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## McDonald, John

From: Betty Trent [betty-archplus@swbell.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 6:58 PM
To: Benavidez, Sylvia; McDonald, John
Subject: Appeal of RDCC decision 4/6/2100 on Case D-s 11-002992R
Dear Sylvia,
We are formally requesting appeal of the decision by the RDCC for our case. at 2822 Wooldridge Drive We find that the decision was arbitrary, capricious and did not fully adhere to the ordinance criteria for rejection.
Several points:
We find that other cases in the same or adjacent neighborhoods were granted FAR increases ( some larger than our request) without the same supporting criteria.
We find that FAR increases have been granted with similar opposition from neighborhood associations that was referred to as "generic".
We find that no consideration was given for the extent of our 79 letters of no objection from neighbors.
We find that no consideration was made for the fact that our increase had no impact on adjacent property.
We find that contrary to the board's criteria, style and material not massing or scale, was a primary consideration in the boards deliberation.
We find that contrary to the board's criteria, the massing of adjacent property consistent with our project was not considered.
We find that contrary to the board's criteria that no consideration was given to topography of our site.
We find that drawings required from us for the board's review were not consistently requested by other applicants.
We find that staff indicated incorrectly to the board that our application was not consistent with our FAR request and that confusion about the application was prejudicial to our case.
We find that despite this project replacing an existing modern style duplex that prejudice against duplexes was a factor in the board's decision.
We request that we be scheduled on the next available council agenda for appeal to the council.
Betty Trent, AIA
ARCHITECTURE PLUS
1907 N. Lamar Blvd \# 260
Austin Texas 78705
V (512)478-0970
F (512) 478-0920
betty-archplus@swbell.net


## Residential Design and Compatibility Commission March 2, 2011, 6:00 PM

City Hall, Board and Commission Room
301 West $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street
Austin, Texas

William Burkhardt (Chair)<br>Karen McGraw (Vice-Chair)<br>_Jean Stevens<br>_ Beth Engelland

Keith Jackson<br>— Chuck Mains<br>__Lucy Katz

## AGENDA

## CALL TO ORDER

## A. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION: GENERAL

The first 4 speakers signed up prior to the meeting being called to order will each be allowed a three-minute allotment to address their concerns regarding items not posted on the agenda.
B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (January 5, 2011)
C. RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARD POSTPONEMENT

## C-1 10-104084RA Leslie Hoard <br> 4212 Ramsey Avenue

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1
Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\% ( 2700 sq ft ) to $46.1 \%$ ( 3110 sq ft ) to allow a two story 410 square foot addition to an existing two story single family residence in a SF3 zoning district.

## D. RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARD PUBLIC HEARING

## D-1 10-098821R <br> Marie Case <br> 1606 East Side Drive

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable
$40 \%(3554 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ to $45 \%$ ( 4036.95 sq ft ) to allow the construction of a new 3 story single family residence and maintain an existing 1 story accessory structure in a SF3-N/P zoning district.

## D-2 10-110001RM Blake Rue <br> 3002 Kerbey Lane

## Granted

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\%( $2623 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ to $42 \%(2795 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ to convert a portion of the existing attached garage to create a bedroom/bath for an existing single family residence in a SF3-N/P zoning district.

## D-3 11-002992R Jeff Berger and Betty Trent 2822 Wooldridge Drive

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1
Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\% ( 3132 sq ft ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sq ft ) to construct a new 2 story duplex in a SF3-N/P zoning district.

## D-4 11-01001279RA Mike Collins for Walter Servicing Corporation 2102 East $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from allowable 40\% (3116 sq ft ) to the maximum allowed per waiver request at 3895 sq ft in order to re permit an existing 2 sty duplex in a SF3 N/P zoning district.

## D-5 11-010926R Tom Hurt for Jon Ludwig and Erin Foster 504 East Annie Street

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\% ( 2400 sq ft ) to $46.1 \%$ ( 2770 sq ft ) to allow new construction of a two story single family home in a SF3-N/P zoning district. .

## D-6 11-009353RA James Holland for Matthew Kreislie 1512 Hardouin Avenue

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable
$40 \%(3659.2 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ to $47.6 \%$ ( 4355 sq ft ) to allow a first and second story addition of 695.8 square feet to an existing single family residence in a SF-3-N/P zoning district.

