

CM
1

**SITE PLAN REVIEW SHEET
ENVIRONMENTAL VARIANCE REQUEST ONLY**

CASE: SP-2013-0077D

ZONING AND PLATTING DATE: July 23, 2013

PROJECT NAME: IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility

APPLICANT: South Austin I-35 Associates (Karen Skelton) **AGENT:** Chan Partners
(Tom Curran P.E.)

ADDRESS OF SITE: 7309 N. IH 25 SVRD NB

AREA: 38.58 acres

WATERSHED: South Boggy Creek - Suburban

JURISDICTION: Full Purpose

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The applicant proposes to construct an access drive, approximately 1,200 linear feet, to serve an existing maintenance and service facility, known as the AISD Southeast Bus Terminal. Future developments adjacent to the drive will also utilize the access, but at this time it will only serve the bus facility. The new drive will be in addition to an existing access for the bus facility which fronts onto Bluff Springs Road. The entire drive will be within an access easement.

DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCES:

1. To allow cut in excess of 4 feet but less than 8 feet [LDC Section 25-8-341(A)]
2. To allow fill in excess of 4 feet but less than 8 feet [LDC Section 25-8-342(A)]
3. To allow construction of a driveway on slopes greater than 15% [LDC Section 25-8-301]

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The findings of fact have been met and staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. Only clean fill of soil, rock, sand or other natural materials are to be used as fill.
2. All fill over feet will be structurally contained.
3. All trees over 8" in diameter will be mitigated with Appendix F shade trees.

ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ACTION:

June 19, 2013 – approved (6-0)

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

July 23, 2013

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STAFF: Liz Johnston

Liz.johnston@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 974-1218


CASE MANAGER:



Nikki Hoelter

nikki.hoelter@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 974-2863

CM
2



-  Subject Tract
-  Base Map

CASE#: SP-2013-0077D
ADDRESS: 7309 N IH 35



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

[illegible][illegible]

With 200,000+ registered members, the largest online community of women, *Entrepreneur* is the authority on all things business. *Entrepreneur* is the only magazine that provides the information you need to succeed in business. *Entrepreneur* is the only magazine that provides the information you need to succeed in business.

**CHAN & PARTNERS
ENGINEERING, LLC**
4711 JAMES OWNEY STREET, 500
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78746
512-452-5152 (fax) • 512-452-5913 (cell)
E-mail: info@chanpartners.com
www.chanpartners.com
Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/H/V

C P E



CMH

ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD RECOMMENDATION 20130619

June 19, 2013

Subject: IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility
SP-2013-0077D

Motioned By: James Schissler

Seconded by: Mary Ann Neely

Recommendation

The Environmental Board recommended approval to a variance quest to Land Development Codes:

1. To allow cut in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-341(A)
2. To allow fill in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-342(A)
3. To allow construction of a driveway on slopes greater than 15%, LDC 25-8-301

STAFF CONDITIONS

1. Only clean fill of soil, rock, sand or other natural materials to be used as fill.
2. All fill greater than 4' will be structurally contained.
3. All trees greater than 8" in diameter will be mitigated with Appendix F Shade Trees.

RATIONALE:

Whereas the driveway will facilitate access from the bus facility to IH 35 traffic on Bluff Springs Road, the three variances are minor exceptions to the Land Development Code to allow the driveway to be built.

VOTE 6-0-0-1

For: Deegan, Gary Maxwell, Neely, Perales and Schissler

Against:

Abstain:

Absent: Walker

Approved By:

Mary Gay Maxwell, Environmental Board Chair



1/5

ITEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AGENDA

BOARD MEETING
DATE REQUESTED: June 19, 2013

NAME & NUMBER
OF PROJECT: IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility
SP-2013-0077D

NAME OF APPLICANT
OR ORGANIZATION: Chan Partners Consulting Engineers on Behalf of AISD
Tom Curran, PE (512) 480-8155

LOCATION: 7309 N IH 35 Service Road NB

PROJECT FILING DATE: March 05, 2013

PDRD/ENVIRONMENTAL
STAFF: Liz Johnston, 974-1218
liz.johnston@austintexas.gov

PDRD/
CASE MANAGER: Nikki Hoelter, 974-2863
nikki.hoelter@austintexas.gov

WATERSHED: South Boggy Creek (Suburban),
Desired Development Zone

ORDINANCE: Comprehensive Watershed Ordinance (current Code)

REQUEST: Variance request is as follows:
1) To allow cut in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-341(A)
2) To allow fill in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-342(A)
3) To allow construction of a driveway on slopes greater than 15%, LDC 25-8-301

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Recommend

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION: The findings of fact have been met.



