1 ----No comment
- 1.4.2 ---- I agree as written
- 1.4.3----No comment
- 1.4.4----I agree as written
- 1.4.5----I agree as written
- 5. Encourage the State of Texas to keep the Austin State School in its current location and become a more integrated asset to the neighborhood.

I agree the Austin State School should remain as is. It would be terrible if the State of Texas sold it and it would be developed into commercial and dense housing. There again traffic would be very congested and a neighborhood destroyed.

1.5.1----1.5.2----1.5.3-----1.5.4

I agree 100% with the above items as written.

- 6. If the Austin State School redevelops, it should be done in harmony with the adjacent neighborhood, transportation system and natural resources.
- 1.6.1----I totally agree (but hoping the School will not be redeveloped).
- 1.6.2----I agree as written.
- 1.6.3----1.6.4----1.6.5----I agree with all these as writen,
- 7. Continue working with stakeholders within the planning area, including the University of Texas, regarding the future of Brackenridge Tract.
- 1.7.1 I agree meetings should continue with stakeholders.

Stakeholders Feedback on the Brackenridge Tract.

I agree 110% that the Municipal Golf Course should belong to the City of Austin. It is an important spot where Nature still reigns. Traffic would be impossible if UT developed it. Residents within the city should have a place they can call "ours". Over the many years am sure the city has done many things to benefit UT. It is time for UT to do something for Austin by reducing their dollar charge to something reasonable and Austin and all the residents should get together and buy the golf course,

As far as the remaining 16 items listed regarding the Brackenridge Tract, I approve all of them and do appreciate the long hours spent all members of the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department.

Bladys Longors
320 9 Bridle Path

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMEN	T FORM	
File # C14-2010-0051 P1 # C14-2010-0052	anning Commission Hearing Da	te: May 25, 2010
Comments: Jam goouns	+H.Jcanot-P	nd parling
when I come h	ome due to toa	trailers -
HULALIUT parling		ing and sign
	ig almost everyli	
You may also send your written comments Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Pa	to the Planning and Developmental DiGiuseppe	t Review Department, P. O.
Name (please print) X - VOTPSC	M .	l I am in favor
Address 1503 PAGG	A XVANUE X	(Estoy de acuerdo) I object
AUTIN H	12703	(No estoy de acuerdo)
INFORMATI	ON ON PUBLIC HEARINGS	
The Planning and Development Review Dimplement a neighborhood plan. This notion that all property owners, registered envirous addresses located within 500 feet of a property development has been filed.	ce has been mailed to you because mmental or neighborhood organic	se City Ordinance requires zations and utility service
This request for zoning/rezoning will be revi Planning Commission and then before the Ci reviews and evaluates City staff recom- recommendation on the zoning/rezoning re- shown on this notice.	ity Council. After a public hearing mendation and public input	g, the Planning Commission and then sends its own
If you have any questions concerning this Development Review Department at the nur your support or opposition to this request, yo	mber shown on the first page. If	of Austin Planning and you would like to express
 by attending the Planning Commissio by writing to the Planning Commissio by writing to the city contact, listed or 	on, using the form provided on the	cerns at that meeting previous page
As a property owner or interested party within if you do attend, you will be given an opport and/or their agents are expected to attend.	n 500 feet, you are not required to tunity to speak FOR or AGAINS	attend these hearings, but T the change. Applicants

PLANNING COMMISSION COM	IMENT FORM
File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052	Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010
Comments: du not Kerp Enfi	approve of zoning change 103. cle Park! not approve the Corner Store Dermit
Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. A	
Name (please print) Andrea	Gillerine I I am in favor
Address 1818 W. 36 th, 1	Gillentue I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)
INFOR	MATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS
implement a neighborhood plan. The that all property owners, registered	view Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to is notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service of a proposed development be notified that an application for
Planning Commission and then before reviews and evaluates City staff	be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission recommendation and public input and then sends its own ing request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are
If you have any questions concerning Development Review Department at your support or opposition to this requirement.	ng this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express est, you may do so in several ways:
 by attending the Planning Com by writing to the Planning Con by writing to the city contact, I 	mission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting amission, using the form provided on the previous page isted on the previous page
As a property owner or interested part if you do attend, you will be given ar and/or their agents are expected to atte	y within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but a opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and.