## D-7 11-010989R Nathan Stephens 4200 Valley View

The applicant is requesting a future modification to discuss and review lot boundaries and how they specifically work toward defining the tent requirement in the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.6 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards to continue building on the remainder single family duplex lots in a SF3 zoning district.

## D-8 11-009015PR Kevin M Flanive for Adam Boenig 3730 Cima Serena Drive

The applicant is requesting a future modification to allow an increase of up to $25 \%$ in the maximum floor to area ratio or maximum square footage of gross floor area; Maximum linear feet of gables or dormers protruding from the setback plane; or waive or modify the side wall articulation requirement from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.8.1 A \& B Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards to allow new construction of single family homes in an urban infill 26 lot subdivision that would be compatible in scale and bulk with structures in the vicinity of the development in a SF3 zoning district.

## E. DISCUSSION ITEM

To discuss the reschedule date for the work session

## ADJOURNMENT

The City of Austin is committed to compliance with the American with Disabilities Act. Reasonable modifications and equal access to communications will be provided upon request. Meeting locations are planned with wheelchair access. If requiring Sign Language Interpreters or alternative formats, please give notice at least 4 days before the meeting date. Please call Sylvia Benavidez at Planning and Development Review Department, at 512-974-2522, sylvia.benavidez@ci.austin.tx.us, for additional information; TTY users route through Relay Texas at 711.

Residential Design and Compatibility Commission
April 6, 2011, 6:00 PM
City Hall, Board and Commission Room
301 West $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street
Austin, Texas

William Burkhardt (Chair)<br>__Karen McGraw (Vice-Chair)<br>__Jean Stevens<br>__Beth Engelland

_ Keith Jackson
_ Chuck Mains
_ Lucy Katz

## AGENDA

## CALL TO ORDER

## A. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION: GENERAL

The first 4 speakers signed up prior to the meeting being called to order will each be allowed a three-minute allotment to address their concerns regarding items not posted on the agenda.

## B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (January 5, 2011 and March 2, 2011)

## C. RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARD

 POSTPONEMENT
## C-1 11-010989R Nathan Stephens <br> 4200 Valley View

The applicant is requesting a future modification to discuss and review lot boundaries and how they specifically work toward defining the tent requirement in the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.6 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards to continue building on the remainder single family duplex lots in a SF3 zoning district.

## WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT

## C-2 11-002992R Jeff Berger and Betty Trent 2822 Wooldridge Drive

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\% ( 3132 sq ft ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sq ft ) to construct a new 2 story duplex in a SF3-N/P zoning district.

## C-3 11-010926R <br> Tom Hurt for Jon Ludwig and Erin Foster 504 East Annie Street

The applicant is requesting a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable 40\% ( 2400 sq ft ) to $46.1 \%$ ( 2770 sq ft ) to allow new construction of a two story single family home in a SF3-N/P zoning district. .
D. CONSIDERATION AND ACTION

## D-1 Approval of Revised Residential Design Compatibility Commission Bylaws

## D-2 Meeting Schedule for 2011

## E. DISCUSSION ITEM

## E-1 Revise Residential Design Compatibility Commission Checklist


#### Abstract

ADJOURNMENT The City of Austin is committed to compliance with the American with Disabilities Act. Reasonable modifications and equal access to communications will be provided upon request. Meeting locations are planned with wheelchair access. If requiring Sign Language Interpreters or alternative formats, please give notice at least 4 days before the meeting date. Please call Sylvia Benavidez at Planning and Development Review Department, at 512-974-2522, sylvia.benavidez@ci.austin.tx.us, for additional information; TTY users route through Relay Texas at 711 .
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FOLDER DETAILS
INFORMATION
Pubilc Search
Issued Permit Report

