ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2013-0098

Z.A.P. DATE: September 3, 2013
October 3, 2013

ADDRESS: 9900 Mandeville Circle

OWNER/APPLICANT: Balcones Country Club Membership Association (David Dew)

AGENT: Hutson Land Planners (Duane Hutson)

ZONING FROM: RR
TO: SF-1

AREA: 0.7292 acres (31,764 sq. ft.)

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff’s recommendation is to grant SF-1, Single Family Residence-Large Lot District, zoning.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

9/03/13: Postponed to October 1, 2013 at the neighborhood’s request (7-0); P. Seeger-1st, R. McDaniel-2nd.

10/01/13: Denied the rezoning request (7-0); G. Rojas-1st, R. McDaniel-2nd.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property in question is currently undeveloped tract of land. The applicant is requesting a rezoning from RR to SF-1 to construct a single family residence on the property. The staff recommends the applicant’s request for SF-1 zoning because the property fronts meets the intent of the SF-1 district and fronts onto a local residential street, Mandeville Circle. The site is located adjacent to existing SF-1 zoning and single family residential uses to the south, east and west.

The applicant agrees with the staff’s recommendation.

ISSUES:

On August 22, 2013, members of the neighborhood sent a Warranty Deed document to the staff for our review (Please see Attachment A). The City’s Law Department looked at the information and determined that the restrictions set forth in the warranty deed are effectively a restrictive covenant. They stated that the right of enforcement against breach of this restriction was vested in Northwest Travis County MUD No. 2, it successors and assigns. The Northwest Travis County MUD No. 2 was annexed by the City of Austin and the Northwest Travis County MUD No. 2 was dissolved in 1997 (Please see Annexation Ordinance–Attachment B). Therefore, the City would have the right to enforcement this restriction. The Law Department also stated that the City is under no obligation to enforce this document. The zoning staff discussed our recommendation for this case again after becoming aware of the warranty deed. The staff decided to maintain our recommendation for SF-1 zoning at this location as the site meets the intent of the Single Family Residence-Large Lot District designation and is consistent with other development and zoning surrounding this tract of land. The applicant is requesting a zoning change from one residential district, RR, to another, SF-1 within an existing single family
residential neighborhood. The current RR, Rural Residence District, zoning does not permit a
golf course (Outdoor Sports and Recreation) use.

The staff received a petition on August 29, 2013 from adjacent property owners who are opposed
to any changes to the existing RR zoning on the site (Please see Attachment C-Petition). This
petition is valid at 51.29 % and therefore will require an affirmative vote of three-fourths of the
members of Council to approve a proposed rezoning.

The excerpt below is from the City of Austin's Land Development Code and explains when the
City Council is subject to the three-fourths vote.

Sec. 25-2-284 REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL BY THREE-FOURTHS OF COUNCIL.

(A) The affirmative vote of three-fourths of the members of Council is required to
approve a proposed rezoning if:

(1) the Land Use Commission recommends denial of an application to rezone
property to a planned unit development; or

(2) the proposed rezoning is protested in writing by the owners of not less than
20 percent
of the area of land:
(a) included in the proposed change; or
(b) immediately adjoining the area included in the proposed rezoning and
extending 200 feet from the area.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Undeveloped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Golf Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AREA STUDY: N/A  
WATERSHED: Bull Creek  
CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: N/A  
HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: N/A  
NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Heritage Tree Foundation
Austin Monorail Project
Balcones Village-Spicewood Home Owners Association
Bike Austin
Bull Creek Foundation
Homeless Neighborhood Association
Long Canyon Homeowners Association
Long Canyon Phase II & III Homeowner Association Inc.
SELTEXAS

TIA: Not Required  
DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes
Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group
Super Duper Neighborhood Objectors and Appealers Organization
The Real Estate Council of Austin, Inc.

CASE HISTORIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-98-0011</td>
<td>DR to SF-1</td>
<td>3/03/98: Approved SF-1 on</td>
<td>3/26/98: Approved PC re. of SF-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hidden Forest:</td>
<td></td>
<td>consent (7-0)</td>
<td>(6-0); all 3 readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9907 Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Road)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATED CASES: Annexation Ordinance No. 971204-H (December 31, 1997)

ABUTTING STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Sidewalk?</th>
<th>Bus Route?</th>
<th>Bike Route?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandeville Cir</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY COUNCIL DATE: September 26, 2013

ACTION: Postponed to October 17, 2013 at the staff’s request (7-0); B. Spelman-1st, S. Cole-2nd.

October 17, 2013

ACTION: Postponed to November 21, 2013 at the applicant’s request (6-0); B. Spelman-1st, S. Cole-2nd.

November 21, 2013

ACTION:

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st

2nd 3rd

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Sherri Sirwaitis

PHONE: 974-3057,
sherrisirwaitis@ci.austin.tx.us
STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff’s recommendation is to grant SF-1, Single Family Residence-Large Lot District, zoning.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. *The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.*

   Single-family residence large lot (SF-1) district is the designation for a low density single-family residential use on a lot that is a minimum of 10,000 square feet. An SF-1 district designation may be applied to a use on land with sloping terrain or environmental limitations that preclude standard lot size or to a use in an existing residential development on a lot that is 10,000 square feet or more.

2. *The proposed zoning should promote consistency and orderly planning.*

   The proposed zoning promotes consistency and orderly planning because there are existing single family residential uses to the south, east and west of this site. The property in question is located adjacent to SF-1 zoning and fronts onto a local residential street, Mandeville Circle.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The site under consideration is currently an undeveloped tract of land. The Balcones Country Club golf course is located to the north. There are single family homes to the south, east and west.

Comprehensive Planning

RR to SF-1 (Single Family Large Lot)

This zoning case is located on a .72 acre vacant lot on the north side of Mandeville Circle and is not located within the boundaries of a neighborhood planning area. Surrounding land uses includes a golf course to the north, and single family houses to the east, west and south. The developer wants to build a single family house on the property.

Imagine Austin

The site is located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, as identified on the Imagine Austin’s Environmental Resources Map, found in the Image Austin Comprehensive Plan (IACP). An aquifer contributing zone is an area where runoff from precipitation flows to the recharge zone of an aquifer. Streams in the contributing zone flow downstream into the recharge zone and “contribute” water to the aquifer.

The overall goal of the IACP is to achieve ‘complete communities’ across Austin, where housing, services, retail, jobs, entertainment, health care, schools, parks, and other daily needs are within a convenient walk or bicycle ride of one another. Page 107 of the IACP states, “While most new development will be absorbed by centers and corridors, development will happen in other areas within the city limits to serve neighborhood needs and create complete communities. Infill development can occur as redevelopment of obsolete office, retail, or residential sites or as new
development on vacant land within largely developed areas. New commercial, office, larger apartments, and institutional uses such as schools and churches, may also be located in areas outside of centers and corridors. The design of new development should be sensitive to and complement its context. The Growth Concept Map not only guides where Austin may accommodate new residents and jobs but also reflects the community intent to direct growth away from environmentally sensitive areas including, but not limited to, the recharge and contributing zones of the Barton Springs segment of the Edwards Aquifer, and to protect the character of neighborhoods by directing growth to areas identified by small area plans."

The following Imagine Austin policies are taken from Chapter 4 of the IACP, which specifically discusses the promotion of a variety of housing types and building over environmentally sensitive lands:

- **LUT P22** Protect Austin’s natural resources and environmental systems by limiting land use and transportation development in sensitive environmental areas and preserving areas of open space.

- **CE P2.** Conserve Austin’s natural resources systems by limiting development in sensitive environmental areas, including the Edwards Aquifer, its contributing and recharge zones, and endangered species habitat.

- **H P1.** Distribute a variety of housing types throughout the City to expand the choices able to meet the financial and lifestyle needs of Austin’s diverse population.

Based on the property being located within the boundaries of an existing residential subdivisions, and the Imagine Austin policies referenced above that supports a variety of housing types throughout Austin, staff believes that the proposed residential use is consistent with the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan as long as environmental ordinances are enforced over this environmentally sensitive area.

**Environmental**

The site is located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Bull Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, and is classified as a Water Supply Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code.

According to flood plain maps, there is no flood plain in or within close proximity of the project location.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development’s requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to providing structural sedimentation and filtration basins with increased capture volume and 2 year detention.

At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals which would preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

**Impervious Cover**

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the SF-1 zoning district would be 40%. However, because the Watershed impervious cover is more restrictive than the GR zoning district’s allowable impervious cover, the impervious cover on this site would be limited by the watershed ordinance.

