## Late Backup

0.933 ACRE<br>221 SOUTH LAMAR<br>PAGGI HOUSE

FN. NO. 11-421 (KWA)<br>DECEMBER 15, 2011<br>BPI NO. R010879110001

## DESCRIPTION

OF A 0.933 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE ISAAC DECKER LEAGUE, SITUATED IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING A PORTION OF THAT CERTAIN 1.155 ACRE TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED TO PAGGI HOUSE, LLC BY DEED OF RECORD IN DOCUMENT NO. 2011016777 OF THE OEEICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; SAID 0.933 ACRE TRACT BEING MORE EARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING, at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found at the intersection of the easterly right-of-way line of South Jamar Boulevard (120' R.O.W.), with the southerly right-of-way line of West Riverside Drive (120' R.O.W.), being the northwesterly corner of said 1.155 acre tract, for the northwesterly corner hereof;

THENCE, $570^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, leaving the easterly right-of-way ine of South Lamar Boulevard, along the southerly right-of-way inne of West Riverside Drive, being the northerly dine of said 1.155 acre tract, for the northerly line hereof, a distance of 237.80 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set at the intersection of the southerly rightwof-way line of west Riverside Drive with the westerly right-of-way line of Lee Barton Road (55' R.O.N.), being the northeasterly corner of said 1.155 acre tract, for the northeasterly corner hereof;

THENCE, $530^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W, leaving the southerly right-of-way line of West Riverside Drive, along the westerly right-of-way line of Lee Barton Road, being a portion of the easterly line of said 1.155 acre tract, for the easterly line hereof, a distance of 202.08 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set, for the southeasterly corner hereof;

THENCE, leaving the westerly right-of-way line of Lee Barton Road, over and across said 1.155 acre tract, for a portion of the southerly line hereof, the following two (2) courses and distances:

1) $N 59^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 90.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with cap set for an angle point;
2) $N 77^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} W$, a distance of 5.54 feet to a PK nail set at an angle point in the northerly line of Bridges on the Park, a condominium of record in Document Nos. 2006117044 and 2007092434 of said Official Public Records, being an angle point in the southerly line of said 1.155 acre tract, for an angle point hereof;

TaswCe, along the northerly line of said Bridges on the Park, along the southerly line of said 1.155 acre tract, for a portion of the southerly line hereof, the following three (3) courses and distances:
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1) $N 67^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 70.79 feet to PK nail set for an angle point;
2) $N 21^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 12$ " E , a distance of 11.03 feet to a punch hole found in concrete for an angle point;
3) 

$N 68^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ W, a distance of 40.69 feet to a PK nail set on said easterly right-of-way line of South Lamar Boulevard, being the northwesterly corner of said Bridges on the Park, for the southwesterly corner of said 1.155 acre tract and hereof;

THENCE, N21 01'42"E, along said easterly right-of-way line of South Lamar Boulevard, being the westerly line of said 1.155 acre tract, for the westerly line hereof, a distance of 166.15 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, and containing 0.933 acre $(40,643$ sq. ft.) of land, more or less, within these metes and bounds.

THE BASIS OF BEARINGS IS THE EASTERLY LINE OF THAT CERTAIN 0.718 ACRE TRACT CONVEYED TO PISCES FOODS, L.L.C. BY DEED OF RECORD IN VOLUME 13400, PAGE 422 OF THE DEED RECORDS OE TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

I, MARK J. JEZISEK, A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN WAS DETERMINED BY A SURVEY MADE ON THE GROUND UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION. A SURVEY EXHIBIT WAS PREPARED TO ACCOMPANY THIS EIELDNOTE DESCRIPTION

BURY+PARTNERS, INC. 221 W. SIXTH STREET SUITE 600 AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701


NO. 5267
state of texas




## LNE TABLE

| $L I N E$ | EEARING | LENGTH |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $L 1$ | $N^{\prime} 59^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} W$ | 90.00 |
| $L 2$ | $N 77^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} W$ | 5.54 |
| $L 3$ | $N 67^{\prime} 20^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} W$ | 70.79 |
| $L 4$ | $N 21^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 12^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 11.03 |
| $L 5$ | $N 60^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} W$ | 40.69 |



## b Bury+Partners

$2{ }^{2} 1$ Ven Sirth Sireot, Sulla 80 nollin, Tares 77001





Exhibit B


1. THE SIZE AND CONFIGURATION OF THE PLAZA AND OUTDOOR SEATING AREA AT THE INTERSECTION OF SOUTH LAMAR BOULEVARD AND RIVERSIDE DRIVE AS SHOWN HEREON IS FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES THE OWNER WILL ESTABLISH ANO SET FORTH ON THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT THE SIZE ANO CONFIGURATION OF SUCH PUBUC PLAZA
2. THE SIZE AND CONFIGURATION OF DRIVEWAYS AS SHOWN HEREON IS AN APPROXIMATION FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES. THE OWNER WILL ESTABLISH AND SET FORTH THE SIZE AND CONFIGURATION OF DRIVEWAYS ON THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT.