REGISTERED
USERS
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| Update Registration | Information Descriptinn | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smart Housing | No |
| My Permits/Cases | Total New/Addition Bldg Square Footage | 5546 |
|  | Total Job Valuation | 400000 |
| My Licenses | TRCC registration required for Owner/GC? | Yes |
|  | Building Inspection | Yes |
| Request / Cancel / View Inspections | Electric Inspection | Yes |
|  | Mechanical Inspection | Yes |
|  | Plumbing Inspection | Yes |
| My Escrow Accounts | Energy Inspection | Yes |
|  | Driveway Inspection | No |
| Reports | Sidewallis Inspection | No |
|  | Environmental Inspection | No |
| Login | Landscaping Inspection | No |
|  | Tree Inspection | Yes |
| HELP | Water Tap Inspection | Yes |
|  | Sewer Tap Inspection | Yes |
| Web Help | On Site Sewage Facility Inspection | No |
|  | Fire Inspection | No |
| FEEDBACK | Health Inspection | No |
|  | Current Zoning for Building | SF-3 |
| Email Us | Name of Neighborhood Plan | WINDSOR ROAD |
|  | Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | .434948492941625333842045020984357115605 |
|  | Is this property in MUD ? | No |
|  | Is there a Cut \& Fill in excess of 4 ft | No |
|  | Parking Spaces Required | 3 |
|  | Number of Floors | 2 |
|  | Number of Units | 2 |
|  | Front Set Back | 25 |
|  | Rear Set Back | 10 |
|  | Side Set Back | 5 |
|  | Does property access a paved alley? | No |
|  | Proposed Use | new 2 story duplex |
|  | Square Footage of Lot | 10484 |
|  | Total Building Coverage on lot Sq. Ft. | 3154 |
|  | Total Building Coverage Percent, of Lot | 30 |
|  | Total Impervious Coverage Square Footage | 4684 |
|  | Total Impervious Coverage Percent of Lot | 44.7 |
|  | Certificate of Occupancy to be Issued | Yes |
|  | Code Year | 2000 |
|  | Code Type | International Residential Code |
|  | Hazardous Pipeline Review Required | No |
|  | Public or Private | Private |
|  | Usage Category | 103 |

PROPERTY DETAILS


Motion: Postponement of the modification to allow a F.A.R increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, ARTICLE 2: DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.
Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the Allowable $40 \%$ ( 3835 square feet) to $49 \%$ ( 4662 square feet) in order to build a new 2(two) story duplex with attached garages. The additional modification request is 827 square feet.

Ayes: Burkhardt, McGraw, Stevens, Katz, Engelland, Jackson, Mains
Nays: none
Abstain: none
Absent: none
Motion: POSTPONED TO MARCH 3, 2010; 7-0

## C. Residential Design and Compatibility Standard Public Hearings

## 1. 10-001337PR Part A, 10-001337PR Part B, 10-001337PR Part C Lindsay Hunter for Daphne Kuykendall <br> 1403 Wathen Avenue

The applicant has requested a modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 4108 square feet) to $48.9 \%$ ( 4476 square feet) for a rear $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor addition. The additional modification request is 368 square feet.

## Motion by: Commissioner Stevens <br> Seconded by: Commissioner Engelland

Motion: Approval of the modification to allow a F.A.R. increase from the maximum development permitted in Chapter 25-2 of the Land Development Code, Section 2.1 Subchapter F: Residential Design and Compatibility Standards from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 4108 square feet) to $48.9 \%$ ( 4476 square feet) for a rear $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor addition. The additional modification request is 368 square feet.

Ayes: McGraw, Stevens, Katz, Engelland, Jackson, Mains
Nays: none
Abstain: Burkhardt
Absent: none

## Motion: GRANTED 6-1

## D. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

1. The Commission will discuss the status of the Residential Remodel definition.

## 5. LHD-2011-0002 - 4308 Avenue F (Hyde Park)

Proposal: Construct a two-story addition
Applicant: Erik Ulland, H+UO Architects
City Staff: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, 974-6454
Committee Recommendation: Approve as proposed after discussions.
Staff Recommendation: Approve as proposed.
COMMISSION ACTION:
No speakers (passed on consent). The public hearing was closed (Kleon/Hansen). Vote: 4-0 (Arriaga and Rosato absent; Myers off-dais).
MOTION: (Kleon/Hansen)
Approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the new. plans with greater verticality to the windows in the addition.
VOTE: $\quad$ 4-0 (Arriaga and Rosato absent; Myers off-dais).
6. C14-2010-0186 - Robertson Building, 416 Congress Avenue - INITIAL CONCEPTUAL PROPOSAL REVIEWED FAVORABLY BY THE COMMITTEE WITH THE RECOMMENDATION TO CONTINUE DEVELOPING PLANS FOR FULL REVIEW BY THE COMMITTEE AND COMMISSION AT A LATER DATE.
Proposal: Construct a new hotel addition and modifications to the façade.
Applicant: 3-BR-416 Congress, LLC
City Staff: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, 974-6454
Staff Recommendation: Pending
C.