CM
-6

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mary Gay Maxwell, Chairperson and Members of the Environmental Board

FROM: Liz Johnston, Environmental Review Specialist Senior
Planning and Development Review Department

DATE: June 19, 2013

SUBJECT: IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility,
SP-2013-0077D

On the June 19, 2013 agenda is a request for the consideration of three variances that have been requested for a proposed driveway that will allow bus access to IH 35 from the AISD bus terminal located on Bluff Springs Road. The subject property is not owned by AISD and the proposed driveway will be constructed within a proposed joint-use access easement.

Description of Property

The subject property is located in the South Boggy Creek Watershed, which is classified as a Suburban watershed and is located within the Desired Development Zone. The property is bisected by an unnamed tributary of South Boggy Creek, but does not contain 100-year Floodplain, Critical Water Quality Zone (CWQZ) or Water Quality Transition Zone (WQTZ). Additionally, a 50' pipeline easement runs east to west through the site, which the driveway is allowed to cross but is not allowed to run along its length. The location of the driveway from the TxDOT Right of Way of IH 35 was determined by TxDOT.

Existing Topography/Soil Characteristics/Vegetation

The main topographic feature of the subject property is the significant naturally-occurring drainage swale and the associated areas of slopes greater than 15%. Vegetation generally consists of dense woodland, including large old growth oak and elms as well as secondary growth ashe juniper. According to the Environmental Assessment, geology at this site is characterized by the Austin Chalk formation and soils consist of Austin Silty Clay, eroded Eddy Gravelly loam, Stephen Silty Clay loam and Urban Land and Austin Soils.

Critical Environmental Features/Endangered Species

Watershed Protection Department Environmental Resource Management (ERM) staff have identified one wetland Critical Environmental Feature located approximately 200' upstream of the proposed driveway within the pipeline easement. No impacts to the wetland will occur from the construction of the proposed driveway and (ERM) staff is working with the applicant to document the wetland on the site plan with the appropriate buffer.

Description of Project

The project consists of a driveway and associated water quality and detention facilities to allow bus access from the existing AISD bus terminal facility to IH 35. The driveway will provide a safer ingress/egress on to the AISD

site than exists in the current configuration and will address traffic concerns that have been expressed by neighborhood groups regarding the traffic stacking at the controlled intersection at William Cannon and Bluff Springs Road.

Environmental Code Exception Request

The following exceptions to the land development code are being requested:

- 1) To allow cut in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-341(A)
- 2) To allow fill in excess of 4' but less than 8', LDC 25-8-342(A)
- 3) To allow construction of a driveway on slopes greater than 15%, LDC 25-8-301

The Land Development Code (LDC) allows City of Austin staff to administratively approve cuts up to 8' in the Desired Development Zone, but this variance is being included here consistent with department policy when other Land Use Commission (LUC) variances are required for a project. A LUC variance for more than 4' of fill is required because the proposed fill is located on slopes greater than 15%, and the LDC only allows driveways to cross slopes greater than 15% if the driveway provides primary access to two acres of contiguous property with slopes less than 15%. While the applicant suggests that this driveway will be a second primary access in the future, the AISD site already contains a primary access and therefore staff did not believe that the proposed driveway met the intent of the code and therefore an LUC variance was needed.

Conditions for Staff Approval

- 1) Only clean fill of soil, rock, sand or other natural materials are to be used as fill
- 2) All fill over 4' will be structurally contained,
- 3) All trees over 8" in diameter will be mitigated with Appendix F shade trees.

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the variances because the Findings of Fact (see attached) have been met.



