

Closed Caption Log, Council Meeting, 6/23/05

Note: Since these log files are derived from the Closed Captions created during the Channel 6 live cablecasts, there are occasional spelling and grammatical errors. **These Closed Caption logs are not official records of Council Meetings and cannot be relied on for official purposes.** For official records or transcripts, please contact the City Clerk at (512) 974-2210.

Mayor Wynn: GOOD MORNING. I'M AUSTIN MAYOR WILL WYNN AND IT'S MY HONOR TO WELCOME REVEREND CINDY LAITON, THE ASSOCIATE -- LAYTON THE AS STORE AT THE MEMORIAL UNITED METHODIST CHURCH TO LEAD US AN INVOCATION, PLEASE RISE.

LET US PRAY. OH, GOD, IN AND I THINKS PAST, [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] OUR HOPE FOR YEARS TO COME. [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] WE COME TO THEE TODAY WITH THANKFUL HEARTS -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- WE ARE THANKFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SERVE THE PEOPLE OF AUSTIN -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- WE ARE THANKFUL FOR THE RESPONSIBILITY OF REPRESENTING ALL OF THE PEOPLE -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- BOTH THOSE WHO HAVE A VOICE AND THOSE WHO FEEL LIKE THEIR VOICE IS NOT BEING HEARD -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- HELP THESE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PEOPLE OF AUSTIN -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- GIVE THEM PATIENCE, STRENGTH AND GUIDANCE FOR THEIR TASK -- [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] -- MAY THE CITY COUNCIL SERVE ALL OF AUSTIN'S CITIZENS WITH DIGNITY AND GRACE. [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] IN THE NAME OF JESUS CHRIST WE PRAY. [SPEAKING IN SPANISH] AMEN.

AMEN.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, REVEREND LAYTON, THERE BEING A QUORUM PRESENT AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL, THURSDAY, JUNE 23rd, 2005. APPROXIMATELY 10:15 A.M. WE ARE IN THE

COUNCIL CHAMBERS OF THE CITY HALL BUILDING 301 WEST SECOND STREET. I APPEAR DEVISE, WE'RE HAVING A LITTLE BIT OF AN ISSUE APPARENTLY WITH OUR AIR CONDITIONING TODAY. FOR OUR NEW COUNCIL COLLEAGUES IT'S USUALLY NOT THIS HOT ON THE DAIS. MAYBE LATER, BUT NOT NOW. BEAR WITH US, I THINK WE WILL GET COOLER AIR IN HERE SOON. AT THIS TIME I WILL READ THE CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS TO THIS WEEK'S POSTED AGENDA. ON ITEM NO. 16, WE NEED TO STRIKE THE REFERENCE TO 3.0 FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS AND INSERT 5.0. SO THAT WOULD BE FIVE FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS NOT THREE ON ITEM NO. 16. ITEMS NUMBER 42, 47, 48, AND 49 HAVE BEEN WITHDRAWN. THEY ARE NOT ON THE AGENDA. ON ITEM NO. 81, WE NEED TO INSERT THE PHRASE NOT TO EXCEED TWO YEARS. AND SO THAT SENTENCE TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE POSTING LANGUAGE WOULD READ: THIS ACTION EXTENDS THE DURATION OF THE AUTHORITY OF THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT UNTIL THE ORIGINAL DOLLAR AUTHORITY IS EXPENDED, NOT TO EXCEED TWO YEARS FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,422,172. THAT'S ITEM NO. 81. ON ITEM NO. 87, IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THIS IS THE 7th SUPPLEMENTAL CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT, NOT THE 2nd. ITEM NO. 103 HAS BEEN POSTPONED -- WILL BE POSTPONED TO JULY 28th, 2005. ON ITEM NO. 107, WE NEED TO SHOW COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AS AN ADDITIONAL CO-SPONSOR. AS WELL AS ITEM 108, COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL ANOTHER CO-SPONSOR. ITEM NO. 115 WHERE HE SHOULD CORRECT THE CAUSE NUMBER OF THIS LAWSUIT, THE NEW -- THE CORRECTED CAUSE NUMBER IS 2-03 CV 354. ITEM NO. 120 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN. ON ITEM NO. 122, WE NEED TO INSERT THE PHRASE AUTHORIZING A REFUNDING AGREEMENT. AS WELL AS INSERT ESTABLISHING FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS RELATED TO THE PAYMENT OF THE RATE REFUNDING AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZING THE NECESSARY ENACTMENT PROVISIONS, INCIDENT AND NECESSARY TO THE AGREEMENT AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE. THAT'S ITEM NO. 122, WHICH IS A LARGE REVENUE REFUNDING BOND ITEM. ITEM NO. 129, WE SHOULD CORRECT THE CASE NUMBER AND IT SHOULD BE C 14-040091, NOT 05. ON ZONING CASE Z-10, WE SHOULD STRIKE THE PHRASE "TO BE REVIEWED ON JUNE 21st, 2005"

BECAUSE IT WAS AND THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION IS TO GRANT COMMERCIAL LIQUOR SALES CS 1 DISTRICT ZONING. ALSO ON ITEM NO. 137, WE SHOULD INCLUDE COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken AS AN ADDITIONAL SPONSOR. SO THOSE ARE THE CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS TO THIS WEEK'S POSTED AGENDA. FOR A SCHEDULE TODAY, OUR TIME CERTAINS AT NOON WE BREAK FOR OUR GENERAL CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS; AT 2:00 WE WILL HAVE A SERIES OF BOND SALES THAT ARE POSTED AS ITEMS 120 THROUGH 122. ALSO IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE BOND SALES WE WILL HAVE TWO BRIEFINGS, ITEMS 124 AND 123, TO VERY IMPORTANT REAL ESTATE AND LAND USE BRIEFINGS. ONE REGARDING THE MUELLER MASTER PLAN AND SOME RECENT ADJUSTMENTS TO THAT PLAN AS WELL AS AN EXHAUSTIVE PRESENTATION BY CITY STAFF ON S.H. 130, A FUNDAMENTAL ANALYSIS OF INFRASTRUCTURE, OF LAND USE, OF FLOODPLAIN, OF THE ROAD ITSELF FOR US TO START HAVING A BETTER IMPACT ON THE LONG-TERM BETTER LAND USE AROUND THAT CORRIDOR. AT 3:00, WE WILL ACTUALLY RECESS THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL, CALL TO ORDER THE MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION AND TAKE UP THOSE ITEMS AHFC 1 AND 2. AND AT 4:00, WE HAVE OUR ZONING HEARINGS AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCES AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS. AND THOSE SHOW AS ITEMS 125 THROUGH 133 AS WELL AS ITEM 139. AND THEN WE HAVE OUR PUBLIC HEARINGS AND ZONING CASES THAT SHOW AS ITEMS Z-1 THROUGH Z-18. VERY FULL AGENDA THIS EVENING. THE STAFF WILL BE REQUESTING THE FOLLOWING POSTPONEMENTS, ITEM 131 PIONEER FARMS, PROPOSED TO POSTPONE TO JULY 28th, 2005; AS WELL AS ITEMS 132 AND 139. 132 BEING THE SHOEMAKER ENTERPRISES CASE AND 139 THE EVEN NEAR CROSSING CASE -- PIONEER CROSSING CASE RECOMMENDED FOR POSTPONEMENT TO JULY 28th, 2005. THE ZONING CASES, Z-16 AND Z-17 RELATED TO THE GABLES AT WESTLAKE, WILL ALSO BE PROPOSED FOR POSTPONEMENT TO OUR NEXT MEETING, WHICH IS JULY -- I'M SORRY, TO JULY 28th, 2005. HAND AS WELL AS ITEM Z-18, THE HYDE PARK NORTH CASE, AGAIN PROPOSED FOR POSTPONEMENT TO JULY 28th, 2005. TECHNICALLY, WE CAN'T TAKE UP THOSE VOTES TO ACTUALLY POSTPONE

THOSE CASES UNTIL THE 4:00 POSTED TIME CERTAIN. BUT BY ANNOUNCING THOSE NOW, HOPEFULLY SOME FOLKS WON'T HAVE TO COME DOWN TO THE COUNCIL AND I RECOGNIZE THAT IT'S HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT WE WOULDN'T ACCEPT THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. AT 5:30 WE BREAK FOR LIVE MUSIC AND PROCLAMATIONS. AT 6:00 WE HAVE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND POSSIBLE ACTIONS, THOSE SHOW AS ITEMS 134 AND 135. AND THEN WE HAVE A SPECIAL POSTED 6:30 TIME CERTAIN, PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUATION OF OUR PUBLIC HEARING ITEM 136, WHICH RELATES TO OUR AFRICAN-AMERICAN QUALITY OF LIFE SCORE CARD AND NOW IN FACT A SERIES OF RECOMMENDATIONS COMING FROM THAT WORKING GROUP. SO THOSE ARE OUR TIME CERTAIN ITEMS FOR THIS WEEK'S AGENDA. A HANDFUL OF ITEMS HAVE BEEN PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA. I WILL READ THOSE BRIEFLY: ITEM NO. 3 AND 4 RELATED TO AN EASEMENT WITH THE BALCONES CANYON LAND CONSERVATION PLAN, PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. ITEM NO. 9, RELATED TO A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH KEY ENTERPRISES ALSO PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. ITEM 19 WHICH IS RELATED -- A BUDGET ITEM PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. ITEM 77, ANOTHER CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, WITH SOUTHWEST CORPORATION, PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. THIS WILL BE THE COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ SHOW HERE IN A FEW MINUTES. ITEM 80, AN AMENDMENT TO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT, PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND ITEM 94, A -- A PARKING AGREEMENT PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND MYSELF. WE WILL NOTE THAT ITEMS 85 THROUGH 87 WILL BE TAKEN UP IN EXECUTIVE SESSION PRIOR TO POTENTIAL ACTION, SO THOSE WON'T BE SHOWN ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. AND I NEED TO PULL ITEM NO. 106, WHICH WAS MY ITEM FROM COUNCIL THAT WILL BE OUR DIFFERENT APPOINTMENTS, COUNT AND SUBCOMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS. WILL BE PULLED OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA. ANYTHING ELSE NEEDED TO BE PULLED OR ADDED BACK TO THE CONSENT AGENDA. HEARING THAT I WILL READ THE CONSENT AGENDA NUMERICALLY, IT WILL BE ITEMS 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 15, 16, PER CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, NOTE THAT ITEM 42 HAS

BEEN WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA, 43, 44, 45, 46, NOTE THAT ITEMS 47, 48, AND 49 HAVE ALSO BEEN WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65,, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 81, PER CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87 PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION, I'M SORRY, 85, 86 AND 87 EXCUSE ME, ARE NOT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA, 84 IS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA, CONTINUING ON, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, TO BE POSTPONED TO JULY 28th, 2005, 104, 105 WHICH ARE OUR BOARD AND ECONOMICS APPOINTMENTS THAT I WILL ED -- BOARD AND COMMISSIONER APPOINTMENTS THAT I WILL READ INTO THE RECORD. BUILDING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION, DECKER AYRERS AND HOWARD [INDISCERNIBLE] ARE CONSENSUS REAPPOINTMENT. CHILD CARE COUNCIL, ALBERT BLACK IS A CONSENSUS APPOINTMENT. TO THE DESIGN COMMISSION, JOAN HYDE IS COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY'S REAPPOINTMENT. TO OUR ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD, DAVID ANDERSON IS MY REAPPOINTMENT. WILLIAM CURA IS COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT AND TIMOTHY RILEY IS A CONSENSUS REAPPOINTMENT. TO THE ETHICS REVIEW COMMISSION, JOSE DE LA INFLUENCE TAKE, COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT, MECHANICAL PLUMBING, WILLIAM HARRIS JUNIOR IS A CONSENSUS REAPPOINTMENT. TO THE PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD, CLINT SMALL IS MY REAPPOINTMENT. TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION, JAY REDDY IS COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY'S REAPPOINTMENT AND CHRIS RILEY IS COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT. TO THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMMISSION, ADEN MARTINEZ IS COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY'S REAPPOINTMENT. AND TO OUR ROBERT MUELLER MUNICIPAL AIRPORT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY COMMISSION, WHO WE WILL HEAR FROM TODAY AT A 2:00 BRIEFING, RICK [INDISCERNIBLE], LARRY MCKEE, AND JIM WALKER ARE CONSENSUS REAPPOINTMENTS. SO OUR SALTILLO DISTRICT, JOHNNY LE MONDAYJOHN LEMON. MARK ANTHONY IS A CONSENSUS REAPPOINTMENT, TO OUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, JAMES HOWERTON IS MY REAPPOINTMENT AND WENDALL [INDISCERNIBLE] IS COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT. SO THE URBAN FORESTRY BOARD HOW WOULD HE RICHIE IS

COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT. TO THE URBAN RENEWAL BOARD, BOTH EDWARD McGAHN AND BEN SUFENTES, KEITH JOHNSON COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S REAPPOINTMENT. THOSE ARE OUR BOARD AND COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS ON ITEM NO. 105. SO CONTINUING ON WITH OUR CONSENT AGENDA. ITEM 107 PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION, 108 PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION, 109, 110, 111, 137 PER CHANGES AND CORRECTION, AND 138. THAT CONCLUDES OUR CONSENT AGENDA. I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

MOVE APPROVAL, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ SECONDED BY MAYOR PRO TEM THOMAS TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS READ. COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

Leffingwell: I HAVE A COMMENT ON 3 AND 4, I GUESS SINCE COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ PULLED IT I SHOULD DEFER TO HIS COMMENTS FIRST.

Mayor Wynn: WE WILL HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THOSE ITEMS HERE AS SOON AS WE APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. THAT WILL BE OUR FIRST ITEMS TAKEN UP. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

Alvarez: MAYOR? I DID WANT TO -- TO JUST CALL ATTENTION TO A COUPLE OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, CO-SPONSORED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN, LEFFINGWELL AND MYSELF. ITEM 107, CORRECTS THE CITY MANAGER AND AUSTIN ENERGY TO WORK WITH THE BASIC NEEDS SERVICES COALITION ON OUR -- OUR COLLECTIONS AND REPAYMENT PROCEDURES FOR FAMILIES OR INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE GOING THROUGH THE BEST SINGLE SOURCE PILOT PROJECT, WHICH IS A -- WHICH IS A PROGRAM THAT WAS CREATED BY THE COALITION, A LOT OF THE SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT DEAL WITH FAMILIES AND INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE, YOU KNOW, HOMELESS OR ON THE VERGE OF HOMELESSNESS OR -- AND THEY HELP THEM GET BACK ON THEIR FEET AND ACHIEVE SELF SUFFICIENCY. BUT ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT WE HAVE SEEN, WHEN THEY TRY GETTING BACK INTO HOUSING IS THAT THEY HAVE A LOT OF DEBT

THAT THEY OWE TO AUSTIN ENERGY, SO WE WANTED TO LOOK AT VERY SPECIFICALLY IF THERE'S A WAY FOR US TO HELP THOSE FAMILIES AND KEEP US OUTS OF HOMELESSNESS BY CREATING MAYBE DIFFERENT PROCEDURES OR POLICIES IN TERMS OF HOW THOSE -- HOW THOSE ISSUES ARE DEALT WITH AND SO WHAT'S BECOME APPARENT, THAT'S BECOME A BARRIER FOR SOME FOLKS BEING ABLE TO GET BACK INTO JUST BEING ABLE TO SUSTAIN THEMSELVES AND HAVE THEIR OWN HOUSING AND NOT BE DEPENDENT ON ANY OF THESE SERVICE PROVIDERS. SO WE ARE LOOKING TO SEE IF THERE'S A CREATIVE WAY FOR US TO WORK TOGETHER TO HELP THESE FAMILIES ACHIEVE SELF SUFFICIENCY AND THE OTHER ITEM IS 108. THIS IS A -- WE ARE DIRECTING AGAIN THE CITY MANAGER AND OUR PARKS DEPARTMENT TO LOOK AT THE FEASIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING A DISC GOLF COURSE IN ONE OF THE DESTINATION PARK LANDS THAT WAS -- THAT THE CITY ACQUIRED IN EAST AUSTIN. AND I DON'T KNOW IF -- NOT TOO MANY FOLKS MAY BE FAMILIAR WITH DISC GOLF. BUT IF YOU EVER PLAY A ROUND OF GOLF WITH ME, IT'S GOING TO BE A DISC GOLF COURSE AND NOT A TRADITIONAL GOLF COURSE. BUT THIS IS AGAIN ONE OF THE TRACKS, EAST AUSTIN DESTINATION PARKLAND TRACKS AND WE HAD SOME MONEY THAT WAS APPROVED THROUGH THE BOND FOR PARKLAND DEVELOPMENT, SO WE ARE ANALYZING THE FEASIBILITY OF LOOKING AT THIS ONE PARTICULAR TRACK TO SEE IF IT'S -- TRACK TO SEE IF IT'S FEASIBLE TO DEVELOP AS A DISC GOLF COURSE. IT WILL BE ONLY THE SECOND DISC GOLF COURSE EAST OF I-35. WE HAVE A -- FOUR OR FIVE OTHERS AND SO THAT -- THAT IS ALSO AGAIN HOPEFULLY SOMETHING WHERE WE CAN DEVELOP AS A -- AS A MODEL COURSE AND EXPRESS TO THE DISC GOLF COMMUNITY SORT OF WHAT WE WANT TO ASPIRE TO IN TERMS OF HOW WE WANT TO TWO OUR OTHER DISC GOLF COURSES. WE ARE STILL AT THE ANALYTICAL PHASE HERE, BUT DID WANT TO MENTION THAT BECAUSE I THINK THAT IT'S PERSONALLY AN EXCITING PROPOSITION FOR THE CITY. SO THAT'S IT, MAYOR, THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ, WHAT'S YOUR DISC GOLF HANDICAP? [LAUGHTER]

Alvarez: I'LL SAY FIVE. [LAUGHTER]

Mayor Wynn: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN?

McCracken: I WANT TO SHARE MY EXCITEMENT OF THIS MOMENT IN DISC GOLF HOUSE FOR AUSTIN. COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ WHEN I FIRST MOVED TO AUSTIN, MY OLDEST FRIEND NEIL WOULD GO PLAY AT THE PEASE PARK GOLF COURSE. WE VOWED IF WE EVER HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE A SAY WE WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE DISC GOLF BUDGET IN AUSTIN AND EXPAND THE AVAILABILITY AND NOW I GET A CHANCE TO DO THAT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION ON THE CONSENT AGENDA PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. SO, COUNCIL, WE WILL TRY TO GET THROUGH A HANDFUL OF DISCUSSION ITEMS HERE BEFORE THE LUNCH HOUR. WE WILL GO AHEAD AND JUST TAKE THESE IN ORDER. COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ PULLED ITEMS 3 AND 4 RELATED TO UTILITY EASEMENT ACROSS BCCP PROPERTY. I'M GOING TO RECOGNIZE COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ?

FOLKS, IF YOU COULD TAKE YOUR CONVERSATIONS OUT INTO THE FOYER, WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. MY QUESTIONS REALLY WERE FOR STAFF REGARDING IN TERMS OF THESE AGREEMENTS WITH LCRA, BUT -- BUT LOOKING AT SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AND THE BCCP CITIZEN ADVISE SORRY COMMITTEE AND HOW THOSE -- ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND HOW THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WERE INTEGRATED INTO THE AGREEMENTS, JUST KIND OF WALKING THROUGH THAT, THE REASONS BEHIND THAT.

WILL YOU TAKE A STAB, WALK THROUGH THE PROCESS, I THINK WE ALSO HAVE THE WATER UTILITY HERE

REPRESENTED.

YES, MA'AM. COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ, OTHER MEMBERS, I'M [INDISCERNIBLE] CONRADT, THE MANAGER FOR THE [INDISCERNIBLE] CONSERVATION FOR AUSTIN WATER UTILITY. MEMBER ALVAREZ, AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION, YOU WOULD LIKE A LITTLE BACKGROUND ON THE PROCESS THAT BCCP WENT THROUGH, ALSO THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ASKED FOR. JUST A LITTLE BACKGROUND, BARTON CREEK WILDERNESS PARK IS A PIECE OF DUAL PURPOSE PROPERTY THAT THE CITY OWNS. IT'S DEDICATED PARKLAND, BUT ALSO BEEN DEDICATED AS HABITAT FOR BALCONES CANYONLAND PRESERVES. IN THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT THAT SUPPORTS BALCONES CANYONLAND PRESERVES THROUGH THE BALCONES CANYONLAND PRESERVES CONSERVATION PLAN, BCCP, ITEM 7.2 SAYS THAT ANY CHANGES TO THE PLAN HAVE TO BE ADOPTED BY THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE AND THOSE ADOPTIONS HAVE TO THEN AGAIN BE ADOPTED BY CITY COUNCIL AS WELL AS TRAVIS COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COURT. IN THE PROCESS WE WENT THROUGH TO GET THE APPROVAL FROM THE BCCP COORDINATING COMMITTEE, WE MET WITH THE [INDISCERNIBLE] ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. BOTH OF THEM FELT THAT THIS OPTION FOR EXPANDING THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE CORRIDOR WITHIN AN AERIAL CORRIDOR WAS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PRESERVE BECAUSE IT PREVENTED THE HABITAT DESTRUCTION THAT WOULD OCCUR FROM INSTALLING A FOURTH TOWER IN THIS LINE ALONG WITH THE ACCOMPANYING EXCESS ROUTES AND THINGS TO SUPPORT THAT CONSTRUCTION. HOWEVER, BOTH ADVISORY COMMITTEES HAD RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WENT ALONG WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE THE SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE FELT LIKE THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE FUNDS THAT GO ALONG WITH THE LICENSE AGREEMENT, THE CONSIDERATION, IN A MANNER THAT WOULD SUPPORT RESEARCH ON HOW TRANSMISSION CORRIDORS OF THIS TYPE AFFECT GOLDEN CHEEKED WARBLERS. THE CITIZENS ADVISORY V.E.'S RECOMMENDATIONS WERE A LITTLE MORE DETAIL. THEY ALSO WANTED TO SEE THE FUNDS SPENT ON THE -- ON THE

SPECIFIC SITE AT BARTON CREEK WHILERNESS PARK FOR EITHER HABITAT RESTORATION OR IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC ACCESS. THEY ALSO ASKED THAT A CERTIFIED ARBORIST BE PLACED ON THE GROUND DURING ANY VEGETATION MANAGEMENT FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THIS CORRIDOR TO CERTIFICATE TIE THAT ANY CUTS IN TREE TRIMMING PRACTICES WERE DONE IN A MANNER THAT WERE ACCEPTABLE PRACTICES FOR CONTROLLING OAK WILT. THEY ALSO ASKED THAT NO CHEMICAL HERBICIDES BE USED IN THE PROCESS OF VEGETATION MANAGEMENT. THE BCCP COORDINATING COMMITTEE ALSO APPROVED THE REQUEST AND THEIR CONDITION WAS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE THE SECRETARY, ME, TO WORK WITH THE STAFF AT PARK AND LEGAL TO ASSURE THAT ANY CONSIDERATION THAT CAME ABOUT AS PART OF THIS LICENSE AGREEMENT WOULD BE USED FOR THE BETTERMENT OF THE -- OF THE SPECIFIC PRESERVE SITE. WE THEN MET WITH THE CITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AFTER THAT AND THEY CAME OUT WITH A LIST OF SEVEN RECOMMENDATIONS. THEY HAD MADE A FIELD VISIT TO THE SITE THE DAY OF THEIR MEETING AND HAD SEVERAL CONCERNS THAT CAME ABOUT FROM THAT. FIRST, GOES BACK TO THEY WANTED A PERMANENT VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED FOR THIS SITE TO ASSURE THAT -- THAT ANY TREE TRIMMING AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT WORK WOULD BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT'S SENSITIVE TO THE GOLDEN CHEEKED WARBLER HABITAT, USING ONLY HAND CUTTING METHODS AND NOT CLEAR CUTTING TYPE OF METHODS. THEY ALSO ASKED TO PREVENT THE USE OF CHEMICAL HERBICIDES. THEY ASKED AGAIN TO THEIR RECOMMENDATION REINFORCE THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE THAT HAS CERTIFIED ARBORIST ON SITE THAT WOULD KEEP A LOT OF ACTIONS AND DOCUMENT COMPLIANCE WITH OAK WILT ISSUES. THEY ASKED THAT ALL DISTURBED AREA BE RESEEDDED. EROSION CONTROL WORK ON AN ACCESS ROAD THAT GOES THROUGH A WATER UTILITY SITE AS WELL AS THE PARKLAND SITE TO DEAL WITH SOME [INDISCERNIBLE] OTHER ISSUES. THEY ASKED LCRA TO INVESTIGATE A CONTAMINANT SPILL. THEY ASKED YOU TO DEVELOP A METHOD TO STABILIZE THE ROAD AND THEY ASKED AGAIN FOR THE FUNDS TO BE SPENT FOR THE BETTERMENT OF

THE PARKS. LCRA AGREED TO ALL OF THE SIX ITEMS THAT THEY HAD CONTROL OF. THEY HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN OUT, THEY HAVE CLEANED UP THE CONTAMINATED SPILL. WE HAVE A MEETING WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICER TOMORROW TO INITIATE WORK ON THE REST OF THE ITEMS.

Alvarez: SO THERE'S ALREADY WORKING DONE ON THE SPILL.
>

THE SPILL HAS BEEN CLEANED UP. IT TURNED OUT TO BE DIESEL SPILL. I THINK THEY SAID THEY MOVED ABOUT 10 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAL, THEY ARE GOING TO REPLACE IT WITH SOIL BASED ON THEIR RECOMMENDATION AND THEN RESEED THE ENTIRE AREA.

Alvarez: OKAY. YOU SAID THOSE SIX ITEMS WERE ACCEPTED OR INCORPORATED. SO THE LAST ITEM IS THE ONE HAVING TO DO WITH THE FEE AND THAT'S -- IS THAT REALLY THE ONLY OUTSTANDING ISSUE?

THAT'S REALLY THE ONLY OUTSTANDING ISSUE AND THAT'S AN INTERNAL ISSUE FOR CITY STAFF TO SIT DOWN AND WORK THROUGH.

Alvarez: OKAY. AND THAT'S THE SAME WITH THE BEFORE CCP CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE SAME ISSUE THAT KIND OF IS OUTSTANDING AS WELL, REALLY WHAT YOU DO WITH THE MONEY.

YES, SIR, THAT'S IT.

Alvarez: AND WHAT -- DO WE HAVE OTHER SUCH AGREEMENTS? HOW ARE THOSE HANDLED IN TERMS OF THE, YOU KNOW, THE FEES AND HOW THOSE FEES ARE UTILIZED? OR IS IT JUST --

WELL, THIS IS A BIT UNUSUAL IN THAT THIS IS THE FIRST ONE IN MY EXPERIENCE THAT WE HAVE DEALT WITH THESE DUAL PURPOSE PROPERTIES WHERE IT'S BOTH PARKLAND AND DEDICATED HABITAT. BUT WE HAVE A GOOD WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PARKS DEPARTMENT AS WE COOPERATE ON ISSUES LIKE THIS.

SO WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE OTHER AGREEMENTS THAT HAVE THIS KIND OF LICENSE FEE OR IS THIS KIND OF A UNIQUE ANIMAL?

NOT FOR THE DUAL PURPOSE SITE, SIR. TYPICALLY MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT -- THIS IS CONSIDERED DEDICATED PARKLAND. IT WAS BOUGHT WITH PARK BOND MONEY. SO MY UNDERSTANDING OF TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE CODE AS WELL AS CITY POLICIES IS THAT THE FUNDS WOULD TYPICALLY JUST GO TO PARD AND THEY WOULD MAKE THE DECISION ON HOW THEY WANT TO USE IT FOR THE BETTERMENT OF THE PARK.

I SEE. SO IT'S A LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR LAND CONTROLLED BY PARKS, MONEY GOES TO PARKS, IF IT'S LAND CONTROLLED BY WATER AND WASTEWATER IT GOES TO THEM. BUT SINCE IT'S A DUAL -- [MULTIPLE VOICES] -- AS THE QUESTION ARISES.

WITH THE WATER AND WASTEWATER IT MAY BE A LITTLE DIFFERENT IN THAT WE MAY NEED ADVICE FROM THE LAW DEPARTMENT, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS BECAUSE THIS IS DEDICATED PARKLAND 7 UNDER CHAPTER 26 OF THE TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE CODE, THE PARKS DEPARTMENT IS CONSIDERED THE OWNER OF THE LAND THEREFORE THE FEES SHOULD GO TO THEM. IF IT'S ANOTHER CITY ENTITY THAT'S NOT COVERED BY THAT CHAPTER IN THE PARKS AND WILDLIFE CODE, I'M NOT CLEAR WHERE THE FUND WOULD GO. I HAVE ALWAYS ASSUMED THEY WOULD GO TO THE CITY GENERAL FUND IN THAT CASE.

Alvarez: OKAY, THAT'S ALL, MAYOR. ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS?

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

Leffingwell: I WAS PLANNING ON PULLING THIS IF COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ DIDN'T MY QUESTION WAS GOING TO BE ARE THOSE ITEMS RECOMMENDED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD INCLUDED IN THE LICENSE AGREEMENT. I BELIEVE THAT YOU HAVE SAID YES.

YES, SIR.

Leffingwell: BUT THE LARGER QUESTION BECOMES, AND YOU KNOW JUST FEW YEARS AGO THE OVERSIGHT OF THE BCP WAS TRANSFERRED FROM THE PARKS AND RECREATION, THE WATER UTILITY, WHICH WAS A GOOD THING, I SUPPORTED IT. BUT IT'S ALWAYS BEEN EVER SINCE THAT TRANSFER THE OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD HAS BEEN BEEN IN SOMEWHAT OF A GRAY AREA. NOTED BY THE FACT ON ITEMS 3 AND 4 IT SHOWS RECOMMENDED BY THE WATER UTILITY AND ALSO THE PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD BUT NOT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD. COULD YOU CLARIFY THAT OVERSIGHT? I KNOW YOU DID TAKE IT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD, BUT APPARENTLY THIS IS SORT OF AN UNOFFICIAL COURTESY THING. COULD YOU CLARIFY THAT.

WELL, YES, SIR. LET ME BACK UP AND ADDRESS IT GENERALLY AND THEN ALSO TALK ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC CASE BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A BIT UNUSUAL. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S HAPPENED IN THE LAST THREE YEARS SINCE BCP MOVED OVER TO WATER UTILITY IS THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE HAS REORGANIZED ITS CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THAT REORGANIZATION THE CITY HAS THREE APPOINTMENTS TO THAT ADVISORY COMMITTEE, TRAVIS COUNTY HAS THREE APPOINTMENTS, LCRA HAS ONE AND THERE'S FOUR CONSENSUS APPOINTMENTS. THE THREE CITY APPOINTMENTS ARE FILLED WITH REPRESENTATIVES, ONE FROM THE PARKS BOARD, ONE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD, ONE FROM THE WATER AND WASTEWATER COMMISSION. SO THAT WAS AN EFFORT THAT WAS MADE BY THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE TO ASSURE THAT THE CITY'S INTERESTS WITH ITS BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS WERE FULLY ADDRESSED, INCLUDING THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD. SPECIFICALLY ON THIS ITEM, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENED IS THAT LCRA INITIALLY SUBMITTED A PLAN TO BCP ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO, TALKING ABOUT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR WORK ON THIS ENTIRE LINE THAT RUNS FROM I-35 TO MANSFIELD DAM. APPARENTLY SOMETIME IN THE LAST YEAR, THEY MADE A DECISION TO RECONSTRUCT THIS TOWER LINE THROUGH THE -- THROUGH THE WILDERNESS PARK AND REPLACE

SOME TOWERS AND ELIMINATE ONE TOWER FROM THE PLAN. SOMEWHERE IN THAT PROCESS, IN THEIR DISCUSSIONS WITH PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT, THE FACT THAT THIS ALSO NEEDED TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE SLIPPED THROUGH THE CRACKS AND SO I DIDN'T LEARN ABOUT IT UNTIL ABOUT MID-APRIL. AND AT THAT POINT, I HAD TO MAKE A CONCERTED EFFORT TO GET TOGETHER WITH LCRA, THE PARKS AND ALL OF THE GROUPS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH BCCP. SO I ACTUALLY SPOKE TO THE CHAIR OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD WITHIN TWO OR THREE DAYS AFTER I LEARNED OF THIS AND BEGAN ACTION AND MS. HOLDER AT -- DISCUSSED THIS WITH ME AND MADE A DECISION SHE WANTED TO HEAR THE PRESENTATION AT THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE BEFORE SHE ASKED FOR THE ITEM TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD. SO IT'S REALLY BEEN KIND OF A RUSH ON OUR PART TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GET TOGETHER WITH ALL OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED AND COMPLY WITH THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AND OUR PERMITS FROM BCCP. SO THERE HAVE BEEN A LOT OF QUESTIONS RAISED ABOUT TIMING ON THIS, WHY THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD DIDN'T HEAR ABOUT THIS UNTIL SO LATE. BUT I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THAT WE WERE JUST CAUGHT ON THE BCP SIDE CAUGHT BY SURPRISE ON THIS AND HAD TO RUSH TO GET ON EVERYBODY'S AGENDAS AND TO DEAL WITH THIS.

Leffingwell: SO WOULD IT BE ROUTINE FOR YOU TO SEND ANY BCP ITEM TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD OR WOULD THEY HAVE TO REQUEST IT SPECIFICALLY?

WELL, THE WAY WE HAVE AGREED TO ADDRESS THIS WITH -- WITH THE CURRENT CHAIRMAN IS THAT HER POSITION ON THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ASSURES THAT SHE GETS NOTIFICATION AND THEN SHE MAKES A DECISION AND REFERS BACK TO ME WHAT SHE WOULD LIKE TO SEE ADDRESSED SPECIFICALLY BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD.

Leffingwell: OKAY. SO SHE WOULD SPECIFICALLY HAVE TO REQUEST THAT. OKAY. I THINK -- AT A LATER DATE I WANT TO LOOK INTO THIS WHOLE REVIEW PROCESS, BCP OVERSIGHT, BUT THAT'S ALL FOR NOW.

WE WILL BE GLAD TO WORK WITH YOU.

Mayor Wynn: MR. CONRADT, IN SUMMARY, THIS IS YOUR FIRST EASEMENT, IF YOU WILL, ON A JOINT PROPERTY, THAT IS PARKLAND AND BCCP, BUT BCCP TRACTS, THE ACRES OF THAT PRESERVE SYSTEM HAS NUMEROUS UTILITY CORRIDORS ACROSS THEM, CORRECT IF.

THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. COUPLE, WE HAVE ONE CITIZEN SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. MS. MARY ARNOLD, WELCOME, MR. ESPINOZA FROM THE LCRA IS HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS IF NEED BE.

MAYOR WYNN, MAYOR PRO TEM THOMAS, COUNCILMEMBER KIM AND LEE WELCOME AND CONGRATULATIONS COUNCILMEMBER PRO TEM THOMAS. MY NAME IS MARY ARNOLD. I APPRECIATE COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ PULLING THIS ITEM. THE LANGUAGE OF THE AMENDMENT THAT'S CALLED FOR IN ITEM 3 IS NOT IN THE BACKUP MATERIAL. AND SO IT'S DIFFICULT TO KNOW EXACTLY HOW THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD, IF -- ARE IN THE LANGUAGE OF THE AMENDMENT. NOW IT'S PRETTY CLEAR THAT THE LICENSE AGREEMENT IS BETWEEN THE LCRA AND THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND SO, THEREFORE, THE LANGUAGE IN NUMBER 4 IS TO AUTHORIZE THE NEGOTIATION AND EXECUTION OF THE LICENSE AGREEMENT. SO MY REQUEST TO THE COUNCIL WOULD BE BOTH TO, YOU KNOW, ASSURE THAT THE PUBLIC HAS A COPY OF THE LANGUAGE OF THE AMENDMENT IN NUMBER 3, AND FOR THE COUNCIL TO REQUIRE THAT IN THE NEGOTIATION AND EXECUTION OF THE LICENSE AGREEMENT, THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD BE ACTUALLY INCORPORATED INTO THAT LICENSE AGREEMENT. MR. CONRAD MENTIONED THAT UNDER ONE OF THE ITEMS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD RECOMMENDED THAT IT HAD ALREADY BEEN DONE. IN OTHER WORDS THE CLEANUP OF THE SPILL. I NOTICED THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD SAID THAT THE LCRA WOULD REPORT ITS FINDINGS TO THE CITY. TO THE WATERSHED PROTECTION DEPARTMENT STAFF AND THAT THE CLEANUP WOULD BE DONE AFTER OBTAINING

WATERSHED PROTECTIONS APPROVAL. AND I DON'T THINK HE MENTIONED WHETHER THAT APPROVAL WAS RECEIVED BEFORE THE CLEANUP. ANYWAY, I HOPE THAT YOU ALL WILL INCORPORATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD INTO THE LICENSE AGREEMENT AND ALSO ASSURE THAT THE AMENDMENT ADEQUATELY COVERS THE KINDS OF PROTECTIONS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD IS RECOMMENDING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. ARNOLD. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? COUNCIL? MAYOR PRO TEM THOMAS, SORRY?

Thomas: THANK YOU, TO MS. ARNOLD'S REQUEST, CAN WE TALK ABOUT THAT? IS THAT POSSIBLE ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ON THE -- ON THE AGREEMENT ON NUMBER 4?

IT WOULD BE VERY EASY FOR YOU TO JUST INCLUDE IN THE MOTION THAT YOU DIRECT US TO INCLUDE THE LANGUAGE IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD RECOMMENDATION IN THE LICENSE AGREEMENT WHEN WE EXECUTE IT.

Thomas: OKAY.

Mayor Wynn: ACTUALLY, I GUESS I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MR. HE IS ESPINOZA. I APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE. IF YOU CAN JUST CONFIRM, YOU KNOW, THAT YOU IN FACT WERE IN RECEIPT, LESS LAYS WAS IN RECEIPT OF THESE REQUESTS AND TO THE ITEMS THAT YOU -- THAT LCRA HAS CONTROL OVER, YOU ALL ARE IN AGREEMENT.

YES, SIR, THAT IS CORRECT. WE HAVE AGREED TO ALL OF THE CONDITIONS IN THE NEW EASEMENT AREA.

ALL RIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. THANK YOU. FURTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? IF NOT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON -- COMBINED MOTION ON ITEMS 3 AND 4.

Alvarez: MAYBE JUST A QUESTION, IF I COULD MAYOR JUST TO THE CITY MANAGER ABOUT HOW WE ADDRESS THE FEE

ISSUE OR IS THAT SOMETHING -- IS THERE A -- SOME WAY THAT WE ARE GOING TO FIGURE OUT IF THE FEE GOES TO -- TO WATER AND WASTEWATER OR PARKS OR IS THERE A PLAN FOR THAT? OR IS IT SOMETHING WE'RE GOING TO FIGURE OUT ADMINISTRATIVELY? SEEMS LIKE THAT'S THE ONLY OUTSTANDING ISSUE, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S --

Mayor Wynn: IF I CAN AS CHAIR OF THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE, WHEN WE GOT THIS REQUEST, THERE WERE SEVERAL SPECIFIC SUGGESTIONS AS TO HOW TO SPEND THE MONEY. I THINK IT'S OF COURSE THAT WE WANT TO USE THIS MONEY IN SOME FORM OR FASHION FOR THIS PRESERVE. BUT WHAT WE DIRECTED STAFF TO DO FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT IS TO INVESTIGATE THAT AND CONFIRM WHETHER IT'S -- WHETHER IT NEEDS TO STAY TECHNICALLY IN THE PARKS DEPARTMENT, WHETHER IT CAN BE SHARED BETWEEN PARKS AND BCCP, WHETHER IT SHOULD BE, YOU KNOW, ONE SUGGESTION WAS PART OF THE PUBLIC ACCESS. SOME WAS OTHER -- OTHER ENDANGERED SPECIES WORK. SO WE ACTUALLY DIRECTED STAFF TO COME BACK TO US. MY SUGGESTION TO THAT COORDINATING COMMITTEE THAT THIS COUNCIL NEEDS TO MAKE THAT CALL, NOT US AS THAT COORDINATING COMMITTEE BECAUSE IT INVOLVED AT LEAST TWO DIFFERENT CITY DEPARTMENTS.

SURE.

Futrell: THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY ANSWER BACK, ALSO. OBVIOUSLY WE WANT IT TO BENEFIT THE PRESERVE. SO IT COMES BACK TO YOU ALL AS A DISCUSSION ON HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEND THE MONEY SO WHERE THE MONEY ACTUALLY GOES IS LESS THE ISSUE THAN HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEND THE MONEY TO BENEFIT THE PRESERVE.

Alvarez: GREAT, THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? I WILLENT TAKEN ENTERTAIN A MOTION THAT WE APPROVE ITEMS 83 -- SORRY, NOT QUITE THERE. 3 AND 4. WITH-- WITH DIRECTION TO STAFF TO ENSURE THAT THE SIX RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LANGUAGE, IF THEY ARE NOT ALREADY. SO THAT WOULD BE MY MOTION.

I'LL SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO APPROVE ITEMS 3 AND 4 WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. SO WORKING OUR WAY THROUGH THE AGENDA, COUNCILMEMBER YOU PULLED ITEMS -- SEVERAL ITEMS NOW, 9, 19, DO YOU HAVE A PREFERENCE ON --

Alvarez: WE WILL JUST GO IN ORDER, I SUPPOSE.

Mayor Wynn: ALL RIGHT, ITEM NO. 9.

Alvarez: I WILL DEDICATE THIS MEETING TO COUNCILMEMBER SLUSHER WHO WAS PREVIOUSLY OUR POINT PERSON ON PULLING ITEMS. CONTINUING IN HIS TRADITION OF PULLING MULTIPLE ITEMS, REALLY JUST TO SHARE INFORMATION THAT WE THINK THE PUBLIC IS INTERESTED OR SHOULD BE INTERESTED IN. THESE -- THIS ONE SHOULD BE PRETTY EASY. I THINK ITEM 8 AND 9 WERE BOTH RATIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS OF WORK THAT WAS DONE ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS AND SO I REALLY JUST WANTED TO -- TO -- IF SOMEONE SEES THAT I GUESS -- IT -- THEY MIGHT WONDER WHAT ARE THESE AND WHAT WERE THE SITUATIONS THAT OCCURRED THAT PROMPTED, YOU KNOW, THIS WORK TO MOVE FORWARD. YOU KNOW IN THIS TYPE OF FASHION, WHICH DOESN'T FOLLOW THE TYPICAL PROCUREMENT PROCESS. MR. LIPPE THERE. IS READY TO TAKE THAT ON. IF YOU COULD JUST ADDRESS THAT.

GOOD MORNING, MAYOR PRO TEM AND THE COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS CHRIS LIPPE, DIRECTOR OF THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY. LET ME GIVE YOU A BACKGROUND ON THIS EMERGENCY. COLLAPSE OF A WASTEWATER LINE OUT IN NORTHWEST AUSTIN ON SPICEWOOD PARKWAY. IT WAS A CORRODED LINE AND IT

JUST COLLAPSED AND RESULTED IN AN OVERFLOW INTO THE BULL CREEK AREA. SO WE -- THE EMERGENCY SPONGES WAS--EMERGENCY RESPONSE WAS IMMEDIATE TO STOP THE OVERFLOW. WE DID A PUMP AND HAUL OPERATION. THEN ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS WE DO A RATIFICATION THAT IT IS AN EMERGENCY OR AN AFFIDAVIT IS SIGNED TO DECLARE IT AN EMERGENCY. WE SEND A MEMO TO COUNCIL EXPLAINING THE BACKGROUND OF THE SITUATION. IN THIS CASE WE MET THREE CONTRACTORS OUT AT THE SITE. DESCRIBED THE TYPE OF WORK THAT WAS NEEDED, THE LENGTH OF LINE, THE SIZE OF LINE, LET THEM LOOK AND ASK QUESTIONS IN AN ATTEMPT TO MEET THE -- ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE BIDDING. SO WE HAD THREE BIDS OR MET THREE CONTRACTORS. ONE OF THOSE WAS AN M.B.E. FIRM. WE ONLY RECEIVED TWO BIDMENT ONE OF THOSE -- BIDS, ONE WAS FROM AN M.B.E., THE LOW BID WENT TO KEY ENTERPRISES TO DO THIS WORK IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. SO THAT -- THE PUMPING AND HAULING OPERATIONS CONTINUED FOR MOST OF THE DURATION OF THIS WORK. TO REPLACE ABOUT 1600 FEET OF 8-INCH WASTEWATER LINE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THAT'S GENERALLY THE PROCESS.

Alvarez: OKAY. BUT THERE IS AN OUTREACH TO MULTIPLE CONTRACTORS IN TERMS OF TRYING TO GET A -- COMPETITIVE BIDS OR FOR THE WORK TO BE DONE.

YES, SIR. WE TRY TO GET THREE TO FIVE. WE BASICALLY TRY TO GET FIVE CONTRACTORS. BUT IN AN EMERGENCY SITUATION, WE, YOU KNOW, IN THIS CASE FOR EXAMPLE WE GOT THREE OUT IN THE FIELD TO LOOK AT THIS VERY QUICKLY AND GIVE US SOME BIDS.

Futrell: THE PREMISE BEHIND AN EMERGENCY RATIFICATION, WHEN THERE IS AN EMERGENCY, WHERE WE HAVE A WASTEWATER SPILL OCCURRING ON THE GROUND AND THIS IS WHERE WE DO PRE-EMPT THE NORMAL PURCHASING PROCESS, THE CATEGORY, THE CRITERIA FOR IT IS WHEN WE HAVE A LIFE, HEALTH, SAFETY WELFARE ISSUE. WE MOVE THROUGH THE PROCESS, TRY TO MIMIC AS BEST AS POSSIBLE AN OUTREACH AND COMPETITIVE PROCESS. WE DOCUMENT IT IN A RATIFICATION MEMO TO COUNCIL AND THEN TRY TO BRING THE RATIFICATION ITEM AT THE VERY

NEXT AVAILABLE COUPLE MEETING AVAILABLE COUNCIL
MEETING FOR YOU TO RATIFY THE ITEM.

Alvarez: THIS PARTICULAR ONE ON 9 WHEN DID THAT OCCUR,
THAT INCIDENT?

THIS ACTUALLY OCCURRED BACK IN NOVEMBER. AND WE
SENT A MEMO TO THE CITY COUNCIL IN EARLY DECEMBER
INFORMING YOU THAT -- OF THE SITUATION THAT IT HAD
BEEN DECLARED AN EMERGENCY. THE WORK AT THIS POINT,
THOUGH, IS COMPLETED AND SO WE NOW KNOW THAT THE
FINAL COST AND THAT'S WHY IT'S ON AT THIS POINT.

Alvarez: AND WHAT -- HOW LONG DID IT ACTUALLY TAKE TO
PERFORM THE WORK? SINCE IT'S -- I ASSUME THAT IT'S
PRETTY QUICKLY IF IT'S OVERFLOW SITUATIONS.

ROUGHLY FIVE MONTHS.

Futrell: THE FIRST WORK IS OBVIOUSLY TO STOP THE FLOW
AND DO THE CLEANUP, BUT THE REPAIR WORK IS WHAT WE
ARE DOING HERE TODAY IS REPAIRING THE LINE.

Alvarez: KIND OF AN INTERIM SOLUTION AND THEN YOU DO
THE LONG-TERM FIX.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Alvarez: OKAY, THAT'S ALL, MAYOR. UNLESS THERE'S ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS, I WILL MOVE APPROVAL ON ITEM NO. 9.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ
TO APPROVE ITEM NO. 9 AS POSTED. I'LL SECOND. FURTHER
COMMENTS? FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL
THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.
COUNCILMEMBER, ITEM 19?

Alvarez: YEAH. ITEM -- I'M SORRY. I WANT TO INTRODUCE THE
ITEM. ITEM 19 RELATES TO SOME WORK WE ARE GOING TO

BE DOING AT OUR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAMPUS. KIND OF RELATES BACK TO THE HOSPITAL DISTRICT AND SOME ACTIONS WE TOOK -- HAS IT BEEN A YEAR ALREADY? BARELY? ALMOST A YEAR? SO REALLY WANTED JUST TO EXPLAIN THIS ITEM AND WHAT WE'RE DOING IN TERMS OF THE -- WHY THE WORK IS BEING UNDERTAKEN, WHY WE ARE USING SOME THE ENDING BALANCE AND --

I WILL ASK JOHN STEPHENS, OUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. HE IS IT CATLY INVOLVED -- INTRICATELY INVOLVED IN HELPING US WITH THE HOSPITAL NEGOTIATIONS AND CAN SPEAK TO THE BACKGROUND ON THIS ITEM.

GOOD MORNING, I'M JOHN STEPHENS CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. THIS ITEM 19 IS TO AMEND THE CAPITAL BUDGET OF THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT PROVIDING TWO SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR THAT. WHAT THIS ITEM WILL ENABLE TO US DO, RELATED TO ITEM NO. 77 ON YOUR AGENDA, WHICH WILL ENABLE US TO FURTHER CONSOLIDATE SOME OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT FUNCTIONS, THE VITAL RECORDS FUNCTION THAT IS CURRENTLY IN THE BUILDING ON EAST CESAR CHAVEZ AND ALLOW US TO MOVE THAT FUNCTION OUT TO THE SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF, WHICH WE HAVE PURCHASED SEVERAL YEARS AGO. SO IT WILL ALLOW US TO CONTINUE THE CONSOLIDATING AT THAT SITE, SOME OF THE FUNCTIONS FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. AS YOU MENTIONED, THIS WAS A TOPIC OF NEGOTIATION LAST SUMMER WITH THE HOSPITAL DISTRICT. BECAUSE AT ONE POINT WE DETERMINED IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THE MONEYS THAT WE HAD IDENTIFIED IN WORKING WITH THE DISTRICT THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO TRANSFER TO THEM, WE ALSO HAD AN ADDITIONAL 844,000 IN DISPRO THAT WE WERE GOING TO RECEIVE AND WE DID RECEIVE BY FISCAL YEAR END. WE NATURALLY TOLD THEM THAT THAT WOULD BE MONEY THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THEM AND THEIR PREFERENCE INSTEAD WAS TO FIND AN ADMINISTRATIVE HOME FOR THE DISTRICT IN THE EAST CESAR CHAVEZ BUILDING THAT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WAS IN. AND SO PART OF OUR NEGOTIATION WAS THAT WE SIMPLY EXCHANGED THOSE TWO ITEMS. THEY AGREED THAT WE WOULD KEEP THE ADDITIONAL 144,000 IN DISPRO FUNDING AND THEY WOULD THEN MOVE INTO THE FACILITY ON EAST

CESAR CHAVEZ. SO THAT'S -- THAT'S PART OF WHAT WE ARE ACCOMPLISHING HERE. WE ARE TAKING THIS MONEY OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND ENDING BALANCE AND PUTTING IT INTO THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAPITAL BUDGET TO ALLOW THEM TO DO THE WORK ON THE -- ON THEIR CAMPUS OUT THERE.

Alvarez: SURE. SO WHAT WILL HAPPEN THEN IS THAT VITAL RECORDS WORK THAT WAS BEING DONE AND OTHER SERVICES I GUESS THAT WERE BEING PROVIDED IN THAT EAST CESAR CHAVEZ BUILDING, WHICH IS LOCATED BASICALLY AT WALLER AND EAST CESAR CHAVEZ RIGHT BEHIND TERAZOS LIBRARY, THAT WOULD BECOME I GUESS THE MAIN OFFICES FOR THE HOSPITAL DISTRICT? AND THAT WOULD -- AND THAT'S SO THEN THEY WOULD OWN THAT PROPERTY.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Alvarez: OR DO OWN THAT PROPERTY.

THAT'S CORRECT.

Alvarez: THAT TRANSACTION OCCURRED ALREADY. WHAT WE WOULD BE DOING IS INVESTING THESE FUNDS INTO OUR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAMPUS THAT'S LOCATED AT AIRPORT AND -- AIRPORT BOULEVARD AND 183. BECAUSE THERE ARE SEVERAL STRUCTURES THERE THAT ARE -- THAT NEED TO BE I GUESS RETROFITTED BEFORE THEY CAN BE UTILIZED. AND SO, HOW MUCH SPACE ARE WE CURRENTLY UTILIZING AND HOW MUCH ARE WE LOOKING TO RETROFIT AND UTILIZE AT THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAMPUS TO ACCOMMODATE THESE SERVICES.

LET ME ASK DAVID LOWRY, THE DIRECTOR OF THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO ADDRESS THAT SPECIFIC QUESTION.

A DOMINO EFFECT, A COUPLE OF BUILDINGS WILL BE AFFECTED BY THIS. VITAL RECORDS WE ARE MOVING INTO A BUILDING KIND OF ON THE FRONT END OF THE CAMPUS BECAUSE IT'S MORE ACCESSIBLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC OF THE BIRTH AND DEATH RECORDS. THEN RENOVATING

ANOTHER BUILDING THAT WE WERE NOT PREVIOUSLY USING, THAT BUILDING IS ABOUT 10,000 SQUARE FEET. CURRENTLY WE OCCUPY ABOUT 4,000 SQUARE FEET IN THE CESAR CHAVEZ BUILDING FOR VITAL RECORDS, FOR RECEIVING A DISTRIBUTION ACTIVITIES FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND SOME OTHER STAFF FROM THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. SO THIS WILL ENABLE US ACTUALLY TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM THAT WE'VE HAD WITH VITAL RECORDS WHERE WE HAVE NEEDED MORE SPACE, WE WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE MORE SPACE FOR THOSE ACTIVITIES, WE WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE SOME SPACE FOR SOME FEW GRANT FUNDED POSITION THAT'S WE HAD SOME PROBLEMS WITH IN TERMS OF SPACE AND IT WILL ENABLE US TO ACCOMMODATE SOME FUTURE GROWTH AS WELL. SO -- SO AGAIN THIS WILL -- THE NET EFFECT IS THAT WE WILL BE ADDING ONE MORE BUILDING ON THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAMPUS AND IT MADE SENSE WE DID THAT, LOOKING AT THE MAJOR INVESTMENTS SUCH AS HVAC AND WINDOWS AND UTILITIES AND SO FORTH TO GO AHEAD AND -- AND GET THAT ENTIRE BUILDING IN PLACE AND OPERATIONAL FOR US.

Alvarez: WHICH BUILDING IS THE ONE THAT WE WILL BE RETROFITING.

WELL, IT'S REFERRED TO AS BUILDING 614. IT'S -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU -- IF YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE DAM CAMPUS, RIGHT NEW THE GYMNASIUM, ON THE WESTERN SIDE, WESTERN PORTION OF THE CAMPUS.

Alvarez: ON THE SAME SIDE OF THE CURRENT OFFICES? YOU KNOW WHERE THE GYM NAIZ IZ YUM? GYMNASIUM.

A LITTLE FURTHER DOWN THE LINE. WHERE WE PREVIOUSLY HAD ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF BECAUSE IT'S MUCH MORE ACCESSIBLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE THOSE ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AND MOVE THEM INTO THIS NEWLY RENOVATED BUILDING WHICH IS FURTHER BACK ON THE CAMPUS.

BUT ON THE SAME SIDE OF THAT SO THE BIG LONG --

GENERALLY THE SAME SIDE, YES.

Alvarez: THAT MAKES SENSE. RETROFIT THE WHOLE BUILDING AND NOT -- YOU KNOW, PART OF IT. THAT'S REALLY OBVIOUSLY A GREAT SERVICE THAT WE HAVE, THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CAMPUS, THERE'S A LOT OF POTENTIAL STILL FOR OTHER ACTIVITIES TO OCCUR, FUNDING CAN BE IDENTIFIED. I THINK AS MORE SERVICES ARE PROVIDED THERE, I THINK YOU THE WILL BECOME MORE AND MORE A PLACE WHERE THE COMMUNITY WILL -- WILL COME AND KNOW THAT THEY CAN RECEIVE ALL SORTS OF ASSISTANCE AND SO THIS IS JUST THE NEXT STEP IN THAT PROCESS.

ADDING VITAL RECORDS THERE IS MORE OF A CUSTOMER SERVICE SORT OF OPERATION VERSUS AN ADMINISTRATIVE. SO WE THINK THAT'S GOOD. WE ARE CONSOLIDATING, HAVE BEEN CONSOLIDATING ALL OF OUR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES. OVER TIME AS WE CONTINUE TO INVEST IN THAT PROPERTY, THAT WILL BE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR US TO CO-LOCATE WITH SOME OTHER COMMUNITY SERVICES ON SITE.

SURE.

Alvarez: THANK YOU, MR. LURIE, I DID HAVE ONE MORE FINANCIAL QUESTION. I THINK MR. STEPHENS ... IN TERMS OF THE USE OF THE ENDING BALANCE, GENERAL FUND ENDING BALANCE AND JUST REALLY WHERE -- HOW MUCH OF THAT, OF THE MONEY THAT'S BEING UTILIZED TO DO THE RETROFIT CAME FROM THE ADDITIONAL DISPRO THAT I BELIEVE WAS DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND ENDING BALANCE AND THEN -- THE OTHER ISSUE IS JUST IN TERMS OF HOW THIS WOULD REPRESENT TO WHEN WE RECEIVED OUR BUDGET PRESENTATION, IT SAYS THAT OUR FUND BALANCE IS 41.1 MILLION. DID THAT TAKE THIS INTO ACCOUNT. DID IT NOT. I THINK WE WERE USING THAT IN TERMS OF -- OVER MAYBE A THREE-YEAR PROCESS TO GET CAUGHT UP WITH SOME CAPITOL AND EQUIPMENT PURCHASES. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT, EQUIPMENT PURCHASES.

RIGHT.

CAN YOU JUST ADDRESS THOSE TWO QUESTIONS.

CERTAINLY. WE ARE TAKING 844,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND UNDESIGNATED ENDING BALANCE. IT WILL REDUCE THE BALANCE BY WHAT WE PRESENTED TO YOU IN THE DRAFT POLICY BUDGET. HOWEVER, THAT WILL NOT BE THE ONLY CHANGE TO THAT ENDING BALANCE. I -- I THINK THAT BY THE TIME WE ACTUALLY GET TO YEAR END, THE ENDING BALANCE WILL BE HIGHER THAN WHAT WE SHOWED IN THE DRAFT POLICY BUDGET. SO I DON'T EXPECT THIS ACTION TO HAVE ANY EFFECT ON THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR NEXT YEAR. AND THEN BEYOND THAT, THE OTHER SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR THIS ITEM IS THE 159,000 THAT WE ARE TAKING FROM THE C.I.P. CONTINGENCY FUND. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME IN THREE YEARS THAT WE HAVE TAKEN ANY MONEY OUT OF THAT CONTINGENCY FUND AND SO THAT'S -- THAT 159,000 IS THE BALANCE FOR THE TOTAL AMOUNT FOR THIS.

SO THE 1.03 MILLION OR WHATEVER, THE AMOUNT WAS, THE 1 POINT -- I MEAN 159,000 FROM C.I.P. CONTINUES?

YES, SIR.

Alvarez: THE REST IS FROM THE ENDING?

YES, SIR. 844,000 FROM THE ENDING BALANCE.

Alvarez: OH, WHICH IS BASICALLY THE DISPRO AMOUNT.

YES, THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL SOURCE OF THE MONEY.

YES.

SO IT'S STILL FAIR AS WE PROCEED WITH OUR BUDGET DISCUSSIONS TO ASSUME THAT THAT NUMBER STAYS THE SAME, 41 MILLION?

THIS MONEY WAS CERTAINLY NEVER PLUGGED IN TO BE AN ONGOING SOURCE OF REVENUE. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN SWEEPED INTO THE ONE-TIME FUND THAT WE PROPOSED FOR NEXT YEAR. AND AGAIN ALTHOUGH IT -- ALTHOUGH THIS PARTICULAR ACTION WOULD REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ONE-

TIME MONEY AVAILABLE FOR NEXT YEAR, I THINK THERE WERE OTHER THINGS THAT ARE GOING ON, CURRENTLY GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS OF GETTING UPDATED CURRENT YEAR ESTIMATES FOR OUR GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENT'S EXPENDITURES. AND I THINK THOSE ACTIONS WILL MORE THAN OFFSET THE ACTION THAT COUNCIL IS TAKING TODAY.

OKAY. THANK YOU, MR. STEPHENS. THOSE ARE ALL OF MY QUESTIONS, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

Alvarez: MOVE TO APPROVE ITEM 19 AND ITEM 77, WHICH IS THE -- I THINK THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT RELATED TO THE WORK THAT'S TO BE DONE.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO APPROVE JOINT MOTION ITEM 19 AND 77. SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM. FURTHER C/MENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. SO THAT TAKES US TO ITEM NO. 80, COUNCILMEMBER.

THAT TAKES US TO SONDR CRAYTON.

I'M SONDR CRAYTON, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, CAN I ANSWER QUESTIONS FOR YOU, COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ?

Alvarez: I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHICH ONE THAT WAS. THIS HAS TO DO WITH THE PFLUGER BRIDGE EXTENSION. MY QUESTION WAS MORE ABOUT THE ALIGNMENT ISSUE. AT THE POLICY LEVEL WE HAVE DEALT WITH OVER THE YEARS AND DOES IT GO WEST OR EAST OR DOWN THE MIDDLE. AND SO JUST REALLY GETTING A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THIS ENGINEERING CONTRACT WOULD DO IN TERMS OF HELPING US TO DETERMINE, YOU KNOW, THE BEST ALTERNATIVE OR DOES THIS ASSUME ALREADY AN ALIGNMENT, YOU KNOW, IF WE

WERE TO APPROVE THIS ITEM TODAY?

AS OF NOW, THE -- THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE PROJECT TEAM HAVE BEEN WORKING TOWARDS IDENTIFYING AN LEGITIMATE THAT THEY RECOMMEND -- AN ALIGNMENT THAT THEY RECOMMEND. SO FAR THROUGH THEIR ANALYSIS THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO NARROW THE ALIGNMENTS DOWN TO TWO. THIS PARTICULAR REQUEST FOR AN AMENDMENT FOR THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT REALLY ISN'T RELATED TO A PARTICULAR ALIGNMENT. WHAT IT IS REQUESTING IS FUNDING TO ALLOW THE CONSULTANT TO PURSUE EXPLORING TRENCHLESS TECHNOLOGY AS ONE OF THE CONSTRUCTION MEANS FOR CARRYING THE ALIGNMENT, WHICHEVER ALIGNMENT IT MAY BE, UNDER THE RAILROAD. SO THIS -- THIS WOULD BE NEEDED REGARDLESS OF WHAT ALIGNMENT IS EVENTUALLY SELECTED. [ONE MOMENT PLEASE FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

THERE'S CURRENTLY A NORTHWEST ALIGNMENT, WHICH WAS THE ORIGINAL ALIGNMENT THAT WAS SUGGESTED. AND THEN OF COURSE WITH ALL THE DEVELOPMENT GOING ON INTO THE AREA WITH THE SEAHOLM MASTER PLAN AND EVERYTHING, THERE'S NOW BEEN LOOKED AT A CENTER ALIGNMENT, A MORE CENTRAL ALIGNMENT JUST EAST OF THE NORTHWEST ALIGNMENT. AND WHICHEVER ALIGNMENT WE USE AGAIN WE'LL NEED TO LOOK AT THIS TRENCHLESS TECHNOLOGY IN ORDER TO BRING IT UNDER THE RAILROAD.

EVEN THE NORTHWESTERN ALIGNMENT WOULD HAVE TO GO UNDER THE RAILROAD?

YES.

SO THEY WOULD BE EXPLORING BOTH OF THOSE POSSIBILITIES. THEY WOULD BE ACCESSING --

PRIMARILY THERE WOULD BE SOME GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS AND SINCE THEY ARE SO CLOSE TOGETHER IT WOULD BENEFIT EITHER ALIGNMENT. >>

Alvarez: OKAY. THAT'S ALL FOR THAT ITEM, MAYOR. THIS WAS

ITEM NUMBER 80. I'LL MOVE APPROVAL ON ITEM 80.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO APPROVE ITEM 80 AS POSTED THAT I'LL SECOND. FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY. HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. MOVING RIGHT ALONG, SO ITEMS 85 THROUGH 87 WILL BE TAKEN UP IN EXECUTIVE SESSION LATER. THAT TAKES US TO ITEM NUMBER 94, WHICH WAS PULLED BY BOTH MYSELF AND COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ REGARDING A 12-MONTH SERVICE AGREEMENT ON A PARKING OPERATION. COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I DON'T KNOW IF STAFF WANTS TO LAY OUT THE ITEM IN TERMS OF DESCRIBING WHAT IT IS AND WHAT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION IS AND WALKING US THROUGH WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS AND HOW WE GOT HERE.

GOOD MORNING, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. MY NAME IS BECKY SLEW BETTER, CHIEF DEPUTY FINANCIAL OFFICER. SEVERAL MONTHS AGO WE RELEASED A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PARKING UNDER THE I-35 UPPER DECK BETWEEN -- AROUND 7TH AND 8TH STREETS. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH THE IH-35 COALITION ON ISSUES THEY HAVE WITH BEAUTIFICATION IN THESE LOTS, AND WE CURRENTLY ARE OPERATING THEM THROUGH A CONTRACT. AND ISSUING THIS R.F.P., WE LOOKED AT NOT ONLY COSTS, BUT ALSO HOW THE LOT WOULD BE HANDLED AND THE EXPERIENCE OF THE PROPOSERS. THERE WAS A BID, THE SECOND HIGHEST PROPOSER, WHO HAD A LOWER BID THAN THE COMPANY WE'RE RECOMMENDING; HOWEVER, I BELIEVE THE STAFF WHO DID THE EVALUATIONS BELIEVED THAT THE TRAINING THAT CENTRAL PROVIDES TO THEIR STAFF WAS SUPERIOR AND IMPORTANT BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION BEING LATE AT NIGHT, AND THAT THEIR CASH HANDLING PROCEDURES WERE VERY THOROUGH. THEY ALSO OFFERED SOME FREE SIGNAGE ON THE LOTS. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO PROPOSALS IS

APPROXIMATELY \$4,500 PER YEAR. AND WITH THAT I'LL JUST OPEN IT UP FOR QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS? I'LL START, MS. SCHUBERT. THIS IS SOMEWHAT NEW TO ME. I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THERE WAS MORE OF A FINANCIAL -- MORE ESTIMATED REVENUE FROM ONE OF THE RESPONDERS THAN THE RECOMMENDED.

THAT IS CORRECT, SIR. THERE IS APPROXIMATELY \$4,500' DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RECOMMENDED PROPOSER AND THE SECOND HIGHEST PROPOSER.

Mayor Wynn: AND REMIND ME, THAT EXPECTED REVENUE IS APPROXIMATELY...

WELL, THE EXPECTED REVENUE IS ABOUT -- ACTUALLY, SO WHEN WE WERE EVALUATING THIS, WE WERE -- WE MADE SIMILAR ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT REVENUE. THE COST IS WHAT WAS DIFFERENT. AND SO IT'S NOT THAT ONE WAS PROPOSING A HIGHER REVENUE, BUT A HIGHER COST. I BELIEVE WE ANSWERED THAT LAST TIME, AND I DON'T HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME. THE DIFFERENCE IS -- THE ASSUMPTIONS ON REVENUE ARE EXACTLY THE SAME, IT'S JUST THAT THE COSTS WERE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT.

Mayor Wynn: AND IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS THAT WENT OUT, IN GLANCING AT IT, THERE APPEAR TO BE PERHAPS EVEN DOZENS OF THINGS THAT WE WERE ASKING OR SUGGESTING THAT POTENTIAL RESPONDERS HELP US WITH. BUT OBVIOUSLY JUST A COUPLE OF THREE, FOUR, FIVE OF THEM REPRESENTED A SIGNIFICANT WEIGHT OF THE EVALUATION. WAS THAT CLEAR, WHEN YOU LOOKED AT THE R.F.P. -- LIKE WHEN I LOOKED AT IT, IT WASN'T OBVIOUS TO ME FROM THE LIST OF ALL THE THINGS WE WERE ASKING THEM TO DO WHICH ONE IN TURN WE INTERNALLY AS AN ANALYSIS WERE GOING TO PUT MOST OF OUR WEIGHT ON. DID I JUST READ THAT WRONG OR WAS THERE A LITTLE MORE IDENTIFICATION WITHIN THE R.F.P.?

I WILL HAVE THE PURCHASING OFFICER RESPOND TO THAT.

I'M THE PURCHASING OFFICER. COULD YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION AGAIN, MAYOR?

Mayor Wynn: WHEN I GLANCED AT THE R.F.P., I SAW IT JUST LIST A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT WE WANT TO ACCOMPLISH WITH THIS, AND THEREFORE I'M PRESUMEBLY ASKING THESE POTENTIAL RESPONDERS TO TELL US HOW WE WOULD APPROACH CERTAIN GOALS THAT WE HAD FOR THE PROPERTY FOR THE LONG TIME IMPROVEMENT OF THAT AREA. THE QUESTION IS WERE WE -- MY INSTINCT IS WHEN WE DID EVALUATE THE RESPONDERS, INEVITABLY VERY FEW OF THOSE LINE ITEMS CARRIED THE BULK OF OUR WEIGHTED EVALUATION. AND SO I WAS HOPING THAT IT JUST SEEMS TO ME THAT THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN CLEAR AND WAS THAT CLEAR IN THE INITIAL R.F.P. AS TO WHICH OF THE TWO OR THREE REALLY MAKE UP THE BULK OF THE EVALUATION?

THERE WERE SEVERAL REQUIREMENTS THAT THEY WERE ALL SUPPOSED TO MEET, AND THOSE WERE SET UP, AND I CAN GO THROUGH THE LIST. BUT THERE WERE POINTS ASSIGNED TO THREE DIFFERENT CRITERIA THAT WERE USED FOR THE EVALUATION. AND AMONG THE THREE DIFFERENT CRITERIA, THEY ALL TOTALED UP TO 100 POINTS. AND YOU LOOK AT THE SPREAD OF ALL THE DIFFERENT ITEMS THAT EACH PROPOSER WAS SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON, THE TOTALITY OF THOSE WERE THEN BROKEN UP INTO EITHER PROJECT CONCEPT AND SOLUTIONS, AND THAT COMPRISED 25 POINTS. THE OTHER WAS DEMONSTRATED APPLICABLE EXPERIENCE. SOME OF THE INFORMATION THEY PROVIDED WAS 25 POINTS. AND THE OTHER WAS COST, AND THAT WAS 50 POINTS. SO IT WASN'T JUST THAT ONE OR TWO OF THEIR ITEMS APPLIED TO ONE OR TWO OF THOSE POINTS. THEY WERE AWARDED, IF THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION.

Mayor Wynn: THAT HELPS. COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY.

Dunkerley: WERE YOU ASKING IF THOSE POINTS WERE PRESENTED IN THE R.F.P. OR IN THE PREBID?

Mayor Wynn: YES, I WAS ASKING WHETHER WHEN WE INITIALLY SENT OUT THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL THE

POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS SORT OF SOLVED THE THEORY OF WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO JUDGED MORE HEAVILY THAN OTHER AREAS.

THEY DID SEE THAT FOR PROJECT CONCEPT AND SOLUTION THEY WOULD BE GIVEN A MAXIMUM OF 25 POINTS. AND THAT FOR THE DEMONSTRATED APPLICABLE EXPERIENCE THEY COULD GET 25 POINTS. AND THAT FOR COST, THEY COULD GET 50 POINTS.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I AM LOOKING AT THE R.F.P. HERE, AND IT DOES SAY WHAT THE EVALUATION FACTORS ARE. AND I DID HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW THE TWO PROPOSALS, THAT IS, THE TWO THAT HAVE THE HIGHER CUMULATIVE SCORES. BUT I KNOW -- IN THE R.F.P. IT ALSO TALKS ABOUT OPTIONAL INTERVIEWS, BUT I ASSUME IN THIS CASE IT WASN'T DONE BECAUSE THE SCORES WEREN'T ALL THAT CLOSE IN TERMS OF THEIR CUMULATIVE AMOUNT. BUT WAS THERE ANY KIND OF -- IF THERE WAS CERTAIN QUESTIONS OR ISSUES THAT WEREN'T ADDRESSED IN THE BY THE APPLICANT, WAS THERE ANY KIND OF QUESTION AND ANSWER TYPE THING? I KNOW SOMETIMES IN OTHER -- YOU ASKED FOR MORE INFORMATION IF THERE'S QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT --

THAT THEY COULD HAVE PROVIDED VIA AN INTERVIEW OR JUST IN GENERAL? NOT REALLY. THEY ADDRESSED ALL THE ISSUES IN THEIR PROPOSAL, BUT IN SOME CASES THE PROPOSAL WAS JUST NOT AS DETAILED IN THE CASE OF -- THE HVA PROPOSAL WAS NOT AS LENGTHY AS CENTRAL PARKING PROPOSAL'S WAS, BUT THEY ADDRESSED ALL THE ISSUES THAT WERE REQUIRED.

Alvarez: RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I WAS TRYING TO GET AT IN TERMS OF THE WAY THEY MIGHT HAVE RESPONDED, IN MORE OF A GENERAL FASHION AND NOT AS SPECIFIC OF A FASHION, BUT IS IT PART OF THE PROCESS TO KIND OF ASK THEM, WELL, TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION -- THE MORE SPECIFIC AND MORE DETAILED OR IS THAT NOT SOMETHING

THAT COMMONLY HAPPENS?

WE ASK THEM FOR DETAILED INFORMATION IN THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL. WE ASK FOR A DETAILED PLAN SHOWING WHAT KIND OF TRAINING THEY'VE PROVIDED AND WHAT THEIR PLAN WOULD BE FOR THE PARKING, ETCETERA, AND SO THAT IT WAS UP TO EACH PROPOSER TO SET THAT OUT IN THE PROPOSAL. AND THAT'S HOW THEY GOT THEIR POINTS.

Alvarez: SURE. I THINK -- AND AGAIN, I ONLY REVIEWED JUST TWO OUT OF, HOW MANY ARE THERE, FIVE? AND THESE WERE -- FROM A COST POINT OF VIEW, THESE WERE THE TWO THAT WERE BEST IN TERMS OF A FINANCIAL POINT OF VIEW, IN TERMS OF HOW THE CITY WOULD BENEFIT, AND THEY ARE THE TWO HIGHEST SCORES IN GENERAL. AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER RESPONDERS MIGHT HAVE EXPLAINED OR DETAILED IN TERMS OF EXPERIENCE OR PROJECT CONCEPT AND SOLUTIONS, BUT THE ONLY THING THAT STRUCK ME IS THERE WAS SO MUCH OF A GAP BETWEEN THE RECOMMENDED FIRM ON THOSE TWO ITEMS, THE NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS, AND EVERYBODY ELSE. AND SO FOR ME THAT WOULD -- THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO LOOK AT AND WHY WAS THAT -- WHY WERE THERE SUCH A BIG GAP? BECAUSE IT SEEMS THAT IF THEY'RE PARKING OPERATORS THAT THEY WOULD BE QUALIFIED OR HAVE EXPERIENCE OPERATING PARKING LOTS, AND I'M NOT SURE IN TERMS OF THE PROJECT CONCEPT AND SOLUTIONS, I THINK THAT'S A LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC TO THIS ITEM, BUT IT JUST SEEMS LIKE THERE SHOULDN'T BE THAT BIG OF A DISPARITY AND THAT WE MIGHT SHOULD HAVE REQUESTED MORE INFORMATION IF IT SEEMED LIKE ONLY ONE OUT OF THE RESPONDERS GAVE THE LEVEL OF DETAIL THAT WE WANTED. BUT THAT'S REALLY THE QUESTION OR THE ISSUE THAT -- THE REASON I PULLED THE ITEM LAST TIME, I WANTED TO FURTHER DISCUSS IT. AND WE DID MEET AND DISCUSS IT AND I COMPARED THE PROPOSALS. AND SO I DID NOTE THAT THE LEVEL OF DETAIL WAS MUCH GREATER FOR THE RECOMMENDED FIRM, I JUST -- THE QUESTION REMAINS IN MY HEAD IN TERMS OF, YOU KNOW, IF WE COULD HAVE RECEIVED OR OBTAINED MORE INFORMATION TO MAKE SURE THAT THE COMPARISON WAS AS ACCURATE AS

POSSIBLE.

WELL, WHAT WE DID WAS WE MADE A COMPARISON CHART AND WENT THROUGH AND DID AN ANALYSIS SIDE BY SIDE OF ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TRAINING AND THE PROCESS THAT WAS GOING TO BE USED, EVERYTHING THAT WAS REQUESTED IN THE R.F.P. AND THEN WE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE DETERMINATION THAT WAS MADE BY THE COMMITTEE WAS BASED ON A COMPARISON OF WHAT WAS PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSERS. AND IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT WHAT WAS PROVIDED BY RPA WAS VERY SKETCHY COMPARED TO WHAT WAS PROVIDED BY CENTRAL PARKING, AND THAT'S WHAT WE IDENTIFIED IN THIS COMPARISON, WHICH WE TALKED TO COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ ABOUT. AND WE WOULDN'T REALLY PROVIDE THE REQUESTED INFORMATION BECAUSE IT WASN'T THERE. AND THE REASON WE DIDN'T CONDUCT AN INTERVIEW IS WE RESERVE THE INTERVIEW FOR THE TIMES SPREAD BETWEEN THE PROPOSERS IS ABOUT FIVE POINTS AND YOU WANT SOMETHING TO GIVE YOU ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SO THAT YOU HAVE BASIS FOR RECOMMENDING ONE OVER THE OTHER. IN THIS CASE THE DIFFERENCE IN POINTS BETWEEN THOSE TWO WAS SO WIDE THAT THERE REALLY WAS NO REASON FOR INTERVIEW BECAUSE IT WOULDN'T HAVE CLOSED THE GAP VERY MUCH.

Alvarez: SURE. AND ONE LAST QUESTION. I DON'T KNOW WHO WOULD BE THE BEST TO ANSWER IT, BUT JUST IN TERMS OF -- OBVIOUSLY IT WAS CLEAR THAT HPA HAD THE LOWER COST OR PROPOSED LOWER COST IN TERMS OF OPERATIONS, BUT THE SCORES ARE PRETTY CLOSE. OBVIOUSLY THERE'S NOT A BIG DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF REVENUE COMING TO THE CITY IN TERMS OF THE NUMBERS WE GAVE, BUT CAN YOU TALK ABOUT WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS BETWEEN THE TWO ON A YEARLY BASIS?

THE COST OF WHAT'S BEING RECOMMENDED? >>

ALVAREZ: YES. AND THE ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT WOULD GO TO THE PROJECT.

IT WAS ABOUT 4500. ON A WEEKLY BASIS, CENTRAL PARKING, THE COST BY CENTRAL PARKING WAS \$1,390, AND

THE HOST HPA PROPOSED WAS \$1,297.20.

Alvarez: SO THAT ADDS UP TO LESS THAN FIVE THOUSAND FOR THE WHOLE YEAR. SO IF IT'S A THREE TO FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT, THAT ADDS UP TO 15 TO 25,000 OVER FIVE YEARS.

AND AGAIN, THE MAIN FOCUS OF THIS WAS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN RUN A GOOD OPERATION FOR THE SAFETY OF THE AREA BECAUSE IT'S A HIGH CRIME AREA. BUT ALSO WE JUST DIDN'T HAVE THAT MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE IN THE REVENUE GENERATION AS IT TURNED OUT. SO THE REAL PURPOSE WAS JUST TO RUN A GOOD, SAFE PARKING OPERATION BECAUSE OF THE HIGH CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. BUT THEY WERE GENERATING SOME INCOME, BUT IT'S NOT THAT MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE.

AND RIGHT NOW THE RECOMMENDED FIRM IS THE FIRM THAT'S OUT THERE.

CENTRAL PARKING, THAT'S CORRECT.

Alvarez: THAT'S MANAGING THAT PARKING FACILITY. AND ARE THEIR CURRENT STAFFING LEVELS THE SAME AS WHAT'S PROPOSED IN THIS CONTRACT OR THAT THIS PARTICULAR CONTRACTUALLY STIPULATES A -- DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN?

IT'S THE SAME STAFFING LEVEL AS CURRENTLY REQUIRED.

Alvarez: THAT'S ALL MY QUESTIONS. THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER KIM. KIM BARNES

KIM: I JUST WANTED YOU TO TALK MORE ABOUT WHAT YOU MEANT ABOUT THE INFORMATION WAS SKETCHY.

WHEN WE ASK, FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT THEIR CASH HANDLING PROCEDURES ARE, CENTRAL PARKING WENT THROUGH AND PROVIDED US WITH THREE OR FOUR PAGES OF DETAIL OF HOW THEY PROVIDE FOR ALL THE CASH TO BE HANDLED, THE PROCESS THEY GO THROUGH IN MAKING SURE THAT PEOPLE PICK UP THE MONEY AND WHERE THEY PUT IT AND

HOW THE TRANSACTION GOES FROM THE TICKET TAKERS AND WHO COMES AND PICKS IT UP AND WHERE THEY TAKE IT, ETCETERA. WITH HPA JUST PROVIDED ONE PARAGRAPH, IT WILL BE PICKED UP AND PUT AWAY. I'M NOT SAYING THAT ONE WAS DISHONEST AND THE OTHER WAS NOT, THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M SAYING, BUT THERE WAS A LOT MORE DETAIL, SO YOU UNDERSTOOD SPECIFICALLY WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN. THERE WERE MORE QUESTIONS IN OUR MINDS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS BETWEEN THE TIME THAT IT GOES FROM HERE TO THERE.

KIM: MY UNDERSTANDING JUST FROM MY EXPERIENCE IS THAT SOMETIMES PEOPLE WHO ARE CONTRACTORS THAT DON'T HAVE THE EXPERIENCE IN BIDDING AS MUCH AS SOMEONE AT CENTRAL PARKING SERVICES, THEY WOULD NOT HAVE THAT KNOWLEDGE OF HOW TO GO INTO MUCH DETAIL OR THEY MAY BE CONCERNED THAT IF THEY PUT TOO MUCH DETAIL MAYBE IT MARKS AGAINST THEM. WHAT DO YOU DO TO ASSURE THAT THESE KIDDERS KNOW WHAT LEVEL DETAIL IS REQUIRED OF THEM AND DO THEY EVEN THAT IF THEY DON'T PROVIDE ENOUGH DETAIL THAT THAT COULD BE FEWER POINT FOR THEM VERSUS A COMPETITOR?

WELL, THIS IS THE ONLY CHANCE THEY GET. WE LET THEM KNOW THAT EVERYTHING THAT THEY PUT IN THE PROPOSAL, THAT'S WHAT WE WILL RELY ON TO MAKE A DETERMINATION. WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE TALKING TO THEM FURTHER ABOUT WHAT THEIR PLAN IS. SO THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE IT. AND WHAT THEY PROVIDE IS PROPRIETARY INFORMATION, THEY JUST MARK IT AS SUCH. SO THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO GIVE US AS MUCH DETAIL AND THAT'S WHAT WE ASKED FOR CLEARLY IN THE R.F.P. BECAUSE WE WANT TO KNOW THAT. WE ARE LOOKING FOR EXPERIENCE AND WE'RE LOOKING FOR A FIRM THAT IS QUALIFIED TO RUN THIS OPERATION.

KIM: I WAS JUST WONDERING IF THERE WAS A DIRECT CORRELATION BETWEEN THE LEVEL OF DETAIL IN THE PROPOSAL VERSUS THE EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE FIRM, THOUGH I DON'T NECESSARILY SEE THAT THOSE TWO GO HAND IN HAND. ARE YOU WORKING TO WRITE ASSISTANCE TO BIDDERS TO LET THEM KNOW HOW TO PREPARE A VERY COMPETITIVE BID, WHEN THEY HAVE

TO GO UP AGAINST A COMPANY THAT HAS DONE PROPOSALS TIME AND TIME AGAIN BASICALLY CAN PROBABLY CUT AND PASTE, I'M NOT SAYING THAT THEY DO, BUT THEY DO HAVE THAT EXPERIENCE AND SO THEY DON'T HAVE TO PUT AS MUCH EFFORT VERSUS ONE THAT IS APPROACHING IT MAYBE FOR THE FIRST TIME OR JUST NOT AS FREQUENTLY?

WE WORK CLOSELY WITH THEM AND I WOULD HAVE TO DEFER TO THEM TO TALK ABOUT THE PROCESS THAT THEY HAVE, BUT I KNOW THAT THAT IS PART OF THEIR FUNCTION, THEY OPEN THEIR DOORS TO WORK CLOSELY WITH ALL THE FIRMS.

AND JEFF IS HERE AND HE COULD SPEP A LITTLE BIT TO THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE THAT IS PROVIDED. TO OUR FALL AND FIRST TIME BIDDERS. AND I THINK YOU -- YOU RAISED A GOOD POINT THAT OBVIOUSLY PEOPLE HAVE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF EXPERIENCE AND THAT DOES AFFECT I THINK THE QUALITY SOMETIMES OF THE DETAIL THAT'S PROVIDED IN AN R.F.P. JEFF?

I'M THE DIRECTOR OF DSMBR AND WE DO WORK WITH PURCHASING ON A CONTINUING BASIS TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL OF THE TERMS ARE CLEAR AND THAT ALL OF THE DETAILS OF THE PROPOSALS ARE CLEAR AND CONSISTENT AND WE TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT IN OUR TRAINING PROGRAMS PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR, EXACTLY WHAT OUR EXPECTATIONS ARE IN TERMS OF INFORMATION THAT WE'LL BE GRADING AS WELL. SO WE CAN CONTINUE TO ADD MORE EMPHASIS TO THAT, BUT WE DO ON A CONTINUING BASIS EXPLAIN OUR EXPECTATIONS IN TERMS OF INFORMATION.

KIM: WHEN DO YOU TAKE THAT OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLAIN IT TO THE BIDDER? IS IT BEFORE THE DEADLINE OR DO YOU WAIT FOR A CALL FROM PURCHASING OR WAIT FOR A CALL FROM THE BIDDER?

NO. BASICALLY WHAT WE DO IS WE HAVE A STANDARD PREBID PROCESS PARTICULARLY FOR LARGE CONTRACTS WHERE WE GO THROUGH PRETTY MUCH LINE BY LINE WHAT OUR EXPECTATIONS ARE. WE EXPLAIN TO THEM -- WE

PRETTY MUCH GO LINE BY LINE THROUGH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND EXPLAIN TO THEM THIS IS WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR. AND THEN WE ALSO --

KIM: ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT IN THE PREBID CONFERENCE?

YES, IN THE PREBID CONFERENCE. AND THEN WE MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE AWARE OF OUR STAFF MEMBERS THAT DEAL WITH THAT PARTICULAR CONTRACT BECAUSE WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT WORK IN CONSTRUCTION, SOME THAT WORK WITH WATER UTILITIES SPECIFICALLY, SOME THAT WORK WITH AVIATION SPECIFICALLY, SO WE GIVE THEM A POINT OF CONTACT FOR EVERY CONTRACT. AND WE TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT IS NEEDED. AND WE ARE AVAILABLE ON A CONTINUING BASIS TO DEAL WITH THEM.

KIM: OKAY. I DON'T WANT TO DWELL TOO MUCH ON THIS, BUT I JUST WANTED TO KNOW FOR THE FUTURE I'LL PROBABLY JUST BE CONTACTING THE CITY MANAGER FOR SOME MORE INFORMATION AS TO WHAT WE'RE DOING TO HELP THESE BIDDERS, THESE SMALL COMPANIES, FIRST TIME OR MAYBE COMPANIES THAT JUST HAVE NOT BEEN WITH THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WHAT WE'RE DOING TO LET THEM KNOW WHAT LEVEL OF DETAIL IS REQUIRED OF THEM FOR THEM TO BE COMPETITIVE? BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT'S REALLY PART OF THE SCORE IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO WIN THE AWARD.

OKAY. AND THERE MIGHT BE THINGS WE CAN DO EVEN ON DEBRIEFING WITH COMPANIES WHEN YOU DON'T WIN ON THINGS YOU CAN DO TO IMPROVE EVEN USING CASE STUDIES. SO I THINK, JEFF MAYBE CAN FOLLOW UP BOTH WITH ROSIE AND WITH YOUR OFFICE TO SHOW WHAT WE DO ON BOTH ENDS, OUR SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE AND ON THE M./W.B.E. SIDE.

WE'RE CERTAINLY AVAILABLE TO DO SO.

THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

Thomas: MAYOR, IF YOU DON'T MIND.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM.

Thomas: I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS FOR JEFF OR MS. GRANGER, BUT THIS PARTICULAR COMPANY THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING THAT COUNCILMEMBER KIM WAS TALKING ABOUT, IN THE PROCESS, PREBID PROCESS, DID THEY HAVE ANY PROBLEMS? DID THEY COME TO YOU? BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND WHAT SHE WAS -- THE QUESTIONS SHE WAS ASKING BECAUSE IF THEY'RE NOT FAMILIAR, IT APPEARS THAT THEY MIGHT. AND ALSO THEY HAD M.B.E. PARTICIPATION AND THE OTHER ONE DIDN'T. DID THEY COME TO Y'ALL AND DID IT APPEAR THEY HAD ANY PROBLEMS UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE PROCESS WAS TOTALLY ABOUT.

IT DIDN'T COME TO OUR ATTENTION, NOT PURCHASING.

Thomas: WHAT ABOUT JEFF?

NO, SIR, THEY DIDN'T COME TO OUR OFFICE.

Thomas: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL. MICHELLE

LEFFINGWELL: YOU ASKED THEM TO DESCRIBE THE PROCEDURES FOR THE MONEY AND YOU FELT LIKE THERE WASN'T SUFFICIENT DETAIL FOR THE EXPLANATION ON WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO, AND THE OTHER COMPANY, CENTRAL PARKING, DIDN'T HAVE THAT DETAIL. DID YOU GO BACK TO THE OTHER COMPANY AND SAY, NO, WE NEED MORE DETAIL, EXACTLY WHAT YOU DO, STEP ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, OR WAS THAT PART OF YOUR PROCESS OR SHOULD IT BE?

NO. WE FELT WE HAD ENOUGH INFORMATION, BUT JUST NOT THE LEVEL OF SPECIFIC STEP BY STEP DETAIL.

LEFFINGWELL: THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING. DID YOU TELL THEM THIS IS NOT ENOUGH DETAIL, WE WANT TO KNOW MORE

SPECIFIC?

NO, SIR, WE DID NOT GO BACK.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? AND JUST TO CLARIFY AGAIN, MS. GRANGER, IF A RESPONSIBILITY IS RANKED, YOU OUGHT TO RANK SOMEBODY WHO IS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT WITH THE -- IN OTHER WORDS, IF WE GET A RANKING BACK OF SEVER RESPONDENT'S, YOU -- SEVERAL RESPONDENT'S, YOU ONLY SHOW US SOMEBODY THAT TECHNICALLY COMPLIES WITH THE REQUEST GIVEN.

CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT -- COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: I DID HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION BECAUSE WE TALKED ABOUT THE FINANCIAL DIFFERENCES IN TERMS OF THE FINANCIAL BENEFITS TO THE CITY, WHICH AMOUNTED TO LESS THAN FIVE THOUSAND A YEAR. NOW, IF THIS ITEM WERE TO BE REBID, HOW MUCH WOULD THAT COST THE CITY OF AUSTIN? DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT THE COUNCIL DECIDED, THEN WHAT KIND OF COST DOES THAT HAVE IN TERMS OF FNGMENT AND -- MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION?

I'D HAVE TO CHECK ON THE COST. I KNOW THIS IS THE THIRD TIME THAT WE'VE GONE OUT.

Alvarez: IT USUALLY DOESN'T AFFECT THE OUTCOME.

WE'VE HAD TO EXTEND OUR CURRENT CONTRACT A 63 BECAUSE THIS HAS BEEN PUT OFF. IF YOU CAN GIVE ME A MINUTE, I CAN GIVE YOU THE COST.

Alvarez: I THINK IT'S CERTAINLY RELEVANT IF THE DIFFERENTIAL ISN'T ALL THAT GREAT BETWEEN THE TWO PROPOSALS.

I HAVE THE COST TO BE APPROXIMATELY \$5,000.

Futrell: LET ME ASK THIS QUESTION. IN THE CURRENT

FORMAT, IF THE COUNCIL AS A POLICY DECISION BECAUSE OF THE INCREASED INCOME FROM THE SECOND HERE WANTS TO GO WITH A DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATION, BECAUSE THIS IS AN R.F.P., THEY ARE ABLE TO DO THAT. AND DAVID, I GUESS THIS IS ALSO A LEGAL QUESTION. LEGALLY THE COUNCIL ABLE TO GO TO SOMEONE BESIDES THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON AN R.F.P., IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT.

Futrell: AND A CHOICE LIKE ADDITIONAL REVENUE AS SOMETHING THE COUNCIL WANTS TO CONSIDER, THAT'S AN OPTION.

CORRECT, BECAUSE IT'S AN R.F.P.

Futrell: AND SINCE WE HAVE GONE OUT THREE TIMES ALREADY, I THINK WE'RE WILLING TO SAY AT THIS POINT THAT THE COUNCIL HAS A DIFFERENT POLICY CHOICE THAN THE RECOMMENDATION, THEN WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT PROPOSALS WERE SMALL.

WE ALSO HAD THE ABILITY TO NEGOTIATE FURTHER TO GET THAT DEAL, AND WE COULD ASK FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE R.F.P. SO YOU HAVE THOSE OPTIONS.

Futrell: ALL RIGHT.

Alvarez: TO DO WHAT, SORRY? TO ASK FOR CLARIFICATION?

YES, YOU COULD ASK FOR CLARIFICATION BECAUSE OF THE R.F.P. PROCESS. YOU HAVE A LITTLE MORE LEEWAY UNDER THE R.F.P. PROCESS THAN YOU WOULD A STRICT BID.

Futrell: SO YOU COULD GO BACK AND ASK FOR CLARIFICATION ON SOME CASH HANDLING. YOU COULD GO BACK AND ASK FOR CLARIFICATION ON DOLLARS. AND THEN COME BACK WITH A POSSIBLE DIFFERENT RANKING BASED ON THE CLARIFICATION OF ANSWERS?

WELL, I THINK WE COULD COME BACK WITH ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION.

Futrell: DIFFERENT INFORMATION AND LET COUNCIL TAKE THAT UP.

CORRECT.

Futrell: ALL RIGHT.

Alvarez: I THINK PERSONALLY THAT'S THE OPTION I WOULD PREFER. I DON'T KNOW THAT IN TERMS OF GETTING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON SPECIFICALLY THE CASH HANDLING PROCEDURES AND THE DEMONSTRATED APPLICABLE EXPERIENCE, TRY AND GET AS MUCH DETAIL FROM ALL THE RESPONDENT'S ON THOSE TWO ITEMS BECAUSE THOSE ARE PRETTY SIGNIFICANT IN TERMS OF THIS PARTICULAR CONTRACT.

KIM: I HAVE A QUESTION. WOULD YOU SEEK ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN WRITTEN FORM OR THROUGH AN INTERVIEW AS YOU HAVE THAT OPTION AS WELL?

THROUGH WRITTEN FORM.

KIM: IS THAT A HARD AND FAST RULE THAT IT HAS TO BE IN WRITTEN FORM?

THAT'S TYPICALLY WHAT WE DO. WE WANT TO HA MAKE SURE WE MAINTAIN THE SAME PROCESS WE'VE USED BEFORE, BUT CERTAINLY WE COULD FOLLOW UP WITH AN INTERVIEW. I'M NOT SAYING THAT IT COULD NOT BE DONE.

KIM: OKAY. I THINK THAT IF -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ THINKS, BUT I THINK AN OPPORTUNITY WITH AN ORAL INTERVIEW WOULD PROBABLY GIVE YOU MORE COMPLETE INFORMATION. THEY PROBABLY WILL NOT KNOW WHAT LEVEL OF DETAIL YOU WANT EVEN IF IT IS WRITTEN OUT.

WE COULD FOLLOW WITH AN INTERVIEW AFTER WE GET THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

KIM: I THINK THAT WOULD BE GOOD.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

LEFFINGWELL: SO I WANT TO BE SURE I'M UNDERSTANDING CORRECTLY. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW POSSIBLY GOING BACK AND ASKING FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR CLARIFICATION, AND THAT WOULD NOT INVOLVE ANOTHER R.F.P., IT WOULD NOT INVOLVE THAT EXPENSE.

CORRECT.

LEFFINGWELL: SO IF THAT IS THE COUNCIL'S DESIRE, THEN I ASSUME A POSTPONEMENT WOULD BE IN ORDER, IS THAT CORRECT? OKAY.

Mayor Wynn: SO I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

I'LL MOVE TO POSTPONE PENDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

Mayor Wynn: SO MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO POSTPONE. DO YOU HAVE SORT OF A SUGGESTED TIME FRAME?

I WOULD SAY -- WOULD 45 DAYS BE SUFFICIENT? JULY 28TH, IF THAT WOULD BE ALL RIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER, DOES THAT WORK WITH YOU? MAYOR PRO TEM? SO MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE TO POSTPONE ITEM 94 TO JULY 28TH, 2005 WITH ADDITIONAL DIRECTION OF STAFF FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS. FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: THE ONLY THING I WOULD NOTE IS IF WE DO HAVE AN OPERATOR IN PLACE, SO THAT'S BEEN OPERATING ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT THIS CONTRACT IS SEEKING TO OBTAIN FOR THE CITY, SO WE'LL HAVE MANAGEMENT OF THE FACILITY HAPPENING AND ADDITIONAL REVENUES STILL GOING INTO THE THAT SPECIAL FUND FOR IMPROVEMENTS INTO THIS AREA.

RIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: THERE BEING NO MORE COMMENTS, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED. MOTION TO POSTPONE PASS OZ A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Dunkerley: MAYOR, COULD I MAKE MAYBE A SUGGESTION FOR ALL OF US, BECAUSE FROM TIME TO TIME OUR PROCUREMENT RULES CHANGE BECAUSE STATE LAW MIGHT CHANGE OR SOMETHING, AND IT MIGHT BE A GOOD IDEA FOR THE STAFF TO WORK UP A PRESENTATION MAYBE FOR EACH OF US INDIVIDUALLY OR MAYBE A 2:00 O'CLOCK WORK SESSION, BUT SOMEHOW DO A LITTLE A CHART FOR US ON HOW WE ACTUALLY DO OUR PROCUREMENTS. IN OTHER WORDS, WE HAVE SOME IN-HOUSE RULES OF HOW WE DO THINGS THAT ARE UNDER \$500. WE HAVE SOME RULES FOR HOW WE DO THINGS BETWEEN 5,000 -- 500 AND 5,000. WE HAVE RULES ABOUT -- WE HAVE PROCEDURES, LET'S SAY, ABOUT HOW WE DO R.F.P.'S. WE HAVE SOME PROCEDURES ABOUT HOW WE SELECT WHAT TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION MODEL WE'RE GOING TO USE, WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO USE A CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK OR WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO USE A STRAIGHT BID. AND THEN WHAT ARE THE RULES ON BIDS? I THINK FROM TIME TO TIME WE HAVE SOME CHARTS OR SOME GRAPHS THAT WE'VE MADE UP OR SOMETHING LIKE THIS, AND THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL CERTAINLY TO ME AND I THINK TO ALL OF US JUST TO HAVE THAT LITTLE CHEAT SHEET AT HAND SO THAT WE KNOW COMPREHENSIVELY WHAT OUR PROCESS HAS BEEN AND WHICH ONES OF THEM ARE STATE LAWS AND WHICH ONES OF THEM ARE LOCAL PROCESSES OR LOCAL CHOICES. THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ME JUST AS A REVIEW BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME SINCE I'VE WORKED DIRECTLY WITH PURCHASING. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL. SORT OF LIKE GETTING ONE OF THOSE ZONING CHARTS THAT SAYS WHAT YOU CAN DO IN SF 1 AND SF 2 AND SF 3. AND I THINK THAT WOULD MAKE IT A LITTLE CLEARER FOR ALL OF US AND THAT WOULD BE A SUGGESTION.

WE CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT AND WE'LL PREPARE THAT FOR YOU ALL.

Mayor Wynn: GREAT. THANK YOU ALL. SO COUNCIL, THERE BEING NO MORE DISCUSSION ITEMS TO TAKE UP PRIOR TO OUR NOON CITIZEN COMMUNICATION, WE COULD BREAK FOR A BRIEF EXECUTIVE SESSION AND THEN COME BACK AND TAKE UP THE CITIZEN COMMUNICATION AS SHORTLY AFTER NOON AS POSSIBLE. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL GO INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071 OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT, LIKELY JUST TAKE UP AN ITEM OR TWO HERE IN THE NEXT 10 OR 15 MINUTES, AND I WILL NOW -- WE'LL PROBABLY START WITH A HANDFUL OF LAWSUITS, 115 RELATING TO WALTER SESSIONS, ET AL. ITEM 116 RELATED TO JOSIE ELLEN CHAMPION ET AL. 117 RELATED TO HARVEY M. WHITINGTON ET AL AND I SPOKE WE DON'T GET THROUGH THOSE THREE BEFORE WE COME BACK FOR CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. WE'RE NOW IN CLOSED SESSION. IN SESSION WE TOOK UP AGENDA ITEM 1 FWEN. NO DISCUSSIONS -- 115. NO DECISIONS WERE MADE. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SPEAKERS SIGNED UP FOR GENERAL CITIZEN COMMUNICATION. WE WILL BEGIN WITH ERIKA GONZALES. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BIANA VILLALOBOS.

GOOD AFTERNOON, CITY COUNCILMEMBERS, MY NAME IS ERIKA GONZALES AND I WORK WITH PODER, PEOPLE ORGANIZED IN DEFENSE OF EARTH AND HER RESOURCES IN EAST AUSTIN. PODER HAS A YOUTH PROGRAM CALLED PODER (INDISCERNIBLE) OR Y S J. OUR YSJ PROGRAM HELPS TO DEVELOP LEADERSHIP SKILLS IN EDUCATION, ADVOCACY AND ACTION TO EAST AUSTIN COMMUNITY YOUTH. WE WORK ON TRANSPORTATION, ENVIRONMENTAL, JUVENILE JUSTICE, HEALTH AND GENTRIFICATION ISSUES. PODER'S YSJ HAVE ALSO RESEARCHED THE INEQUITY IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT SINCE THE SUMMER OF 2002 DUE TO A GROWING COMMUNITY CONCERN ABOUT THE ACCESS AND ABILITY OF SUMMER PROGRAMS AROUND AUSTIN. IN 2002, THE YSJ TRAVELLED TO SEVEN RECREATION CENTERS AND SIX SCHOOLS THAT WERE PART OF THE 17 RECREATION ACADEMY PROGRAMS, TOTALLY 13 SITE VISITS. WE SURVEYED OVER 200 YOUTH PARTICIPANTS AND 13 PARK DIRECTORS. OUR GOAL WAS TO FIND OUT THE

NEEDS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE YOUTH AND PARK DIRECTORS TO SEE IF APPROPRIATE EQUIPMENT AND SUPERVISION WERE OFFERED AND TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY WERE EQUAL GENDERED WOMEN AND STAFF AND PARK DIRECTORS. THE YSJ FOUND THAT PARK DIRECTORS WERE PREDOMINANTLY MALE AND THERE WAS A LACK OF FEMALE PARTICIPATION. WE MADE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE SUMMER OF 2002 TO HIGHER MORE FEMALE PARK DIRECTORS, TO INCREASE MORE FEMALE PARTICIPATION AMONG OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS, WHICH INCLUDE THE HIRING AFTER GRANT WRITER, INCREASING PARKS AND REC BUDGET AND LONGER WEEKEND OPENINGS. WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR AWARDED OUR YOUTH WITH A CERTIFICATE OF CONGRATULATIONS FOR OUR WORK TO IMPROVE THE AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT SUMMER PROGRAMS IN JULY OF 2003. PODER IS BACK HERE TODAY BECAUSE WE FEEL THAT THE AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT STILL NEEDS MORE FUNDING AND IMPROVEMENTS, ESPECIALLY THOSE LOCATED IN EAST AUSTIN. WE STILL HAVE NOT SEEN AN INCREASE IN BUDGET, PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES IN ALL RECREATION CENTERS. THE YSJ ARE HERE TODAY TO UPDATE YOU ON OUR CURRENT FINDINGS AND ASK THAT YOU PLEASE ADOPT OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT PROVIDES A MUCH NEEDED SERVICE FOR ALL YOUTH, ESPECIALLY YOUTH OF COLOR AND LOW INCOME YOUTH. THEY OFFER ACTIVITIES THAT TEACH UNITY, TEAM BUILDING, CONFIDENCE AND MENTAL HEALTH STABILITY. SO MANY LOW TO MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES ARE STRUGGLING TO PROVIDE THE BASIC NEEDS FOR THEIR CHILDREN. THEY NEED AND MANY DEPEND ON THE SUMMER STRUCTURE PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES PROVIDED BY THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. PLEASE REMEMBER OUR YOUTH, OUR FUTURE AND PLEASE CONSIDER ADOPTING PODER'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO INCREASE PARKS AND REC BUDGET AND IMPROVE ALL THE SERVICES. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. ANNA VILLALOBOS WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MAGALI FERNANDEZ.

GOOD MORNING, CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. I'M WITH PODER.

ONCE AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THEIR COLLABORATION WITH THE YSJ IN PREVIOUS SUMMERS. HOWEVER, WE STAND HERE TODAY STILL CONCERNED ABOUT THE HEALTH AND THE FUTURE OF OUR YOUTH OF COLOR. WE STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT THE CITY OF AUSTIN'S PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAM CAN HELP TO CHANGE THEIR FUTURE. VARIOUS STUDIES CONTINUED TO FIND THAT AMERICANS LEAD AN OVERLY SAID SEDENTARY LIFE-STYLE AND HAVE BAD EATING HABITS. MANY THINGS CONTRIBUTE TO THE INCREASING LIFE-STYLE. STUDIES FOUND THAT OVER ONE-THIRD OF YOUTHS REGULARLY DO NOT ENGAGE IN VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY. THIS AFFECTS LATINO YOUTH AND MANY ARE OVERWEIGHT, COMPARED TO 12% OF THEIR NON-LATINO WOWNRNT PARTS. THIS INCREASED THE RISK OF DEVELOPING TYPE 2 DIABETES IN THE FUTURE. AND THEY ARE MORE LIKELY TO HAVE DIABETES THAN NON-LATINO WHITES OF THE SAME AGE. A SEDENTARY LIFE-STYLE LOOKS ATTRACTIVE WHEN RECREATION CENTERS DO NOT OFFER AFFORDABLE SERVICES. RESEARCH STUDIES ALSO INDICATE THAT HEART DISEASE IS THE NUMBER ONE CAUSE OF DEATH AMONGST AMERICANS. HEART DISEASE CLAIMS THE LIVES OF 30% OF LATINOS OF THE 113400 LATINOS THAT DIE EACH YEAR. FACTORS WHICH CAUSE HEART DISEASE INCLUDE HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE AND HIGH CHOLESTEROL, SMOKING, FILL INACTIVITY AND DIABETES. FACTORS WHICH CAUSE HEART DISEASE -- THESE FACTORS CAN BE CONTROLLED BY ENCOURAGING YOUTH TO EXERCISE AND EAT RIGHT, PROVIDING YOUTH OF COLOR WITH FUNCTIONAL RECREATION CENTERS WOULD BE BENEFICIAL IN HELP TO GO ACHIEVE THIS END GOAL. IMPROVING THE SERVICES OF EAST AUSTIN RECREATIONAL CENTERS WOULD INCREASE YOUTH OF COLOR PARTICIPATION AND PLACE THEM ON THE RIGHT PATH FOR LEAD AGRICULTURE HEALTHY LIFE-STYLE. MAKING CHANGES IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAM IS THE UTMOST FOR THE YOUTH OF COLOR. I URGE YOU TO CHANNEL MORE FUNDING TOWARDS THE CITY OF AUSTIN PARKS AND YOUTH RECREATION PROGRAM AND WORK PROACTIVE TO SAVE OUR YOUTH. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. MS. FERNANDEZ, WELCOME. DID I PRONOUNCE THAT RIGHT, MAGALI? WELCOME. YOU WILL BE

FOLLOWED BY LIBRADO ALMANZA.

HELLO. MY NAME IS MAGALI FERNANDEZ AND LAST WEEK I PARTICIPATED IN THE HIGH SCHOOL REDESIGN PROCESS. I DISCOVERED THAT IN ORDER FOR US TO PROVIDE REAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR CHILDREN AND THEREFORE ABOUT OUR FUTURE. THE REDESIGN PROCESS DOES NOT STOP AT HIGH SCHOOL. WE NEED TO REDESIGN THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF HOW WE TREAT OUR YOUTH. REDESIGNING OUR IDEA OF YOUNG PEOPLE MEANS MOVING AWAY FROM REACTIVE STRATEGIES AND START MOVING THE FOCUS ON PROACTIVE STRATEGIES SUCH AS RECREATIONAL CENTERS WHEN WE LOOK AT OUR BUDGET DECISIONS AND BOND ELECTION FUNDS. SADLY WHEN THE BUDGET IS TIGHT, PROACTIVE FACILITIES SUCH AS HEALTH FACILITIES, LIBRARIES AND PARKS AND RECREATION CENTERS ARE THE FIRST TO SUFFER FUNDING CUTBACKS. AND ORGANIZATIONS IS SUCH AS POLICE AND FIRE STATIONS DUE TO CONTRACT AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING, THE POLICE STATIONS SPECIFICALLY HAVE NOT BEEN SUFFERING MANY CUTBACKS. WHILE THE AUSTIN POPULATION HAS INCREASED, PARK AND RECREATIONAL CENTERS HAVE BEEN CUT IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS. THERE HAS BEEN ONE MILLION DOLLARS CUT IN PARK AND REC PROGRAMS, SPECIFICALLY AFFECTING OUTREACH PROGRAMS. IN ADDITION, THE 17 ACADEMY AND TOTALLY COOL, TOTALLY ART PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN CUT BACK. THERE HAS BEEN A 10% DECREASE IN PEOPLE USING THE PARK AND RECREATION CENTERS DURING THE CUTBACKS. OF THE 120 POSITIONS CUT FROM 2001 TO 2004, 2003.5 HAVE BEEN FROM THE PARK AND RECREATIONAL CENTERS. FOREIGN EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN REPLACED WITH TEMPORARY OR SINGLE EMPLOYEES. STUDIES INDICATE THAT MENTORING AND ESTABLISHING LONG-TERM CONSISTENT YOUTH RELATIONSHIPS ARE THE ESSENTIAL CATALYST FOR BEHAVIORAL DEVELOPMENT AND CHANGE. I'D LIKE TO SHOW A CLIP NOW FROM ONE OF THE PEOPLE I INTERVIEWED AT MONTOPOLIS, A YOUNG PERSON.

I DEVELOPED A RELATIONSHIP WITH KEN. HE'S LIKE ONE OF THE CAMP COUNSELORS HERE. HE'S BEEN HERE FOR OVER 20 YEARS AND HE'S LIKE A BIG ROLE MODEL FOR EVERYONE, EVERY LITTLE KID THAT COMES IN THIS FACILITY. THEY

ENJOY HAVING HIM HERE. JUST HAVING SOMETHING TO DO RATHER THAN BEING ON THE STREETS. I GO TO SCHOOL AND COLLEGE AND I'M GOING TO FOCUS MY CAREER HERE.

TAMARA THOMPSON HAS GONE TO MONTOPOLIS FOR 10 YEARS OF HER LIFE, AND SHE HAS HAD MANY RELATIONSHIPS WHILE SHE WAS THERE, SPECIFICALLY WITH COUNSELORS AND THE STAFF. WE WANT TO SEE YOUNG PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY SUCCEED, THEN WE NEED PRODUCTIVE WORK AND COMMUNITY CENTERS TO SUPPORT AND CHALLENGE THEM. [BUZZER SOUNDS] WE NEED TO MAKE THE DECISIONS ON PROACTIVE RATHER THAN REACT ACTIVE PROGRAMS AND FUND THE RECREATIONAL CENTERS. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. MS. FERNANDEZ. LIBRADO ALMANZA? WELCOME. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY ROXANNE CAMPOS.

AFTERNOON. IT'S HOT OUTSIDE, ISN'T IT? HELLO, MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS. I WORK WITH PODER'S YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE. DURING THESE EXTREMELY HOT SUMMER DAYS, FAMILY AND CHILDREN REALLY CROWD UP THE SWIMMING POOLS, BUT THERE'S STILL A NUMEROUS AMOUNT OF CHILDREN WHO DON'T KNOW HOW TO SWIM, AND SWIMMING LESSONS ARE REALLY HARD TO COME BY IN EAST AUSTIN. FOR INSTANCE, THERE ARE 28 POOLS WEST OF I-35. OF THOSE 28 POOLS, 11 OF THEM PROVIDE SWIMMING LESSONS. THERE ARE 17 POOLS EAST OF I-35. OF THOSE 17 POOLS, ONLY THREE PROVIDE SWIMMING LESSONS. PEOPLE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD ARE LUCKY BECAUSE THE MONTOPOLIS RECREATION CENTER PROVIDES SWIMMING LESSONS. PARQUE ZARAGOZA AND (INDISCERNIBLE) ARE THE ONLY OTHER POOLS IN EAST AUSTIN THAT PROVIDE SWIMMING LESSONS. SO ALL THESE AREAS THAT HAVE HIGH CONCENTRATIONS OF YOUNG PEOPLE FROM FIVE TO 17, THEY DO NOT HAVE SWIMMING LESSONS, THOSE RECREATION CENTERS DO NOT PROVIDE SWIMMING LESSONS. TO HAVE THE SWIMMING POOLS, BUT VERY FEW LESSONS, IT'S YOU UNJUST AND UNFAIR. THOSE THAT DO OFFER SWIMMING LESSONS, THERE'S A ON COST OF \$45 AND THAT'S EIGHT LESSONS FOR ONE PERSON, WHICH SEEMS LIKE QUITE A BIT OF MONEY. IT JUST GOES TO

SHOW THAT THERE'S TOO MUCH MONEY BEING CONTINUALLY CUT EVERY YEAR FROM THE PARKS AND RECREATIONS BUDGET. PLEASE HELP EAST AUSTIN BY PUTTING MONEY BACK INTO THE PARK AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT ON SO THAT THE RECREATION CENTERS CAN GAIN THE CAPACITY TO PROVIDE FREE SWIMMING LESSONS IN ENGLISH AND IN SPANISH FOR THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND PLEASE DON'T LET US DROWN. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. ROXANNE CAMPOS, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY LESLIE SERRANO.

HI, MY NAME IS RACKS SAN CAM POSE. I'M A PART OF DOUGHER'S -- PODER'S YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE. I HAVE REALIZED IT IS MORE DIFFICULT FOR MY COMMUNITY TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PROGRAMS OFFERED BY RECREATION CENTERS. THERE ARE THREE MAIN BARRIERS THAT CREATE LIMITED ACCESS FOR PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY. BARRIERS ARE LINGUISTIC ISOLATION, LACK OF MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND LOW TO MEDIUM FAMILY INCOME. LINGUISTIC ISOLATION IS A BARRIER FOR OUR COMMUNITY BECAUSE THERE ARE A LOT OF SPANISH SPEAKING PEOPLE IN EAST AUSTIN AND UNFORTUNATELY NOT ALL PARK AND RECREATION CENTERS ARE BILINGUAL. ACCORDING TO THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, THE EAST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOODS ARE LOCATED IN AN AVERAGE OF 49% OF THE POPULATION SPEAKS SPANISH. IN THESE NEIGHBORHOODS, 17 PERCENT OF THE POPULATION IS COMPLETELY LINGUISTICALLY ISOLATED WITH PERCENTAGES AS HIGH AS 36% IN SOME NEIGHBORHOODS. AS A RESULT, MANY PARENTS DON'T KNOW WHAT PROGRAMS ARE AVAILABLE, SO THEY DON'T ENROLL THEIR CHILDREN. ADVERTISEMENTS IN SPANISH WOULD HELP REDUCE THIS PROBLEM AND INCREASE ENROLLMENT. LACK OF TRANSPORTATION IS ANOTHER BARRIER. MANY OF THE PROGRAMS OFFERED IN WEST AUSTIN ARE NOT OFFERED IN THE EAST AUSTIN COMMUNITY. TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAMS, RESIDENTS OF EAST AUSTIN WOULD HAVE TO TRAVEL A LONG DISTANCE OVER I-35. STATISTICALLY FEWER EAST AUSTIN RESIDENTS HAVE ACCESS TO THEIR OWN CAR. AN AVERAGE OF 28% OF THE RESIDENTS CAR POOL EVERYDAY TO GET TO WORK. 22%, THOUGH, USE A CAR AT

ALL. IN THESE NEIGHBORHOODS, ONLY 13% OF THESE RESIDENTS IN WEST AUSTIN CAR POOL BETWEEN WORK AND HOME, AND ONLY FOUR PERCENT USE MEANS OTHER THAN A CAR TO MAKE THEIR COMMUTE. PROGRAMS THAT ARE BIKING OR WALKING DISTANCE FOR EAST AUSTIN RESIDENTS MUST BE AVAILABLE. COST OF PROGRAMS IS A THIRD BARRIER BECAUSE MANY OF THE PROGRAMS AND CAMPS ARE TOO EXPENSIVE FOR EAST AUSTIN RESIDENTS. OVERALL MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME FOR TRAVIS COUNTY WAS \$46,761 IN 1999, BUT IN EAST AUSTIN COMMUNITIES, THE AVERAGE MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME WAS ONLY \$24,214. IN SOME NEIGHBORHOODS IT WAS AS LOW AS \$16,536. FOR MANY PEOPLE IN EAST AUSTIN, EVEN PROGRAMS OFFERED IN NEARBY POOLS ARE NOT CONSIDERED AS AFFORDABLE OPTIONS. SHROLER SHIPS SHOULD BE CREATED FOR YOUTH IN THESE COMMUNITIES. THE PEOPLE IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN MORE RECREATION ACTIVITIES IF THERE WAS MORE OF A BILINGUAL FOCUS, IF THERE WERE MORE FACILITIES WITHIN WALKOGARE BIKING DISANGS AND IF THERE WERE MORE SCHOLARSHIPS OFFERED TO CHILDREN OF FAMILIES OF LOW INCOME. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. LESLIE SERRANO, WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SUSANA ALMANZA.

HELLO CITY COUNCILMEMBERS, I'M LESLIE SERRANO WITH PODER SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE. I'M WORKING TO IMPROVE MY EAST AUSTIN COMMUNITY. THE FOLLOWING ARE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE MADE IN THE SUMMER OF 2002 REGARDING RECREATION CENTERS IN AUSTIN. ONE, THEY SHOULD INCREASE THE BUDGET FOR AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION SUMMER YOUTH ACTIVITIES. PLEASE STOP CUTTING BACK ON FUNDING OF RECREATIONAL CENTERS. TWO, THEY SHOULD HIRE A GRANT WRITING. WE HAVE PROOF THAT MOST OF THE MONEY COMES FROM GRANTS. THREE, THEY SHOULD RESTORE THE SUMMER TEEN ACADEMY AND EXPAND IS TO JOHNSTON HIGH SCHOOL. FOUR, MAKE TIMELY REPAIRS AT ALL RECREATION CENTERS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE MONTOPOLIS RECREATION CENTER HAS SEVERAL CRACKS IN THE WALLS. YOU SHOULD BEGIN WITH THE MONTOPOLIS RECREATION CENTER SINCE OUR YOUTH OF COLOR DESERVE A SAFE RECREATION

CENTER JUST AS WEST AUSTIN YOUTH. FIVE, SCHOLARSHIPS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR ALL RECREATION CENTERS. SIX, ALL RECREATION CENTERS SHOULD REMAIN OPEN DURING THE WEEKEND. THIS WOULD PROVIDE YOUTH WITH STRUCTURE IN A PRODUCTIVE TIME. DECREASING THEIR CHANCES OF ROAMING THE STREETS. SEVEN, THEY SHOULD PROVIDE SELF-DEFENSE CLASSES AND CLASSES CATERING TO FEMALES. WE WOULD LIKE TO CONGRATULATE THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR HIRING MORE FEMALE STAFF. THIS ENCOURAGES FEMALE YOUTH PARTICIPATION IN LEADERSHIP. DURING THE SUMMER OF 2005, THE YOUNG SCHOLARS FOR JUSTICE FOLLOWED UP ON THE RESOURCES AND THINGS CURRENTLY OFFERED BY RECREATION CENTER. THE YSJ CAME UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2005. THEY SHOULD BUILD AN ADDITIONAL RECREATION CENTER IN THE DOVE SPRINGS AREA. THE GROWING AMOUNT OF YOUTH IN THIS AREA REQUIRE ANOTHER RECREATION CENTER BE BUILT TO ACCOMMODATE OUR YOUTH. THEY SHOULD OFFER TO EXPAND THE RECREATION CENTER BECAUSE THEY ONLY HAVE ONE MULTIPURPOSE ROOM IN ITS 52 BY 33 SQUARE FEET AND THEY SHOULD ADVERTISE RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS IN BOTH SPANISH AND ENGLISH. THEY SHOULD ENCOURAGE PARENT AND YOUTH TO BE WORKING IN PROGRAM DESIGN AND PLANNING. THEY SHOULD STRENGTHEN THE OUTREACH AND OFFER BILINGUAL SINGING CLASSES AND OFFER SCHOLARSHIPS FOR BOTH ADULTS AND CHILDREN. PLEASE TAKE CONSIDERATION OUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE RECREATION CENTERS. AFTER ALL, DON'T YOU THINK OUR YOUTH DESERVE SAFE FACILITIES? THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: SUSANA ALMANZA?

Alvarez: I THINK WE NEED OUR MEMBERS OF THE YOUTH SCHOLARS WE WILL BE FOLLOWING UP ON THIS AND IN TERMS OF SEVERAL OF THE SLIDES, SEVERAL REFERENCED THE NEED TO PROVIDE SCHOLARSHIPS TO POOR EAST AUSTIN YOUTH OR FAMILIES. IS THAT SCHOLARSHIPS FOR THE YOUTH RECREATION PROGRAMS OR IS THAT SCHOLARSHIPS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION? DOES SOMEBODY WANT TO SPEAK TO THAT ISSUE?

THE SCHOLARSHIPS WAS DIRECTLY FOR THEIR ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN YOUTH PROGRAMS BECAUSE, FOR EXAMPLE, THEY HAVE SWIMMING LESSONS, BUT THE SWIMMING LESSONS DO COME AT A COST, SO IF YOU HAVE MORE THAN ONE KID AT HOME, IT'S GOING TO BE COSTLY TO BE ABLE TO SEND YOUR KID TO SWIMMING LESSONS IF YOU HAVE FIVE OF THEM THAT DON'T KNOW HOW TO SWIM. SO IT WOULD BE FOR THE RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES. IT WOULD BE GREAT FOR EDUCATION AS WELL.

Alvarez: THE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT FREE OF CHARGE SO YOU COULD HAVE MORE PARTICIPATION FOR FAMILIES OF ALL INCOME LEVELS.

RIGHT. BECAUSE WE AND FOUND THAT'S ONE REASON WHY SOME OF THESE YOUTH MAY NOT PARTICIPATE BECAUSE THEIR PARENTS SIMPLY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO SIGN THEM UP FOR THESE PROGRAMS.

Alvarez: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: SUSANA ALMANZA. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY JIMMY CASTRO.

GOOD AFTERNOON, CITY COUNCILMEMBERS AND MAYOR AND MAYOR PRO TEM. I'M SUSANA ALMANZA WITH PODER, PEOPLE ORGANIZED IN DEFENSE OF EARTH AND HER RESOURCES. AND I WAS ALREADY SIGNED UP TO TALK ABOUT HOUSING. I DO WANT TO PUSH -- CONTINUE TO PUSH THE NEED FOR LOW INCOME AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING, BUT I ALSO WANT TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT DANIEL ROCHA AND TO LOOK AT THE ISSUE OF DISABILITY VERSUS DEADLY FORCE, THAT THE CITY NEEDS TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS A POLICY THAT IS NOT JUST TALKED ABOUT, BUT INSTITUTED AS A POLICY ABOUT USING DISABLEMENT VERSUS DEADLY FORCE, ESPECIALLY FOR SOMEONE WHO DOESN'T HAVE A WEAPON. AND ALSO WE RECOGNIZE THE IMI INVESTIGATION IS TAKING PLACE. WE ALSO RECOGNIZE FOR YEARS THAT WE HAVE NOT HEARD A REPORT BACK FROM THE F.B.I. TO THE COMMUNITY ON WHAT HAPPENED TO JESSE OWENS AND WHAT HAPPENED TO SOPHIA KING. SO WHEN YOU LOOK AT

TWO OR THREE YEARS OF F.B.I. INVESTIGATION, YET NO COMMUNITY REPORT, THAT IS VERY TROUBLESOME TO US. THE OTHER THING THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND THAT THE OFFICE OF POLICE MONITOR'S OFFICE NEEDS TO HIRE A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR. FROM THE DIFFERENT STORIES THAT HAVE DEVELOPED FROM THE POLICE AS TO WHETHER THERE WAS VIDEOTAPE OR NOT, WHETHER THE SWITCH COULD BE PULLED OR NOT, WHO WAS DRIVING WHICH CAR, WHO WAS THROWN, WHO WAS NOT THROWN, THERE'S SO MANY DIFFERENT DISCREPANCIES AND STORIES THAT CAME OUT AND THE FACT THAT DANIEL ROCHA DID NOT HAVE A WEAPON AND WAS SHOT IN THE BACK AT CLOSE RANGE. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A NEED RIGHT NOW TO CALL FOR THE OFFICE OF THE POLICE MONITOR TO HIRE A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR. AND ALSO BECAUSE WE HAVE SEEN, EVEN THOUGH PEOPLE ARE SAYING WE SHOULD GO AHEAD AND HAVE A SPEEDY TRIAL AND TAKE IT TO THE GRAND JURY, THERE IS A PROBLEM IN THE GRAND JURY SELECTION. AND WE'VE ALSO SEEN IN THE PAST HOW THE GRAND JURY HAS NO BILLED CERTAIN CASES IN LOOKING AT JESSE OWENS. SO AT THIS TIME I THINK THAT WE SHOULD REALLY LOOK AT INSTITUTING THOSE POLICIES OF DISABLEMENT VERSUS DEADLY FORCE AND LOOK AT HIRING A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR TO LOOK BECAUSE THIS IS A VERY SERIOUS CASE AND A VERY SAD ONE, A VERY BIG TRAGEDY FOR US IN THE EAST AUSTIN COMMUNITY, BUT ALSO I THINK THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY OF AUSTIN AND THE COMMUNITY ALL OVER THE WORLD, WHEN THINGS LIKE THIS HAPPEN. AND SO WE SHOULD REALLY LOOK AT THIS INVESTIGATION AND FIRE JULIE SCHROEDER. I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THE FIRST STEPS IS TO FIRE POLICE OFFICER JULIE SCHROEDER ACTUALLY MURDERED SOMEONE AND SHE SHOULD BE FIRED. I ASK THAT YOU LOOK AT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS AND MOVE THEM FORWARD. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: JIMMY CASTRO. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU, WILL. GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR WYNN, COUNCILMEMBERS AND MS. FUTRELL. I DO HAVE SLIDES TO SHOW YOU THIS AFTERNOON. I'M HERE TO SPEAK IN

SUPPORT OF THE 2006 DRAFT BUDGET POLICY. THIS FIRST SLIDE SHOWS WE NEED TO LOOK AT WHAT THE CITY OF AUSTIN HAS ACHIEVED THE LAST THREE YEARS. THIS INCLUDED COST CONTAINMENT STRATEGIES THAT RESULTED IN A BUDGET BASED ON NEEDS, NOT WANTS. THE 2006 BUDGET GOAL IS STRUCTURAL BALANCE. ONGOING EXPENDITURES WILL MATCH ONGOING REVENUES. THE 2006 BUDGET GOAL HAS THREE CORE VALUES TO LOOK TO EXPENDITURE CUTS BEFORE TURNING TO REVENUE INCREASES. SECOND, TO CUT ADMINISTRATIVE AND MANAGEMENT BEFORE CUTTING DIRECT SERVICES TO CITIZENS. THIRD, TO RETHINK SERVICE DELIVERY BEFORE CUTTING SERVICE LEVELS. THE CONTINUATION OF THE EFFECTIVE PROPERTY TAX RATE. AUSTIN ENERGY AND AUSTIN WATER UTILITY ACCOUNT FOR 21% OF ALL GENERAL FUND JEFF NEW. -- REVENUE. THE CITY OF AUSTIN HAS SEVERAL RESERVES SET BY POLICY. THE 2006 CONTRACT COMPONENTS IS \$27 MILLION. THE 2007 COST REVENUE COMPONENTS IS \$28.5 MILLION. THE 2008 COST REVENUE COMPONENTS IS \$28.1 MILLION. YOU ARE EXPANDING FACILITIES TO OPEN IN 2006 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING, THE SPICEWOOD SPRINGS FIRE STATION, THE METZ RECREATION CENTER EXPANSION. THE SOUTH AUSTIN SOCCER COMPLEX. THE COMMITMENT TO MAINTAIN 2.0 POLICE OFFICERS PER 1,000 POPULATION. MAKE ADJUSTMENT PAY FOR PARAMEDICS. CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TASKFORCE STAFFING. INCREASE ON CALL TIME FOR PARAMEDICS. CONSIDER THE CULTURAL SERVICE CONTRACTS. FINALLY, EXAMINE THE POSSIBILITIES FOR THE 2006 BUDGET, IT IS CLEAR THAT MAINTAINING A BALANCE OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY, SERVICE LEVELS AND STAFFABILITY IS CRITICAL. ACTIONS TAKEN AND DECISIONS MADE DURING BOTH THE GOOD TIMES AND BAD TIMES SHOULD BE MADE WITH AN EYE TOWARDS FUTURE STABILITY. THANK YOU, MAYOR WYNN.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. CASTRO. NEXT SPEAKER IS MARCOS DELEON. WELCOME, COMMISSIONER. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE POLICIED BY PAUL HERNANDEZ.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM DANNY

THOMAS, NEW COUNCILMEMBERS, CONGRATULATIONS. MY NAME IS MARCUS DELEON. I'M A MEMBER OF EL CONCILIO. MY COMMENTS TODAY IS TO TALK ABOUT HOUSING. WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT OF THE ONE MILLION DOLLARS -- OF MITIGATION MONEY FROM THE HOLLY POWER PLANT BE SPENT, \$100,000 TO THE NEW METZ CENTER AND 6 \$600,000 WILL GO INTO HOUSING IN EAST AUSTIN THAT SURROUND THE HOLLY POWER PLANT. NINE MILLION DOLLARS HAVE BEEN SPENT IN THE LAST NINE YEARS. ACTUALLY, IT WAS SPENT ON THE ACTUAL RESIDENTS TO SUSTAIN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD AROUND THE HOLLY POWER PLANT. WE HAVE MET WITH PAUL HILGERS AND WE DO AGREE THAT THIS WOULD BE ONE WAY TO HELP STOP THE GENTRIFICATION PROCESS THAT IS OCCURRING AROUND THE HOLLY POWER PLANT NEIGHBORHOOD. IT'S A GOOD MEASURE TO DO THAT. WE WILL REFORM THE ACTUAL PROCESS OF WHAT CAN BE DONE IN THE COMMUNITY. AND WE SHARED THIS WITH PAUL HILGERS STAFF. BUT I'M SITTING HERE STILL TROUBLED FOR ONE THING IN HOUSING THROUGH ALL THIS. I NEVER ATTEND A MEETING -- I ATTENDED THE MEETING THAT THE POLICE ASSOCIATION HAD ON DANIEL ROCHA. I WATCHED IT ON CHANNEL 8. I REALIZED AFTER WATCHING THAT THREE THINGS WERE OBVIOUS FROM THAT MEETING. ONE IS THE RESIGNATION OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE. TWO, THE INVESTIGATION OF THE WHOLE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE MINORITY COMMUNITY. AND THREE,, THIS NEW COUNCIL TO TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT ALL THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. PAUL HERNANDEZ. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY LAWRENCE CRANBERG.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. MY NAME IS PAUL HERNANDEZ. I AM A MEMBER OF EL CONCILIO, A COALITION OF MEXICAN-AMERICAN NEIGHBORHOODS. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE MR. LEFFINGWELL, MS. KIM AND, OF COURSE, MS. DUNKERLEY ON THEIR ELECTIONS. YOU HAVE A HARD ROAD TO TOW. I ALSO WANT TO CONGRATULATE COUNCILMEMBER THOMAS, WHO IS OUR NEW MAYOR PRO TEM, VERY WELL DESERVED. I SIGNED UP TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF THE MONIES TO GO FOR

HOUSING, THE MITIGATION FUNDS FROM THE HOLLY POWER PLANT. WE NEED THAT MONEY FOR THE ELDERLY AND FOR THE PEOPLE THAT ARE DISABLED, THAT HAVE SEVERE DISABILITIES THAT LIVE IN THAT AREA THAT CANNOT AFFORD TO UPGRADE THEIR HOMES OR TO AT LEAST KEEP THEM UP TO CODE. WE NEED THAT MONEY FOR THAT PURPOSE, AND ALSO FOR THE PROGRAM AT METZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, THE LIBRARY AND THE MEXICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL CENTER. WHAT REALLY -- AS YOU KNOW, THE MOST TROUBLING THING IN OUR COMMUNITY RIGHT NOW IS THE RECENT DEATH OF DANIEL ROCHA. I TOO AGREE THAT THE TENURE OF POLICE CHIEF KNEE IS TOO MANY YEARS AND HE SHOULD BE FIRED BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. I ALSO FEEL THAT THAT WOULD NOT BE ENOUGH, NOT JUST THE DISMISSAL OF OFFICER SCHROEDER OR KNEE, BUT ALSO YOU DEAL EFFECTIVELY AND NOT ALLOW YOURSELVES TO BE BULLIED BY THE POLICE ASSOCIATION. THAT IS A MAJOR PART OF A PROBLEM. THE POLICE ASSOCIATION HAS TOO MUCH POLITICAL POWER AND WIELDS IT VIGOROUSLY. IT'S UNFAIR TO THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN. WE NEED TO FIND WAYS TO LIMIT THAT POWER. PERHAPS IT CAN BE ELIMINATING HOW MUCH THEY CAN -- LIMITING HOW MUCH THEY CAN CONTRIBUTE TO CITY COUNCIL ELECTIONS. I HAVE A COPY OF A LETTER SENT TO ME AND CITY MANAGER FUTRELL RECEIVED A COPY THAT ADDRESSED -- IT'S ADDRESSED TO CHIEF KNEE, IT'S FROM MS. KIMBERLY BALANCE BALANCED DRIJ, WHO IS A MEXICAN-AMERICAN MOTHER. [BUZZER SOUNDS] IT STATES THAT SHE IS AFRAID -- SHE IS IN PAIN, SHE IS AFRAID FOR HER FAMILY, AND SHE WANTS JUSTICE. SHE IS WILLING TO PRAY, TO BEG AND TO FIGHT FOR THAT JUSTICE. THAT IS THE FEELINGS OF THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE A COMMUNITY THAT IS UNDER SIEGE. WE ARE A COMMUNITY THAT HAS SEVERE PROBLEMS TO DEAL WITH, LIKE THE PARKS. WE ALSO NEED TO BE ABLE TO FACILITATE ACTIVITIES FOR OUR YOUTH AND CREATE AN EDUCATIONAL PRESENCE FOR OUR YOUTH. I WANT TO MENTION ONE MORE THING. THERE HAVE BEEN PARKS THAT HAVE BEEN ALMOST TOTALLY NEGLECTED AND IT'S RIGHT THERE ON 35, PALM PARK. IT NEEDS NEW BATHROOMS. THE SWIMMING POOL NEEDS TO BE WELL KEPT, WELL MAINTAINED FOR THE SAFETY OF THOSE CHILDREN. BUT ABOVE ALL, JUSTICE NEEDS TO BE

DONE. AND WE DID NOT BELIEVE THE GRAND JURY, WHICH HISTORICALLY HAS REFUSED TO INDICT A POLICE OFFICER FOR ANY DEATH OF ANY MINORITY, THAT YOU DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT, THAT YOU PERSONALLY GET INVOLVED EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. HERNANDEZ. LAWRENCE CRANBERG, WELCOME, SIR. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE CITY COUNCIL, I'VE BEEN LISTENING TO THE THINGS THAT I WANTED TO HEAR. I AM GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE POLICE. I BELIEVE THAT IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THIS COUNCIL HIRE AN INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR WITH COMPETENCE IN POLICE MATTERS TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. MY TOPIC IS THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I HAVE LITTLE TO SAY ABOUT THE COST. YOU KNOW AS WELL AS I DO THAT THAT ACCOUNTS FOR 75% OF THE CITY BUDGET. AND ALL THE COMPLAINTS YOU'VE BEEN HEARING ABOUT, THE SHORTCHANGING OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS IS A CONSEQUENCE IN THE ENORMOUS PREUPON DENSE OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHAT ARE WE GETTING FOR THAT MONEY? WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT WHAT WE ARE GET FOG THAT MONEY? WE'RE TOLD, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT WE HAVE HOMICIDES OF 27 A YEAR. WHAT DOES THAT NUMBER MEAN TO ANYBODY IN THIS COUNCIL? A NUMBER LIKE THAT IS MEANINGLESS. YOU HAVE TO HAVE AT LEAST A RATE PER 100,000. OKAY, SO YOU DO -- YOU TALK TO THE CITY DEMOGRAPHER AND HE GIVES YOU THE POPULATION AREAS AND YOU COME UP WITH A RATE. IT'S ONE-THIRD OF THE NATIONAL RATE. IS THAT LIKELY? WHY ARE WE SO VIR TIEW US OR ARE THE POLICE INCOMPETENT? THAT, IT SEEMS TO ME, IS THE INDICATION FOR WHICH THE EVIDENCE IS RIGHT HERE. THIS SHEET REPRESENTS THE 30 30 YEAR STUDY OF THE ABUSE OF THE ELDERLY WHO IN MY OPINION ARE PERHAPS THE MOST NEGLECTED OF ANY SEGMENT OF OUR SOCIETY. THIS IS AN UNDERGOING INVESTIGATION FOR 30 YEARS. AND I WANT TO DISTRIBUTE A COPY OF THIS TO EACH ONE OF YOU WHICH HAS ASSOCIATED WITH IT THE PAGE FROM THE ELDER LAW JOURNAL, WHICH IS ONE OF THE MOST PRESTIGIOUS AND RESPONSIBLE ORGANS OF ITS KIND, WHICH CONTAINS A 70

PAGE ARTICLE BY SENATORS BROE AND HATCH WHICH DISCUSS THE POLITE OF THE ELDERLY IN AMERICA. WHAT IS THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE POLITE OF THE ELDERLY IN AMERICA AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN AUSTIN? THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS THE LOCAL ARM WHICH DETERMINES THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER PEOPLE IN AMERICA, IN THE AUSTIN AREA. AND -- [INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

Mayor Wynn: ACTUALLY, JUST HAND IT TO COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AND HE WILL PASS THEM DOWN TO US.

I HOPE YOU WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THIS DOCUMENT AT YOUR LEISURE. IT REPRESENTS A 30-YEAR STUDY BY THE U.S. SENATE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING, WHOSE CURRENT LEADERS ARE SENATOR HATCH, A REPUBLICAN, AND SENATOR BURROU, A DEMOCRAT. AND THE STORY IT TELLS IS ONE OF THE MOST SHOCKING THINGS THAT I'VE READ ABOUT IN MY ENTIRE LIFE, ASIDE FROM WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED IN NAZI GERMANY. THE SCALE OF NEGLECT IS UNBELIEVABLE. AND I PUT IT TO YOU AS A SPECIFIC, CONCRETE MEASURE TO DEAL NOT JUST WITH A PROBLEM OF THE ELDERLY, BUT THE OTHER BROADER PROBLEMS WE'VE BEEN HEARING ABOUT ABOUT THE LOCAL POLICE. RETAIN AN INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR FOR A COUPLE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS AND SIX MONTHS AND YOU WILL GET A REPORT THAT WILL TELL YOU WHERE -- WHERE OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT STANDS IN RELATION TO OTHERS. INCIDENTALLY, YOU WOULD THINK THAT THERE WOULD BE A SCALE WHERE I COULD FIND A REFERENCE TO A FIGURE FOR THE COMPETENCE -- THE EFFECTIVENESS OF OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT. IT'S IN THE TOP 10 PERCENT OR THE BOTTOM 10 PERCENT. I CAN'T FIND ANY SUCH SCALE. IT DOESN'T EXIST. IF YOU WANT TO FIND OUT ANYTHING OTHER THAN MONEY HAVE YOU TO SHELL OUT A FEW HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS AND PUT IT IN THE RIGHT HANDS AND YOU WILL REALLY GET ANSWERS. THAT'S WHAT THE U.S. GENERAL COUNSEL'S OFFICE DOES. I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THAT KIND OF PROGRAM. IT'S THE BEST RETURN YOU CAN GET FOR YOUR MONEY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. CRANBERG. COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL THE CITIZENS WHO HAVE SIGNED UP FOR OUR GENERAL

CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS. AT THIS TIME QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL GO BACK INTO CLOSED SESSION. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ITEMS TO TAKE UP IN EXECUTIVE SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071 OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT. THESE ITEMS WILL INCLUDE ITEMS 85, 86 AND 87 REGARDING RIGHT-OF-WAY PROCUREMENT WITH TXDOT, ITEM 112 RELATED TO JEFF WHITE VERSUS CITY OF AUSTIN, 113 RELATED TO COLLECTIVE BARGAINING WITH THE FIREFIGHTERS, 114 RELATED TO PENDING APPLICATIONS FOR WATER RIGHTS BEFORE TCEQ. 116, A LAWSUIT RELATED TO JOSIE ELLEN CHAMPION ET AL VERSUS THE CITY OF AUSTIN, 117, HARRY M. WHITINGTON ET AL VERSUS THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND 118. WE ARE NOW IN CLOSED SESSION AND I EXPECT US TO COME OUT SHORTLY AFTER 2:00 O'CLOCK TO BEGIN OUR AFTERNOON BRIEFINGS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: WE ARE OUT OF CLOSED SESSION. IN EXECUTIVE SESSION WE TOOK UP ITEMS 85, 86, 87. NO DECISIONS WERE MADE. WE'LL HEAR A PUBLIC BRIEFING OF THAT AT SOME POINT LATER TODAY. MS. BROWN, WE WILL NOT TAKE UP ITEM 112. AND SO WE'RE NOW BACK IN OPEN SESSION. WE HAVE A 2:00 O'CLOCK POSTED BOND SALES THAT WE CAN GET THROUGH I THINK RELATIVELY QUICKLY TO GO TO TWO BRIEFINGS. I'LL RECOGNIZE MR. BILL NEWMAN.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. MY NAME IS BILL NEWMAN. WE SERVE AS THE FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO THE CITY. I WANT TO SPEAK TO YOU BRIEFLY ABOUT ITEMS NUMBER 121 AND 122. THE CITY IS CONTEMPLATING THE REFUNDING OF ITS SERIES 1999 INTORD GNAT LIEN HOTEL MOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX BONDS. ITEM NUMBER 121 MERELY PROVIDES FOR AN AMENDMENT TO UPDATE THAT ORDINANCE THAT ALLOW US TO ISSUE THE BONDS. ITEM NUMBER 122 IS THE APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$120 MILLION IN BONDS. THE BONDS THAT ARE OUTSTANDING, THE 1999 1999 BONDS, HAVE COUPONS TO THEM RANGING FROM 5.5 TO 5.8%. WE WOULD ANTICIPATE IN TODAY'S MARKETING RECEIVING A FIXED RATE OF APPROXIMATELY 5.5, 5.6% RESULTING IN A NET SAVINGS OF 15.12%. MAYOR, I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING BEYOND THAT. WE WOULD RECOMMEND

APPROVAL OF THOSE ITEMS.

Mayor Wynn: COMMENTS, COUNCIL? QUESTIONS OF STAFF OR MR. NEWMAN? HEARING NONE, I WILL ENTERTAIN A COMBINED MOTION ON BOTH THE ORDINANCE 120 -- SORRY, YOUR SUGGESTION WAS TO GO AHEAD AND TAKE UP ALL THREE POSTED ITEMS, 120, 121 AND 122?

YES, SIR. THE LAWYERS DID SUGGEST COMBINING 120 AND 121 TOGETHER.

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. COUNCIL, I'LL ENTERTAIN A COMBINED MOTION ON ITEMS 1 120 AND 121. MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY TO APPROVE THESE ITEMS AS POSTED. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL. FURTHER COMMENTS?

MAYOR, I BELIEVE THAT 120 HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA AND IT'S 121 AND 122 THAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY VOTING ON.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. SO COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY, THE CORRECTED MOTION WILL THEN BE THE COMBINED MOTION OF 121 AND 122. CONCUR, COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL? OKAY. SO A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE, A COMBINED MOTION APPROVING ITEMS 121 AND 122 AS POSTED. FURTHER COMMENTS? AGAIN, FOR FOLKS WATCHING, THESE NUMBERS ARE VERY BIG, BUT THE FINANCIAL POLICIES THAT ARE IN PLACE, VERY, VERY STRUCTURED POLICIES THAT ARE FOLLOWED CLOSELY BOTH BY INTERNALLY OUR STAFF AND ALSO OUR CONSULTANTS. SO BY THE TIME THESE THINGS COME TO COUNCIL, THEY'VE ALREADY MET ALL THE STRUCTURED REQUIREMENTS THAT WE HAVE AS LONG-STANDING CITY POLICY. SO ALTHOUGH THE NUMBERS LARGE, THE APPROVALS ARE QUITE (INDISCERNIBLE). ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SIX TO ZERO WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TEMPORARILY OFF THE DYE AS.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, THAT TAKES US TO OUR TWO

BRIEFINGS. THE FIRST IS FROM OUR ROBERT MUELLER PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY COMMISSION, ITEMS RELATED TO THE MASTER PLAN OF THE OLD MUELLER AIRPORT. AND WE'LL WELCOME MR. JIM WALKER WHO SERVED AS CHAIR.

THANK YOU FOR THE TIME. ALSO WITH ME IS RICK WHO WAS ALSO ON THE COMMISSION AND WILL BE TALKING ABOUT THE UPDATES. THIS IS JUST A BRIEFING BEFORE THE SUMMER BREAK TO WELCOME THE TWO NEW COUNCILMEMBERS AND LET YOU KNOW ABOUT SOME ITEMS THAT ARE GOING TO COME UP IN THE FALL IN ORDER TO GIVE YOU A HEADS UP AND KNOW THAT THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO BE DISCUSSED. AND THAT THE COMMISSION PER OUR CHARGE FROM YOU IS VERY INTERESTED IN COMING BACK TO YOU IN THE FALL WITH RECOMMENDATIONS ON ALL THESE THINGS SHOULD YOU REQUEST THAT. THIS IS NOT AT ALL ANY KIND OF BASHING OR NEGATIVE THING ON STAFF. IN FACT, PAM HEFNER HAVE ALL BEEN GREAT IN KEEPING THE COMMISSION ON OUR MONTHLY -- THE MONTHLY MEETINGS. THE FIRST ONE, THE IDEA OF A UTMB EXPANDED MEDICAL PRESENCE AT MUELLER, WHICH IN THE MASS MASTER DEVELOPER AGREEMENT THIS IS CONTEMPLATED ON THE SITE. NOT A DONE DEAL YET, BUT THE NEGOTIATIONS ARE VERY POSITIVE AND IMPRESSED WITH THE WAY THIS IS GOING ABOUT. THE MAIN ISSUES ARE AND I PROMISED MYSELF I WOULD DO THIS IN 15 MINUTES SO YOU ALL CAN GET ON TO OTHER STUFF. TRAFFIC IMPACT, WE LOOKED AT THE TIA, THE EFFECT OF THE U.T. FACILITY ON THE TIA IS WITHIN THE MARGIN OF ERROR, UNDER THREE PERCENT. WE FEEL GOOD ABOUT THAT. IT'S THE NUMBER ONE UNIFYING CONCERN OF ALL THE NEIGHBORHOODS. THE LONG-TERM EXPANSION OF U.T. OVER TIME. AND THIS IS A LOT OF HISTORICAL ISSUES COMING TO THE FORE HERE, BUT WE GOOD THAT U.T. IS WORKING TO MEMORIALIZE A COMMITMENT TO NOT EXPAND YIND 45 ACRES, WHICH IS 14 PLUS AN ADDITIONAL THAT THEY ARE GOING TO SEEK. THE EFFECT OF UTMB ON THE NORTH SIDE OF 51st, WHICH IS THE WINDSOR PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND THEY ARE ENTERING INTO NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING THIS FALL, SO WE FEEL GOOD WITH THE COOPERATION OF U.T. THAT THEY CAN WORK OUT THE 51st STREET CORRIDOR TO

EVERYBODY'S SATISFACTION. COMMUNITY SERVICES, THIS IS A BIG ISSUE THAT WHILE EVERYONE GETS THE IDEA THAT HAVING AN EXPANDED UTMB PRESENCE IS GOOD FOR THE REGION, PART OF THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ONCE YOU GET THIS INTO A NEIGHBORHOOD ONCE AS EAST AUSTIN, WHAT'S THE BENEFIT LOCALLY? I'M GOING TO HAND YOU OUT TWO THINGS HERE. AND UTMB HAS TAKEN THIS VERY SERIOUSLY. THEY HAVE TOLD US, THEY'VE GIVEN US DOCUMENTS ON WHAT KIND OF SERVICES THEY DO IN GALVESTON, AND THAT THOSE WOULD BE COMING HERE SHOULD THEY MOVE HERE. THE WORK ON AN ONGOING BASIS WILL DETERMINE WHAT THE NEEDS ARE THAT U.T. CAN HELP WITH. THE DESIGNS HAVE BEEN GOOD WITH THAT. HOUSING, THERE IS SINCE WE ARE AMENDING THE MASTER PLAN, WHICH IS AGAIN THIS COMMISSION'S PURVIEW, THERE WILL BE SOME HOUSING THAT WILL NEED TO BE DENSIFIED IN ORDER TO MAKE UP THE DIFFERENCE, BUT I DO NOT EXPECT ANY NET LOSS IN HOUSING. IT WILL BE MADE UP OVER THE LONG-TERM AND THE SHORT-TERM. WE HAVE OTHER COMMUNITY MEETINGS SET UP IN AUGUST ON THIS ISSUE, SO I WOULD EXPECT TO TRY TO COME BACK TO YOU THEN WITH ANY KIND OF RECOMMENDATION. I'M GOING TO HAND THIS OUT AND TURN IT OVER TO RICK TO TALK ABOUT A COUPLE OF THINGS AND THEN I'LL TALK ABOUT A COUPLE MORE.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS AND CITY MANAGER. AUSTIN WATER HAS COME TO MAKE A PRESENTATION REGARDING A PROPOSED RECLAIMED WATER TOWER. IT'S NOT ACTUALLY ON THE MUELLER SITE, BUT IT'S ON THE 51st STREET SIDE OF MUELLER. IT'S LOCATED RIGHT AT THE ENTRANCE TO THE FILM STUDIOS. AND THEY'RE PROPOSING A 200-FOOT TALL WATER TOWER. THE COMMISSION HAD A LOT OF QUESTIONS IN THE FIRST PRESENTATION, AND THE NEIGHBORHOODS ALSO HAD A LOT OF QUESTIONS, AND THE CITY HAD A MEETING ONE EVENING LAST WEEK THAT ANSWERED A LOT OF QUESTIONS. I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY EXPECTED -- THEY KIND OF CAME TO US INITIALLY SAYING WE'RE LOOKING AT WHAT KIND OF LANDSCAPING AND FENCING WOULD YOU LIKE AND THE FACT THAT THERE WILL BE A 200-FOOT TALL WATER TOWER WAS INCIDENTAL. THE PICTURES THAT THEY'VE SHOWN US,

AND THIS IS THE OFFICIAL CITY HANDOUT, IT'S NOT A PRETTY WATER TOWER. YOU PROBABLY CAN'T SEE THAT. WE'RE LOOKING FOR SOME HELP IN GETTING THE PROJECT EITHER TO BE A PART OF THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES PROGRAM, AND I'VE ASKED QUESTIONS WHETHER IT IS SUBJECT TO THAT. I'M NOT REAL SURE THAT IT IS, BUT IF IT'S NOT, AUSTIN WATER HAS AGREED THAT THEY'RE GOING TO CREATE A CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO HELP LOOK AT THIS ISSUE, HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE COMING TO YOU WITH SOME RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SAY THAT THE CITY GET A DESIGNER, AN ARTIST, AN ARCHITECT, SOMEONE INVOLVED EARLY IN THE PROCESS SO THAT WE COULD PROBABLY SAVE SOME MONEY IN GETTING IT MORE ATTRACTIVE WATER TOWER FOR WHAT'S GOING TO BE A LANDMARK NOT ONLY FOR MUELLER, FOR MY NEIGHBORHOOD, WINDSOR PARK, BUT FOR THE FILM STUDIOS DEFINITELY BECAUSE IT IS RIGHT AT THEIR ENTRANCE. NOBODY'S QUESTIONING THE NEED FOR THE RECLAIMED WATER. IT'S A REALLY GREAT PROGRAM. THERE ARE GOING TO BE, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, AT LEAST FIVE OTHER FAIRLY CENTRAL CITY WATER TOWERS, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE THE PILOT PROGRAM FOR THIS AND I'LL JUST BET YOU THAT THE OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS ARE GOING TO COME AND WANT SOMETHING NICER TOO, SO HOPEFULLY WE CAN LEARN A LOT FROM THIS PARTICULAR PROCEDURE. ANOTHER THING THAT'S COMING UP SOON IS THE CLOSURE OF A STRETCH OF -- WHAT'S NOT THE INTERSTATE FRONTAGE RIGHT, IT'S THE EXTENSION OF THE FRONTAGE ROAD THAT BECOMES CAMERON ROAD IN FRONT OF THE MUELLER PROPERTY AND IT'S GOING TO BE CLOSED DOWN. IT'S THE JUG HANDLE SOLUTION TO A DIFFICULT PROBLEM, AND IT'S NOT A GOOD SOLUTION, BUT THERE'S NOT REALLY ONE. CACATELLUS, MY NEIGHBORHOOD, THE CITY, HAS ALL DONE I THINK A REALLY GOOD JOB IN TRYING TO NOTIFY NEIGHBORS PUTTING SIGNS UP ABOUT WHEN THIS IS GOING TO BE COMING, BUT IT'S EXPECTED TO HAPPEN IN -- AT LEAST BY SEPTEMBER. THE TRAFFIC WILL BE ROUTED FROM CURRENTLY WHERE YOU CAN GO FROM THAT TO CAMERON ROAD OR TO 51st STREET, IT WILL BE ROUTED INTO THE MUELLER SITE ON THE BOULEVARD THAT IS ALREADY THERE AND COME BACK OUT ON TO 51st ON LANCASTER. I'M SURE YOU'RE GOING TO

GET -- WITH ALL THE NOTICE, I'M SURE THERE WILL BE PEOPLE WHO ARE JUST GOING TO BE DUMBFOUNDED WHEN THIS HAPPENS AND YOU WILL START HEARING A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ABOUT IT. WHAT MY BIGGEST CONCERN IS THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE AN IMPACT FURTHER DOWN CAMERON ROAD WHERE THE THE FIRST YOU CAN COME BACK TO CAMERON FROM THE ACCESS ROAD THAT CONTINUES UNDER THE 51st STREET OVERPASS, YOU HAVE TO GO PAST CAPITAL PLAZA TO RYANLY AND THEN AT REINLI YOU CAN GET BACK TO CAMERON CAMERON ROAD. WE MAY BE LOOKING FOR SOME HELP FROM THE CITY WHEN THAT OCCURS. JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW ABOUT THOSE AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I WOULD BE GLAD TO ANSWER. AND I'LL TURN IT BACK OVER TO JIM.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

AGAIN, AS RICK JUST SAID, WE WANT TO LET YOU KNOW WHAT'S HAPPENING SO IF YOU GET A CALL, YOU CAN AT LEAST REFER PEOPLE TO THE MUELLER COMMISSION WHERE THE DISCUSSION IS MONTHLY AND LIVELY. TO SAY THE LEAST. HOUSING, YOU'VE ALSO BEEN HANDED OUT REPORTS ON THE SYMPOSIUM THAT CATELLUS SPONSORED TO FIGURE OUT HOW WITHIN THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING THIS INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT, HOW BEST TO INTEGRATE IT AND DISTRIBUTE IT ACROSS THE SITE, HOW TO REACH LOWER INCOMES, HOW TO REACH MORE FAMILIES, THE IDEA OF A COMMUNITY LAND TRUST, THE IDEA OF HOMEOWNER EDUCATION. THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST CONSPICUOUS EFFORTS AT MUELLER. IT WILL BE A LARGE SUCCESS TO THE MUELLER PLAN, BUT WE'RE REALLY WORKING HARD ON IT. REAL QUICK, THE MERGER BETWEEN CATELLUS AND (INDISCERNIBLE). WE DON'T HAVE ANY IMMEDIATE CONCERNS ABOUT THAT, IT'S JUST ANOTHER THING TO MONITOR AND WATCH OVER TIME AND BUILD RELATIONSHIPS AROUND. WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THE IDEA OF TRANSIT IN EXTENSION OF A FIXED SYSTEM TO MUELLER TO HELP ENERGIZE THE LAND USE THERE. WE APPRECIATE THE EFFORTS OF THE LEGISLATURE THIS PAST REGULAR SESSION TO HELP ENABLE THAT. THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS IN THE FALL AND WE STRONGLY ENCOURAGE STICKING ON THAT SCHEDULE. THE REGIONAL RETAIL DETAILS FOR THE NORTHWEST CORNER ARE

COMING OUT THIS FALL. THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, DRAINAGE IS AN ONGOING CONVERSATION, THE PRESERVATION OF THE HISTORIC STRUCTURES AND HANGING THE SIGN BACK UP ON THE TOWER IS A PERSONAL THING. AND THEN JUST A COUPLE OF KUDOS. THE TREES THAT CATELLUS IS SAVING ON THE SITE, THERE'S 500 PECAN TREES OUT THERE THAT ARE BEING STORED FOR USE IN THE PROJECT. AND SO THAT IS A -- IT'S KIND OF THE LATEST EXAMPLE OF CATELLUS'S EFFORTS TO MAKE THE ENTIRE MASTER PLAN DEVELOPMENT A SUSTAINABLE, GREEN PROJECT, TO GET EXISTING TREES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE BEEN TRIPPED TO SAVE FOR MUELLER AND CERTAINLY AUSTIN ENERGY DESERVES SOME KUDOS FOR THE CHILLED WATER PLANT ON SITE BECAUSE I THINK IT DID WIN AN AWARD ON THAT. IT'S THEIR ONGOING EFFORT. AND I WANT TO RECOGNIZE PERRY MORRIS AND JAY RODRIGUEZ WHO JUST ROLLED OFF THE COMMISSION ON FOR THEIR SERVICE ON THERE. I THINK I BEAT MY 15 MINUTE TIME. OF COURSE, WE'RE ABLE TO COME IN TO OFFICES AND TALK MORE ABOUT ANY OF THESE, BUT WE EXPECT TO COME BACK IN THE FALL WITH MORE ON THESE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. COMMENTS, COUNCIL, QUESTIONS? I GUESS I WOULD JUST LIKE TO -- I NOTICED THAT PARTICULARLY REGARDING RICK'S COMMENTS ABOUT THE WATER TOWER THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SPEND A LOT MORE TIME OVER THESE NEXT FEW WEEKS TO REALLY UNDERSTAND THE SCALE, SCOPE AND TIMING OF THAT PROJECT SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A GOOD AESTHETIC STATEMENT WITH THAT PROJECT.

I THINK AUSTIN WATER IS HEARING WITH WHAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS SAYING. I FEEL REALLY GOOD ABOUT THE PLACE WHERE THAT IS AT. BUT ANY MORE INTEREST FROM Y'ALL IS APPRECIATED.

RIGHT, THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: WE ALL LOOK FORWARD TO THE FUTURE MUELLER. SO COUNCIL, THAT TAKES US NOW TO OUR POSTED BRIEFING, ITEM NUMBER 124, WHICH IS A PRESENTATION ON LAND DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT ALONG SH 130 CORRIDOR. THIS HAS BEEN AN

EXHAUSTIVE ANALYSIS PUT TOGETHER BY CITY STAFF WITH HELP FROM OTHERS TO ANALYZE WHAT AS WE ALL KNOW IS ONE OF THE LARGEST INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS IN THE HISTORY OF CENTRAL TEXAS, ONGOING NOW, AND OUR CHALLENGE OF COURSE AS A COMMUNITY IS HOW DO WE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT IN SUCH A WAY AS TO -- FROM MY GOAL WOULD BE TO DRAMATICALLY CHANGE LAND USE PATTERNS TO BEGIN TO IMPLEMENT WHAT I THINK IS A PRETTY BROAD CONSENSUS VISION THAT CAME OUT OF THE ENVISION CENTRAL TEXAS PROJECT. AND WE'LL WELCOME MS. ALICE GLASGO.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS. I'M ALICE GLASGO, AND I'M THE DIRECTOR OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT. AND OUR PRESENTATION TODAY ON THE LAND DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT ALONG SH 130 CORRIDOR WILL INCLUDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW BY CHRIS RILEY WHO IS THE CHAIR OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAS BEEN WORKING WITH STAFF FOR A WHILE ON THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC. SO CHRIS RILEY WILL GIVE A PRELUDE TO OUR PRESENTATION. AND WITH ME THE OTHER PRESENTATION PARTNERS WITH ME TODAY ARE CHRIS LIPPY FROM WATER AND WASTEWATER AND OTHER STAFF MEMBER WHO WILL BE ON STANDBY TO RESPOND TO QUESTIONS WILL BE SONDRRA CRAYTON, THE CONNECTOR OF -- DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ALSO THE DIRECTOR OF WATERSHED PROTECTION AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW. WELCOME MR. RILEY.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MR. RILEY.

THANK YOU. I'M VERY GLAD TO BE HERE TODAY ALONG WITH MY COLLEAGUES FROM THE COMMISSION. IT'S A BIG DAY FOR LONG-TERM PLANNING IN AUSTIN. FOR YEARS NOW THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAS BEEN VERY INTERESTED IN SEEING HOW WE CAN WORK TOWARDS PROMOTING, SUSTAINABLE, COMPACT GROWTH IN KEEPING WITH THE PRINCIPLES UNDERLYING THE ENVISION CENTRAL TEXAS VISION STATEMENT. THE BASIC STATEMENT GIVING RISE TO THE WHOLE PROCESS IS WHAT IS THIS FIVE-COUNTY REGION GOING TO DO TO ACCOMMODATE THE 1.1 MILLION PEOPLE THAT WE CAN EXPECT TO ARRIVE HERE OVER THE

NEXT 20 TO 40 YEARS. THE PROCESS PROVIDED A FRAMEWORK FOR THAT QUESTION, BUT IT IS STILL UP AUSTIN AND OTHERS TO FILL IN THE DETAILS. STARTING ABOUT A YEAR AGO THROUGH CONVERSATION WITH STAFF AND OTHERS, THE PLANNING COMMISSION WAS WORKING ON THIS AND BEGAN TO REALIZE HOW IMPORTANT THE SH 130 CORRIDOR IS FROM THE STANDPOINT OF BRINGING THE ECT VISION TO REALITY. IT'S AN ENORMOUS AREA, ABOUT 300 SQUARE MILES. IT'S ALL WITHIN THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. THE AREA WILL POTENTIALLY HAVE GOOD ACCESS TO TRANSPORTATION OPPORTUNITIES, NOT JUST SH 130, BUT SEVERAL RAIL LINES AND RAPID BUS LINES. THERE ARE ALREADY PLANS FOR SEVERAL T.O.D. DISTRICTS WITHIN THE CORRIDOR. AND EVEN IF WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT SH 130 GOING IN, WE COULD EXPECT A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF NEW DEVELOPMENT TO BE GOING IN OUT THERE. BUT THE PROBLEM IS WE CAN'T JUST SIT BACK AND EXPECT POSITIVE DEVELOPMENT TO HAPPEN AUTOMATICALLY. THERE'S A REAL RISK THAT THE DEVELOPMENT WE SEE OUT THERE WILL BE IN THE STYLE OF ECT SCENARIO A, WHICH IS BUSINESS AS USUAL. OF THE 300 SQUARE MILES IN THE CORRIDOR, 175 SQUARE MILES ARE IN THE E.T.J., JUST OUTSIDE THE CITY LIMITS. THERE IS VERY LITTLE PLANNING IN THE AREA AND NO WAY TO SHAPE GROWTH IN THOSE AREAS THAT ARE OUTSIDE THE CITY LIMITS. SO WE TALKED ABOUT ALL THIS AT OUR RETREAT LAST JULY 17TH. WE ALL REMEMBER THE DATE BECAUSE THAT WAS ALICE GLASGO'S BIRTHDAY AND IT WAS A SATURDAY AND SHE WAS THERE ON HER BIRTHDAY. OKS A SATURDAY, AND WE TALKED ABOUT ALL THIS AND WE ASKED STAFF AT THAT TIME TO WORK WITH THE CITY MANAGER ON PURSUING ANNEXATION AND LAND USE PLANNING FOR THE SH 130 CORRIDOR. THEY'VE DONE SOME EXCELLENT WORK ON THAT INVOLVING A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND THE PRODUCT OF ALL THAT WORK IS THE REPORT YOU'RE ABOUT TO SEE. MEANWHILE, THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN COMMITTEE UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF MATT MOORE, HELD A SERIES OF MEETINGS WITH CITY PLANNERS, URBAN DESIGN AND TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF ENVISION CENTRAL TEXAS TO DISCUSS HOW TO INFLUENCE LAND DEVELOPMENT

ALONG THAT SH 130 CORRIDOR AND THE AREA BETWEEN THAT CORRIDOR AND THE URBAN EYES THE PART OF THE CITY. THIS WAS THE ONE TASK THAT THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HAS BEEN FOCUSING ON ALL YEAR. THE COMMUNITY'S WORK IS CULMINATED IN THIS REPORT, WHICH I THINK YOU ALL HAVE RECEIVED. IT WAS APPROVED BY THE FULL COMMISSION ON APRIL 26TH THIS YEAR. THE MAIN CONCLUSION WE SET OUT IN THE COMMITTEE'S REPORT IS THAT IMMEDIATE ACTION IS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH A FRAMEWORK FOR THOUGHTFUL URBAN PLANNING IN THIS IMPORTANT AREA. AND IN PARTICULAR WE LEARNED TWO THINGS. FIRST, WE RECOMMEND THAT THE COUNCIL CALL ON ENVISION CENTRAL TEXAS TO ENGAGE A CONSULTANT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING PLANS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SH 130 CORRIDOR. AND SECONDLY, WE ALSO SUGGEST THAT THE COUNCIL DIRECT STAFF TO FOCUS ON TRANSPORTATION PLANNING FOR THIS CORRIDOR TO ENSURE APPROPRIATE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION. CAMPO HAS A NETWORK OF ARTERIALS LAID OUT, BUT THERE'S VERY LITTLE IN THE WAY OF CONNECTORS, THAT A LOT OF PLANNING WORK TO BE DONE BY WAY OF FLESHING OUT THAT ARTERIAL NETWORK WITH GRIDS OF CONNECTORS STREETS THAT WOULD PROVIDE FOR LIVEABLE COMMUNITIES. AS WE NOTE IN THE REPORT AND AS STAFF WILL CONFIRM TODAY, THIS EFFORT DOES POSE SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES, BUT IT ALSO OFFERS HISTORIC OPPORTUNITIES FOR SHAPING THE GROWTH OF AUSTIN OVER THE COMING DECADES. WE REALLY APPRECIATE ALL THE WORK STAFF HAS PUT INTO THIS, AND I DON'T WANT TO TAKE ANY MORE TIME FROM THEIR PRESENTATION, SO WITH THAT, UNLESS THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL TURN IT BACK TO ALICE TO GET STARTED.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. RILEY. QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? THANK YOU, CHRIS. WELCOME BACK, ALICE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THE MAP BEFORE YOU SHOWS THE AREAS THAT WE FOCUSED ON. THE STATE HIGHWAY 130 STUDY IS AN ANALYSIS OF EXISTING CONDITIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS TO HELP DESCRIBE THIS 300 PLUS SQUARE MILE AREA, WHICH IS PART OF THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY IS FOR THE CITY OF

AUSTIN TO EVALUATE THE DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL ALONG THIS 861-MILLION-DOLLAR INVESTMENT IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHICH AREAS ARE APPROPRIATE FOR ANNEXATION AND LAND USE PLANNING. THE BOUNDARIES ARE IH-35 ON THE WESTERN EDGE, AS CAN YOU SEE ON THE MAP IN FRONT OF YOU, ON THE NORTH YOU HAVE FM 1825 AND THE COUNTY LINE TO EAST AND SOUTH. WE ALSO HAD A STUDY AREA INTO EIGHT SUB DISTRICTS FOR EASE OF ANALYSIS AND WE INTENTIONALLY INCLUDED LAND THAT IS IN THE CITY LIMITS IN ORDER TO MAP AND ASSESS CONNECTIVITY TO SH 130 AND THE URBAN CORE AS CHRIS JUST INDICATED THAT WE WILL NEED TO DO SOME FURTHER ASSESSMENT AS TO CONNECTIVITY FROM THE URBAN CORE ALL THE WAY TO SH 130 CORRIDOR. SO THAT'S WHAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO DO AND IS IMPORTANT AND NECESSARY FOR US TO INCLUDE LAND WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS AND LOOK AS IT FLOWS RIGHT TOWARDS SH 130 CORRIDOR. THE GOALS OF THE STUDY ARE AS FOLLOWS: TO EVALUATE THE GROWTH POTENTIAL OF THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE DEVELOPMENT OF I-35 AND ALONG THE SH 130 CORRIDOR. PLAN FOR INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT. IMPROVE PLANNING ACROSS JURISDICTIONAL LINES AND FOSTER COOPERATION AMONG MULTIPLE ENTITIES IN THE REGION. AND ATTRACT DEVELOPMENT ALONG THE SH 130 CORRIDOR THAT INCLUDES BASIC INDUSTRY, COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL USES. THE APPROACH USED IN THIS STUDY INCLUDED LOOKING AT SERVICE DELIVERY CAPACITY SUCH AS ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES, WATER, WASTEWATER, FIRE AND E.M.S. SERVICES. ON THE DEVELOPMENT TREND WE LOOKED AT EXISTING LAND USE AND ACCESSIBILITY OF ROADWAYS. AND UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES, WE LOOKED AT THE AREA'S TOPOGRAPHY AND FLOODPLAIN INFORMATION. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR SLIDE NUMBER 5 TO THE MAP OF THE CITY LIMITS, PLEASE. I'D LIKE TO GIVE YOU AN OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY AREA. THE STUDY AREA ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 300 SQUARE MILES, OF WHICH 175 175 SQUARE MILES ARE IN THE EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION. JUST TO GIVE YOU A PERSPECTIVE, THE MAP YOU SEE IN FRONT OF YOU, EVERYTHING IN YELLOW ARE THE CITY OF AUSTIN'S CORPORATE LIMITS. EVERYTHING IN BLUE DENOTES LAND WITHIN OUR EXTRA TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION. IH-35 EAST

OF THE HIGHWAY IS OUR STUDY AREA. AND WE'RE GOING TO POINT TO IH-35, TAKE YOU TO THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY, SHOW YOU HOW IT LOOPS ALL THE WAY AROUND. THIS IS THE AREA THAT ENCOMPASSES THE 300 SQUARE MILES. AND WHATEVER IS IN BLUE, THAT IS THE AREA THAT EQUALS 175 SQUARE MILES. THE POPULATION OF THE STUDY AREA IS AS FOLLOWS: IN THE YEAR 2000 THERE WERE 198,183 PEOPLE IN THE CITY LIMITS, 39,910 PEOPLE WERE IN THE EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, GIVING US A TOTAL OF 238,093 PEOPLE. THE ESTIMATED POPULATION FOR THE YEAR 2005 IS 230,825 PEOPLE INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS EAST OF I-35. THIS IS AGAIN IN THE STUDY AREA. AND 50,930 PEOPLE IN THE EXTRA TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION. FOR A TOTAL OF 281,755 PEOPLE. AGAIN, THIS IS THE STUDY AREA. MORE FACTS ABOUT THIS AREA, 31% OF LAND IS DEVELOPED. APPROXIMATELY 18% OF THE LAND HAS ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS SUCH AS FLOODPLAINS AND STEEP SLOPES, WHICH WILL NOT BE SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT. AND APPROXIMATELY 51% OF THE LAND AREA IS UNDEVELOPED AND UNCON STRAINED, WHICH MEANS THESE AREAS ARE SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT.

Mayor Wynn: MS. GLASGO, IF I CAN, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE EDITORIAL STATEMENT HERE IS THAT IN ONLY FIVE YEARS THIS STUDY AREA HAS GROWN IN POPULATION ALREADY BY OVER 40,000 PEOPLE. AND WE KNOW WE'RE ALREADY DOWN TO 50% ESSENTIALLY OF POTENTIAL DEVELOPABLE LAND. SO IT SEEMS TO MANY OF US THAT THE CHALLENGE HERE AND THE OPPORTUNITY HERE IS IF WE CAN DRAMATICALLY CHANGE THESE LAND USE PATTERNS, WE WON'T -- IF YOU CAN EXTRAPOLATE THAT SAME TREND, THIS ENTIRE LARGE SWATH OF THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE WITH THIS BILLION DOLLAR PIECE OF INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTED IN FACT WON'T BE ABLE TO HELP US DELIVER ON THE FUNDAMENTAL METHODS OF ENVISION CENTRAL TEXAS. THAT IS, HOW DO WE GET MANY MORE PEOPLE OF THESE PROJECTED MILLION OR SO MORE FOLKS IN THE NEXT GENERATION, YOU KNOW, TO UTILIZE THIS VERY DEVELOPABLE LAND? THAT IS, WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO CHANGE LAND USE PATTERNS IN SUCH A WAY WHEREAS THE NEXT 40,000 PEOPLE MOVE IN TO THIS STUDY AREA, WE DON'T USE UP THE SAME AMOUNT OF

DEVELOPABLE ACREAGE HAS THAT OCCURRED IN JUST THE LAST FIVE YEARS. SORRY.

THAT'S CORRECT, MAYOR. THAT IS THE WHOLE POINT OF SHOWING THE GROWTH PATTERNS WITHIN THE LAST FIVE YEARS AND AS WE GO FORWARD. THANK YOU. AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S REPORT ALSO EMPHASIZED THAT. THIS NEXT SLIDE GIVES AN OVERVIEW OF HOW WE RANKED THE STUDY AREA. AS I INDICATED EARLIER, WE DIVIDED THE AREA INTO THREE SUB DISTRICTS AND THEN HAVING LOOKED AT THE ATTRIBUTES OF EACH AREA. WE SELECTED THE TOP SUB DISTRICTS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR ANNEXATION, LAND USE PLANNING AND ZONING. AND THOSE SUB DISTRICTS ARE 8, 6 AND 4. I AM GOING TO WALK YOU THROUGH THOSE ELEMENTS AND THEIR ATTRIBUTES. SUBDISTRICT 8 RANKED THE HIGHEST, AND IT RANKED THE HIGHEST BECAUSE OF THE FOLLOWING ATTRIBUTES. THE AREA IS LOCATED ALONG A GROWTH CORRIDOR AND IS BETWEEN ROUND ROCK AND AUSTIN. THE AREA HAS AN ESTIMATED NEW RESIDENTS IN 2002 OF 12,000. THERE ARE SEVERAL LARGE EMPLOYERS IN THE AREA LIKE DELL, SAMSUNG AND APPLIED MATERIALS. THE AREA HAS GOOD EAST-WEST CONNECTIONS TO SH 130 SUCH AS IN THE PROPOSED HOWARD LANE AS WELL AS BEING ADJACENT TO THE U.S. 290 AND SH 130 INTERCHANGE. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

AREA 3 IN OUR RANKING OF ATTRIBUTES, MOST OF THE SUBDISTRICT IS WITHIN THE EXISTING CITY LIMITS AND RECEIVES CITY SERVICES. THERE ARE SEVEN ADOPTED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS AREA WITH CORRESPONDING REZONINGS.