REVIEW OF PERMITS IN NATIONAL REGISTER HISTORIC DISTRICTS

1. NRD-2010-0148

2822 Wooldridge Drive (Old West Austin)
Proposal: Construct a new duplex on a vacant lot
Applicant: Jeff Burger, architect
City Staff: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, 974-6454
Staff Recommendation: Release the building permit as proposed.
COMMISSION ACTION:
Betty Trent, applicant spoke in favor and gave the rebuttal. Joyce Basciano, Candace Volz, and Keith Tanaguchi spoke in opposition. The public hearing was closed (Leary/Kleon). Vote: 4-0 (Arriaga and Rosato absent; Hansen off-dais).
COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The second set of plans, which show the plans if the variance requested for additional FAR is not granted, is the preferable set, as the roof composition, form, and pitch are more in keeping with the neighborhood character.
2. If the applicant is trying to evoke the International Style, then the roof projections shown on the applicant's first set of plans (which will require a variance for additional FAR) are out of character with that style.
3. Concerns about the roof, which appears to be very aggressive. Modernism is a more refined and simple style, not with diagonals as shown in the first set of plans (which will require a variance for additional FAR). The first set of plans show an industrial-looking roof; the applicants should try to mitigate that and still have solar collectors. The roof as

proposed is jarring. The west elevation of the proposed duplex is much more fitting because it is simple.
4. Simplify the exterior elements and soften the roofline.
5. If solar collectors are driving the design, then try putting the collectors on the roof of the garage rather than on the roof of the main building so that the roofline can be modified.
6. . Try to simplify the roof and think about flat monitors rather than the proposed clerestory for additional light in the duplex.
7. The proposed design is out of character with the neighborhood, and a lot of the problem is the proposed roofline. Consider a hipped roof instead of the proposed design.
8. Relate the duplex to the rest of the neighborhood in terms of materials.
9. The McMansion ordinance is intended to require a level of compatibility with the neighborhood in terms of materials and form.
10. The roofline, materials, and windows make the proposed building look too busy.
11. Simplify the mix of materials - use more of one and less of the other, whether it is stucco or wood.
12. NRD-2011-0009 - 1608 Wethersfield Road (Old West Austin)

Proposal: Construct a rear addition.
Applicant: Larry Anderson Construction, Inc.
City Staff: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, 974-6454
Staff Recommendation: Release the permit as proposed.

## COMMISSION ACTION:

No speakers (passed on consent). The public hearing was closed (Kleon/Hansen). Vote: 4-0 (Arriaga and Rosato absent; Myers off-dais).
MOTION: (Kleon/Hansen)
Release the building permit as proposed.
VOTE: $\quad$ 4-0 (Arriaga and Rosato absent; Myers off-dais).
IV. COMMITTEE REPORTS
A. SPECIAL CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Commissioner Myers reported that the Committee had reviewed the two cases from the Hyde Park Local Historic District on tonight's agenda.
B. OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Commissioner Limbacher reported that the Committee continues to meet.
C. GRANTS COMMITTEE

Commissioner Hansen reported that the Committee will meet again in March. 2011.
D. PRESERVATION PLAN COMMITTEE

Commissioner Kleon reported that the Committee will be setting a date for their next meeting.

## V. NEW BUSINESS

A. ITEMS FROM COMMISSIONERS

No report.
B. TTEMS FROM STAFF

No report.

KOOF PLAN $\omega^{\prime}-1^{\prime} \cdot O^{\circ}$
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Gougle maps

To see all the details that are visible on the screen, use the "Print" link next to the map.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: 11-002992R
ADDRESS 2822 Wooldridge Drive
Contact: Sylvia Benavidez, 974-2522
Public Hearing: February 2, 2011


Residential Design and Compatibility Commission


1305 West $29 t 3$ Street, Unit B
Your address(es) affected by this application



If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Sylvia Benavidez
P.O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.
signed Pflaceflt) - Alan Ho It
Address 1519 Mole $D_{1}$.

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Bagger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Address 1611 Monte Drive

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Address


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.
Good luck!


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822
Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Address 3003 Oakhurst Ave. Austin tx 78703

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Bagger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Address 3006 OAthuris

Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.
Best of Luck.