Under the current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Classification</th>
<th>% of Net Site Area</th>
<th>% NSA with Transfers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or Two Family Residential</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Residential</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The most restrictive impervious cover limit applies.

**Site Plan**

No site plan comments.

**Stormwater Detention**

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in storm water runoff will be mitigated through on-site storm water detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Stormwater Management Program, if available.

**Transportation**

No additional right-of-way is needed at this time.

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day [LDC, 25-6-113].

**Existing Street Characteristics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Sidewalk?</th>
<th>Bus Route?</th>
<th>Bike Route?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandeville Cir</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.
WARRANTY DEED

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That ANN COOK McCULLICK ("Grantor"), for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND NO/100 DOLLARS ($10.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid by BALCONES COUNTRY CLUB MEMBERSHIP ASSOCIATION, INC., a Texas non-profit corporation ("Grantee"), the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, has GRANTED, BARGAINED, SOLD AND CONVEYED, and by these presents does GRANT, BARGAIN, SELL AND CONVEY unto BALCONES COUNTRY CLUB MEMBERSHIP ASSOCIATION, INC., a Texas non-profit corporation ("Grantee"), those certain tracts or parcels of land in Travis County and Williamson County, Texas, together with Grantor's interest in all improvements thereon, and all rights, titles and interests appurtenant thereto:

1. Spicewood Golf Course:
   - TRACT I: 61.71 acres,
   - TRACT II: 83.55 acres, save and except
   - TRACT III: .679 and .055 acres, and

Balcones Golf Course:
   - TRACT IV: 60.31 acres, save and except .08 acres,
   - TRACT V: 15.61 acres,
   - TRACT VI: 8.98 acres,
   - TRACT VII: 6.33 acres,
   - TRACT VIII: 5.57 acres, save and except .016 acres,
   - TRACT IX: 6.48 acres,
   - TRACT X: 13.70 acres, save and except
   - TRACT XI: .298 acres, and save and except
   - TRACT XII: .552 acres, and save and except
   - TRACT XIII: .042 acres.

all as described by metes and bounds on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof (collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Golf Course Tracts").

2. That certain 7.12 acre tract of real property situated in the County of Travis, State of Texas, as more particularly described on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference:
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Lot 48, Balcones Village Subdivision, situated in the County of Travis, State of Texas; and
Lots 41-46, Block F, Section 10, Balcones Village Subdivision, situated in the County of Travis, Texas;
collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Property."

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above-described Property and Golf Course Tracts, together with all and singular the rights and appurtenances thereto in any wise belonging, unto Grantee, its successors and assigns forever.

Grantor does hereby bind itself, its successors and assigns to WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND all and singular the title to the Property unto the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof by, through or under Grantor, but not otherwise.

Taxes for 1997 and all prior years are assumed by Grantee.

Reservations and Exemptions

1. The Property and the Golf Course Tracts are subject to all reservations, covenants, conditions, and restrictions of record, including, by way of example and not in limitation, those restrictions set forth in that certain Warranty Deed dated to be effective as of June 19, 1997 entered into by Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, as Grantor, and Ann C. McCullough, as Grantee.

2. The Golf Course Tracts shall be used in perpetuity for golf and recreational purposes with right of enforcement by injunctive relief against breach of this restriction vested in Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, its successors and assigns.

3. The Golf Course Tracts are subject to an easement held by Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, its successors and assigns, and Technology Hydraulics, Inc. for the free, uninterrupted, and perpetual use of the Golf Course Tracts for irrigation disposal of treated effluent, together with a perpetual easement in favor of the same persons to construct, reconstruct, use, operate, inspect, repair, maintain, upgrade, replace, and remove certain irrigation facilities.

4. Grantee acknowledges that pursuant to that certain "Agreement for Wholesale Wastewater Service Between the City of Austin, Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 and Technology Hydraulics, Inc.", Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 is required to convey to the City of Austin a 20-foot easement across the Golf Course Tracts at a location to be determined by Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 and Technology Hydraulics, Inc. At the time that the City of Austin requests
such easement, Grantee agrees to convey the easement to the City of Austin.

5. It is expressly understood and agreed by Grantor and Grantee that Grantor has no ownership interest in any of the irrigation facilities located on the Golf Course Tract and such facilities are not included in this conveyance.

EXCEPT FOR THE WARRANTY OF TITLE SET FORTH ABOVE, GRANTOR EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR CHARACTER WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REGARDING THE PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY OR THE IMPROVEMENTS OF THE PROPERTY, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF HABITABILITY, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND GRANTEE ACCEPTS SUCH PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS IN AND "AS IS" CONDITION, WITH ALL FAULTS.

GRANTEE, BY ITS ACCEPTANCE OF THIS DEED EXPRESSLY WAIVES ANY RIGHT OR CLAIM AGAINST GRANTOR FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION OR OTHER REMEDY AT LAW OR IN EQUITY WITH RESPECT TO OR RESULTING FROM THE PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY. THE WAIVER AND EXCULPATION PROVIDED ABOVE SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE COVENANTS RUNNING WITH THE LAND AND BINDING ON ALL SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS OF GRANTEE.

EXECUTED to be effective the 20th day of June, 1997.

ANN COOK MCCULLICK

[Signature]
Ann Cook McCullick
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BALCONES COUNTRY CLUB
MEMBERSHIP ASSOCIATION, INC., a
Texas non-profit corporation

By: 
Name: Guy Michael Burson
Title: President

By: 
Name: Paul Herlong Wilkinson
Title: Secretary
WARRANTY DEED

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

Recitals:

A. That Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, a governmental subdivision of the state of Texas, is a municipal utility district operating under the laws set forth in Chapters 49 and 54 of the Texas Water Code;

B. Section 49.226(b) of the Texas Water Code provides that any property dedicated to or acquired by a municipal utility district without expending district funds may be abandoned or released to the original grantor, the grantor’s heirs, assigns, executors, or successors upon terms and conditions deemed necessary or advantageous to the district and without receiving compensation for such abandonment or release;

C. J.H. McCullick donated the property described in Exhibit "A" hereto to the Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 pursuant to that certain Warranty Deed recorded at Volume 8593, Page 442 of the real property records of Travis County, Texas.

D. Ann C. McCullick is the wife and sole heir of J.H. McCullick, who is deceased;

E. After careful deliberation, the Board of Directors of Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 has determined that it is necessary and advantageous for Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 to abandon and release the aforesaid property to Ann C. McCullick, as heir and successor to J.H. McCullick pursuant to the authority set forth in Section 49.226(b) of the Texas Water Code and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this Warranty Deed.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 and Ann C. McCullick hereby agree as follows:

Conveyance:

That NORTHWEST TRAVIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2, a political subdivision of the State of Texas ("Grantor"), in abandonment and release, has GRANTED, BARGAINED, SOLD AND CONVEYED, and by these presents does GRANT, BARGAIN, SELL AND CONVEY unto ANN C. McCULICK ("Grantee"), her and successor of J.H. McCullick, those certain tracts or parcels of land in Travis County and Williamson County, Texas, together with all of Grantor’s rights, title and interest in
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improvements (including all structures and fixtures located thereon) and all rights, titles and
interests appurtenant thereto (such land, improvements and interests are hereinafter referred to
as the "Property") to wit:

Spicewood Golf Course:

TRACT I: 61.71 acres,
TRACT II: 83.55 acres, save and except
TRACT III: .679 and .055 acres, and

Balcones Golf Course:

TRACT IV: 60.31 acres, save and except .08 acres,
TRACT V: 15.61 acres,
TRACT VI: 8.98 acres,
TRACT VII: 6.33 acres,
TRACT VIII: 5.57 acres, save and except .016 acres,
TRACT IX: 6.48 acres,
TRACT X: 13.70 acres, save and except
TRACT XI: .298 acres, and save and except
TRACT XII: .552 acres, and save and except
TRACT XIII: .042 acres.

all as described by metes and bounds on Exhibit "A" attached
hereunto and of a part hereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above-described Property, together with all and
singular the rights and appurtenances thereto in any wise belonging, unto Grantee, its successors
and assigns forever.

Grantor does hereby bind itself, its successors and assigns to WARRANT AND FOREVER
DEFEND all and singular the title to the Property unto the said Grantee, its successors and
assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part
thereof by, through or under Grantor, but not otherwise.