C17/8

Staff Findings of Fact
Planning and Development Review Department
Environmental Criteria Manual Appendix U

Project:	IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility SP-2013-0077D
Ordinance Standard:	Land Development Code Section 25-8-341(A)
Variance Request:	To allow cut in excess of 4' but less than 7'

Justification:

1. Are there special circumstances applicable to the property involved where strict application deprives such property owner of privileges or safety enjoyed by other similarly situated property with similarly timed development?

Yes – In order to exit out of the existing AISD bus terminal site located on Bluff Springs Road, most of the buses are required to turn left (north) on to Bluff Springs Road, which results in a dangerous traffic situation and causes significant vehicle stacking at the nearest controlled intersection at Bluff Springs and William Cannon Drive. At the request of neighborhood representatives, AISD now proposes an alternative access point to IH 35, which itself has environmental constraints necessitating cut in excess of 4' in order to minimize the amount of fill proposed within the existing creek.

2. Does the project demonstrate minimum departures from the terms of the ordinance necessary to avoid such deprivation of privileges enjoyed by such other property and to facilitate a reasonable use, and which will not create significant probabilities of harmful environmental consequences?

Yes – The applicant has worked with review staff to redesign their original submittal to minimize the amount fill that is currently proposed. In order to provide a slope that buses can negotiate, the amount of cut required will be greater than 4', but less than 8'.

C7
9

3. The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development, and is not based on a special or unique condition which was created as a result of the method by which a person voluntarily subdivided land.

Yes – The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development. The transportation issues on Bluff Springs Road existed prior to the development of the AISD bus terminal and are not the result of the facility's layout of other development methods. The proposed driveway is the best solution to allow for the safe transport of the buses and to minimize the impact on the surrounding neighborhood.

4. Does the proposal demonstrate water quality equal to or better than would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance?

Yes – Water quality will be the same as would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance. The development does not impact the Wetland Critical Environmental Feature identified approximately 200 feet upstream of the proposed driveway. The creek does not have enough drainage area to trigger Critical Water Quality Zone setbacks and is not located within a 100-year floodplain.

5. For a variance from the requirements for development within the Critical Water Quality Zone and/or Water Quality Transition Zone: Does the application of restrictions leave the property owner without any reasonable, economic use of the entire property?

Not applicable.

Environmental Reviewer:

Liz Johnston

Environmental Program Coordinator:

Sue Barnett

Environmental Officer:

Chuck Lesniak

Date: June 11, 2013

Staff may recommend approval of a variance after answering all applicable determinations in the affirmative (YES).



CM
10

Staff Findings of Fact
Planning and Development Review Department
Environmental Criteria Manual Appendix U

Project:	IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility SP-2013-0077D
Ordinance Standard:	Land Development Code Section 25-8-301(A)
Variance Request:	To allow construction of a driveway on slopes in excess of 15%

Justification:

1. Are there special circumstances applicable to the property involved where strict application deprives such property owner of privileges or safety enjoyed by other similarly situated property with similarly timed development?

~~Yes~~ **In order to exit out of the existing AISD bus terminal site located on Bluff Springs Road, most of the buses are required to turn left (north) on to Bluff Springs Road, which results in a dangerous traffic situation and causes significant vehicle stacking at the nearest controlled intersection at Bluff Springs and William Cannon Drive. At the request of neighborhood representatives, AISD now proposes an alternative access point to IH 35. Unfortunately, the adjoining lot where the driveway is proposed itself has environmental constraints that necessitate the construction of a driveway on slopes greater than 15%.**

2. Does the project demonstrate minimum departures from the terms of the ordinance necessary to avoid such deprivation of privileges enjoyed by such other property and to facilitate a reasonable use, and which will not create significant probabilities of harmful environmental consequences?

Yes – The applicant has situated the driveway to minimize the amount of slopes that are affected, but they are limited by certain site constraints, such as the TxDOT's required driveway location along IH 35, an existing pipeline easement that runs east to west through the property, and the location of heritage trees scattered throughout the site. The applicant has chosen the most direct route from the driveway point to the AISD bus terminal site that minimizes the amount of disturbance without impacting trees. In order to achieve access to IH 35 to the existing bus terminal, there is no way to avoid slopes greater than 15%.

CR
11

3. The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development, and is not based on a special or unique condition which was created as a result of the method by which a person voluntarily subdivided land.

