RENTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

Application for G. O. Bond Rental Development Financing – Rev. 10/17/088/ /2010

Project Name:	
Project Address:	Zip Code
Total # units to be assisted:Total # units in proje	ect/property:
Project type: □Acquisition □Reh	abilitation New construction Refinance Rent Buy-Down
Amount of funds requested:	Terms:
Role of applicant in Project (check al	l that apply):
below for the developer as well as for	licant is not acting as the developer, please provide all of the information or the applicant. If the developer involves multiple entities, is a partnership of tive information for each, and identify the entity that will serve as the "lead
Name	
Street Address	
City	State, Zip Telephone #
Contact Person	Contact's-Telephone # Fax #E-mail address
Federal Tax ID Number or SS#	
	that the data included in this application and the exhibits attached application are true and correct. Unsigned/undated submissions will
Legal Name of Developer	Signature of Authorized Officer
-RHDA Project Prop	posal Application - Page 1 of 17 – Updated 10-17-08 <u>8/ /2010</u>

Title Date

2. For non-profit applicants/developers only, include copies of the following:

- a. Articles of Incorporation
- b. Certificate of Incorporation filed with the State of Texas
- c. Federal IRS certification granting non-profit status
- d. Names, addresses and phone numbers of current board members
- Certified financial audit for most recent year which include the auditor's opinion and management letters.
- f. Board resolution approving the proposed project and authorizing the request for funding
- 3. Project Description. In one page or less, In no more than two pages, describe the project with respect to the following: including the information listed below:
 - a. Provide the Location by street address, and include an area map with propertyies indicated.
 - b. Describe the type of project indicated above and indicate whether if the project is considered transitional housing or will provide permanent supportive housing, according to the definitions provided in the Program Guidelines. Include the type of structure (multi-family or single-family), square footage, number and size of units.
 - c.Proposed tenants including number and type of individuals to be served, and yearly income relative to all funding source requirements of 30%, 50%, 60% or 80% MFI. If the project will serve families with special needs, describe the population to be served and the supportive services program.
 - c. Describe the tenant population, income levels, and services, if any, to be provided to or made available to residents.
 - d. Indicate whether the project is occupied at the time of proposal submission.
 - e. <u>Indicate the Llocation of the project property relative toon</u> the "Opportunity Map of Austin" attached to the Program Guidelines.
 - f. <u>Indicate whether the Pproject meets the requirements of the City's Vertical Mixed-Use (VMU)</u> Ordinance, or is <u>in a Planned-Unit Development (PUD)</u> or Transit Oriented Development (TOD).
 - g. <u>Indicate whether the Pproject will preserve existing affordable rental units.</u>
 - h. If there are existing structures, provide documentation from the taxing authority or <u>an</u>other third-party source indicating the year the structure was built.
 - i. <u>Indicate the number of Uunits reserved for Section 8 families Housing Choice Voucher holders</u> (Section 8).
 - j. <u>Indicate the number of Uunits that are or will be made</u> accessible and adaptable for persons with mobility, sight or hearing disabilities.
 - k. <u>Demonstrate the Project's Compatibility with current Neighborhood Plan (if applicable).</u>
 - In addition to providing an Itemized Development Budget through your response to Question 11, summarize the key financials of the project, clearly indicating total project cost, the amount and intended use of AHFC funds being requested, the amount(s) and provider(s) of other funding and the stage of those funding commitments.

4. Site Control and Demonstration of Value

Include evidence of site control such as <u>a warranty</u> deed or <u>an current</u> earnest money contract, and provide a real estate appraisal or current tax documentation that substantiates the value of the project. The appraisal or other documentation must indicate that the value of the project is at least 80% of the total debt including any new debt incurred if this loan were to be made.

-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 2 of 17 – Updated 10-17-088/ /2010

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

5. Zoning

Include a letter from the City of Austin's <u>Planning and Development Review and Inspection</u> Department (<u>DRIDPDRD</u>) verifying that the current zoning of the site for the proposed project is compatible with the anticipated use, or include documentation verifying that a request to change current zoning has been submitted to <u>PDRDDRID</u>. Should the project receive funding approval be approved for funding, appropriate zoning must be in place prior to execution of loan documents.