It is expressly understood and agreed by Grantor and Grantee that taxes for 1997 and all
prior years are hereby assumed by Grantee. It is further understood and agreed that this
Warranty Deed and the abandonment and release of the Property to Grantee shall not impact or
relieve Grantor or other parties or their respective obligations under the following agreements:
(1) that certain "Irrigation and Maintenance Agreement," dated February 21, 1991, entered into
by Balcones Country Club Membership Association, Inc. and Northwest Travis County
Municipal Utility District No. 2; and (2) that certain "Wastewater Services and Development
Agreement," dated July 1, 1983, entered into by Spicewood Development Corporation,
Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, Homer D. Reed, and Luther E. Smith.
Reservations and Exceptions

1. The Property shall be used in perpetuity as a golf course with the right of enforcement by injunctive relief against breach of this restriction vested in the Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2, its successors and assigns. This covenant shall run with the land.

2. Grantor hereby excludes from the conveyance hereunder and reserves for Grantor and Grantor’s successors and assigns and for Technology Hydraulics, Inc., an easement for the free, uninterrupted, and perpetual use of the Property for irrigation disposal of treated wastewater effluent, together with a perpetual easement to construct, reconstruct, use, operate, inspect, repair, maintain, upgrade, replace and remove all irrigation facilities existing as of the date of this conveyance.

3. Grantee acknowledges that pursuant to that certain "Agreement for Wholesale Wastewater Service Between the City of Austin, Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 and Technology Hydraulics, Inc.", Grantor is required to convey to the City of Austin a 20-foot easement across the Property at a location to be determined by Grantor and Technology Hydraulics, Inc. At the time that the City of Austin requests such easement from Grantor, Grantee agrees to convey the easement to the City of Austin.

4. Grantor hereby excludes from the conveyance hereunder all irrigation facilities and equipment located on the Golf Course Tracts.

EXCEPT FOR THE WARRANTY OF TITLE SET FORTH ABOVE, GRANTOR EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR CHARACTER WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REGARDING THE PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY OR THE IMPROVEMENTS OF THE PROPERTY, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF HABITABILITY, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND GRANTEE ACCEPTS SUCH PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS IN AND "AS IS" WHERE IS' CONDITION, WITH ALL FAULTS.

GRANTEE, BY ITS ACCEPTANCE OF THIS DEED EXPRESSLY WAIVES ANY RIGHT OR CLAIM AGAINST GRANTOR FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION OR OTHER REMEDY AT LAW OR IN EQUITY WITH RESPECT TO OR RESULTING FROM THE PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY. THE WAIVER AND EXCULPATION PROVIDED ABOVE SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE COVENANTS RUNNING WITH THE LAND AND BINDING ON ALL SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS OF GRANTEE.
NOTWITHSTANDING THE FOREGOING, IN THE EVENT THAT IT IS EVER DETERMINED BY A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION THAT THIS CONVEYANCE IS NOT VALID FOR ANY REASON, THEN NORTHWEST TRAVIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2 AGREES THAT IT SHALL OFFER THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO FOR SALE TO ANN C. MCCULLICK, OR ANY SUCCESSOR OR ASSIGN THEREOF THAT MAY BECOME THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, AT ITS FAIR MARKET VALUE. THIS OBLIGATION SHALL BE BINDING UPON NORTHWEST TRAVIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2 AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS.

EXECUTED to be effective as of the 19th day of June, 1997.

NORTHWEST TRAVIS COUNTY
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2

By:

Gary Potts, President

By: 

Ann C. McCullack

ANN C. MCCULLICK

By: 

Ann C. McCullick

Secretary
ORDINANCE NO. 971204-H

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. FINDINGS.

The Council finds that:

(A) Notice of public hearings concerning annexation of the territory referred to as the U.S. 183 West area and described in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 was published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Austin and in the area to be annexed.

(B) Public hearings were held on October 14, 1997 at 6:30 p.m. at Spicewood Elementary School, October 16, 1997 at 6:30 p.m. in Council Chambers, and October 27, 1997 at 7:00 p.m. at Hope Presbyterian Church. Spicewood Elementary School and Hope Presbyterian Church are located in the area to be annexed.

(C) The public hearings were concluded after providing an opportunity for all persons present to be heard with respect to the proposed annexation. A proposed Service Plan for this area was made available and explained at the public hearings.

(D) The annexation, for full purposes of the territory described in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 serves the interests of the current and future residents of the City of Austin.

(E) The revised Service Plan, as amended through negotiation, is attached to this ordinance as Exhibit B, and the number and level of the municipal services provided in the Service Plan meets or exceeds all State law requirements.

(F) All procedural requirements imposed by state law for the annexation of the territory described in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 have been met.

PART 2. Boundary Adjustments.

(A) The present boundary limits of the City are amended to include the following territory which is within the extraterritorial jurisdiction and adjacent to the city limits of the City of Austin in Travis County, Texas, and which is annexed into the City for full purposes:
Three tracts of land, same being out of the A.E. Livingston Survey No. 155, the William P. Moore Survey No. 152, the John T. Smith and the S.A. & M.G. Railroad Co. Survey No. 801 and the William Trampton Survey No. 122, the James C. Irvine Survey No. 122, the William Moore Survey No. 152, the William B. Gray Survey No. 153 and the John M. Swisher Survey No. 32 in Travis County, Texas, the tract of land described as Number One containing 1456 acres of land more or less, the tract of land described as Number Two containing 74 acres of land, more or less, and the tract of land described as Number Three containing 46 acres of land, more or less, which three tracts of land are more particularly described in Exhibit A-1 attached to this ordinance; and

(B) The present boundary limits of the City are amended to include the following territory which is within the limited purpose boundary limits of the City of Austin in Travis County, Texas, and which is annexed into the City for full purposes:

Five tracts of land, same being out of and a part of the A.E. Livingston Survey No. 155, the S.A. & M.G. Railroad Co. Survey No. 801, the William Trampton Survey No. 122, the James C. Irvine Survey No. 122, the William Moore Survey No. 152, the William B. Gray Survey No. 153 and the John M. Swisher Survey No. 32 in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, the tract of land described as Number One containing 13 acres of land, more or less, the tract of land described as Number Two containing 16 acres of land, more or less, the tract of land described as Number Three containing 9 acres of land, more or less, the tract of land described as Number Four containing 70 acres of land, more or less, and the tract described as Number Five containing 171 acres of land, more or less, said five tracts of land being more particularly described in Exhibit A-2 attached to this ordinance.

PART 3. The Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 1 is abolished on December 31, 1997, the effective date of this ordinance. On that date, and in accordance with the provisions of state law, the City shall take over all the property and other assets of the District and shall assume all the debts, liabilities, and obligations of the District.

PART 4. The Northwest Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 2 is abolished on December 31, 1997, the effective date of this ordinance. On that date, and in accordance with the provisions of state law, the City shall take over all the property and other assets of the District and shall assume all the debts, liabilities, and obligations of the District.
PART 5. The Service Plan attached as Exhibit B is approved as the Service Plan for the annexed area.

PART 6. The City Council directs the City Manager to negotiate with Technology Hydraulics, Inc. to find ways to reduce the monthly wastewater costs of its customers.

PART 7. The City Council declares that its purpose is to annex to the City of Austin every part of the area described in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 as provided in this ordinance, regardless of whether any other part of the described area is effectively annexed to the City. If this ordinance is held invalid as to any part of the area annexed to the City of Austin, that invalidity does not affect the effectiveness of this ordinance as to all of the remainder of the area.

If any area or lands included within the description of the area set out in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 are: (1) presently part of and included within the general limits of the City of Austin; (2) presently part of and included within the limits of any other city, town, or village; or (3) are not within the jurisdiction or power of the City of Austin to annex, then that area is excluded and excepted from the area annexed as fully as if the excluded and excepted area were expressly described in Exhibits A-1 and A-2.

PART 8. The Council waives the requirements of Sections 2-2-3 and 2-2-7 of the City Code for this ordinance.

PART 9. This ordinance takes effect on December 31, 1997.

PASSED AND APPROVED

December 4, 1997.

Kirk Watson
Mayor

APPROVED:  
Andrew Martin
City Attorney

ATTEST:  
James E. Aldridge
City Clerk
PETITION

Date: August 28, 2013
File Number: C14-2013-0098
Address of Rezoning Request: 9900 Mandeville Circle

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the property to any classification other than RR - Golf Course.

It has been our understanding that the Golf Course properties were to be used for golf or recreational purposes in perpetuity. The land in issue is a part of the Golf Course properties. We believe the applicant in this case intends to develop this land, perhaps constructing a homestead (although a bed and breakfast appears to be a permitted use under the requested SF-1 zoning), which is in direct contravention of our understanding and the decades-old deed restrictions which are currently in place covering and protecting the Golf Course properties from this sort of development.

