SECOND READING SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2011-0141 - Peaceful Hill Condominiums

REQUEST:

Approve second reading of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane and 501 Hubach Lane (South Boggy Creek Watershed) from development reserve (DR) district zoning to townhouse and condominium residence – conditional overlay (SF-6-CO) combining district zoning, with conditions. The Conditional Overlay limits development to a maximum of 60 dwelling units and 45 percent impervious cover. The Restrictive Covenant establishes the conditions of the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis, prohibits the use of gating, requires a connection to Shallot Way, and a minimum 6-foot wide pedestrian walkway extending between Shallot Way and Mairo Street.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

Transportation staff reports that a 6-foot wide pedestrian walkway and driveway connection extending through the property, between Shallot Way and Peaceful Hill Lane (where it intersects with Mairo Street) will assist in providing a safe route to school for residents of the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision and the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominiums development. The pedestrian walkway and driveway will enable ParkRidge Gardens residents to access Williams Elementary School without having to access the length of Peaceful Hill Lane adjacent to their subdivision, and furthers the goal of providing connectivity between neighborhoods.

The rezoning ordinance that applies to the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision to the south includes a Conditional Overlay which states that "Vehicular access from the Property to Peaceful Hill Lane is prohibited. All vehicular access to the Property shall be from other adjacent public streets or through other adjacent property." (Item #2 of Part 2 of rezoning ordinance no. 20051215-096.) Within the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision, the proposed connection of Shallot Way to the subject property is consistent with the provision that "all vehicular access to the property shall be...through other adjacent property", and therefore, does not conflict with the existing Conditional Overlay.

AISD planning staff reports that a 60 unit condominium development would result in approximately 14 students over all grade levels (based on a ratio of 0.23 students per unit). The number of elementary students would be half of 14, or seven students. The addition of seven students should not be an issue for Williams Elementary School. The school is designed to hold 561 students. There are 609 students assigned, which means that Williams is at 109% permanent capacity. AISD tries to keep its schools operating between 75% and 115% permanent capacity, with the use of portable buildings.

The Applicant's agent is scheduled to meet with the neighborhood on Wednesday, May 16th, between 5:30 and 6:15 p.m. at the Twin Oaks Library.

A valid petition of 35.09% has been filed by the adjacent property owners in opposition to this rezoning request.

OWNERS & APPLICANTS: Kristopher Alsworth; Delton Hubach; Jim Bula and Catherine Christopherson

AGENT: The Moore Group (Mike Moore)

<u>DATE OF FIRST READING</u>: April 26, 2012, Approved SF-6-CO combining district zoning with conditions, on First Reading (5-2, Mayor Lee Leffingwell and Council Member Tovo voted nay).

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: May 24, 2012

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

<u>ASSIGNED STAFF</u>: Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2011-0141

Peaceful Hill Condominiums

Z.A.P. DATE: February 7, 2012

March 6, 2012

April 3, 2012

ADDRESS: 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane; 501 Hubach Lane

OWNERS:

Tract 1: Kristopher Alsworth

AREA: 2.00 acres

Tract 2: Delton Hubach

AREA: 7.51 acres

Jim Bula

Catherine Christopherson

TOTAL: 9.51 acres

AGENT:

The Moore Group

(Mike Moore)

ZONING FROM: DR

TO: SF-6

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning.

Staff recommends the applicant be required to post fiscal surety toward road improvements as outlined in the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis (NTA) dated March 2, 2012 prior to final reading of this zoning request.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

February 7, 2012: APPROVED POSTPONEMENT REQUESTS TO MARCH 6, 2012 BY AN ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER AND A NEARBY PROPERTY OWNER; BY CONSENT. [J. MEEKER; G. ROJAS – 2ND] (5-0) G. BOURGEOIS, P. SEEGER – ABSENT

March 6, 2012: APPROVED A POSTPONEMENT REQUESTS TO APRIL 3, 2012 BY NEIGHBORHOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS [S. BALDRIDGE; G. ROJAS - 2ND] (6-0) B. BAKER - ILL

April 3, 2012: APPROVED STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF SF-6-CO WITH AN ADDITIONAL CO ESTABLISHING A MAXIMUM OF 60 UNITS, AND A PUBLIC RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR THE CONDITIONS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS, PROHIBITING THE USE OF GATING, AND REQUIRING A CONNECTION TO SHALLOT WAY.

[G. BOURGEOIS; B. BAKER - 2ND] (5-1) J. MEEKER - NAY; P. SEEGER - ILL

ISSUES:

Transportation staff reports that a 6-foot wide pedestrian walkway and driveway connection extending through the property, between Shallot Way and Peaceful Hill Lane (where it intersects with Mairo Street) will assist in providing a safe route to school for residents of the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision and the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominiums development. The pedestrian walkway and driveway will enable ParkRidge Gardens residents to access Williams Elementary School without having to access the length of Peaceful Hill Lane adjacent to their subdivision, and furthers the goal of providing connectivity between neighborhoods.

The rezoning ordinance that applies to the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision to the south includes a Conditional Overlay which states that "Vehicular access from the Property to Peaceful Hill Lane is prohibited. All vehicular access to the Property shall be from other adjacent public streets or through other adjacent property." (Item #2 of Part 2 of rezoning ordinance no. 20051215-096.) Within the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision, the proposed connection of Shallot Way to the subject property is consistent with the provision that "all vehicular access to the property shall be...through other adjacent property", and therefore, does not conflict with the existing Conditional Overlay.

AISD planning staff reports that a 60 unit condominium development would result in approximately 14 students over all grade levels (based on a ratio of 0.23 students per unit). The number of elementary students would be half of 14, or seven students. Furthermore, the addition of seven students should not be an issue for Williams Elementary School. The school is designed to hold 561 students. There are 609 students assigned, which means that Williams is at 109% permanent capacity. AISD tries to keep its schools operating between 75% and 115% permanent capacity, with the use of portable buildings. Please see email correspondence provided as Exhibit C.

The Applicant's agent is scheduled to meet with the neighborhood on Wednesday, May 16th, between 5:30 and 6:15 p.m. at the Twin Oaks Library.

Postponement requests to the Zoning and Platting Commission meeting of April 3, 2012 have been received from John B. Stokes, Mesa Engineering, the Principal of Williams Elementary, the President of Williams Elementary PTA, the Executive Director of Austin Heritage Tree Foundation, the Executive Director for the League of Bicycling Voters, and representatives of Friends of Williams Elementary, the Peaceful Hill Preservation League, Hope 4 Peaceful Gardens and the City's Urban Forestry Board.

A valid petition of 35.09% has been filed by the adjacent property owners in opposition to this rezoning request. Petition information is located at the back of the Staff report.

Environmental review staff visited the property on March 2, 2012 to observe the general character of the land and its vegetative characteristics. Based on their observations, the site appears to be previously distributed based on species composition (pioneer/disturbance species). The site is predominately (>90% of tree abundance, approximately) Ashe Juniper

C14-2011-0141 Page 3

followed by ligustrum. Live Oaks appear sporadically on property, and three heritage (24" or greater) Live Oaks were observed. Other non-native invasive species include ligustrum, bamboo, chinaberry, and redtip phoetina. Other native species (<10% of tree abundance) observed were Cedar Elm, Texas persimmon and Mexican Plum.

Slopes on the property are almost entirely 15% or less. There were no critical environmental features (CEFs) were observed and the property is entirely uplands (no CWQZ and WQTZ) with no floodplain. Although any development would need to consider existing conditions, there were no pre-existing conditions on the property that could be expected to cause significant non-compliance issues during the development review process. In addition, no endangered species on this property were observed.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject property is zoned development reserve (DR) and is generally undeveloped, but a few structures remain from prior residential land uses. There are small barns, sheds, trailers, and vehicles located on both Tracts 1 and 2. An occupied residence is located on Tract 2. Both tracts are heavily wooded, with some cleared areas. Please refer to Exhibits A (Zoning Map) and A-1 (Aerial Exhibit).

Immediately north of the subject property is a similar property zoned DR. It appears to be used for outdoor storage, and is occupied by several small buildings. An undeveloped tract further north also borders the subject tract, and is zoned NO-CO. To the northeast and east is an automotive salvage yard that is currently being reviewed for rezoning from DR to LI (C14-2011-0160 – Capital City Salvage). Also to the east is a boat and vehicle storage facility, which is zoned CS-CO. To the east and southeast of the subject property is an undeveloped area that is zoned CS-CO. South of the subject property is the ParkRidge Gardens single family residential neighborhood and detention pond, which is zoned SF-4A-CO. This neighborhood is screened from the subject property by six-foot wood privacy fences, except at the Shallot Way terminus. West and southwest of the subject property are SF-2 zoned residential tracts on both sides on Peaceful Hill Lane.

The Applicant has requested SF-6 zoning in order to pursue development of the property with a maximum of 80 stand-alone condominium units. A conceptual plan is provided as Exhibit C. Access would be taken from Peaceful Hill Lane and also connect to the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision to the south through Shallot Way. The ParkRidge Gardens subdivision was required to provide a street connection to Tract 2 of this rezoning request (owned by the Hubach family) which was landlocked. Although Hubach Lane appears to extend from South Congress Avenue to the subject tract, it is largely an unpaved driveway within a private access easement. To that end, during the course of a nearby rezoning case in 2003, Public Works staff and Zoning staff researched City and County records of Hubach Lane, and in the absence of finding a right-of-way or dedication document to the City, also concluded that it is in private ownership. Extending Hubach Lane from the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominiums site would require a significant amount of right-of-way acquisition and variances to City street design standards, thereby increasing the difficulty of this option. Please refer to Attachment B.

C14-2011-0141 Page 4

Staff supports the Applicant's rezoning request for SF-6 based on the following considerations of the property: 1) This tract serves as a transition in land use between the small lot single family residences within the ParkRidge Gardens subdivision to the south and the more intensively zoned or used properties to the north and east and 2) Townhome / condominium uses are compatible with single family residences while further diversifying the housing options available in this area. The Staff also recommends the applicant be required to post fiscal surety toward road improvements as outlined in the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis (NTA) dated March 2, 2012 prior to final reading of this zoning request.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

	ZONING	LAND USES
Site	DR	Generally undeveloped with a few structures
North	DR, NO-CO; proposed LI (under COA review)	Outdoor storage, Undeveloped, Automotive salvage yard
South	DR, SF-4A-CO, SF-2	A few single family residences on large lots; Single family residences on small lots and detention pond (ParkRidge Gardens)
East	CS-CO, DR; proposed LI (under COA review)	Undeveloped, Boat and vehicle storage, Automotive salvage yard
West	SF-2	Single family residences

AREA STUDY: N/A

NTA: Is required – Please see

Attachment A

WATERSHED: South Boggy Creek

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

SCENIC ROADWAY: No

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

26 - Far South Austin Community Association

511 - Austin Neighborhoods Council

627 - Onion Creek Homeowners Association

742 – Austin Independent School District

786 - Home Builders Association of Greater Austin

1037 - Homeless Neighborhood Association

1075 – League of Bicycling Voters

1113 – Austin Parks Foundation

1200 - Super Duper Neighborhood Objectors and Appealers Organization

1224 – Austin Monorail Project

1228 - Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group

1306 – Parkridge Gardens HOA

1340 – Austin Heritage Tree Foundation

1236 - The Real Estate Council of Austin, Inc.

SCHOOLS:

Williams Elementary School

Bedichek Middle School

Crockett High School

CASE HISTORIES:

NUMBER	REQUEST	COMMISSION	CITY COUNCIL
C14-2011-0160	DR to LI-	To Deny LI-CO with conditions	Scheduled for 5-24-
 Capital City 	CO	to restrict development on	2012
Salvage		8.361 acres on the north side of	
(L.K.Q.) - 7900		the property	e E
S. Congress			
Avenue		=	J
C14-2008-0100	DR to CS	To Grant CS-CO w/CO of 100'	Approved CS-CO (9-
– South		bldg. setback, 50' buffer from	25-2008)
Congress		DR, prohibit adult businesses,	
Storage		automotive rentals, repair and	į
Rezoning –		sales, commercial blood plasma	
8008 S.		center, and pawn shops, traffic	
Congress Ave.		< 2000/day	ļ
C14-2008-0050	DR; RR-	To Grant CS-CO with the CO	Approved CS-CO as
- Clark &	CO; SF-2 to	for list of prohibited uses being	Commission
Southside 13 –	CS	auto-related uses including	recommended except
8104 and 8200		vehicle storage, convenience	for removing
S. Congress		storage, commercial blood	convenience storage
Ave.		plasma center, adult businesses	and vehicle storage use
		and pawn shops; 50' landscape	from the prohibited
		buffer, 100' building setback	use list (6-18-08).
		adjacent to DR zoned property	
		& 2,000 trips.	
C14-05-	SF-6;	To Deny SF-4A	Approved SF-4A-CO
0034.SH –	W/LO; LI;		with CO for: 1) 2,000
Peaceful Hill	DR to SF-		trips; 2) prohibit
Subdivision –	4A		access to Peaceful Hill
308 Ralph			Ln.; 3) a residential
Ablanedo Drive		1	use shall comply with
and 8319			the measures under
Peaceful Hill			Section 25-13-44
Lane			(Airport Overlay Land
			Use Table) that
			achieve a minimum
			outdoor-to-indoor
			noise level reduction
*			of 25 decibels; 4) a 30'
			wide rear yard setback
	}		shall be established for
]	ł	a residential structure
			adjacent to a non-
			residential use or

	9		zoning district; and 5)
			the max. height is one
	-		story adjacent to
			Crippen Sheet Metal.
			Restrictive Covenant
			for: 1) the
			Neighborhood Traffic
			Analysis; 2)
			construction of a
			pedestrian accessway
			to Peaceful Hill Lane;
			3) center turn lane
			along Ralph Ablanedo
			Drive frontage; 4) 6'
			high solid masonry
			wall along property
			lines that do not abut
			Ralph Ablanedo Drive
			on the south and
		8	Peaceful Hill Lane on
		2.	the west, and 5) an 8-
			foot high solid fence
		5	along the east property
C14-02-0169 -	DR to NO-	To Grant NO-CO w/CO- 20'	line (12-15-05).
Agape Christian	CO	buffer along Peaceful Hill; 579	Approved NO-CO (6-
Ministries –		trips per day if access is taken	5-2003).
7715 Byrdhill		to Peaceful Hill, increases to	2
Ln.		2,000 if road is widened to 40'	
		pavement	
C14-02-0168	DR to NO-	To Grant NO-CO w/ CO-	Approved NO-CO (6-
Agape Christian	CO	20'buffer along Peaceful Hill;	5-2003).
Ministries –		579 trips per day if access is	
7809 Peaceful		taken to Peaceful Hill, increases	
Hill Ln.		to 2,000 if road is widened to	
		40' pavement	
		<u> </u>	

RELATED CASES:

The property was annexed into the City limits in November 1984. A two acre tract along the Peaceful Hill Lane frontage is platted as Tract 2 of the Hattie M. Marx Subdivision (C8s-71-142. Please refer to Exhibit B. There are no related site plan cases on the subject property.

ABUTTING STREETS:

Name	ROW	Pavement	Class	Sidewalk	Bus Route	Bike Route
Peaceful Hill Lane	80'	28'	Collector, 1,290 vpd (TXDOT, 2010)	No	No	No
Shallot Way	50'	27'	Local	Yes, both sides	No	No

According to the Austin 2009 Bicycle Plan Update approved by Austin City Council in June, 2009, bicycle facilities are existing and/or recommended along the adjoining streets as follows:

Street Name

Existing Bicycle Facilities Recommended Bicycle Facilities

Peaceful Hill Lane

Wide Curb

Wide Curb

Capital Metro bus service is not available within 1/4 mile of this property.