SUBDISTRICT NUMBER 5 ALSO RANKS IN THE MEDIUM CATEGORY. MOST OF THE SUBDISTRICT IS WITHIN THE EXISTING CITY LIMITS AND ALSO RECEIVES CITY SERVICES. THIS AREA HAS 11 ADOPTED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS WITH CORRESPONDING REZONINGS. THERE ARE ALSO TWO PROPOSED TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS THAT YOU DESIGNATED A FEW WEEKS AGO THAT WE WILL BE CONTINUING TO ANALYZE AND DO SAPP SAPPs TO -- STATION AREA PLANS TO HELP WITH CONNECTIVITY AND MOVE CITIZENS EFFICIENTLY THROUGHOUT THE AREA. AREA

NUMBER 1 RANKED LOW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: THE MAJORITY OF THE SUBDISTRICT IS RURAL AND NOT NEAR EXISTING WATER AND WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND IS OUTSIDE THE CITY'S WATER CCN, WHICH IS THE STATE APPROVED SERVICE AREA FOR THE CITY OF AUSTIN. THIS AREA HAS A HIGH RATE OF GROWTH BUT CONCENTRATED JUST ALONG THE I-35 CORRIDOR. SUBDISTRICT 2 ALSO RANKED LOW. THE MAJORITY OF THE SUBDISTRICT IS ALSO RURAL AND NOT NEAR MAJOR WATER AND WASTE WATER SERVICES OR INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IS OFFERED BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN. ALSO A LOW [INDISCERNIBLE] AREA NUMBER 7 WHICH IS OUR LOWEST RANKING AREA HAS THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS RELATED TO THE AREA. IT'S MOSTLY OUTSIDE OUR SERVICE PROVISION AREA FOR WATER, WASTEWATER, THERE'S A GREAT DISTANCE FROM THE AREA TO WHERE OUR UTILITY NETWORK ENDS, AND THERE'S EXTENSIVE FLOODPLAIN ALONG DECKER CREEK AND ALONG THE COLORADO RIVER. WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT FOR US TO GIVE YOU A PAIR SON BETWEEN A SUBDISTRICT THAT RANKED HIGH AND THAT RANKED LOW. WE CHOSE SUBDISTRICT 8 TO COMPARE TO SUBDISTRICT 7. IN SUBDISTRICT 8 40% OF THE LAND IS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS. WHEREAS IN SUBDISTRICT 7 ONLY 6% OF THE LAND AREA IS IN THE CITY LIMITS. THAT GIVES YOU AN IDEA OF CHALLENGES FOR PROVIDING INFRASTRUCTURE CONNECTIONS. SUBDISTRICT 8 HAS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF RECENT DEVELOPMENT. WHEREAS SUBDISTRICT 7 HAS SEEN LOWER LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY WITH SCATTERED LAND USE PATTERN. SUBDISTRICT 8 HAS MAJOR DEVELOPMENT SITES LOCATED AT U.S. 290, PARMER LANE AND SH 130 TRIANGLE AREA. SUBDISTRICT 8 IS ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING UTILITY NETWORK THUS MAKING IT EASIER FOR US TO SERVE IF WE ANNEX THOSE AREAS THAT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED IN THE FUTURE. WHEREAS IN SUBDISTRICT 7, 60% OF THE AREA IS DIFFICULT TO SERVE WITH WATER AND WASTEWATER DUE TO THE EXISTENCE OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS AND THE DISTRICT FROM EXISTING NETWORK. THE FOLLOWING FEW SLIDES INCLUDE VARIOUS DETAILED INFORMATION REGARDING ALL OF THE SUBDISTRICTS, HOWEVER I AM JUST GOING TO CONCENTRATE ON SUBDISTRICTS 8, 6 AND 4. IN YOUR HANDOUT, WE HAVE GIVEN YOU INFORMATION THAT

RELATES TO THE OTHER SUBDISTRICTS, BUT I WILL NOT COVER THEM, I WILL LET YOU READ THOSE LATER ON. I'M STARTING OFF WITH SUBDISTRICT 8. WE. RELOOKED AT THE LAND USE, TRANSMISSION LINE, LOCATION OF FIRE STATIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES SUCH AS FLOODPLAINS, WE LOOKED AT THE POTENTIAL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN ALL THE AREAS. WE LOOKED AT THE PROXIMITY TO OUR UTILITY LINES. WE LOOKED AT WHETHER THE AREAS WERE EASY OR TOO NIEWTD CENTRAL TO SERVE OR -- NEUTRAL TO SERVE OR DIFFICULT TO SERVE, THAT IS ALSO SHOWN IN COLOR ON EACH OF THE MAPS ATTACHED TO EACH OF THE SUBDISTRICTS. A FEW FACTS ABOUT SUBDISTRICT 8. SOME OF IT MIGHT BE REPETITIVE, BUT IT'S NOT BAD TO HEAR SOME OF THEM AGAIN. IN SUBDISTRICT 8, 40% OF THE LAND IS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS. 56% OF THE LAND IS DEVELOPABLE. THIS IS LAND THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO FOCUS OUR ATTENTION ON PLANNING ONCE WE ANNEX THE AREA. THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS ARE PFLUGERVILLE, MANOR AROUND AISD. THIS AREA AGAIN HAS SEEN A LOT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE PAST AND WILL CONTINUE TO. THERE ARE LARGE AMOUNTS OF VACANT LAND WITHIN THE CITY LIMB, BUT MOST APPROVED PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS, FOR EXAMPLE HARRIS BRANCH AND PIONEER CROSSING, WHICH WAS APPROVED RECENTLY AND WILL BE THERE FOR DEVELOPING IN THE FUTURE. SOME POSITIVE HIGHLIGHTS ABOUT SUBDISTRICT 8, IT'S AN IDEAL LOCATION FOR ALL TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT, IT'S A MIDPOINT BETWEEN ROUND ROCK AND DOWNTOWN AUSTIN, IT INCLUDES SEVERAL EMPLOYERS, THEY STILL -- THERE'S STILL A LOT OF VACANT DEVELOPABLE LAND ALONG I-35, A TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT IS ALSO LOCATED IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA, FOR WHICH WE WILL BE DOING DETAILED STATION AREA PLANNING WITHIN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS. IN COMPARISON WITH OTHER SUBDISTRICTS, THERE'S A GOOD EAST-WEST CONNECTION, U.S. 290 AND PARMER LANE. MAJOR DEVELOPMENT SITES ALONG U.S. 290. PARMER LANE AND S.H. 130 TRIANGLE. THERE ARE A FEW NEGATIVES, THOUGH, JUST AS THERE ARE IN ALL OF THE SUBDISTRICTS. THIS AREA HAS TWO PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILLS. THERE IS SIGNIFICANT FLOOD AND EROSION THREAT, THREAT TO EXISTING HOUSES ALONG WALNUT CREEK. FOR WATER AND

WASTEWATER, A LARGE PORTION OF THE SUBDISTRICT IS IN THE CITY'S WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE AREA. THE SUBDISTRICT IS ADEQUATELY SERVED WITH THREE AUSTIN FIRE STATIONS BUT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO MEET RESPONSE TIME IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF S.H. 130, WHICH MEANS THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO PLAN OBVIOUSLY FOR ADDITIONAL SERVICE ONCE WE ANNEX THE AREA. AUSTIN ENERGY HAS EXISTING AND PROPOSED 138 KV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES TO PROVIDE POWER FROM DECKER POWER PLANT. THERE IS A SUBSTATION NEAR S.H. 130 ON THE NORTHERN PORTION OF THE SUBDISTRICT. FLOODING AND EROSION ALONG WALNUT CREEK PARTICULARLY CHIMNEY HILLS BOULEVARD AND QUIETT DRIVE. THERE IS A TOTAL OF 22 BUILDINGS, BOTH SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY IN THE FLOODPLAIN. THERE ARE NINE BUILDINGS OR HOUSES, THAT ARE THREATENED BY STREAM BANK EROSION. A SOLUTION HERE INCLUDES STRUCTURE BUYOUT AND STREAM BANK STABILIZATION FOR A COST OF \$10 MILLION. HOWEVER, THIS PROJECT IS IN THE COUNTY'S CURRENT BOND PROPOSAL FOR EROSION. THAT'S THE BOND PROPOSAL FOR 2006 THAT THE COUNTY IS ALSO PROPOSING. THE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK EXISTS, THREE EXIT RAMPS ON TO S.H. 130, PARMER, HOWARD, WELLS BRANCH PARKWAY ARE THOSE EXIT RAMPS. PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS WILL BE NEEDED TO EXTEND HOWARD LANE AND WELLS BRANCH PARKWAY TO CONNECT TO S.H. 130. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS US A ROADWAY NETWORK. WHAT EXISTS TODAY. THE SEGMENTS THAT ARE CONTEMPLATED IN THE CAMPO 2030 MOBILITY PLAN. AS YOU CAN SEE, THE AREAS THAT HAVE AN ASTERISK REPRESENTS WHERE WE WILL HAVE RAMPS ON TO S.H. 130, F.M. 734 AND PARMER LANE WILL HAVE AN EXIT RAMP AND THAT IS PROPOSED TO BE A MAJOR AT TEERL DIVIDER WITH -- EERLT DIVIDER -- ARTERIAL DIVIDER. WELLS BRANCH PARKWAY WILL ALSO HAVE A CONNECTION OR A RAMP ON TO S.H. 130 AND UNDER THE 2030 MOBILITY PLAN IT IS ALSO CONTEMPLATED HERE TO BE A MAJOR ARTERIAL DIVIDER, THAT'S WHAT MAD STANDS FOR WITH SIX PLANS. HOWARD LANE WILL WITH ANOTHER CONNECTION TO S.H. 130 AND WILL REMAIN A FOUR LANE DIVIDED ARTERIAL. OTHER ROADWAY NETWORKS, HERE ALSO F.M. 734 AND PARMER LANE WILL ALSO BE CONNECTED TO -- THIS SHOWS

YOU A MORE EXPENSIVE LIST OF THE ROADWAY NETWORKS IN THE AREA THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE 2030 CAMPO MOBILITY PLAN. SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUBDISTRICT 8. THIS AREA SHOULD BE A HIGH PRIORITY FOR FUTURE INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS AND ANNEXATIONS AS IDENTIFIED IN RED IN THE FOLLOWING MAP. I WILL HIGHLIGHT THAT WHEN WE GET TO IT. THE CITY WILL SET ASIDE FUNDS TO COMPLETE THE GAP OF HOWARD LANE TO CONNECT S.H. 130 CONTINGENT UPON TRAVIS COUNTY COMPLETING THE SECTION IN THEIR JURISDICTION. IF YOU COULD PUT THE MAP BACK ON THAT WOULD BE GREAT. WELLS BRANCH PARKWAY IS THE LAST RAMP CONNECTION PROPOSED ALONG S.H. 130 AND THE CITY OF AUSTIN EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION. THE CITY SHOULD ENCOURAGE TRAVIS COUNTY TO COMPLETE THIS CONNECTION TO S.H. 130. THE CITY SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO PLAN THE SECTION OF WELLS BRANCH PARKWAY, I-35 TO HEATHERWILDE AS TRAVIS COUNTY PURSUES PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION FROM HEATHERWILDE EAST TO S.H. 130. FINALLY, THE CITY SHOULD COORDINATE WITH THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND CAMPO, CAPITAL AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, ON PARMER LANE AND F.M. 734 CORRIDOR STUDY. AN INTERIM CONSTRUCTION UPGRADE TO A MAD 6 BY TXDOT AND POSSIBLE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS NEEDED IN THE FUTURE. THIS MAP IS A FOCUS AREA AND THE RED INDICATES THE AREAS THAT WE WILL BE RECOMMENDING FOR ANNEXATION. AND WE WILL SHOW AN OVERALL MAP TOWARDS THE END OF THE PRESENTATION ON THE OVERALL ANNEXATION BOUNDARIES THAT ARE PROPOSED BY STAFF. WE NOW MOVE TO SUBDISTRICT 6. SUBDISTRICT 6 IS THE NEXT DISTRICT WHERE WE ARE RECOMMENDING FOR SCENE OF THE ACCIDENT. AND THIS AREA HAS ALSO SEVERAL ATTRIBUTES. THE FACTS ABOUT THIS AREA ARE THAT 58% OF THE LAND IS WITHIN THE AUSTIN CITY LIMITS. 37% OF THE LAND AREA CAN BE DEVELOPED. SCHOOL DISTRICTS ARE AISD, [INDISCERNIBLE] AND DEL VALLE. THERE ARE THREE WEST WATER TREATMENT PLANTS, GOVALLE, WALNUT CREEK AND HORNSBY BEND. THE SUBDISTRICT CONTAINS WALTER E. LONG METRO PARK. DECKER LAKE COULD BE A MAGNET FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND SEVERAL GRASS

LANDS AND PRESERVES EXIST AROUND THE LAKE AREA. THERE'S A LOCATION OF A GOLF COURSE ALONG DECKER LANE. THERE ARE TWO RAIL LINES IN THE AREA, THERE'S AN EXISTING NORTH/SOUTH ARTERIAL THAT IS PARALLEL TO S.H. 130, HOWEVER THE DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IS LOW DUE TO THE DIFFICULTY OF PROVIDING WATER AND WASTEWATER IN THIS AREA AND CHRIS LIPPE WILL EMBELLISH A LITTLE BIT ON SOME OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDED IN THIS AREA IN THE FUTURE. THE WEST SIDE OF DECKER LANE JUST WEST OF THE POWER PLANT HAS PROPERTIES THAT WOULD BE EASY TO SERVE WITH WATER AND WASTEWATER, THOUGH. HIGHWAY 290 ON THE NORTH SIDE OFFERS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN THIS SUBDISTRICT. THE NEGATIVES FOR SUBDISTRICT 6 ARE: FLOODING AND EROSION FROM THE COLORADO RIVER HAS SEVERE IMPACTS TO LAND SOUTH OF F.M. 969, ALSO KNOWN AS MLK BOULEVARD, INCLUDING EXISTING RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS. THEY ARE SCATTERED LAND USE PATTERNS THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT. WHICH OBVIOUSLY WE CAN HELP TO -- THE LAND USE PLAN TO PROVIDE SOME INFILL OPPORTUNITIES THROUGH THE LAND USE PLANNING PROCESS. OUR WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE IN THIS PARTICULAR DISTRICT, THE CITY HAS THE POSSIBILITY OF SERVING THE AREA, IT WITHIN OUR SERVICE AREA. SO OUR POTENTIAL FOR THIS AND MR. LIPPE WILL ELABORATE ON THAT. ONE FIRE STATION IS LOCATED HERE. BUT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO MEET OUR RESPONSE TIME AND THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE ANALYSIS THAT WE DO WHEN WE COME THROUGH THE ANNEXATION PROCESS. AUSTIN ENERGY HAS 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINES. THERE'S NOTHING UNUSUAL, EXCEPTIONAL ABOUT THE DRAINAGE IN THIS AREA. THE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK IS THAT THERE ARE 3 EXIT RAMPS PLANNED FOR S.H. 130 FROM THIS PARTICULAR SUBDISTRICT. U.S. 290 EAST, THERE WILL BE AN EXIT RAMP ON TO S.H. 130, F.M. 973 AND F.M. 969. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THE ROADWAY NETWORK. ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE, WHICH SHOWS THE OVERALL NETWORK. THIS NEXT SLIDE SHOWS YOU WHAT IS PLANNED IN THE 2030 MOBILITY PLAN OF CAMPO. TO SHOW HOW THE ROADWAY NETWORK WILL BE HANDLED OR HOW IT IS CONTEMPLATED AND WILL HELP US AS WE DO A MORE REFINED ANALYSIS IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS FOLLOWING YOUR DIRECTIVE.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DISRIKDZ 6 ARE AS FOLLOWS: THIS AREA SHOULD BE A PRIORITY, ALSO, FOR FUTURE INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS AND ANNEXATIONS BECAUSE OF ITS CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE EXISTING CITY OF AUSTIN LIMITS, IT HAS GOOD TRANSPORTATION CONNECTION PROPOSED WITH U.S. 290. WOULD LIKE TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ON MAJOR PROPERTIES NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF U.S. 290 AND DECKER LANE. IF ANNEXED THE CITY SHOULD EXPLORE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO PLAN WITH TRAVIS COUNTY FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE BRAKER LANE AND PARMER LANE AND DECKER LAKE ROAD PROJECTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING CONTEMPLATED. CONTINUING ON WITH RECOMMENDATIONS. THERE IS A GOOD COLLECTOR SYSTEM CURRENTLY -- I'M SORRY, A GOOD COLLECTOR SYSTEM IS NEEDED IN THIS AREA IN ORDER TO MAXIMIZE CAPACITY AND IMPROVE CIRCULATION AND TRAVEL SAFETY. HOWEVER, THIS AREA HAS EXTENSIVE FLOODPLAIN WHICH WOULD RESULT IN A COSTLY ARTERIAL NETWORK. WE WILL BEGIN PROVIDE FURTHER ANALYSIS AS WE MOVE INTO THE PLANNING STAGES OF THIS AREA. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO PURSUE FEDERAL FUNDING OF TRAIL SYSTEMS ALONG WALNUT CREEK AND CAPITAL METRO RAIL LINE.

SUBDISTRICT 6 ALSO THE MAP THAT IS SHOWN HERE, THE AREA THAT'S WE WILL BE RECOMMENDING FOR ANNEXATION AND THE AREAS OBVIOUSLY DO NOT INCLUDE THE ENTIRE PLANNING AREA, BUT AREAS THAT MEET THE ELEMENTS THAT I DISCUSSED EARLIER AS FAR AS ATTRIBUTES THAT MAKE IT EASIER FOR US TO SERVE. SUBDISTRICT 4 IS THE AREA THAT IS CLOSEST TO OUR AIRPORT. IT IS THE AREA THAT IS SOUTH OF THE INTERCHANGE OF S.H. 130 AND STATE HIGHWAY 71. AUSTIN-BERGSTROM AIRPORT IS SOUTH OF 71. THIS AREA HAS 24% OF THE AREA IS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, 44% OF THE LAND IS DEVELOPABLE, A GOOD CHUNK OF LAND IS CURRENTLY NOT DEVELOPED. DEL VALLE AND AISD ARE THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN THE AREA. THERE ARE -

- THERE IS SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVE AREAS IN THE AREA SOUTH OF DRY CREEK. YOU HAVE SOME GRASS LANDS AND SOME WETLANDS IN THE AREA. THE AIRPORT AGAIN IS IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA WHICH PROVIDES FOR A GOOD AREA TO DO SOME PLANNING HERE. THE URBANIZED PORTION OF DEL VALLE

WAS RECENTLY ANNEXED INTO THE CITY LIMITS WHICH GIVES US SOME LAND USE CONTROLS AND WE CAN INCLUDE THAT IN OUR PLANNING EFFORTS AS WE MOVE FORWARD. SOME POSITIVE ATTRIBUTES FOR SUBDISTRICT 6 -- 4, RATHER. THIS IS A HIGH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL AREA WEST OF S.H. 130. INTERPORT MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT, INDUSTRIAL PARK IS CONTEMPLATED IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. THERE IS THE AVAILABILITY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE AREA. THE COLORADO RIVER CORRIDOR OFFERS POTENTIAL FUTURE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES. THERE ARE OTHER AMENITIES IN THIS AREA. HIGHWAY 71 OFFERS AN EXCELLENT DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY ALONG THAT CORRIDOR. A FEW NEGATIVES FOR THIS AREA. 69% OF THE AREA IS DIFFICULT TO SERVE WITH WATER WHILE 44% IS -- HAS CHALLENGES REGARDING WASTEWATER SERVICE DELIVERY. NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT HAS OCCURRED RAPIDLY IN THIS AREA WITHOUT SUPPORT OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT. SO IT WILL BE INTERESTING FOR US TO PLAN ON HOW LAND USES OCCUR OVER TIME IN THIS AREA SO THERE IS SOME COMPATIBILITY AND EFFICIENCY OF LAND USE. BROAD FLOODPLAINS EXIST ALONG THE COLORADO RIVER AND ONION CREEK, WHICH WOULD LIKELY LIMIT FUTURE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT. THERE ARE MANY LARGE SCALE GRAVEL AND QUARRY OPERATIONS ALONG COLORADO RIVER. MOST OF THE DEVELOPMENT NORTH OF THE AIRPORT IS IMPACTED BY THE AIRPORT OVERLAY ZONE, WHICH OBVIOUSLY LIMITS RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT BUT ALLOWS FOR COMMERCIAL AND STILL -- AND INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT. REGARDING THE PROVISION OR EXISTENCE OF UTILITIES, WATER, WASTEWATER AND FIRE. A LARGE PORTION OF THIS SUBDISTRICT IS WITHIN THE CITY'S SERVICE AREA, ALTHOUGH AN EXTENSION OF SERVICE IN GARFIELD WATER SYSTEM IS ALSO IN EXISTENCE AND IS LIMITED. WE HAVE A FIRE STATION NEED IN THIS AREA AND WILL HAVE TO PLAN FOR THAT AS WE MOVE FORWARD. DRAINAGE IN THIS AREA. MAJOR FLOODING OCCURS ALONG ONION CREEK EAST OF F.M. 973 AFFECTING TIMBER CREEK MOBILE HOME PARK. THERE ARE 100 MOBILE HOMES IN THE FLOODPLAIN. STRUCTURE BUY-OUT IS THE SOLUTION. THE COST FOR BUYOUT WOULD COST -- UP TO \$9 MILLION. THE BUYOUT MAY QUALIFY FOR FEDERAL COST SHARE UP TO

65%. THE COUNTY HAS INCLUDED THIS AREA IN ITS PROPOSED BOND PACKAGE FOR 2006. BOTH ONION CREEK AND THE COLORADO RIVER HAVE ALSO BROAD FLOODPLAINS IN THE AREA. OUR TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ALSO INCLUDES ROADS THAT HAVE CONNECTION ON TO S.H. 130. THERE'S AN EXIT RAMP ON TO HIGHWAY 71 AND ED BURLESON AND ELROY. AT BURLESON AND ELROY. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS THE ROADWAY SYSTEM AS ADOPTED BY THE CAMPO BOARD A FEW WEEKS AGO. SO THE 2030 MOBILITY PLAN WOULD INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING NETWORKS ON THE NEXT SLIDE. A FEW RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUBDISTRICT 4. THIS AREA IS A HIGH PRIORITY AREA FOR INVESTMENT IN INFRASTRUCTURE. IF THE CITY ANNEXES THIS AREA WE WOULD LIKE TO COOPERATE WITH TRAVIS COUNTY TO EXPLORE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF BURLESON, ELROY, U.S. 183, F.M. 973 AS PRIORITY ROADWAY AREAS AND TRAVIS COUNTY WILL ALSO -- IS CONTEMPLATING HAVING SOME FUNDS IN THEIR BOND PACKAGE FOR THE F.M. 973 DEVELOPMENT. THE CITY SHOULD ALSO ASSESS THE NEED FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIRE STATION TO SERVE THIS AREA SHOULD WE PURSUE THE ANNEXATION. THIS MAP SHOWS THE AREAS THAT WE WILL BE RECOMMENDING FOR ANNEXATIONS. AT THIS POINT, I WOULD LIKE TO PAUSE AND LET CHRIS LIPPE, THE DIRECTOR OF THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY, JUST GIVE YOU AN OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF UTILITY NEEDS AND ATTEMPTS TO INCORPORATE THAT INTO OUR PLANNING EFFORTS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO, WELCOME, MR. LIPPE.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS, LET ME GIVE YOU AN OVERVIEW -- FIRST OF ALL, LET ME PUT UP A COUPLE OF MAPS.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS WALK YOU THROUGH EXISTING FACILITIES, EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE, WHAT WE HAVE IN THE WORKS TODAY, SOME OF OUR PLANNED FACILITIES, SOME OF THE PROCESSES THAT WE GO THROUGH TO IMPLEMENT OUR PLAN PROJECTS. BEFORE I GET INTO SOME OF THOSE DETAILS, LET ME COMMENT A LITTLE BIT MORE ON THE SERVICE AREA. I'LL BE TALKING ABOUT AREAS 8, 6 AND 4 AGAIN, THE HIGH PRIORITY AREAS RECOMMENDED

FOR SERIOUS ANNEXATION CONSIDERATION. THESE AREAS ARE NORTH OF 290, BETWEEN 290 AND THE COLORADO RIVER AND THEN SOUTH AREA 4 IS JUST SOUTH OF THE RIVER AND EAST OF THE AIRPORT. MOST OF THESE AREAS, MUCH OF THE AREA IS IN OUR SERVICE AREA. WE HAVE A CCN APPLICATION THAT'S ALMOST COMPLETE TO DESIGNATE THIS AS STATE CERTIFIED SERVICE AREA. TO THE EASTERN EDGE OF ALL OF THESE THREE SUBDISTRICTS, MUCH OF THAT IS IN THE CITY LIMITS. AREA 6 -- AREA 6 IS ENTIRELY IN OUR CCN. AREAS 8 AND 4, THE EASTERN PORTIONS HAVE OTHER SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT ARE MORE RURAL IN NATURE. WE HAVE SEVERAL WATER SUPPLY CORPORATIONS THAT SERVE THOSE PORTIONS EVEN WITHIN AUSTIN'S E.T.J. WHAT WE -- WE DO A LOT OF PLANNING TOGETHER WITH THOSE DISTRICT. IN FACT WE HAVE A NUMBER OF AGREEMENTS. WE HAVE AGREEMENTS FOR WHOLESALE SERVICE, EMERGENCY SERVICE, AND WE ALSO -- SOME OF THOSE AGREEMENTS TYPICALLY RESULT IN THE DISTRICT'S AGREEING TO DEVELOP THE URBAN LEVEL OF GROWTH TO AUSTIN'S WATER AND WASTEWATER STANDARD SO WE ARE SET FOR FUTURE URBAN LEVELS OF GROWTH EVEN IF THOSE DISTRICTS THAT BORDER OUR CCN. AN ISSUE WITH THESE DISTRICTS IS THAT AS DEVELOPMENT MOVES FROM RURAL TO URBAN NATURE, THERE WILL BE MUCH MORE INTENSE NEEDS, LEVELS OF SERVICE NEED AND SOME OF THESE DISTRICTS MAY HAVE SOME DIFFICULTY AND SO WE JUST WORK TOGETHER CASE BY CASE AGAIN THROUGH AGREEMENTS THAT MAY BE WHOLESALE AGREEMENT OR IT MAY BE TRADING SOME AREAS WHERE -- WHERE THE CITY OF AUSTIN SYSTEM MAY BE MORE ABLE TO SERVE IN AN URBAN WAY. COMMENT ON THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE, THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT BENEFITS TO THE DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY AND TO THE COMMUNITY IN GENERAL FOR DEVELOPING IN THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. IN PARTICULAR, THE RELIABLE SERVICE AND THE LOWEST RATES IN CENTRAL TEXAS FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE FROM THE AUSTIN WATER UTILITY. SECOND IS THE LOWEST CAPITAL RECOVERY FEES IN CENTRAL TEXAS IN THIS AREA, BOTH FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER. AND THEN THIRDLY IS THE QUICK REIMBURSEMENT THAT'S PART OF THE INCENTIVES FOR -- IN

THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. IT'S A ONE-YEAR REIMBURSEMENT FOR THOSE ELIGIBLE PROJECT COSTS ON OVERSIZING OR 100% CONSTRUCTION REIMBURSEMENT ON THE MAJOR WATER AND WASTEWATER FACILITIES. SO THERE'S SOME SIGNIFICANT BENEFITS OF -- OF DEVELOPING IN THIS AREA, OF THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE. AT THIS POINT, LET ME JUST WALK THROUGH, GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF SOME OF THE MAJOR FACILITIES THAT -- THAT ARE CURRENTLY IN PLACE OR PROJECTS THAT ARE UNDERWAY. ON THE WATER SYSTEM, UP IN -- I'M GOING TO START FROM TOP TO BOTTOM. SO IN SUBDISTRICT 8, NOW THERE'S A -- THERE'S A 66-INCH TRANSMISSION MAIN AND THE EAST AUSTIN WATER RESERVOIR AND PUMP STATION, THAT'S A 12 MILLION-GALLON RESERVOIR AND A 54 MILLION-GALLON PER DAY PUMP STATION, AND THEN IT FEEDS MAJOR TRANSMISSION MAINS IN GILES ROAD, PARMER LANE, HOWARD LANE. SOME OF THESE, I'LL -- I WANT TO MENTION AS I GO THROUGH, SOME OF THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF METHODS THAT ARE USED TO IMPLEMENT THESE. ANOTHER EXAMPLE IS CAMERON ROAD EXTENSION, IT'S A WHOLESALE SERVICE LINE FOR MANVILLE WATER SUPPLY CORPORATION. THE CITY TAKES THAT OPPORTUNITY THEN TO OVERSIZE THAT LINE FOR FUTURE GROWTH. BECAUSE THAT LINE IS BEING PUT IN BYMAN MANVILLE WATER SUPPLY. WILD HORSE IS AN AREA THAT'S GOING TO BE STRENGTHENING, BUILDING NEW WATER LINES TO SERVE THE NEW DEVELOPING WILD HORSE DEVELOPMENT. WE HAVE A WATER LINE ON 969. GOING OUT TOWARD -- BETWEEN 183 AND DECKER LANE. MAYBE POSSIBLY BEYOND AND IT'S A -- IT'S A ROAD RECONSTRUCTION, RELOCATION PROJECT FOR THAT WATER LINE. THAT'S ANOTHER METHOD, OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO BUILD AN OVERSIZED NEW LINES. MOVING DOWN TO THE SOUTH OF THE RIVER, ANNEXED AREA PROGRAM, THE DEL VALLE ANNEXATION PROGRAM. WE HAVE CONSTRUCTED A LOT OF NEW LINES. AGAIN, PROVIDED FOR THE FUTURE, SIZING THE FUTURE CAPACITY AS WE DID THAT. EAST OF THE -- EAST OF 130, THERE'S A NUMBER OF MAJOR LINES BEING CONSTRUCTED. SERVICE EXTENSION PROCESS IS BEING USED. IN THIS AREA IN PARTICULAR, THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A PARTNERSHIP APPROACH THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO USE MORE IN THE FUTURE. WE HAVE GOT A NUMBER OF DEVELOPERS ALL

VERY INTERESTED IN -- IN GETTING SOME WATER LINES THAT GO TOGETHER IN A PARTNERSHIP AND SHARE IN THE -- IN THE FINANCING AND ENGINEERING COSTS UP FRONT SO THAT NOT JUST THE FIRST SUBDIVISION OR PROJECT THAT COMES OUT OF THE GATE HAS TO PICK ALL OF THAT UP. BUT SHARING OF THOSE SOFT COSTS AND THEN THE CITY REUPURSES THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS. SO ALL OF -- REIMBURSES. SO THE WATER SYSTEM HERE IS FAIRLY STRONG IN TERMS OF MAJOR WATER AND MAJOR FACILITIES IN THE AREA. AND I'LL GET TO SOME OF OUR FUTURE PLANS IN JUST A MINUTE. WASTEWATER, A QUICK LOOK AT EXISTING AND ONGOING PROJECTS. HARRIS BRANCH IS A WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT NORTH OF 290. THAT WAS EXPANDED. WE BUILT A SECOND PLANT AT HARRIS BRANCH TO PROVIDE FOR FUTURE GROWTH. WILD HORSE IS CONSTRUCTING A WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SOUTH OF MANOR. AND IN FACT THEY ARE RELIEVING THE MANOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND AUSTIN WILL BE SERVING MANOR AS A WHOLESALE CUSTOMER IN THE FUTURE. THERE'S AN INTERPRET TOWN THEN THAT GOES FROM ROUGHLY 290 COUNCIL 290 DOWN TO THAT PLANT. THE SERVICE EXTENSION AGREEMENT LONG-TERM WILL BUILD A NUMBER OF MAJOR INTERCEPTORS IN THAT AREA. TO EXTEND IT UP [INDISCERNIBLE] CREEK, ACROSS 290 TO PROVIDE THAT POINT OF CONNECTION FOR MAJOR FLOWS FROM SUBDISTRICT 8. SO NORTH OF 290 WILL HAVE THAT -- 290 WILL HAVE THAT LOCATION ALREADY ON THE NORTH SIDE OF 290 TO CONNECT TO. MOVING DOWN TO THE SOUTH AREA. I GUESS THE KEY MAJOR FACILITY RIGHT NOW THAT SERVES EVERYTHING EAST OF 130 IS A LIFT STATION, PIERCE LANE LIFT STATION. THEN AGAIN THE ANNEXED AREA OF THE DEL VALLE ANNEXATION PROGRAM CONSTRUCTED A LOT OF NEW WASTE WATER FACILITIES IN THAT AREA AS WELL. JUST TO KIND OF SUM UP, WE HAVE 265 MILES OF WATER LINE AND 223 MILES OF WASTEWATER LINE IN THIS AREA. IN ADDITION TO SOME OF THOSE MAJOR TYPES OF TREATMENT AND PUMPING FACILITIES THAT I MENTIONED. WE CONTINUE PLANNING FOR THE GROWTH OF THIS AREA. LET ME COMMENT ON OUR PLANNING PROCESSING OUT, LOOKS OUT 40 YEARS INTO THE FUTURE, PROJECTS GROWTH, DENSITY AND THEN IDENTIFIED THE TYPES OF LINES AND FACILITIES THAT ARE

NEEDED TO SERVE THAT TYPE OF GROWTH. WE BACK THAT UP WITH A 20 YEAR PLANS, 10 YEAR PLANS, WE ACTUALLY THEN PREPARE A 10 YEAR C.I.P. SPENDING PLAN AND THEN A MORE DETAILED FIVE-YEAR C.I.P. SPENDING PLAN WHICH IS PART OF YOUR FIVE YEAR FORECAST, YEAR TO YEAR PART OF THE BUDGET YOU AUTHORIZE FUNDING FOR JUST THE FIRST YEAR OF THAT FIVE YEAR PROGRAM. THEN PROJECT BY PROJECT, THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVES EACH OF THOSE PROJECTS AS WE GO, YOU WILL GET A CHANCE TO SEE A LOT OF THOSE PROJECTS THAT I MENTIONED HERE COMING BEFORE YOU SOON. WE TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE FIVE AND 10 YEAR PROJECTS TO PROVIDE GROWTH, FOR GROWTH IN THIS AREA. WE ARE GOING -- WHAT SHOWS ON THIS MAP FOR WASTEWATER, FOR EXAMPLE, IS OUR PROPOSED LINES, PROJECTED LINES NEEDED UP IN THE HARRIS AND GILLELAND BASIN, EACH OF THOSE BRANCHES. WILD HORSE WILL CONTINUE, OF COURSE. IN THE SOUTH, WHEN THE LIFT STATION, THE PIERCE LANE LIFT STATION COMES TO -- TO FULL CAPACITY, WE HAVE A PLAN FOR A DRAINAGE BASIN THAT FLOWS TO THE EAST AWAY FROM ANY OF AUSTIN'S CURRENT FACILITIES. WE HAVE PROPOSED A -- A WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT. WE ACTUALLY ALREADY HAVE THE LAND AND THE WASTEWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT FROM TCEQ SO WE ARE SET TO GO WITH THAT AT THE PROPER TIME WHEN THE CAPACITY OF THE PIERCE LANE LIFT STATION IS -- IS FULL. LET ME -- LET ME JUST COMMENT ABOUT SOME OF THE PROCESSES AGAIN. FIRST KIND OF A SUMMARY. SERVICE EXTENSIONS IS ONE OF THE WAYS TO GET SERVICE OUT INTO CURRENTLY UNDEVELOPED AREA. THE CITY COUNCIL HAS APPROVED ALMOST \$3 MILLION IN BUMPLT PROJECTS FOR A TOTAL OF -- REIMBURSEMENT PROJECTS FOR A TOTAL OF -- SERVICE EXTENSION REQUESTS AND 84 WASTEWATER SERVICE EXTENSION REQUESTS THAT ARE OUT AND PENDING, NOT -- THE MINORITY OF THOSE ACTUALLY HAVE A REIMBURSEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH IT. BUT FOR THOSE ONES THAT DO, THERE'S \$49 MILLION APPROVED REIMBURSEMENTS, AND SOME OF THOSE ARE IN THE WORKS IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS AND BASICALLY THE TIMING IS UP TO THE DEVELOPER. ON THE C.I.P. SPENDING PLANS, WE ARE LOOKING AT ABOUT \$12 MILLION PER YEAR WATER AND WASTEWATER JUST IN THESE AREAS. \$64

MILLION IS IN SPENDING PLAN FOR THE NEXT FIVE YEARS. AND THEN FOR THE -- IN OUR 10 YEAR PLAN IT MATCHES THAT ALMOST DOUBLE, SO IT'S \$124 MILLION OF SPENDING IN THIS AREA. THE TYPE -- THE WAY WE WOULD IMPLEMENT THOSE PROJECTS THEN, IS SERVICE EXTENSION PROJECTS, DEVELOPER CONTRACTS FOR REIMBURSEMENT, ROAD RELOCATIONS AND OVERSIZING EVERY CHANCE WE GET ON THOSE, ANNEXATION PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS, REHABILITATION PROJECTS AS -- AS SOME OF THE SYSTEMS AGE, WHEN WE REPLACE WE CERTAINLY LOOK AT OUR LATEST PLANNING INFORMATION AND SIZE APPROPRIATELY. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO DO IS AS THE PLANNING PROCESS MOVES FORWARD FROM HERE, WE WANT TO STAY VERY INVOLVED WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING LAND USE AND ZONING PUBLIC PROCESS AND THEN FOLLOW UP ON THAT BY TRYING TO CREATE MORE PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE -- WITH THE DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY. FOR ALL OF THOSE WHO ARE WILLING TO COME TOGETHER AND SHARE THE BENEFIT OF THE PARTICULAR PROJECT, WE WOULD LIKE TO GET A LOT OF INVOLVEMENT IN THE PLANNING EASEMENT ACQUISITION, EASEMENT DEDICATION, AND POSSIBLY EVEN IN SHARING IN THOSE SOFT COSTS OF FINANCING AND PROVIDING FOR THE DESIGN AND THEN AT THAT POINT THE CITY IS -- IS SET TO EITHER BILL THOSE AS A C.I.P. OR REIMBURSE THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS IF -- IF THE DEVELOPER TAKES THE LEAD. AND THEN IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE OTHER PROCESSES, AS I MENTIONED, OF RELOCATIONS, ANNEXATIONS, AND OTHER C.I.P. PROJECTS. SO THAT'S KIND OF THE OVERVIEW OF WHERE WE ARE AT, WHERE WE ARE GOING. LET ME TURN IT BACK OVER TO ALICE GLASGO THEN WE WILL BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: THANKS, MR. LIPPE. BEFORE YOU STEP AWAY, SEEMS TO ME AS THE WATER AND WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT, YOU ALL HISTORICALLY HAVE HAD THESE 40 YEAR, YOU KNOW, GROWTH PROJECTIONS. MY GUESS IS YOU'VE HAD A PROGRAM EXTRAPOLATING CURRENT ROAD TRENDS. THE FUNDAMENTAL PART OF THIS ANALYSIS, THE MOST JURKS CERNIBLE] TO CHANGE THAT, AS WE HAVE SEEN, 40,000 PEOPLE MOVED INTO THIS CORRIDOR IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. AS OPPOSED TO SIMPLY EXTRAPOLATING

THAT TO THE NEXT FOUR YEARS AND HAVING OUR LONG TERM WATER AND WASTEWATER PLAN TO SOME DEGREE DERIVED FROM THAT OR THOSE FOLKS THAT WE CAN SERVE, IS THAT HOW DO WE FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE SOME OF THIS AND THEREFORE OUR WATER AND WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT, OUR ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT, OUR ANNEXATION PLANS, OUR PART OF, YOU KNOW, ROADWAY INFRASTRUCTURE, OUR RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION FOR GREENGREENBELT CONNECTION, YOU KNOW, WE CHANGE THAT IN ORDER TO -- TO HELP DRIVE THIS. SO I'M -- I HAVE SEEN THE GOOD JOB THAT YOU ALL HAVE DONE FOR LONG-TERM PLANNING IN THE PAST. BUT THIS IS THE -- YOU KNOW IT'S OUR ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO PERHAPS CHANGE THAT DYNAMIC. SO TO THE EXTENT THAT YOUR DEPARTMENT IS INTEGRAL TO THAT, IT'S GOING TO BE A BIG PART OF WHETHER WE ARE SUCCESSFUL OR NOT. I HOPE IN ADDITION TO THIS CHANGE IN LAND USE, WE SORT OF CHANGE OUR INTERNAL PROCESSES ON HOW WE TRY TO THINK THROUGH, YOU KNOW, LONG-TERM INVESTMENT STRATEGIES FOR OUR UTILITIES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

Leffingwell: SOMETIME AGO, MAYBE IT'S A YEAR AGO, I KNOW WE DISCUSSED AN ECONOMY DECIDED PROCESS THAT -- EXPEDITED PROJECT THAT WOULD REQUIRE A FULL STUDY TO REQUEST VARIANCES FOR CONSTRUCTING SEWER LINES I BELIEVE IT WAS WALLER AND GILLELAND. THIS MAY BE MORE IN MR. PANTALION'S AREA THAN YOU S. YOUI WANTED TO N IF THAT'S IN PLACE, MEANT TO ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT IN THE PART OF THIS DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE.

YOU ARE NOT REFERRING TO THE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM.

Futrell: NOW, HERE'S JOE PANTALION. HE CAN SPEAK TO THAT. IT IS MORE IN THE WATERSHED PROTECTION COUNCILMEMBER'S AREA.

COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, WHAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO IS A SCENARIO WHEREBY A PROPOSAL

COULD COME INTO THE DEPARTMENT FOR, SAY, WASTEWATER LINE INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION ALONG SOME OF OUR STREAMS AND CREEKS. AND HAVE THAT BE DONE IN SUCH A WAY THAT THE FULL SET OF PLANS DID NOT HAVE TO COME IN THAT WE COULD LOOK AT IT FROM JUST THE ENVIRONMENTAL STANDPOINT, REQUIRE THE PROPOSER TO SUBMIT ENOUGH INFORMATION SO THAT STAFF COULD THEN TAKE THAT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD TO GET A YEA OR NAY, YES/NO ANSWER AS OPPOSED TO THEM SPENDING A LOT OF MONEY ON DESIGN ENGINEERING PLANS. THAT PROCESS IS AVAILABLE, UP AND RUNNING, WE HAVE YET TO GET SOMEONE TO SUBMIT UNDER THAT.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

Glasgo: I'M GOING TO CONCLUDE WITH A WRAPUP OF OVERALL RECOMMENDATIONS. WE HAVE FOUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO OFFER. THEY ARE 1, TO BEGIN WORK ON A PLAN FOR ANNEXATION IN ORDER TO BRING AREAS IDENTIFIED IN SUBDISTRICTS 8, 6 AND 4 INTO THE CITY OF AUSTIN CITY LIMITS ALONG WITH THE LAND USE PLAN AND ZONING TO REFLECT THE LAND USE PLAN. 2, WE WOULD LIKE TO EXPLORE A MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THESE AREAS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE ADEQUACY OF INFRASTRUCTURE. 3, WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR ECONOMIC -- THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO MARKET THESE AREAS FOR FUTURE INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS. AND FINALLY, WE WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE EXPLORING PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO PLAN FOR ARTERIAL EXTENSIONS TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO S.H. 130 THROUGH THE AUSTIN METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROCESS. MAYOR, OUR NEXT MAP SHOWS THE AREAS THAT WE ARE RECOMMENDING FOR ANNEXATION. SO THE AREA IN RED DENOTES THE FOCUS AREAS FOR PROPOSED ANNEXATIONS SHOULD YOU DIRECT US TO DO THAT. FOR NEXT STEPS, SINCE TODAY IT WAS JUST A BRIEFING, THE NEXT STEP WOULD BE IF YOU SO DESIRE WOULD BE TO DIRECT THE CITY MANAGER TO PURSUE ANNEXATION OF THE PRIORITY AREAS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. ALONG WITH LAND USE AND ZONING PLANNING. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, IN CONCLUSION, THAT THIS WOULD BE THE FIRST TIME,

IF WE WERE TO PURSUE ANNEXATION LAND USE PLANNING AND ZONING, THAT WE WOULD WORK ON A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT INCLUDES LOOKING AT YOUR INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS, WATER AND WASTEWATER, LOOKING AT DRAINAGE NEEDS, COMING UP WITH A MASTER PLAN THAT WOULD INCLUDE ALL OF THOSE ELEMENTS FOR AN AREA THAT HAS SOME INTERESTING OPPORTUNITIES. SO WE ARE EXCITED ABOUT IT. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR LISTENING TO US TODAY. THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO, COUNCIL, BEFORE I OPEN IT UP FOR DISCUSSIONS, I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE HERE SAT THROUGH THE ENTIRE PRESENTATION IS STATE REPRESENTATIVE AND CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE, MIKE KRUSEE, WELCOME AND THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE, THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE. COUNCIL, QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT IS THE TIME LINE IN TERMS OF THE STUDY FOR DECIDING WATER TREATMENT PLANT BEFORE AND THIS STUDY IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT THE WATER NEEDS AND WHAT OUR CAPACITY IS THAT WE CAN SUPPLY TO THIS AREA, GIVEN THE CURRENT LOCATIONS OF OUR CURRENT PLANS, BUT ALSO A PROPOSED SITING FOR THE NEW PLANT.

Glasgo: FOR UTILITIES?

FOR WATER.

Futrell: CHRIS IS RIGHT BEHIND YOU ALICE. IF YOU WILL STEP UP AND EXPLAIN WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4'S CONNECTION IF ANY TO THESE ANNEXATION PLANS AS WELL AS MOVING FORWARD ON THE TIME LINE.

OKAY. I GUESS FIRST NOTE IS THAT OUR -- THE ENTIRE WATER SYSTEM IS INTERCONNECTED. SO THIS AREA TODAY IS SERVED BY WATER FROM THE ULRICH, GREEN AND DAVIS WATER TREATMENT PLANT DEPENDING ON WHERE THE DEMANDS ARE IN ANY GIVEN DAY. WATER TREATMENT PLANT 4 IS JUST THE IS JUST THE NEXT SYSTEM-WIDE CAPACITY, IT HAS ADVANTAGES IN TERMS OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY, DRAWING WATER FROM ANOTHER NEW SOURCE OF WATER LAKE TRAVIS. WHAT WOULD TEND TO

HAPPEN IS THAT WATER WOULD THEN PROVIDE SERVICE TO THE HIGH ELEVATIONS, BE VERY ENERGY EFFICIENT PLAN. THE HIGH ELEVATIONS OF NORTH AND NORTHWEST AUSTIN, AND ALLOWING FUTURE GROWTH TO TAKE THEIR WATER FROM CONTINUE TAKING THEIR WATER FROM GREEN AND THE NEW GREEN ULRICH AND THE DAVIS WATER TREATMENT PLANT. REALLY A SYSTEM CASH WIDE CAPACITY TRACKING AND PLANNING AND THE PROJECTED NEED FOR ADDITIONAL CAPACITY SYSTEM-WIDE IS 2011 AND 2012 TIME FRAME.

Kim: WHAT ABOUT THE FACT THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT PROPOSED SITE, NOT JUST THE ONE OVER AT LAKE TRAVIS, WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE IN COST OF THE TERMS OF TRANSMISSION LINES, JUST MILES FROM THE PROPOSED SITE TO AN ALTERNATE SITE AS WELL AS THE COSTS FOR THE INTAKE?

THE TRANSPORTATION -- ACTUALLY THERE'S A BOTTLENECK BETWEEN CENTRAL AUSTIN AND THE NEED FOR GROWING NEED FOR WATER IN NORTH AND NORTHWEST AUSTIN. TREATMENT PLANT 4 OR A PLANT TAKING WATER FROM LAKE TRAVIS WOULD THEN BE ABLE TO BASICALLY SUBSTITUTE THAT WATER FOR WATER THAT OTHERWISE IS HAVING TO BE TRANSPORTED FROM KIND OF THE WORST CASE, THE WORST EXAMPLE OF LONG TRANSPORTATION IS FROM THE ULRICH WATER TREATMENT PLANT ALL THE WAY OUT TO THE EAST AUSTIN RESERVOIR AND PUMP STATION NEAR MANOR ALL THE WAY BACK THEN UP TO THE NORTHWEST. ALL THE WAY TO ANDERSON MILL. THAT IS A LONG TRANSPORTATION, WE ARE TRYING TO GET THAT MORE EVENED OUT. WELL NORTH AND NORTHWEST IS PROVIDED BY A PLANT TO THE NORTHWEST AND THEN SOUTHEAST AND CENTRAL AND EAST CAN BE PROVIDED BY AGAIN DAVIS. DAVIS WOULD BE ABLE TO SWING, SUBSTITUTE AND SWING BACK AROUND. THAT'S KIND OF THE TRANSPORTATION BALANCING THAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO WITH ANOTHER PLANT.

Kim: WILL THAT BE INCLUDED IN THE COST OF THE ANNEXATION STUDY IN TERMS OF WHERE IF WE WERE GOING TO HAVE PROJECTED GROWTH THERE THE COST OF HAVING THAT PLANT VERSUS AN ALTERNATE SIDE WHICH

WOULD BE PROBABLY IN THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE OR CLOSER TO THE DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE?

WE ARE GOING TO PRESENT THE TRANSPORTATION PICTURE ALONG WITH THE OVERALL PLAN WHEN WE COME BACK IN OCTOBER. WE ARE GOING TO BE ANSWERING A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AS WE TALKED ABOUT A FEW WEEKS AGO. THE TRANSPORTATION IS ONE OF THE KEY ELEMENTS OF WHAT MAKES THE PLAN WORK AND SO WE WILL BE LOOKING AT THAT. AND COMING BACK TO DISCUSS IT AND PRESENT.

Kim: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

OKAY.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN?

McCracken: THIS IS SORT OF A TECHNICAL QUESTION. WHAT IS OUR ABILITY TO INFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT IN TRANSPORTATION LAND USE -- OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, A WIDE ABILITY TO DO THIS WHEN WE HAVE ANNEXED PIECES OF LAND. BUT WHAT IF THE LAND IS WITHIN OUR E.T.J. BUT IS NOT ANNEXED? WHAT ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT LAND USE TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT DO WE HAVE IN AREAS OF AN E.T.J.?

WE DO NOT HAVE AUTHORITY TO INFLUENCE LAND USE CONTROLS IN THE E.T.J. AT ALL. THE ROADWAY SYSTEM IS HANDLED BY THE COUNTY OR -- IN THOSE AREAS. FOR EXAMPLE TRAVIS COUNTY IT'S THEIR JURISDICTION.

IF -- THIS KIND OF GETS TO THE CRITICAL ELEMENT THAT TRANSPORTATION DRIVES LAND USE. SO IF WE [INDISCERNIBLE] ANNEX LAND, DO WE NOT HAVE ABILITY TO INFLUENCE THE TRANSPORTATION OF IT?

I'M SORRY? >>

McCracken: IF WE DO NOT ANNEX CERTAIN AREAS OF LAND, THAT MEANS THAT WE WILL HAVE NO ABILITY TO INFLUENCE WHERE THE ROADS WILL GO?

CORRECT. THE ANNEXATION OBVIOUSLY GIVES US A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES TO AFFECT LAND USEMENT LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION GO TOGETHER. SO ANNEXATION WOULD ADDRESS THAT.

McCracken: CHAIRMAN RILEY, I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH HIM ON THE -- HOW CRITICAL IT'S GOING TO BE TO RETURN TO THE ELEMENTS THAT WERE HALLMARKS OF URBAN PLANNING UP TO 1945, THAT IS A GRID BASED STREET NETWORK, YOU KNOW. IF WE ADOPT HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO THAT WITHOUT ANNEXATION THAT SUGGESTS TO ME AT LEAST WE NEED TO LOOK AT A MORE AGGRESSIVE ANNEXATION PROGRAM THAN SIMPLY 8, 6 AND 4, YOU KNOW. BECAUSE WE'LL HAVE NO OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE A -- TO HAVE A BROADER ABILITY TO HAVE A SYSTEM-WIDE FUNCTIONING URBAN ENVIRONMENT WITHOUT PLANNING OUT WHERE THE STREETS GO, YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN? THE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE ISN'T THERE YET. THAT WILL OBVIOUSLY PUT SOME TIME LIMITS ON WHERE DEVELOPMENT IS. TO PLAN IT OUT, IF WE HAVE TO ANNEX THE PLAN, SOUNDS LIKE WE PROBABLY NEED TO ANNEX AS MUCH AS WE CAN.

WE CAN COST IT FOR YOU. DO REMEMBER WITH ANNEXATION COMES AN OBLIGATION TO SERVE. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE DID IN THIS PRESENTATION WAS SHOW YOU THE AREAS WHERE WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO SERVE IN A WAY THAT DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE COST PROHIBIT ACTIVE AT THIS POINT. BUT IN YOUR PACKET, AREA BY AREA, WE HAVE ASSESSED THE AVAILABILITY OF INFRASTRUCTURE, A - - BARRIERS TO DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS A VARIETY OF OTHER THINGS ON OUR INFRASTRUCTURE WATER AND WASTEWATER, DRAINAGE, ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES. I THINK EVEN SCHOOL DISTRICTS, LAND USE, PROXIMITY. THERE ARE VERY, THOUGH, CLEAR AND FINANCIAL COSTS TO ANNEXATIONS AND A VERY STRICT OBLIGATION TO SERVE AND THE LEVEL OF SERVICE THAT HAS TO COME WITH ANNEXATION. THE FIRST AREAS THAT -- THAT ALICE HAS SHOWN YOU ARE AREAS THAT WE KNOW WE CAN BRING ON. THAT WE HAVE A -- AN ABILITY TO SERVE WITH A MINIMAL INVESTMENT AND A HIGH RETURN ON OUR ABILITY TO INFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE THE AMOUNT OF LAND THAT IS LEFT TO BE ABLE TO PLAN AND ZONE. BUT WE

CAN GO AREA BY AREA THROUGH YOUR PACKET AND EVALUATE AND COST FOR YOU WHAT IT TAKES TO BRING ON MORE.

McCracken: YEAH, THIS BRINGS UP A RELATED ISSUE, TOO. THAT IS ANOTHER IN THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAS DONE A GREAT JOB OF HIGHLIGHTING IT'S THIS. THERE IS TWO WAYS TO DEVELOP AND ONE WAY IS THAT THE WAY THAT WE HAVE ALLOWED OURSELVES TO DEVELOP OVER THE LAST 55, 60 YEARS, WHICH IS THIS KIND OF SPRAWL MODEL, INDIVIDUAL LANDOWNERS MAKE THE DECISIONS WHICH ARE HIGHLY UNCOORDINATED BASED ON ACCESS TO A HIGHWAY OR AN ARTERIAL. SOME OF OUR NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES MOST NOTABLY PFLUGERVILLE HAVE DISCOVERED THAT THIS MODEL LEADS TO DEVELOPMENT THAT COSTS MORE TO SERVICE THAN IT PRODUCES IN TAXES. ON THE OTHER HAND WE ARE SITTING IN AN AREA OF THE TOWN RIGHT NOW THAT PRODUCES FAR MORE IN TAXES THAN IT CONSUMES IN SERVICES. AND SO ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS IS AS YOU DO YOUR LAND USE PLANNING, IN THE AREA THAT YOU POTENTIALLY ANNEX, YOU DO LAND USE PLANNING THROUGH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT -- THAT FOCUSES ON DEVELOPMENT THAT PRODUCES MORE IN TAXES THAN IT COSTS IN SERVICE. IDEALLY THIS WOULD AMELIORATE SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT ARE VERY REAL CONCERNS. IF WE DO REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS AND IT'S LAND USE TYPES PRODUCE MORE SERVICE TAXES THAN SERVICES, THEN WE WILL BE IN THE RIGHT SIDE OF DEVELOPMENT. IF WE DON'T PLAN THAT IN ADVANCE WE WILL BE ON THE WRONG SIDE OF DEVELOPMENT.

YOU HAVE.

Futrell: YOU HAVE UNDER ANNEXATION YOU HAVE A VERY STRICT TIME LINE FROM WHAT YOUR SERVICE HAS TO BEGIN. SOME IS AUTOMATIC WHEN YOU ANNEX. WITHIN FOUR YEARS YOU HAVE TO HAVE ALL OF YOUR CAPITAL IN PLACE AND FUNCTIONING. THERE ARE OTHER WAYS TO DO IT, THOUGH, WE CAN LOOK. YOU COULD EVEN, YOU GET THE ABILITY THROUGH LIMITED PURPOSE ANNEXATION TO BEGIN YOUR LAND USE WITHOUT ALL OF YOUR SERVICE, STRETCH A TWO, THREE YEAR WINDOW, IN SOME CASES FURTHER

DEPENDING WHETHER IT'S CONSENTUAL, TO FULL PURPOSE ANNEXATION, WE COULD TRY TO STAGGER THROUGH USING LIMITED PURPOSE ANNEXATION, TAKING ON SOME FULL PURPOSE ANNEXATION AND SOME LIMITED PURPOSE AND STAGGER THOSE CALLS. WHAT WE WILL DO NEXT IS TRY TO BRING YOU SOME TIERED APPROACHES TAKE MIGHT GET YOU THE ABILITY TO BEGIN WITH LAND USE AND STAGGER THE COSTS OF THE FULL PURPOSE ANNEXATION.

McCracken: I THINK THAT SOUNDS LIKE A VERY SOUND APPROACH. ULTIMATELY, IN ADDITION TO WORKING IN THE ANNEXATION PLANS, ENGAGE IN LAND USE AND ZONING PLANS, THE -- THE IDEAL WAY TO DO IT, WE HAVE REALLY AN UNPRECEDENTED OPPORTUNITY IN THIS AREA AND IN THE ROBINSON RANCH AREA IS TO TAKE LAND THAT IS ESSENTIALLY UNDEVELOPED THAT IS GOING TO BE -- THAT WE KNOW IS GOING TO BE DEVELOPED AND THAT WE ARE END COURAGING AND TO DO WHAT AMERICAN AND EUROPEAN CITIES USED TO DO, PLANNING IT OUT IN ADVANCE. WHAT YOU DO IS PLAN IT WHERE ALL OF THE STREETS GO, PUBLIC SPACES, PARKS, BOULEVARDS, CONNECTOR STREETS, NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS GO, THAT HAS BEEN A VERY DURABLE, SUCCESSFUL PLAN THAT DID NOT RELY ON MANHATTAN LEVELS OF DENSITY. THAT HAS LOTS OF SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. BUT ANOTHER EXAMPLE CLOSER TO HOME IS HERE IN AUSTIN. EDWIN WALLER PLANNED IN 1836 FOR THE DOWN TO 276-FOOT BY 276-FOOT BLOCKS WHERE THE STREETS WENT, WHERE THE PARKS WENT, WHERE THE GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS WENT. THAT, TOO, HAS BEEN A VERY DURABLE SUCCESSFUL MODEL. WHEN WE GOT AWAY FROM THAT MODEL. EVERYTHING FELL APART. SO AS PART OF THE ZONING CODE REWRITE, I AM STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THAT STREET LEVEL PLANNING, WHERE THE BLOCKS ARE, STREET GRID, ALSO PLANNING FOR THE PARKS AND PUBLIC SPACE.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY?

Dunkerly: WHEN YOU ARE PUTTING TOGETHER
[INDISCERNIBLE] YOU ARE PUTTING TOGETHER THIS
ANALYSIS ON THE THREE AREAS WHERE IT'S APPARENT WE

ARE GOING TO STRONGLY CONSIDER ANNEXATION, CAN YOU COME BACK WITH A TIME LINE? HOW WE CAN DO THIS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

ABSOLUTELY.

THANKS.

COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT HOW YOU ARE GOING TO BE WORKING WITH THE EMPLOYERS WHO ARE ALREADY THERE AND LETTING THEM KNOW WHAT'S HAPPENING. ALSO FINDING OUT FROM THEM WHAT ARE THEIR PLANS FOR POSSIBLE EXPANSION HOW THAT WOULD BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE LAND USE THAT YOU ARE GOING FORWARD WITH. IF WE WERE TO PROCEED WITH LAND USE PLANNING, WE WOULD HANDLE IT IN THE SAME WAY THAT WE DO WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS, WHERE EVERY INDIVIDUAL IS INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS. INCLUDING THE MAJOR EMPLOYERS, WE SEEK THEM OUT, WE MET WITH THEM, WE WOULD ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO GET THEM ENGAGED IN ORDER TO MAKE IT WORK.

Kim: I THINK THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. WE DON'T WANT TO BE IN A SITUATION WHERE A COMPANY LOCATED THERE WOULD HAVE PLANS TO EXPAND LATER AND THEN DECIDE THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH LAND AROUND THEIR CURRENT SITE TO EXPAND THEN THEY HAVE TO MOVE ELSEWHERE. POSSIBLY WHERE WE DON'T WANT THEM TO LOWERING. SO I JUST WOULD REALLY BE HOPEFUL THAT WE COULD WORK WELL WITH THEM AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE PROACTIVELY ENGAGED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE SO WE CAN KNOW WHAT THEIR PLANS REGARDING WHAT THE POSSIBILITIES ARE FOR THEIR BUSINESS PLANS I GUESS IN THE NEXT TEN YEARS OR SO.

ABSOLUTELY. WE WILL DO THAT.

THE SECOND THING THAT WE DO, OUR MAJOR EMPLOYERS, BOTH OF OUR UTILITIES MEET REGULARLY WITH THEM TO -- AS PARTS OF THAT IS PLANNING OUT THEIR UTILITY NEEDS AND PART OF THAT DOES INVOLVE THEIR STRATEGIC LONG-

RANGE PLANS ON EXPANSION BASED ON THEIR UTILITY LOAD NEED. SO WE -- WE FACTOR THAT IN, ALSO. AS PART OF BOTH ANNEXATION AND NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

I HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION. IN YOUR PRESENTATION, YOU MENTIONED PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS FOR ROADS. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, CAN YOU TELL ME MORE ABOUT THAT?

Glasgo: WELL, WHAT WE HAVE -- INSTEAD OF THE CITY AND COUNTY HAVING TO PAY FOR ALL ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS, IT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO WHAT MR. LIPPE ALLUDED TO IN SEEKING PARTNERSHIPS TO EXTEND UTILITIES. FOR ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION, WE WOULD ALSO WANT TO PARTNER WITH DEVELOPERS WHO COME IN AND WANT TO BUILD IN CERTAIN AREAS WHERE WE CAN WORK ON COST PARTICIPATION IN THE ROADWAY NETWORK.

Kim: SO THAT AN EMPLOYER COULD SHARE THE COST OF A PUBLIC ROAD BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT FACILITATES TRAFFIC INTO THEIR AREA? SO THEY WOULD SHARE PART OF THAT, KNOWING THAT IT IS OWNED BY THE CITY?

CORRECT. WE WOULD LIKE TO PURSUE SOME OF THAT, YOU KNOW, HOW THEY COULD PARTICIPATE IN THE ROADWAY NETWORK CONNECTION.

OKAY. ANOTHER EXAMPLE IS WE HAVE HAD INSTANCES WHERE WE HAVE -- WE ARE MISSING SECTIONS OF RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ROADS, DEVELOPERS WHO ARE TTLE FURTHER OUT KNOW THAT ROAD COULD GET CONNECTED THEN THEIR PROPERTY WOULD DEVELOP SOONER, THEY HAVE COME TOGETHER AND DONATED THE RIGHT-OF-WAY TO MAKE THAT ROAD POSSIBLE. AN EXAMPLE OF THAT IS ACTUALLY THE CONNECTION ON HOWARD LANE. SEVERAL OF THE DEVELOPERS IN THE AREA, THE LARGE LAND OWNERS IN THE AREA CAME FORWARD AND SUPPLIED SOME OF THE MISSING RIGHT-OF-WAY TO HELP MAKE THE PLANNING FOR THAT ROADWAY CONNECTION. SO BOTH ON WATER AND WASTEWATER AND ROADS WE ARE SORT OF SEEKING THE DEVELOPMENT, THE PROSPECTIVE

DEVELOPMENT IN THE AREA AND TRYING TO FIND MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL WAYS TO GET INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE EARLIER.

Kim: OKAY, THANK YOU. ACTUALLY, THAT'S A GOOD POINT THE CITY MANAGER MAKES. METRIC BOULEVARD HAD A MISSING SECOND: WE DID NOT HAVE EITHER THE FUNDS TO ACQUIRE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, SO A FEW DEVELOPER CAME IN AND DONATED IT WHICH ENABLED US TO COMPLETE THE ROAD. THAT'S THE KIND OF PARTNERSHIP IN SOME CASES THAT COMES IN VERY HANDY. DEDICATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY, DONATION OF THE LAND TO CONNECT.

Kim: THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, THANK YOU. ONE THING IN CLOSING, WE OBVIOUSLY ONE OF THE FIRST STEPS THAT I THINK WE WILL TAKE HERE AS A COUNCIL PERHAPS EVEN AT THE NEXT MEETING WILL BE A -- PRETTY BROAD DIRECTION ON ANNEXATIONS. AND OBVIOUSLY IN THE PAST, ANNEXATIONS, THE -- CAN BE AN EMOTIONAL AND HEATED ISSUE. AT TIMES IT CERTAINLY HAS BEEN CHARACTERIZED OFTENTIMES AS THE CITY SIMPLY GRABBING TAX BASE. SO THAT ASSESSED VALUE WILL BE IN YOUR CITY LIMIT. I'M SUGGESTING THAT WITH THIS PLAN AND WITH THE DIRECTION THAT WE LIKELY WILL GIVE THE CITY MANAGER VERY SHORTLY, REGARDING THIS POTENTIAL SERIES OF ANNEXATIONS, IT'S -- I WOULD CRACK RISE IT AS ENABLING ANNEXATIONS. THAT IS TO THE EXTENT THAT WE THEN GET OUR UTILITIES ESSENTIALLY OUT TO THIS AREA AND ALSO BRING PLANNING, LAND PLANNING TO THE TABLE AND THEN WORK WITH THESE LANDOWNERS AND POTENTIAL DEVELOPERS, THEN I THINK WE'RE GOING TO SEE, THEN THEY WILL SEE THAT OUR GOAL HERE IS TO ACTUALLY INCREASE WHAT OTHERWISE WILL BE THE TAX BASE. THAT IS TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO TAKE BETTER ADVANTAGE OF THEIR DEVELOPABLE LAND AND HAVE MORE PEOPLE, MORE TAX BASE, A BETTER SERIES OF LAND USES ALONG THIS IMPORTANT CORRIDOR THAN OTHERWISE WOULD HAPPEN. SO I HOPE IT IS AS -- AS SOME CONCERNS MIGHT GET RAISED BY THIS ACTION. OF COURSE ONCE -- HISTORICALLY WE EITHER GET CRITICIZED FOR NOT PLANNING IN ADVANCE OR WE DO PLAN AND TRY TO DO

SOMETHING LIKE THIS THEN PEOPLE GET REAL NERVOUS AND CRITICAL THAT PERHAPS THE JURISDICTIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF LEFT ARM GE SCALE ANNEXATIONS. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THESE LANDOWNERS AND THESE POTENTIAL DEVELOPERS WILL REAP BENEFITS FROM WHAT SHOULD BE A DRAMATIC CHANGE IN LAND USE PATTERNS UP AND DOWN THIS CORRIDOR AND WE WILL SEE MORE THAN THIS INDEGREE MENTAL POPULATION GROWTH IN -- IN THIS CRITICAL CORRIDOR. WE WILL SEE BOTH THAT POPULATION THAT WILL NEED TO FIGURE OUT WHERE TO PLACE IN CENTRAL TEXAS, BUT ALSO A SERIES OF MUCH MORE SUSTAINABLE, VIBRANT, ECONOMICALLY EMPOWERING SERIES OF TAX BASE. SO HOPEFULLY OVER THIS NEXT MONTH AS WE GET READY FOR OUR NEXT COUNCIL MEETING, WE WILL HAVE CLEAR DIRECTION FOR THE CITY MANAGER TO TAKE FIRM ACTION, BUT ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT WE ARE GOING TO NEED TO REACH OUT TO A LOT OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND JURISDICTIONS TO MAKE THIS PROJECT AS SUCCESSFUL AS IT CAN BE. MS. GLASGO?

Glasgo: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL THAT TAKES US TO OUR 4:00 -- BEFORE THAT, I JUST REMEMBERED SO EARLIER IN CLOSED SESSION, COUNCIL, WE TOOK UP AGENDA ITEM 114 REGARDING THE PENDING APPLICATIONS FOR WATER RIGHTS. WE HAD A PRESENTATION FROM OUR ATTORNEYS IN CLOSED SESSION. WE HAVE RELATED ITEM, ACTION ITEM NO. 22. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE CITY ATTORNEY TO GIVE US A BRIEF PRESENTATION ON ACTION ITEM NO. 22.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MARTHA TERRY, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY. ACTION ITEM NO. 22 IS AN INCREASE IN CONTRACTUAL SERVICES WITH BRACE WELL AND JULIANA WHICH IS THE LAW FIRM ASSISTING THE CITY ON ITS WATER RIGHTS PERMITTING MATTERS AND ITS WATER PLANNING ACTIVITIES. THAT ARE MANDATED BY THE STATE. THE INCREASE THAT WE HAVE COME TO COUNCIL SEEKING IS AN INCREASE OF APPROXIMATELY 295,000, WHICH WILL BRING THE TOTAL CONTRACT WITH THE LAW FIRM TO AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,055,000. WE RECOMMEND THAT IT BE

DONE. AND WE SUBMIT IT FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

THANK YOU, MS. TERRY. AGAIN THIS IS RELATED TO OUR CLOSED SESSION ITEM NO. 114 WHICH WE HEARD EARLIER THIS MORNING. QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ABOUT ITEM 22? IF NOT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

[INDISCERNIBLE]

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY TO APPROVE ITEM NO. 22 AS POSTED. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I JUMPED THE GUN EARLIER. WE NEED TO RECESS THIS AND ALL TO ORDER THE BOARD MEETING OF THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION. TWO POSTED ITEMS, AHFC 1 AND TWO.

GOOD AFTERNOON PRESIDENT AND BOARD MEMBERS, I'M ROGER ARRIAGA WITH THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION. I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF PAUL HILGERS WHO COULD NOT BE HERE TODAY. I WANT TO START BY EXPRESSING OUR CONGRATULATIONS AND WELCOME TO OUR NEW BOARD MEMBERS KIM AND LEFFINGWELL AND RETURNING BOARD MEMBER BETTY DUNKERLY. WE ARE A PRIVATE NON-PROFIT CORPORATION CREATED BY THE CITY COUNCIL IN 1978. PRIMARILY PURPOSE OF THE AHFC IS TO ISSUE SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY BONDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF -- FOR THE PURPOSE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. ADDITIONALLY THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION ADMINISTERS FEDERALLY FUNDED HOUSING PROGRAMS FOR THE CITY'S NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. AND SERVES AS A LEAD AGENCY FOR THE PRODUCTION OF SMART HOUSING. TODAY WE HAVE TWO ITEMS FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION. FIRST IS APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE JUNE 9th, 2005 MEETING.

Mayor Wynn: I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION. MOTION MADE BY THE

VICE-PRESIDENT TO APPROVE AHFC ITEM NO. 1. SECOND.
FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR
PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 5-0 WITH --
IN FACT I THINK 2, 3, 4 -- MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 4-0,
TECHNICALLY WITH -- WE SILL HAVE VACANCIES ON THIS
BOARD. SO -- SO WE NEED TO NOTE THAT TWO -- THERE ARE
CURRENTLY TWO VACANCIES ON THE AHFC BOARD OF
DIRECTORS TO BE FILLED VERY SOON.

MR. PRESIDENT. EARLIER TODAY IT WAS ON THE CONSENT
AGENDA TO APPOINT THE MEMBERS BUT WE HAVE --

WE HAVEN'T TAKEN ACTION. WE PULLED THAT OFF THE
AGENDA. SO THIS -- I'M SORRY, YOUR PRESENTATION WAS
ON AHFC ITEM NO. 1 OR 2.

ITEM NO. 1 WHICH IS THE MINUTES.

Mayor Wynn: THAT MOTION PASSES THEN ON A VOTE OF 5-0.
NOTING THERE ARE TWO VACANCIES ON THE AHFC BOARD.

VERY GOOD, THANK YOU. ITEM NO. 2 WILL AUTHORIZE A
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR A COMPCOMPETITIVELY
TWO CURED ... PART OF THE ANDERSON HILL PROJECT
LOCATED ON ENGINE IF HER STREET. THEY WILL MEET THE
SMART HOUSING STANDARDS AND THE HOMES WILL BE
SOLD TO LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES. FOR
THOSE HOMES WE INTEND TO IMPLEMENT A LONG-TERM
AFFORDABILITY STRATEGY THAT WILL PROVIDE UP TO
\$40,000 IN HOME BUYER SUBSIDIES AND REQUIRE A 20 YEAR
AFFORDABILITY PERIOD. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OTHER
QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE ON THIS ITEM.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. ARRAGA. QUESTIONS OF
STAFF, BOARD? IF NOT I WILL SEPTEMBER CONTINUE A
MOTION. MOTION MADE BY BOARD MEMBER ALVAREZ THAT I
WILL SECOND TO APPROVE THIS ITEM AS POSTED. FURTHER
COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE

SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 5-0 AGAIN WITH TWO VACANCIES ON THE BOARD TO BE FILLED SHORTLY.

THANK YOU, BOARD, THERE'S NO OTHER BUSINESS BEFORE YOU TODAY.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. THERE BEING NO MORE BUSINESS BEFORE THIS BOARD, WE WILL NOW STAND ADJOURNED FROM THE AHFC BOARD MEETING AND CALL BACK TO ORDER THIS MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL. WE WILL NOW GO TO THE 4:00 ZONING HEARINGS AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCES AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS. AND WELCOME BACK MS. ALICE GLASGO. THANK YOU, ALICE GLASGO. OUR ZONING CASES FOR TODAY ARE AS FOLLOWS, I WILL START OFF WITH THOSE ITEMS WHERE YOU HAVE CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND YOU ARE SIMPLY APPROVING THE ORDINANCES. OR POSTPONING SOME. WE START OFF WITH ITEM NO. 125, C14-05-009 LOCATED AT 11410 MANCHACA ROAD. THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28th IN ORDER TO EXECUTE A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT. SHE HAS BEEN UNABLE TO OBTAIN ALL OF THE SIGNATURES NEEDED FOR THAT DOCUMENT. ITEM NO. 126, THIS IS THE BRENTWOOD/HIGHLAND COMBINED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA, A PORTION THEREOF. COUNCIL HAD ASKED THAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD REPRESENTATIVE ATTEND THE COUNCIL MEETING TO EXPLAIN THEIR OPPOSITION. THE AGENT, THE APPLICANT, THE NEIGHBORHOOD REPRESENTATIVE MR. MITCHELL IS OUT OF TOWN TODAY AND COULD NOT BE HERE. HE ASKED THAT THIS ITEM BE POSTPONED TO -- TO AUGUST THE 4th IN ORDER FOR HIM TO COME ADDRESS COUNCIL. ITEM NO. 17, CONSENT ITEM, C 14 H 0430 JOHN AND JOSEPH JANUARY HOUSE. THIS IS A PROPOSAL TO APPROVE SECOND READING ONLY FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1617 WEST 12th STREET. AND IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE THE OWNER HAS AGREED TO DONATE THE HOUSE TO THE CLARKSVILLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION WHICH WILL THEN RELOCATE THIS HOUSE TO A SITE THEY OWN ON WEST 11th STREET TO

REHABILITATE THE HOUSE AND GRANT IT AS AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THIS HE IS READY FOR APPROVAL ON SECOND READING ONLY. TO HISTORIC ZONING. SF 3 H NP. ITEM NO. 128, NPA 040009.02, THE CENTRAL EAST AUSTIN PLAN, THERE'S AN ORDINANCE FOR YOU TO APPROVE THIRD READING OF AN ORDINANCE THAT AMENDS THE AUSTIN TOMORROW PLAN BY CHANGING THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP TO SINGLE FAMILY LAND USE DEPOSITION NATION TO MIXED USE OFFICE LAND USE DESIGNATION FOR 901 EAST 15th STREET, READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL. ITEM 129, IS A -- IS AN ITEM THAT RELATES TO 128. IT'S CASE C 1404 NOT 05, 0091, THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 901 EAST 15th STREET, THERE'S AN ORDINANCE READY FOR THIRD READING. ITEM NO. 130, C14-05-23 THE MURPHY TRACT. OFFERING APPROVAL OF SECOND AND THIRD READINGS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE THAT CHANGES THE ZONING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5029 SOUTHWEST PARKWAY FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE TO GENERAL OFFICE WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR TRACT 1, AND LIMITED OFFICE WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR TRACT 2. AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL FOR FLOODPLAIN AREA AND THAT IS THE OTHER TRACT. THIS IS READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL. ITEM NO. 131, C14-05-36, PIONEER FARMS, STAFF IS REQUESTING A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28th IN ORDER TO RECEIVE DOCUMENTS FROM THE APPLICANT. ITEM NO. 132, C14-05-0011, STAFF IS REQUESTING A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28th ALSO TO RECEIVE FINAL DOCUMENTS FROM THE APPLICANT. ITEM NO. 133, C14-04-197, THIS IS READY FOR APPROVAL OF SECOND AND THIRD READINGS FOR AN ORDINANCE THAT CHANGES PROPERTY LOCATED AT 11833 BUCKNER ROAD FROM DEVELOPMENT RESERVE TO SF 3. MAYOR, THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION ON THE ITEMS UNDER THIS SEGMENT OF THE ZONING AGENDA.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO. COUNCIL THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THESE ZONING CASES WILL BE TO POSTPONE ITEM 125 TO JULY 28th, 2005; POSTPONEMENT ITEM 126 TO AUGUST 4th, 2005; APPROVE ITEM 127 ON SECOND READING ONLY, ITEM 128 ON THIRD READING APPROVE THE CHANGE IN LAND USE DESIGNATION IN ITEM 129 APPROVE THE ZONING ON THIRD READING. ITEM 130 APPROVE THIS CASE ON SECOND AND THIRD READINGS, TO

POSTPONE ITEMS 131 AND 132 TO JULY 28th, 2005. AND TO APPROVE ITEM NO. 133 ON SECOND AND THIRD READING. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION. MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS READ. COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

[INDISCERNIBLE] FIRST READING, EXCUSE ME.
[INDISCERNIBLE] QUESTIONS IF THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE IS HERE.

Glasgo: WHICH ITEM, COUNCILMEMBER?

Leffingwell: 130, EXCUSE ME.

Glasgo: THAT'S THE MURPHY TRACT. MR. JAY HALEY IS MAKING HIS WAY TO THE PODIUM.

I'M JAY HALEY HERE REPRESENTING MR. MURDER FOE AND PROPOSED PURCHASER AND DEVELOPER OF THE PROPERTY. COUNCILMEMBER I WILL BE GLAD TO ANSWER YOUR HE YOU ON YOU ON YOUR QUESTIONS.

FIRST I UNDERSTAND THIS PROPERTY IS 100% S.O.S. ORDINANCE COMPLIANT; IS THAT CORRECT?

YES IT IS.

Leffingwell: I UNDERSTAND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS ATTACHED TO THIS REQUEST. COULD YOU GO OVER THOSE.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER. THE LANDOWNER HAS ALREADY EXECUTED AND WE HAVE DELIVERED TO THE CITY, CITY REPRESENTATIVES A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT THAT WILL IMPOSE SEVERAL IMPORTANT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS, INCLUDING INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN THAT WILL PROTECT THE PROPERTY AND AQUIFER AGAINST PESTICIDE, ALSO BE PARTICIPATING IN THE GREEN GROW PROGRAM WHICH WILL EMPHASIZE THE NATIVE LANDSCAPING THAT WOULD BE BE AVOID THE NEAT NECESSITY FOR POLLUTANTS AND FERTILIZERS THAT WILL AFFECT THE AQUIFER. ALSO WILL RESTRICT THE USE OF

COAL TAR BASED SEALANTS IN THE NEWS TODAY YOU CAN SEE IT'S AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. ALL OF THOSE WILL BE PROHIBITED UNDER THIS RESTRICTIVE COVENANT. THE RESTRICTIVE COVENANT WILL ALSO COVER CERTAIN PROTECTIONS CONCERNING TRANSPORTATION AND REQUIRE THEM TO INCORPORATE CERTAIN TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THAT PROPOSAL. ALL THESE WE'RE HAPPY TO DO AND OF COURSE AS -- AS WE ARE REQUIRED TO DO IN OUR PLANNING TO DO, 100% COM PLIANT WITH THE S.O.S. ORDINANCE, ABOUT A -- ONLY A SMALL PORTION OF THE SITE WILL BE DEVELOPED, WE WILL BE WELL WITHIN THE 15% IMPERVIOUS COVER REQUIREMENTS. [ONE MOMENT PLEASE FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

Leffingwell: THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: WHAT IS THE SQUARE FOOTAGE IN TERMS OF OFFICE?

I BELIEVE THE -- THE BUILDING ISN'T DESIGNED YET, BUT I THINK THE PLANS ARE FOR ABOUT 340,000 SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE DEVELOPMENT. IT WILL BE NEXT DOOR TO AN EXISTING OFFICE PROJECT. THIS WILL BE THE SECOND PHASE OF THAT PROJECT.

Alvarez: AND THIS IS PROBABLY A QUESTION FOR YOU, BUT MAYBE FOR MS. GLASGO, IN TERMS OF THE TRACT THAT AMD IS DEVELOPING, HOW MUCH SQUARE FOOTAGE ARE THEY LOOKING TO DEVELOP THERE? IS ANYBODY FAMILIAR WITH THAT AMOUNT?

I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

COUNCILMEMBER, I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER, BUT THIS IS SUBSTANTIALLY LESS THAN THAT PROJECT WILL BE.

Alvarez: REALLY I WAS -- WHEN WE'VE CONSIDERED KIND OF UPZONINGS, I CONSIDER THIS A PRETTY SIGNIFICANT-UP UP-ZONING ALTHOUGH THERE ARE ADVANTAGES TO

CLUSTERING THE DEVELOPMENT RATHER THAN DEVELOP IT AS SF 1, SF-2, SF-3, SO I THINK I CERTAINLY SEE THOSE ADVANTAGES, BUT WHEN WE'VE HAD LARGE SORT OF COMMERCIAL OR OFFICE DEVELOPMENTS OVER THE AQUIFER, WE'VE ALSO HAD AGREEMENTS ABOUT NOT ALLOWING MAJOR EMPLOYERS ALSO TO OCCUPY THOSE SPACES. AND IS THIS -- I DON'T KNOW HOW WE DEFINE MAJOR EMPLOYERS, 500 TO A THOUSAND EMPLOYEES, BUT I'M JUST TRYING TO GET A SENSE FOR WHAT COULD HAPPEN HERE BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN IN THE COMMUNITY ABOUT THE IMPACT OF HAVING A MAJOR EMPLOYER LIKE AMD OVER THE AQUIFER AND JUST WONDERING WHAT THE POTENTIAL IS ON THIS PARTICULAR TRACT AS WELL.

THERE ARE NO TENANTS FOR THE BUILDING, SO I CAN'T SPEAK TO WHO THE ULTIMATE TENANTS WILL BE. IT'S BEING DESIGNED AS A MULTITENANT BUILDING. IT WOULD ACCOMMODATE A MULTIPLE NUMBER OF TENANTS, NOT PARTICULARLY DESIGNED FOR ANCHOR TENANT. BUT NOW IT'S JUST A PROPOSED OFFICE BUILDING WITH NO IDENTIFIED TENANT WHATSOEVER. IT'S HARD TO SPEAK TO WHO A FUTURE TENANT MIGHT BE, AND I'M NOT QUITE SURE HOW THAT CAN BE INCORPORATED INTO A ZONING REQUEST IF YOU IDENTIFY A PARTICULAR TENANT NOT BEING ABLE TO OCCUPY IT.

Alvarez: AND THIS IS UP FOR, MS. GLASGO, SECOND AND THIRD OR JUST SECOND?

SECOND AND THIRD.

Alvarez: SECOND AND THIRD?

YES.

Alvarez: OKAY. WELL, I'M GOING TO SUGGEST WHAT WE JUST DO SECOND READING OR I'M NOT SURE IF I CAN VOTE NO ON AN ITEM THAT'S -- ON AN AGENDA ITEM THAT I AM -- FOR A MOTION I HAVE THE SECOND ON, IF I CAN BE SHOWN VOTING NO ON ONE OF THE ITEMS.

UNDER ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER, A PERSON WHO MAKES

A SECOND IS NOT BOUND TO SUPPORT THE MOTION. YOU CAN ALWAYS -- A SECOND CAN BE DONE AND COUNCIL HAS DONE IN THE PAST FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE SECONDER OF THE MOTION IS NOT BOUND TO SUPPORT THE MOTION.

Alvarez: OKAY. WELL, IF YOU COULD SHOW ME VOTING NO ON 130.

Mayor Wynn: YES. FURTHER COMMENTS ON THAT CONSENT AGENDA? WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS OUTLINED.

WHAT IS THE MOTION?

Mayor Wynn: THE MOTION IS A NUMBER OF POSTPONEMENTS, ITEM 127 BE APPROVED ON SECOND READING ONLY, THE COMBINED CASE 128, 129 APPROVED ON THIRD READING, ITEM 130 APPROVED ON SECOND AND THIRD READING, AND ITEM 133 APPROVED ON SECOND AND THIRD READING.

MAYOR, COULD I ADD TO YOUR MOTION, WE HAVE AN ADDENDUM TO THE AGENDA TODAY AND WE HAVE A ZONING ITEM NUMBER 139 THAT THIS IS THE PIONEER CROSSING AND WE WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THAT AS A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28TH. IF YOU COULD INCLUDE THAT ON YOUR CONSENT MOTION, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY, DO YOU CONSIDER THAT A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT? AND COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ?

YES.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA AS READ? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ SHOWN

VOTING NO ON ITEM 130. THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO.

NOW, MAYOR, WE PROCEED TO THOSE ITEMS WHERE YOU ARE HEARING THE CASES FOR THE FIRST TIME, SO THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE ZONING CASES THAT FOLLOW. ITEM NUMBER Z-1 WILL BE A DISCUSSION ITEM. SO THERE Z-2. WE START OFF WITH OUR FIRST CONSENT ITEM, WHICH IS Z-3, CASE 81401-0038.02, NAMELY PARMER WALNUT CREEK P.U.D. AMENDMENT NUMBER 2. THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS FROM PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT FROM GR, COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL, TO PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND ALSO IT MODIFIES PREVIOUS APPROVALS UNDER THE PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT. AND THIS CASE IS READY FOR FIRST READING AS RECOMMENDED BY THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION. ITEM NUMBER Z-4 IS A DISCUSSION ITEM, SO IS Z-5. ON Z 6 WE HAVE A REQUEST TO POSTPONE THE IKINS-O'CONNELL-MESSER HOUSE TO JULY THE 28TH, AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS -- I'M SORRY, THEY'VE AMENDED THEIR REQUEST TO AUGUST THE FOURTH. I THINK PEOPLE ARE REALIZING THAT THE JULY 28TH MEETING IS GOING TO BE LOADED WITH REQUESTS SO THEY'VE AMENDED THEIR REQUEST TO AUGUST THE FOURTH BECAUSE THEY HAD -- THEY MISUNDERSTOOD THAT WE WERE NOT GOING TO HAVE A FULL COUNCIL AND BECAUSE THERE IS A VALID PETITION BY THE OWNER, THEY DID NOT ARRANGE TO HAVE SPEAKERS HERE TODAY, SO THEY'RE REQUESTING A DELAY FOR THAT REASON. ITEM Z-7, CASE C-14-05-0046. THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 3600 BLOCK OF BIG VIEW DRIVE. THE EXISTING ZONING IS SF 1 WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY, AND THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS TO P PUBLIC. THIS CASE IS READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS FOR P ZONING. ITEM Z-8, CASE C-14-05-0047, NAMELY WATER 2 WINE FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3300 WEST ANDERSON LANE. THE EXISTING ZONING IS GR, WHICH STANDS FOR COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL. AND THE APPLICANT IS SEEKING CS-1, WHICH STANDS FOR COMMERCIAL LIQUOR SALES. THIS REQUEST HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED BY THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION. IT'S READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS. ITEM NUMBER Z-9, CASE C-14-05-0045, LOCATED AT 220 RALPH ABLANEDO DRIVE. THE EXISTING ZONING IS DEVELOPMENT RESERVE. THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING LI, WHICH STANDS FOR LIMITED INDUSTRIAL SERVICES. THE ZONING

AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION IS TO GRANT LIMITED INDUSTRIAL SERVICES WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR TRACT 1, AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES CONDITIONAL OVERLAY FOR TRACT 2, AND THIS CASE IS READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS. ITEM NUMBER Z-10, CASE C-14-05-0061 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9600 ESCARPMENT BOULEVARD. THE EXISTING ZONING IS GR-CO, WHICH STANDS FOR COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL CONDITIONAL OVERLAY. THE APPLICANT IS SEEKING CS-1 WHICH STANDS SFO COMMERCIAL LIQUOR SALES. THE RECOMMENDATION IS TO GRANT CS-1 ZONING AND THE CASE IS READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS. ITEM NUMBER Z-11, CASE C-14-05-62 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1901 MATTHEWS LANE. THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS FROM SF-3, WHICH STANDS FOR FAMILY RESIDENCE, TO GO-MU, WHICH STANDS FOR GENERAL OFFICE MIXED USE. THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST WAS GRANTED BY THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION OF GO-CO WITHOUT A MIXED USE. AND THE CASE IS READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS FOR GO-CO. ITEM Z-12, CASE C-14-05-38, THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 1601 THROUGH 1611 WEST FIFTH STREET. THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS FROM LI-CO-NP, WHICH STANDS FOR LIMITED INDUSTRIAL CONDITIONAL OVERLAY NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT TO LI-PDANP WHICH STANDS FOR LIMITED INDUSTRIAL, PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AREA NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST AND THIS CASE IT READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS. NUMBER Z-13, C-14-05-70, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3320 THROUGH 3404 SOUTH FM 973. THE CHANGE IS FROM INTERIM RURAL RESIDENCE TO P PUBLIC WITH A CONDITIONAL OVERLAY. THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION IS TO GRANT P-CO. THE CASE IS READY FOR FIRST READING ONLY. ITEM Z-14, C-14-05-72 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED IT IS INTERSECTION OF CITY PARK ROAD AND PEARCE ROAD. THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS FROM INTERIM SINGLE-FAMILY 1 TO A PERMANENT SINGLE-FAMILY 1. THIS CASE IS READY FOR ALL THREE READINGS FOR SF-1 ZONING. ITEM Z-15 WILL BE A DISCUSSION ITEM. ON Z-16, GABLES AT WESTLAKE AND Z-17 GABLES OF WESTLAKE WAS JUST A COVENANT. THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28TH AND THE

NEIGHBORHOOD DOES NOT OPPOSE THAT REQUEST. THEY ARE STILL NEGOTIATING. ITEM NUMBER Z-18 HYDE PARK NORTH NCCD, STAFF IS RECOMMENDING A POSTPONEMENT TO JULY THE 28TH BECAUSE THE PLANNING COMMISSION DELAYED ITS HEARING TO JULY THE 12TH. MAYOR, THAT CONCLUDES THE CONSENT ITEMS UNDER THIS SEGMENT OF THE AGENDA.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO. SO COUNCIL, THE PROPOSED CONSENT AGENDA ON THESE PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BE ON ITEM Z-3 TO APPROVE ON FIRST READING ONLY. TO POSTPONE Z-6 TO AUGUST 4TH, 2005, TO APPROVE ON ALL THREES READINGS THE FOLLOWING CASES, Z-7, Z-8, Z-9, Z-10, Z-11 AND Z-12. TO APPROVE Z-13 ON FIRST READING ONLY. TO APPROVE Z-14 ON ALL THREE READINGS. TO POSTPONE Z-16, Z-17 AND Z-18 TO JULY 28TH, 2005. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION. MOTION MADE BY MAYOR PRO TEM, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS OUTLINED. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO.

THAT TAKES US TO OUR FIRST DISCUSSION ITEM, WHICH IS ITEM NUMBER Z-1, CASE C-14-05-0026. THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 1805 FRONTIER VALLEY DRIVE. AND THE EXISTING ZONING IS SINGLE-FAMILY 2 NP. THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING MULTI-FAMILY 4 NEIGHBORHOOD COMBINING DISTRICT. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WAS TO GRANT MULTI-FAMILY 3 WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN COMBINING DISTRICT. THIS PROPERTY WAS PART OF THE MONTOPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, AND THE SUBJECT TRACT WAS PART OF A SITE CALLED THE JOCKEY TRACT AND -- WE CALL IT A TRACT, BUT THERE WERE SEPARATE OWNERS THAT COVERED THAT TRACT. THE DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AT THE TIME WAS CONSIDERING ACQUIRING THE PROPERTY, BUT WE WERE UNABLE TO DO IT BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO PURCHASE THE PROPERTY. HOWEVER, THE -- AND

COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY MIGHT REMEMBER THIS BECAUSE SHE WAS THE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER OVER THAT DEPARTMENT AND WORKED WITH US IN ADDRESSING THE NEEDS FOR HOUSING IN THE FUTURE. WE WERE ASKED TO CREATE A NOTE AND ADD IT TO THE PLAN WHICH COUNCIL THEN APPROVED TO SHOW THAT SINCE THERE WAS UNCERTAINTY OF WHAT KIND OF SPECIFIC LAND USE COULD GO THERE, WE DESIGNATED THAT IN THE FUTURE WHEN THE OWNERS SEEK A ZONING CHANGE, INDIVIDUALS OF THE CITY WOULD THEN HELP FILE THOSE APPLICATIONS BECAUSE IT'S PART OF A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND THIS IS ONLY A PORTION OF THE PLAN THAT WAS NOT FINALIZED THROUGH ZONING WHEN THE REST OF THE PLAN WAS. SO THIS PARTICULAR CASE HAS NOW COME FORWARD. THERE'S A REQUEST FOR MULTI-FAMILY, ALTHOUGH THERE'S SOME OPPOSITION BY REZ DMENTS THE AREA. AT YOUR LAST MEETING YOU ASKED US TO GO OUT AND RENOTIFY JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA, YOU JUST SAID GO NOTIFY IN SPANISH AND IN ENGLISH. WHAT WE DID IS WE WENT AHEAD AND NOTIFIED THE SAME PEOPLE WE WOULD HAVE WHEN WE DID THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, THAT IS APPROXIMATELY I THINK THE 3500 NOTICES THAT WENT OUT. AND ONLY 11 PEOPLE SHOWED UP TO THAT MEETING. THE NOTICES WENT OUT IN SPANISH AND IN ENGLISH, AND THERE WAS OPPOSITION TO MULTI-FAMILY ZONING FROM 10 PEOPLE. ONE PRONE HER WAS -- PROPERTY OWNER WAS IN SUPPORT OF THE ZONING. SO THAT'S WHERE WE ARE AT TODAY. AND I CAN JUST PAUSE HERE AND LET YOU HEAR FROM THE APPLICANT AND THOSE OPPOSED, AND THEN I CAN RESPOND TO QUESTIONS AS THEY MIGHT ARISE. THANK YOU, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. GLASGO. TECHNICALLY, I GUESS, THE CITY IS THE APPLICANT ON THIS, I GUESS?

YES, THE CITY IS THE APPLICANT.

Mayor Wynn: WE COULD GIVE THE OWNER/AGENT A FIVE-MINUTE PRESENTATION IF THEY SO DESIRE.

MS. BEABET IS MAKING HER WAY TO THE DAIS.