6. S.M.A.R.T. Housing.

—Include <u>a copy of the letter</u> that indicates the project has been reviewed and meets S.M.A.R.T. Housing TM requirements.

Formatted: Tabs: 27 pt, Left + Not at 18 pt

7. Development Team.

Identify the <u>persons or</u> entities anticipated to be involved in <u>implementing</u> the project, <u>including such as</u> lenders, attorneys, accountants, architects, engineers, general contractor, sub-contractors, property managers and consultants. Also, indicate if any <u>person or</u> entity <u>involved</u> is <u>certified by the City of Austin</u> as a minority or women-owned business enterprise (MBE/WBE), or if any of the entities are also a <u>non-profit organizations entity</u>.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 2.24 ch, Left 0.01 ch, First line: -2.24 ch

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 2.24 ch, Left 0.01 ch, First line: -2.24 ch

Formatted: Underline
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

	Name(s) & Any Comments on Role	MBE ? (Mark X if Yes)	WBE? (Mark X if Yes)	Non- profit? (Mark X if Yes)
Owner				
Developer				
Architect				
Engineer				
Construction				
Lender				
Other Lenders				
Attorney				
Accountant				
General Contractor				
Consultant (if Applicable)				
Property				
Management				
Provider				
Other:				

8. Development Schedule. Complete the grid below. You may Rege-order the steps according to the appropriate sequence for your project; and to add in any other significant steps integral to your project's

development. _If the <u>multiple properties are involved, development schedule differs across several properties to be involved in the project, provide a development schedule for each property.</u>

	DATE(S)
Acquisition and/or holding	
Environmental and/or historic review	
(AHFC)	
Securing and packaging project financing	
Construction Specifications and Cost estimates	
Construction Bids	
Construction Start	
Anticipated Draws (list all)	
End Construction	
Start of Rent-up	
Completion & Operation	

9.	-Accessible and Adaptable Units.	Indicate th	ne number o	of units proposed	to be accessi	ble and ← > <	Formatted: Font: Bold
	adaptable for persons with mobility Guidelines.	sight and	hearing disa	abilíties as required	d by RHDA	Program \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.25 ch, First line: -2.25 ch, Tabs: Not at 27 pt
						`.	Formatted: Font: Bold
	Indicate the number of units proposed				ons with mobil	i ty, sight	Formatted: Font: Bold
	and hearing disabilities as required by R	HDA Progra	ım Guideline	s.			
	Units adaptable for persons w	ith mobility o	disabilities				
	Units accessible for persons w	ith mobility	disabilities				
	Units adaptable for persons w	ith sight and	hearing disa	bilities			
	Units accessible for persons w	ith sight and	hearing disa	bilities			
10.	Experience and Qualifications - Ren	tal Develop	ment and P	Property Managen	nent		
		•		1 , 8			
	a. Is this the developer's first housing p	roject?	☐ Yes	□ No			
		1)					
	b. Completed projects (complete table)	oelow):					
	COM	PLETED P	PROIECTS			4	Formatted Table
	GOIVA	LEETED	ROJECTO	Type of			Tornatted Table
	Address	Number	New or	Property	Year		
	Address	of Units	Rehab	(apartments,	Completed		
	·			SF units, etc.)			
					1		

c. Describe below the experience and /qualifications in completing projects similar in size and scoper that indicates the and the developer's ability and capacity to implement the proposed project. If the proposed project will involve any of the following three aspects which sometimes pertain to federally-funded projects, it is Oof particular importance that the developer or a member of the Development Team have experience with successful completion of the developer's experience with successfully completing: a) projects that triggered subject to Davis-Bacon and other Federal Labor Standards; b) federally funded projects involving temporary or permanent relocation of tenants; or c) projects involving the testing and appropriate treatment of [Lead-based paint and/or asbestos,; if the proposed project will involve any of those three dimensions. If this is the applicant's first project of this type, please provide a detailed description of the experience of the other members of the development team with similar projects.

d. Indicate who will provide **property management** services. Provide documentation to demonstrate the entity's level of experience and track record in operating <u>-federally funded</u> properties of similar size, particularly federally-funded properties.