Yes - The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development. The transportation issues on Bluff Springs Road existed prior to the development of the AISD bus terminal and are not the result of the facility's layout or other development methods. The proposed driveway is the best solution to allow for the safe transport of the buses and to minimize the impact on the surrounding neighborhood.

4. Does the proposal demonstrate water quality equal to or better than would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance?

Yes - Water quality will be the same as would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance. The development does not impact the wetland Critical Environmental Feature identified approximately 200 feet upstream of the proposed driveway. The creek does not have enough drainage area to trigger Critical Water Quality Zone and Water Quality Transition Zone setbacks and is not located within a 100-year floodplain.

5. For a variance from the requirements for development within the Critical Water Quality Zone and/or Water Quality Transition Zone: Does the application of restrictions leave the property owner without any reasonable, economic use of the entire property?

Not applicable.

Environmental Reviewer:

Liz Johnston

Environmental Program Coordinator:

Sue Barnett

Environmental Officer:

Chuck Lesniak

Date: June 11, 2013

Staff may recommend approval of a variance after answering all applicable determinations in the affirmative (YES).



CH
12

Staff Findings of Fact
Planning and Development Review Department
Environmental Criteria Manual Appendix U

Project:	IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD SE Bus Maintenance and Service Facility SP-2013-0077D
Ordinance Standard:	Land Development Code Section 25-8-342(A)
Variance Request:	To allow fill in excess of 4' but less than 8'

Justification:

1. Are there special circumstances applicable to the property involved where strict application deprives such property owner of privileges or safety enjoyed by other similarly situated property with similarly timed development?

Yes – The proposed driveway is located on a lot that is bisected down the middle by an intermittent creek. There is no way for one side of the property to access the other side without crossing the creek and the fill is proposed at the creek crossing in order to achieve a safe profile for the driveway. While the current driveway is proposed to provide access for the existing AISD bus terminal parking, any future development will use the proposed driveway for access from IH35.

2. Does the project demonstrate minimum departures from the terms of the ordinance necessary to avoid such deprivation of privileges enjoyed by such other property and to facilitate a reasonable use, and which will not create significant probabilities of harmful environmental consequences?

Yes – The applicant has worked with review staff to redesign their original driveway profile to minimize the amount fill that is proposed. Originally the applicant proposed approximately 15' of fill, but after the redesign they are now proposing less than 8', which is necessary to provide a slope that the buses can negotiate. Because the fill is located on a slope greater than 15%, environmental review staff are unable to administratively approve the variance.

C1
13

3. The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development, and is not based on a special or unique condition which was created as a result of the method by which a person voluntarily subdivided land.

Yes - The proposal does not provide special privileges not enjoyed by other similarly situated properties with similarly timed development. The transportation issues on Bluff Springs Road existed prior to the development of the AISD bus terminal and are not a result of the facility's layout or other development methods. The proposed driveway is the best solution to allow for the safe transport of the buses and to minimize the impact on the surrounding neighborhood.

4. Does the proposal demonstrate water quality equal to or better than would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance?

Yes - Water quality will be the same as would have resulted had development proceeded without the variance. The development does not impact the Wetland Critical Environmental Feature identified approximately 200 feet upstream of the proposed driveway. The creek does not have enough drainage area to trigger Critical Water Quality Zone setbacks and is not located within a 100-year floodplain.

5. For a variance from the requirements for development within the Critical Water Quality Zone and/or Water Quality Transition Zone: Does the application of restrictions leave the property owner without any reasonable, economic use of the entire property?

Not applicable.

Environmental Reviewer:

Liz Johnston

Environmental Program Coordinator:

Sue Barnett

Environmental Officer:

Chuck Lesniak

Date: June 11, 2013

Staff may recommend approval of a variance after answering all applicable determinations in the affirmative (YES).

**IH-35 FRONTAGE DRIVE
ACCESS TO AISD SE BUS
MAINTENANCE AND SERVICE
FACILITY**

**7309 N IH-35 SRVC RD NB
SP-2013-0077D**

Liz Johnston

Environmental Review Specialist Senior

Planning and Development Review Department

C7
14


PROPERTY DATA

- South Boggy Creek Watershed
- Suburban Watershed Regulation Area
- Desired Development Zone
- Full Purpose Jurisdiction
- Not located over Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone
- One Wetland Critical Environmental Features upstream of site.