Rezoning this property to SF-1 is contradictory to the deed restrictions as it allows residential development, which is neither golf nor recreational use. Allowing the rezoning will open the buyer up to potential litigation filed by the beneficiaries of these deed restrictions (current homeowners in the area) down the road. Allowing the re-zoning could be misleading to the buyers and others.

Prior to its abolition by the City of Austin, the Northwest Travis County MUD #2 (MUD) transferred the Golf Course properties, including the land in issue, with a restriction that the transferred properties would be used as a golf course, a restriction it stated would run with the land. The properties were then deeded to the Balcones Country Club Membership Association, with the restriction that the properties be used for golf and recreational purposes.

The City of Austin, per Ordinance dated December 4, 1997, abolished and assumed the obligations of the MUD. The City has the ability, and perhaps the obligation, to enforce the deed restriction, pursuant to its takeover of the MUD. Allowing re-zoning implies that the land can be used for other purposes, which is inconsistent with the restriction the City now holds the right to enforce.

Allowing re-zoning of the land in issue, although it is a small parcel in and of itself, could open the door to broader development of the Golf Course properties, which will be detrimental to the current homeowners in the neighborhood. It is especially troubling to those who have maintained homesteads adjacent to the Golf Course properties, with the assumption that the deed restrictions in place would protect the Golf Course properties from development and protect the investment made in this community.
The Austin Regional Master plan approved by the City at the time of annexation of MUD #2 accepted the foundational covenants and restrictions to prohibit development of this property for any use other than greenway (i.e. golf course) and drainage. Modification of the zoning of this property is thus inconsistent with the community master plan. Should the planning and zoning commission believe that this rezoning is appropriate for its proposed use, it must first modify the master plan to be consistent with their findings. There are important procedural requirements for notification to the surrounding neighborhood for modification of the original covenants and restrictions as there are for modification of the city master plan. These procedural requirements, including notification of the affected parties, and notification of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality for development actions that may adversely impact stream water flow, stream water quality and significantly impact aquifer recharge have not been adhered to and must be complied with prior to any consideration for rezoning by the Planning and Zoning Commission or the City Council.

An environmental land planner (ELP) expert has reviewed the parcel in question and in his opinion the lot is undevelopable due to its steep slopes and limited set-back area from adjoining property owners and from the fronting street, Mandeville Circle. (If requested, he can provide a LIDAR cross section running along the centerline of the street, and perpendicular to the street along the centerline of the drainage way, illustrating the significant slope across the site as well, and he can possibly also provide cross sections across the lot as the side slopes increase toward the north, the golf course.)

It is also the opinion of the ELP that this lot is undevelopable due to its present and historical set aside as a drainage way for the surrounding neighborhood. Drainage during any significant storm event is currently unable to handle the required design storm events through the drainage way now. (We can provide a photo which was taken on Spicewood Parkway less than 1,000 feet downstream from the lot of interest). Surrounding lots experience flooding, the streets are flooded with water deep enough to close the street to traffic at the intersection of Mandeville Circle and the drainage way at the frontage location of the lot under consideration. If the lot is developed, significant fill will be required both for the building foundation and for the property access road/driveway. Any further restriction of the drainage way, which this filling would produce, would restrict the ability of the drainage way to handle storm water flows, producing additional flooding in the Mandeville Circle and De la Torre streets in the neighborhood immediately adjacent to the drainage way lot.

Clearing of the lot will destroy significant mature trees that are regulated under the City's tree preservation requirements. The filling of the lot will require the removal of the trees and will restrict the drainage way as discussed above, making it impossible for the drainage way to carry the design flood flow requirements. Visual inspection of the area indicates that any development of the lot would reduce the drainage way cross section by more than 50 percent. The drainage way now is limited in its ability to carry design flood flows of any significant storm events.

Removal of the existing vegetation and channel roughness will reduce the ability of the natural stream channel to provide water quality improvement through the retention of sediment and the
absorption of environmental contaminants and nutrients that are present in storm water runoff from the surrounding streets and fertilized lawns. This is an important and critical function for protecting water quality within the Bull Creek Watershed. The Bull Creek Watershed is an important waterway for the City of Austin, and natural grassed and vegetated stream channels provide an efficient method of water quality improvement supported by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). Further, the Bull Creek Watershed is an important recharge area for the underlying aquifers, removal of natural waterways increases peak storm water flows, decreases infiltration and recharge to the underlying aquifers and adversely impacts water quality both in the surface streams and in the groundwater aquifers.

Preserving the vitality and critical environmental features in Bull Creek Watershed in this neighborhood is critical. This area is the headwaters of the Bull Creek Watershed, which is the only Edwards Aquifer spring fed creek that supplies part of our drinking water. Rezoning and building on these properties will have an adverse environmental impact to the immediate area and to Bull Creek Watershed. These tracts were specifically and conscientiously preserved for drainage, spring protection, wildlife habitat, and wildlife passage.

These tracts and properties are the habitat for numerous local flora and fauna, especially the Hill Country Rock Squirrels, and the breeding ground for a wide variety of protected birds such as the Red Tail Hawk, the Screech Owl, Horned Owl and possibly many others. There is an abundance of wildlife (deer, red fox, black squirrels, raccoons, skunks, armadillos, opossum, etc.) which breed and pass through these areas. The disturbance of these areas will force these animals out of their habitat and into the neighborhood. Also, the development of the tracts will close and/or inhibit the passages set aside for these animals and require them to pass through the existing homestead properties. With this will also come the coyote predators which will further endanger the pets, children, and homeowners in this community.

Because it is a defined stream channel, it also may be a habitat for protected species including The Barton Springs salamander, the Austin Blind salamander and/or the Jollyville Plateau salamander. No environmental surveys or studies have been done on this lot, and any rezoning or alternate use considerations must first establish that no unique, protected or sensitive ecosystem exists under its present use.

In summary, these tracts were set aside as mandated and agreed upon by the City of Austin when Balcones Country Club, Balcones Village and Spicewood Development Corp. presented the original master development plans to the City of Austin. The Deed Restrictions clearly indicate that these tracts can only be used for recreational and golf course uses (drainage and greenbelt). There is no condition imaginable where anything other than RR zoning is appropriate.

Please deny the zoning change on all 4 of these cases listed below and reaffirm the long standing and correct zoning of RR.

2013-080237 ZC  C14-2013-0098, 9900 Mandeville Circle
2013-080209 ZC  C14-2013-0096, 11512 Spicewood Parkway
2013-080220 ZC  C14-2013-0097, 11300 Spicewood Parkway
2013-080191 ZC  C14-2013-0095, 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

Signature: Trudy Moon
Printed Name: TRUDY MOON
Address: 9830 Mandeville Circle

Signature: Buddy Tripp
Printed Name: BUDDY TRIPP
Address: 9828 Mandeville Circle

Signature: Donald G. Thoer
Printed Name: DONALD G. THOER
Address: 9903 Mandeville Circle

Signature: David Rusk
Printed Name: DAVID RUSK
Address: 9906 Mandeville Circle

Signature: Rich Willis
Printed Name: RICH WILLIS
Address: 9907 Mandeville Circle

Signature: John Weisca
Printed Name: JOHN WEISCA
Address: 9908 Mandeville Circle

Signature: Bonnie Grattals
Printed Name: BONNIE GRATTELS
Address: 9823 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: Nancy C. Miller
Printed Name: NANCY C. MILLER
Address: 9924 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: Bob Cotton
Printed Name: BOB COTTON
Address: 9826 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: Stephen Gershon
Printed Name: STEPHEN GERSON
Address: 9901 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: Jeremy Garcia
Printed Name: JEREMY GARCIA
Address: 9825 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: J. Lee Robbins
Printed Name: J. LEE ROBBINS
Address: 9904 Mandeville Cir.

Signature: Daniel Murphy
Printed Name: DANIEL MURPHY
Address: 9725 Mandeville Cir.