Formatted: Right: -0.9 pt
Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Justified

11. **Detailed Project Budget.**—Use the following table, or comparable format, to provide a complete project budget. Add line-items as necessary to detail the specific funding being requested. If this project has already received funding from AHFC, indicate by line item the amounts from the prior award. Delineate all prior and currently requested RHDA funding by individual line item.

1	DETAILED PROJECT BUDGET								
	Cost	Prior award of RHDA Funds (if	RHDA Funds Requested	Description or Comments					
		<u>any)</u>							
PREDEVELOPMENT									
Appraisal									
Environmental Review									
Engineering									
Survey									
Architectural									
TOTAL PREDEVELOPMENT									
	7								
ACQUISITION	/								
Site and/or Land									
Structures									
Other (specify)									
TOTAL ACQUISITION									
CONSTRUCTION									
Infrastructure									
Site work									
Demolition									
Concrete				_					
Masonry									

-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 5 of 17 – Updated 10-17-088/ /2010

n 1		1
Rough carpentry		
Finish carpentry		
Waterproofing & Insulation		
Roofing & Sheet Metal		
Plumbing/Hot Water		
HVAC		
Electrical		
Doors/Windows/Glass		
Lath & Plaster/ Drywall &		
Acoustical		
Tile work		
Soft & Hard Floor		
Paint/Decorating/Blinds/Shades		
Specialties/Special Equipment		
Cabinetry/Appliances		
Carpet		
Other (Please specify)		
Construction Contingency		
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION		
SOFT & CARRYING COSTS		
Legal		
Audit/Accounting		
Title/Recording		
Architectural (Inspections)		
Construction Interest		
Construction Period Insurance		
Construction Period Taxes		
Relocation		
Marketing		
Davis-Bacon Monitoring		
Other: (Specify)	<u> </u>	
TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET		

12. Funds Proposal. —Provide the following information to facilitate financial review of the proposed project:

Formatted: Justified

- a. **Sources and Uses of Funds** Complete Tables A & B below, identifying all sources and uses of funds to implement project and include evidence of funds anticipated (financial statements, commitment letters of commitments, etc.).
- b. Leveraging Complete Table C below. Include evidence of other funds leveraged by AHFC funds to
 implement– the project such as owner equity and commitments from private and/or other public
 resources.
- c. Operating Proforma In a format comparable to Table D below, prepare a minimum twenty (20) year financial Operating Proforma which realistically reflects the operation of the project relative to current and anticipated revenues, expenses and debt. The Proforma must indicate the anticipated debt coverage ratio (DCR) calculated as follows: _net operating income (NOI)/debt service (DS) = DCR. Refer to <a href="https://dx.net/news.

TABLE A: SOUR	(Predevelopment, Acquisition, Construction, Soft Costs)				
	Term	Interest	Amount	Evidence (Deed,	
		Rate		Sales Contract)	
Owner Equity					
Private Financing (List Lenders)					
Other Sources (List Below)					
_					
Proposed RHDA Funds	_		_		

Intended Use of F

TABLE B: U	SES OF FUNDS S	SUMMARY
	Total Cost	Cost/Unit
Predevelopment		•
Acquisiton		
Hard Costs		
Soft & Carrying Costs		
Other Costs		
Total Project Costs		

TABLE C: LEVERAGE	E SUMMARY
*	
TOTAL RHDA FUNDS	
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	
LEVERAGE (%)	

TABLE D: OPERATING PROFORMA							

Number of Units of a given Unit Size	Unit Size	Monthly Income	Annual Income		
				_	
FULL OCCUPANCY ANN	UAL INCOM	Œ			
Less Vacancy Loss (Indicate					
GROSS ANNUAL INCOM	E				

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Gross Annual Income	Tearr	Tear 2	Tear 5	Tear 4	Tear 5
Gross runidar medine					
EXPENSES					
Utilities	1				
Insurance					
Maintenance/Repair					
Property Taxes					
Management					
Marketing					
Maintenance Reserve					
Other (specify)					
Other (specify)					
TOTAL EXPENSES		,			
NET OPERATING					
INCOME (NOI)					
Sources of Funds &					
Debt Service					
TOTAL ANNUAL					
Debt Service (DS)					
Cash-flow after Debt Service	€				
(CF = NOI - DS)					
D.1. 0					
Debt Coverage Ratio					
(DCR = NOI/DS)					

13. Neighborhood Support. Include letters of support from all neighborhood organizations registered with the City of Austin within the area of the proposed project. If a letter of support was not offered, the applicant can provide evidence of an attempt to obtain a letter of support

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.24 ch, First line: -2.24 ch

Include <u>letters of support</u> from all neighborhood organizations registered with the City of Austin withing the area of the proposed project.

