Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
B&C	African	I am in full agreement	I endorse option #2 for B4 regarding the African American Quality of Life		I am in agreement with
Members	American	with the Transition Plan	Commission. I believe the two African American Commissions should remain		Section 4 of the
	Resource	presented by the Boards	separate. I am in favor of this recommendation as it encourages consistent		recommendations. I
	Advisory	and Commissions	dialogue between the two commissions and provide the opportunity for each		believe this is well
	Commission	Transition Team. I	commission to support the other and the African-American population. These		overdue and will be
			commissions should the reflect the City Council and increase to 11 members.		beneficial to all Boards
		benefits both the Boards	I am also in favor of option #2 for B7 regarding the merger of the Commission		and Commissions.
		and Commissions and	on Seniors and the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities. I		Before I was presented
		the incoming City	believe these committees are too unique in the issues they address and should		with the opportunity to
		Council.	not be merged. Lastly, I am in favor of the recommendation C, the creation of		serve I had no knowledge
			the Joint Commissions. If we ever want Austin to be unified across racial and		many of the City's Boards
			cultural lines we must provide the opportunity for all persons to dialogue and		and Commissions
			unite in voice, effort and resources. Instead of competing these commissions		existed. This would be an
			should be collaborating.		excellent step in
					increasing diversity and
					overall awareness. This
					is a fantastic
					recommendation.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
B&C Members	Animal Advisory Commission		Comments on proposed changes to the Animal Advisory Commission D1. 2-1-102 Animal Advisory Commission (A) The Animal Advisory Commission is established as prescribed by Texas Health and Safety Code Chapter 823, (Animal Shelters). The Commission shall consist of five members as described in section B. (B) The Council's Public Health and Human Services Committee shall nominate the following members for Council approval: (1) one licensed veterinarian; (2) one person whose duties include the daily operation of an animal shelter; (3) one animal welfare organization representative; (4) one person recommend by the Travis County Commissioners Court; and (5) one person nominated at-large. Items 1, 2, and 3 are fine as is and are mandated by state law. Item #4 is in violation of this State law. Chapter 823 states "one county or municipal official" will be appointed not "one person recommended by the county." This position must remain as an option for both the city and county to make the appointment. The city is free to cede this appointee to the county if they wish but it must stay consistent with the chapter. And "official" refers to a high ranking county or municipal employee such as an assistant city manager, etc. It does not mean anyone working for the city or county. My biggest concern is with #5 as it reduces the commission from 7 members to 5 and allows only one seat for the general public. The Animal Advisory Commission needs to remain a 7-member body. With four positions mandated by state law it is important that regular citizens have a chance to participate. Offering only one at-large position stifles the participatory nature that this board and all boards should reflect. I am uneasy with the Health and Human Services sub-committee making all the nominations but do not have a viable alternative to suggest at this time. Sincerely, David Lundstedt, Animal Advisory Commission Chair		No issue with this section
B&C Members	Animal Advisory Commission	Yes	yes	yes	yes
Staff	Animal Advisory Commission	It's good and appears to meet the business needs.	Concur	Seems logical	Good ideas here