Date: August 28, 2013
Contact Name: Bob Cotton
Phone Number: 512-658-4639
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Printed Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Trip</td>
<td>Trudy Moon</td>
<td>9820 Mandeville Circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David A. Thorpe</td>
<td>Donald C. Thorpe</td>
<td>9903 Mandeville Circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich Willis</td>
<td>9807 Mandeville Circle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traci Millican</td>
<td>9808 Mandeville Circle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Grable</td>
<td>9823 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Cutts</td>
<td>9724 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Clatter</td>
<td>9826 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeremy Garcia</td>
<td>9825 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Lee Robbins</td>
<td>9904 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Murphy</td>
<td>9905 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Rusk</td>
<td>9906 Mandeville Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: **August 28, 2013**  
Contact Name: **Bob Clatter**  
Phone Number: **512-658-4639**
PETITION

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Date: 9/6/2013

Total Square Footage of Buffer: 269884.69
Percentage of Square Footage Owned by Petitioners Within Buffer: 51.24%

Calculation: The total square footage is calculated by taking the sum of the area of all TCAD Parcels with valid signatures including one-half of the adjacent right-of-way that fall within 200 feet of the subject tract. Parcels that do not fall within the 200 foot buffer are not used for calculation. When a parcel intersects the edge of the buffer, only the portion of the parcel that falls within the buffer is used. The area of the buffer does not include the subject tract.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>TCAD ID</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Petition Area</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0168150720</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>COTTON ROBERT P &amp; JANA P</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>795.31</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0170150914</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>GERSHON STEPHEN &amp; AMBER S ORR</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>21299.60</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0170150916</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>GRAJALE OSCAR &amp; BONNIE</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>7126.85</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0170150702</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>LEFFLER DAVID A</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>9548.66</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0170151002</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>MOON JERALD A &amp; TRUDY ELLEN</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>19839.98</td>
<td>7.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0170150912</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>MURPHY DANIEL W &amp; CATHY L CATHY L</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>4866.08</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0170150701</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>ROBBINS LIVING</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>23411.18</td>
<td>8.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0170150901</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>SHAW ELIZABETH A &amp; HARRY SHAW</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>01701509:3</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>THORP DONALD G</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>17901.48</td>
<td>6.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0170151001</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>TRIPP BUDDY E &amp; EMMA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>14704.47</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>01701509:5</td>
<td>MANDEVILLE CIR 78750</td>
<td>VU QUYNH D &amp; JEREMY J GARCIA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>18807.38</td>
<td>6.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total %**

51.24%
August 28, 2013

Case Number:  C14-2013-0098
Contact:  Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing:  Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
               Sep 26, 2013, City Council

From:  Jerry Moon
       9830 Mandeville Circle
       Austin, Tx 78750
       512 258 4583; 512 851 6420 cell

I object

Comments:
I ask that the rezoning be denied as I believe it is completely counter to the intent that the golf course land is to
be used for perpetuity as golf and recreation land and the rezoning is illegally breaking a covenant restriction to
that effect in the current deed. In my case, the land at 9900 Mandeville was represented to me as greenbelt in
a 1972 plat map that was instrumental in my purchase of the adjacent home at 9830 Mandeville.

Further, the rezoning and subsequent development:
- Would result in downgrade of the natural balance, attractiveness, and value of the Spicewood
  Balcones neighborhood
- Would reduce value of homes that were built/purchased based on the presence of a functioning golf
  course and greenbelt areas
- Would create watershed issues (i.e. due to excessive slopes and increased impervious cover) that
  are so severe that development cannot be made to comply with the city Land Development Code

The watershed issue is illustrated by the 9900 Mandeville parcel. It is at the bottom of a dip in the street where
the lot slopes greater than 35%. The dip collects runoff from both the north and south legs of Mandeville Circle
which slope all the way to Spicewood Club drive. Further, a culvert under the road collects all runoff from Del
Torre road which intersects both legs of Mandeville circle. During storms, the water is turbulent, over the curb
and with whitecaps. In addition to roadway runoff, the entire lot at 9900 Mandeville is even more excessively
sloped. 76% of the lot has greater than 15% slope and 40% has greater than 25% slope. Water has eroded
the hillside of the lot and gouged a drainage creek bed at the bottom.

As an example of the impact on affected homeowners, the home at 9830 Mandeville was built in 1976 and we
purchased in 1986. We purchased with the full expectation and understanding that the plat of Spicewood at
Balcones Village was final, and that the deed covenant and restrictions on the greenbelt land and waterway as
well as Spicewood Country Club would continue to be honored to preserve the natural and traditional character
of the neighborhood. Now the golf course has been closed, greatly affecting property values, and now forced
construction in excessively sloped land further threatens value and the sustained beauty of the neighborhood
as well as our property at 9830 Mandeville.
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or they may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
             Sep 26, 2013, City Council

Veronica Tony
Your Name (please print)
11208 Della Torre Dr
Your address(es) affected by this application
Signature
Aug 26, 2013
Date
Daytime Telephone: 512-257-0989
Comments: Wildlife protection:
- We currently have major flooding problems during storms. The affected lot acts as a drainage of excess water. Any build up of this natural flood zone will cause issues for other lots, such as mine.
- I object the rezoning without additional water and drainage planning.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website:

www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
Sep 26, 2013, City Council

Bonnie GRASALIS

Your Name (please print)

9823 Mandeville Circle

Your address(es) affected by this application

9/27/13

Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: (512) 250-9155

Comments: This lot has steep slopes and ditch cut back area and is used as a drainage way for the surrounding neighbors. If it is filled in as if would have to be.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
The original covenants and restrictions prohibit development of this lot for any use other than greenway and drainage. Modification or change of these covenants and restrictions is inconsistent with the community Master plan and the overall Austin Regional Master plan approved by the city at the time of annexation. The Master plan would have to be modified if the zoning change is approved.

This lot, with its numerous trees and rocks, is also home to Rock Squirrels and possibly to protected species like the Barton Springs Salamander, the Austin Blind Salamander, and the Jollyville Plateau Salamander.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

9833 Mandeville Dr.
Austin 78750
PETITION

Date: August 25, 2013
File Number: C14-2013-0098

Address of
Rezoning Request: 9900 Mandeville Circle

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in
the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which
would zone the property to any classification other than RR - Golf Course.

It has been our understanding that the Golf Course properties were to be used for golf or
recreational purposes in perpetuity. The land in issue is a part of the Golf Course properties. We
believe the applicant in this case intends to develop this land, perhaps constructing a homestead
(although a bed and breakfast appears to be a permitted use under the requested SF-1 zoning),
which is in direct contravention of our understanding and the decades-old deed restrictions which
are currently in place covering and protecting the Golf Course properties from this sort of
development.

Rezoning this property to SF-1 is contradictory to the deed restrictions as it allows residential
development, which is neither golf nor recreational use. Allowing the rezoning will open the
buyer up to potential litigation filed by the beneficiaries of these deed restrictions (current
homeowners in the area) down the road. Allowing the re-zoning could be misleading to the
buyers and others.

Prior to its abolition by the City of Austin, the Northwest Travis County MUD #2 (MUD)
transferred the Golf Course properties, including the land in issue, with a restriction that the
transferred properties would be used as a golf course, a restriction it stated would run with the
land. The properties were then deeded to the Balcones Country Club Membership Association,
which the restriction that the properties be used for golf and recreational purposes.

The City of Austin, per Ordinance dated December 4, 1997, abolished and assumed the
obligations of the MUD. The City has the ability, and perhaps the obligation, to enforce the deed
restriction, pursuant to its takeover of the MUD. Allowing re-zoning implies that the land can be
used for other purposes, which is inconsistent with the restriction the City now holds the right to
enforce.

Allowing re-zoning of the land in issue, although it is a small parcel in and of itself, could open
the door to broader development of the Golf Course properties, which will be detrimental to the
current homeowners in the neighborhood. It is especially troubling to those who have maintained
homesteads adjacent to the Golf Course properties, with the assumption that the deed restrictions
in place would protect the Golf Course properties from development and protect the investment
made in this community.
Last, we believe that the land in issue may be in the flood zone. Allowing its development may cause erosion or flooding concerns for the adjacent properties, with a potential impact on wildlife.

(PLEASE USE BLACK INK WHEN SIGNING PETITION)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Printed Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buddy E. Tripp</td>
<td>Buddy E. Tripp</td>
<td>9828 Mandeville Circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Tripp</td>
<td>Emma Tripp</td>
<td>Austin, TX 78750 - 2855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: 8/28/2013  Contact Name: Buddy E. Tripp  Phone Number: 512-358-0978
August 30, 2013

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaltis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
             Sep 26, 2013, City Council

From: Jerry Moon
       9830 Mandeville Circle
       Austin, Tx 78750
       512 258 4583; 512 851 6420 cell

Comments:
I ask that the rezoning be denied as I believe it is completely counter to the intent that the golf
course land is to be used for perpetuity as golf and recreation land and the rezoning is illegally
breaking a covenant restriction to that effect in the current deed. In my case, the land at 9900
Mandeville was represented to me as greenbelt in a 1972 plat map that was instrumental in my
purchase of the adjacent home at 9830 Mandeville.

