

**Contract and Land Management Department
Evaluation of Consultant Qualifications**

COMPOSITE

December 2, 2010

Shoal Creek at Pease Park Restoration

EVALUATION MATRIX

Morgan Byars

		<i>Item 1</i> [Yes or No]	<i>Item 2</i> [Yes or No]	<i>Item 3</i> [24]	<i>Item 4</i> [15]	<i>Item 5</i> [15 w/subs] [30 wo/subs]	<i>Item 6</i> [15 w/subs] [0 w/o subs]	<i>Item 7</i> [8]	<i>Item 8</i> [12]	<i>Item 9</i> [11]	[100]	<i>Item 10</i> [25]	[125]	
	Firm (or Joint Venture)	M/WBE Goals met or G.F.E.	Prime Firm's EEO Program	Experience of Project Manager {16}/ Principal {8}	Experience and Availability of Proposed Staff	Prime Firm's Comparable Project Experience	Subconsultant Firms' Comparable Project Experience	Team's Experience with Austin Issues	Team's Structure and Project Approach	COA Experience with Prime	SUB TOTAL	Optional Interview	TOTAL POINTS	
1	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Y	Y	21.40	13.60	14.00	13.20	7.20	10.80	10.57	90.77	—	90.77	
2	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc	Y	Y	19.00	13.20	13.20	12.80	7.60	10.20	11.00	87.00	—	87.00	
3	URS Corporation	Y	Y	18.20	12.80	12.00	13.20	7.60	11.40	10.85	86.05	—	86.05	
4	Halff Assoc. Inc.	Y	Y	19.00	11.80	12.20	12.60	7.40	11.00	10.88	84.88	—	84.88	
5	CH2M Hill, Inc.	Y	Y	17.40	12.00	13.20	13.00	7.20	10.40	11.00	84.20	—	84.20	
6	Espey Consultants	Y	Y	19.40	11.80	11.20	12.00	7.60	10.00	11.00	83.00	—	83.00	
7	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Y	Y	17.20	11.80	12.20	12.20	7.40	9.60	11.00	81.40	—	81.40	
8	Applied Ecological Services, Inc.	Y	Y	18.80	10.00	13.20	12.00	5.80	9.40	11.00	80.20	—	80.20	
9	Michael Baker Jr. Inc.	Y	Y	15.00	10.20	11.40	10.80	6.00	8.40	11.00	72.80	—	72.80	
10	Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc.	Y	Y	15.20	11.20	9.60	11.00	6.80	8.00	11.00	72.80	—	72.80	
11	Dannenbaum Engineering Company	Y	Y	12.80	10.80	9.40	11.20	7.20	9.20	11.00	71.60	—	71.60	