Formatted: Right: -0.9 pt

Formatted: Font: Bold

14. **Description of Supportive Services.** If supportive services are **NOT** to be provided, please skip to the next item. If supportive services are to be provided to residents, provide a description of the services in one (1) page or less that includes the following information:

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.24 ch, First line: -2.24 ch

Indicate whether supportive services will be provided to residents. If supportive services are to be provided to residents, provide a description of the services in one (1) page or less that includes the following information:

- a. A description of the supportive services to be provided to residents and/or clients.
- b. The number and types of <u>residents/</u>clients expected to be served annually.
- c. Describe the developer's experience and qualifications in providing the services described
- The use of the proposed project relative to the services provided.
- If services are not provided by the developer of the project, <u>include</u> a description of the organization(s) providing the services and a memorandum of understanding or some other type of agreement that <u>outlining indicates</u> the relationship between the developer and service provider.
- d. Provide resumes of key personnel who will be actively involved in the delivery of services. Resumes should include information about certifications, licenses, years of experience, and education
- e. Demonstrate financial capacity to provide support services and/or operate a supportive services program by providing the following information:
 - Sources of Funds: Identify sources and amounts of funds that will be utilized to provide supportive services.
 - 2. Budget: Include a supportive services budget which reflects current and anticipated funding and expenses associated with the provision of services for three (3) years.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left:

27 pt, Hanging: 18 pt

Formatted: Font color: Auto

ornattea. Fort color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Underline, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Justified, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 63 pt + Tab after: 81 pt + Indent at: 81 pt

15. Experience and Qualifications (Supportive Services)

Formatted: Font color: Red

If supportive services will not be provided to the residents, you need not complete Questions 15. If supportive services will be provided, describe the developer's experience and qualifications in providing the services described in Question 14. Provide resumes of key personnel actively involved in the delivery of services. Resumes should include information about certifications, licenses, years of experience and education.

16. Financial Information (Supportive Services)

If supportive services will not be provided to the residents, you need not complete Questions 16. If supportive services will be provided, the developer must demonstrate financial capacity to provide support services and/or operate a supportive services program by providing the following information:

- a. Sources of Funds Identify sources and amounts of funds that will be utilized to provide services to the proposed project.
- **b. Budget** Include a supportive services budget which reflects current and anticipated funding and expenses associated with the provision of services for three (3) years.

17. Accounting Requirements Applicable to all Applications

By submitting this application, the applicant/developer agrees to comply with all reporting, record keeping and on-going monitoring requirements applicable to GO Bond financing of the proposed project.

168. Anti-Lobbying Agreement for applications subject to review by the Housing Bond Review Committee. Applications for projects that are likely to be funded with Affordable Housing General Obligation (G.O.) Bond funds are subject to review by the Housing Bond Review Committee (HBRC). —

By submitting this application, For applications subject to review by the HBRC, the applicant/developer, its employees, representatives, or agents agree not to discuss the contents of this the applicant/developer's application with any member of the AHFC Board of Directors or their respective staffs, the members of the Housing Bond Review Committee (HBRC) or staff of NHCD/AHFC (other than the designated Single Single Point of Contact) prior to the HBRC's meeting to review the all-application.

Formatted: Font: Bold

The Anti-Lobbying Agreement does not apply to applications likely to be funded with non-G.O. Bond funding (i.e., CDBG, HOME, Housing Trust Fund, University Neighborhood Overlay Trust Fund, or General Fund). If you have questions as to whether this application is subject to review by the HBRC, please contact the AHFC Housing Development Manager at 512/974-3192.s submi

tted

ATTENTION:

Please submit with the Application a completed "self-evaluation" utilizing the following Scoring Criteria.