Further, the rezoning and subsequent development:

- Would result in downgrade of the natural balance, attractiveness, and value of the
  Spicewood Balcones neighborhood
- Would reduce value of homes that were built/purchased based on the presence of a
  functioning golf course and greenbelt areas
- Would create watershed issues (i.e. due to excessive slopes and increased
  impervious cover) that are so severe that development cannot be made to comply
  with the city Land Development Code

The watershed issue is illustrated by the 9900 Mandeville parcel. It is at the bottom of a dip in
the street where the lot slopes greater than 35%. The dip collects runoff from both the north and
south legs of Mandeville Circle which slope all the way to Spicewood Club drive. Further, a
culvert under the road collects all runoff from Del Torre road which intersects both legs of
Mandeville circle. During storms, the water is turbulent, over the curb and with whitecaps. In
addition to roadway runoff, the entire lot at 9900 Mandeville is even more excessively sloped.
76% of the lot has greater than 15% slope and 40% has greater than 25% slope. Water has
eroded the hillside of the lot and gouged a drainage creek bed at the bottom.

As support of the impossibilities created by the excessive slopes on 9900 parcel, consider that
the Country Club has found that due to excessive slopes it could not comply with watershed
requirements based on its original real estate listing, and in an attempt to comply has extended
the depth of the parcel for sale by 25 feet. This will not cure the watershed issues. What's
more, there is power line above what would now be the heart of the parcel and its easement
setback will severely limit the buildable area. Adding to this, the attempt to develop will likely
mean excavating and dynamite risks as the contractor positions a foundation as close as
possible to the home and swimming pool at 9830 Mandeville.

Related to the watershed issues are the complicating factors that protected and even heritage
trees, as well as many other medium size trees are critical to managing runoff. The extensive
cuts and fills required to provide a flat surface for any building would not only be impossible at
the outset and out of code, but would soon be washed away by raging rain water. Further, development will destroy the habitat of abundant wildlife on the 9900 Mandeville parcel.

As an example of the impact on affected homeowners, the home at 9830 Mandeville was built in 1976 and we purchased in 1986. We purchased with the full expectation and understanding that the plat of Spicewood at Balcones Village was final, and that the deed covenant and restrictions on the greenbelt land and waterway as well as Spicewood Country Club would continue to be honored to preserve the natural and traditional character of the neighborhood. Now the golf course has been closed, greatly affecting property values, and now forced construction in excessively sloped land further threatens value and the sustained beauty of the neighborhood as well as our property at 9830 Mandeville.
August 29, 2013

Sherri Sirwaltis
Planning & Development Dept
City of Austin, Texas

Dear Ms. Sirwaltis,

This correspondence is being sent in connection with the following rezoning cases in the Balcones/Spicewood neighborhood:

Number C14-2013-0097 for property at 11300 Spicewood Parkway, Austin, TX 78750
Number C14-2013-0096 for property at 11512 Spicewood Parkway, Austin, TX 78750
Number C14-2013-0098 for property at 9900 Mandeville Circle, Austin, TX 78750
Number C14-2013-0095 for property at 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive, Austin, TX 78750

Balcones Club Management LP (Balcones Club) has entered into a 99 year lease with Balcones Country Club Membership Association (BCCMA), the owner of Balcones Country Club and the parcels subject to the action above. Balcones Club is responsible for the successful operations of the Country Club.

We agree to the removal of the parcels identified above from our lease upon their successful sale. The parcel sales will not impact operations at the Country Club and funds generated from these sales will be reinvested into the facility of the Country Club, which will in turn have a positive impact on the community.

Regards,

[Signature]

Ken Story
Partner
Balcones Club Management LP
an affiliate of Arnold Palmer Golf Management
Hi Sherri -

I understand a request for postponement has been made as to one of the four properties below. As I feel it is more expeditious for these four matters to be heard at one time, I am requesting that the other three cases also be postponed.

Thank you
Traci Cotton
10808 Spicewood Parkway
512/423-4736

4. Rezoning: C14-2013-0095 – 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive
Location: 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive, Bull Creek Watershed
Owner/Applicant: Balcones Country Club Membership Association (David Dew)
Agent: Hutson Land Planners (Duane Hutson)
Request: RR, I-SF-2 to SF-1
Staff Rec.: Recommended
Staff: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov; Planning and Development Review Department
Facilitator: Ivan Naranjo, 512-974-7649
City Attorney: Jennifer Ferri, 512-974-4732

5. Rezoning: C14-2013-0096 – 11512 Spicewood Parkway
Location: 11512 Spicewood Parkway, Bull Creek Watershed
Owner/Applicant: Balcones Country Club Membership Association (David Dew)
Agent: Hutson Land Planners (Duane Hutson)
Request: RR to SF-1
Staff Rec.: Recommended
Staff: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov; Planning and Development Review Department

Location: 11300 Spicewood Parkway, Bull Creek Watershed
Owner/Applicant: Balcones Country Club Membership Association (David Dew)
Agent: Hutson Land Planners (Duane Hutson)
Request: RR to SF-1
Staff Rec.: Recommended
Staff: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov; Planning and Development Review Department

7. Rezoning: C14-2013-0098 – 9900 Mandeville Circle
Location: 9900 Mandeville Circle, Bull Creek Watershed
Owner/Applicant: Balcones Country Club Membership Association (David Dew)
Agent: Hutson Land Planners (Duane Hutson)
Request: RR to SF-1
Staff Rec.: Recommended
Staff: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov; Planning and Development Review Department
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website:

www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
Sep 26, 2013, City Council

Bob Cotton

9826 Mandeville Circle, Austin

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

I am in favor

Obj ect

Daytime Telephone: 512-658-4639

Comments: This property is part of the WARRANTY Deed between Ann McCollack, Beneficiary of NW Travis County and 2 (now CDA) and is to be used for Golf Course and Recreational use only. It is the Headwaters of the Bull Creek Watershed and a Hot Waterway to reduce Flooding. It is also the Passage way for Varios wildlife & Protect Bies, Animals, etc.

Also, the 25 ft. extension requested extends further on to the Golf and beyond the meets of Bounds of the Adjutting Properties.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Sirwaitis, Sherri

From: 
Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2013 9:30 AM 
To: Sirwaitis, Sherri 
Subject: Case #: C14-2013-0098

Hello Ms. Sirwaitis,

I wanted to ensure that you have received my written objection to the re-zoning of 9900 Mandeville Circle. This lot serves as a natural flood zone and provides drainage for the entire Della Torre and Mandeville area due to its sloping. This lot should not be built on without considering the flooding implications.

Best Regards und mit freundlichen Grüßen

Veronika Tonry
Office Phone: 512-278-6011  
Cell: 512-921-5909
Privacy Program Manager
Applied Materials

The content of this message is Applied Materials Confidential. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this message in error, any use or distribution is prohibited. Please notify me immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message from your computer system. Thank You
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaltis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
Sep 26, 2013, City Council

Bruce + Nancy Miller

Your Name (please print)

9824 Mandeville Circle

Your address(es) affected by this application

Nancy Miller

Signature

Date 8-27-13

Daytime Telephone: 512-694-0202

Comments:

We strenuously objection to this rezoning.

1. The original covenants and restrictions prohibit development of this lot for any use other than greenway and drainage. There is a community master plan and a regional overall Austin Regional Master Plan approved by the City at the time of annexation. No procedural requirements have been approved.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Sherri Sirwaltis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: Sep 3, 2013, Zoning and Platting Commission
      Sep 26, 2013, City Council

DONALD G. THORP

9903 Mandeville Circle

Your Name (please print)

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512 249-2341

Comments: SEE ATTACHED 1 PAGE WRITTEN COMMENTS AND 3 PICTURES.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Sherri Sirwaitis
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
I Object

Commissioners, I have 2 concerns I would like to express.

First when I was selecting between multiple possible home sites, a major factor was this beautiful natural habitat. The realtors involved, both the selling agent and my buying agent, clearly told me that as part of the Neighborhood Plan for this golf course community, 9900 Mandeville Circle was designated as unbuildable land that was to serve as the natural drainage path for the area around my potential new home, and would remain undisturbed. This favorably influenced my decision to purchase this home.

Now, if this land is disturbed and a structure built on it, it takes away from the value of my property!