CITY COUNCIL DATE:

March 8, 2012

ACTION: Approved a Postponement request by Staff to April 26, 2012 (7-0).

April 26, 2012

Approved SF-6-CO district zoning, with a Restrictive Covenant for the conditions of the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis, prohibiting gating and requiring a connection to Shallot Way, as recommended by the Zoning and Platting Commission, with additional COs limiting impervious cover to 45 percent, and a minimum 6-foot wide sidewalk from Shallot Way to Mairo Street, on First Reading (5-2, Mayor Lee Leffingwell and Council Member Tovo voted nay). Notes: 1. Public hearing remains open. 2. Direction was given to Staff to: a) insure that everything reasonably necessary is done to ensure that kids can be dropped off, picked up and walked safely to school and b) investigate carefully the ordinance that was previously passed that may pose some restrictions on the access between Shallot Way and Mairo Street.


May 24, 2012


2nd


ORDINANCE NUMBER:

<u>CASE MANAGER:</u> Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 974-7719








TEXT LOF REZONING AREA

> EXHIBIT B RECORDED PLAT





MEMORANDUM

TQ:

Wendy Rhoades, Case Manager

CC:

Members of the City Council

FROM:

Shandrian Jarvis, Transportation Planner

DATE:

March 2, 2012

SUBJECT:

Neighborhood Traffic Analysis for Peaceful Hill # C14-2011-0141

The transportation section has performed a Neighborhood Traffic Impact Analysis for the above referenced case and offers the following comments.

The 9.51-acre tract is located in south Austin, south of Dittmar Road. The site is zoned Development Reserve (DR) and it is currently occupied by single-family use. Single-family residential uses are located to the north, south, and west of the property. Automobile storage facilities are located at the eastern edge of the tract, along South Congress Avenue. The zoning request is for Townhouse and Condominium Residence (SF-6) district.

Roadways

The site currently has frontage on Peaceful Hill Lane. Additional access is proposed to Shallot Way.

Peaceful Hill Lane would provide access to the site from the west. It is classified as a collector street. Along the segment north of Mairo Street, there is approximately 60 feet of right-of-way and 28 feet of pavement. South of Mairo Street, the road currently has approximately 45 feet of right-of-way and 16 feet of pavement. An official bicycle route does not currently serve Peaceful Hill Lane; however wide curbs for bicycle use are in place for the segment north of Mairo Street. There is currently no Capital Metro bus service along the roadway.

Shallot Way would provide access to the site from the south. It is classified as a local street. The road currently has a right-of-way width of approximately 50 feet and a pavement width of approximately 27 feet. Currently, the street is not served by a bicycle route and there is no Capital Metro bus service along the roadway.

Mairo is classified as a local street. The road currently has a right of way width of 60 feet and a pavement width of 40 feet. The street is not served by a bicycle route or by Capital Metro bus service. Mairo Street ends in a T-intersection at Peaceful Hill Lane, at the western edge of the

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane Neighborhood Traffic Analysis C14-2011-0141

Page 1 of 3

ATTACHMENT A

proposed site. The future driveway approach to the condominium site will likely form the fourth leg of the intersection.

Trip Generation and Traffic Analysis

Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer's publication <u>Trip Generation</u>, the site could generate up to approximately 828 vehicle trips per day (vpd). However, since the applicant proposes to limit the development to 80 units, the analysis is based on a vpd of 560 trips.

Table 1 represents the expected distribution of the 560 trips:

Table 1.		
Street	Traffic Distribution by Percent	
Peaceful Hill Lane	20	
Shallot Way	50	
Mairo Street	30	

Table 2 represents a breakdown of existing traffic volumes, proposed site traffic, total traffic after development, and percentage increase in traffic on adjacent streets.

	7	able 2.		
Street	Existing Traffic (vpd)	Proposed New Site Traffic to each Roadway	Overall Traffic	Percentage Increase in Traffic
Peaceful Hill Lane	1,2901	112	1,402	9%
Shallot Way	583 ²	280	863	48%
Mairo Street	635 ³	168	803	26%

- 1.Source: COA Traffic Counts 2010. http://www.campotexas.org/programs_rd_traffic_counts.php.
- 2. Source: GRAM Traffic Counting, Inc. December 13, 2011.
- 3. Source: Austin Transportation Department. February 29, 2012.

It is assumed that 20 percent of site traffic would use Peaceful Hill Lane, 50 percent would use Shallot Way, and 30 percent would use Mairo Street. Under this scenario, traffic on Peaceful Hill would increase by approximately 9 percent. Traffic on Shallot Way and Mairo Street is expected to increase by approximately 48 percent and 26 percent, respectfully.

According to Section 25-6-116 of the Land Development Code, local or collector streets that have a pavement width of less than 30 feet are considered to be operating at an undesirable traffic level if the average daily traffic volume for such roadways exceeds 1,200 vehicles per day. In its current configuration, Peaceful Hill Lane is anticipated to operate at an unacceptable level for this segment of the roadway.

Similarly, local or collector street that have a pavement width greater than 40 feet are considered to be operating at an undesirable traffic level if the average daily traffic volume exceeds 4,000 vehicles per day. In its current configuration, Mairo Street is anticipated to operate at an acceptable level for this segment of the roadway.

Recommendations/Conclusions

- 1. Prior to final reading of the zoning, the applicant should post pro rata share for the estimated cost to widen the pavement along Peaceful Hill Lane from Dittmar Road to Ralph Ablanedo Drive to a minimum of 30 feet. The applicant should submit a construction cost estimate signed and sealed by an engineer to the transportation reviewer to verify the amount that is required for posting. It is recommended that these improvements be implemented by the applicant to assure safer access and circulation into the site. The Austin Transportation Department will monitor traffic at this location after the proposed improvements are completed to determine the need for additional improvements, if any.
- Development of this property should also be limited to uses and intensities that will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in this neighborhood traffic analysis, including traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic related characteristics.
- 3. All driveways would need to comply with current City of Austin Type II Commercial Driveway standards and would need to meet minimum requirements for driveway width; throat length, driveway spacing, offset, and curb return radii. The owner will be responsible for obtaining permit approval for the driveways prior to site plan approval.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-2628.

Shandrian Jarvis

Senior Planner ~ Transportation Review

Planning and Development Review Department

TRANSPORTATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES

STEVEN M. MANILLA, P.E., EXECUTIVE MANAGER



411 West 13th Street Executive Office Building PO Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 Phone: (512) 854-9383 Fax: (512) 854-4697

January 27, 2011

Loomis Partners Inc. 3101 Bee Cave Rd. Ste. 100 Austin, Texas 78746 Attn: George Sanders

SUBJECT: Private easement named Hubach Lane.

Dear Mr. Sanders;

In response to your request by phone the following information is provided.

According to our files and other information the lane known as Hubach Lane is a private access easement that has never been maintained by Travis County.

For further information please call Gayla Dembkowski at 854-7642.

Sincerely,

Randy Nicholson, Planning Manager

RN:gd

cc:

4100 Hubach Lane

Gayla Dembkowski, GIS Specialist

STACHMENT B

Rhoades, Wendy

From:

beth.wilson@austinisd.org

Sent:

Friday, May 04, 2012 1:56 PM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Re: Projected number of AISD students for a condominium development

AISD projects student populations for condominiums the same as multi-family projects. District wide, the average number of students per unit is .23. So, if the development has 60 units, that would mean approximately 14 students over all grade levels. The number of elementary students would be half of that, or **seven students**.

If we were to calculate the development as a single family project (for argument's sake), the average number of students per household is .4. Again, for 60 units, that would mean 24 students over all grade levels, with half of them, or **twelve elementary students**.

Even assuming the higher projection, twelve students, should not be an issue for Williams ES. The school is designed to hold 561 students. Currently, there are 609 students assigned, giving Williams ES a 109% permanent capacity. In the next 5-year period, the attendance area for Williams is projected to increase to 625 students, or 111% permanent capacity. Even with the additional students, for a total of 637 students, Williams ES would be around 114%.

We try to keep our schools operating between 75% and 115% permanent capacity, with the use of portable buildings.

Hope this is helpful. let me know if you need any additional information or clarification.

-b

Beth Wilson Assistant Director of Planning Austin Independent School District beth.wilson@austinisd.org 512-414-9841

Confidentiality Notice: This email message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential student and/or employee information. Unauthorized use of disclosure is prohibited under the federal Family Education Rights & Privacy Act (20 U.S.C. §1232g, 34 CFR Part 99, 19 TAC 247.2, t Code 552.023, Educ. Code 21.355, 29 CFR 1630.14(b)(c)). If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please call the sender immediately or reply by email and destroy all copies of the original message, including attachments.

From:

"Rhoades, Wendy" < Wendy. Rhoades@austintexas.gov>

To:

<beth.wilson@austinisd.org>

Date:

05/04/2012 12:12 PM

Subject:

Projected number of AISD students for a condominium development

Hi Beth,

EXHIBIT C CORRESPONDENCE WITH AISD I hope you are doing well! I am writing to inquire about the number of projected AISD students that would be associated with a 60-unit condominium development at 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane in South Austin. The proposed unit mix is 30 2-bedroom units and 30 3-bedroom units. An Educational Impact Statement was not required with this rezoning case, but the site is down the street from Williams Elementary School and the Council has requested information about:

1) the number of projected students and 2) whether there is enough room at the school to accommodate the new students.

Any information provided will be of assistance to me and I appreciate your time!

Thank you, Wendy

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district zoning.

Staff recommends the applicant be required to post fiscal surety toward road improvements as outlined in the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis (NTA) dated March 2, 2012 prior to final reading of this zoning request.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. Zoning changes should promote an orderly and compatible relationship among land uses.

The townhouse and condominium residence (SF-6) district is intended as an area for moderate density single family, duplex, two-family, townhouse and condominium use.

2. Zoning changes should promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses, and should not result in detrimental impacts to the neighborhood character.

Staff supports the Applicant's rezoning request for SF-6 based on the following considerations of the property: 1) This tract serves as a transition in land use between the small lot single family residences within the Park Ridge Gardens subdivision to the south and the more intensively zoned or used properties to the north and east and 2) Townhome / condominium uses are compatible with single family residences while further diversifying the housing options available in this area. The Staff also recommends the applicant be required to post fiscal surety toward road improvements as outlined in the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis (NTA) dated January 13, 2012 prior to final reading of this zoning request.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Environmental

The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Desired Development Zone. The site is in the South Boggy Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

Development Classification	% of Net Site Area	% with Transfers	
Single-Family			
(minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.)	50%	60%	
Other Single-Family or Duplex	55%	60%	
Multifamily	60%	70%	
Commercial	80%	90%	

C14-2011-0141 Page 10

According to flood plain maps, there is no floodplain within, or adjacent to the project boundary.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development's requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following water quality control requirements:

• Structural controls: Sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

Site Plan

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the west and south property lines, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection.

Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

Transportation

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day [LDC, 25-6-113].

A Neighborhood Traffic Analysis is required and will be performed for this project by the Transportation Review staff. Results are provided in Attachment A [LDC, Sec. 25-6-114].

C14-2011-0141 Page 11

Water / Wastewater

The site is currently served by City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. If redeveloped the landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. Water and wastewater utility plans must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

THE MOORE GROUP

ENGINEERING. PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
1000 Cuernavaca Drive
Austin, Texas 78733

TEXAS REGISTERED ENGINEERING FIRM # 249

February 27, 2012

Peaceful Hill Condominiums Rezoning Information City of Austin Case Number C14-2011-0141

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter has been drafted in order to provide preliminary information regarding the proposed City of Austin Rezoning Case C14-2011-0141 – Peaceful Hill Condominiums. The proposed rezoning is for two tracts of land totaling 9.51 acres located at 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane, Austin, Texas.

The subject tracts are both currently zoned DR (Development Reserve) by the City of Austin. This zoning designation states that the land use and urban development policies for these tracts have not been finalized by the City of Austin. It is standard for tracts of land zoned DR to be rezoned by the City of Austin to a new zoning designation that fits well with the surrounding zoning designations of adjacent properties.

In general, the subject tracts have high density single family residential zoning to the south and west, and industrial / commercial zoning to the north and east. Given that the subject tracts are in between the two contrasting zonings of single family residential and commercial, it makes sense for the subject tracts to be rezoned to a zoning that is considered a "transitional" zoning. In our case, a zoning of SF-6 (Townhouse and Condominium Residences) is what the City of Austin considers a transitional zoning.

The Peaceful Hill Condominium developer is planning to construct a simple, well thought out detached unit condominium development. This development will consist of detached single family condominium units that will not share common walls. Once completed, the Peaceful Hill Condominium development will look very similar to a single family subdivision. These small garden homes will provide good diversity to residential housing options in the neighborhood.

As a part of the development, the Peaceful Hill Condominium developer will pay funds to improve the Peaceful Hill Lane roadway and sidewalk infrastructure. This will help to improve the overall infrastructure of the surrounding neighborhood with safer means of travel for pedestrians along Peaceful Hill Lane.

If you have any further questions please feel free to contact our office at 442-0377.

Regards,

Edward Moore, Principal

land Allege

The Moore Group

Phone - 512-442-0377 Fax - 512-442-7807

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

Austin, TX 78767-8810

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

X am in favor comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your ☐ I object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: March 8, 2012, City Council Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Planning & Development Review Department Your address(es) affected by this application Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Signature Your Name (please print) listed on the notice. Daytime Telephone: 5115tavo City of Austin Comments:

April 2, 2012

Zoning & Platting Commission Members

Subject: C14-2011-0141 Peaceful Hill Condominiums

Commissioners.

I am writing in support of the case C14-2011-0141 — Peaceful Hill Condominiums request for SF-6 with conditions.

My wife and I own the 1.33 acre lot at 8005 Peaceful Hill Lane which is bound on two sides by the Peaceful Hill Condominiums property. Much of the surrounding property was originally purchased by my wife's family in 1944, and they have maintained a residence on Peaceful Hill since that time. My mother-in-law currently resides at 8005 Peaceful Hill Lane.

In the years since acquiring the property, our family has watched as the area experienced significant changes in character. From rural farmland to new suburban neighborhood, and now as an established urban neighborhood the area is drastically different from when our family first arrived. Many of the changes have been beneficial, such as the expansion of City of Austin utilities and the construction of Williams Elementary. However, because the Peaceful Hill Condominiums property remained undeveloped, it has become a refuge for transients, illegal dumping and an attractive haven for rodents. In addition, with the severe drought conditions currently ravaging the state, the overgrown area represents a significant fire danger to nearby residents, especially those adjoining the site.

The proposed SF-6 zoning would encourage residential growth in place of the current attractive nuisance. This would significantly improve the safety of the area. In addition, the proposed high density development is in keeping with the Imagine Austin Growth Concept Plan. As seen on the attached exhibit, Peaceful Hill Lane is just north of a proposed Town Center area based around the new Southpark Meadows development. Also, the area is bound on both the east and west by the South First and South Congress Activity Corridors.

The proposed zoning also would encourage residential growth in an area currently served by City of Austin Utilities. This is a significant issue due to the high cost required to extend water and wastewater services. This is clearly evidenced by the recent South IH-35 Water / Wastewater Program, in which water transmission mains were installed for expected development in southeast Austin at a cost of over \$60M. By encouraging development within current service areas, the City of Austin taxpayers will be spared the additional cost of system expansions. This is clearly in line with principals described by the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) criteria for sustainable site selection.

Finally, the proposed SF-6 zoning also supports LEED site sustainability goals by limiting the amount of impervious cover to 55%, versus the 80% impervious cover that could be allowed under several commercial and industrial zonings.


We are very much in support of the proposed SF-6 zoning, along with the agreed to conditions, and encourage the Board to approve the request without further delay.