## City of Austin Preferred Plant List

## Environmental Criteria Manual, Appendix N

## CITY OF AUSTIN PREFERRED PLANT LIST

Other plants may be used if approved by the City of Austin. This list is a guide and is not meant to be exclusive. Any other native or well adapted plant may be used if drawings are sealed by a registered Texas Landscape Architect.

## EVERGREEN TREES

| Arizona Cypress | Cupressus arizonica |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cherry Laurel | Prunus caroliniana |
| Deodar Cedar | Cedrus deodara |
| Live Oak | Quercus virginiana |
| Mountain Laurel | Sophora secundiflora |
| Texas Madrone | Arbutus texana |
| Yaupon Holly | Hex vomitoria |

## DECIDUOUS TREES

American Elm
American Smoketree
Arizona Walnut
Bald Cypress
Bigtooth Maple
Blackjack Oak
Bradford Pear
Bur Oak
Cedar Elm
Chinese Pistache
Chinquapin Oak
Crape Myrtle
Desert Willow
Drake Elm
Durand Oak
Eastern Walnut
Escarpment Cherry
Eve's Necklace
Flameleaf Sumac
Fragrant Ash
Golden Rain Tree

Ulmus americana
Cotinus obovatus
Juglans major
Taxodium distichum
Acer grandidentatum
Quercus marilandica
Pyrus calleryana 'Bradford'
Quercus macrocarpa
Ulmus crassifolia
Pistacia chinensis
Quercus Muhlenbergii
Lagerstroemia indica
Chilopsis linearis
Ulmus parvifolia 'Drake'
Quercus sinuata
Juglans nigra
Prunus serotina
Sophora affinis
Rhus copallina and R. glabra
Fraxinus cuspidata
Koelreuteria bipinnata and K .

|  | paniculata |
| :---: | :---: |
| Honey Mesquite | Prosopis glandulosa |
| Kidneywood | Eysenhardtia texana |
| Lacey Oak | Quercus glaucoides and Q. laceyi |
| Little Walnut | Juglans microcarpa |
| Mexican Buckeye | Ungnadia speciosa |
| Mexican Plum | Prunus mexicana |
| Orchid Tree | Bauhinia spp. |
| Pecan | Carya illinoinensis |
| Possumhaw | llex decidua |
| Post Oak | Quercus stellata |
| Red Buckeye | Aesculus pavia |
| Rusty Blackhaw | Viburnum rufidulum |
| Shin Oak | Quercus sinuata brevifolia |
| Shumard Oak | Quercus shumardii |
| Texas Ash | Fraxinus texensis |
| Texas Persimmon | Diospyros texana |
| Texas Red Oak | Quercus texana |
| Texas Redbud | Cercis canadensis var. 'Texensis' |
| Vitex, Lilac Tree | Vitex Agnus-castus |
| Western Soapberry | Sapindus Drummondii |
| EVERGREEN SHRUBS |  |
| Agarita | Berberis trifoliolata |
| Barbados Cherry | Malpighia glabra |
| BurfordHolly | llex cornuta 'Burfordii' |
| Dwarf Burford Holly | llex cornuta 'Burfordii nana' |
| Dwarf Chinese Holly | Ilex cornuta 'Rotunda nana' |
| Dwarf Yaupon Holly | llex vomitoria 'Nana' |
| Elaeagnus | Elaeagnus pungens |
| Evergreen Sumac | Rhus virens |
| Indian Hawthorn | Raphiolepis indica |
| Mountain Laurel | Sophora secundiflora |
| Nandina | Nandina domestica |
| Oleander | Nerium oleander |
| Pampas Grass | Cortaderia selloana |
| Red Yucca | Hesperaloe parviflora |
| Rock Cotoneaster | Cotoneaster horizontalis |
| Rosemary | Rosmarinus officinalis |
| Sacahuista, Bear Grass | Nolina texana |