GOOD EVENING OR EARLY AFTERNOON FOR A CHANGE,

COUNCILMEMBERS, AND WELCOME NEW COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS MO UNIQUE BEAUDET AND I'M A PLANNER WITH BROWN MCCARROLL. I HAD THE JOB OF RECAPPING THIS CASE FOR YOU AND I'LL JUST GIVE ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND MOSTLY FOR THE NEW COUNCILMEMBERS AND TO REFRESH COUNCILMEMBERS' MEMORY ON WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED ON THE LARGER 65-ACRE TRACT WHICH IS ADJACENT TO OUR 10-ACRE TRACT WHICH WAS BEFORE YOU TONIGHT FOR REZONING REQUEST. THIS CASE IS A CITY-INITIATED CASE. IT'S THE LAST PARCEL TO BE REZONED AS PART OF THE MONTOPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS. AND IT IS RECOMMENDED FOR MF-3 BY STAFF AND HAS UNANIMOUS SUPPORT BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR MF-3 ZONING. AS ALICE MENTIONED, THE LARGER TRACT, WHICH I THINK IS ON YOUR SCREEN, THE LARGER BLUE TRACT IS 65 ACRES, AND IN 2003 NEGOTIATIONS WERE MADE TO ZONE -- TO SPLIT THAT PROPERTY IN HALF AND ZONE 30 ACRES OF IT MF-3 AND 35 ACRES OF IT AS SF-6. SO THE LAND USE PATTERN LOOKED LIKE THIS. THERE WE GO. THE BOMB HALF WAS ZONED N -- BOTTOM HALF WAS ZONED MF-6 CO. THAT WAS IN 2003. THE PROPERTY OWNER WAS THEN ABOUT SIX MONTHS LATER APPROACHED BY 17 CENTEX HOMES AND CENTEX HAS A PRODUCT THAT FITS IN SF 4-A ZONING. AND ALL THE STAKEHOLDERS THEN CAME TO THE TABLE AGAIN TO ASK FOR A REZONING, DOWN ZONING FROM SF-6 TO SF-4 A. ALL STAKEHOLDERS WERE IN FAVOR, I WILL SAY, OF THE MF 3, MFS 6, INCLUDING MS. ALMANZA, PODER, STAFF, AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION. AND OF COURSE EVERYBODY WAS IN FAVOR OF THE DOWN ZONING TO SF-4-A. THE LAND USE ZONING THERE NOW LOOKS LIKE THIS. IT'S ALL SINGLE-FAMILY. AND AS WE SPEAK, 278 HOMES ARE CURRENTLY BEING BUILT ON THIS TRACT. WITH OUR REQUEST THE LAND USE PATTERN WILL LOOK LIKE THIS, SINGLE-FAMILY ON TRACTS 1, 2 AND 3. OUR TRACT IS THE ORANGE TRACT DIRECTLY ADJACENT TO TRACT 1. AND THE LIGHT YELLOW TO THE NORTH IS A MOBILE HOME PARK, WHICH IS SF-3 ZONING. AND IN OUR CONVERSATIONS IN THE LAST MONTH WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND OTHERS, IT IS OUR HOPE THAT SF-3 ZONING IS IT'S JUST TOO PRIME OF A LOCATION AND A GATEWAY TO THE CITY COMING IN FROM THE AIRPORT TO STAY MOBILE HOME. IT WILL MOST LIKELY

BE A SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION. AND WE FEEL LIKE THAT THIS WILL BE A GOOD MIX OF HOUSING FOR THE AREA, MULTI-FAMILY WITH THE SF-4-A AND HOPEFULLY AN SF-3 SUBDIVISION TUCKED IN THERE ON FRONTIER VALLEY. SO WITH THAT SAID, WE'RE SIMPLY ASKING TO MOVE A FORMER ENTITLEMENT, 30 ACHE ERDZ OF MF-3, 500 FEET TO THE WEST ON TO THIS 10 ACRES, SO THE NET GAIN IS STILL GOING TO BE MORE SINGLE-FAMILY THAN MULTI-FAMILY. WE TOOK THE CONCERNS OF COUNCIL A MONTH AGO AND WE OFFER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONAL OVERLAY, WHICH IS TO LIMIT OUR UNITS TO 2 225 UNITS, PROVIDE A 25-FOOT VEGETATIVE BUFFER BETWEEN US AND THE SF-3 TO THE NORTH TO PRESERVE AND HOPEFULLY ENHANCE THE ATTRACTABILITY OF THAT BECOMING A SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY AFFORDABILITY. WE HEARD FROM THE COMMUNITY. WE WENT OUT TO THE COMMUNITY PER THE REQUEST OF COUNCIL AND AFFORDABILITY PER MR. JOHNNIE LIMON IS ONE OF THE MAIN CONCERNS IN EAST AUSTIN. WE ARE COMMITTED THROUGH A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT OR THROUGH THE ORDINANCE TO DO 40% OF THE UNITS AT 60% MFI, MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. MOST SMART HOUSING IN THIS TOWN IS DONE AT 40%, AT 80% MFI. WE'RE WILLING TO EXCEED THAT AND DO 60 PERCENT MFI FOR OUR 225 UNITS. WITH THAT I WANTED TO SPEAK TO DENSITY BECAUSE THAT WAS ALSO A CONCERN AT THE LAST MEETING. I HANDED OUT A CHART DONE BY RYAN ROBINSON, THE CITY DEMOGRAPHER THAT SHOWS COMPARISONS OF MONTOPOLIS AS OPPOSED TO THE EAST RIVERSIDE PLANNING AREA, WHICH IS THE NEXT PLANNING AREA TO THE WEST. AND THEN I RANDOMLY PICKED NORTHWEST HILLS AND ANOTHER AREA TO LOOK AT THAT HAS ABOUT THE SAME ACREAGE AS MONTOPOLIS. AND AS YOU CAN SEE, THE UNITS PER ACRE AT MONTOPOLIS ARE MUCH, MUCH SMALLER THAN THAT OF NORTHWEST HILLS. AND OF COURSE, MUCH SMALLER THAN EAST RIVERSIDE OLTORF BECAUSE THEY'VE GOT APARTMENT CITY. SO I ASK YOU TO APPROVE THIS MF-3 ZONING WITH THE CONDITIONS THAT WE HAVE BROUGHT TO YOU TONIGHT. WE FEEL LIKE WE HAVE SPOKEN TO THE CONCERNS RAISED BY THE COUNCIL AND SPECIFICALLY BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND HIS WARRANTED CONCERNS ABOUT HOW FRONTIER VALLEY WILL DEVELOP IN THE FUTURE. AND I'M HOPING

THAT WE CAN LOOK AT THIS FROM A MASTER PLANNING STANDPOINT AND LOOK AT THAT SF-3 MOBILE HOME PARK AND SEE ITS FUTURE, SEE THE NEED FOR A MIX OF RESIDENTIAL, AND BE HAPPY AND WELCOME THE SF-4-A, 278 UNITS THAT'S COMING IN RIGHT THERE AT THE GATEWAY TO THE CITY. AND THAT WAS PERFECT TIMING. I'LL BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE, AND I THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: YEAH, MS. BEAUDET, YOUR COMPARISON TO NORTHWEST HILLS, YOU WERE COMPARING THE NUMBER OF UNITS OR WHAT EXACTLY WERE YOU COMPARING?

UNITS PER ACRE OF MULTI-FAMILY UNITS. AND I RANDOMLY PICKED AN AREA OF THE CITY THAT WAS ABOUT THE SAME ACREAGE. IF YOU LOOK AT THE HANDOUT THAT I HANDED OUT, THE TOTAL ACRES WITHIN THAT AREA IS 1,254. THE TOTAL AREAS WITHIN THE MONTOPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA IS 1,421. MULTI-FAMILY UNITS THAT EXIST IN MONTOPOLIS AS COMPARED TO THOSE IN NORTHWEST HILLS ARE A HALF A UNIT PER ACRE, WHEREAS NORTHWEST HILLS HAS 2.61. AND IN COMPARISON, MS. ALMANZA IN HER PRESENTATION AT THE LAST HEARING, SHE POINTED OUT THAT SHE DID NOT WANT APARTMENT CITY COMING INTO MONTOPOLIS, AND I WHOLEHEARTEDLY AGREE WITH HER. APARTMENT CITY WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS DONE IN THE 80'S AND THERE'S A LOT OF APARTMENTS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THAT'S THE NEIGHBORING NEIGHBORHOOD TO MONTOPOLIS. AND THAT'S TOO MUCH, BUT I THINK THAT THE HALF AN ACRE OR THE HALF A UNIT PER ACRE IS VERY FAR AWAY FROM THE 4.5. AND I THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE WATCHED AND I THINK THE CITY DOES WATCH THAT, THEY DO A GROWTH WATCH, OR THEY USED TO, TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE AN EQUILIBRIUM IN OUR UNITS PER ACRE THROUGHOUT THE CITY, EAST, NORTH, SOUTH, WEST. SO IT'S A MULTI-FAMILY UNITS PER ACRE COUNT SO THAT YOU CAN COMPARE APPLES TO APPLES WHEN COMPARING DENSITY THROUGHOUT THE CITY. AND I WISH WE HAD MORE TIME TO DO COMPARISONS TO OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS THROUGHOUT THE CITY, BUT THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT

WE'RE ABLE TO DO IN THIS SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME.

Alvarez: SURE, I JUST THINK OBVIOUSLY IF YOU TRAVEL DOWN RIVERSIDE DRIVE YOU SEE THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF APARTMENTS. AND MAYBE THE WAY WE DEFINE THESE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREAS, WHAT'S DEFINED AS MONTOPOLIS HAS MAYBE A LOW NUMBER, ALTHOUGH I NEED TO LOOK AT IT A LITTLE MORE CLOSELY, BUT ANYONE WHO DRIVES RIVERSIDE DRIVE IS GOING TO SEE A PREPONDERANCE OF MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT. AND SO -- AND AGAIN, PART OF WHAT THIS PLANNING AREA WAS TRYING TO DO IS SAY IF -- WE'RE NOT SAYING NO TO ANY MULTI-FAMILY, BUT IF WE ARE GOING TO HAVE IT, WE WANT IT ON THE PERIPHERY, YOU KNOW, AND THERE WAS SOME THAT OCCURRED NORTH OF -- I MEAN SOUTH OF RIVERSIDE AND THEN ALONG GROVE BOULEVARD AND EVEN ON THE EDGES OF THE JOCKEY CLUB TRACT AS WELL. SO I THINK THE NEIGHBORHOOD WAS THINKING ABOUT MULTI-FAMILY WHEN IT ADOPTED OR MADE ITS RECOMMENDATIONS. BUT THE MAP COULD BE A LITTLE MISLEADING IN TERMS OF ACCOUNTING FOR THE VAST AMOUNT OF MULTI-FAMILY JUST NEXT DOOR IN THE RIVERSIDE-OLTORF AREA.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER. SO AGAIN THIS REMINDER, THIS WILL BE OUR FIVE-MINUTE OWNER-APPLICANT PRESENTATION. WE NOW HEAR FROM FOLKS IN FAVOR OF THE ZONING CASE THREE MINUTES AT THE TIME AND THEN FOLKS IN OPPOSITION AND THEN THE OWNER-APPLICANT WILL HAVE A THREE-MINUTE REBUTTAL. SO WE NOW GO TO FOLKS WISHING TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE ZONING CASE. WE'LL START WITH JAN DAVIS. WELCOME, JAN. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY JOHNNIE LIMONE.

THANK YOU. I'M JAN DAVIS, ONE OF THE OWNERS OF THE FRONTIER VALLEY PROPERTY. AND FOR EVERYONE'S SAKE I'M JUST GOING TO READ WHAT I'VE WRITTEN HERE. THERE'S NOTHING THAT WE CAN REALLY ADD TO WHAT THE SUPPORTERS OF THIS REZONING CASE HAVE SAID OTHER THAN TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THE FUTURE OF WHAT THIS PROPERTY IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE. WHEN WE BOUGHT THIS PROPERTY IT WAS SCHEDULED FOR A CITY INITIATED ZONING CHANGE. WHEN THE CITY OF AUSTIN CONTACTED

US REGARDING THE CHANGE, WE LOOKED INTO WHAT MIGHT BE THE BEST USE OF THE PROPERTY BASED ON THE OPTIONS AS ADOPTED BY THE MONTOPOLIS FUTURE LAND USE PLAN. THE PROPERTY IS ADJOINED BY A MOBILE HOME PARK ON ONE SIDE, A SELF-STORAGE FACILITY ON ANOTHER, AND A MULTI-FAMILY-3 PARCEL AND COMMERCIAL LOT DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET. DUE TO THE FACT THAT OUR PROPERTY IS LONG AND NARROW, THERE WOULD BE NO WAY TO INSULATE IT FROM THE SURROUNDING PROPERTIES IF WE WERE TO BUILD A SMALL SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION. IN VISITING WITH THE CITY PLANNERS WE AGREED THAT AN APARTMENT COMPLEX COULD OFFER A QUALITY, SELF-CONTAINED ENVIRONMENT THAT COULD PROVIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO THOSE PEOPLE WHO CURRENTLY LIVE IN EAST AUSTIN AND WHO ARE WORKING THEIR WAY TOWARD HOME OWNERSHIP. IN THE LATE '70'S I WAS IN MY LAST YEAR OF HIGH SCHOOL AND LIVING IN A RENTED DUPLEX ON 39TH AND A HALF STREET WITH MY MOTHER AND STEPFATHER DOWNSTAIRS AND MY BROTHER AND I UPSTAIRS. THE LANDLORD ASKED THAT WE VACATE THE UPSTAIRS SO HIS DAUGHTER COULD LIVE THERE. JOHN AND I MOVED INTO OUR FIRST APARTMENT IN EAST AUSTIN ON WHEELLESS LANE. WE FOUND A TWO BEDROOM, TWO BATH APARTMENT FOR \$250 A MONTH. WE COULD NOT AFFORD TO LIVE IN NORTHWEST HILLS OR ON CAMPUS WHERE AT THAT TIME SEEMED TO BE THE ONLY OTHER CHOICE OF APARTMENTS, MOST OF THEM FOR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS. WE LIVED IN EAST AUSTIN TOGETHER FOR THREE YEARS AND IT WAS A GREAT EXPERIENCE. I'M NOT SURE WHAT WE WOULD HAVE DONE IF WE HAD NOT HAD AN AFFORDABLE PLACE TO GO. THIS COMPLEX WILL PROVIDE HOUSING TO THOSE WHO MIGHT OTHERWISE MOVE TO OTHER AREAS AND NOT RETURN TO EAST AUSTIN. WE ALSO CONSIDERED THE FACT THAT THE AIRPORT COMMERCE PARK DOWN THE STREET WILL PROVIDE MANY JOBS IN THE FUTURE AND MANY OF THESE PEOPLE WILL WANT TO LIVE CLOSER TO THEIR PLACE OF WORK. AGAIN, SOME OF THESE PEOPLE MAY NOT BE READY FOR HOME OWNERSHIP YET. THE PLANNERS AT THE CITY AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHO VOTED UNANIMOUSLY ON THIS ZONING HAVE NO SELF-INTEREST IN THIS PROJECT, AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THEY ARE EDUCATED AND EXPERIENCED

IN MAKING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. THEY WOULD NOT HAVE MADE THIS RECOMMENDATION IF THEY DID NOT BELIEVE THAT THIS ZONING WAS IN KEEPING WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND WAS THE BEST USE OF THIS PROPERTY FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND FOR THE CITY. WE RESPECT THE CONCERNS OF SUSANA AND ESPECIALLY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ REGARDING THIS ISSUE. WE BELIEVE OUR GOALS ARE THE SAME AND AFTER FURTHER CONSIDERATION OUR HOPE IS THAT THEY SEE THAT THIS IS TRUE. AS WE SHARED WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ RECENTLY, WE ARE DEVELOPING A PROPERTY IMMEDIATELY ACROSS RIVERSIDE DRIVE THAT WILL BE CALLED THE SAN PEDRO SUBDIVISION. IT WILL BE COMPRISED OF 46 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOTS, MOST OF WHICH WILL BE IN EXCESS OF 6,000 SQUARE FEET. IN ADDITION, IT WILL HAVE A THREE ACRE PORTION FACING RIVERSIDE WHICH WILL BE DEVELOPED FOR SMALL LOCALLY OWNED BUSINESSES AND HOPEFULLY A RESTAURANT. [BUZZER SOUNDS] WE RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND THE REST OF THE COUNCIL CONSIDER THIS CASE A PART OF A MASTER PLAN FOR THIS AREA THAT WILL INCORPORATE THE REZONING OF THE PROPERTY ON FRONTIER VALLEY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. JOHNNIE LIMONN. THANK YOU. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY JAMES CROCKETT.

MAYOR WYNN AND THE CITY COUNCILMEMBERS, WHEN I WAS APPROACHED BY THE DAVIS, THEY WERE PRETTY MUCH ASKING ME WHAT I THOUGHT, GIVE MY OPINION, YOU KNOW, ON THIS PARTICULAR MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT. AND I PRETTY MUCH HAD TO, YOU KNOW, BASE MY OPINIONS ON THE LAST FEW MONTHS THAT I'VE BEEN GOING TO MANY OF THE MEETINGS THAT HAVE BEEN HELD IN AUSTIN DEALING WITH HOUSING. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS STOOD OUT THE MOST IN MOST OF THOSE MEETINGS THAT I'VE GONE TO HAS BEEN -- WHAT'S BEEN SAID, IT'S BEEN SAID THAT MANY PEOPLE WHO GET DISPLACED FIRST IN THE COMMUNITY ARE PEOPLE THAT RENT. AND I KNOW THAT'S PROBABLY WHY THE PROJECT, THE MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT THAT IS CURRENTLY RIGHT NOW BEING BUILT ON SIXTH STREET CALLED THE VILLAS ON SIXTH STREET IS BECAUSE

THEY'RE NEEDED. BECAUSE THE LAST THING THAT I WOULD WANT -- AND I'VE LIVED IN EAST AUSTIN MY WHOLE LIFE -- IS TO SEE PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN EAST AUSTIN, BUT HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO AFFORD A HOME HAVE TO LEAVE EAST AUSTIN BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO OTHER PLACE TO GO. SO THAT'S WHY THERE WAS NEVER KNOW -- NOBODY OPPOSING THIS DEVELOPMENT. AND IN LOOKING AT THAT IS ONE REASON WHY I SAY, DO YOU KNOW WHAT? IF WE'RE REALLY SERIOUS ABOUT PROTECTING OUR EAST AUSTIN RESIDENTS, ESPECIALLY THE RENTERS, FROM BEING MOVED OUT AS GENTRIFICATION MOVES INTO THE EAST SIDE, THEN WE'RE GOING TO -- I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE A PLACE. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE A PLACE TO WHERE THEY COULD GO OVER THERE AND STILL STAY IN EAST AUSTIN. AND THAT IS MY REASON FOR BEING HERE IS BECAUSE I'M HOPING THAT WE CAN PROTECT THOSE PEOPLE, BUT ALSO PROVIDE SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES IN EAST AUSTIN SO THAT FAMILIES CAN STAY HERE OR FAMILIES CAN EVEN MOVE BACK INTO AUSTIN. PEOPLE MOVED OUT BECAUSE THEY PROBABLY COULDN'T FIND A NICE HOME IN EAST AUSTIN. AND SO I'M TAKING THIS AS LOOKING AT IT FROM THE HOUSING END OF IT IS THAT I WOULD LOVE TO MAKE SURE THAT EAST AUSTIN IT'S CONTINUE TO HAVE A PLACE TO STAY IF THEY WANT TO IN EAST AUSTIN. STU VERY MUCH. -- THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: JAMES CROCKETT. WELCOME, MR. CROCKETT. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY PAT JOHNSON.

I'M A REAL ESTATE INVESTOR. I OWN THE 22 ACRES ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, HAVE 15 ACRES CS IN THE FRONT AND SEVEN MF-3 IN THE BACK, SO A GREATER DENSITY IN THE AREA WORKS TO MY ADVANTAGE FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CS PROPERTY. SO AS THE AREA GENERAL TRAFFIC AND FILLS IN WITH THE 217,000, 4 STORY 160 ROOM HOTEL DOWN THE STREET ARKS NEW INTERCHANGE COMING AND RIVERSIDE BEING A BRIDGE GOING ACROSS, IN DUE COURSE WE NEED A BANK, WE NEED A GROCERY STORE, WE NEED SOME RETAIL THAT ISN'T THERE NOW. SO I THINK THIS WILL BE A

STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. CROCKETT. SO COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL THE FOLKS SIGNED UP IN FAVOR OF THE ZONING CASE. WE'LL NOW GO TO FOLKS IN OPPOSITION. WE'LL GO TO MR. PAT JOHNSON AND PAT WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MS. SUSANA ALMANZA.

GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNCIL, I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME THE NEW COUNCILMEMBERS. YOU GET USED TO SEEING ME UP HERE ON ISSUES. FIRST OF ALL, STAFF SAID THEY SENT OUT 3200 NOTICES. NOBODY ON FRONTIER NOTICE GOT THAT NOTICE, THE SECOND NOTICE. NOBODY. I CONTACTED CENTEX HOMES THIS MORNING, KEITH PETERSON, THEY DIDN'T EVEN RECEIVE THE NOTICE FOR THE SECOND MEETING. I HAVE 47 VALID PETITIONS RIGHT HERE THAT I TURNED INTO THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE EARLIER THAT ARE AGAINST THE REZONING OF THAT PROPERTY. I LIVE ON THAT STREET. I KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE OVER THERE. AND WE DON'T WANT ANY MORE ADDITIONAL CRIME. THE PEOPLE THAT OWN THAT PROPERTY, THEY HAVE NO INTENTIONS OF LIVING THERE. THEY WANT THIS REZONED SO THEY CAN SELL IT TO A DEVELOPER AND MAKE A PROFIT. THEY'LL PUT APARTMENT COMPLEXES IN THERE. I DON'T CARE IF YOU PUT SENIOR CITIZENS IN THERE WITH WHEELCHAIRS, THE CRIME IS GOING TO COME TO THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE THERE ON THAT STREET, LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE OUT THERE IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. WE DON'T WANT ANY MORE APARTMENT COMPLEXES. YOU WERE ELECTED TO SERVE THE PEOPLE AND DO WHAT'S BEST FOR THE PEOPLE, AND APARTMENT COMPLEXES ON FRONT FRONTIER VALLEY IS NOT WHAT'S BEST. HOW DO YOU EXPECT CENTEX HOMES TO FILL THAT NEW SUBDIVISION WITH NEW HOME OWNERSHIP OVER THERE WHEN YOU'VE GOT A THREE STORY APARTMENT COMPLEX WITH RESIDENTS ON THE SECOND AND THIRD FLOORS OUT THERE ON THEIR BALCONIES YOU ARE INNATING OFF THEIR BALCONIES. HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE A FAMILY HE 81 ON IN YOUR BRAND NEW HOME AND PUT UP WITH THAT? WE DON'T WANT THAT CRIME OUT THERE. NOW, COUNCIL, YOU KNOW, TAKING US OUT OF THE LOOP OUT THERE ON FRONTIER VALLEY ON THIS ZONING CASE, NOT BEING GIVEN AMPLE NOTIFICATION, WE WOULD

HAVE BEEN THERE, JUST LIKE WHEN THE POLICE, WHEN WE WERE HAVING ALL THE CRIME OUT THERE ON FRONTIER VALLEY, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TOOK THE ISSUE IN HAND, THEY WENT OUT THERE AND PROVIDED NOTICES AND PUT THEM ON EVERYONE'S DOOR OUT THERE. WE HAD OVER 200 PEOPLE SHOW UP FOR THAT COMMUNITY FORUM AND THEN STAFF SAYS THEY SENT OUT A NOTICE TO EVERYBODY THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING ON THIS ISSUE, AND THEY SAY ONLY 11 PEOPLE SHOWED UP. IT'S BECAUSE WE WERE NOT NOTIFIED. I DID NOT EVEN SEE THE NOTICE UNTIL I READ IT IN THE PDF ON THE WEBSITE. IT'S JUST NOT FAIR. DO WHAT'S RIGHT FOR THE CITIZENS THAT LIVE OVER THERE. THESE PEOPLE UP HERE GIVING TESTIMONY FOR THIS, THEY DON'T LIVE OVER THERE. DO WHAT'S RIGHT FOR US, PLEASE. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE -- THE CITY CLERK HAS THIS PETITION. I WOULD HOPE THAT THIS WOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THERE'S 47 PEOPLE HERE THAT ARE AGAINST THAT REZONING. THEY WANT TO THREATEN US WITH PUTTING SECTION 8 OUT THERE. WE'RE USED TO LIVING IN SECTION 8 BECAUSE THOSE PEOPLE OUT THERE AT THAT PARK, 155 TAXPAYERS, THOSE ARE WORKING FAMILIES THAT PAY TO SUPPORT THEIR KIDS, PUT THEIR KIDS TO SCHOOL AND PUT MONEY INTO OUR ECONOMY. DON'T DO US A MISFORTUNE AND PUT AN APARTMENT COMPLEX THERE ON FRONTIER VALLEY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. JOHNSON. AND IF MR. GURNSEY OR MS. GLASGO COULD HELP US ALSO VERIFY THE PETITION ISSUE WE WILL HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS LATER WHEN WE HAVE DISCUSSION. THANK YOU. SUSANA ALMANZA, WELCOME. YOU HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS WANTING TO DONATE THEIR TIME TO YOU. IS CARMEN (INDISCERNIBLE) HERE?

SHE'S NOT HERE, BUT AUGUSTINE IS.

Mayor Wynn: HOW ABOUT AUGUSTINE ARRELLANO? YOU WILL HAVE SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. I'M SUSANA ALMANZA AND I'M WITH THE VARGAS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO

SAY OUR RALLYING CRY WAS HOMES, NOT HORSES WHEN WE FOUGHT THE JOCKEY TRACT. WE WEREN'T SAYING NOT MULTI-FAMILY, WE SAID HOMES, NOT HORSES. AND THIS PARTICULAR TRACT WAS ZONED SINGLE-FAMILY BEFORE THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WAS EVEN IMPLEMENTED, IT WAS ALREADY ZONED SINGLE-FAMILY. AND THE CURRENT OWNER WHO HAD IT AS SINGLE-FAMILY IS NOT THE SAME ONE. THE DAVIS ARE NEW OWNERS. THEY BOUGHT THE LAND AND THEY BOUGHT IT KNOWING THAT IT WAS SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING AT THE TIME. IF THEY WOULD AGREE TO DO SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING FOR A, THEY COULD BUILD 65 ADDITIONAL HOUSES OUT THERE AND THAT WOULD BE THE REAL WIN-WIN SITUATION FOR OUR COMMUNITY. AND TO LET THE NEW COUNCILMEMBERS AND ALSO WELCOME THEM, LET THEM KNOW THAT IN THE MONTOPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, WE HAD AGREED THAT THE INNER CORE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION WOULD REMAIN SINGLE-FAMILY AND THAT THE OUTER CORE, THE PERIPHERY, WOULD BE -- WE WOULD THEN AGREE TO MULTI-FAMILY. SO IT'S NOT THAT WE DIDN'T WANT MULTI-FAMILY OR AFFORDABLE APARTMENTS. AND SO I'M GOING TO GO TO THE SLIDES FOR THOSE. I DON'T HAVE THE LITTLE -- JUST FOR THOSE WHO DON'T KNOW MONTOPOLIS, IN MONTOPOLIS A GROVE PLACE HAS 184 UNITS. THAT'S GROVE. SAFE PLACE HAS 25 UNITS. THE BOULEVARD HAS 20 BUILT UNITS ALREADY AND IS TO FINISH UP WITH 120 UNITS. THE COUNTRY CLUB TOWNHOMES HAS 40 UNITS. FAIRWAY APARTMENTS HAS 128 UNITS. RIVERSIDE MEADOWS HAS 240 UNITS. TOWN VISTA APARTMENTS HAS 280 UNITS. AND THIS IS THE LAND AT 1805 FRONTIER VALLEY DRIVE THAT EVERYONE'S TALKING ABOUT SINGLE-FAMILY. IT'S ALSO ADJACENT TO SINGLE-FAMILY AND CAT CORNER TO -- CATTY-CORNER TO SINGLE-FAMILY, SO DON'T BE MISLED THAT IT'S SINGLE-FAMILY. THAT'S A CENTEX DEVELOPMENT THAT'S ADJACENT IF YOU GO DOWN FRONTIER VALLEY, THAT WOULD BE THE BACK THAT'S GOING TO BE ADJACENT TO THIS SUBDIVISION. AND SO RIGHT NOW WE HAVE 1,017 APARTMENT UNITS IN MONTOPOLIS. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE OUR FAIR SHARE OF UNITS IN MONTOPOLIS, AND TO SAY THAT WE DON'T HAVE THEM IS AN OUT RIGHT LIE. THE FACT IS THAT THIS IS SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING, HAS BEEN SINGLE-FAMILY AND JUST BECAUSE SOMEONE THROWS INTO THE

MIX THAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF UNITS AT 60 PERCENT MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, AND FOR THOSE OF YOU THAT WONDER WHERE, MONTOPOLIS IS ONE OF THE POOREST NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE AREA, SO IT'S NOT -- IF YOU WERE GOING TO RENT APARTMENTS AT 60 60% MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, THEY WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO AFFORD, THE PEOPLE LIVING THERE, TO RENT THOSE PARTICULAR HOMES IN THE MONTOPOLIS AREA. NOW, WE WANT TO KEEP -- LIKE I SAID, IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WE WANT TO KEEP THE SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE AND THAT WE HAVE HAD BEFORE THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN EVEN CAME INTO PLACE. WE KEPT IT SINGLE-FAMILY ZONED. AND WE'RE SORRY THAT WE MISSED THE WHOLE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING OR WE WOULD HAVE TOLD THEM THEN WE DON'T WANT MULTI-FAMILY, AND THEY WOULD HAVE HEARD FROM THE PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING THERE AND HAVE BEEN THERE FOR GENERATIONS THAT WE DON'T NEED ANY MORE MULTI-FAMILY ZONING. WE HAVE ANOTHER BIG TRIANGLE THERE ON RIVERSIDE DRIVE BY MONTOPOLIS DRIVE AND GROVE WHICH ALSO HAS THE POTENTIAL FOR A MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT ZONING IN THE AREA. SO IT'S NOT THAT WE'RE AGAINST MULTI-FAMILY ZONING OF APARTMENTS OR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, BUT WE THINK THAT THEY SHOULD BE STRATEGICALLY PLANNED. THAT THEY SHOULD BE PUT STRATEGICALLY. AND WE HAVE OUR SHARE. THE MAJORITY OF THOSE UNITS THAT I SHOWED YOU ARE AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. GROVE PLACE, 184, THOSE ARE AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. I CAN'T GET IT TO GO FORWARD. SAFE PLACE, THAT'S AFFORDABLE TOO. FAIRWAY, THAT IS ALSO AFFORDABLE AND PROBABLY THE ONLY LOW INCOME UNITS. AND THE NEW ONES THAT WERE BUILT ON MONTOPOLIS DRIVE, THOSE ARE ALSO AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. IT'S NOT WORKING APPROPRIATELY. RIVER MEADOWS, 240, THOSE ARE AFFORDABLE APARTMENTS. AND SO IS THE TOWN VISTA, 280. SO APPARENTLY SOMEONE DOESN'T HAVE THEIR FACTS STRAIGHT. WE KNOW WHAT WE HAVE IN OUR COMMUNITY BECAUSE WE LIVE THERE AND WE GO THROUGH THERE EVERYDAY. WE DON'T COME THERE JUST TO WORK, WE DON'T COME THERE JUST BECAUSE WE OWN PROPERTY AND BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE A PROFIT, BUT WE LIVE

THERE AND WE WANT TO KEEP THE INNER CORE, WE WANT TO SUSTAIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. I'M SURE THAT JOHNNIE WOULD NOT WANT APARTMENTS ON (INDISCERNIBLE). HE WANT WANT TO RETAIN THE INNER CORE OF SINGLE-FAMILY, AND THAT'S WHAT WE WANT. LET US KEEP SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING THERE. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. THE NEXT SPEAKER IS MARY ARRELLIO. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY FRANK MONARELLO.

HOW ARE Y'ALL TODAY. MY NAME IS MARY, I LIVE AT 6006 PALM CIRCLE AND I'M ONE OF THE PERSONS THAT HAVE BEEN MISSING THE MEETINGS. I WORK WITH MR. AL ALVAREZ WHEN THE RACE TRACK WAS HERE. I'VE HAD CANCER FOR THREE YEARS AND I HAVEN'T BEEN ATTENDING THE MEETINGS LIKE I SHOULD, BUT THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT MEETING FOR ME. I LIVE RIGHT THERE ON THE CORNER FROM GROVE STREET AND RIVERSIDE DRIVE. I LIVE THREE HOUSES FROM WHERE THEY'RE BUILDING ALL THESE APARTMENTS ON GROVE. THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE RIGHT THERE, THE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO LIVE RIGHT THERE ON GROVE STREET APARTMENTS, THEY'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING INTO MY BACKYARD. I'M A HOMEOWNER THERE. I'VE BEEN THERE FOR 35 YEARS. I'M CORNERED THERE BY THE RIVERSIDE APARTMENTS, BY THE MONTOPOLIS APARTMENTS, AND I'M GOING TO BE CORNERED FROM ANOTHER SIDE WITH APARTMENTS. I THINK THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO NOT WANT NO MORE APARTMENTS THERE, SIR, BECAUSE WE NEED OUR SPACE. PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING INTO MY BACKYARD AND MY FRONT YARD. I'M NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO BE -- HAVING MY KIDS OVER BECAUSE I'M CORNERED BY APARTMENTS. I'M THREE HOUSES FROM -- ALSO APARTMENTS ALL THE WAY FROM RIVERSIDE DRIVE TO HOGAN STREET IS APARTMENTS. MONTOPOLIS DRIVE IS FULL OF APARTMENTS. WE HAVE TO THE BACK OF OURS ON -- FROM PLEASANT VALLEY TO MONTOPOLIS DRIVE AND GROVE, WE HAVE THE PARADISE APARTMENTS. IT'S NOTHING BUT APARTMENTS. WE WANT SINGLE-FAMILIES, WE WANT FAMILIES LIVING THERE. WE DON'T HAVE NO RENTERS THERE, IT'S ALL OWNERS. AND WE CAN'T GET AWAY FROM ALL THOSE PEOPLE LOOKING INTO OUR A YARD. AND I DON'T THINK MR. LIMON WOULD LIKE TO

LIVE WHERE I'M LIVING RIGHT NOW BECAUSE THE PEOPLE FROM UPSTAIRS WOULD BE LOOKING INTO HIS YARD. AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME PRIVACY AROUND MY NEIGHBORHOOD TOO. AND I'M SURE THAT ALL THE OLDER PEOPLE THAT LIVE AROUND THERE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE PRIVACY TOO. AND IF YOU WOULD GO AND VISIT ME AND SEE ALL THESE APARTMENTS COMING UP RIGHT THERE ON THE SIDE OF YOUR -- OF A DEAD-END STREET AND YOU WOULD SIT IN MY BACKYARD OR MY FRONT YARD, YOU WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE THESE PEOPLE LOOKING INTO YOUR YARD. AND I'M SURE THAT THE PEOPLE IN FRONTIER VALLEY WOULD NOT LIKE THAT TOO. AND WE CANNOT AFFORD TO MOVE ANY OTHER PLACE BECAUSE WE ALREADY OWN THOSE PROPERTIES. SO THAT'S ALL. AND I APPRECIATE IT IF YOU WOULD RECONSIDER THIS. AND YOU CAN VISIT ME ANY TIME YOU WANT TO TO SEE WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT. THANK Y'ALL.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MARY. FRANK VILLA REAL. WELCOME. FRANK. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND BE FOLLOWED BY LORI PERSON.

GOOD AFTERNOON, MAYOR, COUNCIL. MY NAME IS FRANK. I'VE BEEN LIVING IN MONTOPOLIS FOR 46 YEARS. GOD WILLING, ANOTHER 46 YEARS. LONG AGO THEY WANTED TO BUILD THAT HORSE RACING TRACK OUT THERE, SPEEDWAY MONTOPOLIS. WE DIDN'T WANT IT THERE BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T GOING TO LET US PLAY WITH OUR HORSES OVER THERE. OUR HORSES DON'T RUN AS FAST AS THOSE THOROUGHBREDS. BUT CHANGING THE ZONING ON THIS PROPERTY IS NOT WHAT THE PEOPLE WANT. IT'S CLEAR WE'VE HAD MEETINGS OUT THERE, NOT TOO MANY PEOPLE ARE GOING TO COME TO THEET THESE MEETINGS WHEN YOU HOLD THEM AT 4:00 O'CLOCK WHEN THEY'RE PICKING UP THEIR KIDS. IT'S NOT A CONVENIENT TIME EVER FOR ANYBODY. BUT AS FAR AS NOTIFYING THE PEOPLE, YOU KNOW WHY 11 PEOPLE SHOWED UP AT THE LAST MEETING, PROBABLY THE SAME MEETING BECAUSE WHEN Y'ALL SENT ME THE NOTICE FOR THIS MEETING TODAY, I GOT AN ENVELOPE THAT INVITED ME HERE, BUT THERE WAS NOTHING IN IT, IT WAS EMPTY. THERE WAS NOTHING IN THE ENVELOPE. SO THE REASON I FOUND OUT ABOUT IT WAS BECAUSE I GOT A LETTER FROM PODER STATING, YOU

KNOW, MENTIONING ABOUT THIS MEETING. THAT'S HOW I FOUND OUT ABOUT IT. A BLANK ENVELOPE DOESN'T TELL ME NOTHING. THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF PEOPLE PAINTING YOU A PRETTY PICTURE ABOUT THIS THING, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY WANT. IT'S PRETTY CLEAR. WE WANT TO KEEP FRONTIER VALLEY AS SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING. OUR SCHOOL THERE IS WAY OVERCROWDED. WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH TEACHERS OVER THERE FOR THE STUDENTS. BRINGING IN MORE APARTMENTS IS JUST GOING TO BRING --OVER BURDEN THESE SCHOOLS THAT ALREADY CAN'T HOLD THE KIDS THAT WE'RE TRYING TO TEACH OVER THERE. YOU'RE CUTTING OUT OUR TEACHERS, NOT EXPANDING OUR SCHOOLS, NOT DOING ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. AND BRINGING IN MORE APARTMENTS IS JUST GOING TO BRING MORE AND MORE STUDENTS FOR THESE TEACHERS. THEY CAN'T HOUSE THEM RIGHT NOW AS IT IS. AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THEM KIDS TO GET AN EDUCATION. BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN THIS WAY. SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADIEU SO OTHER PEOPLE CAN SPEAK, I'M GOING TO LEAVE IT AT THAT. AND ONCE AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THAT FAMILY ZONING. SINGLE-FAMILY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. LORI PERSON.

I'M LORI PERSON, I HAVE PROPERTY ON YELLOW JACKET LANE CLOSE TO THE PROPERTY THAT'S UNDER DISCUSSION TODAY. I OWN TWO LOTS THAT I AM TRYING TO BUILD MY HOME ON. I INTEND TO LIVE THERE. I HAVE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, I HAVE SOME ENGINEERING PLANS, AND BEFORE I BOUGHT THAT PROPERTY, I DID SOME RESEARCH AND I LOOKED AT ALL THE ZONING AND I DO NOT WANT TO BE SURROUNDED BY APARTMENTS. I THINK WE SHOULD SUPPORT RESIDENCES, WE SHOULD SUPPORT HOMES, WE SHOULD SUPPORT FOLKS LIKE ME WHO WANT TO BUILD HOMES. WE HAVE A WONDERFUL PARTNER IN CENTEX HOMES. JUST WHAT GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO HAVE THOSE FOLKS COME IN THERE AND BUILD ON THOSE 300 SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS FOR US. LET'S LET THAT WORK. LET'S GIVE THAT A LITTLE BIT OF TIME. LET'S LET THE FOLKS GET IN THERE, LET THEM SELL THOSE PROPERTIES, BUILD THOSE PROPERTIES. LET'S NOT PUT AN APARTMENT COMPLEX IN THOSE BACKYARDS. WHAT ARE WE DOING TO THE PROPERTY VALUES OF THOSE HOMES THAT HAVE NOT

YET BEEN BUILT? AND WHAT ARE WE DOING TO OUR NEW PARTNER, CENTEX HOME? THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. PERSON. SO COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL THE FOLKS WHO SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION. A NUMBER OF FOLKS SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK, ALSO IN OPPOSITION. I'LL READ THESE NAMES INTO THE RECORD. AND I'M SURE I'LL MISPRONOUNCE MOST OF THEM. MAGAZINEMAGALI FERNANDEZ, ELISE BETH RINCON. MELODY SERRANO, ANDREW SERRANO. MICHAEL JOHNSON. YVETTE RODRIGUEZ. ORLANDO RENTER REI CAN'T. TONY LOPEZ, ROXANNE CAMPOS. TIMOTHY VASQUEZ. MARY IKENER ALL FIND UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK, IN OPPOSITION. SO NOW OUR OWNER-AGENT HAS ONE THREE-MINUTE REBUTTAL TO ANY TESTIMONY THAT WE MAY HAVE HEARD. WELCOME BACK.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBERS. I'LL JUST QUICKLY ON SOME OF THE POINTS THAT WERE MADE, I'LL RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE THAT APARTMENTS ARE NOT HOMES. APARTMENTS ARE HOMES. I GREW UP IN APARTMENTS. MY PARENTS COULD NOT AFFORD A HOUSE UNTIL THEY WERE IN THEIR 40'S AND I WOULD JUST ARGUE THAT APARTMENTS ARE HOMES. WE HAVE SUPPORT FROM THE COMMUNITY. WE HAVE THE SUPPORT OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WHO COULDN'T BE HERE TONIGHT, FRANK FIEWN FUETNES AND ALSO THE CONTRACTOR ASSOCIATION, OF WHICH MR. JOHNNIE LIMON IS THE COMMUNITY CHAIR OF THEIR ADVISORY COMMITTEE. I AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AND SUSANA THAT THE GOALS OF THE MONTOPOLIS PLAN WERE TO KEEP MULTI-FAMILY ON THE PERIPHERY, I WOULD ARGUE THAT THIS IS THE PERIPHERY. THE 30 ACRES THAT WERE APPROVED FOR MF-3 WERE SIMPLY ABOUT 500 FEET TO THE EAST OF THIS. IT'S JUST THAT CENTEX CAME THROUGH AND THEY SAID WE DON'T HAVE MF-3, WE NEED SF-4-A, WE'RE SIMPLY FLIPPING IT 500 FEET TO THE EAST AND I WOULD HOPE THAT THIS WILL STILL BE LOOKED AT AS THE PERIPHERY OF THE MONTOPOLIS PLAN. SAYING THAT THE OWNERS BOUGHT THE PROPERTY KNOWING IT WAS SF-2 IS INCORRECT. THEY BOUGHT IT KNOWING THAT IT WAS DUE FOR A REZONING AS PART OF THE PLAN. THAT WAS HOW IT WAS MARKETING TO THEM

ACTUALLY. THEY SAW SF-2 ZONING THERE, THEM BEING SMALL TIME DEVELOPERS, THEY ONLY DEVELOPED TO THIS POINT PROPERTIES THAT ARE FIVE TO 10 ACRES, NOT BIG PROPERTIES, AND THEY HAVE AN EYE FOR THESE TYPE OF PROPERTIES AND THEY KNEW THAT SF-2 WAS PROBABLY NOT THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE FOR THIS PROPERTY AND THE FACT THAT THE CITY WAS DUE TO INITIATE ZONING ON THIS PROPERTY WITHIN THE YEAR OR WITHIN TWO YEARS, THEY BANKED ON THAT. IT WASN'T AS IF THEY BOUGHT IT THINKING THAT THEY WOULD DO SINGLE-FAMILY THERE BECAUSE IT IS A LITTLE BIT TOO NARROW FOR A SINGLE-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT. AS FAR AS APARTMENTS LOOKING INTO SINGLE-FAMILY, I THINK WE GET THAT WITH SINGLE-FAMILY UPON SINGLE-FAMILY. MY NEIGHBOR JUST PUT A SECOND STORY ON THEIR HOUSE AND AS FAR AS LOOKING INTO THE BACKYARD, IT'S NOT A PROBLEM. I DON'T THINK IT'S AN ISSUE NOT TO APPROVE MULTI-FAMILY HERE, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE DENSITIES ARE SUCH AS POINTED OUT HERE, BUT I DO AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ THAT STATISTICS ARE STATISTICS AND IF YOU REDREW THE LINES IN ANOTHER WAY, THE NUMBERS MIGHT COME OUT DIFFERENTLY, BUT THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE TO WORK WITH. SO THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THAT'S ALL THE PEOPLE TO SPEAK ON ITEM Z-1. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Mayor Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I WANTED TO ASK STAFF WHAT THE POSSIBILITY WAS OF ZONING THIS AS SF-6 FOR CONDOS AND WHAT THE DEVELOPER'S INTEREST WAS IN WORKING WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD TO LOOK AT SF-6, IF ANY?

COUNCILMEMBER, YOU CAN CONSIDER OR YOU CAN ZONE THIS PROPERTY ANYWHERE FROM -- YOUR OPTIONS WOULD

BE KEEPING THE ZONING AS IT IS TODAY ZONED SINGLE-FAMILY 2 AND IT ALLOWS FOR DETACHED SINGLE-FAMILY WHERE THEY CAN HAVE UP TO 60 SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES. OR YOU COULD ZONE IT TO SINGLE-FAMILY TO SF-3, WHICH IS FAMILY RESIDENCE ALLOWS DUPLEXES, SO IF DUPLEX DEVELOPMENT, THIS PROJECT COULD NET ABOUT 49 DUPLEXES OR TWICE AS MANY -- OR ONE OF TWO UNITS ON ONE SITE. FOR THE NEXT LEVEL OF ZONING WOULD BE SINGLE-FAMILY 4-A, SIMILAR TO WHAT IS SOUTH OF THE SUBJECT TRACT, AND THAT WOULD BE 96 HOMES. SF-6 WHICH ALLOWS FOR TOWNHOMES AND CONIUMS, THE DENSITY WOULD BE ANYWHERE FROM 90 TO 98. SO THOSE ARE YOUR OPTIONS FOR REZONING AND UP TO MF-1, MF-2. BUT THE APPLICANT IS REALLY CONSIDERING BUILDING APARTMENTS WHILE SINGLE-FAMILY 6 6 REALLY THE LOOK OF THE PROJECT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO APARTMENTS. SO THE NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD STILL PREFER SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED, SO THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S PREFERENCE AND MS. ALMANZA DID INDICATE THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S DESIRE THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS WAS FOR DETACHED SINGLE-FAMILY, WHICH WOULD BE SF-2, SF-3 OR SF-4-A. >>

Kim: OKAY. SO DID MS. ALMANZA INDICATE A PREFERENCE OR AN OBJECTION TO SF-6 DURING THE PROCESS?

YES.

Kim: OBJECTION TO SF-6.

SHE SAID YES.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS? AT THIS TIME I'LL RECOGNIZE COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AS SOON AS HE GETS DOWN HERE ON THE FLOOR HERE.

COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ, BEFORE YOU EMBARK ON YOUR PRESENTATION, MAY I JUST ANSWER A QUESTION THE MAYOR ASKED EARLIER ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS? I'D LIKE TO USE MY WORDS CAREFULLY. MR. JOHNSON HANDED THE CITY CLERK EARLIER THIS AFTERNOON A PACKET OF SIKTS AND THAT'S -- SIGNATURES AND THAT'S ALL THEY ARE. TYPICALLY WE HAVE TO REVIEW EACH SIGNATURE AND

COMPARE THAT WITH THE TRAVIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT TO VERIFY OWNERSHIP AND THEN WE CALCULATE WHETHER WE HAVE A PETITION AND WHETHER IT'S VALID. SO THAT PROCESS HAS NOT OCCURRED SIMPLY BECAUSE THE DOCUMENTS WERE TURNED INTO THE CITY CLERK EARLIER THIS AFTERNOON AND NOT TO THE CITY STAFF FOR VALIDATION. SO DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU DO TODAY, WE CAN THEN RUN THIS THROUGH THE PROCESS AND BY SECOND AND THIRD READINGS WE'LL HAVE A REPORT BACK TO YOU.

GREAT, THANK YOU. WELCOME, COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: THANK YOU, MAYOR. AND DEJA VU OVER AGAIN WITH THE CASE HERE, BUT WE'VE HEARD FROM MORE FOLKS AND I WILL TRY TO KEEP THIS REALLY BRIEF SINCE I GUESS FIVE OF Y'ALL HAVE ALREADY HEARD IT. BASICALLY YOU HEAR THE DIFFERENCE OF OPINION IN TERMS OF WHAT WAS INITIALLY INTENDED THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS AND WHAT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THIS TRACT OF LAND, AND SO LIKE I DID LAST TIME, I WANTED TO SHOW THE ORIGINAL LAND USE MAP VERY EARLY ON IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS. AND YOU SEE THIS AREA HERE, WHICH IS THE CORE OF MONTOPOLIS, AND HOW MUCH YELLOW CATEGORIZED THE LAND THEY ARE, AND THAT'S THE SINGLE-FAMILY ZONED LAND. AND SO THAT'S WHERE WE STARTED. BY THE TIME IT WAS OVER, I'LL SHOW THE CURRENT MAP THAT'S IN THE PLAN, BUT YOU SEE THIS AREA HERE IS THE NOISE CONTOURS FOR THE AIRPORT, AND SO EVEN THOUGH WHILE WE WERE WORKING -- WHILE THE NEIGHBORHOOD WAS WORKING WITH CITY STAFF THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS AND ACTUALLY WAS BRINGING FORWARD THE MAP SHOWING THIS AREA AS YELLOW AND THIS FOR RESIDENTIAL, THEN WHEN IT CAME TIME FOR US TO CONSIDER IT AT THE CITY COUNCIL LEVEL, THEN OUR AIRPORT DEPARTMENT, AVIATION DEPARTMENT CAME FORWARD AND SAID YOU CAN'T SONY OF THIS FOR SINGLE-FAMILY BECAUSE IT'S IN THE NOISE CONTOUR AND WE DON'T HAVE TO IN THE FUTURE AS THE AIRPORT EXPANDS HAVE TO BUY THOSE HOMES OUT DUE TO NOISE. SO THIS AREA HERE TURNED INTO A COMMERCIAL ZONING. AND RIGHT HERE, THIS AREA RIGHT HERE THAT WAS ALSO SLATED FOR SINGLE-FAMILY

ZONING LITERALLY ON THE DAY THAT WE APPROVED THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, THE CITY COUNCIL ALSO APPROVED A MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT ON THAT TRACT OF LAND RIGHT THERE, 248 UNITS, AND THAT WAS A TAX CREDIT PROJECT AND ALL OF THE UNITS IN THAT COMPLEX SERVE FAMILIES OF 50% OF MFI OR LESS, AND THAT WAS A REAL PROJECT SERVING, YOU KNOW, VERY -- FAMILIES AT 50% MFI OR LESS. ALL OF THE UNITS IN THAT COMPLEX. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

Alvarez: YOU SEE, WHEN YOU ACTUALLY LOOK AT THE MAP THAT'S CURRENT CURRENTLY PART OF THE PLAN, ALL OF THIS AREA THAT WAS ZONED FOR SINGLE FAMILY, THIS TRACT HERE, DIDN'T END UP BEING ZONED FOR SINGLE FAMILY. THEN WHEN CENTEX HOMES CAME FORWARD AND SAID WE ARE GOING TO DEVELOP THE BULK OF THIS TRACT FOR SINGLE FAMILY, THEN IT SEEMED LIKE THE TREND WAS THAT IT WAS GOING TO GO BACK TO WHAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD ORIGINALLY ASKED FOR IN TERMS OF REINSTATING A LOT OF THE YELLOW COLOR, THE SINGLE FAMILY ZONED TRACTS OF LAND. SO THE OTHER MAP I SHOWED LAST TIME WAS WHEN WE WERE CONSIDERING THE -- YOU KNOW HOW TO ZONE THAT BLUE TRACT OF LAND THAT HAD THE -- THE VARIOUS HOUSING OPTIONS. THIS BEING FRONTIER VALLEY, THIS BEING RIVERSIDE DRIVE. YOU WOULD SEE THAT THE MULTI-FAMILY WAS KIND OF SEEN RIGHT HERE OR SLATED TO BE ON THE -- ON THE EDGES OF THE PROPERTY AND THIS -- THIS REMAINED AS SINGLE FAMILY ZONING BECAUSE AGAIN THIS IS WHERE THE SINGLE FAMILY CORE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS, THE IDEA WAS THAT THAT WOULD CONTINUE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE OVER HERE INTO THIS AREA AND SO YOU SEE THAT THIS IS THE TRACT THAT WOULD REZONED TO MF 3, ON THIS MAP IT WAS SHOWN TO SF 4 A. YOU SEE THERE WAS SOME SINGLE FAMILY 6 AS WELL. SO -- SO REALLY I THINK THE -- JUST A FINAL POINT THAT I WOULD MAKE IS I DID MEET WITH THE -- WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER AND SHARED WITH THEM MY CONCERNS ABOUT REZONING THIS -- THIS PROPERTY RIGHT HERE, TO MULTI-FAMILY. BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THIS PIECE RIGHT HERE IS CURRENTLY ZONED MULTI-FAMILY ALTHOUGH I BELIEVE THE OWNER WHO WAS HERE TODAY SAID THAT HE'S TRYING TO DEVELOP THAT WHOLE AREA AS -

- AS COMMERCIAL, THOSE TWO TRACTS OF LAND. BUT IF YOU REZONE THIS MULTI-FAMILY, THEN -- THIS IS THE -- THE MOBILE HOME PARK RIGHT HERE, THIS IS THE CITY-OWNED PROPERTY THAT WE ARE LOOKING TO DEVELOP, THEN IF THIS TURNS MULTI-FAMILY, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN TERMS OF THE TREND? THE LONG -- ALONG FRONTIER VALLEY IN THIS DIRECTION. SO YOU MIGHT LOSE -- BEGIN TO LOSE SOME OF THAT SINGLE FAMILY ZONED PROPERTY AS WELL. AND SO -- SO I'M OPEN TO -- TO MAYBE LOOKING TO -- TO CHANGE THIS PARTICULAR TRACT FROM SF 2 TO A HIGHER INTENSITY SF 4 A OR SF 6. BUT THE OWNER, YOU KNOW, WASN'T AM AMENABLE TO THAT. THEY PREFERRED THE MF 3 BECAUSE THEY WERE TRYING TO GET 225, 250 UNITS, SO WE COULDN'T COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON THAT. BUT THE -- THE SLIDE I SHOWED, WHICH -- WHICH LAID OUT THE ORIGINAL PLAN THAT THE REASON THAT WAS ZONED BLUE AND NOT YELLOW FOR SF IS, THE KINDS OF HOUSING THAT HOUSING CATEGORIES THAT WERE ILLUSTRATED WAS SF 4 A AND SF 6. I THINK THERE IS SOME JUSTIFICATION FOR HOW YOU MIGHT UTILIZE THAT TYPE OF ZONING, BUT I HAVE PERSONALLY I STILL HAVE SOME RESERVATIONS ABOUT MOVING FROM THE SF CATEGORY TO THE MF CATEGORY. THANKS AGAIN MAYOR FOR LETTING ME REDO THE PRESENTATION.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

[INDISCERNIBLE]

Kim: GOT IT? OKAY, GOOD. I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE MORE HOME OWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE AFFORDABLE FOR EAST AUSTIN. AND MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENTS ISN'T REALLY THE WAY TO GO IF WE ARE GOING TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE STAYING IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD AND ARE REALLY INVESTED THERE AND ALSO HAVE THE AMENITIES THAT WILL BE ATTRACTIVE FOR THE ENTIRE AREA. SO I AM NOT INCLINED TO SUPPORT THE MF ZONING. FOR THAT REASON.

Dunkerly: MAYOR?

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY?

Dunkerly: COULD I ASK THE STAFF ONE QUESTION?

YES.

Mayor Wynn: MS. GLASGO.

Dunkerly: CAN YOU DISCUSS OR THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION.

Glasgo: THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION IS TO GRANT THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST OF MULTI-FAMILY 3, MF 3 ZONING IS WHAT THE COMMISSION RECOMMENDED.

Dunkerly: WHY WAS THAT?

Glasgo: THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION WAS MF 3. MF 3.

Dunkerly: SO STAFF AND PLANNING COMMISSION ARE RECOMMENDING MF 3.

Glasgo: THAT'S CORRECT.

Dunkerly: ONE OTHER QUESTION. IF WE WERE TO POSTPONE THIS TO THE NEXT MEETING, THAT WOULD GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO CHECK ON THE VALID PETITION; IS THAT CORRECT?

Glasgo: WE CAN -- YOU ARE BOUND BY THE PETITION IF IT'S AT LEAST 20% OF THE TOTAL LAND AREA. ALL THE WAY UP TO THIRD READING, SO YOU DO NOT NEED TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION AT FIRST READING.

Dunkerly: ALL RIGHT.

Glasgo: CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: ALSO, MS. GLASGO, WE HAVE HEARD A NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE NOTIFICATION. CAN JUST REAL BRIEFLY WALK US THROUGH WHAT IS OUR TYPICAL NOTIFICATION PROCESS AND THEN FOR OUR FIRST HEARING OF THIS, YOU KNOW, WE ASKED FOR AN ADDITIONAL ROUND.

CAN YOU TELL US HOW THAT WAS ACCOMPLISHED?

Glasgo: TYPICALLY, FOR THE FIRST TIME WHAT HAPPENS ONCE AN APPLICATION IS FILED, WE SEND OUT A NOTICE JUST INFORMING PEOPLE THAT AN APPLICATION HAS BEEN FILED AND A SUBSEQUENT NOTICE WILL GO OUT FOR -- TO DESIGNATE A PUBLIC HEARING DATE. SO THAT'S THE FIRST ONE, JUST FYI AN APPLICATION HAS BEEN FILED. THEN WE NOTIFY PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 300 FEET OF THE SUBJECT TRACT. SO IT'S NOT EVERYBODY. AND EVERY REGISTERED NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. WHEN WHERE HE CAME TO THE CITY COUNCIL AND FOUND OUT THE ENTIRE NOTICE WAS NOT IN SPANISH, OTHER THAN A STATEMENT INDICATING IN SPANISH TO CALL FOR INFORMATION, WE WENT AHEAD AND HAD A MEETING THAT INCLUDED AN EXPANDED LIST THAT WENT BEYOND THE 300-FOOT RADIUS, INCLUDED THOSE WHO WERE ON A LIST OF INTERESTED PARTIES THAT WERE PART OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS, THAT WAS SENT OUT IN ENGLISH AND IN SPANISH AND SO THAT'S -- THAT WAS THAT MEETING. THEN A LARGER MEETING IN JUNE WHERE WE SENT OUT THE -- THE NOTICE UNFORTUNATELY THE GENTLEMAN RECEIVED AN EMPTY ENVELOPE, THE MACHINE WE HAVE A MACHINE THAT STUFFS THE ENVELOPES, MUST NOT HAVE BEEN SORTED. THAT'S THE ONLY EXPLANATION THAT I CAN ADD THAT THE NOTICE DID NOT GET INSERTED IN THE ENVELOPE. SO THAT'S TYPICALLY WE WENT ABOVE AND BEYOND AND I CANNOT EXPLAIN WHETHER THEY JUST DID NOT RECEIVE THE NOTICE OR WHAT. YOU KNOW, ONCE WE MAIL THEM, WE DON'T TRACK THEM.

Mayor Wynn: ALL RIGHT. NOW THE PLANNING COMMISSION, THOUGH, WE HEARD SOMEBODY -- I THINK I HEARD SOMEBODY TESTIFY THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTE WAS UNANIMOUS? CAN YOU CONFIRM THAT?

Glasgo: THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTE WAS 8-0. SO THAT -- 8 PEOPLE PRESENT THAT NIGHT AND THEY ALL VOTED FOR IT.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, I WILL ENTERTAIN A

MOTION ON Z-1. COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN?

McCracken: [INDISCERNIBLE] CAN YOU TELL ME -- I'M LOOKING AT THE MULTI-FAMILY UNITS AND ACREAGE COMPARISON CHART THAT YOU PREPARED. YOU -- THE AREA THAT'S BOUNDED BY THE MONTOPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING ASSOCIATION, PLANNING AREA EXCUSE ME, WHAT IS THAT AREA.

WHAT STREETS ARE THEY? PERHAPS STAFF COULD HELP ME ON THAT. IT'S -- IF WE COULD GET THE MAP UP -- MY -- MY PRESENTATION, IT WILL SHOW -- WELL, YEAH, ON THE FIRST SLIDE OF MY PRESENTATION IT WILL SHOW THE BOUNDARIES. I DON'T WANT TO QUOTE THEM UNTIL I HAVE IT UP THERE.

Glasgo: THE MAP, FRONTIER VALLEY DRIVE, RIVERSIDE DRIVE, VARGAS ROAD AND THEN AS YOU GO FURTHER SOUTH OF THE RIVERSIDE DRIVE YOU HIT HIGHWAY 71 ON YOUR WAY TO THE AIRPORT.

BUT YOU ARE ASKING THE ACTUAL BOUNDARIES OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA.

I'M TRYING TO GET A SENSE BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THERE ARE QUITE A FEW APARTMENTS ON RIVERSIDE. I WAS CURIOUS ABOUT --

I BELIEVE RIVERSIDE IS THE BOUNDARY, IF WE COULD PULL UP THAT SLIDE. LET ME WALK OVER THERE.

I HAVE -- THERE ARE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE AREA UP ON THE SCREEN. LOOKS LIKE IT'S BEN WHITE, AND I CAN'T -- GROVE ON THE WEST, THANKS, GROVE ON THE WEST, WHICH BECOMES MONTOPOLIS DRIVE AND THEN IT INTERSECTS WITH BEN WHITE/71 WHICH BECOMES 83 AS IT GOES NORTH, 183 AS IT GOES NORTH THEN CONNECTS WITH GROVE BOULEVARD. DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION? SORRY FOR THE TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY.

THAT'S FINE. I GUESS I'M TRYING TO GET SOME SENSE OF THE FACT THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTED FOR THIS UNANIMOUSLY, IS -- I THINK SHOULD BE VERY

MEANINGFUL TO THIS COUNCIL. I DO WANT TO KNOW IN CONTEXT, THOUGH, ARE WE -- YOU ARE TAKING A DISCRETE AREA AND JUST BEYOND THESE BOUNDARIES THERE'S A TON OF APARTMENTS OR HOW CLOSELY ASSOCIATED IS THIS WITH THE -- THE HEAVY CONCENTRATION OF APARTMENTS?

YES. I WILL SAY THAT THE IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA THAT'S NEIGHBORS WITH THIS PLANNING AREA IS THE EAST OLTORF RIVERSIDE PLANNING AREA WHICH IS CURRENTLY COMPLETING ITS PLANNING PROCESS AND WILL BE BEFORE THE COUNCIL WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS. THEY HAVE, OF THE HIGHEST UNIT PER ACRE MULTI-FAMILY IN THE CITY. SO THAT IS CORRECT TO SAY THAT THE FEEL OF COMING DOWN RIVERSIDE WHEN YOU COME FROM DOWNTOWN AS YOU GO OUT TO THE AIRPORT, IF YOU LOOK, ONCE YOU GET PAST ALL OF THE COMMERCIAL, CLOSER TO I-35, YOU START COMING OUT TO BEN WHITE ON THE LEFT, ON THE RIGHT IS ALL SINGLE FAMILY. THERE'S ESTABLISHED SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS ALL ON THE SOUTH SIDE. ON THE OTHER SIDE, THERE'S APARTMENT CITY AND APARTMENT CITY WAS DONE IN THE '80S TO -- ON PURPOSE ACTUALLY TO CREATE AN APARTMENT AREA TO SERVE THE UNIVERSITY STUDENTS, WHICH IT DOES BEAUTIFULLY ACTUALLY. I WOULD ARGUE THAT THE DEMOGRAPHIC THERE FOR APARTMENT CITY IS MOSTLY 25 AND UNDER. SO IT SERVES A NEED IN OUR CITY BECAUSE WE ARE A COLLEGE TOWN. BUT IT'S CORRECT TO SAY THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF UNITS THERE. BUT IT'S QUITE A WAYS, I WOULD SAY IT'S TWO MILES FROM THIS LOCATION. SO AS YOU START MOVING TOWARDS BEN WHITE, IT BECOMES MORE RURAL AND MORE NOT URBAN SO TO SPEAK. AND AGAIN THAT SOUTH SIDE DOES RETAIN SINGLE FAMILY AND ESTABLISHED SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS.

McCracken: IS THIS SLATED TO BE A GATED APARTMENT COMPLEX OR NON-GATED?

NON-GATED AS I UNDERSTAND IT.

McCracken: THAT IS VERY MEANINGFUL, I HAVE LIVED NEXT TO A GATED COMMUNITY AND IT REALLY WALLS OFF YOUR

NEIGHBORHOOD.

WE WOULD BE OPEN TO A RESTRICTION TO NOT HAVE THAT IF -- AND I AGREE WITH THAT STATEMENT AS WELL.

McCracken: IN FACT I LIVE IN THE NORTHWEST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD WHICH IS ONE OF THOSE YOU CITE AND MY EXPERIENCE IS THAT IT'S UNIFORMLY POSITIVE TO HAVE THIS MIX TO GET BETTER SERVICES, IT ADDS A LOT OF FOLKS ON THE STREET, MAKES US ALL FEEL SAFER BECAUSE THERE'S ALWAYS PEOPLE GOING FOR RUNS, WALKING THEIR BABIES AROUND STUFF. SO I -- MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN VERY POSITIVE TO HAVE MULTI-FAMILY THERE AND THE FACT THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE GATED, THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION, THOSE ARE ALL VERY POWERFUL STATEMENTS INDICATING THIS COULD WOULD BE A GOOD PLANNING DECISION.

THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL?

Leffingwell: THIS IS ALSO FOR MS. BODET. EARLIER YOU WENT THROUGH A LIST OF RESTRICTIVE COVENANT YOU PROPOSED. WHERE IS THE LIST? IS THERE A LIST SOMEWHERE OR CAN YOU MAKE THEM AVAILABLE AS PART OF YOUR APPLICATION?

ACTUALLY THAT LIST CAME UPON A MONTH AGO WHEN THIS CASE WAS BEFORE COUNCIL AND AFTER WE HEARD THE CONCERNS OF THE COUNCIL WE WENT TO THE DRAWING BOARD TO SEE WHAT WE COULD DO THAT WOULD CREATE A WIN-WIN SITUATION AND THAT'S WHEN WE CAME UP. IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS WE CAME UP WITH THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY AND I DID E-MAIL IT TO COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ AS I FELT THAT WAS -- WE REALLY TRIED HARD TO ADDRESS HIS CONCERNS. HE DID MEET WITH US FOR ABOUT TWO HOURS AND WE APPRECIATE HIS TIME ON THAT. I DON'T HAVE THAT WRITTEN OUT, BUT I COULD MAKE THAT AVAILABLE TO THE COUNCIL, TO REITERATE IT WAS A LIMIT OF 225 UNITS BECAUSE -- THANK YOU -- BECAUSE THAT IS

THE NUMBER WHERE THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING BREAKS FOR US. THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED ON THAT SITE THAT YOU COULD GET AFTER ALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS, ALL OF THAT WOULD BE ABOUT 280. WE COULD GET AS LOW AS 225 STILL MAKE THE PROJECT WORK WITH SMART HOUSING. SO WE WOULD COMMIT TO THE ORDINANCE OF LIMITING UNITS TO 225. WE WOULD COMMIT TO A 25-FOOT VEGETATIVE BUFFER ON THE NORTH SIDE, BUFFERING FROM THE MOBILE HOMES. AND A COMMITMENT TO THE 8 -- 40% OF UNITS BEING AT 60% MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. THOSE WERE THE THREE CONDITIONS THAT WE WERE WILLING TO IMPOSE ON THE LAND.

Leffingwell: THANKS. MAYOR, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE APPROVAL ON FIRST READING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WITH ALL OF THE RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS THAT WERE NAMED AND I HOPE THERE WILL BE A LIST OF THOSE AT THE NEXT READING AND ALSO INCLUDING COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN'S NO GATES ON THE APARTMENTS.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN.

I HAVE A QUESTION.

Mayor Wynn: LET ME GET THE MOTIONS OUT TO APPROVE ON FIRST READING ONLY PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WITH ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS AS OUTLINED. COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: MS. BODET, WHAT WAS I GUESS THE APPLICANT IS MARABELLA CORPORATION, RIGHT? WHAT WAS THE FEASIBILITY IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT A HIGHER DEPOSITION COMMUNITY FOR SINGLE FAMILY VERSUS MULTI-FAMILY AND WHY DID THEY NOT LOOK AT THAT OPTION AS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE APPROPRIATE OR ACCEPTABLE TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD?

PART OF IT IS THE -- HOW THE SITE IS SITUATED. IT'S A THIN, LONG SITE AS OPPOSED TO A MORE SQUARE SITE WHICH MAKES -- ONCE YOU PUT IN YOUR DRAINAGE DETENTION

FOR THE ENGINEERING FOR THE SUBDIVISION, IT MAKES IT HARD TO FIT ALL OF THE STREETS AND LOTS AND SO THE MULTI-FAMILY BECAUSE YOU CAN GO UP HIGHER, ALLOWS FOR THE DETENTION, JUST THE LAY OF THE LAND SEEMED TO SPEAK MORE TO MULTI-FAMILY THAN SINGLE FAMILY. THAT WAS ONE OF THE MAIN DECISIONS, ALSO THE AFFORDABILITY. WE HEARD FROM JOHNNY LEMON ABOUT AFFORDATE. THE UNIT COUNT WHAT WE HEAR OVER AND OVER AGAIN UNFORTUNATELY THE DENSITY IS NEEDED IN ORDER TO GET THE MIX OF THE AFFORDABLE UNITS. SO THOSE WERE THE TWO MAIN THINGS THAT WERE LOOKED AT WHEN LOOKING AT WHAT WAS THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THIS LOCATION AND WANTING TO PROVIDE A MIX OF HOUSING. JOHNNY LEMON POINTED OUT TO US A LOT OF TIMES PROPERTY TAXES ARE WHAT DRIVES PEOPLE OUT OF EAST AUSTIN BECAUSE THE TAXES KEEP GOING UP AND THEY END UP MOVING TO BUDA OR OTHER PLACES BECAUSE THERE'S NOT AFFORDABLE APARTMENTS OR OTHER SINGLE FAMILY HOMES IN THE AREA. AND SO HE FELT THAT HE COULD SUPPORT THIS PROJECT BECAUSE OF THAT REASON AND I THOUGHT -- WE THOUGHT HE MADE A GOOD POINT ON THAT. THOSE WERE THE THREE MAIN REASONS.

Kim: HOW AFFORDABLE WOULD BE THE UNITS BE IF THEY WERE SF 6:00 AND YOU HAD CONDOS INSTEAD?

I THINK IT WOULD BE HARD TO GET THE 40% AT 60%. YOU MIGHT GET MAYBE 10% AT 80% OF M.F.I., MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, IT'S QUITE SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE THE UNIT COUNT WOULD BE AS ALICE MENTIONED A LITTLE OVER 100 AS OPPOSED TO 225.

Kim: THANK YOU.

YOU'RE WELCOME.

Mayor Wynn: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE TO APPROVE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WITH ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS. FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ?

Alvarez: THANKS, MAYOR. I'M NOT GOING TO BE SUPPORTING

THIS MOTION. I THINK IT'S ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS THAT WE ARE CONSIDERING GOING AGAINST THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AGAIN. I ALREADY OUTLINED HOW THIS NEIGHBORHOOD WAS MISTREATED, I THOUGHT, ON THE DAY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WAS ADOPTED WHERE A LARGE TRACT THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE SINGLE FAMILY WAS ZONED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, I DIDN'T VOTE FOR IT, FOR MULTI-FAMILY. BUT THAT WAS A CASE THAT HAD TAX CREDITS AND EVERY SINGLE UNIT WAS AT 50% OF MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME. IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A STANDARD FOR WHEN YOU GO AGAINST THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, THAT'S THE STANDARD. WE DON'T HAVE THAT HERE. WE DON'T HAVE A TAX CREDIT PROJECT. WE HAVE THEM SAYING THEY MIGHT DO 40% AT 60% M.F.I. BUT THAT MEANS ALL OF THE OTHER UNITS WON'T EVEN BE AFFORDABLE TO THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE THERE. SO I THINK THIS IS A TRAVESTY, A REAL BREAK DOWN OF THE INSPECT INSPECTING PROCESS AND IT SENDS A VERY BAD MESSAGE TO FOLKS WHO GO THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING PROCESS BECAUSE HERE WE ARE THROWING OUT THE LAND USE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT CAME OUT OF THAT PROCESS. AND THE REASON AGAIN MULTI-FAMILY IS TREATED THE WAY IT IS HERE IS BECAUSE THEY RAN OUT OF SPACE, NOW THEY ARE GOING FURTHER EAST, LOOKING FOR ANY LAND IF THEY CAN TO DEVELOP MORE MULTI-FAMILY, THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN SAYS IF THERE'S MULTI-FAMILY, THIS IS WHERE IT SHOULD GO. AND SO I'M JUST VERY CONCERNED THAT THIS SENDS A MESSAGE THAT SOME OF THESE OTHER TRACTS ALSO THAT ARE IN THE CENTER OF MONTOPOLIS ARE NOW FAIR GAME TO CHANGE TO MULTI-FAMILY AND AGAIN WE ARE NOT EVEN SAYING THAT IF IT'S A TAX CREDIT PROJECT, THAT PROVIDES REAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, WE ARE SAYING JUST, YOU KNOW, ANY MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT.

SO I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. REALLY THIS IS A CITY INITIATED PROCESS. I TAKE ISSUE WITH THAT, THERE'S SOME UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CITY WAS SUPPOSED TO INITIATE THE PROCESS FOR THIS PROPERTY OWNER, BUT AGAIN IT ZOO SHOULD HAVE BEEN FOR SOMETHING IN LINE WITH THE -- WHAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD WANTED. HERE WE HAVE A PROCESS THAT THE CITY INITIATED A PROCESS

THAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD DOESN'T SUPPORT AND THAT FOLKS WHO LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM THAT ARE HERE SAY WE DON'T WANT THIS. AND SO -- SO THAT'S WHY I THINK WELL, YOU KNOW, THE CITY SHOULDN'T BE GOING AGAINST ITS OWN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, WE INITIATED THIS CASE. IF THE PROPERTY OWNER WANTS TO FILE AN APPLICATION AND -- TO MULTI-FAMILY THEN LET ME FILE THEIR APPLICATION AND WE CAN HAVE THIS DEBATE ABOUT THEIR PROJECT AND MAYBE BY THAT TIME THEY HAVE TAX CREDITS AND THEY ARE GOING TO PROVIDE AFFORDABLY, REAL AFFORDABLY HOUSING FOR THIS NEIGHBORHOOD BUT, YOU KNOW, AGAIN THIS IS A CASE WHERE THE CITY IS GOING AGAINST ITS OWN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WITH A CASE IT ITSELF INITIATED. FOR ME THERE'S ABSOLUTELY NO JUSTIFICATION FOR THIS. AND I REALLY PLEAD WITH Y'ALL TO LISTEN TO THE NEIGHBORS, THE FOLKS WHO LIVE THERE IN THAT COMMUNITY AND MAINTAIN THIS AS SINGLE FAMILY PROPERTY.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? MAYOR PRO TEM?

Thomas: I HAVE TO REALLY AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. I KNOW SOMETIMES WE -- VOTE THE SAME WHEN IT COMES TO DIFFERENT HOUSING PROJECT. WE HAVE HEARD FROM THE NEIGHBORS. WE'VE HAD TOO MANY COMMUNICATION PROBLEMS. WE'VE ALSO HAD NEIGHBORS TODAY THAT SAID THEY REALLY WANTED IT TO STAY SINGLE FAMILY. THERE'S NO LACK OF MULTI-FAMILY IN THE AREA THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. SO I THINK WE REALLY HOPEFULLY YOU ALL, I WILL NOT SUPPORT THIS. BUT THE SECOND AND THIRD READING THAT YOU ALL WILL REALLY CONCERN THE NEIGHBORS BECAUSE THEY HAVE SAID THAT. I THINK WE HAVE ALL CONSIDERED NEIGHBORS WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO DIFFERENT CASES. THIS IS A CASE THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT AND I WOULD LIKE STAFF TO GIVE ME MORE INFORMATION ABOUT IS IT POSSIBLE THAT THEY CAN PUT SINGLE FAMILY -- CAN'T PUT SINGLE FAMILY IN THAT AREA SHE WAS EXPLAINING. I KNOW IT'S A SMALL AREA, IT APPEARS THAT IT MIGHT NOT HAPPEN, BUT IF STAFF CAN GIVE ME INFORMATION ON THAT ON THE SECOND AND THIRD

READING, BUT I WOULD NOT SUPPORT THIS AT ALL.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU MAYOR PRO TEM. AGAIN IN ADDITION TO THE RESTRICTIONS THAT WERE ADDED AS PART OF THIS MOTION, I WOULD LIKE -- OBVIOUSLY ANYWAY, BUT HAVE STAFF CONFIRM THE -- THE PETITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS. MOTION AND SECOND ON THE TABLE TO APPROVE ON FIRST READING ONLY. PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION WITH ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED?

NO.

MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 4-3 WITH THE MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS ALVAREZ AND KIM VOTING NO. THAT TAKES US WAY PAST OUR 5:30 BREAK FOR LIVE MUSIC. WHILE THE COUNCIL IS OFF THE DAIS, THEY MAKE TAKE UP IN CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071 THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ... 113 REGARDING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING WITH THE FIREFIGHTERS, 116 RELATED TO A LAWSUIT, JOSIE ELLEN CHAMPION ET AL, 117 A LAWSUIT HARRY M. WEDDINGTON, ET AL AND [INDISCERNIBLE] O'RYAN EXCAVATING. WHILE COUNCIL IS OFF THE DAIS WE WILL BE IN CLOSED SESSION BUT WE ALSO BREAK FOR LIVE MUSIC AND PROCLAMATIONS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: FOLKS, WE ARE RUNNING A LITTLE BIT BEHIND, TIME FOR OUR LIVE MUSIC GIG AT THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL. WE ARE HERE TO WELCOME BILLY DEE. [APPLAUSE] A MASTER OF THE TRADITIONAL COUNTRY SONG, WITH HIS SMOOTH BARITONE AND WELL CRAFTED SONG WRITING, HE CONTINUES TO MOVE COUNTRY MUSIC LOVERS ACROSS THE WORLD. HE HAS BEEN THE CONSUMMATE SONG MAN INCLUDING JOHNNY PAYCHECK. HE WAS THE BAND LEADER FOR THE HONKY TONK GILLEY'S AND INDUCTED INTO THE COUNTRY MUSIC HALL OF FAME IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA. HIS MOST RECENT RELEASE IS HOT OFF THE PRESSES AND FEATURES HIS FRIENDS AND ALL

FRIENDS DALE WATSON AND RAY BENSON. PLEASE JOIN ME
IN WELCOMING BILLY DEE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL, THANK YOU,
EVERYBODY. [(music) MUSIC PLAYING (music)(music)]

THIS IS THE THIRD CUT OFF OF OF THE NEW CD. [(music)
MUSIC PLAYING (music)(music)] [(music) SINGING
(music)(music)] [(music) SINGING (music)(music)] [(music)
SINGING (music)(music)] [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: BILLY, TELL US WHERE CAN WE HEAR YOU
NEXT? HOW AVAILABLE IS THE CD, DO YOU HAVE A WEBSITE,
WHAT'S YOUR DEAL?

[INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE - NO MIC] 8423 PROBLEMS]
[SOUND PROBLEMS]

Mayor Wynn: BEFORE YOU GET AWAY, WE HAVE THE OFFICIAL
WEEKLY PROCLAMATION THAT READS: BE IT KNOWN THAT
WHEREAS THE LOCAL MUSIC COMMUNITY MAKES MANY
CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARDS THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUSTIN'S
SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL DIVERSITY, WHEREAS
THE DEDICATED EFFORTS OF ARTISTS FURTHER AUSTIN'S
STATUS AT THE LIVE MUSIC CAPITAL OF THE WORLD, I DO
HEREBY PROCLAIM TODAY JUNE 23rd AS BILLY DEE DAY IN
AUSTIN, PLEASE ASK ALL CITIZENS TO JOIN ME IN
CONGRATULATING THIS GREAT TALENT. [APPLAUSE] WHILE
BILLY BREAKS DOWN ON THAT SIDE, WE WILL START OUR
PROCLAMATIONS. WE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS TIME EACH
WEEK TO BOTH PROMOTE LOCAL EVENTS, ALSO SAY THANK
YOU TO FRIENDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN. THE FIRST
PROCLAMATION IS FOR THE TOAST MASTERS
INTERNATIONAL REGION III CONFERENCE, JOINING ME IS
TONYA RAMIREZ. BE IT KNOWN WHEREAS AUSTIN IS
PLEASED TO HOST THE TOAST MASTERS REGION III
CONFERENCE WITH THE THEME OF A BIT OF THE BLARNEY
WITH THE TEXAS TWANG. I'M A GRANDSON OF IRELAND,
WELCOMING THE PAST PRESIDENT, TED KORCRUND HERE
TO ADDRESS THE ATTENDEES, WE END CAWRJ ALL REGION
III TOAST MASTERS TO ENJOY ALL SESSIONS AND
ESPECIALLY THE IRISH MUSIC AND DANCING, THEREFORE I
WILL WYNN DO HEREBY PROCLAIM JUNE 24th AND 25th 2005

AS THE TOAST MASTERS INTERNATIONAL REGION III CONFERENCE IN AUSTIN, PLEASE JOIN ME IN THANKING TONYA RAMIREZ FOR BRINGING LOTS OF GOOD FRIENDS TO OUR FAIR CITY, HOPE THEY BROUGHT THEIR WALLETS, HAVE FUN WHILE THEY ARE HERE, HAVE TIME TO SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT THE CONFERENCE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, ON BEHALF OF THE TOAST MASTERS FROM TEXAS, OKLAHOMA, NEW MEXICO, ARIZONA, COLORADO AND WYOMING, WE APPRECIATE THE RECOGNITION OF OUR REGION III CONFERENCE. TOSSTOAST MASTERS IS A VERY STRONG PRESENCE HERE IN AUSTIN, MORE THAN 66 ACTIVE CLUBS WITH APPROXIMATELY 1200 CURRENT MEMBERS. WE MET IN REGULAR WEEKLY MEETINGS TO HELP ONE ANOTHER DEVELOP COMMUNICATION AND LEADERSHIP SKILLS AND WE FOLLOW A PROVEN PROGRAM DEVELOPED BY TOAST MASTERS INTERNATIONAL. IT'S IMPLEMENTED IN THE CLUB ENVIRONMENT WHERE MEMBERS COACH ONE ANOTHER THROUGH A SERIES OF COMMUNICATION LEADERSHIP MANUALS AND PROVIDE IMMEDIATE SUPPORTIVE AND EFFECTIVE FEEDBACK THROUGH SPEECH EVALUATIONS IN THE CLUB MEETING SETTINGS. WE PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN VARIOUS MEETING ROLES THAT ROTATE AMONG THE MEMBERSHIP AND IN THE CLUB OFFICER POSITIONS THAT KEEP THE CLUB ORGANIZED AND FUNCTIONING WELL. WE WANT TO ENCOURAGE EVERYONE IN THE AUSTIN AREA WHO MIGHT BE WATCHING OR WHO IS HERE TODAY TO CHECK OUT TOAST MASTERS, YOU CAN GO TO TOASTMASTERS.ORG AND FIND A CLUB IN THE AUSTIN, CEDAR PARK, ROUND ROCK, GEORGETOWN, SAN MARCOS AREAS AND ALL POINTS SOUTH AND POINTS NORTH AND COME AND JOIN US FOR TOASTMASTERS SO WE CAN HELP ONE ANOTHER GROW AND SERVE OUR COMMUNITY MORE EFFECTIVELY. THANK YOU, MAYOR WINN. UR NEXT PROCLAMATION IS A DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD. FOR RUTHIE COAXUM. I WILL GET TO IT AND READ THE AWARD. I WILL SAY WE ARE GOING TO CELEBRATE HERE 31 YEARS OF RUTH THESE WITH THE CITY OF AUSTIN. [APPLAUSE] QUICK LITTLE PERSONAL NOTE, MY FATHER RETIRED THIS MONTH AFTER 55 YEARS WITH THE SAME LITTLE COMPANY IN EAST TEXAS AND SO REALLY A

FUNDAMENTAL EARLY LESSON IN MY LIFE IS THAT LESSON OF CONTINUITY, OF LOYALTY, OF DEDICATION AND AS I HAVE NOW GOTTEN TO KNOW SO MANY CITY EMPLOYEES OVER THE LAST FIVE YEARS ON THIS DAIS, IT'S REMARKABLE THAT SAME CHARACTER TRAIT THAT WE HAVE IN SO MANY OF OUR EMPLOYEES. THE LONG-TERM EMPLOYEES THIS CITY HAS SPEAKS HIGHLY OF WHAT A GREAT PLACE IT IS TO WORK. WHAT GREAT PEOPLE THEY ARE INDIVIDUALLY AND TELL YOU WHAT, WE HAVE SEEN -- I HAVE GIVEN AWAY SO MANY OF THESE DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS TO SOME REMARKABLE AND REMARKABLY TENURED CITY EMPLOYEES. IT'S BOTH HEART BREAKING AT THE SAME TIME BUT ALSO REWARDING TO KNOW THAT WE HAVE QUALITY OF EMPLOYEES THAT WE HAVE IN THE CITY ORGANIZATION. THE DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD READS: FOR 31 YEARS OF EXTRAORDINARY SERVICE TO THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN WITH HER WORK WITH MUNICIPAL COURT, FINANCIAL SERVICES AND ESPECIALLY THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT, RUTHIE COAXUM IS DESERVING OF PUBLIC ACCLAIM AND RECOGNITION. SHE HAS HELD THE POSITIONS OF ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN, COORDINATOR OF ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, BUYER, PURCHASING MANAGER AND MANAGER OF RECEIVING AND DISTRIBUTION DURING HER 24 YEAR CAREER WITH THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. WE APPRECIATE HER SERVICE AS A DEPENDABLE, PROFESSIONAL, TRUSTWORTHY, GIVING AND COMPASSIONATE EMPLOYEE AND WE THANK HER FOR EXEMPLARY SPIRIT OF PUBLIC SERVICE. THIS CERTIFICATE IS IN RECOGNITION ON THIS 23rd DAY OF JUNE, 2005, SIGNED BY ME, BUT ACKNOWLEDGED BY THE ENTIRE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL, PLEASE JOIN ME IN CONGRATULATING AND THANKING AND WISHING WELL MS. ROUTED ROUTE. [APPLAUSE] MS. RUTHIE COAXUM. [APPLAUSE] I CALLED MR. DAVID LURIE, HER DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

I APPRECIATE IT. WE ARE VERY PLEASED AND PROUD RUTHIE HAS CHOSEN TO SPEND 24 OF THOSE 31 YEARS WITH THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT. AS THE MAYOR POINTED OUT, SHE HAS WORKED IN FINANCIAL AND PURCHASING AREAS, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES. WE

HAVE A NUMBER OF OUR STAFF WHO ARE HERE TODAY, THEY ARE THE FOLKS WHO WORK BEHIND THE SCENES WITH HER HARD WORK AND DEDICATION THAT HELPS US BE SUCCESSFUL AS A HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN PROVIDING THE SERVICE THAT WE PROVIDE FOR THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN. SO WE COULDN'T DO IT WITHOUT YOU, RUTHIE, YOUR COLLEAGUES, WE REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR HARD WORK AND DEDICATION AND THE MANY YEARS THAT YOU HAVE SERVED THE CITY. I KNOW THAT YOU DO A LOT OF VOLUNTEER WORK AND YOU ARE GOING TO BE REAL BUSY WITH YOUR FUTURE PLANS AND AGAIN CONGRATULATIONS THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND WE WISH YOU WELL. [APPLAUSE]

GOOD EVENING. TO MAYOR WYNN, CITY MANAGER FUTRELL, COUNCILMEMBERS, HEALTH DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR, MR. LURIE, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER MS. MILLER, MY MANAGER, MS. MITCHELL, TO MY CO-WORKERS, FAMILY AND FRIENDS, THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME SERVE YOU OVER THESE MANY YEARS. I HAVE SO MUCH GRATITUDE TO YOU AND THE CITY OF AUSTIN. TO MY MANAGER, MS. MITCHELL THANK YOU FOR YOUR DEBT , TEACHING ME TO BE A GOOD EMPLOYEE. THE WORK MUST GO ON. REMEMBER TO ALWAYS MAKE THE CITY OF AUSTIN PROUD THAT YOU CHOSE US TO REPRESENT THE CITIZENS OF AUSTIN, THANK YOU. CHAP CLAP[APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: OKAY. I'M JOINED, I GUESS THE THEME OF THIS NEXT ONE, JOINED BY THE PARKS DIRECTOR WARREN STRUSS, CHAIR OF OUR PARKS BOARD, ROSEMARY CASTLEBERRY AND A NUMBER OF ASSISTANT PARKS DIRECTORS BECAUSE THIS PROCLAMATION IS REGARDING NATIONAL PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH. I WILL READ THE PROCLAMATION THEN ASK WARREN STRUSS TO SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT OUR NUMBER ONE RANKED PARKS DEPARTMENT. THE CITY OF AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT THIS PAST YEAR WAS GIVEN THE GOLD MEDAL MEANING THE NUMBER ONE RANKED PARKS DEPARTMENT IN THE ENTIRE COUNTRY OF CITIES OVER 250,000, WE ARE VERY PROUD OF THAT BIG GOLD MEDAL. THIS READS: BE IT KNOWN WHEREAS AUSTIN PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT IS DEDICATED TO ENHANCING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AUSTINITES, THROUGH RECREATION, PROGRAMMING, LEISURE ACTIVITIES AND CONSERVATION

EFFORTS. WHEREAS PARKS AND RECREATION ACTIVITIES GENERATE OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE TO EXPERIENCE A SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND PAYS DIVIDENDS TO OUR COMMUNITIES BY ATTRACTING BUSINESS AND JOBS AND INCREASING HOUSING VALUES. WHEREAS WE RECOGNIZE THE VITAL CONTRIBUTION OF THE EMPLOYEES AND PARK VOLUNTEERS WHO KEEP PUBLIC PARKS CLEAN AND SAFE, ORGANIZE YOUTH ACTIVITIES, PROVIDE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING, ADVOCATE FOR MORE OPEN SPACE AND BETTER TRAILS AND FUND RAISE FOR IMPROVEMENTS. NOW THEREFORE I WILL WYNN, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS DO HEREBY RECOGNIZE OUR GOLD MEDAL WINNING PARKS DEPARTMENT AND PROCLAIM JULY 2005 AS NATIONAL PARKS AND RECOLLECTION -- NATIONAL RECREATION AND PARKS MONTH HERE IN AUSTIN AND CALL ON WARREN STRUSS TO SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT YOUR GOLD MEDAL AWARD WINNING PARKS DEPARTMENT. WARREN, CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

THANK YOU, MAYOR, VERY, VERY MUCH. I WANTED TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LET YOU KNOW THAT I HAD A CHANCE TO GO TO WASHINGTON D.C. LAST WEEK AND JOIN THE OTHER 25 OF THE LARGEST PARKS DIRECTORS IN THE COUNTRY AND TALK ABOUT THE UP COMING NEXT 10 YEARS FOR OUR URBAN AGENDA. THE MAYOR AND I ARE VERY, VERY PLEASED TO SAY AFTER HAVING THAT SYMPOSIUM THE STATE OF YOUR PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT IS INDEED SOUND, I'M VERY, VERY PLEASED TO SAY THAT. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE DON'T HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF WORK LEFT TO DO. WE HAVE A LOT OF IMPROVEMENTS TO MAKE IN THE NEXT 10 YEARS AROUND THE CAUTION AS WE TALK ABOUT THE COMMON THREADS OF MANY OF THE ISSUES THAT WE ARE FACING AS A PARKS AND RECREATION PROFESSION. THE ISSUES THAT WE ARE FACING ACROSS THE COUNTRY, WHETHER IT'S URBAN, COUNTY, STATE OR FEDERAL, THE ISSUES ARE PRETTY WELL THE SAME. THERE ARE MANY CHALLENGES FINANCIALLY ACROSS THE COUNTRY FOR US AS WE FACE THE NEW MILLENNIUM. THOSE ISSUES THAT WE ARE FACING ARE INFRASTRUCTURE CONCERNS. EACH ONE OF US THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY HAVE WONDERFUL INVESTMENTS THAT WE MADE OVER THE YEARS IN OUR PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENTS. WE

HAVE GOT TO TAKE CARE OF THOSE INFRASTRUCTURES. THE OTHER THEY ARE THAT WE HAVE IS THE QUALITY OF LIFE AND SOCIAL ISSUES. MAYOR, WE HEARD FROM A WONDERFUL GROUP OF YOUNG FOLKS TODAY ABOUT THOSE QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES, ABOUT THE ABILITY TO SERVE OUR YOUNGSTERS AS THEY ARE GROWING UP IN STRUCTURED PROGRAMS. SO WE HAVE GOT A LOT OF WORK AHEAD OF US. BUT I'M VERY, VERY PLEASED. AUSTIN IS VERY, VERY BLESSED WITH THE FACT THAT WE HAVE GOT INCREDIBLE SUPPORT NETWORK TO BACK THAT UP AND I HAD WITH ME TODAY NOT ONLY SOME OF THE BEST PARKS AND RECREATION PROFESSIONALS, MR. STEWART STRONG, MADANI, MS. CORA WRIGHT JUST RECENTLY JOINED US, THANK YOU SO MUCH FROM A PROFESSIONAL STAND POINT SO PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO WORK WITH PROFESSIONALS IN THE FIELD. THE OTHER SUPPORT NETWORK THAT WE CANNOT PAY ENOUGH RECOGNITION AND RESPECT TO, PARKS BOARD AND CITIZEN ADVISORY GROUPS. THE CHAIR OF THE PARKS BOARD, ROSEMARY CASTLEBERRY, IT DOES ME HONOR TO ASK ROSEMARY, SHE HAS BEEN WORKING WITH US FOR OVER 30 YEARS TO TAKE JUST A MOMENT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT. IT'S BEEN 33 YEARS SINCE WE SIT UP TO, A LOVE AFFAIR FROM THE BEGINNING. ALL THE WAY FROM DEEP EDDY SWIMMING POOL TO THE -- THE NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTER IT WAS SO EXCITING. WHAT I NEED TO SAY IS THAT I AM SO PROUD OF THIS DEPARTMENT. IT HAS BEEN A WONDERFUL THING TO WORK FOR IT. WORK WITH THE STAFF, THEY ARE ALWAYS THERE FOR ENCOURAGEMENT AS WELL AS OPENNESS TO IDEAS. I THINK THIS IS PROBABLY THE BEST THING. I DO HOPE WHEN THE BUDGET TIMES COMES AS YOU ALL REMEMBER WHAT YOUR PARK LOOKS LIKE OR YOUR BUILDING [INDISCERNIBLE] BECAUSE WE HAVE -- AS WARREN SAID WE HAVE A LOT OF THINGS THAT HAVE GOT TO BE DONE, WE NEED TO FIND WAYS OF DOING THAT. ANYWAY, THANK YOU. CHAP CLAP[APPLAUSE]

MAYOR I BROUGHT BACK FROM D.C. A TOKEN OF OUR ESTEEM FOR YOUR SUPPORT. IT'S FROM THE NATIONAL RECREATIONAL PARK ASSOCIATION, I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THAT TO YOU TODAY. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: I AM JOINED HERE BY ROGER ARRIAGA OF OUR

HOUSING DEPARTMENT AND ALSO OUR PARTNERS FROM HABITAT FOR HUMANITY. BECAUSE WE ARE CELEBRATING THIS MONTH AS HOME OWNERSHIP MONTH IN AUSTIN. AND SO WARREN WAS IN D.C. THIS PAST WEEK. I WILL BE IN D.C. FOR -- FOR ABOUT HALF A DAY NEXT TUESDAY ACCEPTING AN AWARD ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR AUSTIN'S AWARD WINNING PROCESS FOR HOW WE ARE TRYING TO GROW HOME OWNERSHIP. IN FACT IF YOU LOOK AT THE CENSUS DATA FROM 1990 TO 2000, AUSTIN, TEXAS HAD PROBABLY MORE OF AN INCREMENTAL GROWTH IN PERM OF HOME OWNERSHIP IN THIS TOWN THAN ANY OTHER CERTAINLY LARGE CITY IN THE COUNTRY. WE ARE BEING ACKNOWLEDGED FOR THAT NATIONALLY. WE ARE HERE TO TALK ABOUT HOME OWNERSHIP MONTH HERE IN AUSTIN. THE OPERATION READS: HOME OWNERSHIP IS THE AMERICAN DREAM GIVING HOMEOWNERS A PLACE WHERE THEIR CHILDREN CAN GROW, WHERE THEY CAN SAVE MONEY AND BUILD EQUITY FOR THE FUTURE. AND WHERE THEY CAN DEVELOP A SENSE OF SECURITY AND BELONGING TO A GREATER COMMUNITY. WHEREAS THE CITY SUPPORTS NEW HOUSING THROUGH ITS SMART HOUSING PROGRAM, PROVIDES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO NON-PROFIT BUILDERS, EDUCATES FIRST-TIME HOME BUYERS AND PROVIDES DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE AND TAX CREDITS FOR ELIGIBLE FAMILIES. WHEREAS WE UNDERSTAND THAT HOME OWNERSHIP IS GOOD FOR THE HOME BUYER AND FOR OUR CITY. WE RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF PROVIDING AUSTINITES THE OPPORTUNITY TO ACHIEVE THE DREAM OF HOME OWNERSHIP. NOW THEREFORE I WILL WYNN MAYOR OF THE AUSTIN, TEXAS DO HEREBY PROCLAIM THIS MONTH JUNE 2005 AS HOME OWNERSHIP MONTH IN AUSTIN AND CALL ON MR. ROGER ARRIAGA FROM OUR AGAIN AWARD WINNING HOUSING DEPARTMENT TO TALK ABOUT THE EFFORTS HERE LOCAL LIMIT CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

THANKS YOU, I'M ROGER WITH THE AUSTIN HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. ON BEHALF OF THEM I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU AND THE CITY COUNCIL FOR PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF JUNE AS HOME

OWNERSHIP MONTH IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. WE CONTINUE TO WORK DILIGENTLY AND PROVIDE REASONABLY PRICED HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR RESIDENTS OF AUSTIN THROUGH PROGRAMS LIKE OUR DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, OUR HOME OWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITIES, AND OUR MORTGAGE CREDIT CERTIFICATES. SO WE ARE VERY HAPPY TO ACCEPT THIS PROCLAMATION. AND AS WELL, WE WOULD LIKE TO ALSO RECOGNIZE ONE OF OUR AFFORDABLE HOUSING PARTNERS IN THE COMMUNITY, AUSTIN HABITAT FOR HUMANITY AND MICHAEL WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

MY NAME IS MICHAEL WILLARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF AUSTIN HABITAT FOR HUMANITY. N'T WE ALL GLAD TO CALL AUSTIN HOME. WE WANT TO CREATE MORE HOME OWNERSHIP HERE IN THIS COMMUNITY SO MORE PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY CAN HAVE A HOME OF THEIR OWN. THAT'S WHAT HABITAT IS ABOUT. WE HAVE BEEN BLESSED HERE IN AUSTIN BECAUSE WE HAVE A VERY STRONG HOUSING MARKET. IF YOU SAW THE AUSTIN AMERICAN-STATESMAN TWO WEEKS AGO YOU SAW HOW WELL OUR HOUSE PRICES ARE IN THIS CITY COMPARED TO OTHER CITIES AROUND THE COUNTRY. AT THE SAME TIME WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO MAINTAIN A STRONG HOUSING MARKET, A STRONG ABILITY TO SELL HOMES HERE IN AUSTIN, WE HAVE TO BE ABLE TO SERVE THOSE FAMILIES WHO NEED AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND I JUST WANT TO ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO GET INVOLVED IN WAYS THAT THEY CAN, EITHER THROUGH VOLUNTEERING WITH HABITAT OR WORKING WITH OTHER NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS TO PROVIDE THAT OPTION FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING FOR HOME OWNERSHIP TO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN THAT. I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU VERY MUCH MAYOR FOR THE SUPPORT FROM THE CITY THAT AUSTIN HABITAT HAS RECEIVED BUT ALSO THE LEADERSHIP THEY HAVE GIVEN TOWARDS SEEING THAT WE DO HAVE AFFORDABLE OPTIONS FOR PEOPLE WHO WANT TO BUY THEIR OWN HOMES HERE IN THIS COMMUNITY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: IT RECOGNIZE COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN FOR A SPECIAL PROCLAMATION.