CS
15

SP-2013-0077D

- Site Location
- Austin ETJ
- Austin City Limits
- Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone
- Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone




This project is preliminary and proposed and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and should not be used to establish the approximate relative location of property boundaries. This project is produced by the Watershed Protection Department for the sole purpose of providing information. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific information.




C1
16

EC/E




C1
18




- ☐ SP-2013-0077D Site
- ☐ Watershed Boundaries
- ☐ TCAD Parcels

C1
19


SITE PHOTOS



View of Slope



View onto site from pipeline easement



Creek view upstream



Creek view downstream

BACKGROUND

- Lot is not owned by AISD.
- AISD Southeast Bus Terminal site plan approved in 2011 (SP-2010-0344C).
- Current configuration causes traffic congestion.
- Unpopular with neighborhood.
- Dry creek between AISD site and IH-35.

CN
20

VARIANCE REQUEST

Variance Request

- Allow construction of driveway on slopes > 15%
- Allow cut in excess of 4' but less than 8'
- Allow fill in excess of 4' but less than 8' on slopes greater than 15%.

Cr
2/1

LDC 25-8-301(A)

Prohibits construction of roadway or driveway on slope $\geq 15\%$ gradient unless necessary to provide primary access to:

1. at least two contiguous acres with gradient $\leq 15\%$; or
2. building sites for ≥ 5 residential units.

C1
22

LDC 25-8-341 (A)

Prohibits cut in excess of 4':

1. May be granted administratively.
2. Must be restored and stabilized.

LDC 25-8-342 (A)

Prohibits Fill in excess of 4':

1. May be granted administratively only on slopes less than 15%.
2. Must be restored and stabilized.
3. Applicant redesigned site to minimize the amount of fill proposed.

Cr
23

VARIANCE RECOMMENDATION

Approval of variance with following condition:

- *Only clean fill of soil, rock, sand or other natural materials to be used as fill.*
- *All fill greater than 4' will be structurally contained.*
- *All trees greater than 8" in diameter will be mitigated with Appendix F Shade Trees.*

CA
24



Chan & Partners Engineering, LLC

4319 James Casey Street, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78745

Phone (512) 480-8155 Fax (512) 480-8811

TBPE Firm Registration No. F-13013

www.chanpartners.com

C1
25

June 12, 2013

Nikki Hoelter, Case Manager
City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

Re: SP-2013-0077D
IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD S.E. Bus Maintenance & Service Facility
7309 South IH-35 Service Road Northbound
Findings of Fact for Construction on Slopes Variance - Land Development Code Section 25-8-301

Dear Ms. Hoelter:

Please allow this letter to serve as our findings of fact for Land Use Commission variance consideration (per LDC 25-8-41) for the proposed construction of a driveway on land slopes exceeding 15%. The proposed project will require approximately 140-linear feet of drive on land exceeding a 15% slope. Driveways providing primary access are allowed by code to cross land slopes exceeding 15%. As the adjacent land plans have not yet been filed, it cannot be confirmed at this time that this drive will provide primary access to the adjacent developments, and therefore a variance is considered a necessity.

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.
Response: Yes. Access from the IH-35 frontage road to the 38-acre tract of land referenced above and the 22-acre AISD bus terminal site is required to provide reasonable access to the properties. This is necessary for improved traffic circulation, emergency vehicle access, and to reduce congestion along Bluff Springs Road. This access is in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis and covenant restriction placed on the property for roadway improvements. The point of driveway access onto the I-35 northbound frontage road is restricted given TxDOT standards for safety on sight distance, driveway separation, lane transitions, and exit ramp clearance areas. The proposed driveway connection point is the only location along the approximate 1,400 linear feet of property frontage that is approvable by TxDOT at this time. With the moderately steep slopes that exist on the property, a small portion of the drive must cross land with a slope exceeding 15%.

2. The variance:

- a. is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection that is achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The variance is solely based on gaining reasonable access to the property while designing a roadway and drainage facilities to meet minimum safety standards. Essential for this access is the need to traverse relatively steep property and a small tributary of South Boggy Creek. The location of the drive is predicated on an approvable driveway location by TxDOT and avoiding heritage trees on the property.