As a neighbor of Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger, I have been informed of their plans to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


As a neighbor of Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger, I have been informed of their plans to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


## Brykerwsods

As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Address 1303 BELILONT PKWY

Brykerwoods
As a resident of the Pemberten neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed Harriet Rutland
Address 1200 Belmont Parkway

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Address $\qquad$ 1105 Belmont Pkwy. Austin, Tx 78703

As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf).
I have no objection to their request.


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr . and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


## Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr . and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.
Signeed thadrea Jeatinan-Saenz

Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


## Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


## Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


## Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


## Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr . and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822
Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf).
I have no objection to their request.


I have lived in Rosedale for over 30 years and, as an architect, I have taken great interest in my neighborhood as well as Pemberton and Brykerwoods, where my kids went to school.
I am familiar with the redevelopment proposed by Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
In my opinion, what they have proposed is in keeping with the neighborhood and a distinct improvement over what was there before.
I have no objection to their request for an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ) and would recommend this project be built as designed.

Signed


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822
Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


As a neighbor of Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger, I have been informed of their plans to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Brykerusoods
As a resident of the Semberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822
Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


An ム \% Phil

Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a neighbor of Betty Trent and Jeffrey Banger, I have been informed of their plans to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr . and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their valance requesps and hay no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


Address


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

no objections on my part

Leif Johnsch
1505 west $29^{\text {th }}$ st. Austin, TK 78703

Dear Board of Adjustment,

As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.

Signed



Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr . and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Hi- have been an io of wintry for a man th iv jus tread e your segues o d see ot may be too late bul in case you sofar meed Pho or send of aheaddyad similar franble getter on of to put my bay window on Se hanse -
food lucte

ps d cr e re sis
need one wont seubliles!
piso Min is a grease location!

Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Berger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variacequests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


As a resident of the Brykerwoods neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ). I ont have a dog in this race as I have no objection to their request.
this project is several blocks away from my home. I think adjacent property owners and their voice should carry the most weight.
Signed


Address 3002 Kerbey Lane

## To Whom it May Concern:

I am a Pemberton resident. I understand Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger will be adding an increase in FAR from $40 \%$ to $45 \%$ at 2822 Wooldridge Drive. I have no objection to this request. Amy Malesh

Amy Malish
2600 Harris Blvd.
Austin, Tx 78703

3/4/11

As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed



As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed
 1400 Preston Ave.

As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Berger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years. I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.

Signed


As a resident of the Pemberton neighborhood, I have been informed of the plans that Betty Trent and Jeffrey Barger have to rebuild their duplex at 2822 Wooldridge Dr.
This project will replace the duplex that has been on this street for over 20 years.
I understand they are requesting an increase in FAR, from the allowable $40 \%$ ( 3100 sf ) to $45 \%$ ( 3600 sf ).
I have no objection to their request.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, I have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variafceqeguests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.


Dear Board of Adjustment,
As a neighbor of Jeffrey Barger and Betty Trent, $I$ have been informed of their intent to rebuild at 2822 Wooldridge Dr. and of the nature of their variance requests and have no objection to the granting of these two requests.



- All green / sustainable construction with exclusive LEED
- 2300 S. two-story home with partial city views from second floor Three bedrooms with three full bathrooms, gourmet kitchen with commercial appliance package and oversize master suite
- Extensive deck at first floor and private deck at second floor
- Structural insulated slab-on-void for zero impact to Heritage Tree
- $10^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ clear ceiling heights on first and second floor, with natural day-lighting through skylights
- Extended depth framing with green sprayed insulation for walls and ceilings
- Flat Green Roof with rainwater collection, and solar panels (solar designed for $100 \%$ of home electrical requirements)
- Smart Home integrated energy management and reporting system. Access and adjust via your iphone or remotely via the internet
- High efficiency heating and cooling systems. Bosch premium on-demand water heater
- Xeric low water landscaping with architectural fence for screening at street
- High efficiency, premium door and windows
- Recycled glass and resin premium counter-tops throughout
- Locally sourced materials and labor throughout

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { From:- } 1705 \text { Newning - Attachment }
\end{aligned}
$$
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All structures etc. must maintain $75^{\circ}$ clearance from AE energized power lines. Enforced by AE \& NESC codes.

HARDOUIN AVE.