Sincerely,


Jonathan Thompson, P.E., LEED AP

Owner

Atlas Design Services







Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2011-0141

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission March 8, 2012, City Council	
Matha Monterroso Your Name (please print) 8102 Peaceful Hill Ly.	
Your address(es) affected by this application 1-30-20 Signature Date	Į.
Daytime Telephone: (5(2) 694-8055 Comments: I object because I don't think it will benefit this guiet and peacete neighborhood and I don't think this is the appropriate spot for a comercia or apartment complex.	7,1
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning & Development Review Department Wendy Rhoades P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-8810	•

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

Austin, TX 78767-8810

DEVELUPINEATI ☐ I am in favor comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your I object Daytime Telephone (513) 433-9336 SMALL If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: So, Congress March 8, 2012, City Council Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Planning & Development Review Department Your address(es) affected by this application STREET GTVTO Dave Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Consistent Your Name (please print) Comments: [EE 05 RESUBENTIHA MREA listed on the notice. Wendy Rhoades City of Austin P. O. Box 1088

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

Comments: WILL CREATE TRAFFIC PROBLOWS ☐ I am in favor comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your M Object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: 8104 SOUTH CONGRESS March 8, 2012, City Council Daytime Telephone: 512-656-4319 Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Planning & Development Review Department IN EINSISTENT Your address affected by this application DEVELOPMENT. Signature Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Your Name (please print) Austin, TX 78767-8810 listed on the notice. Wendy Rhoades City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 AREA AND IS

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

☐ Lam in favor comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your T object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: March 8, 2012, City Council Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Planning & Development Review Department Your address(es) affected by this application Daytime Telephone: 382 - 122 9 of seelang Signature Case Number: C14-2011-0141 P100 Peace ful Your Name (please print) Car Austin, TX 78767-8810 listed on the notice. Jesuesa Wendy Rhoades City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 Comments: K

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

☐ I am in favor 1200 De comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission March 8, 2012, City Council date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your (2) object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Planning & Development Review Department ress(es) affected by this application Mians an Unchase Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Melissa Wil Tha Your Name (please prin Austin, TX 78767-8810 listed on the notice. Daytime Telephone:_ Wendy Rhoades City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 Comments:

Rhoades, Wendy

From:

Curah Beard Curabbeard Questin mount

Sent:

Sunday, February 05, 2012 6:20 PM

To:

wendyrhoades@austintexas.gov; Rhoades, Wendy

Subject:

Case# C14-2011-0141 -- 2/7 zoning hearing

Importance: High Wendy Rhoades

Thank you for considering this information in advance of the 2/7 rezoning hearing in regards to Case# C14-2011-0141.

Please confirm your receipt of my message.

While I am unable to attend zoning hearings due to my family obligations, I thank you very much for considering and submitting my concerns and recommendations to the Zoning Commission. As as LONG TIME resident of Austin Texas and specifically in this affected neighborhood -- I feel my input to this case should be heavily weighed.

I have a mixed response to the proposed rezoning of 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane. I would have to say that I conditionally approve of the redevelopment of the property -- so long as these specific issues are addressed as part of this improvement.

I live diagonal to this property, and my husband and I have been lifelong residents of this neighborhood (my husband having lived at 8006 Peaceful Hill Lane since he was ONE YEAR old).

1) 8107 has set unoccupied for approximately 6 years -- in that time there have been ongoing issues of vagrants residing on the property.

Very concerning to due to the nature of "unsavory" individuals walking our neighborhood ... sometimes knocking on our door to ask for ice, or water .. being approached on the street while walking out children home from school requesting to use our phone, etc.

Additionally, these vagrants have frequently had campfires ... which during the drought conditions was quite concerning if the brush, dehydrated bamboo and cedar on the property were to go up in flames! Law enforcement can do little as you cannot SEE into the property.

Additional safety concerns are that I have had to warn curious (foolish) teenagers looking for a place to hang out that they should not be wandering back into that property due to the vagrants.

So ... from this aspect ... ANY development would be better than what we have going right now. That being said ... the vagrants will probably just move over one property to the north -- that of the deceased Aubrey Marx which is directly across the street from our house ... and is basically abandoned except for some businesses that operate out of the property.

2) It was my understanding from the zoning hearings that happened 10 years ago in regards to the property at the far north end of Peaceful Hill Ln at Dittmar & Byrd Hill Ln -- property purchased/Owned by Agape Christian Ministries, who were proposing the construction of a 1000 seat church, school, halfway house for drug rehab & battered women, and structures for other services -- and wanted their ONLY entrance to their site to be on Peaceful Hill Ln at the blind "Hairpin turn" at Peaceful Hill Ln & Byrd Hill Ln. During that zoning hearing it came out that when council/zoning planning approved the construction of the Fire & Police Substation on Ralph Ablanedo Drive -- it was determined at that time that "no further development with Peaceful Hill Access was to be approved without improvements to Peaceful Hill Ln" (improvements being SIDEWALKS on the East side of the street, street lights, fire hydrants, and road striping).

So ... if this Development is to be approved -- these improvements should be required ... and quite frankly some of this cost should be at the DEVELOPERS expense. It is imperative these improvements be made in order to ensure the safety & mobility of the entire

community.

- 3) I would PREFER to see this development to be SINGLE FAMILY DWELLINGS so that it is a better fit for the rest of the neighborhood. If this development is approved as a CONDOMINIUM residences ... then adequate greenspace and pervious coverage needs to be insured to minimize the environmental impact ... and also reduce the risk of flooding the creek at the bottom of Peaceful Hill due to added run-off.
- 4) In regards to this proposed development connecting roads to Shallot Way ... assuming this development would be approved also with access to Peaceful Hill Ln ... I would be in favor of connecting Shallot Way to the road that would have Peaceful Hill Ln (I presume this would be an extension of Mairo across Peaceful Hill into this development). This would increase pedestrian connectivity with safer access to the Elementary School from the Park Ridge Gardens Development off Shallot Way. This would also provide the Park Ridge Garden development another exit should they not be able to get out of Ralph Ablanedo due to an accident, hazardous spill, gas leak or other necessary evacuation. Currently the ONLY two roads in or out of this neighborhood depend on Ralph Albanedo access.
- 5) In regards to traffic safety .. Peaceful Hill is already a RACETRACK and is not safe for children to play. Not only due to the lack of sidewalks on East side of the street ... the SPEEDS of the vehicles barreling down the road is ridiculous (and unfortunately this also applies to police cars). For a NARROW road that has no center stripe and has cars parked infront of residences often on BOTH sides of the street ... some type of change NEEDS to be made.

We observed a drastic increase in traffic flow 12+ years ago when the Park Ridge neighborhood was built, and drivers discovered that they could turn from Slaughter onto Francia and cut down through Peaceful Hill to gain access to Congress via Dittmar Rd (Another NARROW road that crosses over a creek that on occasion experiences flooding)

EVERY morning and afternoon as we walk our children to/from school we observe cars SPEEDING down Peaceful Hill Lane (Please note there is a NARROWING of Peaceful Hill just to the South of this proposed development just south of Peaceful Hill & Baldridge (someday someone is bound to take out that powerpole!)

With the addition of further residential units on the EAST side of Peaceful Hill Ln I find it imperative that not only SIDEWALKS & LIGHTING be installed down Peaceful Hill Ln, but that CROSSWALKS and PEDESTRIAN SIGNS be installed (including SPEED LIMIT SIGNS) on Peaceful Hill both NORTH & SOUTHBOUND. Furthermore, the SCHOOL ZONE which exists on MAIRO at BELCLAIRE for Williams Elementary School (located at 500 Mairo Ln ... a mere two blocks from this proposed development) SHOULD BE EXTENDED TO PEACEFUL HILL and apply to XXX Ft South and North of Mairo on Peaceful Hill Ln so that a SAFE ZONE FOR A CROSSWALK IS CREATED FOR CHILDREN WALKING TO WILLIAMS ELEMENTARY for children coming out of this proposed development (and the connecting Park Ridge Gardens neighborhood).

FYI - I have contacted the police substation year after year about better monitoring traffic during school zone times with LITTLE response ... and we know ... we walk to school EVERY DAY see little to no police presence to manage traffic or ticket speed & cell phone violators.

It seem senseless that the school zone for Williams only extends down Mairo to Belclaire to the East ... when it extends all the way to 1st Street to the West.

Drivers turn the corner off Peaceful Hill on to Mairo only to "punch the gas" then slam on their breaks once they pass the school zone sign at Belclaire ... drivers are within only two car lengths of the cross walk and are still driving at least 30 as they pass through the crosswalk.

We NEED TO CREATE A SAFER PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD by installing CROSSWALKS and extending the school zone further to the East.

6) In closing, I am not sure of your processes and communication with other departments and organizations impacted by approved rezoning and impending development such as this.

Planning and Zoning should communicate Police & Fire so that the Ralph Ablanedo sub-station can gear up their personnel and staffing to service the additional XXX number of households that will be added to their service

Additionally -- communication MUST BE MADE to AISD or directly to the affected elementary, middle and highschools that will be affected by the addition of these XXX households.

area.

Williams Elementary School, a 35 year old school servicing 620 children can and will be heavily impacted by 100++ households being added to it's immediate area. Especially being homes in the \$150K or CONDOS ... these residential units will be sure to draw young families likely with children in or entering elementary school.

Sincerest Thanks, Curah Beard 8006 Peaceful Hill Ln 512-496-3962

From: hoperables@gmail.com on behalf of hope robles [hoperm99@alammi.utexas.not]

Sent: Sunday, February 05, 2012 11:03 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Re: Case Number #C14-2011-0141

Ms. Rhoades,

My name is Hope Robles. I live at 532 Celery Loop. Currently there is a proposal out that is requesting some changes that will greatly effect my neighborhood. Parkridge Gardens is a small community, which is what drove us live here. We enjoy the small roads that have very little unwanted congestion. My 3rd grader and my 6th graders currently ride the bus to and from school and I find a little bit of comfort in knowing that they can get to and from the bus stop with not too much of a threat. This is something I can do because most of the community we live in have hours that are similar to our and also have family like ours, so they just get it. Except for the occasional speeder, we don't have to worry about our kids getting to and from school safely or even playing ball in our yard. We have become familiar with our neighbors and can pretty easily spot someone that does not belong in our neighborhood. With the proposed changes this will threaten the safety of the neighborhood. Our children will have to become more aware of cars speeding through our neighborhood. They will also have to become more aware of people in our neighborhood and I know that our senses will have to be heightened. In addition to this, our neighborhood was built with a small community in mind. Therefore the streets are not made to fit a bunch of traffic. Currently, Shallot Way, the proposed street to be opened up, is too narrow of a road to sustain this increase in traffic. This greatly concerns me as both bus stops for our kids are located on Shallot Way. I cannot express to you adequately how concerned I am for the welfare of my children and the other children in the neighborhood if this is allowed to happen.

I do not mind them having condos behind our neighborhood, I do however mind the integrity and safety of my neighborhood being threatened by these proposed changes.

I do hope that you will consider these things when voting on this.

Please let me know if there is anything else that I can do to make sure that our neighborhood continues to be safe.

Thank you for your time, Hope Robles Resident of Parkridge Gardens 512-666-5289 hopem03@laumni.utexas.net

From: Thomas Davis [mysumtoxec@mon.com]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 12:53 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: case # C14-2011-0141

Ms. Rhodes,

I am writing to you to inform you that I oppose the zoning change for the the area on 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane (case # C14-2011-0141). I am a homeowner and live on Peaceful Hill Lane. It doesn't make sense to have condos in this neighborhood and would ruin the area. Please do not allow this area to be turned into condos. The people of our neighborhood would be much happier with homes in this area, not apartments/condos. Peaceful Hill is a very small residential street that has too much traffic on it already. The mailboxes have to be turned sideways to allow two cars go up and down the street and there still isn't really enough room. My wife and I will be attending the zoning meeting to express our opposition in person.

-Thomas Davis

From:

jedyaustin@sbuglebahnet

Sent:

Monday, February 06, 2012 1:18 PM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject:

Fw: Proposed Condos next to Parkridge Gardens (Case C14-2011-0141)

Attachments: Subdivision plat.pdf

--- On Mon, 2/6/12, jedyaustin@sbeglobalinet < jedyaustin@sbeglobalinet > wrote:

From: jedyaustin@sbeglebalmet <jedyaustin@sbeglebalmet>

Subject: Proposed Condos next to Parkridge Gardens (Case C14-2011-0141)

To: wendyrhodes@austintexas.gov

Cc: parkridgegardensbodvp@gmail.com, parkridgegardensbodsecretary@gmail.com,

parkridgegardensbodpresident@gmail.com, "Michelle Muenzer"

<mm@plateaupropertymgmt.com>

Date: Monday, February 6, 2012, 6:57 AM

Dear Ms. Rhodes,

I live at 8517 Shallot Way in Parkridge Gardens subdivision.

It has come to my attention that the Mike Moore Group has requested approval of a zoning change for a condominium complex next to our neighborhood. This developer has also suggested extending Shallot Way to allow ingress and egress to its complex.

I strongly oppose the idea of utilizing Shallot Way for this purpose. As you can see from the attached plat, Shallot Way is already used by 93 households. At an average of 2 vehicles per household, that's 186 cars per day traveling narrow Shallot Way. That's not to mention the vehicles of visitors, school buses, delivery vehicles and the like.

This street is far too narrow for all this traffic. When neighbors park their vehicles on both sides of the street, only a very narrow space is left for passage. On the two days per year that we have our neighborhood garage sale, the increase in traffic is a reminder of how little traffic increase we can handle. To open this street to a condominium complex will double, triple, perhaps quadruple the traffic flow. That is very unsafe for our families.

We already have a speeding problem on this street. You can talk with our APD district representative about that. If Shallot Way is extended, I suspect that we will have even more speeders, because they will have a longer stretch of roadway in which to accelerate. That's not to mention how tempting it would be to speed, when they have such a long distance to get down Shallot Way and onto a main road.

We have been very fortunate to have a low crime rate in this neighborhood. I believe


that this is due in large part to the fact that it is a "contained" neighborhood, with no through traffic. If Shallot Way is opened to more residences, our crime rate WILL increase. There is no doubt in my mind that it will.

The property values in the neighborhood will also be adversely affected if this condominium complex is allowed to go forward. If we need to come up with facts and figures to support this allegation, I'm sure we can. In your position, in the Planning and Development Review Department, you probably already have this available to you.

I know that people within a 500 foot radius of this proposed zone change will be kept apprised of the progress of this proposal. I am probably not on that list, since my house is not at that end of Shallot Way. However, I definitely will be affected by the drop in property values, by the increase in crime, and certainly by the increase in traffic passing my house every few seconds. If possible, please put me on the list of interested parties.

I watched this neighborhood being built. I love this neighborhood. I want to keep it quiet and safe. Please help us do that.

Jody Mattingly 8517 Shallot Way Austin, TX 78748 512-699-4815



sh /

From: Michael Bieck [badger9424@yahse.sem]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 2:51 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: CASE#C14-2011-0141

I OPPOSE THE REZONING CHANGE TO OUR NEIGHBORHOOD FOR THE BUILDING OF CONDOS ON PEACEFUL HILL. MY BASIS CONCERN IS FOR THE EXTENSION OF SHALLOT WAY. THIS STREET S NOT WIDE ENOUGH FOR INCREASED TRAFFIC THAT WOULD RESULT. IT IS DANGEROUS ENOUGH WITH THE RESIDENTIAL TRAFFIC WE HAVE NOW. IT WOULD ONLY BE WORSE IF SHALLOT WAY IS EXTENDED FOR ACCESS TO THE CONSTRUCTION. CERTAINLY DO NOT NEED ANY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OR DELIVERIES USING SHALLOT WAY. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THE ZONING CHANGE TO RUIN OUR QUIET NEIGHBORHOOD.