| Shore Juniper | Juniperus conferta |
| :--- | :--- |
| Silverleaf Cotoneaster | Cotoneaster glaucophyllus |
| Texas Sage | Leucophyllum frutescens |
| Texas Sotol | Dasylirion texanum |
| Wax Myrtle | Myrica cerifera |
|  |  |
| SEMI-EVERGREEN SHRUBS |  |
| Cast Iron Plant | Aspidistra elatior |
| Glossy Abelia | Abelia grandiflora |
| Muhly Grass | Muhlenbergia lindheimeri |
| Pineapple Guava | Feijoa sellowiana |
| Pomegranate | Punica granatum |
| Primrose Jasmine | Jasminum mesnyi |
|  |  |
| DECIDUOUS SHRUBS |  |
| Althaea | Hibiscus syriacus |
| American Beautyberry | Callicarpa americana |
| Aromatic Sumac | Rhus aromatica |
| Arrowwood | Viburnum dentatum |
| Black Dalea | Dalea frutescens |
| Butterfly Bush | Buddleia Davidii |
| Flame Acanthus | Anisacanthus Wrightii |
| Possumhaw Holly | Ilex decidua |
| Texas Lantana | Lantana horrida and L. camara |
| Trailing Lantana | Lantana montevidensis |

## EVERGREEN VINES \& GROUNDCOVERS

| Asian Jasmine | Trachelospermum asiaticum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bigleaf Periwinkle | Vinca major |
| Carolina Jessamine | Gelsemium sempervirens |
| Coral Honeysuckle | Lonicera sempervirens |
| Cross Vine | Bignonia capreolata |
| Damianita | Chrysactinia mexicana |
| English Ivy | Hedera helix |
| Fig Vine | Ficus pumila |
| Lady Banksia Rose | Rosa banksiae |
| Liriope | Liriope muscari |
| Littleleaf Periwinkle | Vinca minor |
| Monkey Grass | Ophiopogon japonicus |

Oregano
Santolina
Stonecrop

Origanum vulgare
Santolina chamaecyparissus
Sedum spp.

DECIDUOUS VINES \& GROUNDCOVERS

Boston Ivy
Bush Morning Glory
Coral Vine
Cypress Vine
Gregg Datea
Mustang Grape
Old Man's Beard
Passion Vine
Sweet Autumn Clematis
Trumpet Vine
Virginia Creeper

Parthenocissus tricuspidata 'Veitchii'
Ipomoea leptophylla
Antigonon leptopus
Ipomoea quamoclit
Dalea greggii
Vitis mustangensis
Clematis Drummondii
Passiflora incarnata
Clematis paniculata
Campsis radicans
Parthenocissus quinquefolia

FLOWERING PERNNIALS

Artemisia
Black-eyed Susan
Blackfoot Daisy
Butterfly Weed
Canna Lily
Cedar Sage
Cherry Sage
Cigar Plant
Coreopsis
Daylily
Fall Aster
Firebush
Gayfeather
Heartleaf Hibiscus
Hinckley's Columbine
Hymenoxys
Lamb's Ears
Maximillian Sunflower
Mealy Blue Sage
Mexican BushSage
Mexican Heather

Artemisia ludoviciana
Rudbeckia hirta
Melampodium leucanthum
Asclepias tuberosa
Canna $X$ generalis
Salvia roemeriana
Salvia greggii
Cuphea micropetala
Coreopsis lanceolata
Hemerocallis fulva
Aster spp.
Hamelia patens
Liatris spp.
Hibiscus cardiophyllus
Aquilegia Hinckleyana
Hymenoxys scaposa
Stachys byzantina
Helianthus maximiliana
Salvia farinacea
Salvia leucantha
Cuphea hyssopifolia

| Mexican Marigold Mint | Tagetes lucida |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mexican Oregano | Poliomintha longiflora |
| Oxeye Daisy | Chrysanthemum leucanthemum |
| Peruvian Verbena | Verbena peruviana |
| Pink Skullcap | Scutellaria suffrutescens |
| Plumbago | Plumbago auriculata |
| Purple Coneflower | Echinacea purpurea |
| Rose Mallow | Pavonia lasiopetala |
| Scarlet Sage | Salvia coccinea |
| Spiderwort | Tradescantia x Andersoniana |
| Turk's Cap | Malvaviscus arboreus 'Drummondii' |
| White Mistflower | Eupatorium Wrightii |
| Wild Petunia | Ruellia nudiflora |
| Yarrow | Achillea millefolium |
| Zexmenia | Wedelia hispida |
|  |  |
| TURF \& LOW GRASSES |  |
| Bermuda | Cynodon dactylon |
| Blue Grama | Bouteloua gracilis |
| Buffalograss | Buchloe dactyloides |
| Little Bluestem | Schizachyrium scoparium |
| Side Oats Gramma | Bouteloua curtipendula |