PLANNING COMMISSION COM	MENT FORM	
File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052	Planning Commission Hearing I	Date: May 25, 2010
Comments:		
		
You may also send your written common Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. At	tn. Paul DiGiucenne	ent Review Department, P. O.
Name (please print) 54ephen?	B. Griffith	I am in favor
Name (please print) Stephen? Address 3003 Was Austin	deAv	(Estoy de acuerdo) I object
Austin	TX 78 703	(No estoy de acuerdo)
INFORM	MATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS	
The Planning and Development Reviews implement a neighborhood plan. This that all property owners, registered eaddresses located within 500 feet of development has been filed.	s notice has been mailed to you beca environmental or neighborhood orga	nuse City Ordinance requires nizations and utility service
This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.		
If you have any questions concerning Development Review Department at the your support or opposition to this requestion.	ne number shown on the first page.	ity of Austin Planning and If you would like to express
 by attending the Planning Comm by writing to the Planning Comm by writing to the city contact, lis 	nission hearing and conveying your co nission, using the form provided on th ted on the previous page	oncerns at that meeting ne previous page
As a property owner or interested party if you do attend, you will be given an and/or their agents are expected to attend	opportunity to speak FOR or AGAIN	to attend these hearings, but IST the change. Applicants

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM File # C14-2010-0051 Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010 # C14-2010-0052 Comments: You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe Name (please print) Michel Dissimville I am in favor Address 3607 Cherrylane Austra, 1x 78703 (Estoy de acuerdo) 1 object (No estoy de acuerdo) INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed. This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice. If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways: ☐ by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting

As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend.

☐ by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page

□ by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page

May 21, 2010

(No estoy de acuerdo)

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File	#	C14-2010-0051
	#	C14-2010-0052

Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010

Comments: We are apposed to the plan to be	illd condos
and commercial sites along exposer	ion. This will
cause considerable toaffee congestion	for w austin
from Lake Qustin Blue to 35th 38th Culus	ich is alwardy
You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development R Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe	Loadest) .eview Department, P. O.
Name (please print) MRN MRS G, TELDER THORNHILL	I am in favor
Address 3233 TARRYHOLLOW 18703 8	<i>(Estoy de acuerdo)</i> I object

INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways:

by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting
by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page
by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page

As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend.

File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052	Planning Commission Hea	aring Date: May 25, 2010
Comments:		
Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-88	n comments to the Planning and Deve 335. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe	- · ·
Name (please print) 100 Address 2613 Periods	ina Dean	I am in favor (Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)

implement a neighborhood plan that all property owners, regist addresses located within 500 development has been filed.	t Review Department has filed an a this notice has been mailed to you tered environmental or neighborhood feet of a proposed development be	ou because City Ordinance requires d organizations and utility service e notified that an application for
Planning Commission and then be reviews and evaluates City	will be reviewed and acted upon at two before the City Council. After a public staff recommendation and public rezoning request to the City Council	ic hearing, the Planning Commission input and then sends its own
Development Review Departmen	acerning this notice, please contact not at the number shown on the first p is request, you may do so in several wa	page. If you would like to express
by writing to the Planning	Commission hearing and conveying y Commission, using the form provide act, listed on the previous page	your concerns at that meeting ed on the previous page
As a property owner or interested if you do attend, you will be give and/or their agents are expected to	I party within 500 feet, you are not reen an opportunity to speak FOR or A o attend.	equired to attend these hearings, but AGAINST the change. Applicants
You may also wish to contact an interest in cases affecting your ne	y neighborhood or environmental orgighborhood.	ganizations that have expressed an

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM File # C14-2010-0051 Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010 # C14-2010-0052 THIS AREA NEEDS MORE COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES Comments: WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE NOT LESS. You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe Name (please print) MARK FINLEY I am in favor Address _____ 2000 HOPI TR, AUSTIN 78703 (Estoy de acuerdo) $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ I object (No estoy de acuerdo) INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed. This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own

recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways:

by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting
by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page
by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page

As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend.

C7-6

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM File # C14-2010-0051 Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010 # C14-2010-0052 Comments: You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe Name (please print) eborah I am in favor (Estov de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo) INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed. This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice. If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways: by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend. You may also wish to contact any neighborhood or environmental organizations that have expressed an

interest in cases affecting your neighborhood.

	PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM
	File # C14-2010-0051 Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010 # C14-2010-0052
	Comments: The proposed change to zoning designation is too general and will run far too great a risk to damaging our neighborhood and the Value of my home.
•	You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe Name (please print)
	INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS
	The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed.
	This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.
	If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways:

As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend.

by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting
 by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page

by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page

May 21, 2012

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM

File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052

Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010

Comments:	we are apposed to the plan to lived condos
	and commercial sites along exposition. This will
	couse considerable toaffic congestion for w austin
	from Lake auskin Blust to 35th 38th Curlish is alysedy
Vou may ale	everloaded)

You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe

Name (please print)	MRNM	R5	G. FELDER	TH	<u>ORIVHILL</u>	Ū	I am in favor
Address	3233	TAI	RRYHOLLON	ט	18703	8	(Estoy de acuerdo) I object (No estoy de acuerdo)

INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed.

This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice.

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways:

Ш	by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting
	by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page
	by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page

As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend.

File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052		Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010								
Comments:	I	brit	least	ang	change)	on	ley	art	201.	
-						-				
-										
Box 1088, A	ustin, TX	78767-8	835. Attr	ı: Paul I	DiGiuseppe	;				eview Department, P. O.
Name (please	print) _	DON	16]	(N	Si	n]	I am in favor
Address	350	Lak	o Au.	56n	blvd=	HD.	Aus.	TX 0	l	(Estoy de acuerdo) l object
				n				P23		(No estoy de acuerdo)
		IN	IFORM.	ATION	ON PUBI	ЈС Н	EARIN	GS		
implement a that all prope	neighborl erty own ated wit	nood pla ers, regis hin 500	n. This stered en	notice l	nas been m ental or ne	ailed eighbo	to you b rhood o	oecaus organiz	se (zati	for zoning/ rezoning to City Ordinance requires ons and utility service that an application for
Planning Comreviews and	mission evaluate ion on th	and then es City	before the staff re	e City (comme	Council. A ndation as	fter a j	public he ablic in	earing put a	g, th and	earings: First, before the ne Planning Commission then sends its own dates and locations are
If you have Development your support of	Review 1	Departme	ent at the	numbe	er shown o	n the f	first page	e. If	you	f Austin Planning and would like to express
by writ	ting to the	Plannin	g Comm	ission, ι	earing and ousing the foregrees of the contract of the contrac	rm pr	ying you ovided o	r cond	cen pre	ns at that meeting vious page
As a property if you do atter and/or their ag	ıd, you w	vill be gi	ven an o	pportun	00 feet, you	ı are n k FOR	ot requi	red to AINS	att T t	end these hearings, but he change. Applicants
You may also interest in case	wish to es affecting	contact a	ny neigh eighborh	borhood ood.	d or enviro	nment	al organ	izatio	ns	that have expressed an

PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT FORM File # C14-2010-0051 Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010 # C14-2010-0052 Comments: You may also send your written comments to the Planning and Development Review Department, P. O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-8835. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe Name (please print) Christi Craddick I am in favor Address 1500 Dillman Austin, Tx 78703 (Estoy d (Estoy de acuerdo) (No estoy de acuerdo) INFORMATION ON PUBLIC HEARINGS The Planning and Development Review Department has filed an application for zoning/ rezoning to implement a neighborhood plan. This notice has been mailed to you because City Ordinance requires that all property owners, registered environmental or neighborhood organizations and utility service addresses located within 500 feet of a proposed development be notified that an application for development has been filed. This request for zoning/rezoning will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: First, before the Planning Commission and then before the City Council. After a public hearing, the Planning Commission reviews and evaluates City staff recommendation and public input and then sends its own recommendation on the zoning/rezoning request to the City Council. Meeting dates and locations are shown on this notice. If you have any questions concerning this notice, please contact the City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department at the number shown on the first page. If you would like to express your support or opposition to this request, you may do so in several ways: by attending the Planning Commission hearing and conveying your concerns at that meeting by writing to the Planning Commission, using the form provided on the previous page ☐ by writing to the city contact, listed on the previous page As a property owner or interested party within 500 feet, you are not required to attend these hearings, but if you do attend, you will be given an opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the change. Applicants and/or their agents are expected to attend. You may also wish to contact any neighborhood or environmental organizations that have expressed an interest in cases affecting your neighborhood.

PLANNING COMMISSION	COMMENT FORM	X					
File # C14-2010-0051 # C14-2010-0052	Planning Commission Hear	Planning Commission Hearing Date: May 25, 2010					
Comments:							
You may also send your written Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767-88	comments to the Planning and Devel 35. Attn: Paul DiGiuseppe	lopment Review Department, P. (
Name (please print)	C. Land	I am in favor					
Address 2906 By	idle Path	(Estoy de acuerdo) _ I object					
Austin,	TX 78703	(No estoy de acuerdo)					