MICHAEL BIECK 8421 SHALLOT WAY

From:

Joan Judy [jeandjange@gmail.eum]

Sent:

Monday, February 06, 2012 3:45 PM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: C14-2011-0141

Ms. Rhoades:

I am writing in opposition to the proposed development on Peaceful Hill in South Austin by the Mike Moore Group. I believe that condominium development is not appropriate for that tract.

This is an example of inconsistent neighborhood planning and development. A nearby property is currently being proposed as light industrial; the residential areas are all single family neighborhoods; single family homes would be more appropriate.

Both proposed accesses (Peaceful Hill and Shallot Way) are narrow, residential streets that are not designed for more traffic. Condominiums would generate more traffic than these roads can really handle. Ralph Abelando Drive is such an anomaly, with an Austin Police Department Station ad Austin Fire Department Station facing a substandard 2 lane road with no shoulders. Single family units would be more appropriate.

I would also like to encourage the city to require the most comprehensive environmental study and site planning. The area in question is very close to a flood plain (South Boggy Creek) and any runoff will affect neighbors on S. Congress and the light industrial area to the north, culminating in the once pristine South Boggy Creek. I hate to see another pretty Austin creek ruined further because of over development.

Thank you for your consideration. I am sorry that I can't be at the hearing, but appreciate the opportunity to voice my concerns through this email.

Joan Judy 7607 Loganberry Drive 78745 789-1455

From: Art Flores [art_flores @last.mail.com]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 5:08 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Case Number #C14-2011-0141

Hi Wendy,

My name is Art Flores, and I live at 8337 Shallot Way, Austin TX, 78748.

I am writing to you to oppose the zoning change being proposed by the Mike Moore Group.

What can I do to help prevent this condo development in my neighborhood?

Shallot Way is a very narrow street, and would not be able to handle the increase in traffic. I do not want the increased traffic and congestion in my neighborhood.

Another reason for opposition is, inconsistent neighborhood planning and community development - gross mixed use of commercial and residentail.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to stop this inappropriate usage of Condominium residences.

Art Flores

From: Billie Bova [billie Companile states and a state of the state of

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 5:46 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: FW: Fw: case number #C14-2011-0141

Wendy,

I am writing to you because I oppose the condos to be built across the street from 8100 Peaceful Hill Ln, case number #C14-2011-0141. This is an older neighborhood that has been brought down because of rentals. Just over the Holidays my friend almost got ran over by a car that swerved on to the curb. The vehicle never even stopped.

I have personally seen for myself how busy this intersection of Mario at Peaceful Hill Lane has become over the years. There is way too much traffic in this tight and small area. Vehicle are traveling at high rate of speed already. This has become a major issue for everyone safety coming and going from these houses on Peaceful Hill Lane. At the present time there are issues with vandalism and cars being broken into. That's not to mention all the hit and runs that have happened. This neighborhood has gone down in value due to all the rental property.

How safe will the neighborhood be now that someone wants to build condos? I know the majority of the homes on Peaceful Hill are currently owned by homeowners that are raising families and they don't want or need condos to be built. Like there really needs to be more traffic in this area. Please look at all the concerns that homeowners are having about these condos wanted to be built. For the record I oppose these condos to be built.

Thanks for your time,

Billie Bova

From: Michelle Lewright [michelled-might@yalnes.som]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 5:48 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Case number #C14-2011-0141

Wendy,

I am writing to you because I oppose the condos to be built across the street from 8100 Peaceful Hill Ln, case number #C14-2011-0141. I grew up and lived at 8100 Peaceful Hill Ln. for over 25 years. My mother along with my sister still reside there. Just over the Holidays my mother on New Years eve night almost got ran over by car that swerved on to the curb and nearly hit my 84 year old mother. The vehicle never even stopped.

I have personally seen for my self how busy this intersection of Mario at Peaceful Hill Ln. has become over the years. Many vehicles have ran the stop sign and their car went into the empty lot hitting trees and going through their fence. There is way to much traffic in this tight and small area. Vehicle are traveling at high rate of speed already. This has become a major issue for everyone safety coming and going from these houses on Peaceful Hill Ln. At the present time we have issues with vandalism and cars being broken into. That's not to mention all the hit and runs that have happened. This neighborhood has gone down in value due to all the rental property. I used to own my own house in this same neighborhood and sold it because I no longer felt safe living there.

Then I think about my mother who is 84 years old. How safe will she be now that someone wants to build condos across the street from her house? At this time we have asked her to no longer walk her dog along the street anymore. We have also had a alarm installed in her home.

I know the majority of the homes on Peaceful Hill are currently owned by homeowners that are raising families and they don't want or need condos to be built. Like there really needs to be more traffic in this area. Please look at all the concerns that homeowners are having about these condos wanted to be built. For the record I oppose these condos to be built. Thanks for your time.

I nanks for your time,

Michelle Lewright

From: Juanita Vasquez [imvazquez020@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 7:02 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Case # C14-2011-0141

I am writing this letter on behalf of my grandmother. She resides at 8100 Peaceful Hill lane, the corner house that faces the lot to be used. She has lived here since the house was built in 1976. This house will forever stay in our family, there will be many more family members who will inherit it and grow old in it, just as my grandmother and grandfather did and have.

There is no need for this already busy street to get busier with more speeding cars. Just a few weeks ago she was nearly run down when a car came speeding down the street and hopped the curb. Thankfully it swerved off JUST IN TIME!!!!! Can you imagine the influx of traffic if these condos went up? The amount of accidents and incidents that would occur? This is a neighborhood that is highly mixed with senior citizens and young families. On any given day you can see children walking to the park, dogs going for strolls, and people young and old working in their yard or walking up and down their sidewalk.

I grew up in this house. I walked to the elementary school 3 blocks down for school everyday. I learned to drive on Peaceful Hill. As I have grown older I have noticed the increase in traffic and the steady growth of foot traffic from the fellow neighbors. The high school bus drops off and picks up right where the condo entrance/exit will be. Why would we want to add to the already busy street, especially when there are so many children that frequent it. The children and their families shouldn't have to worry about cars zooming in out of a condo complex.

My grandmother and mother (who also resides at the residence) are nervous about the repercussions of the condos going up. My grandmother is 84 and my mother is nearing 60. Would you, the condo developers, want your senior aged parents and grandparents living directly across from a condo entrance/exit? I know I don't!!!

Juanita Vazquez jmvazquez620@gmail.com
512-228-7613

From: Salina [salina.lonay@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 7:13 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Fwd: #C14-2011-0141

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Salina" < salina.lenay@gmail.com >

Date: Feb 6, 2012 6:45 PM Subject: #C14-2011-0141

To: < wendyrhoades@austintexas.gov >

Dear Ms. Rhodes,

I am opposed to the proposed condos for this case number. These condos would be built across the street from my grandmother's home. She is 84 years old and has lived in her home for many years. This home is the heart of our family and would be an eyesore to the small community we have been a part of for generations.

Also, the building of condos would greatly increase traffic on a street that is already suffering from more traffic and crazy drunk drivers. This will put my family and our neighbors' safety at risk.

Please, take into account the number of children in the area because of the elementary school and the elderly people who have lived happily in their quiet neighborhood for so long when deciding to build these condos.

Thank you,

Salina Estrada

From:

Scott Long [

Sent:

Monday, February 06, 2012 8:17 PM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Fwd: Notice of Zoning Changes - Parkridge Gardens eMail Bulletin

Hello. I oppose the proposed zoning change in Case # C14-2011-0141.

My name is Scott Long and I live in Parkridge Gardens on Shallot Way.

My address is 8429 Shallot Way, Austin, TX, 78748

My phone is 512-243-7793.

My concern is this is poor traffic engineering and urban planning at its worst.

Shallot Way can not handle the existing traffic when people park on both sides of the street.

Cars parallel park and then only a single car can get through easily at one time.

Adding hundreds of cars going through a residential neighborhood to support some greedy developer's dream of building condos near the Southpark Gardens shopping center is totally stupid. It will cause a major traffic congestion problem in a residential neighborhood.

If you must allow the construction, route the entrance to the condos on Congress Avenue, a for

If you must allow the construction, route the entrance to the condos on Congress Avenue, a four lane major thoroughfare with a left turn lane, not a residential neighborhood.

Thank you.

Scott Long

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Parkridge Gardens HOA < info@plateaupropertymgmt.com>

Date: Mon, Feb 6, 2012 at 6:52 PM

Subject: Notice of Zoning Changes - Parkridge Gardens eMail Bulletin

To: Scott Long < scott.d.long1@gmail.com >

Notice of Zoning Changes

Date: 2/6/2012

Parkridge Gardens

Notice of Zoning Changes

Attached is a memo outlining possible zoning changes in your area. If you are interested, please make plans to attend the meeting regarding possible changes in your community.

From:

arlene valls

Sent:

Monday, February 06, 2012 10:11 PM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Opposition to rezoning!

Miss Wendy Rhodes,

I Arlene M. Valls, a permanent resident of my home at 8204 Peaceful Hill Lane. I'm stating today my opposition to case number #C14-2011-0141 of the rezoning on Peaceful Hill Lane, and the building of a condominium complex in our neighborhood at 8107 Peaceful Hill lane. I disagree and oppose the request on your group proposing to open the dead end on Shallot Way, which is a quiet residential street in Parkside Gardens, to be used as access into the proposed condo development. And that Peaceful Hill Lane is also being proposed to be used as a feeder road into the development.

Why? My concerns and the affects of our neighborhood. First: The Increase Traffic Congestion added with our Police Department, Fire Department, Bicycle Riders, Runners, Walkers, and our resedence that commute daily through Peaceful Hill Lane. Secondly: Home owers that are experiencing heavy burdensome property taxes with fixed income that are disabled will acquire increase property taxes of a higher class society of condominium living in our modest, humble, middle class neighborhood. Thirdly: A Condominium Complex in our neighborhood will attract criminals, because of the upper class living environment of condo owners.

When I purchase my home I new that this neighborhood was built in 1975, and many of my neighbors were older and kind. We care and help each other! I have enjoyed the peace of our neighborhood and intend to continue! Thank you.

Blessed Joy, Arlene M. Valls 8204 Peaceful Hill Lane Austin, Texas 78748 (512) 291-4926

From: Dagan Martinez-Vargas [dagan 38 8) altotation

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 9:10 AM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: opposition of case number #c14-2011-0141

Dear Ms. Rhoades,

I am in complete opposition to opening our home neighborhood at Shallot Way to a condo development. As a native Austinite, I have never seen people drive thru a quiet residential home neighborhood to drive into basically a glorified apartment community.

With cars parked in the streets, Shallot Way was built way too narrow for cars to pass each other. One has to move aside and stop while driving if another car passing in the opposite direction.

The same goes for Peacefull Hill. and almost every other street in that area.

This is a gross mismanaging of property. I understand building a few houses. But to utilize the limited amount of room by sqeezing a bunch of small units at the expense of the surrounding area...terrible.

I will try and attend the meeting. I aim to bring someone from the Attorney General's office as well to help in this matter. As well as someone from the police/fire station who though loacted more than 500ft away, are located on Ralph Ablanedo and will be affected by the two roads you are addressing that funnel into them....decreasing safety. I am also sending this to the local news stations.

Thank you, Dagan Martinez-Vargas resident at Parkridge Gardens

From: Belem Ramos [brames @ boundhapmamount]

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 9:15 AM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: FW: Case#C14-2011-0141

Subject: Case Number # C14-2011-0141

To: Wendy Rhodes of the Planning and Development Review Department & to whom it may concern:

I have rec'd a letter at my door step on Sunday 2/5/2012, advising us that a new development is coming and will be using one of our streets to feed into it. I strongly oppose to this as I love our neighborhood as it is. I love the way it's kept enclosed for the sole reason of privacy and security. I have two children, 7 and 11 years of age, and they love to ride their bikes after school and in the weekends. It feels safe as it is now. If you bring this new development, it will endanger my children safety and my peace of mind. I ask you not to bring this development and discomfort us in our livelihood. I hope you understand and see in a mother's point of view, as we have elected this neighborhood to be our long term home of security and comfort, as it's been great to live in. We have lived here for three years now, please take that in consideration of not allowing this one development to fall through.

Please call if you have any questions as I'm strongly against this new development that will take away from me and my family.

Thank you for your attention on this matter,

Belle Ramos

8408 Chick Pea Ln. Austin, TX 78748

Phone: (817)680-6252 (cell) b.e.ramos@hotmail.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This email message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. Unauthorized use or disclosure of confidential patient information is prohibited under the federal Family Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender and delete all copies of the message, including attachments. Thank you.

From:

Sindy Estrada [sectrada Stjogroom]

Sent:

Tuesday, February 07, 2012 9:23 AM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject:

FW: Case # C14-2011-0141 PEACEFUL HILL LANE REZONING REQUEST

Importance: High

Thank you again for your time this morning.

Sindy

From: Sindy Estrada [mailto:scalar | 3315 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355 | 355

To: 'wendyrhoades@austintexas.gov'

Subject: Case # C14-2011-0141 PEACEFUL HILL LANE REZONING REQUEST

Importance: High

Morning Ms. Rhoades,

I would like to let my opinion be known on the request that has been made by the Mike Moore Group to rezone our neighborhood area at 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane to make way for a profit driven condominium complex. This is ridiculous to have to request a rezoning of the residential area so that a developer can insert condominium buildings in the middle of quiet and small community neighborhood that I call home. I realize developers are jumping at the chance to bring business to the South Congress area but where they're trying to stick this one into is like a stick in the mud and at what price? I can very easily tell you at what price it's coming, that is the most important is the safety of children in the surrounding neighborhoods of Williams Elementary and most importantly where I live with my 6 year old and 10 month old and that is Parkridge Gardens.

Even though our subdivision is still considered newer we have taken pride and care in creating our own neighborhood crime watch group an enacted our own slow down speeding signs since we have enough traffic with the current residents and friends visiting within our own neighborhood. Now the developer is wanting to open up the dead end at our Shallot Way road for entrance is selfish, unconsiderate, and insane to make a buck. In return put our children at risk with more increased traffic coming and going out of our neighborhood without our say and without minding our children and families in Parkridge Gardens and the William Elementary area which relies heavily on the Peaceful Hill Lane road. Both of these areas including Peaceful Hill Lane and Shallot Way are both primary entrance and exit points that are already experiencing the strain of heavy traffic during the day and night time with one car fitting okay on the road but if there are 2 both vehicles are pushed to the edges of their right of way on Peaceful Hill Lane or having to move to the side and wait for one to pass the other within the streets of Parkridge Gardens.

I for one don't see the need to stick a condominium complex behind our subdivision and using our quite and quaint streets through our subdivision as an entrance point so I can have a greater risk of speeding, accidents, and other suspicious behavior be reported in my neighborhood where I currently don't have to think twice to step outside my door and walk with my family around our subdivision. It's so upsetting that a developer would like to interrupt the peace of our subdivision where children and families call home and now all of that has the potential to be compromised due to someone wanting to profit at the cost of the safety of our families. I'm sure the developer wouldn't want that in their own backyard or behind their homes and let's not mention that I'm sure the developer has not contacted our Williams

Elementary to see how they will carry out safety measures for our children that walk home in all the streets that are the perimeter of the school including Peaceful Hill Lane and my daughter that currently gets dropped off at the corner of Shallot Way and Chick Pea and has to cross the now quiet street at 2:55 pm every day.

Will the developer be there then to insure that her risk of getting ran over will be reduced or be scared by new adult people walking to the new condominiums? Can the developer still convince me and Dad that I should let them make a few bucks at the risk of her safety without a chip on my shoulder? I think any parent that values the safety of their children knows the obvious response by now and that is, "No it's not worth it."

