

Current State of Municipal Forestry in Texas – As Viewed from Austin Keith O'Herrin

Graduate Research Project

- Texas State University San Marcos
- Master's of Public Administration
- Online Survey
- 241 Cities 34% response rate
- 441 Individuals 21% response rate

A. Staff

- Average 55,000 residents per staff
- Austin 27,000 residents per staff (PARD, PDRD, PWD)

B. Ordinance

Austin has all 4 tree ordinance provisions

City Size	Respondents	Tree Board or Employee (%)	Provide Guidance (%)	Regulate Private Trees (%)	Require Construction Protection (%)
Small	37	32.4	40.5	32.4	37.8
Medium	26	42.3	84.6	46.2	53.8
Large	14	64.3	50.0	57.1	57.1
Mega	4	50.0	75.0	75.0	75.0
Overall	81	42.0	58.0	43.2	48.1

C. Advocacy

Austin meets both advocacy measures

City Size	Tree	Board	Non-profit Group		
	Resp.	(%)	Resp.	(%)	
Small	37	29.7	37	29.7	
Medium	26	38.5	26	38.5	
Large	13	61.5	12	58.3	
Mega	4	75.0	4	100.0	
Overall	80	40.0	79	40.5	

D. Management Plan

Austin does not currently have a management plan

City Size	Plan M	andated?	Plan	Exist?
	Resp.	(%)	Resp.	(%)
Small	35	8.6	37	8.1
Medium	25	0.0	25	12.0
Large	12	16.7	12	33.3
Mega	4	25.0	4	0.0
Overall	76	7.9	78	12.8

- E. Inventory and Maintenance Cycle
 - Austin has a sample street tree inventory and scattered park tree inventory
 - Austin has no proactive park tree cycle or street tree cycle

City Size	Street Tree Inventory		Street Tree Inventory Maintenance Cycle		Park Tree Inventory		Proactive Park Tree Maintenance Cycle	
0120	Resp.	(%)	Resp.	(%)	Resp.	(%)	Resp.	(%)
Small	36	11.1	34	44.1	35	11.4	34	61.8
Medium	25	16.0	22	59.1	23	21.7	24	58.3
Large	11	45.5	12	50.0	12	50.0	12	75.0
Mega	4	50.0	4	0.0	4	25.0	4	0.0
Overall	76	19.7	72	47.2	74	21.6	74	59.5

- F. Position of Program within the City
 - Austin's Urban Forester is positioned #6
 - Austin shares responsibilities between three departments

City Size	Respondents	Average
Overall	65	3.9
Small	29	3.4
Medium	21	3.8
Large	11	4.9
Mega	4	5

Department	Respondents	Percent
Parks and Recreation Dept.	52	69.3
Public Works Dept.	14	18.7
Combined Responsibilities		
between both Parks and Public		
Works	4	5.3
Other	5	6.7
Total Respondents	75	100.0

2. Measures of Municipal Spending

A. Spending on Urban Forestry Activities per Capita

- Austin spends about \$3.90 per capita (PARD, PDRD, PWD)
- Tree City USA \$2 per capita (1974) or \$9.38 (2012)

	\$ per Capita				
City Size	Reporting	Average			
Small	28	\$6.71			
Medium	23	\$2.11			
Large	13	\$6.21			
Mega	4	\$2.06			
Overall	68	\$4.78			

2. Measures of Municipal Spending

- B. Spending on Urban Forestry Activities as Percent of Total Budget
 - Austin spends 0.1% of its total budget on urban forestry (PARD, PDRD, PWD)

	Total % of City Budget				
City Size	Reporting	Average (%)			
Small	24	0.58			
Medium	21	0.29			
Large	12	0.69			
Mega	4	0.08			
Overall	61	0.47			

3. Quantity of Assistance Received

The following was reported for Austin

- A. Financial no response
- B. Technical 5 times
- C. Educational no response

Table 5.13 - How Receiving Assistance from the State varies according to City Size

	Financial Assistance			Techn	ical Assist	ance	Educational Assistance		
City Size	Reporting	(%)	Av. Times	Reporting	(%)	Av. Times	Reporting	(%)	Av. Times
Small	26	7.7	0.1	28	32.1	1.0	26	30.8	0.7
Medium	13	7.7	0.2	17	52.9	1.5	18	55.6	1.4
Large	9	33.3	0.6	10	90.0	4.0	11	72.7	2.2
Mega	3	33.3	0.7	4	75.0	3.8	3	66.7	3.7
Overall	51	13.7	0.2	59	50.8	1.9	58	48.3	1.3

Highlights

- Only about 13% of respondents meet or exceed the adjusted Tree City USA value of \$9.38 per capita. Austin is at \$3.90
- Despite this, Austin is strong on Measures of Program Success such as Staff ratio, Advocacy, and Ordinance.
- Austin is deficient in the categories of Management Plan, Inventory/Proactive Maintenance, and Program Position.
- Austin takes advantage of opportunities from the State for Technical Advice
 - Financial opportunities are limited for large cities, though Austin has in the past taken advantage of scholarships
 - Austin sends a large number of employees to the Texas Trees
 Conference and would likely fall above average on this measure