

# **EXHIBIT G**

Small Lot Amnesty: West Austin  
Neighborhood Group's Letter Of  
No Opposition

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Small Lot Amnesty

**Recommended by:** *Neighborhood*

**From:** Michael R. Cannatti Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2010 11:28 AM  
**To:** sully.jumpnet@sbcglobal.net; Danette Chimenti; jay\_reddy@dell.com; amdealey@aol.com; dave.anderson.07@gmail.com; clint\_small@hotmail.com; bdeleon78@gmail.com; vskirk@att.net; kbtovo@earthlink.net  
**Cc:** DiGiuseppe, Paul; wang-board@westaustinng.com; Michael Curry; Sara Marler; Vivian Wilson; Dealey Herndon; Cathy Kyle; MPowell@AtlanticTrust.com  
**Subject:** RE: Tonight's Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan Subcommittee Hearing - June 16, 2010

**Attachments:** PC Neighborhood Plan Subcommittee Presentation.pdf  
Commissioners--

In advance of tonight's Neighborhood Plan Subcommittee meeting, we have prepared and attached a presentation outline relating the issues discussed at the May 25 Planning Commission hearing for the Central West Austin Combined Neighborhood Plan. In particular, there were requests from the commissioners relating to various land use and zoning matters, as well as the Austin State School and Brackenridge tracts and likely development thereon, and the impact of such development on our neighborhood planning process. We have attempted to organize this information (with linked exhibits) along the lines of the Motion Sheet for this case, although there are some "additional issues" listed at the end of the presentation outline.


The information presented concerning the development at the Brackenridge and Austin State School tracts shows that there are significant increases in density of residential and retail amenities in our planning area, both under the terms of the Brackenridge Development Agreement and the Cooper Robertson conceptual plan proposals. In addition, we would note that the plan proposes density-increasing land development uses in the alternative for both the Austin State School tract (as proposed in the proposed land use chapter) and the Brackenridge tract (as proposed by the neighborhood stakeholders, but excluded from the land use chapter).

We have also presented information relating to the zoning cases which we can explain further at tonight's meeting. There may also be new information available tonight to bring to your attention regarding the subjects of Motions 18-20.

One last point regarding the infill options in this plan. Given the available duplex-based density increases and the likely development of the Brackenridge and Austin State School tracts in our planning area, there are certainly questions about the need for additional infill in our neighborhood. **Notwithstanding these concerns, the West Austin Neighborhood Group has voted to withdraw their opposition to the small lot amnesty infill recommendation from staff**

Thank you for your consideration of this information, and we look forward to meeting with you tonight.

Michael Rocco Cannatti  
West Austin Neighborhood Group



## Legend

### Secondary Apt and Small Lot Amnesty Lots Infill Options



Secondary Apartments= 564 Lots



Small Lot Amnesty= 112 Lots