	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Arts Commission	Downtown Commission.	In section C-2, the Joint Cultural Committee is an old idea I am glad to see in the recommendations. Addressing issues of diversity and inclusion are important. The overall mission of this group should include providing a place for good communication of ideas/goals between different cultural boards so as to encourage working together to enhance the overall sphere of cultural prosperity for Austin.	The Downtown Commission has had non-developmental issues over the years. These include social services, cultural development, access and mobility.	The goal is excellent but the success will depend of the level of future City Council's involvement.
B&C Members	Arts Commission	transitional period will be helpful for the new	Please be aware that Asian American Resource Center (AARC) is the city owned facility. Asian American Cultural Center (AACC) is a privately owned center. Please change all the "AACC" to "AARC" and change Asian American Cultural Center to Asian American Resource Center. There are good suggestions/recommendations in this section.	I do not know enough about the process of any of these work groups. I ask to please be mindful to play a supportive role in assisting the development of our city and be tough on any unethical development.	I agree that members of boards and commissions should reflect the diversity of our city. It should be a COMMITMENT for every board and commission to address issues that reflect on the needs and concerns of our diverse city. It should also be a COMMITMENT to include inputs from members of our diverse city. Recruitment effort should be available, accessible and acceptable to our diverse city.
B&C Members	Arts Commission	Transition Taskforce, I	As a member of the Transition Taskforce, I support Section 2 of the recommendations. In the portions where two options are proposed (items B4-B7), I support the merger of the commissions.	As a member of the Transition Taskforce, I support Section 3 of the recommendations.	As a member of the Transition Taskforce, as well as a member of the Taskforce's Diversity and Recruitment Work Group, I support Section 4 of the recommendations.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
B&C Members	Asian American Quality of Life Advisory Commission		I recommend that with regards to the Asian American Quality of Life Commission that it be left the way it currently exists under Chapter 2-1. I'm in agreement with the justification that by having a joint forum, all aspects of quality of life for the Asian American community can have a higher visibility and a unified voice before City Council. Given the rich diversity of Austin's growing Asian community expanding the number of volunteers representing the community will be invaluable. I would recommend splitting the Seniors and People with Disabilities Senior Quality of Life Commissions. Although there is some overlap, I believe there are also unique issues regarding quality of life for both groups. I am in support of establishing a joint cultural and inclusion community to foster more collaboration between groups. Under Joint Cultural Committee there is a typo - The Asian American 'Cultural' Center is a private facility. I believe the writers meant to include the Asian American Resource Center.		I applaud the city for striving to ensure our commissions and boards make-up reflects the rich diversity of our community. In representing immigrant populations, I would recommend more opportunities for individuals to learn more about city government and the function of boards and commissions (like a 101 intro).
B&C Members	Asian American Quality of Life Advisory Commission	I think this is a good idea for transition. Is item #4 an existing rule for B&C "Majority of appointed members shall constitute a quorum"? Does that mean that vacancies do not count in calculating a quorum?	I think a lot of good thought went into making these recommendations. They all make sense, although it did little to reduce the total number of Commissions. That may not be a bad thing, but some budget should be put into providing sufficient funding to staff all these Commissions. Most staff provide Commission support as "extra duties". C6 - Intergovernmental Commission seems to be missing Travis County, although it does include CAPCOG.	Recommendations make sense.	Good outreach activities. I think it could go a step further by documenting the demographics of appointed members so Council could take that into consideration when making other appointments (age, geographic area, culture/ethnicity, income, etc). I don't know how realistic this is, though.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
B&C	Asian	Agree			
Members	American				
	Quality of Life				
	Advisory				
	Commission				
B&C	Asian		I do not think it's appropriate to have a "Senior and Disabled" joint category. It		
Members	American		reflects unhelpful thinking about each group.		
	Quality of Life				
	Advisory				
	Commission				

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
	Asian American Quality of Life Advisory Commission	None.	I wholeheartedly support Option 1 for the Asian American Quality of Life Commission under Chapter 2-1 sub point B5. I believe the structure if the current AAQOLC adequately provides channels to address cultural content issues as well as quality of life issues via current approved goals, committees and working groups within this Commission. I also support the creation of both a Joint Cultural Committee and a Joint Inclusion Committee, both to include representation from other Commissions addressing issues of cultural issues, diversity issues and inclusion issues, respectively.	Ensure interests if those from lower SES and traditionally disenfranchised communities are representing in zoning and planning issues, as members from this segment our our community are too often displaced or ignored when issues of planning and zoning are discussed. A key way to ensure that these voices are heard is to create working groups aimed at soliciting feedback and testimony from these traditionally underrepresented communities.	I recommend that all Commissions create Working Groups with the goal of community outreach to traditionally disenfranchised and underrepresented members of the community, so that there needs and feedback are solicited as it pertains to the goals of that respective Commission. I recommend that all Commissions discuss the possibility of mandating online cultural sensitivity and education training modules be completed for all Commission members (i.e. To be incorporated into the already existent modules required to be completed like the online Open Meeting Act training module) on a yearly basis as most colleges, universities, Fortune 500 companies, etc. require if their employees.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Commission Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities		I wholeheartedly support these recommendations: Option 2: Leave the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities and the Commission on Seniors as two separate commissions. JUSTIFICATION: While many common issues such as healthcare, fixed income, transportation, housing, etc. exist, the customers served by the two commissions are demographically different. MEMBERSHIP: 11 members for each commission appointed by the City Council and the two commissions should be required to hold a joint meeting quarterly to discuss common issues.		Diversity is a good thing. Diversity makes us stronger and more flexible. I support any and all efforts to increase diversity throughout boards and commissions through the use of technology and other tools.
B&C Members	Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities	I think the transitional period is well thought through and essential for a continuous workflow for the current B&C's.	Purely on the "changes to membership", I think we must keep in mind that members are serving on behest of a nominator as well as the City Council. This is an honor that comes with responsibility which members agree to take in order to pursue the mission whichever B&C. Attendance, participation and even research are essential to serving. Adherence to our trainings, to decorum are a must as is our, what I view as Duty to support ones' own B&C and the City Council decisions - regardless if we are for or opposed of any action.	We sometimes to weigh in zoning related issues; specifically on accessibility. I believe our Commission has an appropriate process flow to conveying our views.	After having attended both feedback sessions to the City Council work group, I am more in favor of the notion that expertise trumps diversity. Reason being that procurement of diversity may not be, in some cases, the most valuable of input. I do state this with trepidation as I am personally a strong advocate of CCDI in our safety nets. IF all else is equal and expertise can be garnished from an appropriate diversity perspective, that would be my preference.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
B&C Members		Transition period is a great idea. Better inform current B&C members that they are being asked to extend their commitment into 2015. Allowing time for the new Council to appoint B&C volunteers is sensible. Provide suggestions for Commissions to continue operating during the transition period election of officers, replacement of	The new commissions are a good idea but will present challenges for recruiting and maintaining membership, not to mention the challenge for staff liaisons to figure out their role in these new groups. Recommended mergers are well thought-out. Groups with the recommendation to have quarterly meetings should probably look more realistically at bi-annual or annual meetings.	Excellent recommendations if the volunteers recruited can keep up the pace. Very aggressive changes!	Much needed recommendations, although it has always been a challenge to recruit volunteers from certain groups.
		members who discontinue service, etc.			
B&C	Austin Music	It sounds like a good			
Members	Commission	plan to me			