I personally would like to preserve the integral part of our family life in the Parkridge Gardens subdivision which is the feeling of small community tucked away from the busy streets and feeling of safety for our girls. Help keep our community neighborhood safe and "Peaceful" just as Peaceful Hill Lane was meant to be and don't let our area be rezoned for an insensitive developer that has not shown me how my daughter will be less out of harms way every day at 2:55 pm when she steps out of her school bus from Williams Elementary.

Thank you for your time and please feel free to contact me at anytime if you should have any further questions or concerns.

Kevin & Sindy Estrada 500 Celery Loop Austin, TX 78748

Cell: 903-701-2640 (Sindy)

Cell: 903-276-8104 (Kevin)

From: Laura Herrera [lauranherrera + C yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 9:33 AM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Oppose zoning in Parkridge Gardens on Shallot Way

Thanks for doing this. Here is my e-mail about opposing zoning in our neighborhood.

Thank you, Laura Herrera 8520 Shallot Way Austin,Texas 78748

From: Oladimeji Mosadomi [ladimocadomi @gmalhoom]

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 9:39 AM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Case # C14-2011-0141

As a resident in a nearby neighborhood, with the little information I know about the Mike Moore Group's Peaceful Hill Condominium project proposal, I oppose this zoning change. I plan on attending tonight's meeting to hear more about this case.

Thank you, -Ladi Mosadomi

From:

Andrea Palpant [

Sent:

Tuesday, February 07, 2012 10:14 AM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject:

Dilley response to rezoning--submit for mtg tonight

Attachments: Dilley petition PHL.pdf

To the Austin City Council—

As residents of Peaceful Hill Lane, my husband and I are responding to the zoning application for 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane, Case #C14-2011-0141. Attached is our signed response document. Our comments are contained below, rather than on the document, FYI.

First, let me state that we are not *in principle* oppose to the development of this land. But we opposed to the particular zoning status that is under consideration. Along with many of my neighbors, we would strongly prefer that the area be zoned strictly for single-family dwellings rather than be left open to the possibility of multi-family dwellings and all the infrastructure problems associated with them.

We oppose the proposed rezoning for the following reasons:

Probable increase in traffic and congestion associated with "moderate density" multifamily dwellings like townhouse and condo residences. We already tolerate frequent speeding on our street. If Peaceful Hill were to become a through-street or feeder street to this new development—which is almost inevitable—the traffic volume would increase significantly. For reasons stated below, our street is not built to accommodate this kind of traffic volume increase.

Inappropriate infrastructure. Peaceful Hill is already so narrow that some mailboxes have to be turned sideways for two cars to pass. Massive resources would have to be spent in order to accommodate the increase in traffic volume (associated with multifamily dwellings), by widening the entire road (which most likely would involve purchasing additional property), putting in sidewalks, street lamps, stop signs, etc. None of this infrastructure currently exists and I doubt that the developer—unless mandated to do so—would see fit to budget for these kinds of infrastructure improvements on a feeder road (rather than main entrance to) his/her development.

Probable impact on child safety (related to #1). We have an elementary school one block from Peaceful Hill Lane. Child safety concerns are already high due to the current level of traffic and speeding. If Peaceful Hill were to become a through-street or feeder street to this new development—which is almost inevitable—then those child safety concerns would only increase.

Probable overcrowding by excessive residential concentration

Inconsistent neighborhood planning and community development—gross mixed use of commercial and residential. We would very much prefer to maintain consistent single-family dwelling status rather than see our neighborhood compromised by "moderate density" multi-family dwelling status.

Probable impact on the overall quiet, cohesive feel of our single-dwelling neighborhood.

We urge the city council to oppose this rezoning application. As residents of Peaceful Hill, we would like to protect single-dwelling zoning status for all properties that border on our neighborhood, including the proposed are of rezoning, rather than see our neighborhood compromised by multi-dwelling properties (condos etc) and all the problems associated with them, as noted above.

We very much appreciate your serious consideration of our request.

Steve and Andrea Dilley

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

ard or commission (or the a public hearing. Your sion's name, the scheduled ar and the contact person	nd Platting Commission	I am in favor	2/7/12 Date		d to:
Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.	Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission March 8, 2012, City Council	Steve Dilley Your Name (please print)	S200 Peace ful Hill Lu Your address(es) affected by this application Signature Signature Daytime Telephone: 480.628.9822	Comments: See attached	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning & Development Review Department Wendy Rhoades P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-8810

From: virginia reymundo [virginia_reymundo@yaheereem]

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 12:02 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Fw: Opposition for Rezoning

---- Forwarded Message ----

From: virginia reymundo <vi

To: wendyrhoades@austintexas.gov **Sent:** Tue, February 7, 2012 11:55:09 AM

Subject: Opposition for Rezoning

I am one of the property owners at Parkridge Gardens, living within 500 feet from the proposed site of the condominium complex of the Mike Moore Group.

I oppose the petition for rezoning- referring to Case Number #C14-2011-0141 due to the following reasons:

-Shallot Way is too narrow to sustain increase in traffic.

-Threatening the safety of children playing on minor residential streets

-Increased traffic and congestion in our already small Parkridge Gardens community.

-Loss of more natural wildlife habitat

-Overcrowding by excessive residential concentration

-Inappropriate usage of the proposed site as Condominium Residences.

-Inconsistent neighborhood planning and community development - gross mixed of commercial and residential

-Peaceful Hill already so narrow, mailboxes had be turned sideways to allow 2 cars to pass one another.

I hope this petition for rezoning be not approved.

Sincerely, Virginia Reymundo

From: Howard Rains [equation 1]

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 12:30 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Re: case #C14-2011-0141 (8107 Peaceful Hill Lane)

Hello Ms. Rhoades,

I live at 7704 Peaceful Hill Lane and I am writing to you today regarding case #C14-2011-0141 (8107 Peaceful Hill Lane). While I am not opposed to the proposed project I would request that any and all measures be taken to address existing problems along Peaceful Hill that will likely become more problematic if this project is built.

The current issues along Peaceful Hill are as follows:

- 1. At the northern end of Peaceful Hill, where it intersects with Dittmar, traffic speeds are very high. Cars along this section of Ditmar travel at a high rate of speed and frequently turn onto Peaceful Hill heading south, at a high rate of speed. The fast moving cars create a safety hazard to the other vehicles on the road along with making it difficult to turn into and back out of driveways. Increased traffic as a result of the proposed project will increase the risks mentioned above.
- 2. Due to the long and steep hill along the same portion of Peaceful Hill cars gain speed as they travel northbound (towards Dittmar) thereby creating a hazard to cars turning into and out of driveways. Increased traffic as a result of the proposed project will increase the risks mentioned above.
- 3. At the southern end of Peaceful Hill from Baldridge to Ralph Ablanedo Dr., the road narrows drastically to barely just two lanes. Travelling along this section of Peacefull Hill is a lesson in courteous driving with the hope that passing cars share the road. While I am unaware of any accidents as a result of the narrow road I am concerned that increased traffic on this portion of Peaceful Hill will result in accidents.

Overall there are a variety of transportation issues along Peaceful Hill that need to be addresses through traffic control measures.

And finally, I ask that should the project move forward it develops at "as low a density as possible." I am aware that there are site development standards and site plan requirements such preserving existing trees, compatibility with nearby homes, water retention etc. and I respectfully ask that the project be approved with the full use of controls available.

Thanks you for your consideration. Howard Rains Hackney 7704 Peaceful Hill Lane

www.howardrains.net

From: Lora Estrada [Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 5:03 PM

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 5:03 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy Subject: #C14-2011-0141

I'm writing in regards to the condo project being planned at the intersection of Mairo St. and Peaceful Hill Lane. My mothers home sits on what would be the southwest corner of that intersection and she has been there for more than 35 years. In this time we have seen many things in that neighborhood that have caused concern. At this point, I write with mixed feelings about the project. On one hand the development would force the transients out of the area but the traffic would become worse.

My preference if they are built is to see a second entrance coming from Congress avenue to reduce the amount of traffic on Peaceful Hill. There is already a problem with traffic in this area, drivers don't seem to think there is a speed limit on that street and drive very recklessly. We have witnessed people running the stop sign on several occasions. My husband was parked in front of the house when a drunk driver speeding down Peaceful Hill hit his truck doing a great deal of damage. Just this past new years eve, I was in the front yard with my 84 year old mother and a car was again speeding down the road and lost control swerving at the curb and missed running over my mother by just inches then just drove on as if nothing had happened. On a daily basis the drivers seem to just speed with no regard to the properties around it. Adding a major entrance at that location would only add more traffic issues and I'm afraid more accidents.

If the project does go through, I believe at the very least the city or developer should be required to place speed bumps down Peaceful Hill Lane and Mairo St. which would force the traffic to slow down, perhaps even a round median placed in the center and speed bumps like I have seen in other Austin neighborhoods is warranted. Additional lighting would also be needed.

My mother will be at the meeting this evening, this is of great concern to her as she is very scared of the current traffic issues and only fears it will get worse with the development.

I do hope you will take all of these things into consideration.

Lora Estrada 512-394-1983

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

City of Austin

Austin, TX 78767-8810

www.ci.austin.tx.us/development

I am in favor comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: February 7, 2012, Zoning and Platting Commission date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your object object 1 Let 50 March 8, 2012, City Council 341-442-6791 Contact: Wendy Rhoades, (512) 974-7719 12 mm Your address(es) affected by this application 000 would Monta sna Case Number: C14-2011-0141 Signature 5 Calary Your Name (please print) くとり listed on the notice. Daytime Telephone: 2 Comments: 500

From: what 3 110 0 aux 11

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 2:48 PM

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: continuance

February 7th, 2012

Ms. Wendy Rhoades, Case Manager City of Austin 505 Barton Springs Rd Austin, Texas 78704

Dear Ms. Rhoades:

This letter is in regards to case number C14-2011-0141, an application for zoning change at 8107 Peaceful Hill. I would like to request a delay of this hearing until Tuesday, March 6th, 2012.

The purpose of this postponement is to allow additional time for members of the community and adjacent property owners to consult with the owner of the property and with city staff in order to more fully understand the impact of this proposed zoning change and the resulting condominium project.

I would also point out that I own and reside at 8104 South Congress Avenue and that my property is contiguous to the property under consideration for re-zoning.

Thanks for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Chris Clark 8104 South Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78745 512-658-4319

RECIEVED

FEB 07 2012

Planning & Development Review

Attn: Wendy Rhoades

Planning and Development Review

RE: Case C14-2011-0141

Property Address: 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Ms. Rhoades:

As per our discussion this morning, I am writing to request permission to address the Zoning and Platting Commission tonight, and to request a postponement of a final decision regarding the proposed rezoning.

I would like to be added to the Interested Party List. (7706 Peaceful Hill Lane 78748.) Although slightly outside of the 500 ft. notification area, my home is located on the blind, narrow curve at the junction of Peaceful Hill Lane and Dittmar Road. This narrow section of Peaceful Hill would be traversed by all increased traffic that would result if the rezoning were to be approved as proposed. In addition, there are residents on both sides of the entire stretch of Peaceful Hill who are undoubtedly interested parties. I believe that few of these residents have been informed as to the nature and scope of the rezoning request because their property lies outside the 500 foot boundary of the proposed rezoned property.

On 2/6/12, I personally visited the proposed entrances on Peaceful Hill Lane and Shallot Way (Parkridge Gardens). During this walkthrough, I discovered that Williams Elementary School is within several hundred feet from, and clearly in direct view of, the proposed entrance to the rezoned property. I spoke with the principal of Williams Elementary, Joan Bertino. She informed me that she had no knowledge whatsoever of the proposed rezoning. She specifically suggested that a Williams School Parent Association meeting be held to discuss the proposed rezoning. This meeting would ensure that the safety of nearby elementary school students would be considered as part of the rezoning process.

As stated above, it is my opinion that the rezoning as proposed needs revision. I therefore request that a final decision on this rezoning be postponed until 3/6/12. This will allow time for ALL residents of Peaceful Hill Lane to be consulted at a community meeting. It would also provide time for discussions with the site developer to see if modifications to the rezoning plan could be made that would satisfy the concerns of surrounding residents.

Most importantly, it would allow time for parents of Williams Elementary students to have their voices heard.

Sincerely, John B. Stokes

From: Hope4 PeacefulGardens [hope4peacefulgardene@hotmailhoom]

Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 9:49 AM

To: Rhoades, Wendy Subject: Case C14-2011-0141

RE: C14-2011-0141

----Original message-----

From: Cari Luetge < Cari.Luetge@tdc-properties.com>

To: ladi.mosadomi@gmail.com

Sent: Sun, Mar 4, 2012 12:26:08 CST

Subject: Shallot Way

Ladi,

I received your information from my renter in regards to Shallot Way being the main drive through to get to a new condo community.

I own the house at 8333 Shallot Way and though I physically do not occupy the home I do very much still care about the community my home is located in. Shallot Way is a quite street and my house sits in the back and allows children to ride bikes, etc in this area bc there is no traffic. This would be eliminated if Shallot Way was the only way in to another community.

Please use this email as a replacement of my signature on any and all petitions against Shallot Way being a main street to the condo community.

While I think the condo community will build up the area and potentially increase the value of our homes, we still love the quite little community we bought our homes in and want it to remain that way.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Best,

Cari Luetge

Cari Luetge Property Supervisor

The Dinerstein Companies direct 832-209-1208 | mobile 512-757-5999 | fax 832-209-1209 3411 Richmond Avenue | Suite 200 | Houston, Texas | 77046 Cari.Luetge@tdc-properties.com | http://www.dinersteincos.com/

3/6/2012

From: Scott Long

Thursday, March 15, 2012 3:37 PM

Sent: Thurs

murday, wardin 10, 2012 stor.

To: Rhoades, Wendy

Subject: Opposition to opening Shallot Way up for a developer

Ms. Rhoades, I wish to register my opposition to opening the street I live on, Shallot Way, in the Parkridge Gardens subdivision, to allow additional street traffic from a proposed new development.

This street is not well designed as it is. When people parallel park on both sides of the street, which is done every day by residents such as myself, it goes from a two-lane street to a one-lane street and traffic backs up.

Also when people are driving in opposite directions, we have to pause and let the other person by, alternating traffic to not hit the parked cars or the other car driving the opposite direction. This is a big problem now, and adding hundreds of additional cars daily through the neighborhood will only make this worse.

If there must be new development nearby, they should be forced to enter and exit via South Congress which is very wide at this point of the block. It has two (2) lanes going in each direction and a left turn lane. Don't ruin our neighborhood with additional traffic.

Also there are small children who live here and ride bikes in the street and play in their yards, frequently running into the street to get a ball or other toy. This proposed additional traffic is to satisfy a developer's greed and will make the streets dangerous for children to ride bikes or play in their front yards.

Finally, people already speed over the current speed limit of 30 miles an hour when driving from the back of the neighborhood past my house to get to the exit point on Ralph Ablanedo. Adding more cars who have to drive on Shallot Way to leave the neighborhood will only make it worse. Someone will be run over and killed if this development is allowed.

Tell the City Council and Planning Commission that the neighborhood association is opposed to this development.

Thank you.

Scott Long 8429 Shallot Way Austin, TX, 78748

MESA ENGINEERING

ENVIRONMENTALLY CONSCIOUS CIVIL ENGINEERING

8103 Kirkham Drive Austin, Texas 78736 (512) 799-7998

March 2012

Engineering Report for Zoning and Platting Commission Hearing

Peaceful Hill Condominiums Application: C14-2011-0141

This report was prepared for a coalition of neighborhood associations and interested parties that have concerns about the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominium Project referenced above. The following are critical issues relative to this project that have direct impacts on the interested parties.