Formatted: Font: Bold



G.O. BOND - RHDA PROGRAM SCORING CRITERIA

Updated - 10-17-08<u>8/ /2010</u>

Applications received will be reviewed and evaluated according to the following criteria:

REQUIRED INFORMATION:	
1. Applicant Information 9. Accessible/Adaptable Units 2. Non-profit List of Items 10. Experience/Qualifications	
3. Project Description 11. Project Budget 4. Site Control/Value 12. Funds Proposal: 5. Zoning a. Sources	
6. S.M.A.R.T. Housing 7. Development Team 8. Development Schedule C. Leveraging G. Operating Proforma	
EVALUATION CRITERIA:	
Applications for Pproposed projects will be reviewed and scored on a competitive basis per the relative to the evaluation criteria below. AProject applications must receive a minimum score of 150-155 points out of a	- Formatted: Highlight
maximum score of 215 220 points. PLEASE NOTE: A score above the minimum score does not guarantee funding.	Formatted: Highlight
1. EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (maximum 15 points)	
15 points: Developer has successfully completed project similar in size and scope. 10 points: Completed similar project but smaller in size and scope. 8 points: Consultant directly involved who has completed project similar in size and scope.	Formatted: Tabs: 99 pt, Left
3 points: Owns or manages low-income-income-restricted rental property.	
2. PROJECT BUDGET (maximum 10 points)	
 Project budget is complete and anticipated development costs are reasonable. Project budget appears complete but is lacking detailed information. Project budget needs further development, or some costs do not appear to be 	
in line with local trends; Project budget has items that do not appear reasonable or necessary 4	
Project budget contains incligible costs. 2	
-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 12 of 17 – Updated 10.17-088/ /2010	

32. SOURCES & USES OF FUNDS (maximum 10 points)

10 points: All sources and uses of funds are clearly indicated and sufficient evidences—of funding availability and/or commitments are included.

Formatted: Justified

10

<u>5 points:</u> All sources and uses of fund are clearly indicated, but evidence of funding availability or commitments are incomplete.

4. OPERATING PROFORMA (maximum 10 points)

Proforma indicates realistic expected revenues, expenses, debt service, and inflation allowances for a 20-year period; and, if applicable, meets subsidy layering parameters.

Proforma indicates acceptable but slightly optimistic revenues, expenses, debt service; and inflation allowances.

<u>35.</u> **DEBT COVERAGE <u>RATIO (RATIO (</u>**maximum 10 points)

 10 points:
 DCR of 1.25 or greater

 6 points:
 DCR between 1.21 - 1.244
 6

 4 points:
 DCR between 1.15 - 1.20
 4

Formatted: Tabs: 121.5 pt, Left +

Not at 31.5 pt + 396 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Tabs: 121.5 pt, Left +

Not at 31.5 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Tabs: 121.5 pt, Left

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

LEVERAGE (maximum 10 points)

RHDA Program funding (prior and current) relative to Total Project Costs equals:

10 points:	25% or less	
8 points:	26% - 30 <u>% <mark>%</mark> </u>	8
6 points:	31% - 35%	6
4 points:	36% - 50 <u>% <mark>%</mark> </u>	4

AFFORDABLE UNITS (maximum 25 points)

If development has a mix of 30%, 40%, and/or 50% MFI units, add the results for the percentage of units in each income category up to the maximum of 25 points. If the project has a percentage of units in a given income category that is not an exact multiple of ten as shown in the chart, please round up to the next multiple of 10 and use that point value.