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC

Consulting Civil Engineers

C1
26

- b. Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

Response: Yes. The driveway has been designed to minimize environmental impacts while meeting minimum roadway standards. The alignment avoids several heritage trees in the vicinity. The driveway provides reasonable access to the property to allow a reasonable use.

Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

Response: Yes. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequence and has been designed to reduce the footprint of the roadway crossing, avoid significant trees, and meet Corps of Engineer Nationwide Permit standards under the Clean Water Act.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The proposed project will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance. The project provides water quality treatment meeting city standards, avoids critical environmental features and significant trees, and has been designed to meet or exceed the city's water quality standards.

Part B of the Findings of Fact is only applicable for variances within water quality zones and is therefore not applicable here.

We respectfully request variance approval from the referenced section of the land development code. In addition to meeting the conditions above, the variance is needed to provide reasonable economic use of the entire property; and the variance is the minimum change necessary to allow such reasonable use. Please let us know if we can provide additional information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC


Tom Curran, PE
Vice President



Chan & Partners Engineering, LLC

4319 James Casey Street, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78745

Phone (512) 480-8155 Fax (512) 480-8811

TBPE Firm Registration No. F-13013

www.chanpartners.com

CH
27

June 12, 2013

Nikki Hoelter, Case Manager
City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

Re: SP-2013-0077D
IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD S.E. Bus Maintenance & Service Facility
7309 South IH-35 Service Road Northbound
Findings of Fact for Cut Variance from Land Development Code Section 25-8-341

Dear Ms. Hoelter:

Please allow this letter to serve as our findings of fact for Land Use Commission variance consideration (per LDC 25-8-41) for the proposed cut depth on the referenced driveway project. The proposed project will require a maximum cut of 5-feet, which exceeds the four foot limit. As some of this will occur on land exceeding a 15% slope, a Land Use Commission variance is required.

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

Response: Yes. Access from the IH-35 frontage road to the 38-acre tract of land referenced above and the 22-acre AISD bus terminal site is required to provide reasonable access to the properties. This is necessary for improved traffic circulation, emergency vehicle access, and to reduce congestion along Bluff Springs Road. This access is in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis and covenant restriction placed on the property for roadway improvements. The point of driveway access onto the I-35 northbound frontage road is restricted given TxDOT standards for safety on sight distance, driveway separation, lane transitions, and exit ramp clearance areas. The proposed driveway connection point is the only location along the approximate 1,400 linear feet of property frontage that is approvable by TxDOT at this time. With the moderately steep slopes that exist on the property, providing a driveway that meets certain geometric design standards requires a cut in excess of four feet.

2. The variance:

- a. Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection that is achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The variance is solely based on gaining reasonable access to the property while designing a roadway to meet minimum safety roadway geometric standards. Essential for this access is the need to traverse relatively steep property and a small tributary of South Boggy Creek. The location of the drive is predicated on an approvable driveway location by TxDOT and avoiding heritage trees on the property.

- b. Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC

Consulting Civil Engineers

C1/28

Response: Yes. The driveway has been redesigned to meet minimum roadway geometry requirements to reduce the cut depth required. The driveway provides reasonable access to the property to allow a reasonable use.

Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

Response: Yes. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequence and has been designed to reduce the footprint of the roadway crossing, avoid significant trees, and meet Corps of Engineer Nationwide Permit standards under the Clean Water Act.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The proposed project will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance. The project provides water quality treatment meeting city standards, avoids critical environmental features and significant trees, and has been designed to meet or exceed the city's water quality standards.

Part B of the Findings of Fact is only applicable for variances within water quality zones and is therefore not applicable here.