## REQUEST FOR FEE IN LIEU OF OR COST RECOVERY FOR WATER QUALITY

 CONTROLS IN THE LREAN WATERSHEDS
$\qquad$

Localon of Address: Z. 11 s, honenatit
Pomill Numbar: Sp P $2 p 12 \cdot 01.11 \mathrm{C}$


Redevaloped IC a w

## c. PAYMENT CALCULATION:

1. Ste imparvious Cover Componant:


45
05
$\$$
2 \$
$\$$
Umpervious Cover Camponant Subtotal (ICCS)
Annual Adjuatment Factor (E)

If eublect property drains to a proposad or ealating Reglonal Wotar QugDly facilly, then Cliy Porton is:
Clly Parlan : (RT) $\square$ fuis x (FEE 1) $\qquad$ $x 0.78=\$ \quad: 1:$ (CP1); \# $40,434.58$ Ohenwtes CPjum
2. Buttding Component:
[Note: Clty Portion a \$0.00]
3. Sto Arsa Component:
 Bingte Fanlly or Oupler Sifa: $\$ 4,000 \times$ (C) $\qquad$ $(a c)=$ $\qquad$ (FEE 3)

If sublect moperty drains to a proposed of axisting Reglonai Watar Quatily factily, thon City Portion is: Cisy Potton $=(\mathrm{RH})$ $\qquad$ ta x(FEE 3) $\qquad$ $\times 0.75=\$$ $\qquad$ (CP3); Oherwise CPS=0.

E. AUTHORIZATION:

Reviewed by
For the Directo
40.434.58
75.396 .58

TOTAL FEE $=($ FEE 1) _ _ + (FEE 2) $\qquad$ - ${ }^{5}$ $\times 236=$ $415^{831.30}$ CITY PORTION = (CPI) $\qquad$ + (CPS) $\qquad$ 8

D. COST RECOVERY:

Conairuciton Cost $=\$$ $\qquad$ $W / A$ (atlach on Itemized Engineer's estimate of cool)

City Portion $=(R / 7)$ $\qquad$ $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{A}$ $x$ (Cos) $\qquad$ $\times 0.75 \mathrm{O}$ _N/A
$\qquad$ $=\$ \quad \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{h}$
$\qquad$ - (City Portion) -

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING <br> REQUEST FOR FEE NNLIEUOF WATGR <br> QUALITY CONTROLS.IN THE URBAN WATERSHEDS 

PART A, OWNERIACENT INFORMATION:
Provide the name of the owner or agent for the project, name of company, and telephone and fax number.

## PART G, PROJECTINFORMATION

Provide the neme of the project, locetion or address, site devalopment or sile plan number, and the name of the case manager in the Waterghed Protection and Davelopment Reviaw Daparimant.

Provide the area of impervious cover in acres thal is conaidered redevalopment - l.e. the amount of imparvlous cover being consirucied by this projaci in aress whith currently have impervious cover. Provide the area of impanious cover in acrea that is conslderad naw - l.e. the amount of impervious cover being conslructed by this projact In areas which currenlly do nol hava impervlous cover. imparvious covar shall be mesaured to the nearest 0.01 sere.

Caleulate the lotai imparviaus cover by summing the two figures determinad above.
Calculate the ratio of redeveloped Imparifous cover to total imparvious cover in this project by dividing the redevelapment impervious cover by the total impervlous cover. Thls ratio is called $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{T}$ on the form. If RT la zero (0), the projeot ls not consldered redevolopmant and the City whl not pay a portion of the fee in llev of waler quality conirole or a portion of the Cosi Recevary if waler qually conirols are bult on-slte.

## PART C. PAYMENT CALCULATION:

1. 8ite Imparvious Covar Component: Calculate the portion of the paymant related to atte Impervious covar. The total impervious cover being constructed by this project should ba divided into the following Incramanls:

> Aras of IC $1(A 1)=0$ lo 1.00 aeres
> Ares of $1 C 2(A 2)=1.01$ to 2.00 acres
> Ares of IC $3(A 3)=2.01$ to 10.00 acres
> Ares of IC $3(A A)=10.01$ to 20.00 acres
> Area of IC 4 (AS) $=20.01$ acres or greater

Insent thase arass into the fee fermula and caiculate the Indlyiduai parts of the fee and than aum thase to osleulate the unadjusted total fes assacistad wilh sila tmpervious cover - Impervious Cover Componenl Sublotel (ICCS).