Second, is the concern that changes to 9900 Mandeville will exacerbate drainage issues for my property. Today, when even a moderate rain occurs, water rushes down the steep slopes of Mandeville Circle from both the Southeast and the Northwest directions (Picture 1), often overflowing the crest of the roadway. It crashes together and then empties into the spillway (Picture 2), on 9900 Mandeville Circle, continuing on down to the golf course.

Adding to this flow is the additional storm water that comes from both directions of Della Torre, the next street up from Mandeville, which empties into the normally dry creek bed on the East side of my property. This creek bed becomes a cascading series of waterfalls, which is then channeled into the 3' concrete drain that runs under Mandeville Circle, exiting right next to the spillway on 9900 Mandeville Circle. When a storm is heavy or lasts long enough, the water in this creek bed is contending for space with that coming down Mandeville Circle and begins to back up into my yard (Picture 3). It has at times come to within a few feet of my house. Even a small amount of restriction to the downstream flow of the water at 9900 Mandeville, will surely cause the creek to overflow further, causing erosion and debris removal damage to my property.

I invest my time to keep the creek as unobstructed as possible to minimize the flooding and erosion. I also clean up the debris that collects on the street to try to avoid damage to my neighbor’s vehicles. I do not wish to see more of this occur because downstream drainage has been restricted.

Thank you for your attention.

Donald G. Thorp
8/27/2013
PICTURE #1
Begin forwarded message:

From: Amber Orr <counsel_idmph@yahoo.com>
Date: September 3, 2013 5:30:15 PM CDT
To: Me <counsel_idmph@yahoo.com>
Subject: Case No

Case Number: C14-2013-0098
Contact: Sherri Sirwaitis, 512-974-3057
Public Hearing: September 3, 2013, Zoning and Planning Commission

From: Amber Orr
9901 Mandeville Circle
Austin, Texas 78750

I OBJECT

Amber Orr
September 3, 2013
(415) 336-8261

Dear Commissioners,

I object to the rezoning of the parcels on the Spicewood and Balcones golf courses. Specifically, I am objecting to the rezoning of the lot directly across the street from me, 9900 Mandeville Circle, for the following reasons:

1. The land is subject to a warranty deed that it must be reserved solely for use as a golf course and related water and utility easements.
2. Rezoning is against the original plan and intent for our planned community as evidenced in original plats, warranty deeds and a visual inspection of our community.
3. We relied on such deeds and easement in the purchase of our home and rezoning this lot not only impacts the value of our home but may physically threaten our home related to potential, flooding, and erosion.
As you are all keenly aware, land use planning is often effected through the use of covenants to facilitate the creation of particular types of neighborhoods. Courts enforce such covenants provided they benefit and burden all the property owners in a neighborhood equally and the intentional planning and execution of the Spicewood Village at Balcones is a fine example of a planned development intended to enhance preservation of the natural environment while providing beauty, recreation and above average property values.

Covenants that run with the land located at 9900 cannot be separated from the land nor the land transferred without it. Such a covenant exists if the original owner as well as each successive owner of the property is either subject to its burden or entitled to its benefit. When selling the land, the owner can only do so upon an agreement by the buyer that he or she, too, will only use the land according to the covenant, in this case, for use as the golf course. The land is thereby burdened or encumbered since the covenant specifically limits the use to which the land can be put.

Our neighborhood was distinctly developed to provide for environmental preservation and protection including many modern ideas relating to air quality, water quality, trees, buffer zones and greenbelt areas, critical environmental features, waterways, and topography. Zoning for the land in our planned community is zoned appropriately and intentionally and the land use should remain consistent with applicable neighborhood plans, neighborhood conservation and compatibility with adjacent property and land use. This wooded lot zoned RR for recreational use is a longstanding buffer and is crucial to the proper drainage of rainwater and the neighborhood ecology. There is no reason to consider rezoning this land as it is required to be used as golf course and rezoning it opens the door to permanently impact our environment and beloved community.

In addition to aesthetics and fresh air, the damage to our property values may be tangible. Our lot sits directly across from 9900 Mandeville and on our lot is a drywater storm creek that becomes a powerful drainage way with any rain at all. The water collected from the higher part of the neighborhood runs beside our home and across and under the street into 9900. When there is blockage, the waters rise and threaten our property along with our direct neighbors. The water erodes the land that our house sits on and cuts in closer and closer. When we considered the purchase of this property, we loved the wooded lot across the street and considered it an added value to the home. Additionally, we were concerned about the creek bed and drainage strategy and required our realtor to pull the deeds and investigate the status of the lot at 9900. The language appears in documents related to the neighborhood and planned community that the covenants run with the land and are intended to exist in perpetuity. This meant that any concerns we had related to the use of the wooded lot at 9900 Mandeville were limited to the use of such land for it’s current use as part of the golf course and current easements since we knew that water and power lines and utilities run through that lot.

We were reassured that the land belonged to the golf course and that it was required by deed in perpetuity to be used as such. The lot has been maintained as it was intended and stood unaltered since building began for our community over 40 years ago. We bought on reliance that the drainage system had been historically adequate and that aside from any alterations for maintenance of the golf course, we could feel comfortable that there would not be any development of the land to threaten our property value.

As a personal appeal, I implore you to consider that there is no compelling reason to approve rezoning the lot at 9900. It is a crucial part of our community that can never be recovered once it is lost. I think the sale and request for rezoning might not be legal given the history of the development and the promises made to our community. The neighbors and the association have a
duty to maintain and protect our neighborhood and this action is a clear and permanent departure from those goals that will impact my family and my neighbors negatively for as long as we stay in our homes. We sit on our porch and enjoy the fox, skunks, armadillos, possum, strange dual colored hybrid squirrels, deer and even snakes that take refuge and have made their homes for forty years in those old trees. Please deny this request on the basis of questionable standing/legality, potential impact on drainage and flooding and irrevocably harming our community through development inconsistent with the intended plan and benefits of our community.

Sincerely,
Amber Orr
September 25, 2013
11604 Jamieson Drive
Austin, Texas 78750-2544

Austin City Council
301 W. Second St.
Austin, Texas 78701


Dear Council Members:

I would like to make a few comments and provide some background information regarding the referenced case numbers.

Just for clarification, my name is Tom Manning, and I have lived in the Balcones Village/Spicewood area for a little over 30 years. I was President of the Northwest Travis Municipal Utility District (MUD) #2 for about 9 years, and was in that office at the time that the developer of the area, who also owned the golf course property as well as the country club facilities, divested themselves of the property. I, along with Jack Firth, representing the Balcones Country Club, and Jim McCullick, representing Spicewood Development Corporation, were the ones that negotiated, agreed to, and signed the paperwork that transferred ownership of the golf course property to the MUD, and the ownership of the country club facilities (buildings, pools, tennis courts, etc.) to BCC.

When the land was initially platted for the various sections, and the 2 golf courses, there was property that was not platted, but neither was it a part of the playable golf courses. This property was retained by the developer. Some of this land was not usable as lots because back then, we did not have city sewer, and the homes needed drainage fields, to accommodate their individual cesspools, which could not be built on the property; some of the land was left open for drainage reasons, and some of the land was left open, along with the 36 playable holes, to meet requirements from the state to handle the distribution of gray water from the private sewage treatment plant that served the homes in the Spicewood section and the sewage treatment plant owned by the MUD. All of the property that was not platted was lumped together and title for that property was transferred to the MUD.

Back when the transfer happened in the early 90's, the area was not in the city of Austin, but annexation was anticipated. When we drafted the documents to cover the transfer, we wanted to make sure that the MUD had adequate surface ground area to meet the state requirements for the gray water distribution, and we also wanted to protect the golf course property for BCC, because with the annexation, the city of Austin would obtain ownership of all of the MUD facilities and property, and could choose to stop using the sewage treatment facilities and MUD property for gray water distribution, and decide to make some other use of the property. For that reason, a clause was included that tied the use of the land to serve as both gray water distribution and golf course recreational activity.

Since that time, a number of things have happened:

1) The city of Austin did annex the entire area, but prior to the annexation, the MUD was able to transfer ownership of the property that it had to BCC, so protecting the use of the property as a golf course for BCC is no longer an issue. BCC is in the best position to determine the need and what to do with the property.

2) The city of Austin has now provided sewage service to the entire area, so some of the property that previously could not be used as lots because of drain field issues, can now be built on.
3) The city of Austin has taken over operation of both the private sewage system and the MUD system, and as anticipated many years ago, has chosen to no longer use the golf course for distribution of the gray water. As a result, the need to protect surface area to use for that purpose is gone.