NO PODIUM. I AM JOINED BY OUR OWN EXTRAORDINARY

COMMUNITY SERVICE VOLUNTEER AND NEW LEADERSHIP AUSTIN GRADUATE AND STAFFER AT A.M.D. FOR THE VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH, PROCLAMATIONS. COURTESY OF THE CAPITAL AREA UNITED WAY WHICH IS WHERE I GOT MY START IN COMMUNITY SERVICE, MADE A BIG DIFFERENCE. ANNE COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT OUR FIRST VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH.

I CAN. COUNCILMEMBER, I AM VERY PLEASED TO INTRODUCE JERRY DORAN, JERRY HAS HAD A LONG CAREER IN ADVOCATING FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. HE STARTED IN THE -- AS PRODUCTION ASSISTANT, JERRY LEWIS TELETHON HERE IN AUSTIN, WORKED AS A CATERING MANAGER, TO WHAT WAS THEN UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY, NOW THE DISABILITY ASSISTANCE OF CENTRAL TEXAS. LITTLE DID HE KNOW WHEN HE STARTED THIS LOVE AFFAIR WITH ADVOCACY FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES THAT HE WOULD ONE DAY BECOME A PERSON WITH DISABILITIES. HE SUFFER ADD STROKE A FEW YEARS AGO, BUT REALLY DIDN'T SLOW HIM DOWN. A LOT OF FOLKS WHO ENCOUNTER THE KINDS OF DIFFICULTIES THAT JERRY HAS ENCOUNTERED COME OUT ANGRY OR BITTER. HE HIT THE GROUND, I WOULD SAY RUNNING, BUT I THINK IT WAS ROLLING. HE DIDN'T LET IT SLOW HIM DOWN ANY LONGER THAN IT ABSOLUTELY HAD TO MEANT TO AND SERVES AS PRESIDENT NOW. HIS LEADERSHIP IS RECOGNIZED NOT FOR HIS DISABILITY BUT CLEARLY FOR HIS ABILITY. WE ARE VERY PROUD TO HONOR JERRY TODAY. HIS WIFE SUSIE IS HERE AS WELL AS NORMAN KEIKE FROM -- FROM DACT, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT THE DISABILITY CENTER? WELL, JERRY, I WOULD HAND THE MICROPHONE TO YOU. WE REALLY APPRECIATE THE SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY. I WOULD READ THE OPERATION, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO TELL YOU ABOUT WHAT YOU DO AND HOW YOU GOT INVOLVED.

WELL, DACT IS PREDOMINANTLY A -- AN ORGANIZATION OF -- CONCERNED WITH PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. WE HAVE NUMEROUS PROGRAMS, HOUSING, DIFFERENT THINGS THAT REALLY ARE IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. AND COME SEPTEMBER 17th, WE HAVE A FUNDRAISER CALLED CELEBRATION 2005. THIS IS A PLUG [LAUGHTER] THIS FUNDRAISER IS OUR MAIN MEANS OF SUPPORT. SO I WOULD

APPRECIATE ANYONE THAT CAN ATTEND. WE ARE -- OUR ATTENDANCE IS AT THE DELL JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK, YOU D WAY CAPITAL AREA, THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND BREWSTER MCCrackEN, THE COUNCILMEMBER AND ALSO THE MAYOR. [LAUGHTER]

McCracken: WELL, JERRY, I'M GOING TO READ THE PROCLAMATION THAT WE HAVE FOR YOU. IT'S A CERTIFICATE OF CONGRATULATIONS FOR HAVING BEEN SELECTED BY THE UNITED WAY CAPITAL AREA AS THE 2005 VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH FOR CENTRAL TEXAS. JERRY DORAN IS DESERVING OF PUBLIC ACCLAIM AND RECOGNITION, ENGINEER HAS BEEN A VOLUNTEER FOR -- DISABILITY ASSISTANCE OF CENTRAL TEXAS AND IT'S PREDECESSOR ORGANIZATION SINCE 1994, FOR TWO YEARS HE ASSISTED WITH THE AGENCY FUNDRAISING ACTIVITIES AND THEN WAS ELECTED TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CLEARLY STILL ASSISTING WITH THE FUND RAIDING ACTIVITIES HERE TONIGHT. FINISHED RAISING ACTIVITIES. CROSS SELL, RIGHT? A BRAIN STEM STROKE IN 1996 LEFT JERRY WITH HIS OWN DISABILITY, BUT UNDETERRED IN HIS VOLUNTEER EFFORTS, HE'S NOW PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD AND OVERSEES THE WORK OF ALL COMMITTEES, HE ALSO REPRESENTED DACT AS ALLEVIATED AGENCIES, THE MAYOR'S COMMITTEE FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, ANOTHER WAY TO SHARE, VOLUNTEER WORKSHOPS TO NAME A FEW. HE'S AN OUTSTANDING ADVOCATE. AS DACT PUTS IT, HE POURS ENERGY INTO OUR CAUSE, A CAUSE WHICH HAS BEEN SENSITIVE BUT IS NOW LIVING. WE JOIN THEM IN HONORING JERRY WITH THE CERTIFICATE PRESENTED THIS 23rd DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR 2005 FOR THE CITY COUNCIL OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WILL WYNN MAYOR, THANK YOU, CONGRATULATIONS.

McCracken: WE NOW HAVE THE HONOR TO BE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE OUR VOLUNTEER FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE, LISA WHITWORTH. LEASELISA, IF YOU COULD COME UP HERE NOW, A VOLUNTEER WITH HABITAT FOR HUMANITY. SHE IS NO MERE VOLUNTEER.

I HAVE ACTUALLY SEEN LISA IN ACTION. LISA IS A TEAM LEADER WHO SHOWS UP EVERY WEEKEND. SHE'S THE DAUGHTER OF THE BUILDER, SO I THINK SHE LEARNED TO

WIELD A HAMMER VERY EARLY. SHE'S A CITY OF AUSTIN EMPLOYEE. JOINED TODAY BY A NUMBER OF HER CO-WORKERS FROM THE -- LET ME SEE IF I CAN FIND IT, ENGINEERING SERVICES DIVISION OF PUBLIC WORKS. WHO SUPPORTED HER AND HAVE JOINED HER IN HER WORK. LISA TOLD ME A STORY A LITTLE WHILE AGO ABOUT WHEN SHE FIRST STARTED WORKING WITH HABITAT. A SATURDAY MORNING SHE THOUGHT NOBODY IS GOING TO SHOW UP, FORGET THIS, I'LL GO BACK TO BED. AROUND NOON SHE WAS WATCHING THE NEWS AND SAW A CREW OUT ON THE SITE WHERE SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO BE. I DON'T THINK SHE IS MISSED A DAY SINCE. SHE HOPPED UP SAID OKAY, THAT'S IT IF THEY CAN DO IT I CAN. SHE CLEARLY HAS. WE DIDN'T KNOW THIS WOULD BE HOUSING MONTH BUT IT'S AN EXTREMELY APPEAR APPROPRIATE THING TO HONOR HER FOR HER WORK, WE THANK YOU [APPLAUSE]

McCracken: LISA, NOW YOU NEED TO TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF, BUT ALSO ABOUT HOW FOLKS CAN VOLUNTEER FOR HABITAT FOR HUMANITY.

I'M JUST A VOLUNTEER ON SITE. I HELP COORDINATE BUILDING THE HOUSE. I HAVE A WONDERFUL SET OF FRIENDS AND CO-WORKERS WHEN ARE CALLED REGULARS. I WOULD LIKE TO ACCEPT THE -- THIS ON BEHALF OF THEM BECAUSE THEY PUT IN A LOT OF HARD WORK, TO MAKE ME LOOK GOOD. AS FAR AS VOLUNTEERING WITH HABITAT, WE CAN -- WE HAVE A WEBSITE GO TO AUSTINHABITAT.ORG. SIGN UP ON THE VOLUNTEER SHEET THERE.

McCracken: SO EVERYBODY WHO ON SATURDAY MORNINGS, GET OUT OF BED, COME OVER AND HELP LISA BUILD A HOUSE. HOW MANY SATURDAYS A YEAR DO YOU DO THIS?

HOW MANY SATURDAYS ARE THERE.

PROBABLY ABOUT -- [INDISCERNIBLE]

McCracken: ON TOP OF YOUR REGULAR JOB HERE AT THE CITY?

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC]

McCracken: I'M GOING TO READ YOUR PROCLAMATION. A CERTIFICATE OF CONGRATULATIONS FOR HAVING BEEN SELECTED BY THE UNITED WAY CAPITAL AREA AS THE JUNE, 2005 VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH FOR CENTRAL TEXAS. LISA WHITWORTH IS DESERVING OF PUBLIC ACCLAIM AND RECOGNITION. LISA IS A VETERAN VOLUNTEER, SUPERVISOR AND INSTRUCTOR AND MENTOR AT AUSTIN HABITAT FOR HUMANITY. HER SATURDAYS BELONG TO HABITAT. SHE'S THERE FROM SUNUP TO SUNDOWN, LISA REGULARLY SERVES AS A SITE LEADER OVERSEEING ENTIRE HOME CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AND DIRECTING HUNDREDS OF VOLUNTEERS WHO CONTRIBUTES TO THOSE BUILDS. IN ADDITION SHE HAS TRAINED OTHER CONSTRUCTION CREW LEADERS AND SITE LEADERS. NOW SHE'S INVOLVED WITH WOMEN BUILD, AN EFFORT TO RECRUIT AND MENTOR WOMEN IN HABITAT'S CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM, TO LEARN SKILLS THAT MAY SERVE THEM IN OTHER ASPECTS OF THEIR LIVES. LISA SERVES AS A ROLE MODEL FOR THEM, PROVIDING A SUPPORTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT FOR HER DECADE OF SERVICE TO HABITAT AND THE AUSTINITES WHO NOW HAVE A PLACE TO CALL HOME, WE PRESENT THIS CERTIFICATE THIS 23rd DAY OF JUNE, THE YEAR 2005, TO NOT ONLY A GREAT VOLUNTEER BUT A GREAT EMPLOYEE FOR THE CITY OF AUSTIN. THANKS, LISA. [APPLAUSE]

CAN I GET MY HABITAT TRUE, THE PEOPLE THAT COME OUT AND BUILD WITH ME EVERY WEEKEND UP FOR MY PICTURE.

McCracken: REAL QUICK, CAN YOU TELL FOLKS HOW THEY CAN VOLUNTEER FOR UNITED WAY AS WELL. >>

ABSOLUTELY, EASY. www.volunteerCentralTexas.org. ONLY TAKES A MINUTE. IT'S FREE. PAINLESS AND A LOT OF FUN. AS YOU HAVE SEEN THESE EXAMPLES TONIGHT, VOLUNTEERING REALLY GIVES YOU BACK MORE THAN YOU TAKE AWAY -- MORE THAN YOU GIVE.

McCracken: THANKS A LOT, ANNE. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: WE ARE OUT OF CLOSED SESSION. IN EXECUTIVE SESSION WE TOOK UP ITEM 113 RELATED TO COLLECTIVE BARGAINING WITH OUR FIREFIGHTERS. NO DECISIONS WERE MADE. WE'RE BACK IN OPEN SESSION. AND

COUNCIL, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ITEMS STILL BEFORE US, INCLUDING SOME ZONING CASES; HOWEVER, WE HAVE SOME PRESS AND MANY FOLKS THAT ARE HERE FOR A SPECIAL ITEM 136. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE UP ITEM NUMBER 136 NOW, WHICH WAS THE CONTINUATION OF A PUBLIC HEARING AND NOW RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN QUALITY OF LIFE IN AUSTIN. AND I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME STAFF TO GIVE A BRIEF OVERVIEW AND PRESENTATION PRIOR TO US THEN CALLING ON OUR ORGANIZATIONAL PARTNERS, THE NAACP, THE AUSTIN PRO ARTS COLLABORATIVE, CAPITOL CITY AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHAMBER, AUSTIN REVITALIZATION AUTHORITY, THE AUSTIN AREA URBAN LEAGUE TO FILL US IN ON THE PRESENTATION. SO PERHAPS IF STAFF COULD BRIEFLY INTRODUCE -- CHIEF, IF I COULD BRIEFLY INTRODUCE ITEM 136. WHILE WE'RE WAITING TO GET STARTED, I WANT TO ANNOUNCE THAT BOY SCOUTS FROM TROOP 201 IN AUSTIN ARE IN ATTENDANCE AND THEY ARE ABOUT TO SEE GOOD GOVERNMENT IN ACTION, I THINK EARNING THEIR CITIZENSHIP BADGE. SO WELCOME, GENTLEMEN. WELCOME WHEEL MIKE CHIEF MIKE MCDONALD.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBERS. ITEM 136 WE CONTINUED THE PUBLIC HEARING FROM MAY THE 26TH INVOLVING THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN QUALITY OF LIFE SCORECARD, THE AMERICAN QUALITY OF LIFE FORUMS WHICH STEMMED FROM THE SCORECARD. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A COMMUNITY PRESENTATION, BUT WHEN WE BEGIN THE COMMUNITY PRESENTATION, I WOULD LIKE TO START WITH A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF SOME OF THE HIGH POINTS THAT WERE DISCUSSED FROM THE GROUP SOLUTIONS FORUMS AND THEN WE'LL TURN IT OVER TO THE COMMUNITY FOR A BRIEF PRESENTATION FROM THEM. FOR MANY YEARS AFRICAN-AMERICANS HAVE EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THEIR EXPERIENCES IN AUSTIN WERE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE IN OTHER PARTS OF THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS TROUBLING BECAUSE AUSTIN PRIDES ITSELF ON BEING ONE OF THE BEST COMMUNITIES IN THE COUNTRY AND IS RECOGNIZED AS SUCH. IN SEPTEMBER OF 2004, THE CITY MANAGER INSTRUCTED OUR DEMOGRAPHER, RYAN ROBINSON, TO CONDUCT A STUDY EXAMINING AFRICAN-AMERICAN QUALITY

OF LIFE IN A WIDE ARRAY OF AREAS RANGING FROM EDUCATION TO HOME OWNERSHIP. ON MARCH 24TH OF THIS YEAR OUR DEMOGRAPHER PRESENTED THIS FINDING TO THE COUNCIL. THE STUDY INDICATED THAT AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN AUSTIN DID NOT FARE WELL COMPARED TO OTHER GROUPS. REBINA JACKSON WITH GROUP SOLUTIONS WAS THEN SELECTED TO CONDUCT A SEAR REERZ OF FORUMS IN THE COMMUNITY TO DO A COUPLE OF THINGS, TO SOLICIT FEEDBACK ON THE SCORECARD FROM THE DEMOGRAPHER, MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CITY TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS, AND TO RETAIN AND ATTRACT IN WHAT WE NEEDED TO DO TO RETAIN AND ATTRACT AFRICAN-AMERICANS TO OUR COMMUNITY. THIS REPORT WAS PRESENTED TO COUNCIL MAY -- ON MAY THE 26TH. AFTER THAT COUNCIL SUPPORTED A REQUEST FROM THE COMMUNITY TO HAVE A MONTH TO REVIEW THE REPORT AND TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS. THE RECOMMENDATIONS THE COMMUNITY WILL BE BRINGING FORWARD TODAY WILL INCLUDE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GROUP SOLUTIONS FORUM. BEFORE I TURNL THE PRESENTATION OVER TO THE COMMUNITY, I WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT SOME OF THE IDEAS FROM THE GROUP SOLUTION FORUMS. TWO PRIMARY QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF PARTICIPANTS FROM THE PANELS THAT WERE ASSEMBLED DURING THE FORUMS. ONE WAS DO AFRICAN-AMERICANS EXPERIENCE CHALLENGES WHICH ARE DIFFERENT FROM OTHERS IN AUSTIN? AND IT WAS AN OVERWHELMING YES TO THAT QUESTION. THE SECOND WAS WHAT CAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT DO TO RETAIN AND ATTRACT AFRICAN-AMERICANS SO THAT THE CITY MAINTAINS A DIVERSE ECONOMY AND CULTURE. AFTER A LOT OF DISCUSSION AMONG THE GROUPS THERE WERE SEVERAL AREAS THAT THE GROUPS RECOMMENDED FOR IMPROVEMENT. ONE AREA WAS WELCOMING ENVIRONMENT. THERE WERE MANY THAT FELT THAT THERE WAS NO SENSE OF BELONGING IN THE AUSTIN COMMUNITY, AND THAT THERE WAS NO VISIBLE AFRICAN-AMERICAN CULTURE. UNDER ARTS AND ENTERTAINMENT, THEY FELT THERE WAS A LACK OF ACCESS. THEY FELT THAT SOME OF THE ESTABLISHED EVENTS, LARGER EVENTS, FESTIVALS, SHOULD BE MORE OPEN TO AFRICAN-AMERICAN PARTICIPATION SUCH AS SOUTH BY SOUTHWEST AND

AUSTIN CITY LIMITS. THAT AS A CITY WE NEEDED TO CAPITALIZE ON CERTAIN EVENTS SUCH AS TEXAS RELAYS, BOYS UIL AND JUNETEENTH. DURING THESE EVENTS THERE ARE PROBABLY MORE AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN THIS COMMUNITY THAN THEY ARE AT OTHER TIMES. UNDER JOBS, CORPORATIONS THAT RECEIVED INCENTIVES SHOULD HAVE HIRING GOALS FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS, AND THEN AS A CITY WE SHOULD ENSURE THAT WE HAVE AFRICAN-AMERICANS HIRED IN KEY POSITIONS THAT CAN SUPPORT SOME OF THE CONCERNS AND NEEDS IN THE COMMUNITY. IT WAS ALSO FELT THAT THERE SHOULD BE MORE INVESTMENT IN EAST AUSTIN. EAST AUSTIN IS REGARDED AS THE ANCHOR OF THE COMMUNITY. THERE IS STILL THE CONCENTRATION, THERE'S STILL MORE AFRICAN-AMERICANS LIVING IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA THAN IN ANY PARTS OF THE CITY. THEY FELT WE HAD MADE SEVERAL INVESTMENTS THAT HAS ENABLED US TO GET OFF TO A GOOD START IN THAT AREA, SUCH AS THE INVESTMENT IN THE CARVER MUSEUM AND THE MILLENNIUM CENTER, BUT FELT THAT MORE NEEDED TO BE DONE. UNDER HOUSING, THAT THERE NEEDED TO BE MORE HOUSING OPTIONS. THERE WAS A CONCERN ABOUT GENTRIFICATION BECAUSE HISTORICALLY EAST AUSTIN WAS THE ONLY AREA OF TOWN LONG AGO THAT AFRICAN-AMERICANS COULD RESIDE, AND NOW WITH THE GROWTH THAT'S TAKING PLACE IN THAT AREA, IT IS FEARED THAT MANY CAN NO LONGER AFFORD TO LIVE THERE. AND SOME CONCERN OF COURSE UNDER HOUSING THAT WE DO MORE FOR THE ELDERLY. THERE ARE A LOT OF ELDERLY CITIZENS LIVING IN THE AREA THAT ARE HAVING TROUBLE KEEPING UP WITH TAXES AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR MAINTAINING THEIR RESIDENCE. UNDER IJ INDICATION, THEY FELT THAT ALTHOUGH THE PRIMARY ROLE, PARTICULARLY FOR ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL WAS AISD'S RESPONSIBILITY, THAT THE CITY NEEDED TO TAKE A MORE ACTIVE ROLE IN THIS AREA AND ESTABLISH MORE PARTNERSHIPS WITH AISD. AND THEN LAST UNDER BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ACCESS TO CAPITAL SEEMS TO BE -- SEEMED TO BE THE NUMBER ONE ISSUE, AND THAT WE NEEDED TO RE-EXAMINE SOME OF OUR PRACTICES SUCH AS INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS AND MBE/WBE REQUIREMENTS. MAYOR AND COUNCIL, AT THIS POINT I WOULD LIKE TO TURN

IT OVER TO THE COMMUNITY. I BELIEVE NELSON LINDER OF THE NAACP IS GOING TO BE THE FIRST SPEAKER.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME MR. LINDER.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCIL AND CHIEF MCDONALD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE. MY APPEARANCE TODAY IS BASED ON THE IMPORTANT ISSUES SURROUNDING THE QUALITY OF LIFE SCORECARD, GROUP SOLUTIONS. TO BASICALLY ENSURE THAT ALL AFRICAN-AMERICANS ARE AFFORDED THE OPPORTUNITIES TO HAVE SOCIAL EQUITY AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN THIS CITY LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE. THE CONTEXT OF THIS PROCESS I THINK IS PROBABLY MORE IMPORTANT TO EVEN THE CONTENT, SO I'M GOING TO CONSTANTLY REFER TO CONTEXT FOR CLARITY. I ALSO WANTED TO RECOGNIZE THAT WHILE THE CITY OF AUSTIN SUPPORTS THE PAPER THAT WE'RE PRESENTING, SIGNIFIES A MAJOR STEP, NEVERTHELESS IT REPRESENTS ONLY PART OF A LONG-TERM MOVEMENT TOWARDS IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS. OTHER PARTNER MEMBERS INCLUDE OUR ENTIRE COMMUNITY, AISD, A.C.C., TRAVIS COUNTY, CAPITAL METRO, LCRA AND OTHERS AS WELL. THIS IS A TOTALLY AND INCLUSIVE PROCESS. OUR PREMISE FOR SUPPORTING THIS PAPER IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING REPORTS, BUT FIRST A LITTLE INTRO INTO OUR METHODOLOGY. WE HAVE ONE TEAM, ONE DREAM, AND THAT IS FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS TO DISCUSS THE RECOMMENDATIONS BY RGW'S REPORT AND ALSO THE ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE AS A COMMUNITY INCLUDED OURSELVES IN OUR OWN FORUMS IN OUR OWN COMMUNITY. WE HAVE ALSO PRIORITIZED THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO WHAT WE BELIEVE THE CITY CAN DO TO ACCOMPLISH SHORT-TERM, INTERMEDIATE TIME FRAME GOALS. AND FINALLY, WE WANT TO ASSIST WITH DEVELOPING EFFECTIVE POLICIES AND PROGRAMS THAT WOULD ENSURE ACCOUNTABILITY AND ACHIEVE THE MAXIMUM RESULTS. IN 1998 THERE WAS A VERY IMPORTANT PROTOCOL THAT WAS GIVEN TO THE GREATER CITY OF AUSTIN COMMERCE AND IT WARNED ABOUT THE IMPENDING RACIAL INEQUALITY THAT THREATENED THIS ENTIRE CITY. THAT REPORT WAS SUBMITTED, LOOKED AT AND BASICALLY PUT DOWN. IN 2000 WE HAD THE INFAMOUS REPORT THAT

TALKED ABOUT MISTRUST, FEAR, ISOLATION, FRUSTRATION THROUGHOUT THIS COMMUNITY, ESPECIALLY THE BLACK COMMUNITY, THAT ALSO WAS LOOKED AT, REVIEWED AND PUT DOWN. IN 2001 WE HAD A POWERFUL AND COMPREHENSIVE AUSTIN EQUITY REPORT, WHICH IS LEGENDARY. THAT REPORT ADDRESSED SOME OF THE MOST FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS IN THIS CITY. IT WAS EXAMINED, DISCUSSED, PUT DOWN, AND IN MANY CASES, FORGOTTEN. AND FINALLY, WE HAD THE QUALITY OF LIFE SCORECARD IN MARCH 2005 BY THE CITY MANAGER THAT CITED THE LACK OF SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN THIS CITY AS A PRIMARY CAUSE FOR THE LOSS OF CRITICAL MASS AND SOCIAL ALIENATION IN THE BLACK COMMUNITY. TODAY WE HAVE A CHANCE TO ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES ON A VERY CONCRETE, FIRM KIND OF WAY, BUT FIRST A LITTLE BIT OF HISTORY. IN THIS PROCESS WE DISCOVERED THAT THE SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE IS ALMOST A KEY TO EVERYTHING ELSE BECAUSE WHEN YOU EXAMINE THAT NUMBER FROM DALLAS, TEXAS AND WASHINGTON, D.C., BY ATTRACTING AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND BEING HOSPITABLE AND BEING WELCOMING AND HAVING OPPORTUNITIES, FOLKS INDEED COME TO THAT CITY, AND ONCE THEY GET THERE THEY BEGIN TO DEVELOP THEIR OWN BUSINESSES AND BECOME A PART OF THOSE CRITICAL MASS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT. BUT IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE WELCOMING COMMITTEE THAT MAKE FOLKS FEEL LIKE A PART OF THE SOCIETY, THEY GO ELSEWHERE. AND FOR THE RECORD, DALLAS IS ATTRACTING A WHOLE LOT OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND IT'S REALLY RIGHT DOWN THE STREET. IF WE EXAMINE THOSE CITIES WE WILL SEE THAT THEY'RE DOING THE RIGHT THINGS, SO THAT'S OUR MODEL IN TERMS OF ATTRACTIVENESS, EMBRACING THEIR CONCERNS AND ALSO BEING COMPETITIVE IN OTHER AREAS. LET ME ALSO SAY THIS AS WELL. THIS WHOLE PROCESS HAS BEEN GOOD BECAUSE FINALLY WE HAVE THE INFORMATION. WE HAVE THE CORPORATIONS TO EMBRACE AS A COMMUNITY, YOU AND I AND US AND WE, ALL IN ONE ACCORD TO MAKE THIS A BETTER CITY FOR EVERYBODY. AND HOPEFULLY THIS OPPORTUNITY WILL NOT BE LOST. SO I'M GOING TO CHALLENGE YOU TONIGHT TO LISTEN, TO PAY ATTENTION AND ALSO MAKE THE PROPER RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WILL SEND US THESE IDEAS, PUT POLICIES IN PROCESS AND

ONCE AND FOR ALL WOULD ADDRESS OUR CONCERNS AND ISSUES OF SOCIAL EQUITY. ALSO A VERY SPECIAL TOPIC TONIGHT THAT WE ALL ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT, IT DEALS WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND PUBLIC SAFETY. AND UNFORTUNATELY, TONIGHT AS WE STAND HERE WE'VE HAD ANOTHER CRITICAL INCIDENT. AND AS A RESULT 18-YEAR-OLD DANIEL ROCHA IS NOW DECEASED AND ANOTHER COMMUNITY AND THIS CITY IS IN GRIEF. SO IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE SEND A PRAYER OUT TO THE ROCHA FAMILY AND REALIZE THAT WE HAVE ANOTHER CASE OF LIFE BEING LOST IN MY OPINION UNNECESSARILY. AND BASED ON THAT I HAVE A RECOMMENDATION. IT'S VERY CLEAR WHEN YOU EXAMINE THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AND THESE ARE SHORT-TERM SOLUTIONS AND ACTIONS, WE NEED A POLICY THAT SAYS THE PEOPLE THAT ARE UNARMED AND NOT HURTING THE POLICE, THEY SHOULD BE DISABLED AND NOT SUBJECTED TO DEADLY FORCE. THE POLICY SHOULD COME FROM THE CITY MANAGER -- [APPLAUSE] -- THE CITY COUNCIL AND GIVEN TO OUR POLICE CHIEF AS POLICY. IF YOU HAVE A POLICY THAT SAYS DISABLEMENT, NOT HE DEADLY FORCE, BUT BLACK PEOPLE AND WHITE PEOPLE ARE NOT GETTING DEADLY FORCE, WE CAN PROVE THAT. IF YOU MAKE THAT PUBLIC POLICY, I ASSURE YOU YOU WON'T HAVE OFFICERS ON THE STREET MAKING BAD DECISIONS BASED ON THEIR FEARS AND THEIR ASSUMPTIONS THAT COST A LIFE. THIS ISSUE MUST BE ADDRESSED. AND WE NEED TO ALSO ENHANCE POLICE TRAINING AND PROVIDE CLEAR POLICIES ON DEESCALATION. WE HAVE TOO MANY OCCASIONS HERE FOLKS AND SITUATIONS ARE WORSENING. SO WHAT ARE LIKENING TO THAT PROCESS? AND ALSO IN THE TRAINING, WHY ARE VIDEOS NOT COMING ON? WHO CONTROLS THAT PROCESS? WE HAVE THE DEATH OF JESSE OWENS, NO VIDEO CAMERA. THE DEATH OF DANIEL ROCHA, I THOUGHT WE ADDRESSED THAT. SO THOSE DECISIONS SHOULD MADE BY THE CITY COUNCIL, THE CITY MANAGER. NOW THE OFFICERS HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO EITHER CUT THOSE THINGS ON OR CUT THEM OFF. THAT IS A PRIMARY PROBLEM THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS. AND IF YOU ENHANCE TRAINING JUST FROM ACCOUNTABILITY, I AM CONVINCED THAT WE CAN ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. ANOTHER SHORT-TERM ITEM, WE NEED TO LOOK AT EARLY WARNING SYSTEM FOR OUR POLICE OFFICERS THAT HAVE A HISTORY OF

AGGRESSION, WHO BEAT PEOPLE UNNECESSARILY. IF YOU SEE EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE,, THAT'S A WARNING. GIVE THEM TRAINING AND IF NECESSARY, PROPER WARN. THESE FOLKS ARE ON THE POLICE FORCE AND THEY'RE CAUSING UNNECESSARY PROBLEMS. PLEASE OBSERVE YOUR EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS. THEY TELL US EVERYTHING ABOUT PEOPLE'S BEHAVIOR, THE ATTITUDE AND WHAT THEIR SHORTCOMINGS ARE. INTERMEDIATE SOLUTIONS. WE USED TO HAVE A THING CALLED PSYCHOLOGICAL RESTRAINING WHERE THEY EXAMINED PAST INCIDENTS TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE'S HOT BUTTONS AND HOT SPOTS. AUSTIN SHOULD CONSIDER THAT PROCESS BECAUSE IF YOU KNOW PEOPLE'S PSYCHOLOGY, WHAT THEIR FEARS ARE, WHAT THEIR CONCERNS ARE, PERHAPS PSYCH LOGICALLY WE CAN HELP THEM ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES THAT ARE CAUSING THIS FEAR AND ASSUMPTIONS THAT'S KILLING OUR KIDS. SO PLEASE EXAMINE THE PROCESS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING TO SEE WHAT WE HAVE ON OUR FORCE, THEIR BACKGROUNDS, CAPABILITIES, FEARS AND THEIR WEAKNESSES. I CAN ASSURE YOU IT WOULD HELP OUR FORCE ADDRESS A LOT OF SERIOUS ISSUES THAT ARE SUBCONSCIOUS AND NOT CONSCIOUS. AND FINALLY, IT'S VERY CLEAR WE NEED TO HAVE MORE AFRICAN-AMERICANS, IN MY OPINION, IN DECISION MAKING ROLES. SOME OF YOUR STREET COMMANDERS THAT ARE AFRICAN-AMERICANS, FEEL COMFORTABLE TALKING TO US, BUT YOUR COMMANDERS DON'T. THAT MEANS THEY NEED TO HAVE MORE OFFICIAL POWER IN POSITIONS WHERE THEY CAN SHARE THEIR EXPERIENCES WITH YOU AND THEY KNOW THEIR SECOND PLACE ON OUR STREETS. AND I MAINTAIN THAT WE DO THAT AND WE WILL ADDRESS OUR FEARS, OUR CONCERNS AND REDUCE THESE CRITICAL INCIDENTS BECAUSE BROTHERS AND SISTERS, WE DON'T REDUCE INCIDENTS, THEN WE CAN'T INCREASE OUR QUALITY OF LIFE BECAUSE FOLKS ARE BEING KILLED. THIS WHOLE PROCESS IS MINIMIZED BY WHAT WE DO. A KID KID. ENTIRE COMMUNITY SHATTERED. WE DON'T HAVE ANY ANSWERS AT THIS POINT. IT'S BEEN ALMOST TWO WEEKS. SO I'M CHARGING YOU TO TAKE THIS ISSUE VERY SERIOUSLY, MAKE THE PROPER SUGGESTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MAKE THEM POLICIES AND RISK WHATEVER POLITICAL LIABILITY IT INCURS. AT THIS POINT I'M GOING TO SAY THANK

YOU VERY MUCH AND INTRODUCE MY COLLEAGUE, MS. LISA BYRD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. LINDER. [APPLAUSE]
WELCOME MS. BYRD.

MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCIL. THANK YOU FOR AFFORDING US THIS OPPORTUNITY FOR SPEAKING WITH YOU. THERE WAS A PARENT AT THE TOWN HALL MEETING THAT IMPROVING THE LIVES OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN AUSTIN REQUIRED INVESTMENT IN THE CULTURAL LIFE OF THAT COMMUNITY. TO THAT END THREE SPECIFIC AREAS WERE IDENTIFIED FOR SHORT-TERM AND INTERMEDIATE TERM DEVELOPMENT. THEY'RE BROAD AREAS AND THE FIRST SLIDE WILL SHOW INCLUSIVELY MARKETING AUSTIN. AND THIS IS DIRECTED AT THE AUSTIN CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU. THE BUREAU NOW HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY OBVIOUSLY OF MARKETING AUSTIN TO CORPORATIONS, PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS AND TRAVEL INDUSTRY. AMONG OTHER THINGS WE RECOMMEND THAT THE BUREAU INCLUDE AFRICAN-AMERICAN SIGNATURE EVENTS IN ALL OF THEIR MARKETING MATERIALS, INCLUDING WEBSITES, THE OFFICIAL AUSTIN GUIDE, AND NOT ONLY THAT, THAT THE BUREAU PROMOTE AUSTIN EVENTS, AFRICAN-AMERICAN EVENTS IN THE NATIONAL AFRICAN-AMERICAN MEDIA, LIKE ESSENCE MAGAZINE. AND IN ADDITION THAT THE BUREAU ALSO MARKET THE CITY TO AFRICAN-AMERICAN PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS TO COME HERE. SO THERE'S A WHOLE HOST, AS YOU'LL SEE, IN THE REPORT, THERE ARE A WHOLE HOST OF RECOMMENDATIONS AROUND THE BUREAU. THE SECOND AREA IS BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE AND CREATING SUSTAINABILITY IN OUR ARTS AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATIONS. LIKE INVESTING IN THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMUNITY, IT IS EQUALLY IMPORTANT TO INVEST IN A COMMUNITY CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT. IT SUPPORTS SUSTAINABILITY FOR THE FEW AFRICAN-AMERICAN ARTS ORGANIZATIONS, BUT ALSO LOOKING AT FOR-PROFIT ENTERTAINMENT EVENTS THAT CAN BE MARKETING THROUGH THE AUSTIN CONVENTION BUREAU. HOW DO WE HONOR OUR CULTURAL HERITAGE? SEVERAL WANT TO DOCUMENT AND PRESERVE HISTORICAL SITES IN HONOR OF COMMUNITY LEADERS. PROGRAMS WITH AREA

MUSEUMS, CREATING AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL DISTRICT AND NAMING PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND SPACES AFTER WELL-KNOWN COMMUNITY LEADERS. THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE REPORT ARE VERY SPECIFIC AND RELATE TO THESE GENERAL AREAS. OVERALL THE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL PROVIDE A WAY FOR THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS TO THE CULTURAL LIFE OF AUSTIN TO BE ACKNOWLEDGED, CELEBRATED AND PRESERVED. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME MR. MARSHAL.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THANK YOU, COUNCIL PEOPLE. AS WE ENTER INTO THE PROCESS OF GETTING FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY, IT BECAME CLEAR THAT WITHOUT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, WITHOUT RESOURCES, THAT THAT COMMUNITY IS TRIPLED AND CERTAINLY CANNOT COMMUNICATE FULLY IN OUR GREAT CITY. I'D LIKE TO SHARE THE TOP FIVE SOLUTIONS WITH YOU. OUR SHORT-TERM SOLUTIONS THAT WE'RE HIGHLIGHTING OUR FIRST TO PARTNER, THE CITY WOULD BE CAPITOL CITY AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TO LOCATE START-UP - - TO LOCATE, START-UP OR EXPAND AFRICAN-AMERICAN BUSINESSES IN AUSTIN, USING VARIOUS INCENTIVES. TO WORK WITH AS A PARTNER WITH THE GREATER CHAMBER TO RECRUIT AFRICAN-AMERICAN BUSINESSES, THAT FRANKLY COULD USE THE TALENT BASE, THE INDUSTRY BASE AND ALL THE THINGS THAT ARE ATTRACTIVE TO INDUSTRY HERE IN AUSTIN. SO THAT WE IN FACT REFLECT THE FULLNESS OF THE COMMUNITY. THE SECOND ITEM IS TO ENFORCE THE CITY'S M.W.B.E. ORDINANCES AND MONITOR CONTRACTS FOR COMPLIANCES. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAVE FOUND IN MY TIME WITH THE CHAMBER IS THE MONITORING OF COMPLIANCE SEEMS TO BE A VERY, VERY DIFFICULT AND CUMBERSOME PROCESS. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO GET AN ANSWER ON HOW PRIMES AND SUBS ARE DOING. AND WE URGE THE COUNCIL TO SIMPLIFY THIS PROCESS SO THAT IT IS IN FACT TRANSPARENT, CLEAR, AND COMMUNICATED ON A REGULAR BASIS. ADDITIONALLY, THAT THERE BE BOTH INCENTIVES AND PENALTIES FOR COMPLIANCE AND MEETING GOALS. OUR THIRD SHORT-TERM SOLUTION IS TO BE MORE EVENHANDED IN ADJUSTING THE INSURANCE AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS ON

ADVERTISED MUNICIPAL SOLICITATIONS. CURRENTLY I BELIEVE THAT YOU -- THAT COMPANIES BIDDING ON CONTRACTS HAVE TO CARRY A ONE-MILLION-DOLLAR BOND. NOW, THIS IS IRREGARDLESS OF THE RISK INVOLVED IN THE CONTRACT. IF YOU'RE BUILDING A BRIDGE OR YOU'RE DELIVERING COPIERS, YOU STILL HAVE TO HAVE THAT ONE-MILLION-DOLLAR BOND. FOR SMALLER COMPANIES, THIS TURNS INTO A ROADBLOCK AND A BOAT ANCHOR THAT DOES NOT ALLOW THEM TO COMPETE. WE ALSO ASK THAT INPUT BE SOLICITED FROM AFRICAN-AMERICAN BUSINESSES AND ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN AN APPROPRIATE TIME FRAME WHEN FUNDS ARE BEING USED THAT THE CITY HAS -- AND THEY ARE BEING DEVOTED TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. NOT AFTER THE FUNDS ARE ALLOCATED, BUT HAVING AN INPUT WHEN THOSE FUNDS ARE BEING ALLOCATED SO THAT ALL TAXPAYERS GET THE BENEFIT OF THOSE CITY FUNDS BEING ALLOCATED AS INCENTIVES. OUR INTERMEDIATE SOLUTION IS TO REQUIRE CORPORATIONS TO DO BUSINESS WITH MINORITY BUSINESSES, WITH A FOCUS ON AFRICAN-AMERICAN BUSINESSES IF THOSE CORPORATIONS DO IN FACT RECEIVE TAX INCENTIVES, TAX ABATEMENTS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUNDS AND THE LIKE FROM THE CITY. AGAIN, IT'S AN ISSUE OF FAIRNESS. THE COMMUNITY PAYS -- ALL IN THE COMMUNITY PAY TAXES. ALL SHOULD BENEFIT FROM THOSE TAX DOLLARS THAT ARE USED TO LURE CORPORATIONS TO DO BUSINESS HERE IN THE CITY. THOSE BUSINESS RIRPTS SHOULD INCLUDE -- REQUIREMENTS SHOULD INCLUDE NOT JUST PROCUREMENT OPPORTUNITIES BUT HIRING OPPORTUNITIES AS WELL AND ACROSS THE ENTIRE SPECTRUM OF THE -- OF THAT COMPANY'S HIRING SPECTRUM. NOT JUST FOLKS SWEEPING THE FLOORS, BUT FOLKS SITTING IN THE EXECUTIVE SEATS AND MAKING THE DECISIONS AS WELL. THESE SOLUTIONS AND THESE SUGGESTIONS COME FROM THE COMMUNITY. NO MATTER WHAT ELSE IS DONE, WITHOUT ECONOMIC WHEREWITHAL, WE'RE UNABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN ALL THAT AUSTIN OFFERS, AND WE URGE THAT THE CITY ADOPT THESE. I WILL NOW TURN IT OVER TO MR. JOE JOE BARNES WHO WILL COVER ROWR HEALTH INITIAL RIFZ.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU,. MR. BARNES?

THANK YOU. VERY PLEASED TO BE HERE TO PRESENT THIS

ISSUE, HEALTH THAT WAS NOT OMITTED, BUT I'M SURE KIND OF OVERLOOKED. AND ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COMMUNITY GROUP DECIDED TO ADD IT WAS THAT AUSTIN TRAVIS COUNTY PUTS OUT A VERY, VERY BEAUTIFUL DOCUMENT SHOWING THE 15 MAJOR ILLNESSES THAT CAUSE DEATH IN THE COUNTY. AFRICAN-AMERICANS LEAD IN 10 OF THEM, DISPROPORTIONATE TO THEIR NUMBERS. NOT ONLY THAT, WORSE, THEY LEAD IN 10 IN MORTALITY RATES DISPROPORTIONATE TO THEIR NUMBERS. WE'VE GOT INCIDENT OF CONTRACTING THESE ILLNESSES AND DEATH FROM THESE ILLNESSES DISPROPORTIONATE TO OUR SMALLER NUMBERS IN THIS POPULATION. FORTUNATELY FOR US, WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THESE ISSUES THROUGH AUSTIN TRAVIS COUNTY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES WITH SHANNON JONES AND MR. DAVID LURIE, AND WE'VE HAD SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FROM A PROGRAM THEY HAD INITIATED, AND THEN THE TOWN HALL MEETING ALLOWED THE COMMUNITY TO ADD MORE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THAT PROCESS. AND YOU HAVE NINE BEFORE YOU IN THE PROPOSAL, THE COMMUNITY POSITION PAPER, AND I JUST WANT TO HIGHLIGHT FIVE-YEAR. SHORT-TERM, REPRESENTATIVES ON A COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT BOARD TO MOBILIZE AND SEEK SUPPORT FOR FEDERAL FUNDING SO THAT WE CAN HAVE MORE COMMUNITY CLINICS VERY SIMILAR TO THE ONES THAT'S NOW IN MONTOPOLIS. TWO, INTERMEDIATE SOLUTIONS, CREATE AN INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM THAT CAN CARRY PROGRAMS TO AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITIES THAT WILL IMPROVE ACCESS TO PRIMARY PREVENTIVE CARE. WE HAVE TO HAVE SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITIES BY PEOPLE WHO CAN DO THE INTAKES, MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALSO PROVIDE SERVICES THERE AT THOSE COMMUNITIES AND NOT TOTALLY HAVING TO COME OUT OF THE COMMUNITY TO GO SOMEWHERE ELSE AND SEVERAL PLACES TO GET HEALTH SERVICE. THE OTHER ONE IS TO CREATE A PANEL TO REVIEW OUR MAP PROCESS. I WAS A CASE WORKER FOR ABOUT FIVE YEARS, AND TO CALL THE M.A.P. SERVICE AND SAY WHAT IS YOUR ZIP CODE AND CAN YOU WAIT AND CALL BACK, AND IT TOOK ME TWO WEEKS AS A 10-YEAR CASE MANAGER WITH EXPERIENCE TO GET AN APPOINTMENT FOR A CLIENT IN OUR M.A.P. PROGRAM. WE NEED TO REALLY LOOK AT IT AND

HOPEFULLY YOU GUYS CAN FOLLOW THESE RECOMMENDATIONS AND HAVE A PANEL WITH SOME OF THESE COMMUNITY GROUPS TO LOOK AT THEM AND SEE IF WE CAN REFINE THAT PROCESS. CAN YOU TURN TO THE NEXT ONE? IT'S TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM TO RECRUIT MORE AFRICAN-AMERICAN HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS. WE REALLY HAVE TO HAVE MORE AFRICAN-AMERICAN FACES, WHETHER THEY'RE PHYSICIANS OR PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS, NURSES, NURSE PRACTITIONERS IN OUR FACILITIES NOT ONLY TO ADD TO YOUR STAFFING, BUT TO ALLOW MORE TIME FOR PEOPLE TO ACTUALLY TALK ABOUT THEIR PATIENT'S ILLNESSES RATHER THAN SEEING THEM, LOGGING 15 MINUTES AND THEN THEY'RE OUT. WE'VE HAD A LOT OF COMMENTS ABOUT, MY PHYSICIAN DOES NOT TALK WITH ME, DOES NOT TALK TO ME. I'M JUST IN AND OUT. SO WE REALLY SEE THE NEED FOR US TO HAVE SOME TYPE OF PROGRAM TO RECRUIT MORE AFRICAN-AMERICAN HEALTH PROFESSIONALS. AND FINALLY IS TO DEVELOP A PREVENTION EDUCATION PLAN AND HAVE MATERIALS TARGETING THE LEADING CAUSE OF DEATH AND DISEASE IN THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY, AND IN YOUR PAPER IS THROUGH THE USE OF THE MEDIA, BILLBOARDS, TV, POSITIVE IMAGES, POSITIVE ROLE MODELS, ENCOURAGING AFRICAN-AMERICAN PEOPLE TO LOOK AT THEIR BEHAVIOR, CHANGE THEIR BEHAVIOR AND SHOW LOVE FOR THEMSELVES BY HOW THEY ADDRESS AND RELATE TO OTHER AFRICAN-AMERICANS OR PEOPLE IN GENERAL WHEN THEY KNOW THEY HAVE COMMUNICABLE ILLNESSES. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND I APPRECIATE THE COMMUNITY ADDING HELP TO THIS POSITION AND YOU GUYS TAKING TIME TO LISTEN TO US. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. BARNES. WELCOME MR. MARSHAL.

GOOD EVENING. HOW ARE YOU? THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS, CITY MANAGER. THE AREA I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON IS NEIGHBORHOOD SUSTAINABILITY. AND THIS ISSUE FOCUSES NOT JUST ON HOUSING, BUT THE COMMERCIAL AND RETAIL THAT ARE NECESSARY TO SUPPORT THAT HOUSING. ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT CAME UP A LOT IN THESE FORUMS AS WELL AS THE TOWN HALL MEETING HAD TO DO WITH THE ISSUE OF

GENTRIFICATION. AND IN TALKING TO RESIDENTS OF AUSTIN AS WELL AS THOSE AFRICAN-AMERICANS WHO LIVED OUTSIDE OF AUSTIN AND EXPLAINED WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO MAKE THEM COMFORTABLE ENOUGH TO STAY IN THE CITY OR MOVE BACK TO THE CITY, THERE WERE FOUR OR FIVE ISSUES THAT CAME UP. ONE WAS EDUCATION. ANOTHER WAS SAFETY. AND THAT SAFETY IS A MISPERCEPTION FOR THE MOST PART BECAUSE IT WAS ONE THAT WAS RAISED BY PEOPLE WHO LIVED OUTSIDE OF THE CITY THINKING THAT LIVING IN EAST AUSTIN WAS A DANGEROUS THING WHEN THE CRIME STATISTICS ARE JUST THE OPPOSITE. THE THIRD ISSUE WAS THE QUALITY OF HOUSING. THE FOURTH ISSUE WAS DIVERSITY OF NEIGHBORHOODS. AND THE FIFTH WAS BUSINESSES. BUSINESSES AND CULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS THAT MADE THEM FEEL LIKE THERE WAS A SENSE OF PLACE. THE MAIN SUSTAINABILITY ISSUE DEALS WITH THREE OF THOSE, DEALS WITH THE HOUSING AND THE BUSINESSES AND TO SOME EXTENT THE CURB CURB. THERE ARE ACTUALLY 10 RECOMMENDATIONS AND THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BUILD ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE IN THE RJW REPORT. NONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE IN THAT REPORT WERE REJECTED BY THE COMMUNITY, THEY JUST WERE ENHANCED AND BUILT UPON. I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT FIVE OF THOSE 10 AND HOPEFULLY IN THE NEXT TWO MINUTES. ONE WAS TO DEVELOP A LAND BANK. AND THE REASON FOR DISCUSSION OF THE LAND BANK THAT CAME UP WAS THERE'S A LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE CITY. AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S A SURPRISE TO ANYBODY. BUT PART OF THE ISSUE IS HOW DO YOU GET MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING BUILT? AND THE ONE VARIABLE THAT REALLY DRIVES THAT IS NOT THE BRICKS AND MORTAR, IT'S REALLY THE COST OF THE LAND. SO THE QUESTION IS HOW CAN YOU GET MORE CHEAP LAND? THE RECOMMENDATION WAS THAT TO THE EXTENT THE CITY HAS LAND THAT'S APPROPRIATE FOR HOUSING, THAT THAT LAND BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND PUT INTO THIS LAND BANK. THAT ALSO IS CONSISTENT WITH THE MOST RECENT LEGISLATION THAT WAS PASSED IN THE LAST SESSION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS THAT ALLOWS LAND BANKS IN MUNICIPALITIES OF THIS SIZE. SO BESIDES THAT TYPE OF DEPOSIT INTO THE LAND BANK, THE OTHER

THING THAT WAS RECOMMENDED IS THAT DOLLARS BE MADE AVAILABLE THAT COULD BE FROM EITHER GRANTS, FILL LAND TRUST ORGANIZATIONS, THE NONPROFITS THEMSELVES COULD CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO THIS BANK, OR AS WILL BE SEEN IN ONE OF THE LATER RECOMMENDATIONS, YOU COULD ACQUIRE MONEY FROM DEVELOPERS THROUGH OTHER INCENTIVES. IN EXCHANGE FOR INCENTIVES RATHER. SO IN ADDITION TO SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES BEING BUILT WITH THIS -- THE LAND AND THE LAND BANK, THE SECOND WOULD BE FOR MULTI-FAMILY HOUSES. MOST OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN CREATED, PARTICULARLY IN EAST AUSTIN, DON'T INCLUDE ANY MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING. AND I THINK PEOPLE ARE REALLY AFRAID THAT THEY'LL END UP WITH SLUMS. BUT YOU REALLY CAN'T HAVE A VERY DENSITY, YOU CAN'T DEAL WITH THE KIND OF ISSUES THAT THIS CITY IS FACED WITH WITHOUT SOME MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING, BUT AT THE SAME TIME YOU DON'T REALLY WANT ALL OF THAT TO BE FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE OF LOW INCOME. SO THERE NEEDS TO BE A MIXED INCOME APPROACH TO NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING, AND SOME OF THAT HOUSING, WHICH COULD BE MULTI-FAMILY, COULD BE CREATED BY THE CITY LEASING LAND TO NONPROFIT DEVELOPERS WHO CAN BUILD ON THAT LAND. THE LEASE COULD BE FOR A DOLLAR A YEAR FOR 50 YEARS, THUS KEEPING THE COST OF OPERATING THE UNITS DOWN. NEXT RECOMMENDATION, PLEASE. THE SECOND THING WAS BRINGING THE PHYSICAL REQUIREMENT ENVIRONMENT OF EAST AUSTIN UP TO THE LEVEL OF THE REST OF THE CITY. ONE OF THE THINGS CITED BY PEOPLE IS THAT -- FROM PEOPLE WHO LIVE OUTSIDE THE CITY WHO WE'RE TRYING TO ATTRACT BACK IS THEY THOUGHT THAT EAST AUSTIN LOOKED RUN DOWN EXCEPT FOR A FEW CORRIDORS WHERE WORK HAD ALREADY BEEN DONE. THAT THE RECOMMENDATION HERE IS THAT THE CITY LOOK AT NOT JUST IMPROVING 11th STREET AND 12TH STREET AND THE SEVENTH STREET CORRIDOR AND SECOND STREET, BUT LOOK THROUGHOUT CENTRAL EAST AUSTIN AT AREAS WHERE IMPROVEMENT COULD BE MADE WITHOUT A LOT OF INVESTMENT. SOME OF THAT INVESTMENT -- SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS COULD COME FROM THE MONEY THAT CAPITAL METRO DEDICATES EACH YEAR BECAUSE IT IMPROVES MOBILITY. SOME COULD COME FROM JUST

FOCUSING ON AREAS WHERE YOU CUT VACANT LOTS, YOU HAVE TARGETED CODE ENFORCEMENT, YOU REMOVE GRAFFITI, YOU MAKE PEOPLE TEAR DOWN ABANDONED BUILDINGS, SOME OF WHICH YOU ARE ALREADY DOING, BUT IN A FOREFOCUSED WAY IS WHAT'S BEING ASKED. AND TO USE CURRENT RESOURCES LIKE THE PARKS DEPARTMENT, ELECTRIC UTILITY AND FOLKS LIKE THAT TO ASSESS LIGHTING AND PUT UP NEW STREET LIGHTS WHERE IT WOULD MAKE PEOPLE FEEL SAFER. A LOT OF THE ISSUE IS JUST THE SENSE OF SAFETY, NOT JUST PEOPLE -- NOT JUST REAL CRIME. AND THE OTHER THING WAS EXPANDING THE EXISTING FACADE PROGRAM. ONE OF THE SURVEYS THAT WAS DONE OF CITIZENS IN EAST AUSTIN WAS ASKING WHAT WOULD IT TAKE FOR YOU TO PATRONIZE THE STORES IN YOUR AREA? AND ONE OF THE THINGS THEY SUGGESTED WAS THAT THEY WEREN'T INVITING. AND IN TALKING TO THE OWNERS THEMSELVES, WHAT WOULD IT TAKE FOR YOU TO IMPROVE THE LOOK OF YOUR PLACE IN ADDITION TO MAKING MONEY AND BEING ABLE TO GET LOANS? THEY WERE LOOKING FOR WAYS THAT THEY COULD IMPROVE THE APPEAL AND BE CONSISTENT WITH THE REST OF THE FOLKS ALONG THE BLOCK. THE CITY HAS A FACADE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. IT WAS FUNDED IN THE LAST YEAR. I THINK MOST OF THE MONEY IS ACTUALLY COMMITTED BECAUSE PEOPLE HAVE NOW SEEN THE BENEFIT OF IT AND WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT TO BE EXPANDED TO OUR CORRIDORS BESIDES 11th AND 12TH STREET, ROSEWOOD AND OTHER COMMERCIAL CORRIDORS THAT WOULD ATTRACT PEOPLE IN THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS, TO SHOP IN THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS AND HELP BUILD THE COMMUNITY AROUND THEM. AND ALONG WITH THEM, IMPROVING THE INFRASTRUCTURE, INCLUDING THE CITY-FUNDED SURFACE PARKING OR PARKING LOTS. SO THESE SMALL OWNERS CAN'T AFFORD TO BUILD PARKING. AND THEY'RE OFTEN ON NARROW OR SMALL LOTS WHERE THEY REALLY CAN'T EXPAND MUCH, THEY CAN'T GO UP, THEY CAN'T AFFORD THAT. AND TO THE EXTENT THE CITY CAN EITHER LEASE LOTS IN A NEIGHBORHOOD FOR SOME SHORT PERIOD OF TIME UNTIL YOU'RE ABLE TO SPUR DEVELOPMENT THERE OR BUILD LOTS, THEN THEY'RE STRUGGLING. A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THAT IS 12TH AND CHICK CHICON. IT'S A LONG TIME BUSINESS DISTRICT, BUT THERE'S REALLY NO PLACE TO

PARK IF YOU DRIVE UP THERE OR LEFT SOME TRASH STREWN LOT THAT'S PRIVATELY OWNED. THAT'S ONE OF THE RECOMMENDED ACTIONS. ANOTHER IS TO ASSIST COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS IN BUILDING THEIR OWN CAPACITY. YOU RECENTLY LAUNCHED A PROGRAM IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ENTERPRISE FOUNDATION TO HELP NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS, PARTICULARLY THE CHAO TOWNS. THE GRANTS WERE SMALL, 15 TO \$20,000, AND THAT IS TO GET PEOPLE STARTED. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S REALLY NEEDED I THINK IS EXPERTISE. IT'S HARD TO EVEN FILL OUT THE APPLICATION WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING THE -- HOW TO PUT TOGETHER A PROJECT. YOU HAVE STAFF THAT HAS THE EXPERTISE, BUT PART OF THEIR INTENTION IS DRAWN TO ACTUALLY BUILDING UNITS. PERHAPS IT WOULD BE BETTER IF THEY DID SOME BUILDING, BUT PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON TEACHING PEOPLE OUT HOWE TO BUILD, HOW TO PUT TOGETHER PROJECTS, HOW TO GET THE FINANCING TOGETHER, HOW TO MANAGE PROJECTS, SO INSTEAD OF THREE PEOPLE GETTING THREE PROJECTS UNDERWAY, EACH OF THEM WORKED WITH THREE ORGANIZATIONS, THEN YOU WOULD HAVE NINE PROJECTS UNDERWAY AND YOU WOULD HAVE THESE NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS WITH CONTINUED ABILITY TO BUILD. THEY ARE ALSO FOCUSED ON WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS. THEY'RE NOT IN IT FOR PROFIT. IF THEY ONLY BUILD ONE LOT HERE AND TWO LOTS THERE, THAT'S OKAY WITH THEM BECAUSE THE NEIGHBORS ARE ALSO LIKELY TO SELL THEM THE LAND AT A LOWER PRICE BECAUSE THEY TRUST THEM AND THEY TRUST WHAT THEY'RE DOING. SO WE THANK YOU. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO REASSIGN STAFF RESOURCES TO PROVIDE THIS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND HAVE THE SAME STAFF ACT AS ADVOCATES AND GUIDES IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING THROUGH THE CITY. IT'S DIFFICULT IF YOU DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT YOU'RE DOING. AND IT'S NOT BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT DIFFICULT, IT'S THAT THERE'S SO MANY DIFFERENT REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS THAT SOMEONE NEEDS TO HELP THEM NAVIGATE THAT. NEXT RELIGIOUS, PLEASE. -- NEXT RECOMMENDATION, PLEASE.

THIS ACTUALLY MAY BE ONE OF THE MORE IMPORTANT RECOMMENDATIONS IS TO LOOK AT THE CITY OVERALL AND

TRY TO DETERMINE A POLICY FOR CREATING MIXED NEIGHBORHOOD -- MIXED INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS AND SUSTAINABLE NEIGHBORHOODS. SO THAT YOU CAN ATTRACT PEOPLE BACK TO THE CITY, BUT ALSO KEEP PEOPLE HERE. YOU'RE NOT JUST FOCUS ON BUILDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING, BUT BUILDING HOUSING ALONG THE WHOLE SPECTRUM IN A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT GIVES PEOPLE A CHANCE TO GO FROM RENTAL UP TO HOME OWNERSHIP AND BUY THREE OR FOUR DIFFERENT HOMES IN THEIR LIFE TIMES AND ALSO GIVE KIDS A CHANCE TO SEE HOW THAT PROGRESSION WORKS. SO WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY AND COUNTY WORK TOGETHER ALONG WITH OTHER AGENCIES LIKE THE TWO HOUSING AUTHORITIES. YOU HAVE ALL THE CHOTOS HERE, YOU HAVE OTHER CITY DEPARTMENTS, THE CAPITAL METRO FOR MOBILITY ISSUES TO LOOK COMPREHENSIVELY AT HOW THE CITY OUGHT TO BE DEVELOPED, HOW THE NEIGHBORHOODS OUGHT TO BE DEVELOPED, AND THEN WORK IN CONCERT, IN SOME CONCERTED WAY TO MOVE NEIGHBORHOOD BY NEIGHBORHOOD OR REGION BY REGION WITHIN THE CITY TO DO THAT. AND AS PART OF THAT REVIEW OF THE HISTORY OF HOW SECTION 8 CERTIFICATES HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT REALLY NEEDS TO HAPPEN IS POVERTY NEEDS TO BE DECENTRALIZED. THERE'S TOO MUCH OF IT IN CENTRAL EAST AUSTIN. IT NEEDS TO BE SPREAD THROUGHOUT THE AREA SO THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT STIGMATIZED AND SO THAT THEY GET A CHANCE TO PROSPER WITH THE REST OF THE CITY. AND THEN FINALLY, CREATING INCENTIVES FOR PRIVATE DEVELOPERS TO BUILD AND FINANCE THE CONSTRUCTION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I KNOW WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO -- THE RECOMMENDATION IS THAT YOU LOOK AT AND INCLUDES THE ZONING. THIS RECOMMENDATION WAS MADE JUST BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE CLOSED, SO THAT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO DO AT THIS POINT OR MAYBE IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO DO, BUT YOU CAN CREATE DENSITY BONUSES SO IF YOU ALLOW DEVELOPERS TO BUILD MORE THAN THE CODE NORMALLY ALLOWS FOR, AND IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT, CREATE SOME AFFORDABLE HOUSING ON SITE OR PAY IT THROUGH A FUND WHERE AFFORDABLE HOUSING CAN BE BUILT ELSEWHERE IN THE CITY. AND IF THAT FUND WERE USED AS A SOURCE

OF LEVERAGE WITH LOCAL LENDERS SO THE NONPROFITS COULD ACTUALLY BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING, YOU COULD CREATE EXPO NEN SHEAL THE AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO BE BUILT. I'M GOING TO TURN THIS OVER. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND I ASK YOU THAT YOU LOOK AT THIS CAREFULLY. I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO JEFFREY RICHARDS. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MR. RICHARDS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, CITY MANAGER. IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU AGAIN AND GOOD TO BE HERE THIS EVENING. I'M GOING TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT ASPECT OF OUR WORK. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE WORK THAT WE HAVE DONE ENCAPSULATES THE CITY'S -- ACTUALLY, THE COMMUNITY'S SUGGESTION FROM JUNE 11th MEETING, I BELIEVE, THAT THE IMPORTANT PART IS THAT THE WORK FROM GROUP SOLUTIONS, RJW, IS BUILT UPON, THAT IT IS NOT DIFFERENT FROM OR A SUBSTITUTE FOR, IT IS SIMPLY AN ENHANCEMENT OF. AND I THINK WHAT YOU HAVE HEARD JUST A FEW MOMENTS AGO IS AN EXAMPLE OF SOME OF THE CONCRETE EXAMPLES IN DETAIL. AND WE SAY IN THE SAME VEIN THE SAME THING ABOUT EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT. SO ONE OF THE THINGS, THERE ARE NINE CONCRETE EXAMPLES OR SUGGESTIONS, BUT FIVE OF THEM I'LL HIGHLIGHT HERE. THE FIRST IS WHAT WE CALL PRACTICING WHAT ONE REACHES. -- PREECHZ. IT IS IMPORTANT TO REVIEW THE CITY'S OWN HIRING AND COMPENSATION PRACTICES WITH REGARD TO EMPLOYMENT TO ENSURE THAT AFRICAN-AMERICANS ARE HIRED IN APPROPRIATE NUMBERS, RATIOS AND SO FORTH THROUGHOUT THE CITY GOVERNMENT AND IN DECISION MAKING, MANAGEMENT POSITIONS. I REALIZE THAT YOU HAVE POTENTIALLY EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL REPORTS THAT YOU WILL BE GIVEN AND THAT YOU RECEIVE MAYBE ON A QUARTERLY BASIS OR SEMI ANNUAL BASIS AND THIS IS SIMPLY A POINT TO SAY THAT THESE ARE TOOLS THAT CAN BE EXERCISED AND USED IN PERHAPS EVEN A MORE TRANSPARENT FASHION. A SECOND -- AND SINCE THIS IS SHORT-TERM, THIS IS EASILY DOABLE, WE THINK. SECONDLY IS TO CREATE AN EDUCATION ADVISORY COUNCIL TO EXPLORE HOW AISD, THE LARGEST TAXING JURISDICTION IN

OUR AREA, AND THE CITY, ARE SUPPORTING CHALLENGES AND SUCCESSES AND FAILURES WITH OUR OWN CHILDREN. IT'S NUMBER THREE THERE RATHER THAN TWO BECAUSE IT'S THE THIRD RECOMMENDATION IN THE NINE THAT I SPOKE ABOUT. BUT HERE IT'S CLEAR THAT THERE ARE WAYS IN WHICH THESE TWO LARGE TAXING ENTITIES, THE CITY AND AISD CAN MEET MORE THAN PERHAPS ON YOUR SEMI ANNUAL OR QUARTERLY BASIS, BUT TO ENHANCE WHAT YOU'RE DOING. A QUICK EXAMPLE, JOINT USE FACILITIES. AUSTIN IS STILL AUSTIN, EVEN IF YOU'RE A SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY OR CITY BOUNDARY. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BUILD AN ENTITY WITHIN A FEW HUNDRED FEET OF EACH OTHER AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY'RE GOING TO SERVE THE SAME PEOPLE, BUT MAYBE AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF DAY, SOME COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ OF SCALE COULD BE BROUGHT TO BEAR THAT SAVE THE TAXPAYER, WHICH IS THE SAME INDIVIDUAL, SOME FUNDS. AND WE THINK THAT THERE IS MUCH TO BE SAID ABOUT THAT. ALSO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT CHALLENGES AND FAILURES REGARDING AFRICAN-AMERICAN STUDENTS, WE ARE THINKING IN TERMS OF MENTORSHIP, THE VOLUNTEER BASE THAT YOU HAVE, HOW MANY IS IT, 10,000, A LARGE NUMBER, MANY HAVE A HEART AND A PASSION FOR STUDENTS AND THEY VOLUNTEER. I KNOW THEY'RE DOING THAT TODAY. I THINK -- AND WE THINK THAT IT CAN BE ENHANCED. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE? ANOTHER SHORT-TERM SOLUTION WOULD BE FOR THE CITY TO ENCOURAGE CITY INCENTIVES FOR BUSINESSES AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS TO OFFER EX-OFFENDERS, AND I'LL HIGHLIGHT THAT TERM EX-OFFENDERS, LIFE SKILLS, JOB SKILLS, TRAINING AND JOB OPPORTUNITIES. THE SAD FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT MORE AND MORE OF OUR POPULATION IS GOING TO HAVE SOME ENCOUNTER WITH THE JUSTICE SYSTEM OVER TIME. AND THAT'S JUST THE FACTS. SO THE QUESTION BECOMES WHAT WILL WE DO AS A COMMUNITY AND YOU AS LEADERS WHEN THOSE PERSONS HAVE SERVED THEIR TIME? I'M VERY PLEASED TO NOTE THAT IN SOME OF OUR RESEARCH THE CITY ALREADY HAS A PRACTICE OF INCLUDING PERSONS WHO MAY HAVE HAD A CRIMINAL RECORD IN A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE DEPENDING ON THE TYPE OF JOB. THIS IS THE SORT OF THING THAT WE THINK YOU COULD ENCOURAGE

BUSINESSES BY THE WAY THAT HE WANT TO DO BUSINESS WITH YOU. THERE'S SOMETHING TO BE SAID ABOUT LEVERAGE AND ABOUT THE BULLY PULPIT. AND AS A MINISTER FRIEND OF MINE, ONE OF MY MINISTERS SAYS, WE ARE ALL EX-SOMETHING. THE QUESTION BECOMES HOW DO WE WELCOME A COMMUNITY BACK AFTER -- A PORTION OF THAT COMMUNITY THAT HAS SERVED ITS TIME? [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS] I THINK WE ARE IN SOMEWHAT OF A PREGNANT OPPORTUNITY HERE. THAT THERE -- WITH NEW COUNCILMEMBERS AND IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU AND WITH THE TIMELINESS AND THE TIMING OF ALL THE EVENTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED UP TO NOW, WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SOMETHING OF THIS CHANCE. IT IS A PREGNANT MOMENT, BUT THE QUESTION STILL REMAINS WHAT WILL WE DO WITH IT. AND I THINK WE ARE CONVINCED AS A COMMUNITY THAT ANOTHER STUDY WON'T DO IT. ANOTHER PRONOUNCEMENT, ANOTHER HAND WRINGING SESSION WON'T DO IT. AND I THINK THAT WE ARE POISED TO HELP IN THAT REGARD. I WANT TO SAY THAT NOW THAT WE'VE COMPLETED PROVIDING THE COUNCIL WITH THE HIGHLIGHTS OF OUR RECOMMENDED SOLUTIONS, WE WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH YOU SOME NEXT STEPS. BECAUSE, AGAIN, THIS IS A PHASED APPROACH. I THINK THAT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE RECOGNIZE THAT OUT OF THE COMMUNITY WE DEVELOPED SIX TEAMS. WE RECOMMEND SIX TEAMS. THEY ARE COMMUNITY IMPLEMENTATION TEAMS. THEY ARE NOT TO REVISIT WHAT WE'VE ALREADY VISITED ABOUT. THEY ARE SUGGESTING NOW THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THESE THINGS WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE. THEY ARE BASED ON THE SAME SIX TOPICS WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT FOR THE LAST HALF HOUR. I THINK THAT THOSE TEAMS WILL SERVE AS A RESOURCE, EXCUSE ME, COULD SERVE AS A RESOURCE TO FOLLOW THROUGH, NOT TO REINVENT. THOSE TEAMS WOULD BE COMPRISED OF COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES THAT ARE WILLING TO PUT SHOULDER TO THE WHEEL. I THINK THAT BASED UPON THE RECOMMENDED SIZE OF THESE TEAMS, THERE ARE OTHER PERSONS WHO ARE NOT STANDING BEHIND ME HERE WHO ARE COMMUNITY EXPERTS, SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS WHO COULD EASILY BE ADDED TO THAT TEAM. WE'RE NOT EXCLUDING, WE'RE

SIMPLY OFFERING THE OPPORTUNITY FOR INCLUSION FOR OTHERS. AND I THINK THEN THAT -- CONTINUE ON, TO SUPPORT THOSE, THE SECOND GOAL THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, WE HAVE SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ASSIST WITH ACCOUNTABILITY. I'M ALL ABOUT RESULTS, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND AT THE END OF THE DAY, TOMORROW BEING BETTER THAN TODAY WAS. AND SO WE ARE POISED TO HELP YOU SUGGEST PERFORMANCE INDICATORS, PERFORMANCE MEASURES, RESULTS BASED ACTION THAT SAYS HOW WE CAN KNOW WE HAVE MADE PROGRESS OVER TIME. AND I THINK THAT WE COULD REQUIRE AT LEAST AN ANNUAL REVIEW BEFORE THE COUNCIL AS A PUBLIC MEETING, PERHAPS A MORE FREQUENT REVIEW IN THE SHORT TERM AS WE WORK THROUGH THIS. SO I THINK THE PANOPLY HERE IS THAT -- THANK YOU. THE PANOPLY IS WE WANT TO BUILD ON WHAT GROUP SOLUTIONS HAS DONE. WE'VE HEARD FROM THE COMMUNITY, WE'RE TRYING TO REPRESENT THAT. I THINK THAT WE ARE GOING TO MODEL THE SAME SORT OF SOLUTIONS JUST LIKE THE GROUP SOLUTION DID, PANEL DID. IT'S AN ATTEMPT TO MODEL THE SAME SORT OF FORMAT. A PANEL, A TEAM, IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES. I WANT YOU ALL TO KNOW THAT AND NOW THAT I'VE OUTLINED THAT PIECE, I'D LIKE FOR GREG MARSHALL OF THE CAPITAL CITY AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHAMBER, MY COLLEAGUE, TO COME WITH CLOSING REMARKS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. RICHARDS. [APPLAUSE]

THANK YOU, JEFFREY. WHAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO YOU SO FAR THIS EVENING IS ONLY A PART OF THE WORK THAT OUR COMMUNITY SEES AS NECESSARY. THE COMMUNITY ENVISIONS OTHER FORMS TO ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES THAT LIE OUTSIDE THE PURVIEW OF THE CITY. BUT FOR NOW WE REQUEST THAT COUNCIL AS A BIG FIRST STEP TOWARDS IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS HERE IN AUSTIN THAT THEY APPROVE ALL RECOMMENDED SOLUTIONS AND ACTIONS AND DIRECT STAFF TO BEGIN DEVELOPING A FUNDED QUALITY OF LIFE ACTION PLAN. WORKING WITH -- WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE COMMUNITY AND TO HAVE IT BE COMPLETED WITHIN 90 DAYS. SHORT, SIMPLE AND SWEET. WE'VE COVERED THE

AREAS THAT THE COMMUNITY HAS IDENTIFIED FOR US AND TALKED ABOUT IMPLEMENTATION, TALKED ABOUT KEEPING THE PROCESS VISIBLE, TALKED ABOUT KEEPING THE PROCESS ACTION ORIENTED AND MEASURABLE. THINGS THAT MAKE IT EASY FOR EVERYONE TO UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS AND HAVE OUR CITY MOVE THROUGH THOSE. WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE, COUNCILMEMBERS, FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP ON TAKING MAJOR STEPS TOWARDS IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS. WHICH IMPROVES THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL CITIZENS OF AUSTIN. WHICH THEN IN TURN HELPS ENHANCE AUSTIN'S EFFORTS TO TRULY BECOME A WORLD-CLASS CITY. WE'RE NOW AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT COUNCIL MAY HAVE AT THIS TIME.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. MARSHALL. BEFORE WE DO THAT, WE'LL TAKE A COUPLE OF POINTS. ONE IS WE HAVE A NUMBER OF CITIZENS WHO DO WANT TO ADDRESS US TONIGHT AND WE'LL GET RIGHT TO THOSE. THOSE COMMENTS. I'D JUST LIKE TO START OFF BY SAYING I DON'T HAVE, YOU KNOW, QUITE ENOUGH OF A HISTORY TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS HISTORIC OR NOT, FRANKLY, BUT IT'S AWFULLY IMPRESSIVE TO SEE THESE GROUPS COMING TOGETHER, THESE ORGANIZATIONS THAT, YOU KNOW, INDEPENDENTLY HAVE DONE SO MUCH THROUGHOUT THIS COMMUNITY TO COME FORWARD WITH A WELL THOUGHT OUT, WELL STRUCTURED SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR US THEN AS A COUNCIL AND MANAGEMENT TO WORK THROUGH HOW BEST TO DELIVER ON THIS PROMISE. SO I WANT TO FIRST CONGRATULATE AND THANK A PRETTY REMARKABLY COLLABORATIVE EFFORT. THE ONE VOICE TERM IS STRONG AND IT'S VERY APPROPRIATE HERE AND WE APPRECIATE THE HARD WORK. COUNCIL, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FOLKS WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS US. WE COULD HAVE QUESTIONS OF OUR COLLEAGUES NOW OR FIRST TAKE SOME PUBLIC COMMENT. HEARING NO QUESTIONS, I'LL LET OUR FRIENDS TAKE A SEAT WHO HAVE BEEN STANDING FOR SO LONG NOW. [APPLAUSE] AND BEFORE WE CALL SPEAKERS, WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE MAYOR PRO TEM DANNY THOMAS.

Thomas: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY, MAYOR, WE CAN GO AHEAD WITH THE REST OF THE CITIZENS THAT ARE SIGNED

UP TO SPEAK, THEN I'LL HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY. BUT I DO COMMEND THE GROUP. THEY HAVE WORKED VERY HARD WITH STAFF AND IT PROVES THAT WE ARE GOING TO MAKE SOME PROGRESS. I CAN SAY HISTORY, I DO KNOW THE HISTORY BECAUSE I'VE BEEN HERE ALL MY LIFE AND I HAVE SEEN THINGS THAT START BUT NEVER COMPLETED. MR. JEFFREY SAID SOMETHING THAT WAS VERY IMPORTANT. WHEN YOU ARE PREGNANT WITH SOMETHING, YOU HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PREGNANCY IS DELIVERED. AND HOW YOU DELIVER THIS THAT YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE ALL HANDS ON DOING THE PROCESS. THE PROCESS HAS TO START WITH THE GROUP, CONTINUE WITH THE GROUP AND BE CONSISTENT WITH THE GROUP, AND THEN ONCE THE PRODUCT IS -- THE DELIVERY IS THERE, THEN YOU HAVE TO CONTINUE TO NOURISH THAT UNTIL WE GET WHERE WE NEED TO GO. AND I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT WITH THE ENERGY THAT HAS CAME FORWARD, THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING IN THIS GREAT CITY BECAUSE WE DON'T NEED A BAND-AID EFFECT ANYMORE, WE NEED SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO MOVE THE DISPARITY TO ANOTHER LEVEL IN THIS CITY. AND THANK YOU, MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MAYOR PRO TEM. SO COUNCILMEMBERS, WITHOUT OBJECTION WE'LL GO THROUGH SOME SPEAKERS AND LIKELY HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR OUR PARTNERS HERE BEFORE WE GIVE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CITY MANAGER. OUR FIRST SIGNED-UP SPEAKER IS AUDREY MACKEY. AUDREY MACKEY. WELCOME. WILL YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY -- NYLA SINCOPE. >

GOOD EVENING. THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. MAYOR PRO TEM, CITY MANAGER, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. JUST VERY BRIEFLY I'D LIKE TO AMPLIFY A COUPLE OF THOUGHTS. FIRST OF ALL I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU HAVE HEARD WERE GENERATED AS A RESULT OF A LOT OF THOUGHT, A LOT OF ENERGY, A LOT OF DIALOGUE AND A LOT OF CREATIVE IDEAS ON THE PART OF THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY. THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE ONES THAT ARE ACHIEVABLE. THEY CAN, IF FOLLOWED, MAKE A VERY POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE ADVANCING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY. AND IN ADDITION

TO THAT, IT IS MY BELIEF THAT IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO INVENT THE WHEEL IN PUTTING A GOOD, EFFECTIVE PROGRAM TOGETHER. THERE ARE A LOT OF RESOURCES OUT THERE. THERE ARE A LOT OF MODELS THAT CAN BE FOLLOWED AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE COUNCIL TO LOOK AT THOSE RESOURCES, LOOK AT THOSE MODELS, UTILIZE THOSE MODELS, LOOK AT THE TALENTS AVAILABLE AND OUT LIKE THAT TALL HE WANT AND I BELIEVE WE CAN MAKE A VERY, VERY POSITIVE I HAVE, VERY MEANINGFUL CONTRIBUTION TO WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO ACHIEVE. AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL TO -- YOUR SUPPORT TO THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. MACKEY. [APPLAUSE] NYALA SANCOPE. WELCOME, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

GOOD EVENING AND IT'S NYALA SANCOPE. I WANTED TO GREET THE COUNCILMEMBERS AND WELCOME [INAUDIBLE]. IT'S REALLY GOOD TO HAVE YOU ON THE COUNCIL. [WHISPERING] AUSTIN IS A REALLY BEAUTIFUL PLACE TO LIVE IN, IT HAS ITS WONDERFUL QUALITIES. IT'S HAS A TO DIE FOR RECYCLING PROGRAM. AND YET IT STILL HAS ITS ISSUES. AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE MADE TONIGHT I SUPPORT, AND VERY SPECIFICALLY THESE. AND ONE WAS MENTIONED AT THE LAST HEARING LAST MONTH. ONE OF A COMMUNITY LIAISON. AND I'M HERE TO SPECIFICALLY EVACUATING AN ARTS AND ---ADVOCATING AN ARTS AND CULTURAL COMMUNITY LIAISON. AND ALSO TO REMIND YOU ALL AND ENCOURAGE THIS COUNCIL TO PROACTIVELY AND DIRECTLY, SWIFTLY ADDRESS THE CITY'S ISSUE OF INADEQUATE WAGES. I THINK IT WAS NELSON MANDELA WHO SAID WE SHOULD LOOK AT DALLAS' EXAMPLE OF WHY IT ATTRACTS BLACK PEOPLE, AFRICAN-AMERICANS TO THAT CITY EMPLOYMENT-WISE. AND OBVIOUSLY ONE OF THE REASONS IS BECAUSE THE ISSUE OF WAGES. THAT AN ISSUE. AND ALSO JUST HIRING PRACTICES. WHY ARE THERE SO MANY RACIST, STILL RACIST AND BIASED HIRING PRACTICES STILL GOING ON IN THE CITY. BY THAT I MEAN I ACTUALLY WENT AND APPLIED FOR A POSITION AT ONE OF AUSTIN'S FOUR STAR HOTELS FOR A CHEF'S POSITION, AND I'VE BEEN TRAINED, AND I WAS SITTING IN THE BACKFILLING OUT AN APPLICATION, AND PEOPLE WERE WALKING BY, HOTEL STAFF WERE WALKING BY SAYING HI, ARE YOU

APPLYING FOR A JOB, YEAH, GREAT. ONE WOMAN WALKS BY AND SAYS ARE YOU APPLYING AND I'M LIKE YEAH. SHE IS LIKE OH, GOOD, WE NEED MORE HOUSEKEEPING STAFF. AND I KIND OF LOOKED UP AND I'M LIKE, WELL, I DON'T DO THAT AND THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M HERE FOR. THEN I HAD ANOTHER FRIEND TELL ME TONIGHT HE WENT TO THE CITY TO APPLY FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION AT ONE OF THE LIBRARIES AND THE PERSON WHO WAS ADMINISTERING THE APPLICATIONS AND SOMEONE SUGGESTED TO HIM HE APPLY FOR ONE OF THE CUSTODIAL POSITIONS. THIS IS THE YEAR 2005, AND YET WE'RE STILL -- BLACK PEOPLE ARE STILL BEING LOOKED AT AND EXPECTED TO TAKE POSITIONS AND WAGES THAT ARE ON THE SAME SCALE AND -- OF THE 1940s, IT SEEMS, OR SOMEHOW, SOMETIME BEFORE CIVIL RIGHTS. AND THESE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS THAT ARE STILL GOING ON IN THIS CITY THAT WE WE NEEDED THE FORUMS THAT WE HAD AND ALSO WHY WE'RE HERE TODAY. AND ONE OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT IS A CONCERN ESPECIALLY FOR ME, AND I'M QUITE SURE OF OTHERS THAT HAS BEEN ECHOED TONIGHT HAS BEEN THE ISSUE OF THE CITY'S JUSTICE SYSTEM. I'M NOT SURE IF ANY OF YOU ARE AWARE THAT THERE ARE MORE WOMEN OF COLOR WHO ARE FINED, GIVEN HIGHER FINES AND LONGER SENTENCES FOR MINOR OFFENSES, EVEN TRAFFIC-RELATED CHARGES THAN MEN WHO ARE CHARGED WITH MORE SERIOUS CRIMES AND EVEN JAIL-RELATED OFFENSES. [BUZZER SOUNDING] THAT'S WHAT I REALLY WOULD LIKE YOU -- SOME OF THE THINGS I WOULD LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT MORE CLOSELY AND ADDRESS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. DR. FLOYD DAVIS. [APPLAUSE] WELCOME, DR. DAVIS. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY DONALD WILLIAMS. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

MR. MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, MADAME CITY MANAGER, MR. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, NOW, I HAD A PERSON TO PUT O'CLOCK ON HOW LONG IT TOOK ME TO ACKNOWLEDGE EACH OF YOU SO THOSE MINUTES I'M HOPING ADMINISTRATIVELY WILL BE RESTORED TO MY TIME. [LAUGHTER]

Mayor Wynn: YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS AND COUNTING, DR.