% of G.O. Bond-assisted Units in Total Project										
<u>% MFI</u>	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
50%	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21
40%	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23
30%	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23	25

Formatted: Tabs: 117 pt, Left

Formatted: Tabs: 117 pt, Left + Not at 18 pt + 27 pt + 36 pt + 72 pt + 108 pt + 144 pt + 180 pt + 216 pt + 252 pt + 288 pt + 324 pt + 360 pt + 396 pt + 432 pt + 468

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Hanging: 9 pt, Left 1.8 ch, Tabs: 0 pt, Left

RHDA COST PER UNIT in \$1,000s (maximum 10 points)

				For-Profit	Non-Profit	4	Formatted Table
	Acquisition	<u>Refinance</u>	Rehabilitation	New Construction	New Construction	<u>n</u>	
10 points	<\$45/unit	<\$30/unit	<\$30/eff.	<\$40/unit	<\$60/unit	4	Formatted: Right
8 points	<\$55/unit	<\$40/unit	<\$35/1-bd	<\$50/unit	<\$70/unit		Formatted: Font: Bold
6 points	<\$65/unit	<\$50/unit	<\$40/2-bd	<\$60/unit	<\$80/unit		Formatted: Font: Bold
4 points	<\$75/unit	<\$60/unit	<\$45/3-bd+	<\$70/unit	<\$90/unit_	!!	Formatted: Right
							Formatted: Right
TRANSITI	ONAL or PER	MANENT SUPP	ORTIVE HOUS	SING (maximum- 10 t	points)	1///	Formatted: Font: Bold

TRANSITIONAL or PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (maximum 10 points) _

10 points: Project will be developed and operated as transitional housing or permanent supportive

810. PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (20 points).

20 points: Project will contain one or more units that meet the definition of Permanent Supportive Housing as provided in the RHDA Program Guidelines

GEOGRAPHIC DISPERSION (maximum 20-25 points)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left 2.7 ch, Tabs: Not at 18 pt + 27 pt + 36 pt + 72 pt + 108 pt + 144 pt + 180 pt + 216 pt + 252 pt + 288 pt + 324 pt + 360 pt + 396 pt + 432 pt + 468 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 14 of 17 – Updated 10-17-088/ /2010

Project is located in an area identified according to the Kirwan Institute's Opportunity Map of Austin (Map #2) as having greater opportunity for low-income households.

25 points: Very High priority area	
20 points: High priority area—	
— 15	
15 points: Moderate priority area	
- 10	
10 points: Low priority area	
5 points: Very Low priority area	
yery Low phonty area	
1 <u>0</u> 4. PRIORITY LOCATION (maximum 10 points)	
10 points: Project is located in a Vertical Mixed-Use (VMU) Corridor, or is a Planned-Unit	
	.0
ANA PRECEDUATION OF AFFORD ARTEMINITE (40)	
121. PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE UNITS (maximum 10 points)	
<u>10 points:</u> Project is the rehabilitation and preservation of existing affordable housing units.	.0
1 <u>32</u> . AFFORDABILITY PERIOD (maximum 1025 points)	
<u>15 points:</u> Affordability of project is for 99-years or project is in a Community Land Trust.	. 0
	Formatted: Underline
143. PROJECT READINESS (maximum-10 points)	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.6 ch
	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.6 ch
Project can realistically be completed and occupied following AHFC/City approval.	Formatted: Underline
Project can realistically be completed and occupied following AHI+C/City approval.	Formatted: Underline
Acquisition/Refinance/Rehabilitation	Formatted: Underline
of Existing Properties New Construction Projects	// / Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt, Underline
=	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.6 ch
Within 9 months Within 18 months 10	Formatted: Underline
Within 12 months Within 24 months 8 Within 15 months Within 30 months 6	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt,
New construction	Bold
10 points	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt
 The project meets the normal eligibility requirements under the existing program guidelines. 	Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 36 pt, Left 5.4 ch, Tabs: 72 pt, List tab
	Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 15 of 17 – Updated 10-17-088/ /2010	