Keeping BCC a viable entity is important to keeping our neighborhood an attractive place to live. The BCC Board would like to make some improvements to the BCC facilities, and have decided that they can raise funds to do this by selling off some property that is not needed. Some concerns have been raised regarding the protection clauses associated with the deed.

Provided that the primary focus is consistent with the intent of the protection clauses of retaining the 36 holes of golf, tennis, swimming, and clubhouse facilities, disposition of unneeded property by platting and selling lots with deed restrictions matching the ones that currently apply to the neighborhood should not be an issue. Our neighborhood is one of single family dwellings, and conversion of property to single family homes is the most desirable use of this unneeded property. All of this property needs to be re-zoned, and if there are issues such as size or drainage associated with individual lots, that should be sorted out in the zoning process.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Thomas W. Manning
From: Mary Ellen Krautter  
8911 Spring Lake  
Austin, TX 78750  

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to the re-platting/rezoning of any vacant lots in the Balcones Village/Spicewood area.

I have lived here since 1990 and have experienced what happens during heavy rains. Literally, there is flash flooding. People are lucky if it is in the street, however, there have been numerous occasions where the water overflows the storm drains, goes over the curbs or down driveways. ANYTIME someone builds on the lots that were undeveloped in the 80s and 90s, the course of the water changes and people's homes, pools and yards are flooded. It does not matter that some of these lots were originally platted for houses. The water drains downhill from 183, through the golf course and unfortunately, sometimes through people's yards.

The Balcones Country Club did attempt to remedy some of these drainage problems a number of years ago but it has not been maintained and no longer channels the flood water towards the natural ponds. Selling off the lots without consideration of the existing drainage issues for the current homeowners is unconscionable.

I am unable to attend the Zoning and Planning Commission meeting as I have a prior commitment to assist with the Neighborhood Night Out block party. I enjoy living my neighborhood and have managed to work around the drainage issues. Please do not allow development of lots that accommodate the drainage during heavy rain to create problems for the current homeowners.

Sincerely,

Mary Ellen Krautter
Sirwaitis, Sherri

From: ellen watkins
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 4:34 PM
To: Sirwaitis, Sherri
Cc: Case No. C14-2013-0098

Subject:

Dear Sherri -
I'm writing to express my views about the above case: # C14-2013-0098 at 9900 Mandeville Circle, as well as these additional cases in the same neighborhood and Bull Creek Watershed:

C14-2013-0095 - 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive
C14-2013-0096 - 11512 Spicewood Parkway
C14-2013-0097 - 11300 Spicewood Parkway

I respectfully ask the Commission to 'disapprove' re-zoning of these cases tonight.

The lots in question were donated to the Balcones Country Club Members Association with the legal language that they should be only used for recreation and golf course in perpetuity. The re-zoning of these lots will affect the drainage of the neighborhood in question as well as affect the legality of the deed and donation mentioned here. The residents of this community do not support this change and we request the support of the Commission to disapprove this change.

There will be members of the community at the meeting this evening who will expand on these points. I appreciate the Commission's attention to their comments and to this matter.

Thank you for your time and consideration to 'disapprove'.

Best Regards,
Ellen Watkins
9805 Mandeville Cir.
Dear Sherri,

We are in writing regards to the above referenced case in addition to the following cases regarding re-zoning in the Spicewood at Balcones neighborhood.

C14-2013-0095 - 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive  
C14-2013-0096 - 11512 Spicewood Parkway  
C14-2013-0097 - 11300 Spicewood Parkway

We live almost directly across from the property in question and feel that our family would be negatively impacted by the re-zoning of this land. If this property is re-zoned and ultimately utilized as land for a single family dwelling, this would not only violate current deed restrictions and covenants meant to keep this property for sole use as a recreation/golf course but may potentially cause water-shed/flooding problems impacting surrounding homes. The re-zoning and changes to these areas would potentially impact the property values and detract from the natural beauty of the neighborhood as well.

The additional properties listed above are under the same deed restrictions and should remain as intended. We respectfully request that the re-zoning request be denied. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Quynh Vu and Jeremy Garcia  
9825 Mandeville Cir.
I have lived on Mandeville Circle for 37 years. Developing the lot at 9900 would be a huge mistake. It is one of the major if not the major drainage area for all lots from at least Spicewood Club east and from Mandeville to Fontainebleau. The street are horseshoe (circle) shaped and drainage is downhill from Spicewood Club to the head of all the streets. Rain will come again to this area and heavy downpours will occur. These drainage areas must be protected.

Janet Kriegel
10005 Mandeville Circle
City Council Members

I want to state my opposition to the proposed Rezoning of the four properties - with the Case Numbers - located around the Balcones and Spicewood Golf Courses.

- 2013-080191 ZC C14-2013-0095, 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive
- 2013-080209 ZC C14-2013-0096, 11512 Spicewood Parkway
- 2013-080220 ZC C14-2013-0097, 11300 Spicewood Parkway
- 2013-080237 ZC C14-2013-0098, 9900 Mandeville Circle

We, the impacted neighbors, the Balcones Village / Spicewood HOA, and Austin representatives have been told several things by Duane Hutson, the developer of these properties, as well as by the Balcones Country Club Management Association (BCCMA) representatives, which during the course of your Zoning & Platting Commission proceedings, were shown to not be truthful.

Key among these things were the intent that the money received from proceeds of the sale of these properties would be used to help reopen the currently closed Spicewood Golf Course. Commission questioning of Mr. Hutson and of the BCCMA revealed that proceeds would be used to improve the Balcones Golf Course and facilities, not to reopen the Spicewood Course. Commission questioning also revealed that if they were successful in getting these test cases approved, BCCMA would then request that more of the property of the courses would be rezoned, so they could be sold, again with no assurance of meeting the prior promise to reopen Spicewood. That certainly explains why a big time developer like Mr. Hutson would be interested in these four almost unbuildable properties. What then would stop the piecemeal selling off of more if not all of the Spicewood Course?

Further, it was stated multiple times by BCCMA and Mr. Hutson, that increasing cost of water for the courses, aggravated by the drought, was primary in closing the Spicewood Course. But no explanation was given to neighbors or to the Zoning & Platting Commission as to why the BCCMA was not using the free wastewater and potable water from the March 2005 Water Agreement with Austin, approved by City Council. Surely Austin provided water could significantly augment the use of the detention and natural spring pond water sources on the Spicewood Course to water both courses, not just the Balcones Course as is now being done while Spicewood browns. Is this just poor management, or a clear plan to sell off more of the Spicewood Course?

I don’t fully understand why these issues have occurred, or what is being done to resolve them, but it makes me concerned about what else that Mr. Hutson and the BCCMA are proposing is not truthful, since they do not answer our questions, but do falsely tell others they have done so. I do clearly see that their planned activity wrongly assaults the master plan this community was based on, and takes value from all of the existing homeowners who were promised these properties would remain unbuildable, as they chose to buy their homes. Neighbors want the Spicewood Course reopened to restore value to the community, but it appears that in spite of their words, BCCMA and Mr. Hutson’s actions do not support this goal.
I believe that these facts were key factors in the Zoning & Platting Commission's unanimous rejection of the applicant's rezoning request and heartily support their conclusion.

I respectively request that City Council Members follow suit and reject these rezoning applications.

Don Thorp
November 15, 2013

VIA EMAIL
Sherri Sirwaitis
Planning & Development Review Department
City of Austin
505 Barton Springs, 5th Floor
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: 9405 Fourteen Tee Drive (C14-2013-0095)
    11512 Spicewood Parkway (C14-2013-0096)
    11300 Spicewood Parkway (C14-2013-0097)
    9900 Mandeville Circle (C14-2013-0098)

Dear Ms. Sirwaitis:

We are writing to you on behalf of our client, Balcones Country Club Membership Association (the “Applicant”), to formally request an indefinite postponement of the above-referenced zoning cases.

It is my understanding that some of the opposition has expressed concern as to the motivation of the Balcones Country Club with respect to the pending zoning cases. In addition there is apparently misunderstanding as to the intention of the Club with respect to the Spicewood Golf Course and the areas that for which zoning is being sought.

This office was not involved in the filing of these pending zoning applications and was not involved in any discussions nor the presentation to the Zoning and Platting Commission. Regardless of the outcome it would be beneficial to reach out to the neighborhood and try and communicate accurately what is being sought and for what purposes. In addition, the zoning applications alone will not allow for the properties for which zoning is being sought, to be used for single family homes. The property owner may need to process a restrictive covenant amendment and may need to file and process subdivision applications for the use of the property being zoned.
Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John M. Joseph

cc: Jerry Rusthoven, City of Austin