DAVIS.

THANK YOU. WE HAVE A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY HERE TO MAKE SOME CHANGES, AND IN BIOLOGY WE ALWAYS CALLED IT METAMORPHOSIS WHERE WE TRANSFERRED FROM ONE FORM OF ORGANISM INTO SOMETHING THAT'S MORE SURVIVABLE AND PERHAPS IN NATURE ENVIRONMENTALLY IT MIGHT BE MORE BENEFICIAL. I'M GOING TO TALK AS FAST AS I CAN, THEREFORE I WON'T GET A CHANCE TO ELABORATE ON ANY OF THESE POINTS. BUT ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT I CERTAINLY WANT US TO CONSIDER UNDER THE COMMITTEE'S PLAN IS EDUCATION. YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS A STUDENT AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, DR. MILLMAN WAS ONE OF MY PROFESSORS. AND HE ALWAYS MADE A STATEMENT: EDUCATION IS THE TRANSMISSION OF THE CULTURE. THAT'S A NICE STATEMENT, BUT IT'S A VERY CRITICAL AND A VERY DANGEROUS EFFORT FOR US IF WE FAIL TO ACCOMMODATE THE NEEDS OF EDUCATION. IT MEANS THAT WE HAVE TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF PROBLEMS, IT'S EDUCATION THAT SOLVES THOSE PROBLEMS. MAYBE ONE FORM OF EDUCATION HAS TO BE EXPEDITED, LIKE PERHAPS AN APPRENTICE SHIP PROGRAM. LOOKING AT THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WE HAVE TODAY, THESE WOULD BE INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD NOT BE PAID THE HIGHEST SALARY, BUT THEY WOULD BE EXPOSED TO THE GREATEST TECHNOLOGY. AND WHEN THEY LEAVE HERE, THEY WOULD BE READY TO GO TO WORK PRODUCTIVELY AND MAKE CLINIC. THE OTHER HAS TOWARD WITH QUANTIFIABLE ACCOUNTABILITY. QUANTIFIABLE ACCOUNTABILITY. AND WHAT WE'RE SAYING THERE IS IT'S ALL RIGHT TO SAY I FEEL GOOD TODAY, BUT IT'S BETTER TO SAY I AM WELL TODAY. IN THAT REGARD, IT'S ALL RIGHT TO SAY WE ARE ACCOMPLISHING SOMETHING BY COMING UP WITH A LOT OF LITTLE VARIATIONS ON A THEME OF EDUCATION, WHEN IN FACT WE ARE MISSING THE MARK A GREAT DEAL BECAUSE WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM. I WOULD ADMONISH THE COMMITTEE TO BROADEN ITS CONCLUSIONS TO POOR PEOPLE, TO OLDER PEOPLE, THEY ARE THE ONES WHO FEEL THE HUNGER IN THEIR GUT WHEN THERE'S NOTHING TO EAT. OR HOUSES WITH LEAKING ROOFS WHEN THEY HAVE NO JOBS. OR EVEN A SENSE OF ACCOMMODATION BY SOCIETY BECAUSE THEY

ARE IDENTIFIED AS HUMAN BEINGS AND NOT AS SOMETHING TO BE DISCARDED. I WOULD BE MORE PLEASED AND MORE ENCOURAGED IF INSTEAD OF THE ISSUES HAVING BEEN PUT FORTH AS RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGE, THAT THE ANATOMY OF CHANGE NEEDED TO BE INCLUDED IN THE REPORT. IF A IS NOT ACCEPTABLE, THEN WHAT DO WE DO TO MAKE A B? THIS IS THROUGHOUT OUR SYSTEM. WE ARE A UNIQUE CULTURE. WE ARE SO POPULAR THAT EVERYBODY ASSUMES THAT EVERYONE IS FAMILIAR WITH OUR HISTORY. AND FOR THAT REASON THE STATE OF TEXAS DOESN'T INCLUDE VERY MUCH ABOUT BLACK HISTORY IN THE TEXTBOOKS. [BUZZER SOUNDING] AS A CONSEQUENCE -- THE 30 SECONDS START NOW? [LAUGHTER] I HOLD YOU ACCOUNTABLE. [LAUGHTER] WELL, THE BEST OF THE STORY HAS YET TO BE TOLD. AND MUCH OF IT WILL COME OUT IN THE WORK THAT'S BEING DONE BY THE COMMITTEE. AND I WANT TO COMMEND THEM FOR THE WORK THEY'VE DONE, AND IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT I CAN DO TO HELP, I STAND BY. BUT PRESS FORWARD WITH THAT ACCOUNTABILITY BECAUSE ABSENT OF ACCOUNTABILITY, YOU'RE WASTING YOUR TIME. WILL YOU DO IT AGAIN. AND AS THE OLD EXPRESSION GOES, HE WHO FORGETS THE PAST -- I'M SURE YOU KNOW THE REST OF IT. THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, DR. DAVIS. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: DONALD WILLIAMS. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. YOU WILL BE STOLD FOLLOWED BY STEFAN RAY.

GOOD EVENING, MR. MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, CITY MANAGER, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO TAKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXTEND A GREETING TO EVERYONE WHO DECIDED TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS SESSION. MY NAME IS DONALD WILLIAMS. I AM A CITIZEN OF AUSTIN. I'M ALSO EMPLOYED BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN AS A SOLID WASTE OPERATOR WITH A JOB THAT I APPRECIATE AND ENJOY PERFORMING. IN THAT CAPACITY, I DEVOTE MY SERVICES TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN WITH LOYALTY BECAUSE I THINK THAT AUSTIN IS A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE IN. I SUPPORT ITEM 136 BECAUSE I THINK THAT AUSTIN CAN BE AN EVEN BETTER PLACE TO LIVE IN. I BELIEVE THAT WHEN ALL SEGMENTS OF SOCIETY ARE GIVEN THE HIGHEST OPPORTUNITIES TO DEVELOP, I HIEVE AND

PROGRESS, THAT'S TO THE BENEFIT OF THE WHOLE SOCIETY AND NOT JUST THAT SEGMENT OF SOCIETY. AND SO THIS ISSUE THAT IS BEING DISCUSSED IS NOT JUST AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN ISSUE, BUT IT'S ALSO AN AUSTIN ISSUE. ONE CHANGE I THINK THAT LITTLE PERTINENT TO PLACE EMPHASIS ON, FOCUS ON IN THE PROPOSALS THAT HAVE BEEN PROVIDED BY RJW SOLUTIONS AND ALSO THE ORGANIZATION, NAACP AND THE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE STOOD WITH THEM IS THE ISSUE OF POLICE AND SAFETY. I HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE POLICE IN ACTION WITHIN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND ONE THING THAT I NOTICE IS THAT THERE SEEMS TO BE A DIFFERENT VIBE, A DIFFERENT FEEL WHEN THAT INTERACTON INVOLVES THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY. AND SO I THINK THAT SOME OF THE THINGS, SOLUTIONS THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED IS VERY PERTINENT THAT THEY ARE FOCUSED ON AND EMPHASIZED ON, SPECIFICALLY THE ASPECT OF CULTURAL SENSITIVITY. HOWEVER, THE ISSUE GOES BEYOND CULTURAL SENSITIVITY BECAUSE DESPITE WHAT CULTURE OFFICERS ARE INTERACTING IN, THE MISSION IS SUPPOSED TO BE THE SAME, THE APPROACH IS SUPPOSED TO BE THE SAME. FINALLY, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT AS AFRICAN-AMERICANS, WHAT WE WANT IS TO BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE BETTERMENT OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE PROGRESS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN -- [BUZZER SOUNDING] -- AND THE BENEFITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN SO THAT WE CAN ALL FEEL THAT WE LIVE IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN AS EQUAL CITIZENS AND WE CAN ALL APPRECIATE EACH OTHER IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, DONALD. [APPLAUSE] THANK YOU DONALD AND FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK. STEFAN RAY. STEFAN RAY SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. PAM THOMPSON. PAM THOMPSON. HOW ABOUT WILLIAM KIRK EVEN DAHL? WELL COME, SIR. IS KURBIN HERE? HE HAS OFFERED HIS MINUTES. YOU WILL HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. MAYOR PRO TEM, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, I WANT TO FIRST EXTEND MY CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU FOR USING YOUR LEADER LEADERSHIP AND YOUR RESOURCES TO EMBRACE THE INITIATIVES THAT HAVE

BROUGHT TO YOU IN THE FORMS OF RECOMMENDATIONS. I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS, ALTHOUGH THEY ARE BEING BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY, THEY ARE IN ESSENCE AUSTIN ISSUES. THE POLICIES THAT WE EXPECT THAT THE COUNCIL WILL PROMULGATE AS A RESULT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL BENEFIT ALL OF AUSTIN. I WANT TO FOCUS YOUR ATTENTION ON THAT ASPECT. THAT AUSTIN BECOMES A BETTER COMMUNITY. THE HISPANIC COMMUNITY BECOMES BETTER. AND THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY BECOMES MORE VIBRANT AS A RESULT OF WHAT WE DO TODAY AS A COMMUNITY. ALSO, ONE OTHER POINT THAT HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED, IN MY OPINION, IS THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE AN ALLOCATION OF FUNDING OR AT LEAST THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE NEEDED RESOURCES AND THE ALLOCATION OF THOSE RESOURCES TO THE POLICIES THAT WE EXPECT THIS COUNCIL TO IMPLEMENT SO THAT PROGRESS CAN BE MADE IN A MEANINGFUL, MEASURABLE AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER. THOSE ARE THE SUBSTANCE -- THAT'S THE SUBSTANCE OF MY COMMENTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND PLEASE CONTINUE TO GIVE US THE BENEFIT OF YOUR STALWART LEADERSHIP. ONE OTHER THING, THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE IS NOT NECESSARILY HERE BUT THROUGH YOU AS COUNCILMEMBERS. AND THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY HAS BEEN VISITED WITH AND HAS SPOKEN. WE ARE LOOKING TO YOU AS ELECTED OFFICIALS TO DO WHAT'S RIGHT AND WHAT'S JUST AND BENEFIT THE AUSTIN COMMUNITY AT LARGE SO THAT WE ALL HAVE A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE AND RAISE OUR FAMILY AND CHILDREN AND EARN A LIVING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] AUSTIN DOLEMEG. WELCOME. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND FOLLOWED BY ROBERT DUBOIS FOLLOWED BY CHARLENE HARRIS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS. I'D JUST LIKE TO START BY SAYING AS A WHITE MAN, I WISH THERE WERE MORE WHITE PEOPLE SPEAKING OUT AGAINST THE RACISM THAT EXISTS IN AUSTIN IN EMPLOYMENT, HOUSING, PARTICULARLY THE A.P.D. [APPLAUSE] I WANT TO MAKE A FEW POINTS. THE FIRST STEPS TOWARDS RECTIFYING THIS DISPARITY IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I

ENCOURAGE ADOPTION OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BUT I SEE MORE DENIAL AND SILENCE. FOR EXAMPLE, WHENEVER A.P.D. KILLS A PERSON OF COLOR, INSTEAD OF OWNING UP, CHIEF OR SOME REP ISSUES A SHALLOW POLICY AND PROCEEDS TO DEFEND THE ACTIONS OF THE OFFICER. IF THE CHIEF IS DEFENDING A BAD APPLE, THEN HE'S A BAD APPLE. [APPLAUSE] I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR -- WE HAVE A SERIOUS RACISM PROBLEM WITH THE A.P.D. TOBY FUTRELL APOLOGIZE FOR WITHDRAWING [INAUDIBLE] BECAUSE A RACIST POLICE FORCE WASN'T INVITED. AGAIN, IF CITY MANAGEMENT AND SENIOR POLICE OFFICIALS DEFEND ON BAD EP ELSE IN BUDGE WILL BE SPOILED AND THERE WILL BE NO TRUST BETWEEN THE COMMUNITY TAKEN CITY. IT'S BAD FOR THE GOOD COPS, BAD FOR THE PEOPLE AND BAD FOR THE CITY AND WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE PUBLICLY WHAT'S GOING ON. [APPLAUSE] D.N.A.DANIELLE'S DEATH SHOULD BE THE LAST STRAW. HOWEVER THE A.P.A. HAS INFLUENCED CAMPAIGN AND POLITICS IN THE CITY, IT'S WIDELY KNOWN, YOU SHOULD BE COMING DOWN PUBLICLY AND HARSHLY ON A.P.D. AT THIS TIME FOR WHAT'S GOING ON ON ALL THE BAD APPLES AND ANYONE WHO DEFENDS THEM. A COUPLE MOVE THINGS, WE SEE A HUGE LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HEALTH CARE IN AUSTIN BOTH WHICH AFFECT DISPRO FORKS NATURELY PEOPLE OF COLOR, BUT INSTEAD WE SEE BUDGETED CUTS TO HEALTH CARE AND AUSTIN TENANTS COUNCIL WHILE THE POLICE KEEP GETTING MORE MONEY FOR RAISES AND TASERS INSTEAD OF MORE HAND CONTROL TECHNIQUES. LASTLY, THE PEOPLE AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PAINSTAKINGLY CRAFTED AND ASSERTED HERE AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF NUMEROUS WATCH DOG GROUPS THAT PUBLIC ELECTRICALLY KEEP YOU ALL VERY WELL INFORMED, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO PROD THE AUSTIN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION INTO ACTION. I STEPPED DOWN AS VICE CHAIR OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS EXHIBITION A FEW MONTHS AGO TO WORK WITH THE A.C.L.U. AND I WAS DISAPPOINTED BY THE LACK OF OVERALL EFFORT AND INVOLVEMENT. BACK IN THE DAY OF GUS GARCIA, OUR HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION WAS AN OUT SPOKEN BODY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS SUCH AS HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT AND FAIR TREATMENT BY THE POLICE. TECHNICALLY IT STILL

SERVES THAT FUNCTION SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE TO YOU
PROD IT INTO MAKING THE RECOMMENDATIONS IT'S
SUPPOSED TO AND INTO TAKING ACTION. THANK YOU VERY
MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THE NEXT SPEAKER IS ROBERT DUBOIS. YOU
WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU, GOOD EVENING, CITY COUNCIL, COMMUNITY.
ONE OF THE ITEMS RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE OFFICIAL
REPORT YOU WILL SEE IS GOING TO BE TO HAVE THE AUSTIN
POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICERS SUBMIT AND PARTICIPATE
IN A PROGRAM OF THE NAACP LIFE COACHING TEAM. THIS
FOCUS WILL BE ON A FEAR, STRESS AND ANXIETY
APPROACH, WHILE INTEGRATING THE LAWS AND
STANDARDS WHICH FORM THE UNDERGIRDING OF LAW
ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES AND ACTIVITIES. CULTURAL
DIVERSITY IS INTEGRATED, BUT IT IS FAR FROM THE MAIN
FOCUS AS IT HAS BEEN IN THE PAST. DIVERSITY CULTURAL
TRAINING HAS NOT WORKED. METHODS OF ADDRESSING
BOTH THOUGHT AND EMOTIONAL BAGGAGE THAT OFFICERS
BRING TO THE FORCE PRIOR TO EVEN SIGNING UP AND ALSO
THE THOUGHT AND EMOTIONAL BAGGAGE THAT THEY
DEVELOP WHILE BEING OFFICERS IS THE PRIMARY FOCUS
OF THE NAACP LIFE COACHING TEAM. IN MY OPINION, THEY --
THE A.P.D. OFFICERS PARTICIPATING IN THE FACILITATION
OF NAACP LIFE COACHING TEAM IS A MUST. OTHER THINGS
HAVE NOT WORKED. THIS IS A QUESTION OF PHYSICAL LIFE
AND PHYSICAL DEATH. KATHY RAMBO, A NIECE OF DR.
CHARLES URDY, WHO IS RETIRED NOW, A LONG-STANDING
MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL IN THE PAST, AND I AM A
MEMBER OF THE NAACP LIFE COACHING TEAM. I AM A
LICENSED ATTORNEY, BEEN LICENSED HERE IN TEXAS SINCE
1984 AND I'M BOTH A CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LAWYER. I'VE
ENCOUNTERED MANY, MANY CONFRONTATIONS THROUGH
MY CLIENTS AS WELL AS MYSELF PERSONALLY WITH THE
AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAVE A WONDERFUL
OPPORTUNITY HERE. I THINK WE MUST GIVE THE
COMMUNITY A CHANCE TO COME IN AND SHARE WITH THESE
OFFICERS. I AM A SON TO ONE OF THE CORE MINISTERS
THAT HELPED LEAD THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT OR THAT
SEGMENT OF IT THAT WAS ACTUALLY STARTED WITH THE
ROSA PARKS BUS BOYCOTT IN ALABAMA. BORN IN

TUSKEGEE, ALABAMA. MOVED TO ALABAMA WITH MY DAD, ROBERT EARL DUBOIS, JR., TRANSITIONED IN 1998, WAS CALLED THE LIEUTENANT OF KINGS. [BUZZER SOUNDING] THEY HAD A DREAM. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY HERE. WE NEED YOUR HELP AS A COMMUNITY TO BRING THIS ABOUT. THIS CHALLENGE IS NOT A FINGER POINTING. THIS IS NOT SAYING AUSTIN POLICE OFFICERS NEED LIFE COACHING AND THE COMMUNITY DOESN'T. OUR LEADER, NAACP PRESIDENT LOCAL HERE IN AUSTIN, NELSON LENDER, HAS INCORPORATED AS A STANDING MEMBER OF THE NAACP A LIFE COACHING HEALTH COMMITTEE SUCH THAT WE CAN ASSIST BRINGING INDIVIDUALS OF THE BLACK COMMUNITY TO ADDRESS THEIR THOUGHTS AND THEIR EMOTIONAL BAGGAGE AS WELL. THIS IS NOT JUST A FINGERPOINTING VENTURE. WE ASK, I PERSONALLY ASK TO TRY TO BRING ABOUT A DREAM OF LOVE. I LOVE Y'ALL HERE. FOLKS KNOW ME ABOUT LOVING ALL KAOEUPDZ OF THINGS AND PEOPLE. LET'S LOVE EACH OTHER. GIVE IT A CHANCE. EXPLORE IT. WE CAN DO THIS. LET'S DO THIS THING. I LOVE YOU, THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. DUBOIS. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: CHARLENE HARRIS, WELCOME, MA'AM, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND FOLLOWED BY RONALD REED WHO WILL BE FOLLOWED BY SCOTTIE IVORY.

TO THE COUNCIL I ASK YOU TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION WHAT THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY HAS REQUESTED. BECAUSE THE CITIZENS LISTENING FROM THE OUTSIDE ARE SAYING HERE WE ARE WITH THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. NOW, WHAT IS THE COUNCIL GOING TO DO? ARE THEY GOING TO PUT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE DESK AND SAY HEY, WE LOOKED AT IT AND REVIEWED IT? WE DON'T SEE ANY CAUSE FOR US TO BRING ABOUT THIS CHANGE. WE ARE -- WE AS A COMMUNITY, BLACK, HISPANIC, ARE CRYING OUT FOR HELP, AND YOU ALL ARE SERVING ON THIS COUNCIL AND IGNORING OUR CRY. ALL THE VOICES THAT THE YOUNG BLACK MAN AND HISPANIC MEN HAVE GOTTEN KILLED OVER THE YEARS, QUITE A FEW IN THE MONTH OF JUNE. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S RETALIATION MONTH TORE OR WHAT'S IT CALLED. IF THAT YOUNG BROTHER HAD BEEN WHITE WITH MONEY AND BACKBONE WHERE WOULD THE KIND OF SUPPORT THOSE

PARENT HAVE GOT AND WHAT TYPE OF REPRESENTATION WOULD THOSE PARENTS A GOTTEN. ALSO TO BRING INTO CONSIDERATION THIS NICE BIG BUILDING. HOW COME YOU DIDN'T CONSIDER BUILDING IT IN THE CENTER OF EAST AUSTIN. THAT WOULD HAVE BROUGHT, I'M SAYING I'M TRYING TO BRING IN DIVERSITY. I'M TRYING TO SLOW THE STUS THAT WE ARE TRYING TO MAKE A CHANGE. IN OTHER WORDS, WHY IS IT THAT EVERYBODY HERE IN THIS ROOM ARE TAXPAYERS, BLACKS, HISPANICS, WHITES, BUT YET STILL WHEN THE CORPORATE BUSINESS OF AMERICA DECIDES TO MAKE A BUSINESS HERE IN AUSTIN, THE EAST SIDE COMMUNITY ARE FORGOTTEN. TO ME I WOULD ASK YOU TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION A ROTATION TERM BECAUSE ALL THE [INAUDIBLE] AREAS HAVE RECEIVED THE BEST BENEFITS OF BUSINESSES HERE COMING TO AUSTIN. AND ALL THE OUT AREAS. HERE IN EAST AUSTIN WHAT DO WE HAVE? WE HAVE RESTAURANTS, THESE FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS AND THESE BANK CHANGES. BUT YET YOU STILL ARE SAYING YOU DON'T SEE WHERE WE MADE IT. WE ARE HUMAN BEINGS JUST LIKE YOU ARE SITTING BEHIND THAT PODIUM. WE ARE CRYING FOR HELP AND ASKING FOR RECOGNITION AND WE NEED THAT RECOGNITION. DO YOU HAVE ANY FEELING, DO YOU HAVE ANY EFFECT THAT YOU ALL -- YOU ALL'S MOTHER THAT LOST HER SON DANIELLE ROCHA, NO STRUGGLE, NO FIGHT, BUT YET STILL BECAUSE SHE'S HISPANIC AND DON'T HAVE THE BEST FINE ATTORNEYS, SHE WON'T BE EVEN GETTING REPRESENTATION SO SHE'S GOT TO GO THROUGH THE SYSTEM AND FIGHT. FIGHT WITH WHAT? WHERE IS THE MONEY? WHERE IS THE RIGHT REPRESENTATION FOR HERE? IF THAT YOUNG MAN HAD BEEN RICH, HAD THE BACKING OF A GOOD FATHER TO HAVE THE INCOME TO GET THE BEST ATTORNEYS IN THE WORLD, IT WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN NO QUESTION, WELL, WE'RE GOING TO DEFINITELY INVESTIGATE THIS SITUATION AND WE'RE GOING TO FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENED WITH THIS OFFICER. WHY DID THIS OFFICER DO SUCH A THING. NO, YOU BETTER REEVALUATE YOUR SITUATION. WE NEED MEDICAL THINGS. WHY -- AND OUR YOUNG BLACK MEN AND HISPANIC MEN BEING PULLED OVER BY THE COPS. MAJORITY WHITE WHEN WHITE COPS DOING IT. YOU DON'T HEAR ABOUT HISPANIC FOR BLACK COPS IN NORTHWEST AUSTIN STOPPING A WHITE BOY WHEN

THIS INCIDENT HAPPENED. I'VE ASKED CHIEF TPHAO E ON HOW MANY WHITE BOYS HAVE GOTTEN KILLED. MAYOR WILL WYNN, AAPOLOGIZE. TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION YOU'RE SITTING UP THERE, YOU CAN MAKE A CHANGE. AND ONE THING YOU CAN DO IS BY THE COP, THE OFFICER SCHROEDER THAT KILLED THIS YOUNG HISPANIC COP -- YOUNG HISPANIC BROTHER, WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO TAKE A STAND? SRAEGSEVALUATION THE SITUATION HARD. WE DESERVE EVERY RIGHT TO JUSTICE IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. WE DESERVE EVERY BENEFIT. WE DESERVE MONEY IN OUR BANK ACCOUNT TO ENJOY SOME OF THE FINER THINGS OF LIFE. WE'RE STRUGGLING. WE'RE HAVING A HARD TIME. HOW CAN WE FIGHT A SYSTEM THAT'S BUILT WITH PEOPLES WITH INCOME WAY BEYOND MEANS. I COME TO YOU FOR MERCY. I COME TO YOU FOR UNDERSTANDING. I COME TO YOU TO HAVE A HEART. THOSE PEOPLE WHO CAME BACK HERE FOR THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN, THEY CAME WITH A CAUSE, A JUST CAUSE. DON'T OVERLOOK OUR CAUSE AND DON'T OVERLOOK THE YOUNG PEOPLE. ALSO ANOTHER THING YOU CAN DO IS OFFER IN THE SCHOOL SYSTEM, ESPECIALLY YOU KNOW THE BLACKS AND HISPANICS ARE BEING HIT AGAINST, MAYBE YOU NEED TO TEACH THEM HOW WHEN A POLICE OFFICER STOP THEM AND KNOW WHAT THEY CAN DO AND THEIR RIGHTS AS A YOUNG BLACK MAN AND HISPANIC MAN HOW TO APPROACH A WHITE OFFICER. BECAUSE SOMEWHERE IT'S FAILING THE SYSTEM. IT'S FAILING. AND WE SHOULD KNOW HOW TO BE ABLE TO COME THE CITY OF AUSTIN WHEN WE WANT TO BRANCH OUT IN BUSINESS, WHEN WE WANT TO BE A CORPORATE OPERATION, WHEN WE WANT TO ALSO INVEST IN OUR PEOPLES AND YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO COME INTO EAST AUSTIN AND MAKE YOUR BUSINESS IN EAST AUSTIN. WE HAVEN'T KILLED ANYBODY. WE'RE NOT MURDERERS. WE'RE NOT THIEVES. WE'RE NOT LOWER CLASS CITIZENS. WE ARE JUST THE SAME PERSONS JUST LIKE YOU AND EVERYBODY ELSE IN THIS WORLD. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] RONALD REED. WELCOME BACK, MR. REED. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. FOLLOWED BY SCOTTIE IVORY, FOLLOWED BY ROSS SMITH. >

THIS LETTER TALKS DIRECTLY TO AREAS OF THE SUMMARY

OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE COMMUNITY FORUMS FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO THANK CITY COUNCIL FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. SINCE I ONLY HAVE THREE MINUTES, LET ME GET TO IT. HOWEVER I AM PLEASED WITH THE EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SECTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE TPOLOGS COMMENTS. I THINK THE HOUSING MARKET SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DICTATE ITS OWN MARKET AND GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT DICTATE TO PEOPLE, ANY PEOPLE WHERE THEY CAN AND CANNOT LIVE BY JUGGLING TAX DOLLARS. NOR SHOULD GOVERNMENT CONTROL WHERE PEOPLE BUY AND SELL LAND BECAUSE WHEN I START BUYING MY LAND IN THE HILLCREST, I DON'T WANT ANY -- HILL COUNTRY, I DON'T WANT ANY PROBLEMS. AS FOR THE EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEVELOPMENT SECTION, JUST MAKE SURE BUSINESS IS PLAYING BY THE RULES AND I CAN TAKE CARE OF THE REST. WHERE I HAVE THE MOST TROUBLE IS IN THE ARTS AND ENTERTAINMENT SECTION. THIS SECTION HAD ME ASKING QUESTIONS SUCH AS WHO ARE WE SEEKING APPROVAL FROM AND WHO ARE WE WANTING TO BE EMBRACED BY. THERE ARE NO BLACK VINEYARDS IN AUSTIN IN IF NOT WHY CAN WE NOT CREATE OUR OWN. DO WE NOT HAVE THAT MEANS. ARE THERE IN BLACKS THAT OWN SERVERS? DO WE NOT HAVE THE BUSINESS SENSE TO ALWAYS PURCHASE INSURANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST UNFORTUNATE EVENTS LIKE FIRES AND FLOODS. BLACKS IN AUSTIN HAVE A HIGHER INCOME AND MORE EDUCATED AND WORLD POVERTY RATES THAN MOST IN TEXAS AND PROBABLY MOST ACROSS THE UNITED STATES AS WELL. HERE WE ARE ASKING THIS CITY COUNCIL TO ASSIST US IN PRESERVING OUR CULTURE. I AM SORRY, BUT TOO MANY KNEE GROSS, AS MY GRANDFATHER WOULD SAY, HAVE DIED FOR RIGHTS AND I PERSONALLY AM NOT ABOUT TO DISHONOR OR SACRIFICE BY ASKING FOR HELP TO PRESERVE IT. I THINK THE LACKING OF A BLACK CULTURE AND SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN AUSTIN RESTS SOLELY ON OUR SHOULDERS. THAT IS WHY THE LAST MONTH SOME OF THE BLACK LEADERS IN THIS TOWN STOOD HERE AND SAID HOW THIS CITY COUNCIL NEEDS TO REFLECT ON HISTORY WHEN MAKING THIS DECISIONS ABOUT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. AS I SEE IT, IT IS NOT HOW THIS CITY COUNCIL REFLECTS ON HISTORY THAT MATTERS, IT IS WHAT

WE, BLACK AUSTINITES, HAVE LEARNED FROM HISTORY AND HOW WE APPLY WHAT WE'VE LEARNED TOWARD PROMOTING OUR OWN CULTURE AND WAY OF LIFE THAT MATTER MOST. WHAT I'VE LEARNED FROM HISTORY, FROM THE PILGRIMS WHO SET OUT FROM ENGLAND LEARNED THEIR FREEDOM IS WORTH ANY RISK. FROM THE AFRICANS SOLD INTO SLAVERY, EVIL COMES IN WHITE AND BLACK. AS THEY JOURNEYED ACROSS THE OCEANS, ONE USED TO BE MENTALLY READY AND PHYSICALLY STRONG TO SURVIVE. FROM NATIVE AMERICANS TO ONCE OWNED THIS LAST, PRESERVING AND PASSING ON ONE'S CULTURE TO FUTURE GENERATIONS IS WORTH ANY COST. FROM OUR MEXICAN BORDERS WHEN THEY FOUGHT FROM INDEPENDENCE FROM SPAIN, I LEARNED THAT THE COMBAT AND OPPRESSION YOU MUST STAND UNITED AND FIGHT AS ONE. FROM OUR JEWISH FRIENDS WHO DIED IN CONCENTRATION CAMPS ALWAYS LEARNED TO NEVER PAY THAT HIGH A PRICE AGAIN. FROM JAPANESE-AMERICANS PLACED IN CONCENTRATION CAMPS IN THE UNITED STATES, I LEARNED TO FORGIVE BUT NEVER FORGET. FROM WHITE AMERICAN I LEARNED HOW PLENTIFUL THIS LAND IS AND FOR THE PLIGHT OF BLACKS ONE RACE CAN AFFECT CHANGE ALL OVER THE WORLD. NOWHERE HAVE I LEARNED TO ALWAYS ASKING FOR HELP WHEN IT COMES TO PRESERVING ONE'S CULTURE AND WAY OF LIFE. WHATEVER CITY COUNCIL DECIDES -- WHATEVER ROUTE THE CITY COUNCIL DECIDES TO TAKE I ASK FOR ONE THING. WHATEVER IS DONE IS DONE ON BEHALF OF ALL MINORITIES BECAUSE TO BE BLACK IS TO BE UNIVERSAL. IN CLOSING AND TO SUMMARIZE MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, I'D LIKE THE EDUCATION SECTION, THE HOUSING MARKET SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO CONTROL ITSELF, EVERY EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO ENSURE BUSINESS IS PLAYING FAIR LISTEN AND I WILL LEAVE THE ARTS AND ENTERTAINMENT SECTION TO US. AS FOR THE POLICE INTERACTION SECTION, I HAVE ONE COMMENT AND IF THEY DON'T GET THEIR STUFF STRAIGHT, FIRE THE WHOLE DAMN LOT OF THEM STARTING WITH THE PERSON IN CHARGE. AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK THIS AFTERNOON. I AM RONALD SCOTT REED AND I AM BLACK AND I AM PROUD. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. REED. WELCOME, MS. IVORY.

YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

GOOD EVENING, COUNCILMEMBER, IT'S GOOD TO BE HERE AND I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME THE NEW COUNCILMEMBERS. IT'S GOOD TO HAVE YOU ON. AS YOU KNOW ME, I'VE BEEN IN THE -- WORKING FOR THE COMMUNITY. I DON'T KNOW MANY PEOPLE HERE TODAY. YOU KNOW, THE ONES THAT'S BEEN HERE ON THIS COMMITTEE, I DON'T KNOW SOME OF THEM I DON'T KNOW. BUT -- AND BECAUSE I HAVE -- I GUESS I'M NOT IN THE EDUCATED WORLD, I'M A SERVER. AND I SERVE THE PEOPLE AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO -- I WANT TO SAY TO YOU EACH ONE OF YOU, YOU ARE ELECTED CITY-WIDE AND I EXPECT SOMETHING FROM EACH ONE OF YOU IN OUR COMMUNITY. NOT JUST YOUR COMMUNITY, BUT ALL -- EVERY ONE OF THEM. JUST LIKE WE GET OUT THERE AND PUT THOSE SIGNS, YOU KNOW, MY YARD IS ALWAYS PULL OF SIGNS, THE ONES RUNNING FOR COUNCILMAN. AND I'VE BEEN DOING THAT FOR YEARS. SO WE NEED YOUR HELP. BUT ALSO I WANT TO TURN AROUND TO MY PEOPLE, MY PEOPLE, WE GOT A LOT OF WORK TO DO. THE COUNCIL CAN'T DO EVERYTHING FOR US. WE DON'T HAVE TO LEARN -- WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LEARN TO REACH OUT TO ONE ANOTHER. GET OUT OF OUR BOXES, OUR COMFORT ZONE AND REACH OUT AND PICK UP THAT CHILD OR THAT PERSON THAT'S LOW. BECAUSE I DON'T CARE HOW EDUCATED YOU GET, I DON'T CARE HOW HIGH YOU GET, YOU'RE NEVER GOING TO GET NO HIGHER THAN THAT ONE DOWN HERE. [APPLAUSE] SO WHEN YOU -- YOU BRING THE OTHERS UP WITH YOU. AND THAT'S THE WAY YOU HELP YOUR COMMUNITY. REACH DOWN, DON'T -- BECAUSE EVEN YOU KNOW YOURSELVES SOME OF THE EDUCATORS, THEY WILL WALK WITH THEIR NOSE IN THE AIR BUT THAT'S NOT GOING TO HELP YOU. THAT'S JUST HELPING YOU. YOU GOT A PROBLEM. BUT YOU NEED TO REACH OUT TO ONE ANOTHER AND HELP. COUNCIL CANNOT DO EVERYTHING WHAT WE NEED DONE. IT'S A LOT OF POWER WITH THE UNITY AND WE COME TOGETHER AND REALLY WORK TOGETHER. NOW, AS FAR AS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, YOU MIGHT NOTICE I DON'T GET ALL EXCITED ABOUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BECAUSE WE MEET EACH -- EACH SECTOR HAS A COMMANDER AND THE COMMUNITY IS TO MEET WITH THE

COMMANDER AND VOICE YOUR PROBLEMS. AND I VOICE MY PROBLEM EVERY MONTH. AND WE CALL FOR THE COMMUNITY, EACH NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND EVERYONE TO COME AND MEET WITH US, BUT WE CANNOT GET ANYBODY TO ATTEND. LET'S NOT WAIT UNTIL SOMETHING HAPPENS BEFORE WE TAKE CARE OF THE PROBLEM. WE CAN BE PROACTIVE RATHER THAN REACTIVE. SO I'M TELLING YOU TODAY, LET'S ALL COME TOGETHER. AND WHEN YOU SEE SOME CHILDREN ON THE STREETS NOT GOING TO SCHOOL, PULL THEM IN. SAY YOU NEED TO BE IN SCHOOL. WHY AREN'T YOU IN SCHOOL. GET INVOLVED AND GET YOUR FEET AND HANDS DIRTY. DON'T BE AFRAID TO GET DIRTY. GET OUT THERE AND WORK. THAT IS WHAT IT ALL CALLS FOR. [BUZZER SOUNDING] I WANT TO THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. IVORY. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. IVORY, YOU LOOK WELL. RALPH SMITH, I'M SORRY YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW THAT.

THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I WANT TO FOLLOW UP ON SOMETHING MR. REED AND MS. IVORY SAID TOO. I'M IN MARKETING. I SELL PEOPLE AND IDEAS FOR A LIVING. AND FRANKLY, THE BLACK COMMUNITY IS YEARS BEHIND IN MARKETING THEIR BUSINESSES TO THE REST OF AUSTIN. I DON'T KNOW OF ANY BUSINESS IN THIS TOWN TODAY THAT CAN SURVIVE SIMPLY BY SELLING TO THE PEOPLE IN ITS OWN NEIGHBORHOOD. HAVE YOU TO SELL TO OAK HILL, HAVE YOU TO SELL TO ROUND ROCK, YOU HAVE TO SELL TO PFLUGERVILLE, TO WEST AUSTIN, TO SOUTH AUSTIN, THAT'S HOW YOU GET ENOUGH CASH TO KEEP YOUR BUSINESS AFLOAT. AND FRANKLY, NO AMOUNT OF ADVERTISING BY THE CITY, WHO ARE PRIMARILY GEARED TO ADVERTISING TO CHICAGO AND BOSTON TO BRING PEOPLE HERE, NO AMOUNT OF ADVERTISING BY THE CITY CAN MAKE UP FOR WHAT THE BUSINESSES ARE NOT DOING THEMSELVES. AND NO AMOUNT OF STARTUP FUNDS FROM THE CITY AND STARTUP HELP CAN KEEP A BUSINESS GOING IF THEY ARE NOT MARKETING TO DRAW IN CONSUMER CASH FROM ALL OVER THE CITY. I KNOW THIS WORKS. BOYD VANS BEEFED UP THE WEBSITE FOR PRO ARTS COLLECTIVE A COUPLE YEARS AGO AFTER PEOPLE TOLD HIM YOU PUT ON GREAT SHOWS BUT YOU DO NO MARKETING AND PEOPLE AREN'T FILLING THE

SEATS. HE BEEFED IT UP AND SURE ENOUGH PEOPLE STARTED FILLING THE SEATS. I WENT ONLINE TODAY TO SEE WHAT NACOA ANVIL AGER WERE ADVERTISING THAT AREN'T SHOWING UP IN PLACES LIKE THE CHRONICLE. I DIDN'T GET ANYWHERE BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT ONLINE. THEY DON'T HAVE WEBSITES. THEY ARE SIMPLY NOT MEETING THE BASIC TREASURE HOLD FOR MARKETING A BUSINESS THAT WE HAVE IN OUR SOCIETY TODAY. AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE CITY CAN'T FIX. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE BLACK COMMUNITY HAS TO FIX. I HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS THAT I'D LIKE TO SUGGEST ABOUT MIDTOWN LIFE, IF I HAVE THE TIME. THE FIRST ONE IS THERE IS -- AT THE BACKYARD TODAY, THERE WAS A BENEFIT FOR THE EMPLOYEES OF THE OASIS. NO GOVERNMENT ORGANIZED IT. A BUNCH OF PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITY PUT IT TOGETHER. WHY IS NO ONE COME FORWARD TO ORGANIZE A BENEFIT FOR THE EMPLOYEES OF MIDTOWN LIFE? ANOTHER QUESTION, FOLLOWING UP ON WHAT MR. REED SAID, IF THERE'S THIS MARKET OF PEOPLE, BLACK PROFESSIONALS WHO WANT PLACES TO GO, HOW COME NO ONE HAS STEPPED FORWARD AND SAID I'M GOING TO ORGANIZE -- I'M GOING TO SET UP ANOTHER NIGHTCLUB AND COMPETE WITH MID MIDTOWN AND SEE IF I CAN MARKET AWAY SOME OF THEIR CUSTOMERS AND GET A PIECE OF THE ACTION. THAT'S HOW THE AMERICAN SYSTEM WORKS. THAT AGENTS QUESTION THE BLACK COMMUNITY NEEDS TO ASK THEMSELVES. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. SMITH. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: I SEE THAT PAM THOMPSON HAS ARRIVED. WE CALLED YOUR NAME EARLIER. YOU'RE WELCOME TO SPEAK.

THAT'S REALLY KIND OF YOU TO LET US HAVE A SAY HERE. I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME YOU TO THE COUNCIL AND I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT PEOPLE ARE REALLY CONCERNED WITH THE SHOOTING OF DANIELLE ROCHA. I'M FROM LOUISIANA, BUT I THINK PEOPLE THERE UNDER WHEN YOU GET SHOT IN THE BACK THAT SOMETHING HAS GONE TERRIBLY WRONG. AND I THINK THAT YOU SHOULD DO SOMETHING. I LIVE IN 78741 AND HE WAS SHOT IN 78743. THAT'S BARELY THREE MILES FROM MY HOUSE. AND WE SHOP, YOU KNOW, CLOSER TO THE INTERSTATE FROM

THERE, BUT I DON'T KNOW, I HAVE A QUESTION, AND IT'S A SERIOUS QUESTION I'D REALLY LIKE FOR YOU TO ANSWER IT. HOW MANY STOPS HAVE BEEN MADE IN THAT ZIP CODE WITHOUT THE CAMERAS ON? I REALLY THINK YOU OUGHT TO CHECK IT OUT. A LITTLE GIRL AT THE HEARING THE OTHER NIGHT SAID YOU KNOW ME, I'M IN YOUR SYSTEM, BUT YOU DON'T HAVE MY PICTURE BECAUSE I WAS SHOT ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREET. SO I REALLY, REALLY WANT YOU TO HAVE A SERIOUS CONCERN FOR THIS. AND I MEAN IT BECAUSE THERE WERE -- THERE WERE SO MANY QUESTIONS THAT WEREN'T ANSWERED THE OTHER EVENING. AND IT'S JUST NOT RIGHT. IT IS JUST NOT RIGHT. I WATCHED ON THE T.V., ON THE NEWS THE TRAINING OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND YOU KNOW, THEY HAVE THOSE BOXING THINGS. IT'S A BROWN DUMMY. NOW, WHY IS THAT? PEOPLE ARE CONDITIONING AGGRESSION AND HOSTILITY IT'S OKAY TO HIT SOMEONE. NOW, WHEN YOU DO CPR, THAT'S USUALLY A VERY WHITE PERSON. YOU SAVE THE WHITE PEOPLE AND HIT THE BROWN ONES. [APPLAUSE] I'M SORRY, BUT OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME I HAVE SEEN THIS HAPPEN, THE PRACTICES AND POLICIES OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HERE, YOU CONDITION PEOPLE WITH THE WAY THEY ARE TRAINED AND WITH WHAT YOU TELL THEM IS OKAY AND WITH WHERE IT OCCURS. ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY WOULD HELP THE PEOPLE THERE TO FEEL LIKE THEY HAD A PLACE IN THE WORLD. AND I'LL JUST TELL YOU THIS BEFORE I GO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR LETTING ME SPEAK, THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT TO ME. I WAS AT A COMMUNITY FORUM AND A LADY CAME FROM WASHINGTON, D.C. AND SHE WAS GOING TO FUND SOME PROJECTS HERE AND SHE HEARD ABOUT THE MIDTOWN LIVES THING BURN BABY BURN AND SHE SAID WHY WOULD WE WANT TO FUND A RACIST COMMUNITY. I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU ALL TO THINK ABOUT THAT, PLEASE. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: I ALSO CALLED STEPHAN RAY'S NAME EARLIER.

THANK YOU. I WAS HERE AT THE BEGINNING AND I HEARD NELSON LENDER'S RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING A.P.D. AND I PARTICULARLY THINK THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE USE OF SHOOT TO DISABLE AND THE NOT SHOOT TO USE DEADLY FORCE SHOULD BE ONE THAT'S IMPLEMENTED. I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO WITH

THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. I HOPE THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE -- THAT YOU ARE GOING TO VOTE ON THEM AND IMPLEMENT THEM. BUT THAT'S ONLY ONE THAT I THINK SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED AND SHOULD BE VOTED ON, AND I'D LIKE TO ECHO NELSON'S REQUEST FROM THAT AND NUMEROUS OTHER PEOPLE THAT YOU ARE PROBABLY HEARING ON FROM THAT. I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS IMPROVES THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL PEOPLE OF AUSTIN. [APPLAUSE] AND I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT THERE'S AN OLD SAYING SOMETHING LIKE WHEN DRUNKS GO BAD IT REFLECTS UPON US ALL. WHEN WHITE COPS GO BAD, IT REFLECTS UPON WHITE PEOPLE, IT MAKES ME LOOK BAD WHEN A WHITE OFFICER IN UNIFORM GOES OUT AND SHOOTS A YOUNG BROWN PERSON OR A YOUNG BLACK PERSON. AND AS A EUROPEAN-AMERICAN, I'M EMBARRASSED BY MY FELLOW EUROPEAN-AMERICANS IN BLUE THAT ARE DOING THESE THINGS. AND I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT UPON EUROPEAN-AMERICANS TO BE MORE AGGRESSIVE IN POLICING OUR OWN. THAT'S ALL HAVE I TO TO SAY. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. RAY. CAROL HADNOT. CAROL HADNOT SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. THERE SHE IS. TAKE YOUR TIME, CAROL. IS MARY GIVE GIVENS STILL HERE? HOW ABOUT ADRIAN NEALY. CAROL, YOU WILL HAVE NINE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS CAROL HADNOT, AND I AM WITH THE AUSTIN BLACK CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION. ONE OF THE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE STATE OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN AUSTIN, TEXAS. ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS. WE NOT ONLY PARTICIPATED ON THE FOCUS GROUPS, WE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN THE SECTION THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE SOLUTIONS TO COME UP WITH THE 23 POINTS THAT SOLUTION GROUP RJW PRESENTED TO YOU A MONTH AGO. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE -- SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE BLACK CONTRACTORS IN AUSTIN. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK A GREAT DEAL OF TIME IS EVER EXTENDED ON THE FLIGHT OF AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACTORS IN ATTEMPTING TO DO BUSINESS IN THIS CITY. I DO RECALL BACK WHEN THEY

FIRST SET UP THE -- IT'S CALLED DSMBR, BUT BACK IN THE DAY IT WAS OFFICE OF MINORITY BUSINESS AFFAIRS. AT THAT TIME WE HAD 475 AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACTORS. IN THIS CITY. AND LATER ON AS TIME WENT ON, THE NUMBERS DROPPED. AND IT HAS GOTTEN NOW, HERE IT IS YEAR 2005, IT'S DROPPED FROM LAST MONTH FROM 88 TO 70. THAT'S WHAT'S ON THE CITY CERTIFIED VENDORS LIST. BACK IN 1998, THE COUNCIL, THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL HAD ESTABLISHED THESE GOALS FOR THE M.B.E. ORDINANCE. AND OUR NUMBER, OUR PARTICIPATION GOAL WAS 2.6 PARTICIPATION. AND I JUST WANT TO KIND OF TELL YOU WHAT HAS HAPPENED SINCE THAT POINT. AND I KNOW YOU ALL ARE THINKING OH, IT'S PRETTY BLEAK, BUT IT'S NOT THAT BLEAK. BUT BACK IN 1998, THE COUNCIL APPROVED A CONTRACT THAT SPECIFICALLY TARGETED AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACT OH, 'AND THAT WAS TO AID IN HELPING THEM TO INCREASE THEIR PARTICIPATION IN CITY CONTRACTS. OF COURSE, WE ARE NOT POLICY MAKERS, YOU ALL ARE, SO WE DON'T HAVE ANY DECISION IN CONTRACT AWARDS. BUT WE WERE TO HELP THEM TO IMPROVE THEIR COMPETITIVENESS, THEIR CAPACITY CAPABILITIES SO THEY COULD COMPETE IN THE CONSTRUCTION MARKETPLACE. AND WE THINK THAT WE DID THAT. IF YOU WOULD LOOK ON THE CHART, THE STATE OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS ON THE CHART, THE CONSTRUCTION PROCUREMENT AWARDS, BACK AT THE TIME WHEN YOU AWARDED US THIS CONTRACT ON COMPETITIVE BASIS, IT WAS NOT A GRANT. WE HAD TO COMPETE FOR IT. WE WE'RE AT 0.56%. AND I HAVE TO GIVE -- THE NUMBERS COME FROM THE CITY OF AUSTIN. WE GOT THESE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF SMALL AND MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCES. IT HAS GONE FROM 0.56 TO 2003 AND 4 BECAUSE THAT'S THE LAST TIME YOU HAVE PUBLISHED ANY NUMBERS, TO 7.76. AND THAT WAS AS A RESULT OF YOU ALL'S INITIATIVE TO INVEST IN A GROUP OF BUSINESSES THAT'S ON THE DECLINE. AND IT'S NOT JUST ON THE DECLINE IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IT'S NATIONWIDE. HOWEVER, WITH THAT PROGRAM WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TWEAK IT AND MASSAGE AND DO EVERYTHING WE CAN WITH THE DOLLARS THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WORKS. AND WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO DO THAT. WE WANT TO YOU CONTINUE TO FIND THE PROGRAM -- TO FUND THE

PROGRAM TO, HELP THIS PROGRAM TO CONTINUE TO DELIVER SERVICES AND ASSISTANCE TO BLACK CONTRACTORS. IT'S A GOOD PROGRAM. IT IS A MODEL THAT IS BEING IMITATED OR IMITATED ACROSS THE COUNTRY. WE HAVE CALLS FROM SAN FRANCISCO, CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DURHAM, SOUTH CAROLINA, THE CONVENTION CENTER IN ST. LOUIS -- NOT ST. LOUIS, PHILADELPHIA. DURHAM, SOUTH CAROLINA. KENTUCKY. THE HILTON HOTEL IN ST. LOUIS. ALL OF THESE PEOPLE ARE CALLING BECAUSE EVERY YEAR WE GO AND PRESENT HOW THE CITY HAS INVESTED IN US AND WHAT WE HAVE DONE WITH THOSE DOLLARS TO IMPROVE THE CONDITION OF AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACTORS. SO IT'S NEVER PROBABLY TOLD TO YOU ALL, BUT I'M TELLING YOU TONIGHT. WE NEED TO CONTINUE THAT PROGRAM. AND THE FUNDING NEEDS TO BE COMMENSURATE WITH THE SUCCESSFUL DELIVERY OF SERVICES. NOT GIVE US SOME RENT TO GO OUT AND DO CERTIFICATION BECAUSE THAT'S NOT OUR EXPERTISE. WE KNOW WHAT OUR EXPERTISE. THAT'S WHY YOU DIDN'T HEAR ME TALKING ABOUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, EDUCATION, THAT'S NOT OUR EXPERTISE. OUR EXPERTISE IS CONSTRUCTION. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS] >>... WORKING A MAJOR CONSTRUCTION FIRM, THAT FIRM HAS A \$2 MILLION CONTRACT, THEY HAVE TO HAVE INSURANCE AT THAT VALUE, WHY DOES MR. NEELEY WHEN HE ONLY HAVE \$10,000 CONTRACT HAVE TO HAVE THE SAME RISK? I COULD UNDERSTAND IF THAT PARTICULAR TYPE OF WORK THAT HE'S GOING TO DO ON THAT PROJECT NECESSITATE THAT KIND OF RISK. BUT MOST OF THE TIME IT DOES NOT. SO WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT YOU CAN DO TO ADJUST THE INSURANCE AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS. CONTRACT FINANCING. WE KNOW THAT YOU DEPOSIT SOME MONEY IN SOMEBODY'S BANK. WE DON'T KNOW. WE USED TO KNOW IT WAS TEXAS COMMERCE BANK, THEY NO LONGER EXIST, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE YOU ALLOW CONTRACTORS WITH CITY CONTRACTORS TO BORROW AGAINST FOR MOBILIZATION PURPOSES. THAT WOULD HELP THEM, ONCE THEY GET THE CONTRACT THEY NEED TO HAVE MOBILIZATION TO GET IT STARTED. MANY TIMES BECAUSE OF THEIR HIGHER R HIGH RISK, THEY THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO WALK INTO A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION AND BECAUSE OF OTHER CONDITIONS THAT THEY ARE

ENCOUNTER THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO SECURE CREDIT LINES. SO THIS WILL BE SOMETHING THAT YOU ALL COULD TAKE A LOOK AT. THAT WOULD HELP. WE HAVE THE CASH ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM AND I'M NOT GOING TO REHASH THAT. BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY IT'S DEAD. BUT WE WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT THIS INITIATIVE. WE CONCUR WITH THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ISSUE PARTNERING WITH THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. WE KNOW THAT -- THAT WHEN THEY GOT READY TO BUILD THE CSC BUILDING, AND THE INTEL FACILITY, WHICH NEVER HAPPENED, BUT THEY DID START. WE WERE A PART OF THAT. BUT THE BIGGEST PROBLEM WITH THAT WAS THAT IN THE CONTRACT, WHOEVER DEVELOPED THE CONTRACT DID NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THAT THEY ARE GIVEN THESE CORPORATIONS OUR TAX DOLLARS BUT THEY ARE NOT ASKING FOR ANYTHING ELSE IN RETURN. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT WHEN THESE CONTRACTS ARE DEVELOPED THAT YOU LOOK AT EVERY ASPECT THAT WILL IMPACT OUR COMMUNITY. SPECIFICALLY EMPLOYMENT, CONTRACTING, AND PROCUREMENT OPPORTUNITIES.

THE ONLY WAY WE WERE ABLE TO GET ON CSC PROJECT, INTEL, THEY FLEW DOWN THEIR CORPORATE EXECUTIVES BECAUSE THEY COULD NOT BELIEVE ALL OF THE CONFESSION THAT WAS GOING ON ABOUT THE PARTICIPATION. THEY SAT DOWN AND WORKED WITH US, WE DID HAVE SEVERAL CONTRACT CONTRACTORS TO PARTICIPATE IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECT. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE YOU TO TIE CORPORATE PERFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE TO INCENTIVES. IF ACHIEVED THEY GET SNEPTS. IF THEY DON'T, YOU PENALIZE THEM. YOU GIVE THEM 30,000, \$30 MILLION WORTH OF OUR TAX INCENTIVES FOR INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS, THEY DON'T DO IT, YOU NEED TO HAVE A PENALTY CLAUSE IN THERE TO SAY WELL YOU OWE US \$25 MILLION. IN TERMS OF DSMBR, WE NEED THAT OFFICE. I THINK THEY HAVE 12 DIRECTORS, IN ITS I DON'T KNOW 15 YEARS OF HISTORY OR 20 YEARS OF HISTORY, THAT'S A LITTLE TOO MUCH. BUT YOU NEED TO LOOK AND EVALUATE THE KIND OF PEOPLE THAT YOU PUT IN VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS. I KNOW THAT WHEN YOU HIRE PEOPLE IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS, YOU GET THE BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST YOU CAN. TO PUT IN THOSE DEPARTMENTS.

WE WANT THE BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST IN THAT OFFICE AS WELL. WE WANT TO SEE THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE CITY'S W/M.B.E. ORDINANCE. IT IS A LAW, NOT JUST A POLICY AND A RULE, IT IS A LAW JUST LIKE YOU GET A PARKING TICKET YOU HAVE GOT TO PAY IT. THIS IS THE LAW. ENFORCE IT. PREAWARDS, THESE ARE SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO TAKE SERIOUS LOOKS AT. PREAWARD GOALS COMPLIANCE. MANY TIMES THOSE GOALS, MANY DO TRY, I CAN'T SAY MANY DON'T, BUT MANY COMPANIES DO REALLY TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY COMPLY WITH THE GOALS RECENTLY. I CAN'T SAY ALL OF THE TIME BUT RECENTLY. YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THOSE GOALS AND MAKE SURE WHEN THEY PUT AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACTORS ON THERE, THEY DON'T DISAPPEAR OR THEY ARE NOTIFIED, MANY INSTANCES THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW THEY ARE ON THE CONTRACT UNTIL IT GOES TO Y'ALL FOR A COUNCIL ACTION THEN SOMEBODY FINDS OUT OH, I'M ON THIS CONTRACT, I HAVE NEVER BEEN CONTACTED. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT HAPPENED ON THE CARVER MUSEUM. JAN LAWSON, WHEN SHE WAS A DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THAT OFFICE, WITH A DANA BERRY OUT OF GUS GARCIA AT THE TIME HE WAS THE MAYOR, THEY GOT ALL OF THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONTRACTORS TOGETHER TO LOOK OVER THEIR COMMODITY CODES. FIND OUT MANY OF THEM DID NOT HAVE THE ROOT COMMODITY CODES -- THE RIGHT KIND OF COMMAND TEE CODES, BLONZ, VERTICAL, HORIZONTAL, PLASTIC, METAL, WOOD, WHATEVER, THEY GOT TOGETHER DID ALL OF THIS. VERY HARD TO LOOK AT AVAILABILITY. WE WERE ABLE TO COME UP WITH 10% PARTICIPATION GOAL. HOWEVER THE COMPANY THAT WAS GOING TO CONSTRUCT THE FACILITY, THEY THREW IN AN EXTRA 7%. YOU KNOW WHAT, THE BOTTOM LINE, I DOUBT IF WE GOT ONE PERCENT OF THAT CONTRACT. THAT IS A MUSEUM, AFRICAN-AMERICAN MUSEUM BEING BUILT IN OUR COMMUNITY AND I DOUBT IF WE REALLY GOT ONE PERCENT PARTICIPATION. THE LAST TIME I LOOKED AT IT WAS NOT THERE.

Mayor Wynn: PLEASE CONCLUDE, YOUR TIME IS EXPIRED.

I'M ALMOST OUT.

Mayor Wynn: YOU RAN OUT ABOUT FOUR MINUTES.

OH, REALLY?!!

Mayor Wynn: YES, MA'AM.

I GUESS BECAUSE I WAS SITTING HERE WAITING ON MY TIME
A LONG TIME.

I WILL CONCLUDE IT. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY FOR
MIDTOWN LIVE, THANK YOU MIDTOWN LIVE WHERE WE
COULD HAVE WEDDING, SHOWERS, ENTERTAINMENT VENUE,
BOOGIE DOWN AND HAVE A GOOD TIME WITH THE FAMILY. I
THINK WE SHOULD ARE YOU CONSIDER NOT GIVING THEM
THAT LOAN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, I HOPE THAT YOU
WILL READ THE REST OF THIS STUFF. I HAVE HERE IN MY
LITTLE PRESENTATION, PLEASE SHOW THOSE NICE
PICTURES OF SOME OF OUR MEMBERS, WORKSHOPS AND
EVERYTHING. SO YOU ALL CAN LOOK AT THESE PICTURES
TOMORROW WHEN YOU HAVE SOME STARBUCKS COFFEE,
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: WILL DO, MS. HADNOT, THANK YOU. THE
FOLLOWING FOLKS IN FAVOR, NOT WISHING TO SPEAK,
BLESS THEIR HEARTS, JOHNNY DORSEY, SHERRI PERK KINS,
EDWARD STERLING, GAIL STERLING, KENNETH LEWIS,
BRENDA JACKSON, DUSTY MCCORMICK, FRANK GARRETT,
ERIC SHEPHERD, CLAUDIA CONNOR, DUANE LOFTON,
SHERANDA ROBINSON PARKS, KIMBERLY TURNER AND
LEOLA CANADA SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN
FAVOR OF THIS ITEM. COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL OF OUR PUBLIC
INPUT. AH APPROACH, YES, MA'AM.

MR. MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, AND COUNCIL. I WILL BE
BRIEF. THERE IS A BATTLE IN MY FAMILY. I'M A PISTON FELON
AND MY SON IS A SPURS FAN. HE HASN'T SPOKEN TO ME.
FOR SEVERAL DAYS. BECAUSE I WIN. OKAY. I AM, AS YOU --
MOST OF YOU KNOW, AN AUSTINITE. AND FOR A LONG TIME,
WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN
COMMUNITY. I CAME TONIGHT NOT TO SAY ANYTHING. BUT I
MUST SAY THIS. I'M AN AUSTINITE, ALL OF YOU ARE
AUSTINITES, EVERYBODY IN THIS ROOM. MAYBE. WE PAY
TAXES. WE VOTE. WE GO TO SCHOOL. WE GET AN

EDUCATION. YOU WANT A GOOD QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE CITY THAT YOU LOVE? SO DO I. AND IT'S NOT JUST ENOUGH FOR ME TO CLEAN UP MY YARD, I BELONG TO AN ORGANIZATION, WE CLEAN UP A SECTION OF THE CITY. BUT PEOPLE ARE STILL DIRTYING IT UP. I NEED YOU. YOU NEED ME. I THINK I'M EDUCATED. I THINK AMERICA IS FALLING SHORT BY NOT EDUCATING ITS CHILDREN. GLOBALLY. SO ALL I WANT TO SAY IS THAT I SUPPORT THE STUDIES, THE RESULTS, THEY CAME FROM MY COMMUNITY. IT DIDN'T MATTER THE LEVEL OF EDUCATION OF THE PEOPLE. WE CAME TOGETHER AND WE TALKED. YOU HAVE IT, WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT. AND WE NEED YOU. AND I HOPE -- I DON'T KNOW HOW TO RESOLVE MY PISTON AND SPURS, BUT ANYWAY I'M GOING HOME. GOOD NIGHT. [APPLAUSE]

Mayor Wynn: GOOD NIGHT, THANK YOU. ANY OTHER CITIZENS THAT WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS US REGARDING ITEM NO. 136? AGAIN I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH FOR THE INPUT. PARTICULARLY OUR AGENCIES WHO HELPED COME UP WITH THIS STRUCTURE OF THESE PRETTY IMPRESSIVE AND EASY TO FOLLOW RECOMMENDATIONS. COUNCIL, COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW FROM THE CITY MANAGER WHAT WE COULD DO WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN TERMS OF THE TIME LINE WITH OUR BUDGET PROCESS? I MEAN SOME OF THESE THINGS WOULD REQUIRE FISCAL NOTES AND OTHERS WOULDN'T. I SEE THERE'S SOME ITEMS THAT REQUIRE US TO PARTNER WITH AISD OR THE GREATER AUSTIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND MAYBE DONE JUST THROUGH THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OFFICE. IN OTHER AREAS. I JUST WANTED TO GET A SENSE FROM YOU HOW THAT WOULD BE POSSIBLE.

Futrell: WELL, WHAT WE HAVE DONE OVER THIS LAST 30 DAYS WE HAVE WORKED WITH THE DIFFERENT GROUPS JUST TO SUPPORT THEM AS THEY TOOK 30 DAYS TO BOTH GO THROUGH AND MAKE MORE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT ALSO TO FOLLOW THEM AS THEY WORK THROUGH A STRUCTURE AND THAT STRUCTURE IS PROPOSED IN THE REPORT. SO MIKE DO YOU WANT TO STEP UP? WHAT WE REALLY ARE PROPOSING IS REALLY THREE THINGS. WE

WOULD PROPOSE, ONE, THAT YOU TAKE THE STRUCTURE, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TO MAKE MIKE McDONALD OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER WHO HAS BEEN WORKING WITH THIS VERY CLOSELY SORT OF OUR SINGLE POINT OF CONTACT. IN EACH OF THE SIX AREAS APPOINT A MY LEVEL ASSISTANTS DIRECTOR OR DIRECTOR LEVEL STAFF PERSON IN EACH OF THE SIX AREAS TO WORK WITH THE SIX DIFFERENT GROUPS, SO WE HAVE A DIRECT CHAIN IN EACH OF THE SIX AREAS REPORTING UP THROUGH MIKE. SO THE FIRST IS A STRUCTURE. THAT HELPS US WITH IMPLEMENTATION. TWO, WE NEED TO DEVELOP PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS IN EACH OF THE SIX AREAS SO THAT WE HAVE SOMETHING WITH WHICH TO BENCHMARK AND REPORT BACK TO THE COMMUNITY ON HOW WE'RE DOING. AND THEN, THREE, FOR US TO GO THROUGH EACH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS, COST THEM OUT, RUN THEM THROUGH LEGAL, AND COME BACK TO YOU WITH AN ACTION PLAN AND A FIRST YEAR BUDGET. WHAT CAN WE DO? SOME OF THESE THINGS WILL NOT REQUIRE MONEY. SOME WILL REQUIRE BUDGET ITEMS. AND THEN SOME REQUIRE JUST A COMMUNITY COLLABORATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES. SO TO COME BACK TO YOU WITH AN ACTION PLAN AND A PROPOSED FIRST YEAR BUDGET WHICH WE WILL NEED TO GET ON RIGHT NOW IN ORDER TO HAVE READY FOR THE '06 BUDGET. MIKE, CAN YOU ELABORATE ANYMORE?

NO, CITY MANAGER YOU COVERED MOST OF IT. THAT IS OUR REQUEST THAT WE BE ALLOWED TO ASSEMBLE A TEAM. I THINK WE HAVE A CHART THAT PRETTY MUCH DISPLAYS A TEAM THAT WE WANT TO PUT TOGETHER. AS THE CITY MANAGER STATED, WE WOULD HAVE A DIRECTOR OR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR THAT WOULD BE A POINT PERSON, DR. CLARENCE BIVY ONE OF OUR INTERNAL CONSULTANTS WOULD ACT AS A RESOURCE SUPPORT FOR THIS TEAM. [APPLAUSE]

Futrell: A FAN CLUB WITH YOU HERE TONIGHT.

EACH OF THE TEAM -- EACH OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTORS AND DIRECTORS WOULD ACT AS PROJECT MANAGERS REPORTING DIRECTLY TO ME. WHAT WE WOULD DO IS WE HAVE AL LINED THE SIX RECOMMENDATIONS IF YOU CAN GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. WE HAVE ALIGNED OUR SIX

DEPARTMENTS AND ASSISTANT DEPARTMENT HEADS UNDER THE COMMUNITY RECOMMENDATIONS, SO EACH OF THE SIX COMMUNITY SUBCOMMITTEES THAT WAS PUT TOGETHER WOULD WORK WITH THOSE PROJECT TEAMS AND I TO COME UP WITH A RECOMMENDATION AND WE WOULD LIKE TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL WITH AN UPDATE, OCTOBER 6th. YOUR OCTOBER 6th MEETING. THAT'S APPROXIMATELY 90 DAYS THAT THE -- APPROXIMATELY 90 DAYS THAT THE COMMUNITY WAS REQUESTING. AS YOU KNOW AT THE END OF THE BUDGET PROCESS, THERE WERE A COUPLE OF MEETINGS THAT ARE COUNCIL, SO THAT WOULD BE THE FIRST MEETING, 90 DAYS FROM TODAY.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, CHIEF. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? THAT SOUNDED LIKE A PRETTY EASILY CRAFTED MOTION TO ME.

Futrell: I THINK YOU ALSO HAD SOME EMPTY SEATS ON THE COMMITTEE THAT WE WOULD NEED SOME DIRECTION FROM COUNCIL ON FILLING THE SEATS.

I BELIEVE, CITY MANAGER, THE COMMUNITY WORKED TO PUT THOSE -- GET THOSE RESOURCES TOGETHER. SO I THINK THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO IDENTIFY SOME ADDITIONAL RESOURCES FOR THOSE SUBCOMMITTEES.

Mayor Wynn: SO COUNCIL I GUESS WITHOUT FURTHER COMMENTS I WOULD LIKE TO --

Kim: MAYOR? I JUST WANTED TO ASK IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO LOOK AT GRANTS THAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE, THE FOUNDATIONS OR EVEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR STATE GOVERNMENT FOR MATCHING DOLLARS FOR US TO GO FORWARD WITH SOME OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS? ALSO IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO BREAK THEM INTO SHORT-TERM, LONG-TERM AND IF NECESSARY, YOU KNOW, HIGH PRIORITY AND LOW PRIORITY IF WE HAVE TO CHOOSE AMONG THEM, WE CAN'T DO THEM ALL. BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT HERE AND, YOU KNOW, WE ARE HAVING TO BALANCE THE BUDGET AND FUND OTHER PRIORITIES AS WELL FOR THE COMMUNITY.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER KIM. WE PLAN TO WORK VERY, VERY CLOSELY WITH THESE SUBCOMMITTEES. IN FACT WHAT WE WILL DO IS THE FIRST VERY MEETING WHEN WE ASSEMBLE THE STAFF THAT'S GOING TO BE WORKING WITH THESE DEPARTMENT HEAD, WE WILL SIT DOWN WITH THE COMMUNITY FIRST AND MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE OF WHAT'S EXPECTED IN THAT RECOMMENDATION. AS THE CITY MANAGER SAID RUN THAT THROUGH LEGAL AND ALL OF THE DIFFERENT FUNDING OPTIONS WE MAY HAVE THAT COME -- AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO COUPLE. GRANTS WILL CERTAINLY -- RECOMMENDATIONS TO COUNCIL. GRANTS WILL BE ONE OF THE AREAS.

GREAT. IF YOU NEED HELP WITH GRANT SOURCES LET ME KNOW. I MAY CONTACT YOU AND LET YOU KNOW OF SOME RESOURCES I'M AWARE OF. THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: SO AGAIN COUNCIL I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION THAT LIKELY WOULD INCLUDE, NUMBER ONE, ASSEMBLING THE STRUCTURE WITHIN THE CITY ORGANIZATION AS OUTLINED BY THE CITY MANAGER AND ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, TWO, TO CREATE ALONG WITH THESE COMMUNITY IMPLEMENTATION TEAMS THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES NEEDED TO GAUGE OUR SUCCESS AND THEN, THREE, INSTRUCT THE CITY MANAGER TO COME BACK WITH THOSE SPECIFIC ACTION PLANS ALONG WITH A FIRST YEAR BUDGET TARGETING THE OCTOBER 6th, 2005 MEETING.

SO MOVE, MAYOR.

SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM. ANY FURTHER COMMENTS?

Thomas: I WOULD LIKE TO IN THE MOTION MAKE A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT THAT ON THE OCTOBER 6th THAT WE CAN -- HOPEFULLY WE CAN VERIFY SOME FUNDING IN THIS PARTICULAR YEAR'S BUDGET. WHEN YOU COME BACK WITH OCTOBER 6th THAT THERE WILL BE -- I GUESS BEFORE THE

OCTOBER 6th WE NEED TO IDENTIFY IF THERE'S ANY MONEY FOR ANYTHING THAT WE CAN IMPLEMENT ON THE SHORT TERM. ALSO, I -- WANT TO PUT IN -- WELL, I GUESS I'LL LET THAT ALONE. I WANT TO COME BACK ON THE 28th OF JULY. IT'S ONE THING, EVERYTHING THAT WE HAVE DONE IS EXCELLENT. CONTINUE GOOD WORK, GOT A LOT OF STRUCTURE. I WANT TO PUT TOGETHER THE COMMISSION THAT CONTINUES TO MONITOR THIS, THAT IT CONTINUES TO GO ON. NOT THAT I'M -- I DON'T HAVE ANY FAITH IN YOU, CHIEF OR STAFF. BUT I WANTED A COMMISSION THAT WILL CONTINUE TO MONITOR AND CONTINUE TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES WHEN THEY COME FORWARD SO THEY WON'T SIT SOMEWHERE ELSE, BUT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT THEN IN OUR PROCESS. SO A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT AS FAR AS THE BUDGET ON OCTOBER THE 6th THAT WE CAN IDENTIFY. SOMETHING BEFORE OCTOBER THE 6th. FOR THE BUDGET FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR.

Mayor Wynn: MAYOR PRO TEM, I SUSPECT THAT THAT'S ACCEPTABLE BECAUSE OF THE -- THE THIRD PART OF THAT MOTION WAS TO COME BACK WITH A SPECIFIC FIRST YEAR BUDGET. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT INCLUDES THIS -- THIS BUDGET YEAR.

Thomas: OKAY, I DIDN'T HEAR THAT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AND KIM IS THAT --

Leffingwell: YES, AGREED.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE WITH VERY DETAILED INSTRUCTION TO OUR CITY MANAGER TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. SO LACK OF A BETTER PHRASE. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE] JUST TO CLARIFY, THAT MOTION INCLUDED CLOSING THE PUBLIC HEARING. SO,

COUNCIL, THAT WILL TAKE US BACK TO THE ZONING CASES. FOLKS, IF YOU COULD PLEASE TAKE YOUR CONVERSATIONS OUTS IF THE FOYER WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT. WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE BUSINESS TO DO. WE WILL GO BACK TO OUR ZONING CASES. CASE Z-2. WELCOME, MR. SADAM HUSSEIN,SADOWSKY, CASE Z-2.

CASE Z-2 IS UP FOR SECOND READING ONLY. THIS IS THE NELSON AND TEXANNA DAVIS HOUSE LOCATED AT 1621 WEST 12th STREET IN CLARKSVILLE. WHEN WE CAME BEFORE YOU LAST TIME, THIS HOUSE IS PROPOSED FOR DONATION TO THE CLARKSVILLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION WHO IS GOING TO MOVE IT TO A SITE AT 10th AND THERESA, REHAB IT AND RENT IT OUT AS AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR CLARKSVILLE RESIDENTS. THIS CASE HAS FULL SUPPORT OF STAFF. THE CASE WENT TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AND THEY GRANTED THAT VARIANCE, HOWEVER THAT VARIANCE IS CURRENTLY UNDER APPEAL. WE WON'T HAVE A FINAL DECISION ON IT UNTIL JULY 11th, THE NEXT MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT. THE HOUSE IS A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF CLARKSVILLE AND THIS MOVE IS GOING TO RETAIN THE HOUSE IN CLARKSVILLE, SO STAFF SUPPORTS HISTORIC DESIGNATION ON SECOND READING. WHEN THE HOUSE IS MOVED TO ITS NEW LOCATION, WE WILL FILE A NEW HISTORIC ZONING CASE ON IT AND REQUEST HISTORIC ZONING FOR THE HOUSE AT THE NEW SITE. UNTIL THAT HAPPENS WE ARE REQUESTING APPROVAL ON SECOND READING.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL? WE HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS SIGNED NOT WISHING TO SPEAK NOT IN FAVOR, JOHN AND CANDACE [INDISCERNIBLE], MIKE MCHONE SIGNED UP NEUTRAL HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS IF NEED BE. NO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

Dunkerly: MAYOR, I MOVE APPROVAL FOR HISTORIC ON SECOND READING.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY.

Thomas: SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: COME KD SECONDED BY THE MAYOR PRO TEM TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. WHICH I PRESUME WE MUST HAVE ALREADY DONE IF WE ARE HAVING SECOND READING, BUT JUST IN CASE, AND APPROVE THIS HISTORIC ZONING CASE ON SECOND READING ONLY. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 WITH COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken TEMPORARILY OFF THE DAIS. THANK YOU, MR. SADOWSKY.

I'M SORRY, IT'S COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT WAS FOR FIRST READING ONLY. I'M SORRY I MADE A MISTAKE.

WE DID CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE IT ON FIRST READING ONLY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. READY FOR Z-3 OR Z-4, I'M SORRY. MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, CASE Z-4 IS THE BROWN'S FLOWER SHOP AT 4301 AVENUE A. THIS BUILDING WAS BUILT AROUND 1930. IT WAS BROWN'S FLOWER SHOP FOR MANY YEARS UNTIL IT CLOSED JUST A FEW YEARS AGO. THE BUILDING IS IN A VERY DETERIORATED CONDITION. STAFF DOES NOT SUPPORT HISTORIC ZONING FOR THIS CASE BECAUSE WE HAVE WORKED OUT AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER WHO IS PLANNING ON BUILDING A SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE ON THIS LOT, WHICH COMPLY WAS THE SF 3 ZONING AND THE HYDE PARK NCCD. THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE THE PARAPET AND THE SIGN FROM BROWN'S FLOWER SHOP AND INCORPORATE IT INTO THE DESIGN OF THEIR GARAGE. THERE WAS AN E-MAIL FROM KAREN McGRAW, HYDE PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION THAT SUPPORTED PRESERVATION OF SOME OF THE HISTORIC FABRIC OF THIS BUILDING AND THIS AGREEMENT HAS BEEN WORKED OUT. THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARE WILLING TO DO THAT. SO STAFF FEELS THAT THERE'S NO REASON TO PUSH FOR HISTORIC ZONING AGAINST THE OWNER'S WISHES ON THIS ONE BECAUSE WE HAVE A VERY GOOD PRESERVATION AGREEMENT THAT I HOPE YOU ALL WILL AGREE WITH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. SADOWSKY. COUNCIL LET'S

CONSIDER THAT TO BE OUR APPLICANT PRESENTATION. WE ONLY HAVE ONE CITIZEN WHO SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK. SEVERAL ARE HERE, IF WE HAVE QUESTIONS. THE ONE SPEAKER IS MR. JIM BENNETT. WELCOME, MR. BENNETT. THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF THE FRIETAG'S OPPOSITION TO THE ZONING CHANGE, THE PLANNING COMMISSION DID NOT RECOMMEND BY A VOTE OF 7-0. ADDITIONALLY BRIEFLY IN YOUR BACKUP MATERIAL THERE IS A LETTER FROM AN ENGINEERING ANALYSIS COMPANY JUST TO BRIEFLY IN PART URGE THAT OWNERS MOVE QUICKLY TO EITHER DEMOLISH THE BUILDING AND CLEAR THE LOT OR TO ENCLOSE THE PROPERTY WITH A SECURITY FENCE, PROPER POSTING TO PREVENT PASSAGE AMONG THE BUILDING BY CURIOUS PERSONS OR PETS. THE BUILDING IN HIS OPINION IN SUMMARY OF THAT IS BEYOND THE REPAIRS AND HE'S RECOMMENDING DEMOLITION TO IT. WE DO HAVE THE NEIGHBORS AROUND US SUPPORTING THE REMOVAL OF THE STRUCTURE AND THE SINGLE FAMILY. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. BENNETT. COUNCIL, LOOKS LIKE MIKE FRIETAG, DALLAS GRANT ALSO AGAINST THE HISTORIC ZONING, HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS IF NEED BE. JOHN AND CANDACE BOLTS SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORIC ZONING AND SUSAN ERICSON SIGNED UP AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS, ALSO IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORIC ZONING. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? COUNCIL? WE HAVE A STAFF RECOMMENDATION NOT FOR HISTORIC ZONING BASED ON THIS PRESERVATION PLAN. WE ALSO HAVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTING 7-0 NOT FOR HURRICANE ZONING. C ZONING.

Leffingwell: I WILL MOVE TO APPROVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION TO DENY HISTORIC ZONING IN COORDINATION WITH THE CONDITIONS LAID OUT WITH THE AGREED UPON WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PRESERVATION OF THE SIGN, ET CETERA.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL, THAT I WILL SECOND, WHICH IS TO DENY THE HISTORIC ZONING BUT ALSO

DIRECTION TO CONTINUE THE PRESERVATION PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN OUTLINED BY STAFF. THAT MOTION ALSO INCLUDES CLOSING THE PUBLIC HEARING. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0 TO DENY WITH COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken OFF THE DAIS.

MR. MAYOR, THE NEXT CASE IS THE C 14500 WILL LOCATED AT 270 WILL RIO GRANDE STREET, GO NP, THE CASE CAME BEFORE THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION UPON AN APPLICATION FOR DEMOLITION PERMIT. THE HISTORIC LANDMARK RECOMMENDING HISTORIC ZONING. THE PLANNING COMMISSION DID NOT RECOMMEND HISTORIC ZONING FOR THIS PROPERTY. THE HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1909. IT'S A -- IT'S A FOUR SQUARE HOUSE OF WHICH IT IS A VERY COMMON TYPE IN THE CITY. THERE ARE MANY OF THESE THAT ARE AS INTACT AS THIS ONE. ORIGINALLY OCCUPIED BY MS. JENNY AND MARY BURLESON FROM 1910 TO 1912. MS. BURLESON OWNED THE HOUSE FOR MANY YEARS BUT MOVED TO WACO VERY SOON AFTER SHE LIVED IN THE HOUSE AND OPERATED THE HOUSE WAS A RENTAL HOUSE. IT BASICALLY WAS A RENTAL HOUSE FOR PRETTY MUCH AFTER MS. BURLESON MOVED OUT OF IT. SOME OF THE IMPORTANT RESIDENTS OF THE HOUSE INCLUDED JOSEPH AND [INDISCERNIBLE] JAMES IN THE 20S WHO OPERATED THE JAMES COMPANY, THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AT U.T. PRIOR TO MOVING INTO THE DALLAS AND THEN DALLAS AND GLADYS MCLEAN A ONE MAN BAND THAT ENTERTAINED TROOPS IN THE SOUTH PACIFIC DURING THE SECOND WORLD WAR. STAFF EVALUATED THE POTENTIAL FOR LANDMARK COMMISSION DESIGNATION FOR THE HOUSE. FOUND THAT IT IS A VERY COMMON TYPE. IT DOES NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT ARCHITECTURAL MERIT TO WARRANT LANDMARK DESIGNATION OR DOES IT HAVE HISTORICAL DESIGNATIONS WITH PEOPLE FOR THE CITY, SO STAFF RECOMMENDS AGAINST HISTORIC ZONING FOR THIS PROPERTY.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. SADOWSKY, WE WILL

CONSIDER THAT TO BE THE APPLICANT PRESENTATION. COUNCIL WE WILL NOW GO TO FOLKS WHO WISH TO SPEAK, LET'S SEE, HOW DO WE DO THIS? IN I GUESS AGAINST THE HISTORIC ZONING, THEN THOSE IN FAVOR. OUR FIRST SPEAKER WILL BE DORIS LOWE. SIGNED UP WISHING TO SPEAK AGAINST HISTORIC ZONING. WELCOME, MA'AM, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES, FOLLOWED BY DAN FOSSETT, FOLLOWED BY KAREN STRINAD,.

GOOD EVENING, I'M DORIS LOWE, ONE OF THE OPENERS OF 2807 RIO GRANDE, I'M IN OPPOSITION TO THE CHANGE TO HISTORIC DESIGNATION FOR THIS PROPERTY. ALTHOUGH THE PROPERTY IS OLD, THE INTERIOR HAS BEEN REWORKED SO OFTEN THAT THE BUILDING NO LONGER THAT ANY SIGNIFICANCE. STAIRCASES HAVE BEEN REMOVED, DOORS REPLACED, THE CABINETRY ALL GONE. ANYTHING THAT IS OF HISTORICAL VALUE INTERIOR-WISE IS GONE. LIKE STEVE MENTIONED EXTERIOR THERE ARE MAYBE MANY HOUSES SIMILAR TO IT. I LIVE IN HERITAGE DUE NORTH OF THIS PROPERTY. MY HUSBAND AND I TOOK AN AFTERNOON AND WENT AROUND IN HERITAGE, NUNA, HYDE PARK, WE TOOK PICTURES OF ABOUT SEVEN HOUSES VERY SIMILAR IN CONSTRUCTION. ABOUT 16 HOUSES VERY SIMILAR IN CONSTRUCTION. THE SIMILAR ARCHITECTURE IS FOUND IN ALL OF THESE OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS, USUALLY FOUND IN STREETS WITH OTHER HOUSES SIMILAR VINTAGE. THE HISTORICAL IMPACT IS BETTER SERVED ON A STREET OF SIMILAR HOUSES RATHER THAN ISOLATED AMONGST 60s APARTMENT PROJECTS WITH GROUND LEVEL PARKING AND MODERN EXTERIORS, THIS IS A -- THE ODD HOUSE ON THIS STREET AND WITHIN TWO BLOCKS OF THE HOUSE ARE TWO MAJOR RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS GOING UP. WE CAN SEE THE CRANES FROM OUR HOUSE. BUT THE MOST IMPORTANT THING TO ME IS THE FACT THAT THIS PROPERTY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE WEST CAMPUS OVERLAY DISTRICT. I'M A RESIDENT AND ACTIVE MEMBER IN HERITAGE DUE NORTH. I CAN TELL YOU THAT WE WERE REALLY RELIEVED AND EXCITED WITH THE PASSAGE OF THE WEST CAMPUS OVERLAY. MY HUSBAND AND I HAVE LIVED IN HERITAGE FOR OVER 30 YEARS AND WE WERE PART OF A GROUP THAT ROLLED BACK OUR HOUSES, THE ZONING ON OUR HOUSES IN THE 80s AND HAVE HELPED TO FIGHT TO

KEEP OUR NEIGHBORHOOD ALIVE. WITH THE PASSAGE OF THE WEST CAMPUS OVERLAY WE WERE HOPING THAT THE PRESSURE WOULD FINALLY BE OFF OF US AND NUNA AND HYDE PARK. THAT IS WHY I WAS REALLY SURPRISED WHEN THE HERITAGE COMMISSION VOTED FOR HISTORIC ZONING. THIS HOUSE SEEMS TO BE WRITTEN FOR THE WEST CAMPUS OVERLAY. IT'S IN LINE WITH THE GOALS AND THE GENERAL NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. LIKE HE SAID EVEN STAFF RECOMMENDED AGAINST CHANGING THE ZONING. I WANT TO ADD I HAVE PAID TAXES ON THE PROPERTY FOR OVER 25 YEARS AND AT NO TIME REQUESTED HISTORICAL ZONING. SO I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT IT BE DENIED. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS DAN FOSSETT. WELCOME, DAN, YOU, TOO, WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

HI, MY NAME IS DANES FOR SETS, I'M THE OWNER OF AUSTIN CITY HOMES AND I AM IN SUPPORT OF STAFF AND PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION NOT TO RECOMMEND HISTORICAL DESIGNATION. I INTEND TO BUILD THE MAXIMUM HIGH DENSITY ON THIS LOT, ITS INTENDED USE. THE CITY OF AUSTIN SPENT A LOT OF TIME AND MONEY TO REZONE THIS AREA. AND I INTEND TO BUILD VERY DESIGNER ENERGY EFFICIENT HOUSING FOR WEST CAMPUS. PLEASE APPROVE MY DEMOLITION PERMIT APPLICATION SO THAT I CAN GET STARTED ON SOMETHING GREAT. ANY QUESTIONS?

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS FOR MR. FOSSETT, COUNCIL? THANK YOU, SIR. LET'S SEE KAREN -- SORRY IF I'M MISPRONOUNCING, NOT WISHING TO SPEAK, ALSO AGAINST. SO NOW WE WILL GO TO THOSE FOLKS WISHING TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORIC ZONING, THAT WOULD BE JOHN FOXWORTH. WHILE JOHN APPROACHES, JOHN AND CANDACE BOLTS SIGNED UP ALSO IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORIC ZONING BUT NOT WISHING TO SPEAK. WELCOME, JOHN, THREE MINUTES.

GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL. MY NAME IS JOHN FOXWORTH, THE PRESIDENT OF SHOAL CREST NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. WE BORDER THIS HOUSE IS RIGHT ON THE EDGE OF OUR BORDER, RIO GRANDE STREET IS THE EASTERN BORDER, WE ARE JUST IN FAVOR OF PRESERVING

ANY OF THE OLDER CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THIS HOUSE BEING ONE OF THEM. AND WE HATE TO LOSE CHARACTER AND IT IS PART OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN THAT WAS MENTIONED BY THE PEOPLE, THE OWNERS, FORMER OWNERS TO MAINTAIN THE HISTORIC CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE HAVE A CONFLICT OF THE INTERPRETATION OF WHAT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WAS ABOUT. JUST HERE AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEARBY NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION THAT HATES TO SEE THE LITTLE BITTY JEWELS THAT ARE STUCK IN BETWEEN THESE BUILDINGS REMAIN. I WILL KEEP IT SHORT. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORICAL RECOMMENDATIONS. THANK YOU. HARRIS THANK YOU, COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL OF THE FOLKS SIGNED UP FOR OR AGAINST. I DON'T THINK MR. SADOWSKY NEEDS A REBUTTAL, NECESSARILY. SO WE HAVE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION VOTING FOR HISTORIC ZONING, PLANNING COMMISSION VOTING NO, STAFF RECOMMENDING NO. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON Z-5.

Dunkerly: MAYOR, I MOVE THAT WE CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND I MOVE APPROVAL OF THE PLANNING AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR DENIAL OF HISTORIC ZONING.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY THAT I'LL SECOND WHICH IS TO DENY -- CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING ON Z-5 AND DENY HISTORIC ZONING. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE COMP SON CARTER HOUSE, CURRENT ZONING SF 3 NP. THIS CASE CAME BEFORE THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION UPON AN APPLICATION FOR DEMOLITION PERMIT. STAFF DID NOT RECOMMEND HISTORIC ZONING TO THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION BUT THEY RECOMMEND HISTORIC DESIGNATION TO YOU. IT CAME

BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON MAY 24th AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION FORWARDED THE CASE TO YOU WITHOUT A RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE OF LACK OF A QUORUM. THE HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1930, IT WAS LISTED AS A PRIORITY 2 IN THE 2000 SURVEY OF CLARKSVILLE. SINCE THAT TIME THE APPEARANCE HAS CHANGED. SPECIFICALLY THE SIDING HAS BEEN REPLACED WITH MODERN INTERIOR PANELING AS THE EXTERIOR SIDING AND WINDOWS HAVE BEEN REPLACED. STAFF'S EVALUATION OF THE HOUSE IS THAT IT HAS LOST ITS INTEGRITY OF MATERIALS. WITH THE -- WITH THE REPLACEMENT OF THE WINDOWS AND THE APPLICATION OF THIS PANELING AND SIDING. SO THERE'S NO LONGER THE HISTORIC HOUSE THAT IT USED TO BE. IT MAINTAINS IT'S BASIC APPEARANCE, BUT LOOKING AT IT, IT HAS CHANGED TOO MUCH. TO QUALIFY AS A -- AS A LANDMARK, WITHIN OF OUR CRITERIA FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION IS THAT THE HOUSE MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY OF MATERIALS AND DESIGN, THIS ONE UNFORTUNATELY HAS LOST IT. IT IS UNFORTUNATE TO -- TO RECOMMEND AGAINST THE PRESERVATION OF ANY HOUSE IN CLARKSVILLE. BECAUSE THIS IS A VERY ENDANGERING ENGINEERED NEIGHBORHOOD. HOWEVER, ENDANGERED NEIGHBORHOOD. HOWEVER STAFF FEELS THIS HOUSE HAS HAD TOO MANY CHANGES THAT OCCURRED VERY RECENTLY, ALL WITHOUT PERMITS, THERE WAS NO WAY FOR US TO KNOW THAT THESE CHANGES WERE TAKING PLACE. AT THE TIME PERHAPS WE COULD HAVE DONE SOMETHING ABOUT IT TO HELP PRESERVE OR END COURAGE THE OWNER TO PRESERVE THE -- THE HISTORIC APPEARANCE OF THE HOUSE WITH MORE SYMPATHETIC CHANGES. IF THE WINDOWS NEEDED TO BE REPLACED WE COULD HAVE RECOMMENDED WOOD WINDOWS. IF THE SIDING NEEDED TO BE REPAIRED, WE WOULD HAVE RECOMMENDED REPLACEMENT IN KIND WITH WOOD SIDING. BUT THERE'S BEEN TOO MANY CHANGES TO THIS HOUSE TO REALLY QUALIFY FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION. SO UNFORTUNATELY STAFF HAS TO RECOMMEND AGAINST INDIVIDUAL DESIGNATION FOR THIS HOUSE. IT IS LOCATED IN CLARKSVILLE, AS I SAID, WHICH IS A NATIONAL REGISTERED DISTRICT AND A HIGH PRIORITY FOR US TO DECLARE AS A LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT. BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES TO THE HOUSE, STAFF DOESN'T FEEL THIS WOULD BE

CONTRIBUTING TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER DISTRICT ANYMORE. NOR TO A POTENTIAL LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT IN CLARKSVILLE.