• The property is already owned by the developer.	
• The project has completed all necessary design work and received site plan approval.	
All environmental reviews have been completed.	
The project has firm commitments from all financing sources.	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt, Bold
A constitution and Date to	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt
Acquisition and Rehab 10 points	Formatted: Indent: Left 1.8 ch
The project meets the normal eligibility requirements under the existing program guidelines	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt,
All environmental reviews have been completed.	Underline
The project has firm commitments from all financing sources.	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.6 ch
A General Contractor has been selected. A General Contractor has been selected.	Formatted: Underline
 Closing on the acquisition of the property can be achieved in less than 30 days. 	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt, Bold
	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt
Acquisition of Completed Units 10 points	Formatted: Indent: Left 5.4 ch, Tabs: 72 pt, List tab + Not at 36 pt
• The project meets the normal eligibility requirements under the existing program guidelines	Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
• All environmental reviews have been completed.	Formatted: Indent: Left 5.4 ch,
• The project has firm commitments from all financing sources.	Tabs: 72 pt, List tab
• Closing on the acquisition of the property can be achieved in less than 30 days.	Formatted: Indent: Left 1.8 ch
	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt, Underline
154. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (maximum 10 points)	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.6 ch
—10 points: Designated Property Management Entity has documented track record of success	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt, Bold
—managingfederally-assisted properties of similar scope and has the capacity to take10	Formatted: Font: Garamond, 12 pt
——on the management of theproposed project. Property Management scoring continued next page)	Formatted: Indent: Left 5.4 ch, Tabs: 72 pt, List tab + Not at 36 pt
8 points: Designated Property Management Entity has a documented track record of success	Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
—managingproperties of similar scope and has the capacity to take on the management8 —of the proposed project.	Formatted: Indent: Left 5.4 ch, Tabs: 72 pt, List tab
of the proposed project.	Formatted: Justified
6 points: Designated Property Management Entity has a documented track record of success	Formatted: Justified, Tabs: Not at 18 pt + 36 pt + 72 pt + 108 pt + 144 pt + 180 pt + 216 pt + 252 pt + 288 pt + 324 pt + 360 pt + 396 pt + 432 pt + 468 pt
—managingproperties of smaller scope and has the capacity to take on the management of 6	Formatted: Font: Italic
— of the proposed project.	Formatted: Right
_	Formatted: Justified
165. NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORT (maximum 10 points)	
10 points: Proposal application includes letters of support from all registered City of Austin neighborhood_organizations in the area of the proposed project. 10	Formatted: Indent: Left: -4.5 pt
<u>5 points:</u> Demonstrated effort to gain support from neighborhood(s) affected.	
176. MBE/WBE PROJECT PARTICIPATION (maximum-5 points)	
-RHDA Project Proposal Application - Page 16 of 17 – Updated 10-17-08 8/ /2010	

<u>5 points:</u> Entities anticipated to be involved in implementing the project <u>Development Team</u> includes registered	
—City of Austin minority_ or women-owned business enterprises (M/WBE)5	
187. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES (maximum 15 points)	
15 points: TAppropriate supportive services will be provided and are described in detail;	Formatted: Justified
the developer has qualified staff and for seven or more years has provided fand or facilitated a variety of	Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.62 ch, First line: -2.62 ch
 supportive services to meet special needs for 7+ years; funds have been secured for the operation of supportive services programs; and a 3-year operating budget for the operation of the supportive services programs is provided. 	
	Formatted: Justified
10 points: The developer has qualified staff that have provided similar support services for 3 to 7 years; Appropriate, well-detailed supportive services will be provided; funds have been secured	
——for the operation of the supportive services programs; a 3-year operating budget for the ——operation of the supportive services programs is provided, and the developer has qualified ——staff that have provided similar support services for 3 to 7 years	Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.62 ch, First line: -2.62 ch
4	Formatted: Justified
 5 points: The developer has qualified staff that have provided similar support services for 1 to 3 years; Appropriate, well-detailed supportive services will be provided; funds have been secured for the operation of the supportive services programs; a 3-year operating budget for the 	Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0 pt, Hanging: 2.62 ch, First line: -2.62 ch
operation of the supportive services programs is provided; and the developer has qualified staff that have provided similar support services for 1 to 3 years	
Appropriate, well-detailed supportive services are expected to be provided, and 2 points: The	Formatted: Font: Bold
developer has qualified,	
— experienced staff are in place to provide the services, but funds have been not been secured — 2 * for the operation of the supportive services programs	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.15 ch
1849. PARTNERSHIP WITH OTHER NON-PROFIT ENTITIES (maximum-5 points)	
— <u>5 points:</u> Applicant provides evidence of commitment from another certified non-profit — <u>oo</u> rganization to partner on the project in someway. 5	Formatted: Indent: Left 3.15 ch, Tabs: 31.5 pt, Left + Not at 27 pt
TOTAL SCORE	