We respectfully request variance approval from the referenced section of the land development code. In addition to meeting the conditions above, the variance is needed to provide reasonable economic use of the entire property, and the variance is the minimum change necessary to allow such reasonable use. Please let us know if we can provide additional information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC


Tom Curran, PE
Vice President



Chan & Partners Engineering, LLC

4319 James Casey Street, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78745

Phone (512) 480-8155 Fax (512) 480-8811

TBPE Firm Registration No. F-13013

www.chanpartners.com

C1
29

June 12, 2013

Nikki Hoelter, Case Manager
City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

Re: SP-2013-0077D
IH-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD S.E. Bus Maintenance & Service Facility
7309 South IH-35 Service Road Northbound
Findings of Fact for Fill Variance from Land Development Code Section 25-8-342

Dear Ms. Hoelter:

Please allow this letter to serve as our findings of fact for Land Use Commission variance consideration (per LDC 25-8-41) for the proposed fill height on the referenced driveway project. The proposed project will require a maximum fill of just under 8-feet, which exceeds the four foot limit. As some of this will occur on land exceeding a 15% slope, a Land Use Commission variance is required.

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

Response: Yes. Access from the IH-35 frontage road to the 38-acre tract of land referenced above and the 22-acre AISD bus terminal site is required to provide reasonable access to the properties. This is necessary for improved traffic circulation, emergency vehicle access, and to reduce congestion along Bluff Springs Road. This access is in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis and covenant restriction placed on the property for roadway improvements. The point of driveway access onto the I-35 northbound frontage road is restricted given TxDOT standards for safety on sight distance, driveway separation, lane transitions, and exit ramp clearance areas. The proposed driveway connection point is the only location along the approximate 1,400 linear feet of property frontage that is approvable by TxDOT at this time. With the moderately steep slopes that exist on the property, and providing drainage facilities under the roadway at a small tributary crossing requires a fill height in excess of four feet.

2. The variance:

a. Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection that is achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The variance is solely based on gaining reasonable access to the property while designing a roadway and drainage facilities to meet minimum safety standards. Essential for this access is the need to traverse relatively steep property and a small tributary of South Boggy Creek. The location of the drive is predicated on an approvable driveway location by TxDOT and avoiding heritage trees on the property.

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC

Consulting Civil Engineers

C1
30

- b. Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

Response: Yes. The driveway has been redesigned to meet minimum roadway and drainage requirements to reduce the fill height required. The driveway provides reasonable access to the property to allow a reasonable use.

Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

Response: Yes. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequence and has been designed to reduce the footprint of the roadway crossing, avoid significant trees, and meet Corps of Engineer Nationwide Permit standards under the Clean Water Act.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Response: Yes. The proposed project will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance. The project provides water quality treatment meeting city standards, avoids critical environmental features and significant trees, and has been designed to meet or exceed the city's water quality standards.

Part B of the Findings of Fact is only applicable for variances within water quality zones and is therefore not applicable here.

We respectfully request variance approval from the referenced section of the land development code. In addition to meeting the conditions above, the variance is needed to provide reasonable economic use of the entire property; and the variance is the minimum change necessary to allow such reasonable use. Please let us know if we can provide additional information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

CHAN & PARTNERS ENGINEERING, LLC


Tom Curran, PE
Vice President

July 2, 2013



CR
31

ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD VARIANCE APPLICATION TEMPLATE

Insert Applicant Variance Request Letter here.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Applicant Contact Information

Name of Applicant	South Austin I-35 Associates and Austin Independent School District
Street Address	1717 West 6 th Street, Suite 310
City State ZIP Code	Austin, Texas 78703
Work Phone	512-414-8952 attn: Deborah James
E-Mail-Address	djames@austinsisd.org

Variance Case Information

Case Name	South Austin I-35 Associates I-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD S.E. Bus Maintenance Facility
Case Number	SP-2013-0077D
Address or Location	7309 N IH 35 SVRD NB
Environmental Reviewer Name	Liz Johnston
Applicable Ordinance	25-8-341 & 342 (Cut/fill exceeding four feet) 25-8-301 (Construction on Slopes)
Watershed Name	South Boggay
Watershed Classification	<input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Suburban <input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply Suburban <input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply Rural <input type="checkbox"/> Barton Springs Zone

July 2, 2013

CM
32

Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone

☐ Barton Springs Segment ☐ Northern Edwards Segment

☐ Not in Edwards Aquifer Zones

Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone

☐ Yes ☐ No

Distance to Nearest Classified Waterway

Driveway crosses an unnamed tributary of South Boggy Creek. The contributing drainage area is not large enough to establish adjacent water quality zones.

