

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number: C15-2014-0038, 3110 Grandview**  
**Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202**  
**Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, March 4th, 2014**

Beverly Penn

*Your Name (please print)*

☐ I am in favor  
☐ I object

811 W. 31<sup>st</sup> Street

*Your address(es) affected by this application*



2/26/2014

*Date*

*Signature*

Daytime Telephone: 512-656-1824

Comments: It appears to me that the permit was issued in error by city staff and should be rescinded until the owner can comply with all applicable codes and regulations via proper variance procedures.

**If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:**

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Leane Heldenfels  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088

## Ramirez, Diana

---

**From:** Heldenfels, Leane  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 26, 2014 11:31 AM  
**To:** Ramirez, Diana  
**Subject:** FW: Regarding case C15-2014-0038

Diana – can you include the message below in the Board’s packet of information for Grandview.

Thanks –  
Leane

**From:** sofia martinez [mailto:~~martinez.sofia@gmail.com~~]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 26, 2014 8:11 AM  
**To:** Heldenfels, Leane  
**Subject:** Regarding case C15-2014-0038

**Case Number:** C15-2014-0038

<b>Applicant:</b>	Betsy Greenberg, (512)477-1288
<b>Owner:</b>	Bernie Reingold
<b>Address:</b>	3110 GRANDVIEW ST, Lot: 76 Block: 2 Subdivision: OAKWOOD 1903

Dear Ms. Heldenfels,

I live in the Heritage Neighborhood on W. 30th street, near the proposed building on Grandview. The permit that was issued is particularly troubling because in the recent debate about reducing occupancy rates, we were told repeatedly that if things were happening that harmed the neighborhood, we should work within the system. But that is hard when the system doesn't always follow its own rules.

I oppose the building permit. I would like the Board of Adjustments to rule on these questions:

1. Is the front of the lot determined by the orientation of the house or the driveway? Staff said the driveway and therefore allowed the applicant to start the McMansion tents in the back, on Owen Avenue.

2. If Owen is the front for the purpose of drawing the tents, can Owen switch to being considered the rear for the purpose of the FAR covered parking exemption?

3. If it isn't possible to park in the garage because there is too little room to move a car into or out of the garage, does it qualify for the FAR covered parking exemption?

It seems to me that either Owen St. or Grandview St is the front of the house. Houses should not change orientation within a permit application or orientation rules become meaningless.

Thank you for your time,

Sofia