Land Use

Traditionally, a buffer of intermediate land use is placed between higher land use intensities (density) and lower land use intensities. In this situation we have high intensity land use along South Congress and Ralph Ablenedo Drive and generally SF-2 residential in areas not adjacent to these two roadways. The recently completed Park Ridge Gardens is zoned SF-4 and is located adjacent to the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominiums and in between the existing SF-2 residential and the higher intensity lands uses along the two mentioned roadways.

Logically, Park Ridge Gardens is performing as a land use intensity buffer between the higher land use intensities along the major roads and the single family housing in the neighborhood. Following this logic, a land use with intensity between SF-4 and SF-2 should be placed as a buffer between Park Ridge Gardens and the rest of the residential community. Instead, Peaceful Hill Condominiums is request a more intense land use SF-6. This proposal does not meet the logical considerations of a buffer zone between higher and lower intensity lands uses.

Transportation Analysis Evaluation

Background: Fundamental assumptions of the Neighborhood Traffic Analysis (NTA) scenario should be reevaluated. The construction of Peaceful Hill Condominiums would result in significantly greater impact than implied by the NTA. Much of this increased impact would be to Williams Elementary School.

Discussion: There are two fundamental assumptions that stand out when evaluating the NTA for Peaceful Hill Condominiums:

- The Neighborhood Traffic Analysis for this project did not consider pass through traffic from the 130 unit Park Ridge Gardens subdivision.
- The spilt for traffic use on Peaceful Hill Lane is likely high.

Park Ridge Gardens Pass Through: The original NTA for the Park Ridge Gardens Development (130 units) considered a 50/50 split of traffic between Ralph Ablanedo and Peaceful Hill Lane. This proposal was

changed before approval to disallow access to Peaceful Hill Lane because of traffic impacts creating an unacceptable Level of Service (LOS) greater than 1200 vehicles per day (vpd). Considering that Mairo Street is a direct connection to South First, it is logical that the previously proposed 50 percent of traffic generated from Park Ridge Gardens accessing Peaceful Hill Lane would be just as likely to pass through the Peaceful Hill Condominiums to reach South First. This assumption approximately doubles the traffic on Mairo Street in front of Williams Elementary.

<u>Peaceful Hill Split:</u> Because there are no significant destinations of benefit to using Peaceful Hill Lane rather than directly accessing South First on Mario, the split for Peaceful Hill Lane should have been between 5 and 10 percent.

Suggested Traffic Calculations: The total traffic generated from the proposed Peaceful Hill Condominiums is 560 vpd per day and from Park Ridge Gardens is 1,324 vpd. Fifty percent of each of these will access a Peaceful Hill Lane. The Mairo Street split and percentage increases are shown below.

Traffic Calculations Considering	Existing Traffic	From Park R	Proposed New Traffic to Each	Overall	Percentage Increase in
Street	(VPD)	Split	Roadway	Traffic	Traffic
Peaceful Hill Lane (1)	1290	10	92	1382	7%
Shallot Way (2)	583	50	280	863	48%
Mairo Street (3)	635	40	832	1483	134%
Notes: see Table 2, Peaceful Hi	ll Condominiums	s below.			

Backup Data:

The following two tables are from Park Ridge Gardens NTA. C14-05-0034.SH, 2005.

	Table 1.	
Land Use	Size	Trip Generation
Single-Family	130 d.u.	1, 324

Table 2.				
Street	Traffic Distribution by Percent			
Peaceful Hill Road	50%			
Ralph Ablanedo Drive	50%			

The following three tables are from Peaceful Hill Condominiums. C14-2011-0141, March 2, 2012.

Table 1.				
Street	Traffic Distribution by Percent			
Peaceful Hill Lane	20			
Shallot Way	50			
Mairo Street	30			

Table 2.						
Street	Existing Traffic (vpd)	Proposed New Site Traffic to each Roadway	Overall Traffic	Percentage Increase in Traffic		
Peaceful Hill Lane	1,2901	112	1,402	9%		
Shallot Way	583 ²	280	863	48%		
Mairo Street	635 ³	168	803	26%		

- 1. Sourpe: COA Traffic Counts 2010. http://www.campotexas.org/programs_rd_traffic_counts.php.
- 2. Source: GRAM Traffic Counting, Inc. December 13, 2011.
- 3. Source: Austin Transportation Department. February 29, 2012.

Table 3.						
Street	Pavement Width (ft)	Maximum Desirable Volume (vpd)	Existing Traffic (vpd)	Proposed New Site Traffic	Overall Traffic	Percentage increase in Traffic
Peaceful Hill Road	19'	1,200	817	662	1,473	81%
Ralph Ablanedo Drive	23'-30'	*	2,295	662	2,957	29%

Fire Protection

The suggested site plan submitted with the project application has two fire design issues that make the suggested site layout infeasible. Turning radiuses must meet Austin Fire Department guidelines and fire hose drags to the furthest point of a building from the edge of a fire truck accessible roadway must be 150 feet or less.

Hydrology and Water Quality Design

The proposed site configuration submitted with the Peaceful Hill Condominiums application as an example of construction does not leave enough room for stormwater quality treatment and flood detention. Because there is no defined waterway, swale or existing drainage concentration leaving this property, stormwater discharge will have to be made in a manner that does not increase flow quantity above background amounts. This will likely require multiple ponds and linear flow spreaders locate along the downhill edge of all development or a costly pumping systems and easement(s) to carry the stormwater to a suitable discharge point.

Heritage and Protected Trees

There are four heritage trees and a grove of protected pecans on the property. These trees significantly reduce the proposed density of the suggested site plan for this application.

From: John B. Stokes Date: 3/1/12

To: Members of the Zoning and Platting Commission

Attn: Wendy Rhoades

RE: Case # C14-2011-0141: 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Please find the attached letters in support of a 30-day postponement (to April 3, 2012) from the following interested parties:

Mesa Engineering

 Harvey Williams Elementary School, AISD

Williams Elementary PTA

Friends of Williams Elementary

Austin Heritage Tree Foundation

Peaceful Hill Preservation League

Hope 4 Peaceful Gardens

League of Bicycling Voters

Peggy Maceo

These stakeholders have identified a number of issues that remain problematic, unsettled or inadequately studied. These include:

Numerous Heritage and protected trees incompatible with proposed density

Increase in amount of toxic drainage from existing and proposed auto salvage vards

 Cut-throughs to existing local streets that ZAP has previously deemed unacceptable, and that remain undesirable

• Inadequate or marginal access to site by fire equipment and emergency vehicles

 Loss of tree buffers, underbrush and dense canopy in a way that decreases the amount of urban forest, destroys wildlife habitat and degrades ecosystems

By far the most problematic issue is the inevitable hazard to elementary school children due to increased traffic into the Williams Elementary school zone. One proposed exit point to the new development is just 300 ft. from, and a straight shot through, the Williams student drop-off zone. Parents of these school children have stated repeatedly that the current safety conditions are already unacceptable, and that increased traffic should not be permitted without the most rigorous possible traffic study of the entire school zone roadway system.

We wish to emphasize that the above interested parties remain eager to resolve the issues cited here. To that end, we have retained Mesa Engineering to assist us in developing solutions that provide the most appropriate development of the Peaceful Hill environs. We believe that a 30-day postponement will give all parties the time to collect the best available information, and to refine proposals that do justice to this unique property. In this regard, we look forward to good-faith negotiations with the applicant.

Sincerely,

John B. Stokes

Rhoades, Wendy

From:

Bruce Melton PE [bmelton@earthlink.net]

Sent:

Monday, February 27, 2012 11:31 AM

To:

Rhoades, Wendy

Subject:

Peaceful Hill Condos

Attachments: Postponement Request 022712.doc

Hi Wendy:

The neighbors are looking for an additional 30 days. They want me to do a layout that they can live with and present it as an alternative. They understand that the layout is nonbinding, but are trying to develop a sense of what would be acceptable and what not. Because the client did not contact them in the due diligence portion of this project, they really deserve 60 days to do "their" due diligence.

Request letter attached--thanks,

В

~ ~ ~ LOOK HERE! ~ ~ ~

The T-shirt messaging site is up! http://www.climatechangetshirts.com



My new book is out!

Climate Discovery Chronicles: Recent, Relatively Unknown Discoveries About Our Rapidly Changing World

Info - Link to Book Series Web Page

Latest articles:

"Welcome to Climate Change Texas" -- New Three Part Series about Climate Change and Texas: Part One: http://theragblog.blogspot.com/2011/12/bruce-melton-has-texas-crossed-climate.html

Part Three: http://theragblog.blogspot.com/search?q=%22welcome+to+climate+change%22

Short evaluation of relevant academic articles: "Climate Change Texas The Worst Case Scenario is Happening Now"

and radio interview: http://theragblog.blogspot.com/2012/01/rag-radio-bruce-melton-on-real-world.html Companion video: "Austin Drought and Fires 2011 - My Summer Vacation with Climate Change" http://youtu.be/8b0IFjKGeXc

Bruce Melton P.E.

Melton Engineering Services Austin

Casa Grande Films & Press
8103 Kirkham
Austin, Texas 78736
(512) 799-7998
bmelton@earthlink.net
www.meltonengineering.com
www.climatechangenow.tv

The Band "Climate Change"
Link - New Rules for a New Worldwww.fix290.org

MESA ENGINEERING

ENVIRONMENTALLY CONSCIOUS CIVIL ENGINEERING

8103 Kirkham Drive Austin, Texas 78736 (512) 799-7998 Fax: (512) 288-1454

DATE: TO: January 27, 2012 Wendy Rhoades

Case Manager

City of Austin Development Review

Re:

Peaceful Hill Condominiums

C14-2011-0141

Ms. Rhoades:

The neighbors to the above project and myself would like to request another 30 day extension (to April 3) for the public hearing for this project at ZAP. The neighbors introduction to this case on Superbowl Sunday, and the few days between then and now have left them very little time to be able to organize their thoughts and comprehend even a small part of the development review and entitlement process, much less find a consultant to assist them in these matters. So, very little time remains before the next scheduled ZAP meeting for actual land development consulting work.

We would all like to see a development arise on this parcel that is complementary to all parties concerned. One thing that "neighbors" rarely are able to do in these situations is develop their own "vision" of what would be "complementary" for the proposed property. Preparing a site layout for this parcel, that the neighbors can live with and that they feel is "fair" to the development interests of the land owner/developer, is one of the main tasks that they are attempting to complete. Diligent completion of this task however, requires more time than we have before the next ZAP meeting.

Thanks for your consideration,

Bruce Melton, P.E.

HARVEY S. WILLIAMS ELEMENTARY

500 MAIRO ST. AUSTIN, TX 78748

PHONE: 512-414-2525 FAX: 512-292-3041

Feb. 28, 2012

Dear Mr. Stokes,

Regretfully we need to cancel the safety meeting at Williams Elementary in our cafeteria scheduled for Wednesday, February 29, 2012. I must follow the three week notification and approval from the district for facility use. The district also charges a \$75.00 fee for organizations to use the facility.

Safety is our utmost concern. I thank you for attempting to inform the community on Friday, Feb. 17, 2012 about upcoming developments, potential changes in the neighborhood, and changing traffic patterns.

When you want to reschedule the event, please give us three weeks notice so we can submit your facilities usage application for approval to the district.

Sincerely,

Joan Bertino
Principal

Joan Betiro

Williams Elementary PTA 500 Mairo Street Austin, TX 78748 512-841-5045

Members of the Zoning and Platting Commission:

The Williams Elementary PTA Board was recently notified by a person in the community about the proposed condominiums to be built at the end of Mairo Street, at the intersection with Peaceful Hill Lane. We are concerned about the increased traffic that could be coming through the Williams Elementary school zones.

It seems that there are three possible routes out of the new proposed development: Peaceful Hill Lane, Shallot Way, and, of most concern to us, Mairo Street. Mairo Street runs right through the Willliams Elementary School drop-off zone and then cuts through directly onto South First Street. Many parents feel traffic conditions are already unacceptable, and are concerned that the increased traffic could further jeopardize the school children and parents in the area.

We want to ensure that the city performs a thorough and extensive traffic study that includes the school zone and all adjacent streets.

Please do not make a hasty decision. Postpone the hearing until April 3, 2012.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Michellie Corleg

Michelle Cortez

Williams PTA Board President michellecortez92@yahoo.com

956-454-4672 (cell)

Friends of Williams Elementary



Zoning and Platting Commission:

3/1/12

The Friends of Williams Elementary are interested parties affected by the requested zoning change at 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane (C14-2011-0141). At this time, we cannot support any change of the Land Development Code that would zone the property to any classification other than DR, for the following reasons:

- The Plan concludes that there will be NO increase in traffic into the Mairo St. School Zone, even though the plan projects that 50% of car traffic will exit the proposed development via Peaceful Hill Lane, directly opposite Mairo St.
- 2. As designed, the development will create an uninterrupted cut-through directly to South First via Mairo St. School Zone.
- 3. Excessive speed by current traffic through marked School Zones is already unacceptably hazardous. No zoning change should be considered until additional LATM Speed Mitigation measures are applied for, approved and constructed at applicant's expense.
- 4. Proposed plan's traffic assumptions, analysis and conclusions, while legally sufficient, are not rigorous enough to ensure the safety of the current and future population of schoolchildren, cyclists, and pedestrians in and around a school zone. Only a full TIS will provide enough information for a responsible decision regarding school zone safety.

Until the above conditions are met and/or resolved, The Friends of Williams Elementary will oppose any change in zoning.

Sincerely,

ohn B. Stokes

John B. Stokes Coordinator

AUSTIN HERITAGE TREE FOUNDATION



March 1, 2012

Re: Peaceful Hill Condominiums, C14-2011-0141

sent via email

Zoning And Platting Commissioners,

We visited the 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane site today. We understand that the applicant is requesting a zoning change from DR to SF-6. We respectfully request that a tree survey be done for all trees 8 inches in diameter and larger because there are numerous healthy heritage trees in the site that need to be preserved. We request that you postpone this case for 30 days for this tree survey to be done and to further study this data.

It's important that the commissioners review the tree survey before considering a zoning change because the proposed SF-6 zoning is a high density zoning that will impact the trees. Having this information prior to granting the zoning change will allow the commissioners to evaluate the effect of the zoning change on the trees on site, and decide on the most suitable zoning change that the site can sustain while preserving as many trees as possible, especially protected and heritage trees. This tree survey will also allow the applicant to design a much more sustainable development that fits better with the neighborhood and community.

The tree survey should show the location of all trees 8 inches in diameter and larger, the tree species, diameter at DBH and the critical root zone (CRZ). This tree survey is required for site plans submitted for Environmental Review, so it will not be an additional cost to the applicant.

There are many heritage trees in the site, in good health and of good shape. We only walked a small part of the site, and yet, we quickly identified 4 healthy heritage trees, of which 2 had a diameter larger than 30 inches. There were 2 live oaks with diameters at DBH of 33 and 34 inches respectively. There were a live oak and a pecan with diameters larger than 24 inches. Removal of any heritage tree 30 inches or larger will require a variance through a public process.

Sincerely,

Michael Fossum Executive Director Austin Heritage Tree Foundation

512-739-5472 Austin, TX mfossum@austin.rr.com AustinHeritageTreeFoundation.com



Peaceful Hill Preservation League 8200 Peaceful Hill Lane Austin TX 78748

To: Wendy Rhoades, Planning & Development and Review Department Case #C14-2011-0141

Wendy

This is to inform you that, due to family illness, I am temporarily stepping aside as Coordinator for the PHPL. I remain committed to protecting or neighborhood, but for the time being, I will be turning over most responsibilities to John Stokes.