Water and Waste Water service to be provided by

City of Austin

Request

The variance request is as follows (Cite code references:

Impervious cover

Existing

Proposed

square footage:

0

42,253

acreage:

0

0.97

percentage:

0

2.5%

Provide general description of the property (slope range, elevation range, summary of vegetation / trees, summary of the geology, CWQZ, WQTZ, CEFs, floodplain, heritage trees, any other notable or outstanding characteristics of the property)

Property is moderately sloped (generally in the 10-15% range). Vegetation is a mix of dense juniper-elm-oak. Geologic formation is Austin Chalk. There are no CWQZ or WQTZ on the site. There is a small tributary of South Boggy Creek that has a bed and bank and is therefore considered waters of the US. A Nationwide Permit is available for the proposed crossing as disturbance will be less than 0.1 acres. There are several heritage trees on the site and the drive has been aligned to avoid the heritage trees.

July 2, 2013

Clearly indicate in what way the proposed project does not comply with current Code (Include maps and exhibits)

Construction of the driveway requires cut and fill between four and eight feet (Sections 25-8-341 & 342) in areas where the existing ground slopes exceed 15%. As such, this cannot be an administrative variance. In addition, a driveway may not be constructed on land slope greater than 15% unless it provides primary access (Section 25-8-301). As the adjacent land use site plan has not been processed, it cannot be confirmed at this time that this drive will provide primary access.

C1/33

FINDINGS OF FACT

As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, in order to grant a variance the Land Use Commission must make the following findings of fact:

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

Project: I-35 Frontage Drive Access to AISD S.E. Bus Maintenance Facility

Ordinance: (See attached Findings of Fact letters)

A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

Yes/No

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

Yes/No

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

July 2, 2013

C1
34

Yes/No

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

Yes/No

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Yes/No

- B. Additional Land Use Commission variance determinations for a requirement of Section 25-8-393 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 25-8-423 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 25-8-453 (Water Quality Transition Zone), or Article 7, Division 1 (Critical Water Quality Zone Restrictions):

1. The criteria for granting a variance in Section A are met;

Yes/No

2. The requirement for which a variance is requested prevents a reasonable, economic use of the entire property; and

Yes/No

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to allow a reasonable, economic use of the entire property.

Yes/No

****Variance approval requires all above affirmative findings.**

C1
35

Exhibits for Board Backup and/or Presentation

Please attach and paginate.


- Aerial photos of the site (backup and presentation)
- Site photos (backup and presentation)
- Aerial photos of the vicinity (backup and presentation)
- Context Map—A map illustrating the subject property in relation to developments in the vicinity to include nearby major streets and waterways (backup and presentation)
- Topographic Map - A topographic map is recommended if a significant grade change on the subject site exists or if there is a significant difference in grade in relation to adjacent properties. (backup and presentation)
- For cut/fill variances, a plan sheet showing areas and depth of cut/fill with topographic elevations. (backup and presentation)
- Site plan showing existing conditions if development exists currently on the property (presentation only)
- Proposed Site Plan- full size electronic or at least legible 11x17 showing proposed development, include tree survey if required as part of site or subdivision plan (backup and presentation)
- Environmental Map – A map that shows pertinent features including Floodplain, CWQZ, WQTZ, CEFs, Setbacks, Recharge Zone, etc. (backup and presentation)
- An Environmental Assessment pursuant to ECM 1.3.0 (if required by 25-8-121) (backup only)
- Applicant's variance request letter (backup only)

C7
36

AUSTIN, TEXAS



SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY




C7
38

AUSTIN ISD

CPE
CHAN & PARTNERS
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY




C1
39

AUSTIN ISD

CPE
CHAN & PARTNERS
ARCHITECTS & CIVIL ENGINEERS

SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY



CPE
CHAN & PARTNERS
ARCHITECTS & CIVIL ENGINEERS

PROJECT: AUSTIN SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY
 CLIENT: AUSTIN ISD
 DATE: 10/15/2013
 DRAWING: SITE PLAN - CONSTRUCTION AND GRADING PRELIMINARY - CUT/FILL

C1
40

AUSTIN 15D



SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY





SOUTHEAST BUS MAINTENANCE & SERVICE FACILITY

CPE
CHAN & PARTNERS
CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS

AUSTIN, TEXAS

C1
H1