AUSTIN CITY COUNCIL							
Recommendation for Council Action							
Austin City Council		Item ID	2724	27249 Ag		ımber	148.
Meeting Date:	9/26/2013			Depa	rtment:	Planning	g and Development Review
Subject							
Conduct a public hearing and consider an appeal by Doug Stuart regarding the Sign Review Board's decision to deny a variance request on his property at 6920 Lee Manor Cove. Amount and Source of Funding							
Tiocal Mate							
Fiscal Note							
Purchasing Language:							
Prior Council							
Action:							
For More Information:	Susan Walker, 512-974-2202; Viktor Auzenne, 512-974-2941.						
Boards and Commission Action:	July 8, 2013 – Denied by the Sign Review Board on an 8-0 vote. August 12, 2013 – Denied by the Sign Review Board on an 8-0 vote.						
MBE / WBE:							
Related Items:							
Additional Backup Information							
On June 4, 2013 Doug Stuart of Stuart Investments LLC submitted a request for a variance from the Sign Review Board to increase the maximum number of freestanding signs permitted by Section 25-10-130 from one freestanding sign to two freestanding signs and to increase the maximum sign face permitted by section 25-10-130(2)(a) from 35 square feet to 288 square feet to erect signs on his property at 6920 Lee Manor Cove.							
Chapter 25-10-43 of the Land Development Code states that the Sign Review Board may grant a variance from the requirements of the sign regulations after determining that granting the variance does not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated; and							
 the variance is necessary because enforcement of the requirement prevents any reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site including its dimensions, landscaping, or topography; 							

- 2) granting the variance will not have a substantially adverse effect on neighboring properties; or
- 3) granting the variance will not substantially conflict with the purposes of this chapter.

On July 8, 2013, the Sign Review Board unanimously denied Mr. Stuart's request.

On July 17, 2013, Mr. Stuart requested reconsideration.

On August 12, 2013, the Sign Review Board unanimously denied Mr. Stuart's request for reconsideration.

Chapter 25-10-44 states that an interested party may appeal the Sign Review Board's action to the City Council. As the applicant, Mr. Stuart is considered an interested party.