I KNOW YOU DON'T DO THAT LIGHTLY.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION FORWARDED IT WITHOUT A RECOMMENDATION, THEY DON'T HAVE A QUORUM AT THE TIME OF THE VOTE.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL? LEVELS YOU MEAN THEY DON'T HAVE A QUORUM VOTE OR THEY DON'T HAVE A QUORUM PRESENT?

WELL, THEY DIDN'T HAVE --

Leffingwell: DIDN'T HAVE FIVE VOTES FOR IT.

DIDN'T HAVE FIVE VOTES FOR IT, YES, SIR.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, CONSIDER THAT TO BE THE APPLICANT PRESENTATION. NOW WE'LL GO TO THOSE FOLKS SIGNED UP AGAINST THE HISTORIC ZONING. AND LOOKS LIKE OUR FIRST SPEAKER WILL BE PAULINE BROWN. SORRY, MY SCREEN SAYS AGAINST. [INAUDIBLE - NO MIC] I WILL SAFE IT FOR THE END. MR. JIM BENNETT.

COUNCIL, I'M JIM BENNETT, HERE TONIGHT ON BEHALF OF THE DESIRE TO OPPOSE THE HURRICANE ZONING ON THE PROPERTY THAT HE HAS PURCHASED. I WOULD POINT OUT TO YOU THE THINGS THAT MR. SADOWSKY HAS TOLD YOU ABOUT THIS STRUCTURE. THOSE CHANGES WERE MADE BEFORE MY OWNER OWNED THE INSTRUCT TER. WE DIDN'T MAKE THE CHANGES. THE STRUCTURE IS IN GREAT DISAPPEAR. IT IS A SINGLE BOARD CONSTRUCTION. THERE ARE NO STUDS SAVE AND EXCEPT AROUND THE WINDOWS AND DOORS HAVE ALL BEEN REPLACED. THERE'S A SAGGING ROOF. THE FOUNDATION IS CRACKED ON THE PORCH. THE PRIMARY STRUCTURE IS ON A CEDAR PIER FOUNDATION, THE FOUNDATION IS IN JEOPARDY AS WELL. NO PLUMBING, NO ELECTRIC, NO HEATING AND AIR IN THE BUILDING. THERE'S NO INSULATION IN THE BUILDING. THE INSIDE SINGLE BOARD CONSTRUCTION HAS DETERIORATED FROM

THE LEAKAGE, ROT AND ACCORDING TO TWO ENGINEERS' ANALYSIS, ONE FROM LOC, CONSULTANTS, AND ONE FROM ARNOLD INVESTIGATION ENGINEERING, BOTH THOSE HAVE RECOMMENDED WITHOUT READING THE FOUR PAGE REPORTS TO YOU, THAT THE STRUCTURE NOT BE SAVED OR SALVAGEABLE. ADDITIONALLY, WHEN YOU GO INSIDE THE STRUCTURE, IT'S A BASIC SHELL NOW. SOMEONE HAS TAKEN OFF THE SIDING THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY ON THE HOUSE, PARDON ME, AND HAS BEEN REPLACED WITH INTERIOR PANELING THAT USUALLY FIND ON THE INSIDE OF A HOUSE INSTEAD OF THE OUTSIDE. IT HAS BEEN FRESHLY PAINTED SO AT DRIVE BY IT APPEARS THAT IT MAY BE ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU STOP 'N GO IN AND LOOK AT THE STRUCTURE, IT'S NOT A STRUCTURE WORTHY OF SAVING. AS MR. SADOWSKY INDICATED TO YOU, AT THE ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION WE DID POSTPONE THE FIRST HEARING, WE HAD IT, POSTPONED THE VOTE ON IT, SO THE CCDC COULD LOOK AT IT TO SEE IF THEY WANTED IT. THE RESPONSE CAME BACK THEY DIDN'T WANT IT. DIDN'T HAVE A PLACE FOR IT, DIDN'T HAVE THE FUNDS FOR IT. SO AT THAT HEARING THE COMMISSION BY SPLIT VOTE COULD NOT FORWARD A RECOMMENDATION TO THE COUNCIL. THAT'S THE REASON THAT YOU HAVE NO RECOMMENDATION. YOU DO HAVE A VALID PETITION AGAINST THE PROPOSED HISTORIC ZONING AND WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT THE COUNCIL DENY THE HURRICANE THE HISTORIC ZONING. THANK YOU.

WE DO HAVE PHOTOGRAPHS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE INTERIOR OR EXTERIOR OF THE STRUCTURE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. IF YOU HAVE THEM, YOU MIGHT AS WELL PASS THEM OUT. SO COUNCIL THAT'S -- THE PERSON SPEAKING AGAINST, WE WILL NOW GO TO THOSE FOLKS WHO WISH TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF IT. WE WILL START WITH MS. PAULINE BROWN.

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC]

I THINK THAT I AM SIGNED UP. MY NAME IS MALCOLM GREEN STEIN, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCIL, ESTEEMED ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER.

Mayor Wynn: HANG ON, MALCOLM. IS PAULA SEALS HERE, PAUL SEALS WAS GOING TO DONATE HIS TIME TO YOU, BUT THE RULE IS HE HAS TO BE PRESENTS IN THE AND YOU O DO THAT. SO YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

OKAY.

THE HISTORY OF CLARKSVILLE IS KNOWN TO MOST OF YOU ALL. IT WAS FORMED AS A FREED SLAVE BLACK COMMUNITY IN AUSTIN. WHAT YOU HAVE HEARD FOR THE LAST THREE HOURS TIES IN VERY DIRECTLY WITH WHAT WE ARE PRESENTING TO YOU TODAY. ON PAGE 20 OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMUNITY POSITION PAPER, IT SAID DEVELOP AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN HISTORIC AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITIES. CLARKSVILLE IS A HISTORIC AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY, IT IS THE ONLY COMMUNITY THAT BLACK COMMUNITY THAT'S LISTED ON THE FEDERAL HISTORIC REGISTER. CLARKSVILLE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, THE CDC, WAS FORMED TO STOP THE DESTRUCTION OF CLARKSVILLE TO STOP THE GENTRIFICATION. WE WERE FORMED OVER 20 YEARS AGO TO MOVE TO GET HOUSING INTO THE COMMUNITY THAT PEOPLE WHO USED TO LIVE IN THE COMMUNITY COULD AFFORD. WE WERE ABLE TO GET GRANTS, WE WERE ABLE TO GET LOANS. AS A RESULT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GET A LARGE NUMBER OF LOW INCOME FAMILIES BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY. WE CAN GET GRANTS AND LOANS BECAUSE OF OUR HISTORIC DESIGNATION. IF WE LOSE HOUSES LIKE 1815 WATERSSTON WE ARE GOING TO EVENTUALLY LOSE THAT DESIGNATION AND CLARKSVILLE IS GOING TO LOSE ITS UNIQUE CHARACTERISTIC. IT HAS BEEN FOR 100 PLUS YEARS THE ONLY MIXED COMMUNITY IN WEST AUSTIN. A MIXED RACIALLY, MIXED ECONOMICALLY. HOUSES LIKE THIS ARE ESSENTIAL TO CLARKSVILLE. I WANT TO CONTRADICT WHAT THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER SAID. WE HAVE TRIED TO MEET WITH THEM TO SEE IF SOMETHING CAN BE WORKED OUT. WE WOULD LOVE TO BE ABLE TO GO INTO THE HOUSE AND SEE WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE AND SEE IF IN FACT WHAT HE IS SAYING IS ACCURATE. BUT WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO GO INTO THE HOUSE. THEY HAVE NOT PERMITTED US TO GO IN. IF WE COULD GO IN, WE HAVE AN ARCHITECT WHO IS GOING TO BE TALKING TO YOU SHORTLY. HE IS WILLING TO GO IN, WE HAVE ENGINEERS WHO ARE WILLING TO DONATE THEIR

SERVICES TO SEE IF IN FACT WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS ACCURATE. IF THIS HOUSE CAN BE REHABILITATED [BUZZER SOUNDING] FROM WHAT WE HAVE SEEN IT CAN BE REHABILITATED. AND WE WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THESE PEOPLE MEET WITH US, MEET WITH OUR COMMITTEE, SO THAT WE CAN PRESENT A FULL AND COMPLETE PICTURE TO YOU. I HAVE A PETITION SIGNED BY APPROXIMATELY 250 PEOPLE, WE JUST DID IT LIKE IN ONE DAY. WALKED THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASKING YOU TO HELP PRESERVE THIS HOUSE. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MALCOLM. WHO WANTS TO GO NEXT? NORMALLY WE TAKE THESE IN THE ORDER THAT YOU ALL SIGNED UP, BUT ... STATE YOUR NAME AND LET ME SEE IF I CAN FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH TIME YOU HAVE.

MY NAME IS AUBREY CARTER.

IS MARY REED HERE? HELLO, MARY, HOW ABOUT LINDA MCNEILAGE? SO AUBREY YOU WILL HAVE UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

OKAY, THANK YOU, MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCIL, THANKS. FIRST I WANT TO ADD THE CONDITION OF THE HOUSE. ADDRESS THE CONDITION OF THE HOUSE. WE ARE NOT PRETENDING THAT THE HOUSE IS IN GREAT SHAPE, BUT IT'S A TYPICAL UNRENOVATED CLARKSVILLE HOUSE. I ACTUALLY HAVE EXPERIENCE REHABING HOUSES IN CLARKSVILLE. AND I'VE -- I'VE REHABED WORSE THAN THIS. SO IT CAN BE REHABED. AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. IT STILL HAS ORIGINAL PLANK WALL CONSTRUCTION. WHICH IS UNDER THE NEW SIDING THAT'S ON IT. IT'S ROOF LINE IS INTACT, HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED AND IT STILL HAS ITS LONG LEAF PINE FLOORS. IN 2000 THE CITY COMMISSIONED A STUDY OF THE HOUSES IN CLARKSVILLE AND RANKED THEM AS TO THEIR HISTORIC SIGNIFICANCE. THIS HOUSE WAS RANKED A TWO. WITH ONE BEING THE MOST CONTRIBUTING HISTORICALLY. THIS HOUSE WAS ALSO PART OF THE APPLICATION FOR CLARKSVILLE'S -- REGISTRATION FOR THE -- THEIR APPLICATION FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTRY OF HISTORIC PLACES. ALSO ONE THING I WANTED TO POINT OUT, THE OLD WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS PERMEATED WITH LANGUAGE MEANT TO PROTECT THE

HOUSES SUCH AS THIS AND WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL. AND SO MY QUESTION IS WHY HAVE THE A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN LIKE THIS IF WE ARE NOT GOING TO TRY TO ENFORCE IT. THIS HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1945, TYPICAL, ONE OF TRADITIONAL CLARKSVILLE STYLES OF HOUSES. I SPOKE WITH THE OWNER A YEAR AGO AND DISCUSSED HOW EASILY HE COULD ADD A GREAT DEAL OF HOUSE TO THE BACK OF THE LOT. ONE OTHER THING IS THAT HE DOESN'T INTEND TO LIVE HERE. THIS IS -- THIS IS A SPECULATIVE VENTURE FOR HIM. SO HE COULD BUILD A SUBSTANTIAL HOUSE ON THE BACK OF THIS HOUSE AND PRESERVE THE STREET VIEW OF THIS ONE. SO WE SPOKE WITH HIM, ASKED HIM ABOUT THAT, WE GOT NO RESPONSE WHATSOEVER. HE CAME TO A CCD BOARD MEETING WHERE WE REITERATED OUR POSITION ON THE HOUSE AND EXPLAINED WHY IT WAS IMPORTANT TO SAVE THIS HOUSE AND THAT WE WOULD SUPPORT ADDING ON TO THE BACK OF THE HOUSE BECAUSE WE WERE HOPEFUL OF GETTING SOME SORT OF NEGOTIATED SOLUTION TO THIS STANDOFF. AGAIN, NO RESPONSE. THE PLANNING COMMISSION ENCOURAGED THE OWNER TO CONTACT US AND WORK OUT A NEGOTIATED SOLUTION AND HE DID NOT. SO AGAIN THERE WAS NO RESPONSE. THE BOTTOM LINE IS WE BELIEVE THE HOUSE CAN BE REHABBED AND WE ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE'S PLENTY OF ROOM IN THE BACK OF THE HOUSE TO ADD ON TO IT. I HAVE A PICTURE, IF -- IF YOU WOULD JUST PERMIT ME. REHABED.

THIS PICTURE THAT I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU SHOWS AN ENORMOUS BACK YARD, HOW MUCH LAND THERE IS WHICH HE COULD OCCUPY.

AS YOU CAN SEE A PRETTY BIG BACK YARD, WHICH IS PLENTY OF ROOM TO DO A NICE BUILDING BACK THERE. ONE OF THE THINGS WHEN I ORIGINALLY SPOKE WITH HIM WE DISCUSSED. BUT THE MOST IMPORTANT THING HE IS WE WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO GET SOME SORT OF NEGOTIATED SOLUTION WITH THESE PEOPLE BECAUSE WE WOULD LIKE TO -- WE WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO BE ACHIEVE TO ACHIEVE -- TO BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE HIS GOALS AND WE WOULD LIKE TO PROTECT THIS HOUSE AND OUR NEIGHBORHOOD HERITAGE, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. CARTER. WHO'S NEXT? I'M BARBARA HARLOW A RESIDENTS OF CLARKSVILLE. I WANT TO SPEAK VERY, VERY BRIEFLY FOR HISTORIC DESIGNATION FOR THIS HOUSE. AS YOU HAVE HEARD, CLARKSVILLE IS ON THE NATIONAL REGISTRY OF HISTORIC DISTRICTS AND WE ARE APPLYING FOR LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION AND WHAT I'M PASSING AROUND [INAUDIBLE - NO MIC] IN 2000 BY THE HISTORICAL LANDMARK COMMISSION COMMISSION. RECALL TO YOU THE VERY IMPORTANTFUL DISCUSSION THAT WE HEARD EARLIER THIS EVENING AND THE EMPHASIS NOT JUST ON OUR NEIGHBORHOODS BUT ARTS, CULTURE AND ENTERTAINMENT AND HOW IMPORTANT IT IS, AND I QUOTE FROM THEIR DOCUMENT, TO HON IN OUR OUR CULTURAL HERITAGE THROUGH PROGRAMS WITH AREA MUSEUMS, CREATING AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL HERITAGE DISTRICT, CLARKSVILLE IS AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN CULTURAL HERITAGE DISTRICT. NAMING PUBLIC BUILDING SPACES AFTER WELL KNOWN COMMUNITY CITIZENS. CLARKSVILLE IS NAMED AFTER CHARLES CLARK WHO ORIGINALLY RECEIVED THE GRANT FROM MS. JULIA PEASE TO ESTABLISH CLARKSVILLE. SO I ENCOURAGE YOU ON BEHALF OF CLARKSVILLE AND ITS STRUCTURES TO VOTE FOR HISTORIC ZONING. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. HARLOW. SO RICHARD MCALLEN SIGNED UP STILL WISHING TO SPEAK APPARENTLY. PAULINE BROWN.

MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, RICHARD McALLEN, CHAIR OF THE OLD WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, CLARKSVILLE IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. AND THE AREA IS INCHOOS CLUES -- INCLUSIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. THE OLD WEST AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION VOTED TO SUPPORT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. AND IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN WHICH WAS ADOPTED IN THE ORDINANCE, AS ONLY ORDINANCE INUP OF 2000, SPECIFIES THE PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC HOUSING STOCK IS A FUNDAMENTAL ITEM. MAKING THE POINT THAT THESE HOUSES ARE A CHARACTER DEFINING ASPECT OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD, THAT CANNOT BE REPLACED. ALTHOUGH NO ONE FAMOUS LIVED IN THIS HOME, THE PEOPLE WHO LIVED IN THE HOME WERE PART AND PARCEL OF THE FABRIC

OF THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY IN OUR
NEIGHBORHOOD. THEY WORKED, PLAYED, AND WERE PART
OF THE -- OF OUR COMMUNITY. THE -- THE FABRIC OF OUR
AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY MUST BE PRESERVED.
SINCE THIS IS A -- A CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY OF THE
NATIONAL REGISTERED HISTORIC DISTRICT, OLD WEST
AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, ABSOLUTELY AND
POSITIVELY SUPPORTS KEEPING OUR HISTORIC STOCK AND
SUPPORTS KEEPING THIS ZONED HISTORIC. THANK YOU
VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU, MR. McALLEN. MS. BROWN, ARE YOU READY? I
TRUST WE HAVE BEEN SAVING THE BEST FOR LAST. LET'S
SEE, IS NANCY TOWELL HERE? I'M SORRY IF I
MISPRONOUNCED THAT, HOW ABOUT KIM O'BRIEN? HOW
ABOUT -- NEVIL HOLD. HOW ABOUT PAGE FREDERICK
PEYTON? HELLO, PAGE, MS. BROWN YOU WILL HAVE UP TO
NINE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT. [ONE MOMENT PLEASE FOR
CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

CLARKSVILLE IS UNIQUE. IT ALWAYS HAS BEEN A MIXED
AREA. EVERYONE KNOWS ONE ANOTHER, AND WE HELP ONE
ANOTHER. IT IS NOT A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A CHILD CAN
GET AWAY WITH SOMETHING AND THE NEIGHBOR KNOWS
WHAT HE'S DONE. THE PARENTS WILL TELL AND THE CHILD
WILL BE PUNISHED. BUT THIS IS A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT I
ONLY KNOW. I'VE BEEN IN AUSTIN, WHICH I WAS BORN HERE
IN AUSTIN, OVER 70 YEARS, AND I HAVE NEVER STAYED ANY
OTHER PLACE AFTER SIX YEARS OF AGE. I WAS BORN BY
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, 1204 WEST 24TH, ACROSS FROM
CASWELL TENNIS COURT. I WALKED TO CLARKSVILLE FOR
SCHOOL. WE HAD SCHOOL OUT THERE, BUT IT WAS GONE
WHEN I GREW UP. WE HAD CHURCHES. BUT MY PARENTS
THOUGHT WE WERE SET. WE WEREN'T. UNIVERSITY OF
TEXAS SAID YOU'VE GOT TO GO. SO GEORGE HAMILTON
WOULDN'T RENT TO US ANY MORE, SO WE HAD TO MOVE
BACK TO MY MOTHER'S HOME. MY MOTHER WAS BORN IN
CLARKSVILLE WITH 15 BROTHERS AND SISTERS AND THE
MOTHER AND FATHER ON WATERSTON AVENUE, WHICH WAS
WEST 12TH AT THAT TIME. AND LET ME TELL YOU, IT WAS A
WILDERNESS BACK THERE! WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY STREETS
PAVED OUT THERE. WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY LIGHT -- STREET
LIGHTS THERE, NO. WE HAD NOTHING BUT TRAILS. AND IF IT

GOT DARK AND YOU MISSED THE TRAIL, YOU WERE IN TROUBLE. WE COULD WALK TO THE O'HENRY JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL BECAUSE IT WAS NOT THERE. MY RELATIVES, COUSINS AND ALL STAYED OVER THERE. THIS CLARKSVILLE IS UNIQUE IN A VERY GOOD WAY. THE CITY SHOULD BE PROUD OF CLARKSVILLE FOR ONE GOOD REASON, IF NOTHING ELSE. WE KNOW FREEDOM BEST OF THE MISSISSIPPI, 1871, AND THE SLAVES, MY FOREFATHERS AND MY NEIGHBORS AND MY FRIENDS FOREFATHERS MADE CLARKSVILLE WHAT IT IS TODAY. MY BROTHERS AND MY FATHER HELPED CUT, I MEAN CUT THE STREETS IN ENFIELD AND PEM BERTON HEIGHT THERE. THEY DID THAT. IT WASN'T AN EASY JOB BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE SAME KIND OF MATERIAL TO WORK WITH AS THEY DID. I'VE SEEN HARD TIMES IN AUSTIN, AND I MUST SAY THE COUNCIL BACK THERE REALLY DIDN'T HELP TOO MUCH IN SOME WAYS. CLARKSVILLE HAS BEEN NEGLECTED. WE SHOULDN'T BE WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW. WE SHOULD BE FURTHER UP THE LADDER. THERE ARE THINGS THAT I HAVE ASKED AND BEGGED SINCE 1957, AND I'M STILL COMING DOWN HERE FIGHTING FOR THE SAME THINGS I'VE BEEN FIGHTING FOR ALL OF THESE YEARS. JUST A LITTLE BIT OF HELP. WE'RE NOT HAMILTON, WE'RE NOT RICH PEOPLE. ENFIELD, WESTLAKE AND ALL AROUND US, THEY HAVE THE MONEY, BUT EVERYBODY KNEW OF THIS LITTLE TOWN, THIS LITTLE OLD SIX BLOCKS, ONLY SIX BLOCKS THAT'S CLARKSVILLE. NOW, I COULD STAND HERE AND TALK TO YOU ALL IN FAVOR NIGHT LONG ABOUT CLARKSVILLE, BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU ALL AND ASK YOU ALL, PLEASE, LOOK DEEP DOWN. WE HAVE STRUGGLED. WE HAVE CAME ALONG WAY. WE GOT TO GO FURTHER. I BELIEVE IN PROGRESS, BUT I DON'T WANT PROGRESS TO FALL ON THE HEADS OF THOSE WHO ARE TRYING WHO NEEDS HELP. PROGRESS IS TO BUILD UP, WHAT THEY WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT IN EAST AUSTIN THERE. YOU ALL HAVEN'T HAD -- THE CITY HASN'T HAD ANY TROUBLE WITH PEOPLE OF CLARKSVILLE. IT HASN'T BEEN A PLACE WHERE WE HAD ANY KIND OF JOINTS, BARS. WE'VE HAD LITTLE STORES. BUT IT WASN'T A RICH STORE, IT WASN'T A RANDALL'S, IT WAS JUST A LITTLE STORE WHERE YOU SERVED POP AND COOKIES AND SO FORTH. BUT WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY MURDERS OUT THERE. WE HAVEN'T HAD FIGHTS LIKE ANY OTHER NEIGHBORHOOD. WHEN I WAS

GROWING UP, WE HAD TO KNOW YOU FOR YOU TO COME ACROSS WEST LYNN INTO CLARKSVILLE BECAUSE WE WOULDN'T LET YOU IN THERE BECAUSE YOU KNOW IF YOU GOT IN THERE, YOU COULDN'T GET OUT BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T KNOW THE TRAILS TO GET OUT FAST ENOUGH BECAUSE WE WOULD CATCH YOU. SO THIS HAS BEEN A COMMUNITY THAT LOOKED AFTER THEMSELVES, BUT TIMES HAVE CHANGED, PEOPLE, FOR US, WITH THE PROGRESS OF ALL OF THE HIGH PRICES, A LOT OF MY NEIGHBORS THAT I GREW UP WITH HAD TO SELL BECAUSE THEY COULDN'T AFFORD THE TAXES. I SEE TOBY IS NOT HERE, MS. FUTRELL. I'VE BEEN KNOWING HER OVER 25 YEARS. AND IF YOU ASK HER, JUST ASK HER, I WAS FIGHTING WHEN SHE MET ME AND I'M STILL FIGHTING FOR CLARKSVILLE. THAT'S MY HEART. AND I WANT TO SAY THIS, THERE WAS NOT ALARM WHEN I WAS GROWING UP. NOW I CAN'T GO ON LAMAR, ONE OF TWO STORES THEY WON'T LET ME SHOP AT. WHEN YOU GO INTO A STORE, YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE WATCHED LIKE YOU WERE IN THERE TO TAKE SOMETHING, STEAL. THIS IS WHAT I THINK THE EAST WAS TALKING ABOUT, BUT WE DON'T HAVE THAT OUT IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. [BUZZER SOUNDS] BUT I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU ALL, WHY NOT DECLARE CLARKSVILLE HISTORICAL NOW? THEN YOU DON'T HAVE TO HEAR ME SPEAK OF CLARKSVILLE ANY MORE EVERY OTHER MONTH, BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. IF YOU LET ONE IN, THE SECOND ONE IS COMING IN. THEY SAID THEY'RE PUSHOVERS. NOW THEY'RE FINDING OUT WE'RE NOT PUSHOVERS. JUST BECAUSE WE ARE BLACK, WE'RE NOT STUPID. I WAS STUPID YEARS AGO BECAUSE EVERYTHING I TRIED TO ACCOMPLISH I DIDN'T GET IT. I WANT SOME HISTORY LEFT FOR MY FAMILY. I DIDN'T HAVE ANY IN WHEATSVILLE WHEN I HAD TO MOVE OUT. AND WHEN I GOT TO CLARKSVILLE I THOUGHT MY FAMILY WAS SET THERE. GUESS WHAT? MY HOUSE IS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE MOPAC AREA. RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE ON WEST 11th, 1905 WEST 11th, RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE. THE CITY TOOK MINE, THE STATE, ALL THEY GAVE ME -- I HAD A NINE-ROOM HOUSE, I HAD FRUIT TREES, HI A HOUSE WITH A BLOCK. \$6,000 IN TOTAL. YOU CANNOT BUY ANOTHER HOME. I HAD (INDISCERNIBLE). FOUR BABIES. AND I'M A WIDOW. \$6,000 FOR THAT MUCH PROPERTY. THE HOUSE DIDN'T MATTER, ONLY SIX FOR THE LAND, AND TOLD THAT YOU CANNOT BUY ANOTHER HOME.

THIS HOME WAS PAID FOR. BUT MY CHILDREN'S HISTORY IS GONE AND HERE I AM AT IT AGAIN. NOW I'VE GOT A HOME AGAIN, I REPORTED BACK -- EVEN ALL THE PEOPLE THERE AT THE COURTHOUSE WHEN I WALKED IN, THEY SAID YOU ARE A PRETTY GOOD WOMAN. EVERYBODY THAT GOT MONEY WAS SUPPOSED TO REPORT BACK IN 10 YEARS. THEY SAID, YOU'RE THE ONLIEST FOOL THAT SHOWED UP DOWN HERE, AND IT'S 10 YEARS TO THE DATE. I SAID, WELL, THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID. I'M A WOMAN OF MY WORD AND HERE I STAND. I SAID, BUT I DID GO THROUGH CONDEMNATION, DIDN'T I? AND THEY GAVE ME \$84, A WASTE OF MY TIME. NOW THAT I'M BACK HERE SHOWING YOU THAT I HOLD UP TO WHAT I SAY. I'M HERE. I SAID, DON'T YOU HAVE SOME KIND OF PAPER YOU'RE GOING TO GIVE ME AND SAY I CAME BACK? THEY SAID NO, MA'AM. YOU'RE THE ONLIEST ONE. YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS -- THIS IS ON MY HEART. I CAN'T KEEP FIGHTING FOR SOMETHING THAT I'M LOSING. ALL THE SLAVES THAT I KNEW WHEN I WAS A LITTLE GIRL SITTING ON THE FRONT PORCHES, GOING TO THE COTTON FIELDS PICKING COTTON DURING THE SUMMER TO PAY FOR SHOES AND NOTEBOOKS AND ALL FOR ME TO GO TO SCHOOL. BUT ONE THING I SAY IS DON'T GIVE UP. AND I'M ASKING YOU ALL DON'T GIVE UP ON CLARKSVILLE. YOU SHOULD BE BEHIND CLARKSVILLE. WE'VE BEEN NEGLECTED, WE'VE BEEN -- OVER THE BOUNDARIES. YOU SHOULD VOTE TONIGHT FOR THE HOUSE THAT THEY SAID, 1850. I PLAYED IN THE YARD OF THAT HOUSE. OF COURSE, I PLAYED IN ALL OF THEM OUT OF ALL THESE YEARS IN CLARKSVILLE.

Mayor Wynn: MS. BROWN, PLEASE CONCLUDE.

AND I WANT TO ASK YOU ALL TONIGHT TO PLEASE VOTE THE HOUSE HISTORICAL, PLEASE. AND PLEASE VOTE THE WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE SIX BLOCK AREA HISTORICAL. AND YOU WILL SAVE A LOT OF TIME IN HEARING FROM US EVERY OTHER MONTH. FOR PEOPLE WHO IS GOING TO COME OVER AND WALK ALL OVER YOU AND ME, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE DOING. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. BROWN. YOU LOOK WELL. [APPLAUSE] SO COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL THE FOLKS WHO WISH TO SPEAK. WE ALSO HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS SIGNED UP NOT WISHING TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THE HISTORIC ZONING.

JOHN VOLTS, SCOTT MORRIS, SIERRA GIVENS AND BRYAN PATE. SO QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? ACTUALLY, FIRST OFF I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO WAIVE COUNCIL RULES TO GO PAST 10:00 P.M. MOTION MADE BY MAYOR PRO TEM THAT I'LL SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. COUNCILMEMBER KIM.

Kim: I HAVE A QUESTION FOR STEVE SADOWSKY ABOUT THE HISTORIC DISTRICT, THE NATIONAL HISTORIC DISTRICT DESIGNATION. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN TERMS OF, I GUESS, THESE PROPERTIES THAT IT IS NOW A NATIONAL HISTORIC DISTRICT?

ACTUALLY, CLARKSVILLE HAS BEEN A REGISTERED HISTORIC DISTRICT SINCE 1980. WHAT IT MEANS IS THAT PROPERTIES ARE DESIGNATED EITHER CONTRIBUTING OR NON-CONTRIBUTING IN THE DISTRICT. ANY APPLICATIONS FOR DEMOLITION PERMIT, A RELOCATION PERMIT OR A BUILDING PERMIT ARE REVIEWED BY THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION. IN THE CASE OF A DEMOLITION PERMIT, THEY MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO YOU ALL AS TO WHETHER THIS HOUSE SHOULD BE DESIGNATED AN INDIVIDUAL CITY LANDMARK.

OKAY. IF THIS AREA BECOMES A LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT, WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN FOR PROPERTIES SUCH AS THIS? I MEAN, WOULD THEY -- SAY THERE'S ANOTHER PROPERTY THAT DOESN'T SEEM TO HAVE HISTORIC VALUE, HAS A LOT OF CHANGES TO IT, THIS IS WHAT STAFF IS RECOMMENDING, THEN WOULD THEY BE REVIEWED ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS JUST AS THEY ARE NOW OR WHAT WOULD BE THE DIFFERENCES UNDER A LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT?

UNDER A LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT, MA'AM, THE CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS WOULD REQUIRE A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FROM THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR DEMOLITION. THEY WOULD ALSO REQUIRE A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR ADDITIONS OR ANYTHING THAT WOULD REQUIRE A BUILDING PERMIT. SO ACTUALLY, THE BAR FOR DETERMINING, WHICH IS A HOUSE THAT IS HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT, BECOMES MUCH HIGHER. IT BECOMES MUCH MORE DIFFICULT TO TEAR

DOWN A HOUSE IN A LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT ONCE IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED CONTRIBUTING TO THIS. THE PROBLEM WITH THIS HOUSE ON WATERSTON IS BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES TO THE WINDOWS AND THE SIDING, I WOULD HAVE A HARD TIME SAYING THAT THIS IS STILL CONTRIBUTING. I THINK THERE HAVE BEEN TOO MANY ARCHITECTURAL CHANGES TO IT TO STILL BE CONTRIBUTING TO THE LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT. TO A POTENTIAL LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT.

Kim: OKAY. SO THIS IS A QUESTION THEN OF WHETHER OR NOT IT'S CONTRIBUTING THEN, THIS PROPERTY? AS TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT?

WELL, THERE IS NO LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT IN CLARKSVILLE NOW. THE QUESTION IS WHETHER IT RISES TO THE STANDARD OF AN INDIVIDUAL CITY LANDMARK BECAUSE WITHOUT THE LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT, THE ISSUE OF CONTRIBUTING OR NON-CONTRIBUTING IS NOT -- IT PREMATURE.

Kim: THAT'S TRUE. THANKS.

Mayor Wynn: MR. SADOWSKY, REMIND ME. THERE ARE EXAMPLES AROUND TOWN, WHETHER WE DESIGNATED THEM AS HISTORIC LANDMARKS OR NOT, WHERE YOU HAVE A SMALL ORIGINAL HOME AND THEN YOU HAVE A PRETTY SIGNIFICANT AND SOMETIMES MULTIPLE SCALE EXPANSION SORT OF BACK BEHIND IT, THAT IS, FROM THE STREET SCAPES YOU STILL SEE THE SMALLER ORIGINAL, EVEN SINGLE STORY HOME, BUT THIS BIG THING ATTACHED TO THE BACK THAT ALLOWED SOMEBODY TO GET VALUE FROM THE PROPERTY. ARE THERE SITUATIONS WHERE THAT IS A DESIGNATED LANDMARK AND IT'S TAKEN OFF THE TAX ROLL OR IS THERE A WAY THAT YOU SORT OF BIFURCATE THE VALUE OF THE ORIGINAL IN THEORY LANDMARK AND THEN THE REST OF THE NEW ADDITION IS ACTUALLY ON THE TAX ROLL? HOW WOULD THAT WORK?

GENERALLY THE WAY WE'VE DONE IT, IF A HOUSE IS A DESIGNATED LANDMARK ALREADY, THEY NEED TO GET A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR ANY ADDITION OR ANY CHANGES TO THE EXTERIOR FROM THE LANDMARK

COMMISSION. THE ENTIRE PARCEL GENERALLY IS ZONED HISTORIC, SO ADDITIONS WOULD BE -- AS LONG AS THEY'RE ON THAT PARCEL, THEN THE ADDED VALUE THAT THAT ADDITION WOULD STILL BE PART OF THE -- PART OF THE HISTORIC ZONING AND WOULD RECEIVE THE TAX BENEFIT. WE HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF CASES WHERE JUST THE FOOTPRINT OF THE HOUSE OR THE FOOTPRINT OF THE HOUSE AND MAYBE A 20-FOOT BUFFER AROUND IT HAS BEEN ZONED HISTORIC AND THE REST OF THE PARCEL HAS NOT BEEN. JUST AN EXAMPLE THAT COMES TO MIND IS THE OLD ARC BUILDING AT MLK AND RIO RIO GRANDE WHERE THE MANSION, WHICH IS NOW THE HOTEL, THE JUDGE'S HILL HOTEL, IS ZONED HISTORIC, BUT THE ANNEX, WHICH IS BEHIND IT TO THE NORTH ON RIO GRANDE, IS NOT PART OF THE HISTORICALLY ZONED PARCEL. SO IT DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR THE PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION THAT THE CITY GIVES, NOR DOES THE LANDMARK COMMISSION HAVE THE JURISDICTION TO REVIEW ANY CHANGES TO THE PART THAT IS NOT HISTORICALLY ZONED. DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

Mayor Wynn: YES, SIR. THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY.

Dunkerley: WE'VE HAD SEVERAL -- AT LEAST TWO HOUSES THIS EVENING WHERE THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS GOING TO MOVE THEM AND RESTORE THEM IN OTHER AREAS OF THE COMMUNITY. HAS THIS BEEN CONSIDERED IN THIS CASE? I THINK IN THIS CASE IT IS A VALUABLE HOUSE FROM A PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE, AND AGAIN PROBABLY DOESN'T MEET THE INDIVIDUAL HISTORIC LANDMARK DESIGNATION, BUT IS THERE A POSSIBILITY THAT IF THE OWNER IS GOING TO DEMOLISH IT IF THERE'S A POSSIBILITY THAT HE WOULD PERMIT A GROUP TO MOVE IT AND SAVE IT JUST FOR THAT PERSONAL HISTORY THAT'S INVOLVED?

WE EXPLORED THAT POSSIBILITY. WE'VE LOOKED INTO ALL KINDS OF WAYS TO TRY TO PRESERVE THIS HOUSE BECAUSE, LIKE I SAID, IT IS A PART OF CLARKSVILLE. THE TWO OTHER HOUSES THAT CAME BEFORE YOU TONIGHT ARE MUCH MORE HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT. THEY'RE OLDER, THEY'RE ARCHITECTURALLY INTACT, AND THE AGREEMENTS THAT WE'RE WORKING OUT ON THOSE THAT

WE'RE ENGINEERING IS TO MOVE THEM TO OTHER LOCATIONS IN CLARKSVILLE SO THAT THAT HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF THEIR ORIGINAL NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT IS MAINTAINED. WE EXPLORED THE POSSIBILITY OF MOVING THIS HOUSE INTO ANOTHER LOCATION IN CLARKSVILLE. THE CLARKSVILLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION REALLY IS THE LEADER IN ENGINEERING THESE SOLUTIONS. WITH THE TWO HOUSES THAT THEY'RE MOVING THIS YEAR, THE JANUARY HOUSE AND THE DAVIS HOUSE, THEY DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES OR THE LAND TO PUT THIS ON TO KEEP IT IN CLARKSVILLE, SO IF IT WERE MOVED TO ANOTHER LOCATION, IT WOULD LIKELY BE OUTSIDE OF THE CLARKSVILLE NEIGHBORHOOD, IT WOULD LOSE ITS CONTEXT, AND ALSO, TOO, THE TWO OTHER HOUSES ARE IMPORTANT TO MAINTAIN IN CLARKSVILLE, BUT TRUTHFULLY I'D RATHER NOT SET A PRECEDENT OF MOVING HOUSES AROUND WHENEVER THEY'RE IN THE WAY. >>

DUNKERLEY: AND WHEN WAS THIS HOUSE CONSTRUCTED?

THE HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1930.

Dunkerley: 1930. OKAY.

YES, MA'AM. THE THE OTHER HOUSES THAT YOU WERE DEALING WITH, THE JANUARY HOUSE AND THE DAVIS HOUSE, ARE BOTH 1909, 1912, SO THEY'RE SIGNIFICANTLY OLDER, REPRESENT ANOTHER PHASE OF CLARKSVILLE HISTORY AS WELL.

Dunkerley: THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: MR. SADOWSKY, I NOTICE IN THE PHOTO OF THE HOUSE THAT IT DOES HAVE A PORTION IN THE BACK. IS THAT AN ADDITION OR WAS THAT PART OF THE ORIGINAL STRUCTURE?

COUNCILMEMBER, THAT'S VERY HARD TO TELL BECAUSE THERE ARE NO BUILDING PERMITS IN CITY FILES FOR THIS HOUSE AT ALL, SO WHAT WE HAVE TO DO IS LOOK AT THE

SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY MAPS, AND IT WASN'T UNTIL 1935 THAT THIS HOUSE SHOWS UP, AND IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TELL WHETHER THAT WAS AN ORIGINAL PART OF THE HOUSE OR NOT. MY GUESS IS THAT IT IS A LATER ADDITION, BUT I COULDN'T GIVE YOU A DATE OF CONSTRUCTION ON IT.

Alvarez: SO WE DON'T KNOW IF IT HAS THE SAME TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION?

I BELIEVE IT DOES. I BELIEVE IT DOES. OR SIMILAR CONSTRUCTION. THIS HOUSE IS A SINGLE WALL CONSTRUCTION, SO THE OUTSIDE BOARD IS THE SAME AS THE INSIDE. I VISITED THE HOUSE BEFORE MAKING AN EVALUATION ON IT, AND IT'S GOT -- IT'S GOT INTERIOR PANELING ON THE EXTERIOR NOW, BUT THERE ARE AREAS WHERE IT'S STARTED TO PEEL BACK ALREADY AND I COULD SEE WHAT'S UNDERNEATH. AND ACTUALLY IT'S A PART BETWEEN THE GABLE PART AND THE SHED ROOF ADDITION IN THE BACK AND IT DOESN'T APPEAR THAT THERE'S ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT'S UNDERNEATH IT.

Alvarez: OKAY. AND A QUESTION FOR THE APPLICANT OR OWNER. I THINK WE HEARD FROM SOME OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ADVOCATES ABOUT THE SIZE OF THE LOT AND HOW THIS LOT MIGHT STILL BE DEVELOPABLE IF THIS STRUCTURE WAS PRESERVED IN SOME FASHION. HOW BIG IS THE LOT AND IS THAT SOMETHING YOU MIGHT ENTERTAIN?

THOSE SUGGESTIONS CAME UP AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING AS WELL ABOUT ADDING A NEW HOUSE ON TO THIS OLD PORTION OF THE HOUSE. COUNCILMEMBERS, THE LOT -- I'M SORRY, THAT WAS ONE OF YOUR QUESTIONS. LET ME FLIP OVER AND I CAN TELL YOU HOW BIG THE LOT IS. THE HOUSE SITS TOWARDS THE FRONT OF THE LOT AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE PHOTOGRAPHS, BUT THE LOT -- I THINK THERE'S A SURVEY INCLUDED IN THE BACKUP MATERIAL. WE DID PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR SOME OF THOSE -- AS COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY ASKED, AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE RELOCATED AND POSTPONE FOR THE FIRST HEARING. AT THAT TIME THEY DIDN'T WANT TO DO THAT, BUT I'M LOOKING AT A SMALL SCALE. IT APPEARS THE

LOT IS 63 FEET IN WIDTH FOR 124 FEET IN-DEPTH. COUNCILMEMBERS, WE ARE NOT -- WE'RE PROPOSING TO BUILD A SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSE ON THIS. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO DESTROY CLARKSVILLE AS AN HISTORIC DISTRICT. WHO THE OWNERS MAY BE AND LIVE THERE, WE DON'T KNOW IF THEY WILL BE OF COLOR OR CAUCASIANS OR WHATEVER. BUT THE HOUSE THAT YOU SEE HERE IN THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO YOU, THE HOUSE IS PRETTY WELL IN A VERY POOR CONDITION. YES, I SUPPOSE YOU COULD PUMP LOTS OF MONEY INTO IT AND MAYBE REHABILITATE IT, BUT IT JUST DOESN'T SEEM TO MEET THE NEEDS AS ACCORDING TO THE TWO ENGINEERS THAT LOOKED AT IT.

Alvarez: I'M JUST -- I'M NOT ABLE TO READ HOW THE COUNCIL IS GOING TO ACT HERE, BUT IT MAY BE THAT THERE WOULD BE AN INTEREST IN SOME KIND OF COMPROMISE, BUT THAT'S THE ONLY REASON I WAS ASKING.

YES, SIR.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION ON Z-15. I'M STRUGGLING ALSO. MR. SADOWSKY, IF THE COUNCIL WERE TO VOTE FOR HISTORIC ZONING, IT'S MY GUESS YOU'RE NOT READY FOR THREE READINGS. THERE'S NOT AN ORDINANCE WRITTEN?

THERE IS NO ORDINANCE, NO, SIR. IT'S UP FOR FIRST READING ONLY TONIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: AND WE DO HAVE A VALID PETITION, CORRECT?

RIGHT.

Mayor Wynn: SO COUNCIL, THERE BEING A VALID PETITION, IT WILL TAKE SIX VOTES TO ZONE IT HISTORIC, BUT ONLY ON THIRD READING.

CORRECT.

Mayor Wynn: AND MR. SADOWSKY, HELP ME THINK THROUGH IT AGAIN. SO GENERALLY SPEAKING, AN ENTIRE PARCEL, ESSENTIALLY THE LOT ESSENTIALLY IS ZONED HISTORIC

WHEN WE DO THESE. ARE THERE EXAMPLES OF A FOOTPRINT HISTORIC ZONING, NOT UNLIKE HOW WE DO LIKE CS LIQUOR STORE ZONING INSIDE A STRIP CENTER WHERE JUST THAT STRIP SPACE IS ALLOWED TO HAVE CS-1 ZONING, HAVE WE DONE THAT IN THE PAST?

YOU HAVE, SIR. A GOOD EXAMPLE IS DOWN NOONING AVENUE. THE MOORE WILLIAMS HOUSE AT 1312, THE HOUSE AND A 20-FOOT BUFFER AROUND IT WAS ZONED HISTORIC. THE REMAINDER WAS TRACT WAS NOT, WHICH ALLOWED FOR ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT AROUND IT.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL, I TELL YOU, I'M STRUGGLING WITH THE FACT THAT TECHNICALLY LOOKING AT THIS STRUCTURE, LOOKING AT LITERALLY THE MATERIALS, IS THAT IN AND OF ITSELF I GENERALLY WOULD NOT VOTE FOR HISTORIC ZONING FOR THIS STRUCTURE IN THIS CONDITION, BUT I'M VERY SYMPATHETIC TO THE CONCEPT OF THE DISTRICT AND THE SCALE AND SCOPE OF WHAT COULD STILL OCCUR, HOPEFULLY IN A VERY EQUITABLE WAY FOR THE PROPERTY OWNER. I'M GLAD THAT WE WON'T BE ABLE TO TAKE ACTION ON ALL THREE READINGS UNLESS WE WERE TO GO AHEAD AND DENY TONIGHT, BECAUSE PERHAPS THERE'S A WAY WITH SOME TYPE OF -- PERHAPS A FOOTPRINT ZONING WITH THE FACT THAT THAT WOULD BE OFF THE TAX ROLL, THERE MIGHT BE A FINANCIAL JUSTIFICATION FOR WHAT WOULD HAVE TO BE, YOU KNOW, A DRAMATIC RECONSTRUCTION OF THIS SMALL FRONT STRUCTURE. AND THEN PERHAPS THE ABILITY FOR THE OWNER TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, A FINANCIAL BENEFIT OF WHAT APPEARS TO BE A VERY BUILDABLE LOT BEHIND THE STRUCTURE.

IF I COULD ADD ONE THING, SIR? WITH LOCAL HISTORICAL DISTRICTS, ONE OF THE PROVISIONS THAT YOU ALL APPROVED IS AN INCENTIVE TO REHABILITATE CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS OR BUILDINGS THAT ARE POTENTIALLY CONTRIBUTING TO THE LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT, WHICH WOULD MEAN A FREEZE AT THE PREREHAB VALUE OF THIS HOUSE FOR SEVEN YEARS IF THE OWNER PUT IN 25% OF THE VALUE OF THE STRUCTURE INTO QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURES AND RESTORED THIS HOUSE SO THAT IT WOULD BE CONTRIBUTING TO THE CLARKSVILLE HISTORIC DISTRICT AGAIN, THEN THIS HOUSE

WOULD QUALIFY FOR THAT AND THE PROPERTY OWNER COULD GET A PROPERTY TAX FREEZE AT THE PREREHABILITATION VALUE FOR SEVEN YEARS. SO THAT'S AN ADDED INCENTIVE THAT MAYBE WOULD NOT NECESSITATE LANDMARK DESIGNATION FOR IT. ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE TO THROW OUT THERE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. WE'RE STRUGGLING FOR IDEAS. COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL.

Leffingwell: WELL, I KIND OF SHARE YOUR DILEMMA IN A WAY, BUT EARLIER I KIND OF PICKED UP THAT THERE HAD BEEN A LACK OF COOPERATION AND DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE APPLICANT AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD PEOPLE WHO WANT TO TRY TO RESTORE THE HOUSE. SO I'M WONDERING IF THERE'S ANY VALUE IN VOTING TO POSTPONE THIS WITH THE IDEA THAT THEY COULD GET TOGETHER AND PERHAPS INSPECT THE SITE OF THE HOUSE AND -- INSIDE OF THE HOUSE AND HAVE A BETTER IDEA OF WHAT THE OPPORTUNITIES WERE AND ALSO EXPLORE OTHER POSSIBILITIES SUCH AS THE 20-FOOT BUFFER HISTORICAL DESIGNATION WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF DEVELOPING THE BACK. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT BOTH SIDES WOULD BE WILLING TO GO THROUGH OR NOT. MAYBE IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO HEAR FM THEM ON THAT.

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

Mayor Wynn: MR. BENNETT?

COUNCILMEMBERS, IF YOU'RE LOOKING FOR A POSTPONEMENT, WE CAN CERTAINLY AGREE TO THAT; HOWEVER, THE OPPORTUNITY WAS PROVIDED FROM THE FIRST HEARING AT PLANNING COMMISSION TO THE SECOND HEARING AT PLANNING COMMISSION, AND AT THAT TIME THE CCDC IN PUBLIC HEARING WAS TO CONTACT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY OR MR. SADOWSKY SO THAT THEY COULD LOOK AT IT AND DETERMINE THAT. AND IT WASN'T THAT WE WERE SUPPOSED TO CONTACT THEM, BECAUSE THERE'S MANY PEOPLE THERE TO CONTACT. THEY DID CONTACT STEVE, I THOUGHT THEY LOOKED AT IT AND SAID THAT THEY DIDN'T NEED IT, DIDN'T WANT IT OR SOMETHING CLOSE TO

THAT. THE HOUSE HAS BEEN UNLOCKED. THERE'S NO NEED TO LOCK IT UP, THERE'S NOTHING TO PROTECT OR SECURE. ANYONE CAN GO IN AND LOOK AT IT WHENEVER THEY WOULD LIKE. BUT YES, I SUPPOSE WE COULD ALLOW AN ADDITIONAL TIME FOR THEM TO REVIEW THE STRUCTURE. THE STRUCTURE IS IN PRETTY POOR CONDITION, AS I TOLD YOU. WITH A PAINT JOB JUST DRIVING BY, BUT IF YOU'RE AVAILABLE TO STOP AND LOOK AT IT, IT'S OPEN, FEEL FREE TO, IT'S PRETTY WELL A DETERIORATED STRUCTURE.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU.

JUST FOR THE RECORD, I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THIS HOUSE SINCE THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION OF IT AS HISTORIC. MRS. BROWN AND I WERE AT THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION. I WAS AT BOTH MEETINGS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND HAVE APPEARED EVERY TIME IT BEEN ON THE CITY COUNCIL RECORD. THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION WAS THAT THE OWNER OF THE HOUSE WOULD CONTACT THE CCDC. THAT WAS THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. THE CCDC DID NOT HEAR FROM THE OWNER OF THE HOUSE. THERE WAS NEVER ANY EFFORT ON THE PART OF THE OWNER TO DISCUSS WITH THE CCDC A SHARED VISION OF HOW THE HOUSE COULD BE REHABED AND PRESERVED AND MAINTAIN THE HISTORIC STRUCTURE OF THE LARGER NEIGHBORHOOD. FOR THE RECORD, THE OWNER DID NOT -- DESPITE INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTACT THE --

Mayor Wynn: MA'AM, CALM DOWN. I'LL TURN THE MIC OFF AND YOU ARE ABOUT TO LOSE SOME OF YOUR VOTES. YOUR MIC IS OFF. GO SIT DOWN, PLEASE. I'M TRYING TO SAVE THIS HOUSE AND YOU'RE ABOUT TO LOSE IT. EXCUSE ME, COUNCIL. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

Thomas: GO AHEAD.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN.

McCracken: YEAH. IF IT'S THE WILL OF THE COUNCIL TO POSTPONE, THAT'S FINE, BUT I JUST DON'T BELIEVE THIS HOUSE IS HISTORIC AND I COULD NOT VOTE TO ZONE IT

HISTORIC, SO I'LL BE VOTING NO ON IT.

Mayor Wynn: FURTHER COMMENTS? MOTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ.

Alvarez: MAYOR, I WOULD MOVE THAT WE POSTPONE AND BRING IT BACK JUST TO SEE IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE WORKED OUT. AND IF NOT -- I'M TORN ON THE ISSUE TOO BECAUSE I DO SEE THE CONDITION OF THE HOUSE AND THE ALTERATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. BUT YOU ALSO SEE A LOT OF THE HISTORY THERE AS WELL JUST FROM THE WAY THE STRUCTURE SITS. BUT I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO SEE SORT OF HOW IT FITS IN AND IN THE EXISTING CHARACTER CURRENTLY, WHICH I DON'T HAVE FIRSTHAND INFORMATION ABOUT, SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT AS WELL. BUT AT LEAST TRY TO FIGURE OUT IF THERE IS SOME KIND OF COMPROMISE AND ENCOURAGE THE PARTIES TO TRY TO COME TOGETHER AND FIGURE OUT IF THERE IS SOME MIDDLE GROUND. AT LEAST FROM THE MESSAGES I'M GETTING FROM THE APPLICANT, I'M NOT SURE HOW FEASIBLE THAT IS, BUT I CERTAINLY WOULD ENCOURAGE THAT KIND OF DISCUSSION, AND WE ALREADY KNOW THERE'S ONE VOTE TO NOT SUPPORT HISTORIC, SO THERE'S ONE OTHER VOTE, THEN THIS LOT AND THIS HOUSE WILL NOT BE ZONED HISTORIC. SO I WOULD LIKE TO ENCOURAGE -- AT LEAST LEAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY OPEN, BUT JUST BRING IT BACK AT THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING AND SEE IF ANY PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO POSTPONE -- CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING, BUT POSTPONE ACTION UNTIL JULY 28TH, 2005. I'LL SECOND THAT. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF SEVEN TO ZERO. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH.

LAST ONE.

Mayor Wynn: YES.

MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THE -- THIS IS CASE C-14-H 99-0013. IT'S AN APPEAL OF A DENIAL OF CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE,

WHICH IS LOCATED AT 910 POPULAR STREET IN WEST CAMPUS. I'M GOING TO CONFINE MY REMARKS TO THE PROCESS. THE APPLICANT IS MUCH BETTER ABLE TO GIVE A DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND THEN YOU CAN MAKE YOUR DECISIONS FROM THAT. UNDER OUR CODE THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION REVIEWS APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR ANY CHANGE TO THE EXTERIOR OR THE SITE OF A DES I DESIGNATED HISTORIC LANDMARK. THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE WAS DECLARED AN HISTORIC LANDMARK IN 1999. THE APPLICANT PROPOSED A FIVE-STORY CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX ON A PORTION OF THE PROPERTY. THE PROPERTY IS A VERY LARGE PIECE THAT'S ON POPULAR WHICH IS ACTUALLY KIND OF AN ALLEY IN WEST CAMPUS, BUT IT GOES DOWN THE HILL TOWARDS LAMAR. AND THE PROPOSAL FOR THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX IS GOING TO BE BEHIND THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE ON THE DOWNHILL SIDE, THE SLOPE DOWN TO LAMAR BOULEVARD AND SHOAL CREEK. THE COMPLEX WAS GOING TO BE 50 FEET TALL. IT WAS GOING TO BE FOUR STORIES WITH MASONRY VENEER ABOUT 21 FEET UP, AND THEN ALUMINUM SCREENS, STUCCO. THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE WAS A LIMESTONE HOUSE SO IT WOULD COMPLIMENT, NOT IMITATE THE STONE ON THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE ITSELF. THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, AS I SAID, WAS DESIGNATED IN 1999. IT'S A VERY SIGNIFICANT HOUSE. IT'S SET IN PRETTY MUCH AN OASIS IN WEST CAMPUS. IT'S SURROUNDED BY A VERY LARGE STUDENT APARTMENT COMPLEXES, AND IT'S ON A LARGE PIECE OF GROUND. SOME OF IT HAS BEEN TERRACED. AND ORIGINALLY THERE WERE GARDENS THERE, BUT FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS THE GARDENS HAVE DISAPPEARED. THE RETAINING WALLS STILL REMAIN AND THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX WAS GOING TO TAKE OUT SOME OF THOSE RETAINING WALLS. STAFF SUPPORTED THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR SEVERAL REASONS. FIRST OF ALL, TO HELP GUARANTEE THE PRESERVATION OF THE HOUSE AND TO PROMOTE THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF THIS AREA IN WEST CAMPUS. THE FAMILY THAT OWNED THIS HOUSE LIVED IN HOUSTON AND WAS HAVING DIFFICULTIES IN MAINTAINING THE HOUSE, THUS THE REASON FOR THEIR SALE OF THE PROPERTY. THERE WAS A GARAGE ON THE SITE THAT HAD

COMPLETELY FALLEN DOWN THAT NEEDED TO BE TORN DOWN A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO. THERE WAS GOING TO BE A FUND FROM THE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION TO RESTORE AND PRESERVE THE HOUSE, SO IT SEEMED LIKE A VERY GOOD PROPOSAL FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. SECONDLY THE RETAINING WALLS AND THE TERRACES WERE GOING TO BE REUSED IN THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX, SO THEY WERE GOING TO BE PORTIONS OF THE LANDSCAPING REINCORPORATED INTO THE GROUNDS. THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX WAS GOING TO BE APPROXIMATELY 20 FEET FROM THE LINE, THE HISTORICALLY ZONED LINE FOR THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, SO THERE WAS GOING TO BE AN AREA BETWEEN THE -- AS I SAID, THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX WAS GOING TO BE HIGHER THAN THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, BUT ON THE DOWNHILL SIDE OF THE PROPERTY SO THAT THE VIEWS FROM POPLAR, WHICH IS NOT THE PRIMARY VIEW OF THE HOUSE, BUT STILL THE ONE THAT MOST PEOPLE SEE IN THAT AREA AND HAVE PROVIDED FOR THE PRESERVATION OF THE HOUSE, SO STAFF SUPPORTS THE ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THOSE REASONS. WHEN THE LANDMARK COMMISSION ENTERTAINS APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS THEY FOLLOW THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS, AND LET ME READ TO YOU WHAT THE STANDARDS FOR REVIEW ARE THE ONES THAT APPLY TO THIS CASE ARE FIRST OF ALL, DISTINCTIVE STYLE LIST TICK FEATURES OR EXAMPLES OF SKILLED CRAFTSMANSHIP THAT CHARACTERIZE A BUILDING STRUCTURE OR SITE SHALL BE TREATED WITH SENSITIVITY. SECOND, CONTEMPORARY DESIGN FOR ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO EXISTING PROPERTIES ARE APPROPRIATE WHEN SUCH ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS DO NOT DESTROY SIGNIFICANT HISTORIC ARCHITECTURAL OR CULTURAL MATERIAL AND SUCH DESIGN IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE SIZE, SCALE, COLOR, MATERIAL AND CHARACTER OF THE PROPERTY, NEIGHBORHOOD OR ENVIRONMENT. STAFF BELIEVED THAT THE APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS COMPLIED WITH THESE TWO STANDARDS FOR THE LANDMARK COMMISSION TO REVIEW. THE DISTINCT LIVE STYLE IS STICK FEATURES OF THE HOUSE WOULD BE PRESERVED, THE CONDOMINIUM

COMPLEX IS GOING TO BE A SEPARATE BUILDING. IT WAS NOT GOING TO BE ATTACHED TO THIS BUILDING. AND THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE SITE FOR THE HISTORIC BUILDING WOULD BE PRESERVED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS COMPLEX. THE QUESTION I THINK FOR THE LANDMARK COMMISSION AND FOR YOU ALL TONIGHT IS THE LAST SENTENCE OF THAT SECOND STANDARD, WHICH SAYS THAT SUCH DESIGN IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE SIZE, SCALE, COLOR, MATERIAL AND CHARACTER OF THE PROPERTY, NEIGHBORHOOD OR ENVIRONMENT. STAFF FELT THAT THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX, BECAUSE OF ITS INCORPORATION OF SIMILAR COMPATIBLE MATERIALS AND ITS PLACEMENT DOWNHILL FROM THE HISTORIC HOUSE, THAT THIS ASPECT OF THE STANDARD FOR REVIEW FOR THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS WAS ALSO MET. THE QUESTION, I BELIEVE, IS REALLY DOWN TO THE SCALE OF THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX. AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE LANDMARK COMMISSION HAD TROUBLE IN DECIDING, AND THUS BE APPEALED TO THE COUNCIL. AS I SAID, I WANTED TO GIVE YOU JUST AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS AND HOW WE GOT HERE ON THIS BECAUSE THIS IS A VERY RARE OCCURRENCE WHERE WE HAVE AN APPEAL FROM THE LANDMARK COMMISSION DECISION OF A DENIAL OF A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. AS I SAID, THE APPLICANT IS HERE ALONG WITH ARCHITECTS. THEY CAN BETTER DESCRIBE POW FOR YOU THE DETAILS OF WHAT THEY'RE PROPOSING, BUT I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. SADOWSKY. SO AT THIS TIME WE HAVE THE FIVE-MINUTE APPEAL, AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM FOLKS SUPPORTING THE APPEAL, THOSE OPPOSED AND THEN A THREE-MINUTE REBUTTAL.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, NEW MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. MAYOR PRO TEM. MY NAME IS MIKE McHONE, I'M THE APPLICANT ON THE APPEAL OF THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE BY THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION AT 910 POPLAR STREET. WE HAVE SEVERAL SPEAKERS AND WE WANT TO GIVE A COHERENT PRESENTATION, AND I BELIEVE WE HAD A COUPLE OF PEOPLE SIGNED UP TO DONATE TIME. I HAVE MR. LAYERSON HERE TO DONATE

THREE MINUTES OF TIME TO ME AND I HAVE A LONG TASK OF GOING THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCESS AND EXPLAIN TO YOU. MR. SADOWSKY HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OF EXPLAINING TO YOU HOW WE GOT HERE. THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE REQUIRES THAT WE GO TO THE LANDMARK COMMISSION FOR ANY CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR ANY CHANGES TO AN HISTORICALLY ZONED SITE.

Mayor Wynn: HANG ON A SECOND THEN. IS JOHN LAIRSON HERE?

YES.

Mayor Wynn: HOW ABOUT MARY ABERT?

I BELIEVE THE LAST HEARING OUT LASTED MOST OF THE FOLKS WE HAD HERE. I THINK MR. LAIRSON IS THE ONLY ONE. THE WAY THE COMPUTER SYSTEM WORKS, IT WON'T LET YOU SIGN UP NEW PEOPLE AFTER YOU GET TO YOUR 15 MINUTES, SO I BELIEVE I HAVE SIX MINUTES EXTRA IF I NEED THEM.

Mayor Wynn: MR. MCHONE, YOU WILL HAVE 11 MINUTES. YOU'VE ALREADY USED ONE OF THEM.

I'M MOVING QUICKLY, SIR. I'M AHEAD OF MY SCHEDULE. I APPRECIATE THE LATENESS OF THE HOUR AND I APPRECIATE YOU TAKING AND SUSPENDING YOUR RULES TO HEAR US TONIGHT. THE REQUEST FOR THE MODIFICATIONS UNDER THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, AND I SKIPPED THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND GO DIRECTLY TO THE COUNCIL. AS MR. SADOWSKY HAS STATED TODAY, THE COUNCIL IS ASKED TO EITHER GRANT OR DENIAL THE APPEAL. I WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THE -- AS SHOWN IN YOUR BACKUP, THE PROFESSIONAL CITY STAFF CHARGED WITH UP HOLDING THE HISTORIC ZONING ORDINANCE SUPPORTS THE REQUEST MADE BY THE OWNER AND IN FACT THE REQUEST WAS OFFERED FOR CONSENT APPROVAL AT THE FEBRUARY 28TH HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION. I'M ASKING THE CITY COUNCIL SUPPORT THE CITY STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION WITH ALL THE CONDITION AND APPROVE THE REQUESTED CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. I

SHOULD ALSO STATE THAT THAT IS THE DESIRE OF THE OWNERS. THEY'RE SOLE DESIRE IN THIS CASE IS TO PRESERVE THE HISTORIC HOUSE BY CREATING THE FUNDS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE THOSE RESTORATION EXPENSES. MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, AND IF YOU COULD CHANGE THE SECOND SLIDE THERE, BM BM IS LOCATED IN THE -- IS LOCATED IN THE RECENTLY PASSED UNIVERSITY AREA NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY, THE UNO PLAN, AND THE RED DOT THERE LOCATES IT IN THE 50-FOOT ZONE, WHICH IS A LOWER ZONING HEIGHT DESIGNATION THAN THE 60-FOOT BASE DISTRICT UNDER THIS MF-4 THAT IT HAS. IT'S THE MIDDLE OF THE WEST CAMPUS AREA AND IS PART OF THE COMBINED CENTRAL AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. IN 1999, AS MR. SADOWSKY STATED, THE HOUSE WAS ZONED HISTORIC AND I IN FACT SUPPORTED THAT ZONING. I THINK IT IS A FINE EXAMPLE OF THE ITALIAN HOUSE AND SHOULD BE PRESERVED. IF YOU WOULD GO TO THE NEXT PICTURE, PLEASE. UNFORTUNATELY, THE HOUSE IS BLOCKED FROM PUBLIC VIEW BY THE OVERGROWN VEGETATION AND THE -- HAS SIGNIFICANT DEFERRED MAINTENANCE. IF YOU CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT PICTURE, THE COUNCIL CAN LOOK AT THOSE AND SEE THE HOUSE IS LOCATED BEHIND THE HEDGE THERE THAT YOU CAN BARELY SEE THE HOUSE. AND WHEN YOU GET AROUND THE POPLAR YOU CAN SEE THE ROOF LINE OF THE HOUSE. AND WHEN YOU GO EAST YOU CAN SEE THE HOUSE SHOWING UP FOR A BRIEF MOMENT. IN 19 -- IN 2004 THE HOUSE WAS OFFERED FOR SALE FOR \$495,000. THE LISTING AGREEMENT STATED THAT THE HOUSE HAD, AND I QUOTE, IN THE COMMENT SECTION, QUOTE, ROOM TO EXPAND ON A .7-ACRE LOT TRACT. THE SELLER'S DISCLOSURE NOTICE ALSO STATES THAT THE SELLER'S HAVE NOT OCCUPIED THE PROPERTY IN THE LAST 22 YEARS. THE PROPERTY WAS PLACED UNDER CONTRACT BY MR. STEVEN SOUR. MR. SOUR NEGOTIATED WITH MR. SADOWSKY ON THE PLANS FOR THE PROPERTY, AND IN FACT HE INDICATED THAT HE HAD SHARED SOME OF THOSE PLANS WITH THE SELLER'S AGENT; HOWEVER, IN EARLY DECEMBER OF LAST YEAR AFTER MR. SOUR HAD ASKED FOR AN EXTENSION, HE RECEIVED A REPLY FROM THE REAL ESTATE BROKER REPRESENTING THE SALE OF THE HOUSE. THE REAL ESTATE BROKER, OLD AUSTIN REALTOR HELPING PEOPLE SAVE OLD AUSTIN ONE HOUSE AT A TIME, FAXED TO

MR. HOWARD A MEMO THAT SAID THE SELLERS HAVE REQUESTED YOUR REQUEST FOR THE EXTENSION OF A CLOSING OF YOUR RESIDENTIAL CONTRACT AND HAVE AGREED THAT THEY WILL NOT -- ARE NOT WILLING TO EXTEND -- TO GRANT AN EXTENSION. THEY HAVE OTHER OFFERS THAT WEIGH IN FAVOR OF PROCEEDING WITH A BUYER THAT COULD CLOSE IN A TIMELY WAY. I AM RELATIVELY CONFIDENT THAT THE LANDMARK COMMISSION WILL ALLOW A PROJECT TO BE BUILT ON THE PROPERTY AND THINK IT WILL BE A GOOD INVESTMENT FOR ANYONE WHO BUYS IT. I HOPE YOU AGREE. MR. BROWN AND MR. HAIL SIGNED A CONTRACT AND CLOSED THE PROPERTY FOR \$505,000 IN DECEMBER -- BY DECEMBER 31st OF 2004. I WAS ASKED BY MR. BROWN AND MR. HAIL TO ASSIST IN THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. MR. STAN HOUSE OF TEAM HOUSE WAS ON BOARD AS THE ARCHITECT. SINCE THE PROJECT IS LOCATED IN BOTH THE UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY DISTRICT WE ALL AGREED THAT THE PROJECT MIF FILLED THE REQUIREMENTS OF BOTH THE HISTORIC ZONING AND THE UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY. WE HAD TO RESTORE IT AND ADAPTIVELY REUSE THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, AVOIDING ADDITIONS IF POSSIBLE AND RESTORE THE VIEW OF THE HOUSE FROM THE PUBLIC STREET, THAT BEING POPLAR. WE HAD TO FOLLOW LOW THE UNO DESIGN GUIDELINES, ESPECIALLY THOSE WITH THAT -- THAT SPEAKS SPECIFICALLY TO BUILDING 8 JAY SENT TO HISTORIC STRUCTURES. WE HAD TO DO SMART HOUSING PER UNO, THAT'S THE GREEN BUILDING, 10% AFFORDABLE FOR 80% OF THE MEDIAN PRICE FOR 15 YEARS, AND PAY A 50-CENT PER SQUARE FOOT FEE INTO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. AND FINALLY, WE HAD TO INSTALL THE 23rd STREET STREET SCAPE AGAINST BOTH POPLAR STREET. MR. SADOWSKY REVIEWED OUR PROPOSAL AND WE MODIFIED IT TO ADDRESS HIS CONCERNS. WE WENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION TO GET MORE ACCURATE -- MORE INPUT AND DISCOVERED THAT WE NEEDED TO MODIFY THE PLANS SLIGHTLY TO SAVE THE SPECIMEN DURANT OAK AND WE ASKED ANN ARBORIST TO JOIN OUR TEAM TO SAVE ALL THE PROTECTED TREES ON SITE. WE PROCEEDED TO THE LANDMARK COMMISSION AND TO SEEK THEIR SUPPORT FOR WHERP PROUD OF WHAT WE HAD DEVELOPED AND WE ALSO

SENT A COPY OF OUR PLANS TO THE FORMER OWNERS EXPECTING FROM THEM BASED UPON CONVERSATIONS THAT HAD OCCURRED AT CLOSING THAT THEY WOULD GIVE US A LETTER OF SUPPORT. AT THE FEBRUARY MEETING OF THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION, TWO KEY MEMBERS WERE ABSENT, BOTH OF THE ARCHITECTS. AND IN MY OPINION THE COMMISSION WAS SWAYED BY AN EMOTIONAL EYE PEEL BY THE FORMER OWNERS, THE REAL ESTATE AGENT WHO IS ACTIVE IN HISTORIC SALES OF PROPERTY, AND THE ARCHITECTURAL HISTORIAN WHO HAD BEEN HIRED BY THE FAMILY IN 1999 TO WRITE THE NOMINATION FOR THE HISTORIC ZONING. AT THE HEARING THE FORMER OWNERS MAINTAINED THAT THEY HAD RELUCTANTLY SOLD THE PROPERTY BECAUSE THEY COULD NO LONGER AFFORD IT. THE CURRENT OWNERS HAD PAID 5000 HOW DOLLARS FOR THE PROPERTY AND WERE BEING EXPECTED WITH NO DEED RESTRICTIONS PLACED ON THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME OF THE SALE AND NOW THEY'RE BEING EXPECTED TO RETURN THE HOUSE AFTER PAYING FOR IT TO A CONDITION THAT WOULD REPRESENT WHAT IT WAS LIKE WHEN THE GRANDMOTHER HAD LIVED THERE SOME 30 YEARS EARLIER. THE REAL ESTATE AGENT SAID THAT SHE FELT THAT A MORE MODEST DEVELOPMENT ON THE PROPERTY WAS APPROPRIATE. IN OTHER WORDS, IF WE WERE TO BUILD SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES ON THE PROPERTY, IE, AND REDUCE THE DENSITY, IT WOULD BE BETTER, BUT THIS IS NO THE UNO DISTRICT, AND OUR OWN CONSULTANT, CHARLES HIEM STAFF OF CAPITAL MARKETING RESEARCH, AND I PROVIDED YOU A COPY OF HIS LETTER, STATED THAT TOWNHOMES IN THIS AREA WOULDN'T WORK FINANCIALLY. IT'S JUST NOT AN AREA THAT IS APPROPRIATE TO BUILD TOWNHOMES IN. THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO BUILD TOWNHOMES WE SHOULD DO THIS IN TARRYTOWN OR IN PEMBERTON HEIGHTS. THE HISTORIC CONSULTANT AND OTHER SPEAKERS SAID THAT THE WHOLE SITE WAS HISTORIC AND BASICALLY WE SHOULDN'T SONY PROPERTY HISTORIC. TO THIS POINT I WOULD LIKE TO REFER YOU TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, SECTION 25-2-352 APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR HISTORIC PROPERTIES. UNDER PROP C, AN APPLICANT MIGHT FAIL AN APPLICATION TO REDUCE THE BOUNDARY OF AN HISTORIC DISTRICT IF, NUMBER 4, INCLUSION OF AN HISTORIC STRUCTURE OR AREA IN THE DISTRICT CREATES

AN ECONOMIC HARDSHIP FOR THE OWNER THAT LIMITS THE OWNER'S ABILITY TO MAINTAIN THE CHARACTER OF THE PROPERTY. IN FACT, UNDER THIS SECTION THE FORMER OWNERS HAD ALREADY BEEN -- HAD ALREADY USED IT AS MR. SADOWSKY HAS STATED. WHEN THEY HAD REMOVED A DILAPIDATED STRUCTURE THAT WAS BOARD AND BAT 10 STRUCTURE USED AS A STORAGE BUILDING, AND IN OUR RESEARCH THIS WAS PROBABLY A FREEDMAN'S COTTAGE FROM THE WHEATSVILLE COMMUNITY THAT HAD BEEN ON THE PROPERTY WHEN THE ORIGINAL FAMILY HAD BOUGHT IT. AND THEY HAD DONE THIS BECAUSE IT WAS NOT ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE TO BE REHABILITATED. WE HAVE HIRED SLOAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY TO GIVE US AN EXPERT OPINION OF THE COST TO REPAIR AND RESTORE THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE. THEIR ESTIMATE IS \$224,910. ADD THIS TO THE 505,000-DOLLAR PURCHASE PRICE AND 12 MONTHS OF INTEREST OF \$35,340, YOU GET A TOTAL COST OF \$765,250. INCOME FROM THE HOUSE AT A DOLLAR A SQUARE FOOT FOR THE 2300 SQUARE FOOT HOUSE WOULD GIVE YOU ABOUT \$2,300 A MONTH. DEBT SERVICE AT 15% -- AT 15 YEARS, SEVEN PERCENT, \$2,300 WOULD DO ONLY DOLLARS' WORTH OF REPAIRS. [BUZZER SOUNDS] CLEARLY TO RESTORE AND MAINTAIN THE HOUSE, SOME ADJUSTMENTS MUST BE MADE. WE THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE TO DO THE REPAIRS THAT WE HAVE REQUESTED AND THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BUT I WANT TO RESTATE THAT THE OWNERS SUPPORT THE PROFESSIONAL STAFF'S OPINION ON THIS. WE ASK THAT YOU GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESTORE THIS BUILDING AND RETURN IT TO THE GRANDEUR AND PROMISE THAT IT HAS. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. McHONE. NEXT SPEAKER IS MICHAEL GUERINO. SORRY IF I'M MISPRONOUNCING THAT. IS TOM BLACKWELL STILL HERE? HOW ABOUT CAROL EEKS? OKAY. SO MICHAEL, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY STAN HOSPITAL.

I'M MICHAEL GUERINO WITH TEAM ARCHITECTS IN IN AN EARLIER LIFE I WAS ONE OF THE RESEARCHERS AND

ARTICLES IN THE NATIONAL REGISTRATION LETTER FOR CLARKSVILLE. SO IT'S AN INTERESTING EVENING FOR ME HERE AND I'M A NATIVE AUSTINITE AND ALL OF THIS HAS AMAZING FAMILIARITY. I'M HERE TO GIVE YOU A VERY BRIEF HISTORY OF NOT ONLY THE HOUSE, BUT THE PROPERTY SURROUNDING IT, AND LET ME SEE IF I CAN DO THIS ALTOGETHER IN THREE MINUTES. THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, THIS MARK RABL STONE HOUSE, IS SURROUNDED STILL BY A VERY LARGE PROPERTY, AND IN DOING THE TITLE RESEARCH AND OTHER RESEARCH IN IT, WE DISCOVERED THAT IT WAS EVEN A LARGER PROPERTY THAN WE HAD SUSPECTED. WHAT'S UP ON THE SCREEN RIGHT NOW IS THE FIRST EVIDENCE WE HAVE FOR THE AREA, WHICH IS THE INTERSECTION OF POPLAR AND SAN GABRIEL STREET. AND WHEN YOU SEE IN RED THERE ARE THE SMALL HOUSES OF WHEATSVILLE. THIS IS THE AREA WHICH WAS PURCHASED OVER A PERIOD OF SOME YEARS BY THE MILLER FAMILY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOUSE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THE NEXT APPEARANCE IN THE SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE MAP SERIES IS IN 1935, AND AT THIS POINT WE SEE THE HOUSE. THIS IS THE FOOTPRINT OF IT AND THIS -- AND IN THE WHITE OR RED AREA OR PINK SHADING IS THE SIZE OF THE LOT WHICH WAS KNOWN AT THE TIME. NEXT, PLEASE. AND THE LAST APPEARANCE WE HAVE OF IT IN A SERIES OF MAPS IS AS LATE AS 1959 WHERE THE HOUSE IS STILL SHOWN WITH THE SAME FOOTPRINT AND THE HOUSE THAT MR. McHONE HAD REFERRED TO, THE LITTLE FREEDMAN'S HOUSE WHICH WAS RELOCATED AS A GARAGE AND TOOL SHED IS THE LITTLE SHED LOCATED IN THE BACK OF IT. NEXT, PLEASE. THIS IS HOW WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO RECONSTRUCT THE ASSEMBLY OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE HOUSE AND THE DATES ON THE BOTTOM ARE THE DATES OF WHICH THE MILLER FAMILY WAS ABLE TO ACQUIRE THEM. IN THE CENTER WE SEE THE ORIGINAL BLOCK THAT WAS PURCHASED IN 1921 AND THE HOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1922 OR 23 OF STONE THAT WAS RECLAIMED FROM THE DEMOLITION OF THE WHITIS SCHOOL THAT WAS REMOVED TO TAKE ROOM FOR THE SCOTTISH RIGHT DORM. THE NEXT PURCHASE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO IT WAS A STRIP THAT WAS PURCHASED NECESSARY IN SOME WAYS TO ALSO MAKE THE DRIVEWAY ACCESSIBLE TO THE GARAGE AND THE BACK OF THE HOUSE. IN 1935 BROUGHT THE PROPERTY ALL

THE WAY DOWN TO THE CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF SAN GABRIEL AND POPLAR STREET, AND THEN WE WERE SURPRISED TO DISCOVER THAT IN 1937 THERE WAS AN ADDITIONAL PARCEL WHICH WAS PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL, 100 BY 200 FEET DIVIDED INTO TWO LOTS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. [ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

Mayor Wynn: YOU LOST YOUR TEAM, STAN.

JUST THREE MINUTES WOULD BE JUST FINE, MR. MAYOR.

Mayor Wynn: FAIR ENOUGH.

GOOD EVENING, I'M STAN HEASHAAS OF TEAM HAAS ARCHITECTS. I WANT TO INTRODUCE YOU TO THE NEXT CHAPTER IN THIS PROJECT'S HISTORY. I WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE HISTORY IS NOT STATIC. IT IS INDEED DYNAMIC. THIS SITE HAS EVOLVED, VIEWS HAVE CHANGED, THE VERY STONE USED ON THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE CAME FROM ANOTHER BUILDING AS YOU HEARD. THIS CASE SETS NO PRECEDENCE. I'M REMINDED OF THE SCHNIEDER HOUSE ACROSS THE STREET FROM US HERE, ALSO FROM THE MANSION ON JUDGE'S HILL THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT EARLIER THIS EVENING. THE DEVELOPER OF THE MANSION, WE -- ACTUALLY HAS A -- A LETTER THAT WAS SENT TO THE MAYOR, MAY 26th OF THIS YEAR, WHERE YOU TALKED ABOUT ALTHOUGH MANY PEOPLE OPPOSE ME IN THE BEGINNING OF OUR DEVELOPMENT, I FEEL THAT I HAVE BEEN VINDICATE IN THE MANSIONS AT JUDGES HILL PROJECT BY BEING AWARDED AN HISTORIC ACHIEVEMENT AWARD BY THE AUSTIN TRAVIS COUNTY HERITAGE SOCIETY. ORIGINALLY HISTORICALLY ZONED PROPERTY HAS BEEN SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED IN SIZE TO INCLUDE THE PARKING STRUCTURE ... AS YOU ARE AWARE FROM MR. MCHONE'S PRESENTATION OUR PROJECT SITS WITHIN UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY DISTRICT. THE DIE GRAM THAT SITS BEFORE YOU ON YOUR SCREENS, TALKS ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL. ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE IS WHAT THE PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY ZONED. MF 4 H ALLOWING THE 60-FOOT TALL BUILDING, 70% IMPERVIOUS COVER AND AN F.A.R. OF .75 TO ONE. THE MIDDLE DIAGRAM ACTUALLY COMMUNICATES THE ACTUAL BUILDING POTENTIAL UNDER THE UNO DISTRICT, 90% IMPERVIOUS COVER, UNLIMITED

FAR A BUILDING HEIGHT REDUCED FROM 60 TO 50 FEET. THE DIAGRAM ON THE RIGHT HAND SHOWS OUR SCHEME, THE PROPOSED 36 UNIT RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND THAT'S THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT OF 50 FEET, THE ACTUAL IMPERVIOUS COVER IS 61%, THE ACTUAL FAR 1.25 TO 1. OUR PROPOSED 36 RESIDENTIAL UNIT DESIGN DOES NOT EVEN COME CLOSE TO MAXIMIZING THE SITE ACCORDING TO DESIGN GUIDELINES PER UNO. IT IS CLEARLY OUR INTENT TO CAREFULLY AND RESPECTFULLY INCORPORATE THE HISTORIC PROPERTY INTO THE OVERALL DESIGN. AS A POINT IN FACT WE INTEND TO REINTRODUCE THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE TO THE PUBLIC. IT'S BEEN OBSCURED FROM THE PUBLIC VIEW FOR SOME TIME. WE ARE GOING TO QUICKLY GO THROUGH A COUPLE OF PLANS, PARKING DIAGRAM THAT MR. MCHONE WAS FIRST SHOWING YOU ON YOUR SCREEN, IT REALLY DERIVES THE VERY ELEMENT OF OUR DESIGN. IT'S AN EFFICIENT LAYOUT OF THIS TWO LEVEL BELOW GRADE GARAGE, TAKES CARS COMPLETELY OFF THE STREET SERVING AS A PODIUM FOR A FOUR LEVEL, NOT FIVE AS MR. SADOWSKY MENTIONED, BUT A FOUR LEVEL RESIDENTIAL UNIT ABOVE. OUR FOUR LEVEL RESIDENTIAL UNIT, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, MIKE, IS SHEATHED IN LIMESTONE, IT'S 21 FEET, SIX HIGH, CORRESPONDING TO THE HEIGHT OF LIMESTONE USE ON THE HOUSE ITSELF. [BUZZER SOUNDING] ADDITIONALLY THE HEIGHT OF OUR BUILDING IS ONLY 11 FEET TALLER THAN THE TOWER ON THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, OUR DESIRE IS TO CREATE A PLACE, THIS PROJECT IS ABOUT HISTORIC PRESERVATION. AS YOU HEARD ABOUT THE COMMENTS FROM THE U.S. SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS, WE BELIEVE THAT WE MOST DEFINITELY ARE BEING SYMPATHETIC TO THIS HOUSE. THIS SECTION THAT YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN BEFORE YOU COMMUNICATES LOOKING WEST POPULAR IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT, THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE ALSO IN THE MIDDLE, OUR DEVELOPMENT TO THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE GOING DOWN THE SLOPE AND WHAT BUILDING IS ALLOWED UNDER A 90-FOOT HEIGHT UNDER THE UNO GUIDELINES IMMEDIATELY SOUTH ACROSS POPULAR. IN CONCLUSION WE ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND ENDORSE IT WHOLEHEARTEDLY BECAUSE OUR CHARGE IS TO MAKE A PLACE. WE HAVE STAKED OUR PERSONAL DESIGN REPUTATION ON DOING THE RIGHT THING BY DOING

A NEW BUILDING IN CONCERT WITH THE OLD ONE THAT'S THERE. THAT'S WHAT UNO IS ALL ABOUT. THAT'S WHAT THIS CITY AND A RESPONSIBLE GROWTH PATTERN IS ALL ABOUT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. HAASS, THE NEXT SPEAKER IS GREG FREE, WELCOME, GREG, FOLLOWED BY BILL HAIL. ELSA DECKER, COURTNEY, JULIA PIROUX? SO GREG YOU WILL HAVE UP TO NINE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

I'LL TRY NOT TO NEED IT, MR. MAYOR. MR. MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBERS, IT'S NIGHTS TO BE HERE, I'M GREGORY FREE, AN INDEPENDENT HISTORIC PRESERVATION SPECIALIST IN PRIVATE PRACTICE IN AUSTIN FOR 20 YEARS, WORKING IN OTHER STATES PRIOR TO THAT. I MAY BE THE ONLY PERSON TALKING TO YOU TONIGHT THAT DOESN'T HAVE A VESTED INTEREST IN THIS PROJECT. I DON'T WORK FOR THESE PEOPLE, DON'T WORK FOR THE COMMITTEES, DIDN'T OFFICE OR SELL OR BUY THE PROPERTY I'M NOT WORKING FOR THE APPLICANTS. MY FRIEND MICHAEL GORINO SAID LOOK AT THIS PROJECT AS A PRESERVATIONIST AND TELL ME WHAT YOU THINK. AS A FRIEND AND COLLEAGUE I THOUGHT SURE BE HAPPY TO DO THIS. IN LOOKING AT IT I FIND THAT I REALLY AGREE WITH EVERYBODY ON IT. ABOUT THE BEST WAY IN THE WORLD TO MAKE EVERYBODY MAD IS TO AGREE WITH THEM. BUT I AGREE WITH MY FRIEND AND COLLEAGUE PETER MAXON WHO WROTE THE ORIGINAL FORM. IT IS VERY SIGNIFICANT, INCREDIBLE INTEGRITY, BEAUTIFUL PLANS, BEAUTIFUL BUILDING. KIND OF ONE OF THOSE HIDDEN LANDMARKS, HIDING BEHIND THE SHRUBBERY, YOU COULD HAVE A MAP AND MAYBE NOT FIND IT. ONE OF THOSE SECRET PLACES IN AUSTIN. HAS BEEN I DON'T WANT TO SAY NEGLECTED BUT UNDER CARED FOR I THINK OVER THE YEARS. ALSO I AGREE WITH THE PROFESSIONAL DETERMINATION BY THE CITY STAFF THIS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATION BE APPROVED HERE BECAUSE I DO THINK THAT IT MEETS THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S GUIDELINES. I ALSO AGREE WITH THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION THAT WE COULD USE THOSE GUIDELINES, NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR THE REVIEW OF REHABILITATION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROJECTS. IF YOU READ THE STANDARDS, THEIR INTENT WAS TO LOOK AT PUTTING A BUILDING BACK INTO SERVICE

AND MAKING IT WORK AND SERVE AGAIN AND TAKE CARE OF ITSELF. I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF GOOD NEWS ABOUT THIS. THERE SEEMS TO BE BLACK AND WHITE ON ALL OF THIS, GOOD GUYS AND BAD GUYS. WHEN IT'S REALLY KIND OF AN NICE, WARM GRAY LIKE MOST COMPLICATED ISSUES ARE. THE GOOD NEWS WE CAN START WITH ON THIS THOUGH IS THIS THE NOT A REQUEST WITH DEMOLITION, WHAT WE USUALLY DEAL WITH. PEOPLE WANT TO TAKE SOMETHING DOWN AND PUT UP SOMETHING ELSE. THIS IS A REQUEST TO HELP THE PROPERTY TAKE CARE OF ITSELF AND TO PRESERVE THE BUILDING. THIS IS ALSO NOT A REQUEST AS HAS BEEN SUGGESTED TO ME EVEN TONIGHT THAT THIS IS A REQUEST FOR THE REMOVAL OF HISTORIC DESIGNATION. MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THE CLIENT AT ALL. I GUESS THE THING THAT EXCITES ME THE MOST ABOUT THIS IS THAT THIS IS A PROPERTY WHERE THE OWNER ACTUALLY WANTS TO WORK WITH BOTH THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE UNO REGULATIONS, THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION, THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S GUIDELINES AND TALK TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN VERY OFTEN. I'M SEEING THIS AS A POSITIVE THING. I GUESS IT ALL COMES DOWN TO THE TEARMTION OF THE VALUE OF THE HISTORIC SITE VERSUS THE VALUE OF THE ARCHITECTURAL AND HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE ITSELF. THE HOUSE AS I SAID EXTREMELY VALUABLE ARCHITECTURALLY AND BECAUSE OF IT'S INTEGRITY. THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES MAKE A REAL CLEAR DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY FEATURES OF IMPORTANCE FOR HISTORIC SITES. GIVEN THIS SITE WAS ACQUIRED OVER 10 TO 15 TO 20 YEAR PERIOD CONTAINED NUMEROUS OTHER FRAME BUILDINGS AND THEN LARGE PORTIONS OF THE SITE WERE SOLD FOR DEVELOPMENT NOT THAT LONG AGO, THE ORIGINAL LANDSCAPE FEATURES THAT ARE REFERENCED IN SOME OF THE RESEARCH REALLY CONSTITUTE A SMALL PART OF THIS VERY LARGE PARCEL OF LAND. IN THAT WITH THEIR CONDITION AS MR. SADOWSKY MENTIONED, THE LACK OF ORIGINAL PLANT MATERIAL, SOME OF THE DETERIORATION OF OTHER FEATURES OF THE GARDEN IN MY OPINION REALLY MAKES THIS MORE OF A SECONDARY PART OF THE BUILDING THAT'S REALLY OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE. I

JUST WANT TO SAY I SUPPORT THAT THIS NEIGHBORHOOD IS IN DIRE NEED OF ATTENTION. I THINK THE UNO GUIDELINES HAVE ADDRESSED THAT. I THINK THAT WE NEED A CASE STUDY THAT SHOWS SOMETHING POSITIVE, NOT THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE STYLE OF ARCHITECTURE THAT WE SEE IN WEST UNIVERSITY OFTEN. I THINK SOMETIMES THEY BUILD A -- THE BUILDINGS TO THE PROPERTY LINE AND INFLATE THEM TO THE HIGHEST AND BEST POTENTIAL FOR MAKING MONEY. I SUPPORT THAT THIS GROUP IS FINALLY BEING CREATIVE IN ADDRESSING BOTH THE NEEDS OF OUR GROWING CITY, INCREASED DENSITY, AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AS A TAXPAYER ALSO HOPE THAT MAYBE THIS WOULD BE ON THE TAX ROLLS AT SOME POINT. THE CITY OF AUSTIN PAYS FOR A LOT OF THE STATE OF TEXAS TO BE OPERATING HERE AND MY TAXES ARE HIGHER, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME OF THESE PROPERTIES TAXED SO THAT THE PEOPLE THAT ARE DOING THESE GREAT THINGS ARE ALSO PAYING THEIR TAXES. I THINK THE EXAMPLE ALSO THAT WILL BE SET TO THE OPENERS AND OTHER DEVELOPERS THAT -- OWNERS AND OTHER DEVELOPERS IN WEST UNIVERSITY IS GOING TO BE VERY POSITIVE. WE TEND TO GO INTO THIS BLACK OR WHITE POSITION OF UNDERSTANDING PRESERVATION ISSUES. IT MAKES THE PRESERVATIONISTS LOOK LIKE OBSTRUCTIONISTS, I WOULD HOPE THAT THIS WOULD NOT BE SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE ARE SAYING, WELL PEOPLE ARE SAYING THIS IS A TEST CASE TO SEE HOW THIS COUNCIL REALLY FEELS ABOUT THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION. ARE YOU REALLY GOING TO SUPPORT HISTORIC PRESERVATION HERE. I WOULD HOPE THAT YOU WOULD NOT BE DISTRACTED BY THIS. I THINK THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE IS A LANDMARK. I DON'T THINK ALL OF THE LAND AROUND IT PARTS OF THAT LANDMARK STATUS. I DO THINK THIS COULD BE AN IMPORTANT ESTABLISHMENT AS PROGRESSIVE AND CREATIVE BUT NOT UNREALISTIC AND NOT REALLY OBSTRUCTIVE. I THINK PROJECTS AROUND THE STATE, LOOK TO SAN ANTONIO DALLAS, EVEN HOUSTON, TO SEE BUILDINGS OLD AND NEW WORKING TOGETHER FOR THE FUTURE. WE WILL LOSE SOME. AS WE WITNESSED TODAY. WE WILL ALSO HAVE SOME RESTORED AS FUNCTIONS. THE CLIENTS HERE WANT TO RESTORE THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE AND MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY. I THINK WITHOUT A CREATIVE APPROACH TO THE PAST WE

WOULDN'T HAVE SOME OF THE VIABLE LANDMARKS THAT WE HAVE AROUND US TODAY. LOOK OUT THE WINDOWS AT THE SCHNIEDER STORE. LOOK DOWN THE STREET AT ST. DAVID'S CHURCH. THE OLD AND NEW WORK TOGETHER PRACTICALLY. THEY COULD ALSO BE MORE CREATIVE. I'M NOT SAYING I ENDORSE TOTALLY THE DESIGN, BUT I DO APPLAUD THE CONCEPT OF WORKING OLD AND NEW TOGETHER AND REALLY GOING TO THE FUTURE OF AUSTIN WITH THE PAST HAND IN HAND. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, NEXT SPEAKER IS BILL HAIL, IS MAIRY ABETH HERE.