## AEAPPROVEO.

## OCT 222010



S 93.7' LOT 1 AND W 20' LOT 2 8LK 4 PEMBERTON HEIGHTS SEC 1 SITE ACRES $0.210=9,146$ SF

## TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBLITTY STANDARDS ORDINANCE BOUNDARY AREA.

Service Address $\qquad$
Applicant's Signature
Date

## GROSS FLOOR AREA AND FLOOR AREA RATIO as defined in the Austin Zoning Code.

## I. $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor Gross Area

a. $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ floor area (excluding covered or uncovered finished groundfloor porches)
b. $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ floor area with ceiling height over 15 feet.
c. TOTAL (add a and b above) $\qquad$ sq.ft.
Existing
New/ Addition
II. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor Gross Area See note ${ }^{1}$ below
d. $\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ floor area (including all areas covered by a roof ie. porches, breezeways, mezzanine or loft)
e. $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor area with ceiling height $>15$ feet.
f. TOTAL (add d and e above)

III. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor Gross Area See note ${ }^{1}$ below
g. $\quad 3^{\text {rd }}$ floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches, breezeways, mezzanine or loft).
h. $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor area with ceiling height $>15$ feet
i. TOTAL (add g and $h$ above)

## IV. Basement Gross Area

j. Floor area outside footprint of first floor or greater than 3 feet above grade at the average elevation at the intersections of the minimum front yard setback line and side property lines.

| sq.ft. | sq. ft. |
| ---: | ---: |
| sq.ft. | sq. ft. |

## V. Garage

k. __attached (subtract 200 square feet if used to meet the minimum parking requirement)
I. detached (subtract 450 square feet if more than 10 feet from principal structure)
VI. Carport (open on two or more sides without habitable space $\qquad$ above it subtract 450 square feet)
sq.ft.
VII. TOTAL

sq.ft.

| TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (add existing and new from VII above) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GROSS AREA OF LOT | 2,770 |
|  | sq. ft. |  |
| GLOOR AREA RATIO (gross floor area /gross area of lot) | sq. ft. |  |

[^1]
## CITY OF AUSTIN

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "D"
FLOOR AREA RATIO INFORMATION

## TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARDS ORDINANCE BOUNDARY AREA.

Service Address $\qquad$
Applicant's Signature
Date

GROSS FLOOR AREA AND FLOOR AREA RATIO as defined in the Austin Zoning Code.
I. $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor Gross Area
a. $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ floor area (excluding covered or uncovered finished groundfloor porches)
b. $1^{\text {st }}$ floor area with ceiling height over 15 feet. $\qquad$ sq.ft.
Existing
New/ Addition
c. TOTAL (add a and b above) $\square$
sq.ft.

II. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor Gross Area See note ${ }^{1}$ below
d. $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor area (including all areas covered by a roof ie. porches,

e. $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor area with ceiling height $>15$ feet.
f. TOTAL (add d and e above)

III. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor Gross Area See note ${ }^{\text {' }}$ below
g. $\quad 3^{\text {rd }}$ floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches, breezeways, mezzanine or loft).

V. Garage
k. ___attached (subtract 200 square feet if used to meet the minimum parking requirement)

1. ___ detached (subtract 450 square feet if more than 10 feet from principal structure)

VI. Carport (open on two or more sides without habitable space above it subtract 450 square feet)
VII. TOTAL

[^2]






[^0]:    Variance Request: FAR Increase

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ If a second or third floor meets all of the following criteria it is considered to be attic space and is not calculated as part of the overall Gross Floor Area of the structure.
    a. It is fully contained within the roof structure and the roof has a slope of 3 to 12 or greater
    b. It only has one floor within the roof structure
    c. It does not extend beyond the foot print of the floors below

    Case P-5 11-010926R
    504 E Annie
    d. It is the highest habitable portion of the building; and
    e. Fifty percent or more of the area has a ceiling height of seven feet or less.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ If a second or third floor meets all of the following criteria it is considered to be attic space and is not calculated as part of the overall Gross Floor Area of the structure.
    a. It is fully contained within the roof structure and the roof has a slope of 3 to 12 or greater
    b. It only has one floor within the roof structure
    c. It does not extend beyond the foot print of the floors below
    d. It is the highest habitable portion of the building; and
    e. Fifty percent or more of the area has a ceiling height of seven feet or less.

    Case D5 $11-010926 R$ 504 E Annie Included vi April 6 backup.