Csiculate FEE 1 by multiplying the ICCS by the conatruclion cost adjustment factor (E). The cenalruction cost adjustmanl factor muat be calculated annually uaing the Enginearing Naws Record (ENR) 20 clty svargga Consfuction Cost Index with the base Index baing the EKR construction cast Indax of October 2002 ( 8697 ). For each Ascal year, the construcilon cost adjustment factor shali be recalculated in October as the ratio of the Ihen current September ENR Construclion Cost index dividad by the Oclober 2002 Construclion Cost Index. This new consirucilon cost adjustment factor shall bs appilted to all fees collacted during that tiscal year.

If the sile draina to a proposed or axiating Raglonal Watar Quality Facility, the applicant qualilies for a $76 \%$ Cosi Recovery of the fee. Celculata tha Clty's portion of this component of the tee by mulliplying FEE 1 by the ralla R/T and by 0,76 ( 0.75 la tha cost share ratio astablishad by Clly Councll for water qualily controla associated with redevelopment in the Urban Watersheds).
2. Bullding Componant. Caiculate the portion of the paymeni related to bullding size. Determine the grose aquare footage of the building, axcluding the esee of the firal or ground noor (B). Subgrade floors (besement floors) shall be includad. Mulliply thls by $\$ 0.10$ per square food to determine this porilon of the payment (FEE 2). The Clity does nol pay a proportionate share of the tee essociated with mulli-slory bulldings.
3. Slie Area Component. Calculate the porlon of the payment related to size of the sile area belng developed or redeveloped. Determine the area of the site In acres which Is wiltin the ilmits of consiruction for the project (C). To calculate the poriton of the payment associated with the sile area, mulluply the site area by $\$ 8,000$ for commerelal or mulilifamily developmeni or $\$ 4,000$ for single family and duplex davelopmani (FEE 3).

If the silta drains to a proposed ar existing Reglonal Water Qually Facilly, the appllcanl qualifias for a $75 \%$ Cost Recovery of the fee. Caiculate the Chy's portion of this part of the fee by mulliplying FEE 3 by the rallo R/T and by 0.75 ( 0.75 is the cost ahare fallo esiablishad by Cly Council for water quality contrals assoclated wilh redevalopment th the Uiban Walersheds).
4. Payment Amounts. Calculate the total fees owad by the spollcant and the Cly. The tatal fee ls calculated by sumaning ine indludual porlons of the fee caloulated under 1,2 and 3 above (e FEE i + FEE $2+$ FEE 3). The City's porlion of the fee payment is calculated by adding the City's partion catculated under 1 and 2 above ( F Cly Portion FEE CP1 + Clly Portion FEE CP3). The applicant's shate of Lie fea payment te calculated by subtrecting tha City's portion from the tolal fee.

## PART D. COST RECOVERY FOR ON-SITE CONTROLS

Thle ponton of the form shall be used if the applicant proposes of the City requites construcilon of water qually control on-slie and the slle is undargoling redevelopment. (Sas ECM 1.9.2 for critenta for Cost Recovery)

Frovide the englngers estimate of the cosi of construcling the waler quallay control, excluding the coal of land. A detalied esimate of cosis shat be atiached to the fom and seated by the anginaer. The Cosi Recovery payment is caiculated by multiplying the construcilon cosi by the ratio RTT and 0.76.

Upon complation of construction at the slia, the owner or agent shali notliy the Environmental Sila Inspactor that the watar qually control is complate. In addliten, the englaaer's coneurrence fetier shall ba provided which includes a statament that the watar quality control has been bull in accordance with approved plans.

The Ciby shall inaped the control to ensure that if is bult In compilance with the approved plens and to
 within 30 days of the apacfic dafedancies. The ownor shall remedy any auch dafictancles and nollfy Environmantal site inspectof that the controls are ready for relnspaction. When the controls are detamined by the Cliy to be in confomanca with the approved plans, the Cliy shall lasue a check to the owner for the approved amount.

## PART E. AUTHORIZATION

The ownar or agent for the project musi sign and date the Request Form. Upon reviow end approval of the fee payment or cost recovery amount, the Director of The Watarshed Protectlon and Doveicpment Ravlew Department of his designee will sign and date the fom Indicating approval of the propesed fbe. A copy of the approved form will be glven to the fiscal staff for processing.