I still wish to address the commission and city council regarding my previous child safety and overdevelopment concerns, and to participate more in developing our neighborhood recommendations and responses. As it is, I have only been able to attend one small PTA meeting, and will miss an upcoming Special Traffic Safety meeting at Williams Elementary School. I also have had to miss important recent neighborhood meetings.

However, I was able to collate and crosscheck our petitions (homeowners on your list vs. actual signatures). We are well past the 20% figure. John says he will hand deliver these early this week.

I am eager to participate in the zoning process and anticipate that I will be much more available in a few weeks. I am requesting a postponement until April 3rd so that I can continue to contribute to our neighborhood and the zoning process.

Thanks for your consideration.

Andrea Palpant

Hope 4 Peaceful Gardens

RE:

Request for Postponement of March 6 Hearing
Case C14-2011-0141 – Peaceful Hill Condominiums

Dear Planning Committee Members,

As President of the Hope4PeacefulGardens interest group, I respectfully request a postponement of the hearing currently scheduled for March 6, 2012 until April 3, 2012. This request is made on behalf of homeowners and residents of Parkridge Gardens and surrounding neighborhoods, who will be impacted by this proposed development.

We need more time to study the effects on drainage to surrounding properties, on citizen safety (particularly the safety of our school children), and on other relevant concerns, so that we may present you with all the necessary facts to aid you in your decision-making.

Thank you for your attention to this request.

Karen "Jody" Mattingly Hope4PeacefulGardens



League of Bicycling Voters

Tom Wald, Executive Director PO Box 1395 | Austin, TX 78767 | 512-203-7626 tom@lobv.org

February 27, 2012

Sent via email

To: City of Austin

Planning & Development and Review Department

ATTN: Wendy Rhoades RE: Case #C14-2011-0141

Ms. Rhoades:

We have recently become aware of the request for a zoning change cited above. Initial information provided to us indicates that the change could potentially affect bicyclist access, usability and safety.

We were just last week able to get basic information regarding the proposed changes. We wish to consult with our members, local cyclists and area residents regarding our initial concerns, questions and recommendations. Among our interests:

- To perform a full TIA (Traffic Impact Analysis): This will show what impact the additional motor vehicle traffic will have on the surrounding streets.
- To negotiate with the developer to add amenities to adjacent or nearby areas, e.g. additional sidewalks, bike lanes, tree planting, building a small park, adding short bike/ped trails, etc.
- To grant public trail easements and construction of bike/ped trails along South Boggy Creek and/or further south between Peaceful Hill Lane and Congress Ave.
- To grant public trail easements and construction of bike/ped trails within the development itself.

We will need additional time to gather more complete information, contact and get member input, and to contact the relevant City officials. With more time, we can also prepare a written response/recommendation to the developer, zoning planners and City Council as needed.

We request a postponement of the scheduled March 6 public hearing to April 3, 2012.

Thank you,

Januar Wald

Executive Director

March 1, 2012

City of Austin Zoning and Platting Commission

RE: 8107 Peaceful Hill

Chair Baker and Commissioners:

I was contacted earlier this week by John Stokes, a resident of Peaceful Hill, and Zoila Vega, of the Austin Heritage Tree Foundation concerning the rezoning and possible development of 8107 Peaceful Hill. They have concerns about the trees on this site.

I visited this site on March 1, 2012 and indeed, there are significant heritage trees on this property. Their location, size and species have not been recorded. I measured several live oaks using a dbh tape at over 30 inches in diameter. On such a large undeveloped tract information about heritage trees and other data, such as slope and critical environmental features, is extremely important to the commission in formulating their decision.

Since apparently this information is currently lacking I believe this case be postponed so that the commissions decision can be better informed.

Please give the stakeholders more time to gather this information. I support their request for a postponement of this case.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Maceo Urban Forestry Board From: "McDougal, Mike" < Mike. McDougal@austintexas.gov>
Subject: Peaceful Hill Condominiums C14-2011-0141

Date: February 27, 2012 3:49:18 PM CST

To: "Scallon, Susan" <Susan.Scallon@austintexas.gov>

Cc: <stokesbio@aol.com>

Susan,

I'm speaking with a concerned citizen named John Stokes. There is a property in South Austin (8107 Peaceful Hill Lane) with numerous trees, dense underbrush, bamboo, and well developed canopies. The concerned citizen has brought up issues with potential rezoning of this property that would allow dense development. He is asking City Staff to provide additional information to the Zoning and Platting Commission regarding the impact of the development density on the ecosystem of this property. I have written my standard report for the potential rezoning on this property for the Zoning and Platting Commission. But what are my options for providing additional information and additional recommendations for this property to the Zoning and Platting Commission?

Specifically, the concerned citizen would like to see a few items addressed prior to the potential rezoning of this property, such as: a tree survey; requirements for perimeter tree buffers; protection of heritage trees; and other items that maintain the maximum amount of urban forest and promote ecosystem protection.

Thanks, Mike

Mike McDougal Environmental Review Specialist Senior Land Use Review City of Austin 974-6380

Please note my email address has changed to: mike.mcdougal@austintexas.gov

February 5, 2012

To the Austin City Council-

As residents of Peaceful Hill Lane, we are responding to the zoning application for 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane, Case #C14-2011-0141. As a collective, we oppose the proposed rezoning for the following reasons:

- 1. Probable increase in traffic and congestion (we already tolerate frequent speeding on our street)
- 2. Probable loss of more natural wildlife habitat
- 3. Probable overcrowding by excessive residential concentration
- 4. Inappropriate infrastructure (Peaceful Hill is already so narrow that some mailboxes have to be turned sideways for two cars to pass)
- 5. Probable impact on child safety (related to #1)
- 6. Probable impact on our property values
- 7. Probable increase in crime (related to rented condos and transient tenancy)
- 8. Inconsistent neighborhood planning and community development—gross mixed use of commercial and residential
- 9. Probable impact on the overall quiet, cohesive feel of our single-dwelling neighborhood

We urge the city council to oppose this rezoning application. As a community, we would like to retain single-dwelling zoning status (for all properties that border on our neighborhood, including the proposed are of rezoning) rather than see our neighborhood compromised by multi-dwelling properties (condos etc) and all the problems associated with them, as noted above.

We very much appreciate your serious consideration of our request.
Name Andle a DILLY Signature Colombia 78778 Address 8200 Peaceful Hill Lane Anslin 78778
Name Gary MCF thaney Signature Signature Address 8:04 Peace to Hill Lane 2 FOC
Name Jesusa Tennegh Stenature Jesusa Leaneght Address \$ 100 peace Sil Hipp In
Name Dowd L. Lette Signature All LA Austin 78748
Name how STI'ME Letter Signature Cluste Letter Address 3206 PenceFull Kill In August 7x 18748
Name BECKY ZEECK Signature BECKY ZEECK Address 8708 Paucebul Hill In August 178 78748

Name Polica P Molina Signature office Co
Address 300 Mairo St Austru TX 78148
Mass Hisson M. II.
Name 1 (are fin son Signature Man Hustin Address 800 2 leaceful Hill Rd. Austin TX 78749
riduress soc 2 reactive that real motion 18 19 148
Name Sara Vool Signature . Signature
Address 7910 Peaceful Hill Ln. Austin TX 78748
Name San Barrows, Signature.
Name Signature Signature Address 1910 Peace full in Hustin, TX 78748
11.
Name Heather Day Signature Stoffwar
Address 7906 praceful hill lane 72748
Name Thomas Davis Signature Thomas Davis
Address 7906 peacetal Hill lane 78748
Name Brian P Bowen Signature # 17
Address 8004 PExceful Hill LN 29749
That is a second of the second
Name Steve Dilley Signature Stavebilley
Address 8200 Peaceful Hill Ln 78748
Name Martine Mantenano Signature AL
Name Martin Morturas Signature A
Name CHARLES S. Ne/sowst Signature Coffee Star
Address 402 Mniao ST
Name Ela GUZMAN Signature Olin D
Address 404 Malko St
of the land of the
Name Holling Signature Holling Missing Address 302 MAIRO 57
Name Will Garcia Signature Erick Carcia
Address 1902 PEACEFUL HILL LN. AUSTIN, +X 78748
Name Anene M. Valls Signature Alux M. Valle
Address \$204 Pcaceful 1511 Lu. 78748
71011
Name John Jokes Signature 3)6/6
Address 7706 seaceful Hill
Bevery Floro Severy floro
513 Gelery boop Austin 1/2 18748
- $ -$

Planning & Development Review

PETITION

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	Address
· Isauel fourie	Izabel Bonie	512 Cetery Loop
Maria Land	a Maria S. Estrada	500 Glery Lowp
	Neal Kozar	432 (eles / 100P
3000 Compalm	Janet Lamarez Benitez	505 CELLTY IP.
Bully Hora	Bekrly Floro	513 Celery Loop
Wichard I Kyli	Michael L Rylie	
Mom	Jenifer Cohn	529 Celenyloop
<u> </u>		
7- <u></u>		RECIEVED
Date: 2/11/12	Contact Name: J	ohn B. Stokes MAR 12 2012

Phone Number: 914-217-878

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
Michael Sw	MICHAGI FUENTER	8512 Shallor WAY
RenoTu	A Rosie Frantoz	8572 Shullot Way
	Lorato Yzagurre, Sc.	424 Colony WOR
alle	Amber Kagyivre	424 Ecteny Loop
1. 1-1	ALBERT L. HACGAR	D 2425 SHALLOT WAY
BLACOW DWay & 14	ALBERT L. HAGGARI	8425 SHALLOT WAY
In Rusery	8 413 Chich Por Lame	Lynn Rudloff
Hope Poldes	Hope Robles	532 Celeny Loop
Elis Phr	Elias Rubles	
Kathy Dan	su Ktoly Hanna	524-Celecy RECREVED
Date: 2/18/20	Contact Name	: John B. Stokes MAR 12 2012

Phone Number: 914-217-878



File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	\sim /	Frinteu Name	Address
Drow	Dul	Drew Nogh	8501 Shellot Way
Davi	July	Darci Jordan	28301 Shallot
/ Final	2	TINA LE	3/2 celery/out
1 anss. 7	young	Vanssa L Young	312 celery Loop
Now of	4	DALIO NEUBERT	428 Celery doep
1		HASAHOVIC ROJEP	316 CECEEX LOO)=
Hell D	apir-	Frank GarymartiN	8409 Shallor way
Japan W	1 Dan	JASPER WILSON	· 8300 Shallot

Date: $\frac{2}{11/12}$

Contact Name: **John B. Stokes** Phone Number: 914-217-8788

MAR 12 2012

RECIEVED

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Lewis Lewight Jesues A Lewright 8/00/2006 Few Hill

Rebecca P Molina 303 Mairo St

Deborah L Roach 8004 Proceedil Hill Con.

17 (7904)

Richard J. Roach 8004 Praceful Hill Con.

17 (7904)

Printed Name Conzales 7902 Praceful Hill Con.

18 (1905)

Magda Aguire Magda O Aguire 7900 Praceful Hill Con.

Printed Name Soul Praceful Hill Con.

19 (1906)

Magda Aguire Magda O Aguire 7900 Praceful Hill Con.

Printed Name: John B. Stokes

Magda Soul Praceful Hill Con.

RECIEVED

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

MAR 12 2012

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>	
Sonia Verule	SoviavaN/a] 801 Creating	
	ASLER Elegarn Henry		~
Wal O	70-W/	8210 Begioncres + Dr	
1 Anny	KEN MURDLY	give Beatowines Ton	
Tille moton	Shellon Hoyt	8/03 Beciconcrest De	
with and shal	David Saldivar	8102 Beacon Crest Wr	
July July	JOE Bliskey	BROO Beacon Cust Dr	
V F	Hayde Yaspez	8/Cl Beaccuerest Dr	p
Laner aliceron	<u>e</u>	SION BLACON RECIEVED	1
- / / .			

Date: 2/10/12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

MAR 12 2012

Planning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

Address

To: Austin City Council

Signature

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

Printed Name

- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

		/
18/1/	Steve J. Martinez	8304 Shallot way
JOA DEBUTO		370 Celentoup
1 Sol	Dennis Soto	328 Celery Loap
Jocelyn V. Sof	Jocelyn V. Soto	- 328 Celevy Loop N
Jun Ster	Juan Infanta	- 408 Celery Loop X
	Adam Burt	412 Celery Loop
VERTON		301 Celeny hours
Shrolukbuan	Sandra Duran	301 Celery Loop
Cathi Isli	CatherineEscelet	317-Celeny Loop
Jose Willorg	508 wilber CHO-COZ	337 CELERY LOOP
Date: 2-11-201	2 Contact Name	: John B. Stokes MAR 12 2017

Phone Number: 914-217-878

Planning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To:

Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
All Jody" Karen "Fooly" Mattingly	- Romand Walley MOUNTED HEAR KAREN "JODY" MATTINGLY	340 Celey Loop 8528 Shattet Way 8517 SHALLOTWAY
	8	
	P	
		RECIEVE

Date: 2-12-2012

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-878

MAR 12 2012





8

PETITION

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
prider Elect	Linda ElAM	N 8313 Shallot Way
Amellell	Shutubrickson	58305 Shallof Wow
So Bron	a Joe Broome	8317 Shallot way
Muchay Such	MICHAEL BIECK	8421 SHAWOT WAY
Hong	GLOTTLING	8429 SURLOT WAY
Jahra W. Corting	DEBRA W. CORTEZ	8412 SHALLOT WAY
		.
		RECIEVED

Date: 2 ~ 12 - 2012

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-878

MAR 12 2012



Planning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>	
/ Samuellas	Tamra Jones	300 Mairo St.	
Martha Mon	torozo ALA.	8107 Pen	- Gul Hill .
12/m2	Gary McElhaney	8104 Peace	to Hill Lane
V Buth Wath	100 Beth M9/Wan	sey 8/04 Per	ceful Hole
	- Andrea Dilley	9200 Pea	lehe Hill
' //	Adene M. Vells	9204 Peace	
	BECKY ZEECK	8208 Peace	Ful Hill Ln
Jahn Ledding	Jason Radding	400 Baldric	
* Down W.S.	will Dewnis strick	40 (B	eldridge
,	J FELIPE HERNANDEZZ		
Date: 2/10/12	Contact Name	e: John B. Stokes	MAR 12 2012

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

Planning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name San Barrows	Address 7910 Peace Rul HII
Vilinis Ca	h CHRIS CLARK	7910 Peace Rill HILL HUBACH LN.
On Oak	CHRIS CLARK	8100 S. CONGRESS
		DEOIEVE

Date: 2/21/12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012

CERTIFIED ORIGINAL

PETITION

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	Address	
	an DONALD VOGEL MAN		
Molma Doryges	MORMA GONZALES	-612 MHR6 ST	
	Abdias Donnyve		
	Antario		
Drald Jury	DONALD HAUGHEY	Sade BEAVER BROOK	LN
_ / _ //		601 MAIROST.	
	Dercet Barba	51 Mairost	
	ARTHUR KOONGE		
JOJE Andra	L JOS Andas	58/ maire 57	
2mb But	JACS O. BEATTY	517 20 19 MECIEVE	D
Date: $\frac{\sqrt{ \Sigma /2}}{\sqrt{2}}$	Contact Name	:: John B. Stokes	

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
Ohishe h	A Christile Lezz	2 8206 Penierul Hill L
Night		\$206 Peaceful Hill In Austin
Charles 1/4	Startes Charles 5. Nelson	155 402 Mairs 57 78748
Coo M		404 Mairo St 78748
(I for too	a Cmar Sali	ne ron 4 04 Mairo St 7874
fromme C.	zuja Kunnie Espanza	466 Mairo St 78748
(33/60	Tohn Stokes	-7706 Peaceful Hill