I'M GOING TO USE A MINIMUM, THEY HAVE ALL GONE HOME.

BILL HALE, MAYOR, COUNCIL. TO BE VERY BRIEF, I WROTE THE CHECK TO BUY THIS HOUSE. IT WAS ALWAYS MY INTENTION AND IT WAS VERY CLEAR TO THE OWNERS THAT OUR INTENTION WAS TO RESTORE THE HOUSE AND RETURN IT TO A RESIDENTIAL PARCEL. IT'S ALSO OUR INTENTION TO DEVELOP THE UNDEVELOPED PART OF THIS PROPERTY TO CREATE ENOUGH MONEY TO MAINTAIN THIS HOUSE IN PERPETUITY. HAVING PART OF THIS PROPERTY BACK ON THE TAX ROLLS, MAINTAINING AND RESTORING THE HISTORIC HOUSE, I THINK IS SOMETHING THAT ALL OF US HERE IN AUSTIN WOULD LIKE TO SEE. I WOULD ASK THE COUNCIL WITH ALL OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION -- TO FOLLOW THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, APPROVE OUR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. I'M THROUGH. ANY QUESTIONS?

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. HALE. QUESTIONS FOR MR. HALE? THANK YOU, SIR. NEXT SPEAKER IS MR. JOHN VOLTS. JOHN SIGNED UP IN FAVOR. SAYS IN FAVOR. WE WILL SHOW MR. VOLTS IN OPPOSITION. OKAY. SO THE COUNCIL WE WILL NOW GO TO THOSE FOLKS WISHING TO SPEAK AND AGAINST THE APPEAL. PARDON ME, MS. LINDA TEAM. LINDA, WELCOME, IS RICK IVERSON STILL HERE. HOW ABOUT PAUL SEALS. HOW ABOUT LINDA MCNEILAGE. LINDA, THREE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT. YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY MARIA KILGORE.

MR. MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS, BEFORE I START I WOULD

SAY SOME PEOPLE SIGNED UP TO GIVE ME THEIR TIME AND THEY LEFT. AND OTHERS REPLACED THEM. THE PEOPLE AT THE DESK OUT THERE COULDN'T FIGURE OUT THE LOGISTICS OF THAT. --

Mayor Wynn: HANG ON. ANYBODY HERE THAT WOULD LIKE TO DONATE THEIR TIME. WHAT'S YOUR NAME, SIR?

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC] UP TO NINE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

I WILL TRY NOT TO USE ALL OF THAT. MY NAME IS LYNN TEAM. I'M A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE HERITAGE SOCIETY OF AUSTIN. I'M A MEMBER OF PRESERVE AUSTIN. PRESERVATION PROFESSIONALS AFFILIATION. AND A REALTOR ESPECIAL LOSING IN HISTORIC LANDMARK PROPERTIES. AS SUCH I WAS CONTACTED BY THE MAVERICK MILLER GRAND CHILDREN WHEN IT BECAME TIME TO MARKET THEIR FAMILY HOME. THEY REGRETTED VERY MUCH HAVING TO PART WITH IT AND THEY COULD NO LONGER FROM LONG DISTANCE OVERSEE ITS CARE. AS THEY CONSIDERED HOW TO PROTECT IT, THEIR MOTHER HAVING -- THEY'LL TELL YOU MORE ABOUT THE STORY OF HOW THEIR MOTHER OR GRANDMOTHER WERE COMMITTED TO THE PROTECTION OF THE HOUSE FOLLOWING IN THE FOOTSTEPS OF ALL OF THE MAVERICKS FROM SAN ANTONIO THAT WERE SO INSTRUMENTAL IN SAVING HISTORIC LANDMARKS THAT OUR STATE VALUES THERE FROM THE ALAMO ON DOWN THROUGH THE MISSION TRAILS AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS OF SAN ANTONIO THAT BEAR THE MAVERICK NAME, THEY CONSIDERED PUTTING A DEED RESTRICTION ON THE PROPERTY. WE WERE TOLD THAT WOULD NOT BE VERY EFFECTIVE BECAUSE THERE WOULD BE NO ONE AROUND TO ENFORCE A DEED RESTRICTION. THEY WERE COMFORTED BY THE FACT THAT THE HOUSE HAD BEEN DESIGNATED AS A CITY OF AUSTIN LANDMARK IN 1999 AND AS A STATE OF TEXAS HISTORIC REGISTERED LANDMARK FOR THE STATE THE FOLLOWING YEAR. THAT THOSE LAWS AROUNDS EXISTED TO PROTECT THE HISTORIC CHARACTER OF THEIR FAMILY HOME. THE HOUSE ITSELF WAS AN EXCELLENT -- WAS IN EXCELLENT CONDITION. CAREFULLY MAINTAINED. VIRTUALLY UNCHANGED FROM WHAT IT WAS COMPLETED IN 1923. IT WAS SURROUNDED BY URBAN BLIGHT, WHICH WAS A RESULT OF THE CROWDING

AND ALL OF THE THINGS THAT WE TRIED TO DO, THE CAN PAC PLAN TO UNDO WHEN WE ADOPTED THE UNO PLAN. I WAS A PART OF THAT PLANNING PROCESS. WE ASSUMED THAT THE NEW UNO PLAN WOULD IMPROVE THE NEIGHBORHOOD AROUND THE PROPERTY BUT WE ALSO SAID THAT THE UGLY VIEW TO THE POPULAR STREET SIDE, WHICH REALLY FUNCTIONS AS AN ALLEY, NOT AS A STREET, THE HOUSE ITSELF FACES SAN GABRIEL. THE VIEW THAT YOU SEE UP THERE IS THE REAR OF THE HOUSE. THAT'S THE KITCHEN ON THE CORNER. ON THAT SCREEN, THAT'S THE BACK OF THE HOUSE. NOT THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE. THAT IS BEING PUT FORWARD AS THE VIEW THAT THE PUBLIC WOULD WANT TO SEE. THE UGLY VIEW TO THE POPULAR SIDE WAS MORE THAN OFFSET BY THE BEAUTIFUL VIEW OUT ACROSS THE HILLSIDE. ACROSS THE CANYON OF THE CREEK. WE HAVE PROBLEMS WITH THE -- WE AGREE WITH THE LANDMARK COMMISSION LANDMARK COMMISSION UNANIMOUS DECISION. THE PLANS WERE IN OUR OPINION NOT COMPATIBLE, THE -- I'M IN YOUR PACKET THERE'S A LITTLE BLUE TAB. AT THE POINT WHERE THERE'S A QUOTE FROM THE DESIGNATION LANGUAGE. IT SAYS THE 1923 HOUSE IS A NOTABLE EXAMPLE OF THE MEDITERRANEAN REVIVAL STYLE WITH ITS IRREGULAR MASSING REAR WINDOWS, ARCHED TOWERS, WAGGLE IRON WORKS, GARDENS, PATIOS AND HOUSE AND GROUNDS ARE RARE SURVIVORS OF AN AREA ONCE POPULATED BY MANY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MOST NOTED FACULTY MEMBERS SURROUNDED ON THREE SIDES BY HIGH DENSITY DEVELOPMENT, IT IS AN OASIS IN WEST CAMPUS. ALL OF THAT AREA WAS DESIGNATED MULTI-FAMILY IN THE EARLY 1980S IN THE MAVERICK FAMILY WITH STOOD ALL OF THE EFFORTS TO DEVELOP IT AND PRESSURES FOR DEVELOPMENT UP UNTIL THIS -- THE CURRENT OPENERS SOLD IT. IN ANOTHER REFERENCE WE'VE SAID THAT WE'VE HEARD THAT THIS IS A FULFILLMENT OF THE [TECHNICAL PROBLEMS, PLEASE STAND BY]

FIRST EARLY STAGE OF THE PLAN, BY THE WAY MIKE MCHONE AND I WERE IN THE SMALL GROUP TOGETHER THAT CREATED THIS VISION STATEMENT, RATHER IRONIC. WE REFERRED TO PRESERVE THE CHARACTER AND INTEGRITY OF SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS, THE NUMBER ONE

GOAL THE SECOND GOAL WAS TO PRESERVE THE HISTORIC CHARACTER AND RESOURCES OF THE CENTRAL AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD COMBINED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA, NEIGHBORHOODS. IN OTHER WORDS THE WHOLE CENTRAL AUSTIN PLANNING AREA HAD THE HISTORIC CHARACTER WORTH PRESERVING. THEN IN THE DESIGN GUIDELINES, WHICH WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE UNO OVERLAY, THERE WERE SPECIFIC REFERENCES THAT I THINK APPLY HERE. WHICH IS ON THE NEXT PAGE PAST YOUR CHARTHOUSE TAB. THERE ARE SOME SMALL SCALE BUILDINGS IN THE DISTRICT MUCH LESS LIKELY TO BE IMPROVED AND REPLACED BY THE [INDISCERNIBLE] DUE TO THE PRESENT USE OR TO HISTORIC DESIGNATION, THEY MAY BE CONSIDERED TO HAVE A PERMANENT PLACE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AND FOR THIS REASON, NEW BUILDINGS SHOULD -- FOR THIS REASON, NEW BUILDINGS SHOULD BE DESIGNED WITH ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PERMANENT SMALL SCALE NEIGHBORS SO THAT THE CONTRAST BETWEEN THE TWO DOES NOT CREATE AN UNCOMFORTABLE EXPERIENCE WHEN VIEWED FROM THE STREET. AN ILLUSTRATION THERE ON G 4 SHOWS A -- A GLASS AND STEEL THING JAMMED UP NEXT TO A HISTORIC BUILDING AS AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT YOU DON'T WANT TO DO. ON THE NEXT PAGE OF YOUR PACKET, IS AN ILLUSTRATION FROM THE -- FROM THE ARCHITECTS OF THEIR -- OF THEIR PLAN WHICH SHOWS A LARGE STEEL AND GLASS STRUCTURE JAMMED UP AGAINST A TINY LITTLE STONE BUILDING AS A JUXTAPOSITION THAT IS EXTREMELY UNATTRACTIVE AND INAPPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES. THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH THE PROJECT THAT THESE PEOPLE PROPOSE. THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH THE FOLKS PROPOSING IT. I KNOW THAT THERE WAS MISUNDERSTANDINGS BETWEEN THE PERSON WHO PUT THE PROPERTY UNDER CONTRACT INITIALLY AND THESE BUYERS TO WHOM HE FLIPPED HIS CONTRACT. AND I'M NOT TRYING TO PLACE BLAME FOR THOSE MISUNDERSTANDINGS. BUT THEY HAVE -- THEY BOUGHT THIS PROPERTY THINKING THAT THE -- THAT THE VARIOUS PEOPLE APPROVED OF THE DESIGN, THAT MR. SADZ WAS WORKING WITH THE PREVIOUS CONTRACT HOLDER ON, AND IN POINT OF FACT ALL WE HAD ENVISIONED WAS A VERY SMALL APPROPRIATE SCALE ADDITION TO THIS STRUCTURE AND THAT WAS WHAT THE PROPERTY WAS PRICED TO

ACCOMMODATE AND WAS ADVERTISED TO OFFER AS ITS POTENTIAL. IF THIS PROPERTY HAD BEEN PRICED FOR DEVELOPMENT, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN TWO OR THREE TIMES THE PRICE THAT WAS PUT ON IT. WE WERE TOLD OVER AND OVER AGAIN THIS PROPERTY IS NOT SUITABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE OF ITS HISTORIC CHARACTER, IT'S SAFE FROM DEVELOPMENT AND THEREFORE IT HAS TO BE PRICED MODESTLY IN ORDER FOR SOMEONE TO BE ABLE TO USE IT APPROPRIATELY. WE HEARD MR. FREE SAY THAT WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO THINK ABOUT THE PRESS DEPARTMENT NATURE OF THIS CASE. PRECEDENT NATURE OF THIS CASE. BUT IN POINT OF FACT THE NEIGHBORS -- NEIGHBORHOODS ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE IDEA OF REMOVING THIS HISTORIC ZONING FROM A PROPERTY OR GRANTING A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR A MAJOR DEVELOPMENT ON WHAT IS REALLY A VERY SMALL PIECE OF LAND, THEY KEEP REFERRING TO IT AS IF IT WERE THIS HUGE ESTATE. IT'S .7-ACRE. AND ABOUT TWO-THIRDS OF THAT IS STRAIGHT DOWN THE HILL TO THE PARK. [BUZZER SOUNDING] THAT MY NINE MINUTES?

Mayor Wynn: YES, MA'AM.

OKAY.

Mayor Wynn: PLEASE CONCLUDE. I'LL JUST CONCLUDE BY SAYING I THINK THERE IS A DANGER IN THE PRECEDENT AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS HAVE WEIGHED IN ON THAT. AND YOU'LL HEAR MORE FROM THEM, I'M AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. TEAM. NEXT SPEAKER IS MARIA KILGORE. TO BE FOLLOWED BY BARBARA BRIDGES. IF I MAY, MARIA AND I WOULD LIKE TO SWITCH PLACES. I WOULD LIKE FOR HER TO FOLLOW MY COMMENTS IF POSSIBLE.

WHAT'S YOUR NAME.

JOHN VOLTS, A RESTORATION ARCHITECT. 33 YEARS OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION EXPERIENCE. MY ASSOCIATION WITH THE HOUSE BEGAN AS A STUDENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS STUDENT. I WENT UP AND DOWN

LAMAR BOULEVARD, I NOTED THIS HOUSE THOUGHT IT WAS VERY SPECIAL BECAUSE I COULD SEE THE TOWER PEEKING OUT OVER THE TREES. MORE RECENTLY A FRIEND LIVED IN THE HOUSE AND TOLD ME THAT IT MIGHT BECOME AVAILABLE. I WROTE THE THEN OWNER, MRS. WELLS, INDICATING THAT OUR ARCHITECTURAL PRACTICE WAS INTERESTED IN PURCHASING THE HOUSE AND RESTORING IT AND THEN REHABING IT AS AN ARCHITECTURAL OFFICE FOR A RESTORATION FIRM. UNFORTUNATELY, MRS. WELLS BECAME ILL AND PASSED AWAY BEFORE SHE COULD RESPOND TO OUR OFFER. WE WERE LATER CONTACTED BY HER DAUGHTER WHO SAID THAT THE CHILDREN WISHED TO TRY TO MAINTAIN IT AND PRESERVE IT IN THE FAMILY. WE SUBSEQUENTLY ACQUIRED ANOTHER BUILDING IN TOWN AND LATER IT CAN ON THE MARKET AND IT WAS NO LONGER AN OPTION FOR US. I HAVE AN EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO THIS HOUSE AS A RESULT OF THIS HISTORY. BUT WHAT I REALLY WANT TO ADDRESS IS THE WHOLE ISSUE OF DOES THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT COMPLY WITH THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S STANDARDS. AS PART OF MY PRESERVATION CAREER I HAVE WORKED FOR THE NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND THE TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION AND ALSO THE NATIONAL PARKS SERVICE. I WAS IN WASHINGTON WHEN THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS WERE BEING DEVELOPED. I HELPED WRITE THEM. SO I UNDERSTAND THEM. WHILE IN WASHINGTON, WITH THE PARKS SERVICE, I REVIEWED PROJECTS THAT WERE RECEIVING GRANT AID FROM THE PARKS SERVICE. ALSO I HAVE REVIEWED MANY, MANY PROJECTS THAT WERE BEING CERTIFIED UNDER THE TAX ACT FOR INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS. ALL OF WHICH HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS. LOOKING AT THIS PROJECT, THERE IS NO WAY IT COMPLIES WITH THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS. THE PLACEMENT OF THE UNITS, THE NEW UNITS, ARE TOO CLOSE TO THE HISTORIC HOUSE. THEIR PLACEMENT CHANGES THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE HOUSE TO ITS SITE AND ITS ENVIRONMENT. AND THE SCALE AND SIZE OF THE NEW UNITS IS TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE. AND OUT OF CONTEXT WITH THIS HISTORIC HOUSE. AS MS. MARIA WILL INDICATE TO YOU, THIS PROJECT COULD NOT BE CERTIFIED AS A CERTIFIED REHABILITATION BECAUSE IT

DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE STANDARDS. MARIA WILL REENFORCE THAT LATER. I WANT TO CONCLUDE BY SAYING THAT THE SITE AND THE TERRACES ARE INTEGRAL WITH THE CONTEXT OF THE HOUSE AND ARE PART OF ITS SIGNIFICANCE. IT IS A UNIQUE STRUCTURE HERE IN AUSTIN. AND I BELIEVE THAT THIS DEVELOPMENT IS INAPPROPRIATE FOR IT AND I WOULD URGE YOU TO DENY THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS?
[BUZZER SOUNDING]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. MARIA KILGORE. WELCOME, MA'AM, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES, FOLLOWED BY BARBARA BRIDGES. GOOD EVENING, MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS, MY NAME IS MARIA KILGORE, I'M AN INTERN ON STAFF WITH VOLS & ASSOCIATES AN ARCHITECTURAL PRESERVATION FIRM, ALSO A MEMBER OF PRESERVE AUSTIN. I'M UP HERE TONIGHT TO READ A LETTER, THIS LETTER WAS ORIGINALLY WRITTEN TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION BY LAWRENCE OAKS, WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION. AND I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE THC OVERSEES THE ENTIRE STATE OF TEXAS AND ITS REMARKABLE THAT THIS HOUSE AND THIS ISSUE CAUGHT THEIR ATTENTION. THIS IS THE LETTER I'M GOING TO START READING NOW. DEAR COMMISSIONERS, IT HAS COME TO OUR ATTENTION THAT CONDOMINIUMS HAVE BEEN PROPOSED ON THE SITE OF THE CITY DESIGNATED MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, WHICH IS ALSO A RECORDED TEXAS HISTORIC LANDMARK, THE HIGHEST HONOR THE STATE CAN BESTOW UPON A PROPERTY. WE ARE DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT HOW THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL IMPACT THE STATE LANDMARK. THE CONTEXT OF THE BUILDING, ITS SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE AND OTHER BUILDINGS ARE CRITICAL ELEMENTS TO PRESERVE SINCE THEY WERE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE ORIGINAL DESIGN AND PHYSICAL POSITIONING OF THE BUILDING. THESE FACTORS WERE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN THE PROPERTY WAS DESIGNATED AN RTHL, IF THE INTEGRITY OF THE SITE IS ALTERED AS PROPOSED, IT WILL AFFECT THE STATE MARKET REVIEW BOARD'S DECISION TO ALLOW THE FRAUGHT KEEP ITS RTHL STATUS. THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR STANDARDS FOR PRESERVATION STATE THAT INTRODUCING NEW CONSTRUCTION ON TO THE BUILDING

SITE WHICH IS VISUALLY INCOMPATIBLE IN TERMS OF SIZE, SCALE, DESIGN, COLOR AND TEXTURE OF WHICH DESTROYS THE HISTORIC RELATIONSHIPS ON THE SITE IS NOT RECOMMENDED. IN THE CASE OF THE EDMOND AND EMILY MILLER HOUSE THE LANDSCAPING IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO BE DESCRIBED IN THE TEXT OF THE MARKER. THE MARKER TACT READS: NOTED ECONOMIST AND UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS PROFESSOR EDMOND T. MILLER, 1878 TO 1952, HIS WIFE EMILY, 1884 TO 1979, AN ARTIST AND MEMBER OF THE PIONEER MAVERICK FAMILY OF SAN ANTONIO ACQUIRED THIS PROPERTY IN 1922. THE DESIGN WAS THE WORK OF EMILY MILLER AND RAYMOND EVERETT BUILT TO COMPLEMENT THE HILLSIDE SETTING, COMPLETED IN 1923. THE HOUSE FEATURES THE WORK OF METAL CRAFTSMEN, VIABLE AND RETURNS MANY OF ITS ORIGINAL LANDSCAPING FEATURES. RECORDED HISTORIC LANDMARK [INDISCERNIBLE] OWNER PROTECTED BY DAUGHTER EMILY MILLER WELLS. THE EXTERIOR APPEARANCE OF AN RTH, OF THAT THE TEXT, I'M BACK TO MR. OAKS LETTER. THE EXTERIOR APPEARANCE OF AN RTHL BUILDING IS REQUIRED TO RETAIN ITS HISTORIC INTEGRITY IN ORDER TO RETAIN THE RTHL DESIGNATION. [BUZZER SOUNDING] I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND FINISH THE LETTER. ONE MORE PARAGRAPH. WHILE THE DEVELOPER HAS SUPPOSEDLY COMMITTED TO RETAINING THE HOUSE ITSELF, PRESERVATION OF ITS INTEGRITY AND CHARACTER DEFINING FEATURES WILL NOT BE ACCOMPLISHED. BECAUSE THIS PROPOSED CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT WILL DRAMATICALLY ALTER THE SETTING AND THEREFORE THE CHARACTER OF THIS STATE LANDMARK WE DO NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL. LAWRENCE OAKS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, [INDISCERNIBLE], STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. WELCOME BARBARA, THREE MINUTES FOLLOWED BY MAVERICK WELLS.

I STRONGLY URGE YOU ALL TO DENY THIS REQUEST. YOU HAVE HEARD HOW THE GROUNDS AND HILLSIDE SETTING ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE HISTORIC HOME. YOU HAVE ALSO SEEN FROM OUR OPPONENTS THAT MANY PIECES OF THE PROPERTY WERE SOLD IN EARLIER YEARS. HOWEVER THIS WAS DESIGNATED IN 1999. THE BUILDING AND THE GROUNDS AS THEY WERE AT THAT TIME IN 1999, COUNCIL

SAID THE BUILDING AND THE GROUNDS WERE HISTORIC. MR. SADOWSKY STOOD UP EVERY YEAR SINCE THEN IN FRONT OF THE LANDMARK COMMISSION WHEN TAXES CAME INTO QUESTION AND SAID THAT THE BUILDING AND GROUNDS WERE HISTORIC. MOST THINGS AS YEARS GO BY, ALL THINGS BEING EQUAL, STAY HISTORIC. THEY DON'T GET LESS HISTORIC. USUALLY, HISTORIC PROPERTIES SHOULD LATER GENERATIONS WHAT LIFE WAS LIKE IN EARLIER TIMES. THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE DEVOID OF ITS GROUND AND DWARFED BY CONDOMINIUM BLOCKING THE VIEW TO LAMAR WILL NOT DO THIS. THE OWNERS WERE FULLY AWARE OF THE HISTORICAL DESIGNATION OF THIS PROPERTY WHEN PURCHASED, IT WAS OFFERED AT A PRICE FAR BELOW MARKET VALUE FOR A PROPERTY THIS SIZE IN WEST CAMPUS. BECAUSE OF THE HISTORIC DESIGNATION AND ACCOMPANYING RESTRICTIONS THIS LOW PRICE WAS MID-ON THE HOUSE. HOW CONVENIENT FOR THE OWNERS TO NOW REQUEST THAT THIS HISTORICAL DESIGNATION BE REMOVED FROM THE LAND SO THAT THESE RESTRICTIONS NO LONGER APPLY. MR. MCHONE HAS SAID THAT THE CONDOMINIUMS HIS CLIENT WISHES TO BUILD AND THE USE OF THE HOME AS COMMON PROPERTY WILL SAVE THE HOUSE. AND WILL ADD TO THE DENSITY INHERPT IN THE UNO PLAN. THEORETICALLY THE HOUSE AND THE GROUNDS WERE SAVED WHEN THE CITY GAVE IT ITS HISTORIC DESIGNATION. AS TO THE DENSITY ISSUE, THERE ARE MUCH MORE APPROPRIATE PLACES TO BUILD THESE CONDOS IN THE UNO AREA. PERHAPS CLOSER TO CAMPUS. THIS PROPERTY IS NOT IN THE MIDDLE OF WEST CAMPUS OR THE MIDDLE OF UNO. IT IS AS CLOSE TO PEMBERTON AS IT IS TO THE UNIVERSITY. AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, I WAS VERY ACTIVE IN DEVELOPING THE CENTRAL AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. I COME FROM WEST UNIVERSITY NEIGHBORHOOD. WHICH IS VERY CLOSE TO THIS PROPERTY. AND I BELIEVE THAT MOST OF THE MEMBERS OF CAN PAC, THEY NEVER KNOWINGLY AGREED TO THE REMOVAL OF HISTORIC DESIGNATIONS IN ORDER TO DENSIFY THE UNO AREA. I THINK WE BELIEVED IF THE PROPERTY HAD A LANDMARK DESIGNATION ON IT, IT WAS PRETTY WELL SAFE. AS LONG AS IT WAS KEPT UP. AND EVEN THEN IT WOULD NOT BE A PROBLEM THAT PEOPLE WOULD MAKE IT -- MAKE PEOPLE KEEP IT UP. IF THIS IS NOT TRUE, THEN WE HAVE

REAL PROBLEMS IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD, I'M AFRAID.
BECAUSE WE HAVE SEVERAL HISTORIC PROPERTIES
BORDERING WEST UNIVERSITY. THE -- [BUZZER SOUNDING]
IS MY TIME UP?

Mayor Wynn: PLEASE CONCLUDE.

I WOULD LIKE TO SAY ONE THING, I BELIEVE THAT THIS
SHOULD BE SAVED. I BELIEVE THAT IT GIVES HISTORIC
VALUE OR TAKES AWAY HISTORIC VALUE FROM ALL OF THE
REST OF THE HISTORIC PROPERTIES, DEPENDING ON WHAT
YOU DO, WHO CAN DEPEND ON THE FACT THAT SOMETHING
WILL BE HISTORIC, WHY SPEND TIME AND MONEY. I DO WANT
TO POINT OUT I BELIEVE PERHAPS THE PROPERTY OWNERS
ALREADY FEEL THIS CASE IS SOLVED. IN THEIR FAVOR IN
THAT WHEN I FIRST STARTED RESEARCHING THIS A MONTH
AGO WHEN WE WERE SUPPOSED TO BE IN FRONTS MUCH
YOU, I FOUND THIS AD FOR THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE,
NEW URBAN CONDOMINIUM PROJECT, LOCATED IN WEST
CAMPUS, 35 TO 40 UNITS, UNIQUE HISTORICAL HOUSE
LOCATED ON SITE. PROPERTY WILL FEATURE
UNDERGROUND PARKING AND SPECTACULAR VIEWS OF
SHOAL CREEK CANYON AND SURROUNDING COUNTRY. SO
OBVIOUSLY THEY REALIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE
VIEWS FROM THIS PROPERTY. THEY DID HAVE THE GOOD
GRACE TO CHANGE IT SLIGHTLY, WHEN THEY PUT IT IN --
APPARENTLY SOMETIME IN THE LAST MONTH HAVE
CHANGED IT SLIGHTLY. SO THE SECOND PAGE [INAUDIBLE -
NO MIC]

NEXT SPEAKER MAVERICK WELLS, FOLLOWED BY JOE
PINELLI. IS MICHELLE VALICK HERE? HOW ARE YOU?
MAVERICK UP TO SIX MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL. I AM E. MAVERICK
WELLS, ONE OF THE FORMER OWNERS OF THE MAVERICK
MILLER HOUSE AT 910 POPULAR, WHO ALONG WITH MY TWO
SISTERS SOLD THIS PROPERTY TO B AND H ENTERPRISES IN
JANUARY OF 2005. IT WAS MY MATERNAL GRANDMOTHER,
EMILY MAVERICK MILLER WHOSE MAIDEN SURNAME I BEAR
WHO MAINTAINED THE PROPERTY AND BUILT THIS HOUSE IN
THE 1920S, IT WAS MY MOTHER EMILY MILLER WELLS WHO
OBTAINED THIS PROPERTY FROM HER TWO SIB BLIPTION AT

MY GRANDMOTHER'S DEATH IN 1979 AND MAINTAINED THIS PROPERTY AS HER SEPARATE PROPERTY UNTIL HER DEATH IN 1999. BUT IT WAS MY SISTERS AND I WHO WERE THE ONES WHO OBTAINED THE AUSTIN LANDMARK AND TEXAS HISTORICAL SEAL FOR THE ENTIRE PROPERTY AT 910 POPULAR IN 2000 AND 2001. OUR ACTION TO REQUEST THESE DESIGNATIONS WAS OPPOSED BY CHASE BANK, EXECUTOR OF MY MOTHER'S ESTATE, WHO ADVISED AGAINST SUCH ACTION AS IT COULD DEVALUE THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION BY AT LEAST HALF IF NOT MORE BECAUSE IT WOULD INHIBIT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT. THERE WAS NO MISUNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME OF THE SALE OF THE PROPERTY AS TO ITS NATURE, ITS HISTORIC DESIGNATION, ITS ZONING OR THE LIMITATIONS OR END CRUMB AMBULANCES ATTACHED TO THE -- ENCUMBRANCES ATTACHED TO THE ENTIRE PROPERTY. AS YOU KNOW THIS HEARING IS ACTUALLY TO APPEAL ONLY ONE OF THE DECISIONS MADE BY THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION, BUT THEY WERE BOTH UNANIMOUSLY REJECTED. ON FEBRUARY 28th OF THIS YEAR. B AND H WERE WELL AWARE AT THE TIME OF THE SALE IN JANUARY THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT HAD TO BE APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION. IF B AND H'S REQUEST FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS WERE TO BE GRANTED, THIS WOULD SET A VERY DANGEROUS PRECEDENT. IT WOULD JEOPARDIZE ALL EXISTING HISTORICALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES AND MAKE IT TRAVESTY OF CITY OF AUSTIN'S ORDINANCE WHICH STATES CLEARLY THAT THE INTENTION OF HISTORIC DESIGNATION IS TO PRESERVE A STRUCTURE SITE, AN AREA OF ARCHITECTURAL, HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? QUESTIONS, COUNCIL, FOR MR. WELLS? THANK YOU, SIR. JOE PINELLE? DID JACKIE HANG AROUND? JOHN MAYFIELD, HOW ARE YOU? ANYBODY ELSE LIKE TO DONATE TIME TO JOE PINELLI? WHAT'S YOUR NAME, SIR? OKAY. JOE YOU WILL HAVE UP TO NINE MINUTES IF YOU NEED IT.

MAYOR, MAYOR PRO TEM, COUNCILMEMBER STAFF, FELLOW CITIZENS, THE NATURE OF THE HOUR, I WILL TRY NOT TO TAKE ALL MY TIME. THIS IS MY THIRD COUNCIL CHAMBER TO SPEAK IN FRONT OF I FIRST APPEARED I CAN'T DO 1958, BUT

I FIRST APPEARED IN FRONT OF THE RAY BUTLER COUNCIL IN 1969. NEVER IN MY WILDEST DREAMS WOULD I HAVE HOPED TO SEE THE DIVERSITY THAT NOW SITS ON THIS BODY. IN A SEA OF ORANGE. WE HAVE NOT ONLY ONE BUT TWO AGGIE AMERICANS, I THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: TRUTH HURTS.

I KNOW YOU ARE SO PLEASED TO HAVE SOMEBODY JOIN YOU.

Mayor Wynn: I AM.

I AM HERE REPRESENTING MYSELF AND THE HERITAGE SOCIETY. TO REMOVE EVEN ONE INCH OF LAND FROM HISTORICALLY ZONED AREA OF THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED A BREACH OF CONFIDENCE. WITH THE MILLER FAMILY IN VIOLATION OF THE TRUST AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE. THE OPENERS REQUESTED THIS ZONING, THE OWNERS WANTED THE HOUSE THAT YOU HEARD. THE CURRENT OWNER REQUIRED THIS PROPERTY WITH FULL KNOWLEDGE OF ZONING AND PURPOSE. PERHAPS THERE WAS NO DELIBERATE MISINFORMATION CONVEYED TO THE MILLERS, BUT CERTAINLY NO OPEN COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE CURRENT AND FORMER OWNER AS TO THE EXTENT AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROPERTY. IF THE LAND AROUND THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE IS REDUCED TO ACCOMMODATE DEVELOPMENT FOR THE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE OF NEW OWNER, THE CITY COUNCIL'S DISHONORING THE PURPOSE OF THE HISTORIC ZONING AND IGNORING THE WISHES OF THE PRIOR OWNER FOR THE FUTURE PRESERVATION AND SAFETY OF ONE OF AUSTIN'S HISTORIC AND ARCHITECTURAL GEMS AS WELL AS THE ABUSE OF THE BEAUTIFULLY LANDSCAPED SETTING ALONG WITH THE IRREPLACEABLE LOSS OF TREES AND PANORAMIC VISTAS. CAN WE EVEN CALCULATE THE COST TO THE CURRENT OPENERS IF THE MILLERS HAD REQUESTED AND RECEIVED PERMISSION TO HAVE THE HISTORIC ZONING REMOVED AND TAKEN FULL FINANCIAL ADVANTAGE OF THE SITE WITHOUT HISTORIC DESIGNATION. MORE IMPORTANTLY THIS MAY BE THE FIRST TIME A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS HAS BEEN APPEALED TO THE COUNCIL.

SHOULD THE APPEAL BE GRANTED, WE WILL BE SETTING A HORRIBLY DETRIMENTAL PRECEDENT TO THE VERY CORPS OF THIS ORDINANCE. FOR MYSELF AND ON BEHALF OF THE HERITAGE SOCIETY OF AUSTIN, I RESPECTFULLY URGE TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF THE POTENTIALLY PRECEDENT SETTING APPEAL FOR THE CERTIFICATE AND THE APPROPRIATENESS. THIS IS THE THIRD TIME THAT I HAVE COME DOWN, CAME DOWN TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION WE ARE HERE UNTIL NEARLY MIDNIGHT. THERE WERE 30 OF US THEN. WE'VE HAD SEVERAL FALSE ALARMS COMING DOWN, YOU ALL HAD A PROGRAM THAT WE CERTAINLY UNDERSTOOD WAS GOING TO TAKE LONGER, WE LOST THAT. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT YOU DIDN'T GAIN PRIVILEGE TO TONIGHT WAS PROFESSOR EUGENE GEORGE WHO WAS THE ARCHITECT FOR HISTORIC WILLIAMSBURG, HE WAS OVER 80, WHO AS AN UNDERGRADUATE FREQUENTED THE STRUCTURE AND THE SITE, CAME DOWN HERE AND STAYED UNTIL MIDNIGHT TO CONVEY THIS ONE THOUGHT. HE SAID IF THIS HOUSE IS SEPARATED FROM THE SITE, YOU MIGHT AS WELL PUSH IT DOWN. AND HE IS THE -- PROBABLY THE MOST RENOWNED AUTHORITY IN THE COUNTRY ON THAT ISSUE. SO NOT TO TRUMP MR. FREE, BUT I WISH THAT WE JUST DIDN'T HAVE THE STRENGTH WHEN WE FOUND OUT HOW LATE IT WAS GOING TO BE TO HAVE PROFESSOR GEORGE COME DOWN TONIGHT. I URGE YOU AND WITH ALL DUE RESPECT THAT I HAVE FOR MR. HAASS AND MR. GORINO WHO I KNOW WELL AND MR. MCHONE, I STRONGLY URGE YOU NOT TO DO THIS. THEY NEED TO FIND ANOTHER BUYER OR SELLER OR USE FOR THE PROPERTY. THEY KNEW FULL WELL THE HISTORIC DESIGNATION, IT'S HARD ENOUGH TO GET HISTORIC DESIGNATIONS, LET'S DON'T BE BUSTING 'EM OUT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU. JOHN FOXWORTH. WELCOME BACK, JOHN. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES, FOLLOWED BY LUCY RIGGS. BE FOLLOWED BY BRYAN [INDISCERNIBLE]

GOOD EVENING. WELCOME NEW COUNCILMEMBERS. MY NAME IS JOHN FOXWORTH AND IT'S LATE. WELCOME TO YOUR FIRST COUNCIL MEETING. MY NAME IS JOHN FOXWORTH, THE PRESIDENT OF THE SHOAL CREST NEIGHBORHOOD, I SPOKE EARLIER BUT I WAS REALLY HERE

FOR THIS TONIGHT. I WAS PART OF THE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THE WEST CAMPUS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IN CENTRAL AUSTIN NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND UNO. AND I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT OUR PERCEPTION AS A NEIGHBORHOOD WAS THAT WE DIDN'T NEED TO GO OUT AND LIKE TAKE OUT A LITTLE BOX WHERE THE HISTORIC HOUSES WERE TO SAY UNO DOESN'T APPLY HERE. WE JUST THOUGHT IT WAS LOGICAL. SO IT WAS NEVER ENVISIONED THAT SOMETHING LIKE THIS WOULD HAPPEN. I HAVE PERSONAL HISTORY WITH THIS HOUSE, I HAVE BEEN TO IT ON TWO OR THREE OCCASIONS, FOR SOCIAL FUNCTIONS. EXCUSE ME. AND ONE OF THE MOST NOTICEABLE THINGS BESIDE THE HOUSE WAS THE TERRACE LANDSCAPE. BEAUTIFUL. BEAUTIFUL. I WAS THERE AT A PARTY ONE NIGHT, YOU COULD LOOK OVER LAMAR AND THE CREEK AND SEE THE CARS GOING VERY, VERY LOVELL IN THE MIDDLE OF WEST CAMPUS. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE A BETTER WAY TO DEVELOP AND BE ECONOMIC. DON'T FALL TO THE BRIBES OF YOU'LL GET TAX INCENTIVES BECAUSE YOU WOULD MAKE SO MUCH MORE MONEY OR THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE A LOT MORE MONEY TO PRESERVE THIS HOUSE. THAT'S KIND OF LIKE A BRIBE. THAT'S JUST KIND OF GREED. I THINK WE NEED TO SEE A CREATIVE SOLUTION. ONE THAT WILL NOT DESTROY THE HISTORIC NATURE OF THE PROPERTY, THE ENTIRE PROPERTY. I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THAT THIS IS HISTORIC, IT STICKS OUT AT BEING SO DIFFERENT THAN THE REST OF WEST CAMPUS. THAT'S PART OF THE PRESERVING HISTORY IS TO SHOW WHAT IT WAS LIKE HISTORICALLY. YOU NEED TO PRESERVE THAT FOR THE FUTURE. IT'S A TYPE KNEE LITTLE SPACE IN -- TINY LITTLE SPACE IN WEST CAMPUS. THERE ARE PLENTY OF MORE APPROPRIATE AREAS IN WEST CAMPUS TO DEVELOP. NOT A HISTORIC PROPERTY. THERE'S PLENTY OF LARGE SCALE, ALLOWED IN UNO, AND THIS WAS NOT THE FORESIGHT OF UNO. NOT A HISTORIC PROPERTY. SO I URGE YOU TO VOTE AGAINST THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS BECAUSE THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS NOT APPROPRIATE, THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. FOXWORTH. LUCY RIGGS. WELCOME, YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES FOLLOWED BY BRYAN [INDISCERNIBLE]

GOOD EVENING, COUNCILMEMBERS, MY NAME IS LUCY WELLS RIGGS, I JOIN WITH MY CITY AND BROTHER IN STRONGLY OPPOSING THIS APPEAL OF THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION'S UNANIMOUS DECISION ON FEBRUARY 28th, 2005 TO REJECT A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE PROPOSED CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT AT 910 POPULAR, SITE OF THE HISTORIC MAVERICK MILLER HOME. MY SIBLINGS AND I ARE THE GRANDCHILDREN OF EDMOND THORNTON AND HENRY MAVERICK MILLER WHO BOUGHT THIS PROPERTY IN 1922 AND BUILT THEIR ROCK HOME AND TERRACE GARDENS THERE. THE MAVERICK MILLER PROPERTY HAS BEEN IN THE POSSESSION OF OUR FAMILY UNTIL ITS RECENT SALE IN JANUARY OF THIS YEAR. MY GRANDMOTHER LIVED AT 910 POPULAR INTO HER 90s. SHE REJECTED ALL OFFERS TO PURCHASE THE PROPERTY FOR DEVELOPMENT. JUST BEFORE HER DEATH IN 1979, MY GRANDMOTHER ASKED MY MOTHER, EMILY MILLER WELLS TO SAVE AND PROTECT HER HOME. MY MOTHER USED HER INHERITANCE TO BUY HER TWO SIBLINGS SHARES OF THE PROPERTY, SHE BECAME THE OWNER AND PROTECTOR OF 910 POPULAR FOR TWO DECADES UNTIL HER DEATH IN 1999. A FEW MONTHS BEFORE MY MOTHER'S DEATH SHE CONTRACTED PETER FLAG MAXUM TO BEGIN THE EFFORT FOR PURSUING HISTORIC DESIGNATION. SHE DIED WELL BEFORE THE DESIGNATION WAS COMPLETE. CHASE BANK SERVING AS EXECUTOR OF HER ESTATE ADVISED MY SISTER AND BROTHER AND I NOT TO ALLOW THE DESIGNATION TO PROCEED AS IT WOULD SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE THE VALUE OF OUR INHERITANCE. HOWEVER, WE CHOSE TO FOLLOW OUR MOTHER'S WISHES AND HONOR HER SACRIFICE WITH OUR OWN SACRIFICE. WE OBTAINED BOTH CITY AND STATE HISTORIC DESIGNATIONS IN 2000 AND 2001. WHEN MY SIBLINGS AND I CAME TO THE PAINFUL CONCLUSION THAT WE COULD NO LONGER MAINTAIN IT AND THAT WE NEEDED TO SELL THIS BEAUTIFUL PROPERTY, WE DID CONSULT A LAWYER TO CONSIDER OTHER WAYS TO FURTHER PROTECT IT. AS LYNN TEAM EXPLAINED, WE WERE DISSUED FROM PLACING DEED RESTRICTIONS, BUT WE WERE ALSO REASSURED THAT THE PROTECTION GIVEN INDIANA THE CITY'S CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING HISTORIC PROPERTIES WAS SUFFICIENT TO PREVENT THE TYPE OF

DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD DETRACT FROM THE PROPERTY'S HISTORIC VALUE. I -- I HAVE AN INTENSE DESIRE TO SEE THIS PROPERTY MAINTAINED AND RESTORED. AND I HAVE TRIED TO WORK WITH THE PRESENT OWNERS AFTER THE REJECTION OF THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION. OUR VIEWS OF WHAT IS ESSENTIAL IN THE PRESERVATION OF THIS PROPERTY ARE TOO FAR APART. WE COULD NOT COME TO AN AGREEMENT. AND I COULD NOT RECONCILE IT. THEIR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT COMPLETELY OBLITERATES THE HILLSIDE SETTING AND THE ORIGINAL LANDSCAPING FEATURES HIGHLIGHTED IN THE PROPERTY'S STATE HURRICANE MARKER. [BUZZER SOUNDING] THEREFORE IT IS NOT SENSITIVE AND RESPECTFUL OF THE HISTORIC INTEGRITY OF 910 POPULAR WHICH HAS REMAINED VIRTUALLY UNCHANGED SINCE THE 1920S. THIS PROPOSAL ROBS THE PUBLIC FROM THE OPPORTUNITY OF SEEING THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE WITHIN ANY MEANINGFUL CONTEXT, A TERRIBLE LOSS FOR BOTH AUSTIN AND TEXAS. THANK YOU. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MS. RIGGS. BRYAN PATE?
[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC]

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, WE WILL SHOW YOU IN OPPOSITION TO THE PLAN. JENNIFER GALE. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES WHILE YOU APPROACH THE PODIUM. I WILL READ INTO THE RECORD FOLKS WHO DON'T WANT TO SPEAK, ALSO AGAINST THE APPEAL. TEDDY KINNEY, SCOTT MORRIS, ALLEN MARBERGER, PAGE FREDERICK. WELCOME, THREE MINUTES.

HI AUSTIN. WE ARE ALL GOING TO MISS EVERYBODY'S FRIEND JAKE PICKLE. THE 2004 NATIONAL CHAMPION DETROIT PISTONS HAVE LOST TO THE NEW SAN ANTONIO 2005 WORLD CHAMPION AND SAN ANTONIO SPURS! WELCOME ABOARD TO OUR NEW COUNCILMEMBERS. LEE LEFFINGWELL, PLEASE 1, EVERYONE IS GOING TO LOOK FORWARD TO TALKING TO YOU ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND TO JENNIFER KIM OUR NEW WOMAN'S DISTRICT, I HOPE EVERYONE TAKES ADVANTAGE OF YOUR LEADERSHIP SKILLS, WHICH ARE MANY. AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL AND OUR NEW MAYOR PRO TEM DANNY THOMAS.

GOOD LUCK WITH ALL OF YOUR NEW RESPONSIBILITIES. IF YOU NEED ANY HELP, I'LL BE THERE FOR YOU. OUR OLD COUNCIL TURNED THE RAINEY STREET NEIGHBORHOOD INTO THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT. ALLOWING FOR ENCROACHMENT OF BUSINESSES THAT DO NOT CONFORM WITH THE HISTORICAL INTEGRITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND WILL LEAD TO ITS DEMOLITION, ITS ENTIRE DEMOLITION, JUST LIKE 609 WEST LYNN, IT NO LONGER EXISTS. WHICH INJURED THE HISTORICAL INTEGRITY OF THE ENTIRE AREA. MANY OF OUR COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE SHARED MY CAMPAIGN SLOGAN, LET'S KEEP AUSTIN AUSTIN. MOVING BUILDINGS OR END DROVEMENT WILL CONTINUE TO DESTROY THE AUSTIN WE ARE SO PROUD OF. LET'S CALL THIS INAPPROPRIATE. I WANT TO WISH A HAPPY 229th BIRTHDAY TO EVERYONE HERE LIVING IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. LET'S CELEBRATE WITH A GREAT BIG PRESENT OF GETTING OUT OF IRAQ. THANK YOU CITY COUNCIL.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, JENNIFER. SO COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL OF THE FOLKS SIGNED UP IN FAVOR OR IN OPPOSITION TO THE APPEAL. MR. MCHONE WILL NOW HAVE A THREE MINUTE REBUTTAL.

COUNCIL, WE HAVE HEARD A LOT OF TESTIMONY TONIGHT AND I KNOW THE HOUR IS LATE. I WANT TO REMIND THAT YOU THIS IS A -- I WANT TO CORRECT A FEW THINGS. THE SLIDE SHOWN IS A SLIDE OF THE VIEW FROM LAMAR LOOKING TOWARD THE SITE AS YOU CAN SEE THE ONLY THING THAT IS AVAILABLE FROM LAMAR IS A VERY SMALL PORTION OF THE ROOF. THE EXISTING MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE, THAT WOULD BE THE TOWER THAT'S THE TWO STORY PORTION. WE WANT TO SAVE THIS HOUSE. THAT'S OUR TOTAL GOAL. WE ARE FOLLOWING THE STAFF APPROVED RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. THE STAFF RECOGNIZED THE DIFFICULTY IN SAVING THE HISTORIC BUILDINGS. WE ARE FOLLOWING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE CHAPTER 25-2-352 WHICH I MENTIONED IN MY ORIGINAL PRESENTATION. OF REDUCING HISTORIC AREA IF NECESSARY. TO SAVE A STRUCTURE. IT HAS BEEN DONE BEFORE. IT HAS BEEN DONE NOT ONLY IN THE STAFF'S SUPPORT OF THE NEWNING HOUSE, THE HOUSE -- THE JUDGES HILL, THE MANSION AT

JUDGE'S HILL, THAT WHOLE BLOCK WAS ZONED HISTORIC IN '78. THERE WAS NOTHING THERE OTHER THAN THE MANSION ITSELF. THROUGH THE YEARS A -- A REHABILITATION BUILDING WAS BUILT ON IT, A BIG DORMITORY THAT WAS REMOVED FROM THE HISTORIC, THEN A PARKING STRUCTURE, SO ALL OF THESE THINGS HAVE OCCURRED IN ORDER TO SAVE AND PRESERVE AND CREATE HISTORIC PROPERTIES THAT ARE CONTEMPORARY AND ADD TO OUR EXISTING COMMUNITY AND ARE BROUGHT BACK TO LIFE IF YOU TIONING TOGETHER WITH MODERN BUILDINGS. STAN HAASS WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK BRIEFLY TO MR. LARRY OAKS LETTER.

THANKS, MIKE. MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, I JUST WANTED TO RESPOND TO THE LETTER THAT WAS READ TO YOU BY MR. LARRY OAKS OF THE HISTORIC COMMISSION. HE AIM APPLIED THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT COULD HAVE IMPACT ON THE STATE LANDMARK. I WILL WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT OUR DESIGN TO MR. OAKS BECAUSE I QUITE SERIOUSLY DISAGREE WITH THE IMPLICATION OF HIS LETTER. HE STATED ACCORDING TO STANDARDS FROM THE U.S. SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR INTRODUCING NEW CONSTRUCTION INTO A BUILDING SITE WHICH IS INCOMPATIBLE, IN TERMS OF SIZE, SCALE, DESIGN, MATERIALS, COLOR AND TEXTURE, WHICH MAY DESTROY THE HISTORIC RELATIONSHIP ON THE SITE IS NOT RECOMMENDED. I COULD NOT AGREE MORE. THAT IS WHY OUR BUILDING IS ONLY 11 FEET TALLER THAN THE HOUSE, THAT'S WHY IT'S CLAD IN LIMESTONE, DESIGNED, COLORED, MATERIALS AND TEXTURE ARE DEPICTED AS THEY ARE. CONSISTENT WITH OUR FIRM'S REPUTATION FOR DESIGN EXCELLENCE, WE HAVE CREATED THE NEW RESIDENCES TO REINFORCE THE MAVERICK MILLER HOUSE. THIS IS HOW WE INTENDED TO MAKE A PLACE. WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR ENDORSEMENT OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUNCIL THAT CONCLUDES THIS PUBLIC HEARING ON THE APPEAL OF THE DENIAL OF THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS. I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC

HEARING. ALL IN FAVOR.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? THANK YOU, MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO DENY THE APPEAL.

Mayor Wynn: MOTION BY -- MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER KIM TO DENY THE APPEAL, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY. FURTHER COMMENTS?

Mayor Wynn: I WILL SAY THAT I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION, I AM COGNIZANT AND RECOGNIZE THE DRAMATIC AMOUNT OF TIME, EFFORT, MONEY, DESIGN EXPERTISE THAT WAS EXPENDED ON THIS PROPOSAL BY THE OWNERS. BUT FUNDAMENTALLY I CAN'T GO AGAINST WHAT I -- IN MY OPINION IS THE -- IS THE OVERALL DESIGNATION OF THIS TOTAL PROPERTY. FURTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION TO DENY PASSES DENY THE APPEAL PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. COUNCIL WE STILL HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF BUSINESS. ONE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC HEARING. WHICH I THINK HAS NO SPEAKERS. ITEM NO. 134. CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CODE REGARDING ACCESSIBILITY FOR HOUSING CONSTRUCTED WITH PUBLIC FUNDS. OH, STEWART HIRSCH, PAY HENCE A VIRTUE.

THANK YOU, STEWART HIRSCH, BEFORE YOU TONIGHT FOUR AMENDMENTS TO THE VISITABILITY ORDINANCE WHICH YOU ORIGINALLY PASSED IN 1998 AND YOU AMENDED IN JANUARY 2004. THESE AMENDMENTS COME WITH THE SUPPORT OF BOTH THE MAYOR'S COMMITTEE FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AND WILL PROMOTE INCREASED LEVELS OF ACCESSIBILITY FOR HOUSING THAT RECEIVED CITY ASSISTANCE AND MEETS AFFORDABILITY STANDARDS. I'M

PREPARED TO ANSWER ANY OF YOUR QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL? IF NOT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT AS OUTLINED BY STAFF. MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMEMBER MCCracken. SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY TO APPROVE THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 7-0.

THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: COUPLE, HATE TO HAVE TO CALL THIS UP, BUT ITEMS NUMBER 85, 86, 87, WHERE HE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION EARLIER. HOPING TO HAVE A -- A SMALL BRIEF PUBLIC PRESENTATION ABOUT THIS CONCEPT. OBVIOUSLY THE HOUR IS VERY, VERY LATE. I DOUBT ANYBODY IS EVEN WATCHING, BUT WE WOULD LIKE TO FOR THE RECORD AND FOR THE REPLAY OF THIS MEETING CONDUCT THIS PUBLIC PRESENTATION.

Futrell: WE WILL REPLAY IT REPEATEDLY SO PEOPLE CAN HEAR THIS.

ARE YOU GOING TO GET STARTED WITH THE PRESENTATION.

YES. OKAY. [ONE MOMENT PLEASE FOR CHANGE IN CAPTIONERS]

I WOULD LIKE TO GO THROUGH WITH YOU THE CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS. THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND TXDOT ENTERED INTO A COST PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT IN 1986. IN AUGUST OF 2004, STAFF BROUGHT FORWARD A PAYMENT REQUEST FOR THESE AGREEMENTS AND WAS DIRECTED BY COUNCIL TO GO BACK AND RENEGOTIATE THE AGREEMENT. ON JANUARY 9TH, 2005, THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE FOR THE COST TO PARTICIPATE IN TOLL ROADS. MAY 29TH, 2005, AN AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 2702 REQUIRED UTILITIES TO PAY 50% OF RELOCATION

FOR TOLL ROADS. THE STAFF RECOGNIZED THE DETRIMENT TO THE CITY OF AUSTIN THROUGH THESE INCREASED COSTS. WE HAVE BEEN OPERATING FROM THE PERSPECTIVE THAT THESE ARE FREE ROADS. WE HAVE FUNDS INCUMBERED AND THEY WILL REMAIN SO UNDER THE 1986 AGREEMENT UNTIL THE TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION DESIGNATES THEM OTHERWISE. AT THAT TIME IF THESE ROADS BECOME TOLL ROADS THE ENCUMBRANCE WILL BE RELEASED AND THE FUNDS WILL BE REPRIORITIZED. COUNCIL, WE TOOK THIS ACTION TO OFFER THE CITY INSURANCE AGAINST THE POTENTIAL OF A WORST CASE SCENARIO OF HAVING THE BILL BECOME LAW. ON JUNE 14TH, 2005, THE SCENARIO BECAME REALITY AND THE BILL BECAME LAW. IF WE COULD GO TO THE FIRST SLIDE. BEFORE IS A SUMMARY OF THE DIFFERENT AGREEMENTS. THE AGREEMENT THAT WE'VE BEEN OPERATING UNDER FROM JUNE 1986 TO JANUARY NINTH, 2005, IS THAT THE STATE PAYS FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION, THE STATE PAYS 90%, AND THE CITY PAYS 10% OF THOSE COSTS. WHEN UTILITIES ARE LOCATED IN CITY OF AUSTIN OWNED EASEMENTS, THE STATE PAYS 90% AND THE CITY PAYS 10% OF THE COST. AND WHEN THE UTILITIES ARE LOCATED IN THE STATE OWNED RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE STATE PAYS AND THE CITY PAYS FOR AFTER HALF OF THOSE COSTS AND THIS APPLIES TO ALL THE HIGHWAYS. THEN WE TURN TO THE AGREEMENT THAT IS BEFORE YOU TONIGHT, AND IN THIS AGREEMENT THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS PAID 100% BY THE STATE, THE RELOCATION OF CITY IS -- OF THE CITY UTILITIES, WHETHER IT'S IN AN EASEMENT OR IN STATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, IS ALSO PAID BY THE STATE. THIS ONLY APPLIES IF THE ROADS ARE TOLLED. AND THE STATE LAW THAT BECAME EFFECTIVE JUNE 14TH, THE PARTICIPATION BY THE STATE FOR THE PURCHASE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY IS STILL 100%. THE RELOCATED UTILITIES IN CITY OWNED EASEMENTS IS NOW SPLIT 50/50. THE UTILITIES HAVE TO PAY 50% OF THE COST OF RELOCATION, WHETHER THEY'RE IN AN EASEMENT OR NOT. AND THIS APPLIES ONLY TO ROADS THAT ARE DEVELOPED AS TOLLED. AS KIND OF A SUMMARY WITH NUMBERS, FROM JANUARY -- UNDER OUR AGREEMENTS BEFORE YOU TODAY, BETWEEN JANUARY 9, '05 TO THE DATE WE SIGNED THE AGREEMENT, WE OWED \$6.5 MILLION, AND THAT'S BECAUSE AS OF AUGUST WHEN

WE TOOK THE ITEM TO COUNCIL, WE HAVE NOT MADE ANY PAYMENTS TO TXDOT. WE HAVE WITHHELD THOSE PAYMENTS UNTIL WE COULD NEGOTIATE A NEW AGREEMENT. IT'S ESTIMATED THAT WE WILL STILL OWE \$44.9 MILLION. FROM JUNE 14TH FORWARD, WHICH IS A TOTAL OF \$51.7 MILLION UNDER OUR CURRENT AGREEMENT. THE 1986 AGREEMENT. NOW, SHOULD THOSE -- SHOULD WE RATIFY THE AGREEMENT, THEN THE CITY OF AUSTIN WILL NOT PAY THAT \$51.7 MILLION. THAT MONEY WILL STAY IN RESERVE UNTIL THE TOLL ROADS ARE BUILT. AND RIGHT NOW IT'S ESTIMATED UNDER THE NEW STATE LAW THAT COST COULD GO UP TO ABOUT \$82 MILLION. I'D LIKE TO GO OVER SOME KEY POINTS ABOUT THE ITEMS BEFORE YOU TODAY. THESE ITEMS TODAY DO NOT AFFECT THE DESIGNATION OF ANY ROADS AS TOLL ROADS OR NON-TOLL ROADS. RATIFICATION OF THESE ITEMS DOES NOT STATE A PREFERENCE FOR A DESIGNATION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AS TO THE STATUS OF THE ROADS. THE AMENDMENTS ALLOW US TO AVOID THE COST OF RIGHT-OF-WAY AND UTILITY RELOCATIONS INCURRED AFTER JANUARY 9 9, 2005 ON THE THREE ROAD SEGMENTS IDENTIFIED EARLIER. IF AND ONLY IF THE TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION DEVELOPS THESE SEGMENTS AS TOLL ROADS. MOST IMPORTANTLY, COUNCIL'S ACTIONS ON THESE ITEMS DOES NOT STATE A POLICY DIRECTION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER OR THE RELATIVE -- OR TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE SEGMENTS AS TOLLS. THE DECISION TO KEEP THEM AS TOLL FACILITIES IS DETERMINED BY THE TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION. THIS ACTION SIMPLY ALLOWS THE CITY TO AVOID COSTS OF THESE SEGMENTS IF THEY ARE DEVELOPED AS TOLL ROADS. THE CITY OF AUSTIN HAS NOT MADE ANY PAYMENTS TO TXDOT SINCE AUGUST OF LAST YEAR. CONSEQUENTLY, WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY -- WE WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY REIMBURSEMENT FROM TXDOT. THE AMENDMENTS BEFORE YOU WOULD FORGIVE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND UTILITY RELOCATION COSTS INCURRED AFTER JANUARY 9TH, 2005, ONLY IF THEY'RE DEVELOPED AS TOLL ROADS. AGAIN, LET ME SAY THE FUNDS WHICH ARE ESTIMATED AT \$52 MILLION TO PEA FOR THE COSTS INCURRED AFTER JANUARY OF 2005 WILL BE ENCUMBERED UNTIL SUCH A TIME THAT THE TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ELECTS TO DEVELOP THESE AS TOLL ROADS.

THE CITY WILL NOT PAY THE ENCUMBERED AMOUNTS AS PRESCRIBED BY THE AMENDMENTS. HAD WE BEEN SUBJECT TO THE NEW COST SHARING FORMULA UNDER THE RECENT LEGISLATION, THE COST IS ESTIMATED TO BE \$82 MILLION. AND FINALLY, THE AMENDMENTS STILL PRESERVE THE CITY'S PREROGATIVE TO TAKE WHATEVER POSITION IT CHOOSES AND TO CONTINUE ITS STUDY. THE AGREEMENT SIMPLY SERVES TO PROTECT US AGAINST A MORE EXPENSIVE COST SHARING ARRANGEMENT. THANK YOU.

Futrell: AND THEN I THINK FINALLY TO CLOSE, AND WE'RE HERE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, I'M GOING TO BE PULLING THIS ITEM DOWN TONIGHT. WE'LL BE POSTPONING THIS ITEM. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO BE SURE THAT WE GET -- BECAUSE WE HAD A LOT OF MISINFORMATION GO OUT THROUGH THE MEDIA ON WHAT THIS ITEM WAS AND WHAT IT WASN'T. TO BE SURE THAT WE GET GOOD AND SOLID INFORMATION OUT THERE FOR THE PUBLIC, AND I'LL BE MAKING A RECOMMENDATION ON WHEN WE'RE BRINGING IT BACK TO YOU SHORTLY. AND WE'RE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

Mayor Wynn: QUESTIONS OF STAFF, COUNCIL? WE DID HAVE A FEW FOLKS WHO HAVE SIGNED UP WISH TO GO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. THEY'VE WAITED ALL NIGHT, SO WITHOUT OBJECTION I GUESS WE SHOULD HEAR FROM THEM. LET'S SEE, IS ROGER BAKER STILL HERE? I CAN'T SEE BEHIND THE BOARD THERE.

HE IS. AND LORRAINE, CAN YOU TAKE THE MAP DOWN? I THINK WE'RE BLOCKING ROGER'S VIEW.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MR. BAKER. YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AND YOU WILL BE FOLLOWED BY RAY MARR.

ACTUALLY, SIX MINUTES BECAUSE TAD OVER HERE IS GOING TO DONATE SOME TIME.

Mayor Wynn: I'M SORRY?

PAM OVER HERE IS --

Mayor Wynn: OKAY.

IS DONATING TIME. I AM APPRECIATIVE THAT YOU ARE POSTPONING THIS BECAUSE I THINK THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT PUBLIC POLICY DECISION, AND I DON'T THINK IT'S RIGHT TO TAKE PUBLIC TESTIMONY AND VOTE ON IT AT MIDNIGHT. YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN HERE ALL DAY WAITING TO SPEAK. BASICALLY I THINK THERE'S A WHOLE LOT OF REASONS THAT ARE PROVING THE THREE TXDOT CONTRACTS AS BAD PUBLIC POLICY. BASICALLY TXDOT'S PITCH IS A LOT LIKE A SALESMAN OFFERING YOU A GREAT DEAL ON ALUMINUM SIDING IF -- BUT THE DEAL GOES AWAY IF YOU DON'T SIGN UP RIGHT AWAY OR EVEN IF YOU TAKE THE TIME TO READ THE FINE PRINT. I THINK TXDOT IS PRESSURING US THROUGH THIS AGREEMENT AND DANGLING, YOU KNOW, 52 MILLION IN FRONT OF US AND SAYING HEY, IF YOU TAKE THIS \$52 MILLION, YOU KNOW, THEN WE WON'T CHARGE YOU \$52 MILLION AND THIS WILL -- WE CAN GO AHEAD AND BUILD THIS AS A TOLL ROAD WITH YOUR APPROVAL. IF YOU DO SIGN THE TXDOT CONTRACT, THEN YOU GET A 52-MILLION-DOLLAR DISCOUNT ON THE THREE TOLL ROADS THAT TXDOT HAS BEEN TRYING TO PRESSURE THIS COUNCIL TO APPROVE SINCE LAST SUMMER. BUT THE BAD NEWS IS THAT YOU LOSE CONTROL AND TXDOT COULD START REBUILDING U.S. 183 AS A TOLL ROAD TOMORROW AND CHARGE WHATEVER THEY WANT TO CHARGE. ASSUME THAT U.S. 183 CARRIES 200,000 CARS PER DAY. ASSUME THAT THIS IS THE ONLY ONE OF THE THREE ROADS CITED THAT BECOMES A TOLL ROAD AND THE DRIVERS ARE TOLLED TWO DOLLARS PER VEHICLE ON AVERAGE. THAT'S \$400,000 A DAY. MULTIPLY THAT BY A YEAR, AND THAT'S \$146 MILLION EXTRACTED FROM LOCAL DRIVERS VIA TOLL ON THIS CURRENTLY FREE ROAD. SO IF YOU ADD THAT UP FOR A FEW YEARS, YOU KNOW THE 51 MILLION IS KIND OF SPARE CHANGE COMPARED TO HOW MUCH TXDOT COULD GAIN FROM YOU APPROVING MAKING THIS A TOLL ROAD. THESE ARE IMPORTANT POLICY DECISIONS. NOW, YOU MAY NOT WANT TO GO TO THE TAXPAYERS AND SAY GIVE US 51 MILLION SO WE CAN KEEP THIS A FREE ROAD, BUT IT MIGHT VERY WELL SAVE A HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR THE PEOPLE OF AUSTIN WHO DRIVE AROUND AUSTIN IF YOU DO THAT. AS YOU KNOW, YOU

CURRENTLY HAVE OVER 100,000-DOLLAR TOLL ROAD STUDY UNDERWAY MEANT TO TELL YOU IF DEALS LIKE THIS ARE GOOD PUBLIC POLICY OR NOT. IF YOU GIVE TXDOT THE GREEN LIGHT, IT MEANS THAT YOU'VE ALREADY MADE UP YOUR MIND BEFORE THE CURRENT STUDY IS DONE. AND I THINK IT'S CLEAR FROM THE NEWSPAPER ARTICLE -- IN FACT, BEN WEAR SAYS THAT THIS WINDFALL, THIS 52 MILLION DOES REPRESENT AT ONCE A POWERFUL ARGUMENT FOR CHARGING TOLLS ON THESE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND A SIGNIFICANT DISINCENTIVE FROM EVER BACKING OFF FROM TOLLS. SO YOU'RE GIVING TXDOT THE GREEN LIGHT IF YOU TAKE THIS 52-MILLION-DOLLAR, WHAT LOOKS LIKE TO ME, KIND OF LIKE A BRIBE TO ALLOW TXDOT TO GO AHEAD. NOW, I THINK THE MOST -- ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT POLICY DECISIONS OR POLICIES THAT YOU OUGHT TO BE LOOKING AT IS THE FACT THAT WE'RE PROBABLY ABOUT TO PEAK IN WORLD OIL PRODUCTION. AND THAT'S WHAT'S CAUSING THE PRICE OF OIL TO GO UP SO RAPIDLY IS THE WORLD IS NEARLY TAPPED OUT. I'M GOING TO PASS TO YOU AN ARTICLE FROM BLUMBERG BUSINESS NEWS TITLED OIL RISE AS THE SURGING DEMAND STRAINS WORLD OIL PRODUCTION. IF YOU GO -- IF YOU LOOK AT THE -- HERE, I'LL PASS THEM OUT TO YOU NOW. IF WHAT THAT ARTICLE SAYS IS TRUE, I THINK THAT DEFICIT FINANCING OF TOLL ROADS IS A STUPID DECISION. I THINK THE TOLL ROAD BONDS ARE GOING TO FAIL. AND IN FACT, IF YOU LOOK AT THE INVESTMENT ANALYSIS ON SH 130, IT SAYS THAT THESE BONDS WOULD NOT BE PRUDENT IF THE PRICE OF FUEL EVER GOES ABOVE 2 BOY .50 DOLLARS -- \$2.50 ANY TIME OVER THE LIFE OF THE BONDS. THAT'S 30 OR 40 YEARS. I THINK THESE THINGS ARE TERRIBLE BUSINESS DECISIONS IF THEY'RE BUILT AS DEFICIT FINANCED ROADS, I THINK THE BONDS ARE GOING TO DEFAULT, I THINK YOU SHOULD BE LOOKING AT THAT AND THE POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR AUSTIN. WHAT IS WALL STREET GOING TO THINK OF CENTRAL TEXAS WHEN THESE BONDS DEFAULT? SO I HOPE YOU READ THAT ARTICLE AND I HOPE YOU DON'T SIGN OFF ON THESE CONTRACTS, AND I HOPE THAT YOU WAIT UNTIL YOUR ANALYST IS FINISHED ANALYZING -- [BUZZER SOUNDS] -- THE POLICIES HERE. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. BAKER. NEXT SPEAKER IS RAY MARR, TO BE FOLLOWED BY STEPHEN RAY.

WHILE WE'RE WAIT TO GO TO SEE IF RAY IS HERE, I DON'T
ROGER I NEED TO GIVE YOU A CLARIFIER. THE 52 MILLION IS
WHAT THE CITY WILL PAY IF THE ROADS ARE FREE. THAT IS
OUR TYPICAL OBLIGATION FOR -- IT'S UNDER OUR 1986
AGREEMENT, IT'S WHAT THE CITY PAYS, OUR PERCENT OF
RIGHT-OF-WAY AND UTILITY ROAD RELOCATION ON A FREE
ROAD. IT'S MONEY WE ENCUMBER AND ARE OBLIGATED TO
PAY FOR A FREE ROAD. THAT MONEY WE ALREADY HAVE
ENCUMBERED AND WILL STAY ENCUMBERED BECAUSE WE
ARE MAKING AN ASSUMPTION THAT THIS WILL ULTIMATELY
BE A FREE ROAD. THAT'S THE 52 MILLION, THE 51.7.

[INAUDIBLE - NO MIC].

WHAT THIS AGREEMENT DOES IS THIS AGREEMENT, SINCE
THERE IS NOW A STATE LAW THAT HAS PASSED, THAT NOW
CHANGES WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE TOLL ROAD WHERE WE
WOULD BE OBLIGATED TO PAY 82 MILLION IF IT'S A TOLL
ROAD IF IT PREEMPTS THAT WITH THE PRIOR AGREEMENT.
BUT OUR 52 MILLION STAYS ENCUMBERED BECAUSE WE ARE
CONTINUING WITH THE ASSUMPTION THAT THESE WILL BE
FREE ROADS. SO THIS IS AN INSURANCE POLICY SHOULD
THEY BECOME TOLL ROADS, IT WOULD NOT OBLIGATE US TO
THE 82 MILLION, BUT WE ARE NOT RELEASING OUR 52
MILLION ENCUMBRANCE BECAUSE WE, LIKE MANY PEOPLE,
ARE CONTINUING WITH THE ASSUMPTION THAT THIS COULD
BE A FREE ROAD, AND THAT WOULD BE OUR FINANCIAL
OBLIGATION IF IT IS. SO THIS AGREEMENT IS NOT ABOUT A
DECISION ON WHETHER THE ROAD IS TOLLED OR FREE. IT
WAS AN INSURANCE POLICY AGAINST A STATE LAW, HOUSE
BILL 2702, THAT WAS PASSED ON THE LAST DAY, THIS LITTLE
PIECE THAT WAS PUT IN THROUGH A CONFERENCE
COMMITTEE ON THE VERY LAST DAY OF THE LAST
LEGISLATIVE SESSION, WAS OUR INSURANCE POLICY FOR
AN 82-MILLION-DOLLAR OBLIGATION.

Mayor Wynn: WELCOME, MR. STEPHEN RAY. YOU WILL HAVE
THREE MINUTES.

THANK YOU. I GUESS I'M THE LAST SPEAKER OF THE DAY.

Mayor Wynn: NO, WE'VE GOT SOME MORE BUSINESS TO TAKE CARE OF ACTUALLY.

ALL RIGHT. I CAME DOWN HERE AT 6:00 O'CLOCK AND DIDN'T ANTICIPATE BEING HERE THIS LONG. I SAW ROGER AND HE SAID THERE'S A TOLL ROAD ISSUE ON THE AGENDA FOR THE EVENING. AND HE WAS THE ONLY ONE HERE TO SPEAK TO IT, SO I SAID I WOULD JOIN HIM AND PAM AS WELL. I SAW THE PRESENTATION THAT WAS PRESENTED JUST A FEW MOMENTS AGO, AND I STILL MUST SAY THAT I'M A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED BY IT. I'M GLAD YOU DECIDED TO POSTPONE. I SUPPORT JENNIFER KIM'S COMMENTS IN THE STATESMAN. I THINK THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT SHOULD BE DISCUSSED MAYBE AT SIX P.M. AT TIME CERTAIN WHEN MORE PEOPLE CAN COME DOWN AND HERE HEAR ABOUT IT. WHAT I'M STILL NOT CLEAR, THOUGH, THE "AUSTIN AMERICAN-STATESMAN" COMMENT, WHICH ROGER READ, WHICH SAID THAT A SIGNIFICANT DISINCENTIVE FROM EVER BACKING OUT OF TOLLS. AND YOU SAID THAT'S MISINFORMATION, AND SO --

THAT WOULD BE MISINFORMATION.

I'M CONFUSED BY ALL THIS BECAUSE I'VE LISTENED TO ROGER SPEAK FOR A LONG NUMBER OF YEARS ABOUT PEAK OIL AND TOLL ROADS AND I HAVE A TENDENCY TO BELIEVE WHAT HE SAYS AND HIS ANALYSIS ABOUT THESE THINGS. SO I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE NOT JUST ROGER, BUT A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH THIS TOLL ROAD ISSUE DOWN HERE AND UNDERSTANDING THIS IN A MUCH CLEARER WAY. SO -- BUT I'M KIND OF CURIOUS IF THIS HAS BEEN IN THE WORKS SINCE AUGUST, THAT'S WHAT THE PAPER SAID, IT'S BEEN IN THE WORKS SINCE AUGUST AND IT COMES OUT A DAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL MEETING, BUT THEN YOU JUST SAID IT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH AN ACTION THAT WAS AT THE END OF THE LAST LEGISLATIVE SESSION, SO THAT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A CURIOSITY TO ME AS WELL. IN ANY CASE, I ALSO ECHO ROGER'S CONCERN ABOUT PEAK OIL, AND I THINK THAT -- I KNOW THAT THIS IS SORT OF A SIDE ISSUE TO THE TRANSPORTATION ISSUE, BUT IT'S REALLY NOT BECAUSE OIL IS, YOU KNOW, KEY TO THIS. I REALLY THINK THAT THE CITY SHOULD CONDUCT AN

INDEPENDENT STUDY OF WHAT THE IMPACT OF PEAK OIL IS GOING TO BE ON OUR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS AND OUR ENERGY SYSTEMS HERE IN AUSTIN. AND I REALLY -- I FEEL THAT THAT'S THE WHOLE TOLL ROAD ISSUE IS REALLY FORCING US TO TAKE A LOOK AT OIL. WE REALLY NEED TO TAKE A SERIOUS LOOK AT THAT BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE. WE REALLY DO NEED TO DO THAT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, MR. RAY. COUNCIL, THAT'S ALL OF THE SPEAKERS WHO HAVE SIGNED UP, RAY MARR SIGNED UP AGAINST, AS DID BILL BUNCH. SO COUNCIL, AS WE HEARD, THE MANAGER IS POSTPONING OFF THE AGENDA THESE ITEMS 85, 86 AND 87. OKAY. SO COUNCIL, FOR THE TIME BEING THERE'S NO MORE DISCUSSION ITEMS BECAUSE WE NEED TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION PURR PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.516 TO TAKE UP ITEM 116, JOSIE ALLEN ET AL VERSUS THE CITY OF AUSTIN. AND WELCOME BACK AFTERWARDS TO EITHER ADJOURN -- ACTUALLY, COUNCIL, BEFORE WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, WE POSTPONED EARLIER AGENDA ITEM 106, WHICH IS AN ITEM FROM COUNCIL WHERE WE WERE GOING TO GO THROUGH OUR COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS, AND AFTER OUR STAFF SPENT A LOT OF TIME WORKING ON IT THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS, WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN EVERYTHING TOGETHER, BUT THERE ARE JUST A FEW OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEES THAT NEED TO HAVE -- THAT WILL BE HAVING THEIR MEETINGS AND WILL NEED TO HAVE OUR REPRESENTATION. I SUGGEST THOSE BEING THE AUSTIN-SAN ANTONIO INNER MUNICIPAL COMMUTER RAIL DISTRICT, CAPCO, CAMPO AND CAPITAL METRO. AND SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT AFTER OUR STAFFS WERE TALKING THROUGH THESE, WE HAVE -- I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION THAT WOULD INCLUDE APPOINTING COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO THE CAPITAL METRO BOARD, AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY TO THE CAMPO BOARD, DESIGNATING COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AS AN ALTERNATE. AND ALSO APPOINTING COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLEY TO THE CAPCO -- THE CAPITAL AREA PLANNING COUNCIL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, AS WELL AS THE AUSTIN-SAN ANTONIO INNER MUNICIPAL COMMUTER RAIL DISTRICT. AND I MISSPOKE EARLIER. WHEN WE HAD OUR AHFC BOARD MEETING, IN FACT THERE WAS AN EARLIER ITEM ON THE

CONSENT AGENDA, ITEM NUMBER 50, WHICH APPOINTED BOTH COUNCILMEMBERS KIM AND LEFFINGWELL TO THE AHFC BOARD OF DIRECTORS. SO -- AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF OTHER INTERNAL COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES, BUT WE JUST HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO WORK THROUGH THE ASSIGNMENTS OF THOSE. COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN?

McCracken: MAYOR, I DO BELIEVE WHEN WE DO NAME THE AUDIT AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBER TOO AS WELL BECAUSE WE HAVE AN AUDIT AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING THIS COMING WEEK.

Mayor Wynn: MY UNDERSTANDING IS STAFF WASN'T -- WELL, COUNCIL, I SUGGEST WE TABLE THIS AND GO INTO CLOSED SESSION AND TAKE UP OUR DISCUSSION ON LEGAL ISSUES AND MAYBE PERHAPS INDIVIDUALLY WE CAN HAVE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT. THAT WASN'T MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHERE OUR AIDES WERE. SO AT THIS TIME WE'LL GO INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 551.071 TO TAKE UP AGENDA ITEM 116. WELCOME BACK OUT TO EITHER ADJOURN THE MEETING OR TAKE UP AGENDA ITEM 106. WE'RE NOW IN CLOSED SESSION. NO DIGS IS 7 MADE, DIGS WERE MADE, HE WITH DID NOT TAKE UP ITEMS 112, 117 OR 118, WE WON'T TAKE THIS 'EM UP. THEREFORE WE -- WE WON'T TAKE UP ITEM 119, WHICH WAS THE ACTION ITEM RELATED TO ITEM 118 WHICH WAS NOT DISCUSSED. SO, COUNCIL -- I BELIEVE THAT LEAVES US JUST WITH ITEM 106 WHICH WAS THE ITEM FROM COUNCIL RELATED TO COUNCIL COMMITTEES AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL APPOINTMENTS. MY RECOMMENDATION WAS THAT WE POSTPONE UNTIL NEXT MEETING, JULY 28th, AS MANY THINGS AS WE CAN. THROUGH THERE'S JUST -- BUT THERE'S JUST A FEW OF THEM THAT HAVE MEETINGS THAT WE NEED TO HAVE REPUTATION ON DURING THE MONTH OF JULY, THOSE MY UNDERSTANDING INCLUDE CAPITAL METRO, CAMPO, CAPCO AND THE AUSTIN-SAN ANTONIO COMMUTER RAIL DISTRICT. AS WELL AS THE NEWLY SUGGESTED SUBCOMMITTEE, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE. SO WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE COULD NOT TAKE ACTION ON THE NUMEROUS FORMAL SUBCOMMITTEES, ANYTHING FROM AUDIT FINANCE, TELECOM, M.B.E., ET CETERA, BUT GO AHEAD AND TAKE

ACTION ON THOSE WHERE WE'LL NEED REPUTATION THIS MONTH.

Alvarez: MAYOR. YEAH, I THINK -- I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW BECAUSE ALL OF THOSE HAVE SEVERAL REQUESTS TO GET OFF OF CERTAIN COMMITTEES, POTENTIALLY, JUST REQUESTS AT THIS POINT AND TO GET ON TO OTHER COMMITTEES. SO, YOU KNOW, IF WE START BEING APPOINTED TO NEW COMMITTEES THEN WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN WITH OUR REQUESTS TO POSSIBLY GET OFF SOME OF THESE OTHER COAST AND, YOU KNOW, JUST LOOKING AT THE OVERALL BALANCE AND I KNOW THAT THERE MAY BE SOME COMMITTEES THAT DON'T EXIST ANYMORE IF EVERYONE WHO WANTS TO MOVE TO OTHER COMMITTEES IS GRANTED THAT RIGHT. SO -- BUT I KNOW COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY WOULD BE APPOINTED TO THREE THINGS THIS EVENING AND SO, YOU KNOW, UNDER THAT PROPOSAL THEN SO DOES THAT MEAN SHE STAYS ON THE EXISTING ONES AND TAKES THOSE ON? I DON'T THINK SHE PROBABLY WOULD WANT TO DO THAT AND SO --

Dunkerly: I'LL DO WHATEVER THE REST OF THE COUNCIL WANTS. BUT SOME OF THE ONES THAT I ASKED TO COME OFF OF ARE THE AISD ONE, THE COUNTY ONE, THE TELECOM ONE AND ONE OTHER ONE, I HAVE FORGOTTEN. BUT -- SO ALL OF THOSE ARE OPEN. TO ANYBODY THAT -- ANYTHING ELSE THAT I'M ON, YOU KNOW, REGULAR COMMITTEES WOULD BE FINE.

Mayor Wynn: I WILL SAY MY STRONG PREFERENCE WOULD BE THAT WE POSTPONE AS MANY OF THESE THAT WE POSSIBLY CAN. I'M JUST TOLD THAT THOSE OTHER BOARDS ARE HAVING MEETINGS, THEREFORE THEY WANT OUR REPRESENTATION, BUT I'M NOT SURE IF MAYOR PRO TEM IF CAPITAL METRO DOESN'T HAVE OUR SECOND BOARD MEMBER, I GUESS YOU ALL HAVE A JULY MEETING?

Thomas: WE WILL HAVE ONE IN JULY. WELL, MONDAY, THERE'S A MEETING MONDAY.

THEN CAMPO, THERE'S A JULY 12 MEETING OF CAMPO OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

MAYOR?

COUNCILMEMBER KIM?

Kim: I THINK THAT AS WE ARE HAVING DISCUSSIONS JUST ONE ON ONE WITH OUR AIDES, IF THERE SEEMS LIKE THERE'S SOME COMMITTEES THAT MAY -- IT'S A POSSIBILITY THEY MAY NOT BE CONTINUED. SO IF THERE ARE A FEWER NUMBER OF COMMITTEES AND BUT WHEN WE DO APPOINTMENTS NOW BASED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT WE ARE HAVING COMMITTEES THAT MEANS LIKE COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AND MYSELF WITH FEWER COMMITTEES TO CUES FROM. WE HAVE MADE OUR PREFERENCES KNOWN, BUT I JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE MATH. IT'S NOT LIKE WE WOULD HAVE THREE OR FOUR POSITIONS TO FILL IF WE NOW HAVE A REDUCED NUMBER OF COAST AND EDUCED NUMBER OF COMMITTEES, WE DON'T KNOW YET HOW THAT'S GOING TO PLAY OUT. SO I JUST WANTED TO BRING THAT UP.

Mayor Wynn: WELL, I GUESS I'M COMFORTABLE WITH JUST POSTPONING THE ENTIRE ITEM IS WHAT I SUGGESTED WE DO 12 HOURS AGO. BUT --

WE COULD STAY ANOTHER HOUR. I MEAN THINKING OUT LOUD ABOUT CAMPO, CAPITAL METRO, I'M NOT FAMILIAR FRANKLY WITH CAPCO. OR THE RAIL DISTRICT WHETHER THERE IS GOING TO BE -- WELL, SO, COUNCIL, OBVIOUSLY THERE'S STILL SOME DISCOMFORT -- UNCOMFORTABLE NATURE OF THE BALANCE OF THESE COMMITTEES. SO I THINK WE NEED TO --

MAYOR, I'LL IN A MOTION THAT WE JUST APPOINT THE SUGGESTED MEMBERS TO CAMPO AND CAPITAL METRO AND DEFER THE REST TO JULY.

McCracken: THE ONLY THING IS THAT WHAT I HAVE ASKED IS THAT ON THE LAND USE TRANSPORTATION SUBCOMMITTEE IS THAT WE WOULD MEET THIS MONTH COMING UP. SO WE NEED TO APPROVE THIS TONIGHT, THERE'S PLANNING DEADLINES THAT WE HAVE COMING UP, THINGS LIKE THE ZONING CODE REWRITE, S.H. 130. FOUR OF YOU ALL DON'T WANT TO BE ON THIS, THREE OF US DO, SO THAT SEEMS

PRETTY SELF EVIDENT. WE CAN DO THAT WITH CONSENSUS.
SINCE THREE OF US WANT TO BE ON IT.

Leffingwell: I DIDN'T GET A SECOND. SO I -- I WOULD CONSIDER
IT -- IF THERE'S A SECOND.

Alvarez: I'LL SECOND.

Mayor Wynn: A MOTION ON THE TABLE FROM
COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL TO APPOINT
COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY TO CAMPO, AND
COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL BEING SHOWN AS
ALTERNATE. APPOINTING COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ TO
CAPITAL METRO'S BOARD AND TO CREATE A LAND USE AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE THAT WILL CONSIST OF
COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY, MCCracken AND
LEFFINGWELL.

Kim: MAYOR -- WE HAVEN'T POSTED THAT ITEM TO CREATE A
COMMITTEE I HAVE JUST BEEN INFORMED. WE WOULD HAVE
TO POST IT TO CREATE A COMMITTEE.

Futrell: DAVID, HOW IS IT POSTED? ARE YOU CHECKING?

APPOINTMENTS TO COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEES AND COUNCIL
REPRESENTATION TO LOCAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND
INTERREGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS FOR COMMITTEES. THAT
SEEMS TO BE BROAD AND INCLUSIVE TO ME.

Mayor Wynn: WELL, IF THE CITY ATTORNEY IS COMFORTABLE
WITH THAT --

OKAY.

SECOND.

SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND ON THE TABLE AGAIN
TO APPOINT -- TO CAMPO COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY,
SHOWING COUNCILMEMBER LEFFINGWELL AS AN
ALTERNATE. TO APPOINT TO THE CAPITAL METRO BOARD
COUNCILMEMBER ALVAREZ. TO -- THEN TO CREATE THE
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE, APPOINT
COUNCILMEMBERS LEFFINGWELL, DUNKERLY AND

MCCRACKEN. FURTHER COMMENTS? WE'LL OBVIOUSLY REPOST THE REST OF THESE FOR THE JULY 28th MEETING.

Alvarez: MAYOR? THE FACT THAT WE MY BE CONSIDERING REDUCING THE NUMBER OF COMMITTEES, NOT INCREASING THEM MYSELF. BUT I WAS GOING TO PRESENT THE IDEA IN TERMS OF CONTINUING THE HEALTH CARE SUBCOMMITTEE BECAUSE WE CREATED THAT IN A TIME WHEN THERE WAS A LOT OF ACTIVITY IN TERMS OF THE HOSPITAL DISTRICT LEGISLATION, CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL ISSUES THAT -- THAT WE WERE DEALING WITH AND A LOT OF THAT HAS BEEN TAKEN CARE OF. AND WE ARE LEFT WITH MORE LIMITED PURVIEW AND SO THAT WOULD BE A WAY OF -- OF ALLOWING THOSE THREE COUNCILMEMBERS THAT REMAIN ON THAT COMMITTEE TO SERVE ON SOME OTHER CAPACITY. BUT I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO -- TO TALK TO COUNCILMEMBER DUNKERLY AND MAYOR PRO TEM THOMAS ABOUT THAT. JUST SOMETHING TO CONSIDER AS WE COME BACK. ESPECIALLY WITH CREATING COMMITTEES, ADDITIONAL COMMITTEES THAT WE ALSO LOOK AT GROUP SOME OF THE EXISTING ONES AND THE RELATIVE NEED THERE IS.

Kim: MAYOR? ALSO THERE'S A -- I HAD A DISCUSSION WITH COUNCILMEMBER MCCRACKEN ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF CREATING AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SUBCOMMITTEE. AND POSSIBLY ROLLING THE TELECOM COMMITTEE INTO THAT. YOU KNOW, THERE COULD BE A POSSIBILITY OF ROLLING SOME OTHER COMMITTEES INTO THAT AS WELL. SO YOU KNOW I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT THE POSTING FOR THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING IS BROAD ENOUGH TO CREATE AND TO DISSOLVE THE, CONSOLIDATE COMMITTEES.

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU,.

Alvarez: USUALLY WHEN YOU CREATE A COMMITTEE, YOU ALSO OUTLINE WHAT THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THAT COMMITTEE WILL BE. SO EVEN THOUGH WE CREATED A LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE, WE REALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT'S THE MISSION AND WHAT'S --

McCracken: I WOULD ACCEPT A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT IF

YOU WANT TO SERVE ON IT. YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T WANT TO BUT --

Alvarez: I DON'T WANT TO. [LAUGHTER] I DON'T WANT TO CREATE IT.

Futrell: ONE THING THAT WE COULD DO, IS WHEN WE BRING THE ITEM BACK, WE COULD BRING FORWARD A SCOPE OR A MISSION FOR THAT COMMITTEE THAT YOU COULD ALSO SIGN-OFF ON BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S ONLY FAIR WHEN YOU ARE CREATING A NEW COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE THAT YOU ALL AGREE ON WHAT ITS MISSION IS GOING TO BE.

Kim: YES, I THINK THAT IS CORRECT. SO ...

Mayor Wynn: THANK YOU, A MOTION AND A SECOND TO APPROVE THESE LIMITED NUMBER OF COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS AND POST BROADLY FOR THE NEXT MEETING. FURTHER COMMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

AYE.

Wynn: OPPOSED? MOTION PASSES ON A VOTE OF 6-0. MS. SPENCE, EARLIER ON ITEM NO. 134 THE MOTION DID INCLUDE IN FACT TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. I REALIZED THAT YOU HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THAT EARLIER. IS THAT ALL OF OUR ITEMS, MS. SPENCE? THERE BEING NO MORE BUSINESS BEFORE THE MEETING OF THE AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL, WE NOW STAND ADJOURNED. IT IS 1:28 IN THE MORNING.

End of Council Session Closed Caption Log