September 18,2013

Mr. Ivan Naranjo
Planning \& Development Review Department
City of Austin
505 Barton Springs Road, 4th Floor
Austin, TX 78704

Subject: Riverside and Lamar Development - Traffic Impacts and Recommended Improvements

Dear Ivan:
The purpose of this letter is to address the traffic impacts as well as vehicular and pedestrian access associated with the proposed Riverside and Lamar development located at the southeast comer of the intersection of South Lamar Boulevard and Riverside Drive in Austin, Texas.

The proposed Riverside and Lamar development has minimal impact on vehicular traffic operations of area intersections. The following recommendations are made to improve pedestrian accessibility in the area:

1. There are currently no sidewalks along Lee Barton Drive from Riverside Drive to the Bridges on the Park development (approximately 350 feet south of the intersection). As part of this development, a sidewalk is recommended to be constructed along the site's frontage on Lee Barton Drive. It is recommended that a sidewalk be constructed on the west side of Lee Barton Drive between the Bridges on the Park development and the proposed Riverside and Lamar development. Due to the steep embankment and presence of trees along Lee Barton, construction of this sidewalk will require extension of the cubt line into Lee Barton Drive and removal of six parking spaces on the west side of Lee Barton Drive.
2. There are currently no sidewalks on the south side of Riverside Dive between Lee Barton Drive and Butlef Park (approximately 400 feet east of Lee Barton Drive). It is recommended that sidewalks be provided to increase pedestrian connectivity along Riverside Drive.
3. One designated pedestrian crossing on Riverside Drive is located immediately east of the Lee Barton Drive intersection. This pedestrian crossing location has an actuated pedestrian warning system. Pedestrian movements are prohibited across the west leg of Riverside Drive at the intersection with Lee Barton Drive via a sign. However, a pedestrian ramp is still present at this location creating confusion for pedestrians. It is recommended' that the pedestrian ramp be removed to further deter pedestrians from uttilizing the west crossing: A crosswalk should also be striped on the south leg of Lee Barton. Drive at Riverside Drive to encourage utilization of the crosswalk facilities.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any additional comments or concems.

Project Manager
HDR Engineering, inc.
TBPE Firm Registration No. F-754
cc: • Steve Drenner, Winstead PC
Amanda Swor, Winstead PC
Will Cureton, Post Investment Group

BG

## Exhibit F

| Recommendation | Total Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1. Construct Sldewalk on West Side of Lee Barton Drive | $\$ 30,187$ |
| 2. Construct Sidewalk on South Side of Riverside Drive | $\$ 67,692$ |
| 3. Lee Barton Onfve and Riverside Drive Intersection Pedestrian Improvements | $\$ 1,862$ |

Total Cost $\quad \$ 99,741$




211 S. Lemar Traffic Study Constucl Sidewalk on Soulh Site of Riverside Dilve Cost Estimate


## Assumptions used in preparation of estimate:

1. TxDOT 12 Austin Districl Average Low Bid Unit Prices, dated 11/30/12, used for cost esllmates.
2. Doubled the sidewalk length to account for a 12 ' sidewalk.


211 S. Lamar Traffic Study Lee Barton Drive and Riverside Dive intersection Pedestian Improvements Cost Eslimate

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | UNIT | SIY | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REMOVING CONG (WHEELCHAIR RAMP) | SY | 13 | 24.50 | 326.67 |
| CONC SIDEWALKS ( $6^{\prime}$ )( $6^{\circ}$ ) | LF | 20 | 37.00 | 740.00 |
| CONC CURB (TY II) | LF | 20 | 10.50 | 210.00 |
| REFL PAV MRK TYI (W) 24* (SLD) (100 MIL) | LF | 75 | 7.00 | 525.00 |
| TOTAL MOBILIZATION | LS | 1 | 180.17 | 180.17 |
|  | MATERIALS |  |  | 705 |
|  | ENGINEERING ( $55 \%$ ) |  |  | 106 |
|  | INSPECTION (7\%) |  |  | 49 |
|  | CONTINGENCY (10\%) |  |  | 71 |
|  |  |  | SUBTOTAL | 931 |
|  | SMALL QUA | CALAT | TOR (100\%) | 931 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | 1,862 |

## Assumplions used in preparation of estimate:

1. TxDOT 12 Austh District Average Low Bld Unit Pnices, dated 1113012, used for cost estimates.