Date: 2/14/12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

MAR 12 2012

RECIEVED

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
Karla	KarlyDavis	-8002 Creekmeere
I San Justa Ra	~ SAN JUNITA KAM	of 8003 Crackmern
JATIC	VIncent M. Lorres	Soul Credenent
Jellen Ja	Celena Hernanda	Sooo Creekniere
Enil Filh	Tric Fitzgibbon	- 7909 Creeknere
Jessien Steeg	e Jessian Sterge	7910 Crednere
-//		7905 Creekmere Lee
Houge to	HENRY PETRU	-7802 CREEKMERE LA
Derrotermo	TerrieHammet	7809 Creekmere Las
Boby Hamuet	Bobby Harmet	+ 7809 CrcekmereLn
Date: 2/10/12	,	e: John B. Stokes MAR 12 2012

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

Fianning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Printed Name</u>	<u>Address</u>
(july y post vince	Shelby Spigelmire	► 8203 Begconcect De.
1 5/5/e	Johnny Spigelmi	1c. 8253 Beach crest Dr
Emily Spegalmi	e Emily Spigelmire	· 8203 Beaconcrest D1.
San Can Copper	Ashley Clary-Carpenter	8207 Bealoncrest Dr
	John Leavit	8209 Blaconcrest De
Mblogo	Abel Baldenega	-8102 Beauverest Dr
John Mr	John Hoch	8207 BEAGON CREST DA
	. \	

Date: $\frac{Z}{10/12}$

Contact Name: **John B. Stokes** Phone Number: 914-217-8788

MAR 12 2012

Planning & Development Review

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature .	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
VIII -	Krig Radriguez	8214 BEALDICKES OF
Janah	Kali Einsohn	. 8214 BEACONCREST DI
Danne & Jan	Jammi Javey	·8213 Beaconcrest DR
Carron Couper	,	8212 BEACONOBEST DR
Ida Singur	IDAM. SIMISON	\$208 \$108 Beaconcrest
x Alla Mall		8001 Creekmere
	WILLIAM/c.freeman	8100 Criswood
Robert Delly	1 ROBORT SETLI	FF 8104 Criswood
	SNO 6 Criswood Ph.	ELLIDR GENNETT
mount of	FRENE CHASA	8103 CRISMOOD PL
Date: 2/12/2017	`	me: John B. Stokes MAR 12 2012

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
Hotom Lon	Hectorm. Consoles	604 Mairo
KIN	Franka Pupie	604 Sundial love(K)
$A \cap A$	Locky Maniz	604 Sundial Cap (R)
Jak A	- Frank Croz	8001 5. /54
Soll	Seth Thompson	610 Blue Valley
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
/		

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012



17

CASE:C14-2011-014 Addresses of Rezoning Request: 8107 Peaceful Hill Lane/501 Hubach Case Manager:Wendy Rhoades

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

Dear Zoning and Platting Commission,

Thank your for agreeing to postpone reaching a conclusion on this zoning matter. We appreciate your willingness to listen to our concerns.

The Parkridge Gardens Homeowners Association stands firmly behind the residents of the community who would potentially be impacted regarding the proposed zoning change. In light of this zoning change, we want to communicate two specific issues we feel need additional analysis.

Transportation and Safety

Increased vehicular traffic on Shallot Way poses a significant safety threat for a tight-knit community like ours. With such a young community, with a large group of children (evident by several school buses in area), we are concerned that an alleged 48% increase in vehicular traffic, combined with additional roadway could increase the risk of speeding and potential accidents.

Drainage

Additionally, we are concerned with the impact of additional development on the area's drainage. Current property owners adjacent to our property are experiencing drainage issues negatively impacting their quality of life. We are concerned that additional development might contribute to drainage issues with our property as well.

We would like to request further analysis by respective city departments to explore additional accommodations to mitigate these issues.

Name of Organization: PARKRIDGE GARDENS OWNERS ASSOCIATION INC.)

Address of HOA:

Chick Pea Lane

HOA Pres. Signature HOA President

Howard Heath

Contact info 512-809-4008

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request 50

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature /	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
VI parthe	PAUL LE	312 CELE nyloop
@		•
(3)		
4		
<u></u>		
6		
(7)		
(a)		
9	-	
) 🔟		

Date: 2 - 19 - 12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-878 \$

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012

Fianning & Development Review

PETITION

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

Austin City Council To:

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	Address
Muson Hingusa	Allison Hinojosa	3529 Shallot Way
Cils Al	- ARLOS HINOJOBA	8529 shallot Way
Jorna Casillas	Lorna Casillas	8537 Shallot WAY
Kalanz Con Ca	RADAMET CASILLAS	\$537 SHACLOT WAY
Word	KAPYNA ELIZONDO	8533 SHALLOTWAY
affen	HET FLORES	8337 SHAUSTWAY
31.312	WendellWillians	8321 Shallot Way
V Alfandram	Meliss Willias	SDI molto To ley
Jameira P. Janson	Janua Janson	8504 Shallot Way
John A Dura	Haven desurcolor	Soy shut were
Date: $2/19/12$	Contact Name:	John B. Stokes MAR 12 2012

Contact Name: John B. Stokes Phone Number: 914-217-8788





File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	Address
Abyl Regue	Cathyleen Requeso StJohn Zeaveso	8532 Shallot Way
		

Date: 2/22/12

Contact Name: **John B. Stokes**Phone Number: 914-217-878

MAR 12 2012

RECIEVED

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

Austin City Council To:

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)


Signature	Printed Name	Address
Cyothic A Sto.	cynthia A Soto	8509 Shallot Ways
Virginie Rymond	O VIRGINIA NESMUNDO	501 Celery Loop, Austin Tx
Aymus &	Eduardo Reymunpo	501 celery Loop Aystia. TX
Christine Womarck	Christine Womack	501 celery Loop, Austin TX 501 celery Loop, Austin TX 501 celery Loop, Austin TX
	×	
		RECIEVED

Date: 2 - 22 - 12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-878

MAR 12 2012



File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature	Printed Name	Address mrath 33@gmail.con
Melina Mc Grath	Melissa MGrath	8533 Chick Pea Ln
MIL	MICHAEL WHITE	eszychick Pealn.
KitBud	KIRK BUCHNER	8516 CHICK PEALN
Dyana Buchmer		8516 Chick Pealn
Mahar PRV1	MARICA FRETIC	8509 CHICK PEA
Michael andie	- ARROW Michael Rauffle	3513chic/LP22
Jungh with	JAMES M. RABBITT	8504 CHICK PEA LN.
Candia Jameson	CANDICE JAMESON	8504 CHICK PEA LN.
Falming Cly	NO PATRICIA CURTIS	8500 CHICK PEA
Jamy and W	James Carpbell	8420 chick pay la
Date: 2-25-201	Q Contact Name:	John B. Stokes
/	Phone Number:	914-217-878 MAR 12 2012

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

Rezoning Request 56

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

- SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A
- •Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.
- •Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing
- Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times
- •As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>
Sptero	Sofero	436. Celery Loop
Mortin A. Chaply	Mario A. Gonzalez	505 Celey Losp
Ignaciolelo	sque Ignacio Velasqu	521 celery LOOP
How Want	LADEFA HASAVOUR	8505 Chick Pea lui.
Thely Borner	Shelly Bartier	
Cha Ch	Chris Corden	8375 Chilipea La
Mart. Burn	Michael Breekers	8317 Chich SEALU

Date: 2 -/2

Contact Name: **John B. Stokes** Phone Number: 914-217-878 **§**

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

Austin City Council To:

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than SF-2.

SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

• Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Address
warro 8412 Chick Pea La
larter-layes 8313 Chick lea Cone
8520 Shallot Way
Zuniga 8508 Shallot way
now Jon 8504 stangeror.
150 SHALLOT WAY
kshi 9521 Shallot Way
man 8428 Shallat Way

Date: 2-22-/2

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-878%

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012

Fianning & Development Review

CERTIFIED

29 25

PETITION

File Number: #C14-2011-0141

Address of

8107 Peaceful Hill Lane

Rezoning Request

501 Hubach

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than **SF-2**.

• SF-6 is too dense for an area surrounded by SF-2 and SF-4A

•Proposed access plan will increase traffic in front of Williams Elementary School.

•Proposed access plan uses streets that are too narrow and contain blind curves and low water crossing

Development would adversely impact Fire and EMS response times

•As planned will destroy heritage oak trees and other natural habitat

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	<u>Address</u>		
	LAOI MOSADONI	8405 Shallot Way		
		,		

Date: 2/25/12

Contact Name: John B. Stokes

Phone Number: 914-217-8788

RECIEVED

MAR 12 2012

Planning & Development Review

Case Number: C14-2011-0141

8107 PEACEFUL HILL LANE

Date: 2/17/2012

Total Square Footage of Buffer:

745976.21

Percentage of Square Footage Owned by Petitioners Within Buffer:

35.09%




Calculation: The total square footage is calculated by taking the sum of the area of all TCAD Parcels with valid signatures including one-half of the adjacent right-of-way that fall within 200 feet of the subject tract. Parcels that do not fall within the 200 foot buffer are not used for calculation. When a parcel intersects the edge of the buffer, only the portion of the parcel that falls within the buffer is used. The area of the buffer does not include the subject tract.

#	TCAD ID	Address	Owner	Signature	Petition Area	Percent
1	0425130304	8000 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	SHAGAM PATRICIA M	no	273.28	0.00%
2	0425130305	8002 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	HINSON FELIX MARC	yes	. 5212.20	0.70%
3	0425130306	8004 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	BOWEN BRIAN P	yes	8823.76	1.18%
4	0425130307	8006 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748 300 MAIRO ST	BEARD BRIAN C & CURAH M WILLIAMS JONES MARK D &	no	9465.95	0.00%
5	0425130308	78748 302 MAIRO ST	TAMRA K TORRES	no	15134.16	0.00%
6	0425130309	78748 8005	HASHEM HASHEM JOE	yes	9482.20	1.27%
7	0425130317	CREEKMERE LN 78745 8003	DAVIS TERRY	no	925.36	0.00%
8	0425130318	CREEKMERE LN 78745	RAMON GREGORY & SAN JUANITA	yes	10.02	0.00%
9	0425130501	8100 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	LEWRIGHT JACK L & JESUESA LIFE ESTATE	yes	13865.07	1.86%
10	0425130502	8102 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	MONTERROSO MARTHA MCELHANEY GARY	yes	8319.28	1.12%
11	0425130503	8104 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	LYNN & VIRGINIA BETH	yes	8268.71	1.11%
12	0425130504	8200 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	DILLEY STEPHEN C & ANDREA P PALPANT CHEUNG WILLIAM	yes	6888.07	0.92%
13	0425130505	8202 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748 8105	KWOK CHU & ANITA A CHEUNG	no	1741.29	0.00%
14	0425130517	BEACONCREST DR 78748 8103	MURPHY KENNETH	yes	753.92	0.10%
15	0425130518	BEACONCREST DR 78748 8101	HOYT SHELLEY M	yes	1548.74	0.21%
16	0425130519	BEACONCREST DR 78748	VASQUEZ ANDREW	no	2611.72	0.00%
17	0426090202	7901 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	FLUITT JEFFREY	no	103898.49	0.00%

18	0426090203	7809 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748 7604 S	AGAPE CHRISTIAN MINISTRIES INC	no	42097.00	0.00%
10	040000000	CONGRESS				
19	0426090205	AVE 78745 7900 S	RDO PROPERTIES LLC	no	5145.69	0.00%
20	0426090206	CONGRESS AVE 78745	RDO PROPERTIES LLC	no	29114.40	0.00%
21	0428090101	HILL LN 78748	WILDS DARSHA	no	5123.88	0.00%
		9001 DEACEEU	TAYLOD JOUNE 1			
22	0428090102	8201 PEACEFUL HILL LN 78748	TAYLOR JOHN F & ANNELL	no	50862.32	0.00%
				110	30002.02	0.0076
00	0.4000000.400	8005 PEACEFUL	THOMPSON KIMBERLY			
23	0428090103	HILL LN 78748 208 HUBACH LN	& JONATHAN SOUTHSIDE STORAGE	no	62019.07	0.00%
24	0428090105	78739	INC	no	51990.65	0.00%
			PARKRIDGE GARDENS		01000.00	0.0076
		CHICK PEA LN	OWNERS			
25	0428090134	78748 8321 SHALLOT	ASSOCIATION INC	yes	31839.01	4.27%
26	0428090142	WAY 78748	ROJAS MELISSA	no	497.83	0.00%
		8317 SHALLOT	BROOME JOE HARDY		497.00	0.00%
27	0428090143	WAY 78748	II	yes	4202.13	0.56%
	0.4000004.44	8313 SHALLOT	5			
28	0428090144	WAY 78748 8309 SHALLOT	ELAM LINDA S	yes	5400.03	0.72%
29	0428090145	WAY 78748	FARIAS OSCAR LEE	no	5400.06	0.00%
		8305 SHALLOT	ERICKSON SHASTA &		0400.00	0.0078
30	0428090146	WAY 78748	BARBRA	yes	5399.22	0.72%
21	0400000147	8301 SHALLOT	WILLIO LOIG & EADI			
31	0428090147	WAY 78748 HUBACH LN	WILLIS LOIS & EARL CLARK CHRIS & RONI	no	7813.67	0.00%
32	0428090148	78739	HENRY	yes	58052.46	7.78%
		S CONGRESS			00002.10	7.7070
33	0428090202	AVE 78745		yes	28438.26	3.81%
		8104 S CONGRESS				
34	0428090204	AVE	CLARK CHRIS	yes	9465.54	1.27%
		S CONGRESS			0400.04	1.27 70
35	0428090209	AVE 78731	RDO PROPERTIES LLC	no	62380.32	0.00%
26	0400000404	8300 SHALLOT	WILSON JASPER &			
36	0428090401	WAY 78748 8304 SHALLOT	JOSIE GOMEZ MARTINEZ STEVE	yes	7664.64	1.03%
37	0428090402	WAY 78748	JACOB & JAZMIN	yes	5482.55	0.73%
		8308 SHALLOT	LY DUC PHU & HUE		0.102.00	0.7070
38	0428090403	WAY 78748	THI THANH NGUYEN	no	11066.45	0.00%
39	0428090404	312 CELERY LOOP 78748	I E DAIH O TIMA		0045 44	
39	U+20U3U4U4	316 CELERY	LE PAUL & TINA HASANOVIC REDZEP &	yes	8345.11	1.12%
40	0428090405	LOOP 78748	SALIHA	yes	8517.82	1.14%
						

	5					35.09%
						Total %
49	0428090510	LOOP 78748	DURAN ENRIQUE II	yes	3772.88	0.51%
	2	301 CELERY		110	370.37	0.00%
48	0428090509	LOOP 78748	NGUYEN MICHAEL	no	978.37	0.00%
47	0428090507	LOOP 78748 305 CELERY	ELLIS T	no	8.63	0.00%
47	0400000507	313 CELERY	FILIOT			
46	0428090411	LOOP 78748	HATLEY RONALD	yes	84.91	0.01%
		340 CELERY			2002.01	0.00%
45	0428090410	LOOP 78748	ROWE JEFF & KATY	no	2632.81	0.00%
77	0-20030403	336 CELERY	NGO FEN SON XUAN	no	4873.79	0.00%
44	0428090409	332 CELERY LOOP 78748	NGUYEN SON XUAN		4070 70	
43	0428090408	LOOP 78748	SOTO DENNIS M	yes	6310.17	0.85%
45		328 CELERY				
42	0428090407	LOOP 78748	VANESSA L	yes	7357.80	0.99%
		324 CELERY	YOUNG DAVID W &		0.02.10	1.1170
41	0428090406	LOOP 78748	FRANCO LISA M	ves	8292.15	1.11%
		320 CELERY				42





SUBJECT_TRACT