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
B&C	Austin Music		I think the Music Commission stuff looks good to me. One question I have, and		I think it should up to the
Members	Commission		I think other commissions will have a smiliar question: Once the switch to 10-1		Staff liason to each
		most Music Commission	happens, will non-mayoral Councilmembers ONLY be able to select people		commission to try and
		members would go	who live in their District as their Commissioner? Or can they select from		facilitate diversity. For
		along with it. Also, if you	Citywide?		Music Commission not
		are asking, I think the			only does that mean socio-
		rec for Music COmmish			economic diverstiy, but
		to go from 7 to 11			also members of different
		members makes total			groups ie: venues, festival
		sense of course.			producers, entrtainment
					attorneys, managers,
					musicians, etc etc. If the
					staff liason and/or Clty
					Clerk's office can keep a
					running list of potential
					commissioners who might
					do a good job AND those
					who have expressed
					interest, it would give
					more options to
					Councilmembers who do
					not know who might be
					appropriate to appoint.
					1
Staff	Austin Music	it is unclear if current	I think the Task Force had some good ideas and missed the mark on others.	no comment	the recruitment should be
Stall	Commission	commissioners with	The joint cultural committee they recommend can already be achieved, would	Ino comment	about talent. Talent by
	Commission	available time left to	have liked to have seen a recommendation that clearly asked most boards to		nature is already diverse.
		serve are eligible to	meet every other month instead of every month with low turnout and weak		staff liaisons should
		reapply to the	agendas. The Music Commission went to this model and have been much		already be more proactive
		commission	more productive than any other year. or at least the last 9 years that I've been		in recruiting a diverse
			involved.		talent pool and not just
					recruit someone because
					they fit quota.

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Board of Adjustment	I like the proposed representative transition team idea.	no problem with the proposed board consolidations		Good ideas. Perhaps also have Board members and City Staff schedule presentations and recruitment pitches at Neighborhood association meetings.
Staff	Board of Adjustment	This Work Group may also need to provide recommendations on potential updates to individual Board Bylaws, Guidelines, Rules as some may conflict with changes reccomended to 2-1		·	In addition to making reccomendations on use of technology and other tools, maybe the group could explore adding use of marketing techniques

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C	Commission				
	Bond	I have nothing to add	All else seems straight forward to me.	It looks like the Bond Oversight Committee is being	Meeting schedules and
Members	Oversight	regarding Section 1. I		dissolved and absorbed into other commissions.	participant education on
	Committee	am happy to extend my		However I haven't heard that from anyone in person	the importance/purpose of
		service through the		including at our last meeting less than a week ago.	their commission seem to
		transition period.		Am I reading an old document?	be the main reason for
					limited and potentially
					less diverse boards.
					Additionally, with newly
					botched ethics reviews
					against
					Board/Commission
					members, many existing
					as well as potentially new
					board members will be
					less likely to get involved.
					Finally, the public simply
					doesn't understand the
					importance and role of
					commissions or that they
					are seats for "everyone"
					to occupy. Quite often I
					hear people in the public
					say things like "you mean
					all those decisions were
					left up to a group of
					'volunteers'? Hardly
					seems like a good
					decision." and "oh, you
					have to really *know*
					someone on the inside to
					get one of those seats of
					a board, they're not for
					*regular* people."

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Building and Fire Code Board of Appeals		It's not clear from this survey what is being asked, but I have no comment (except of course, to say, "no comment")	It's not clear from this survey what is being asked, but I have no comment (except of course, to say, "no comment")	It's not clear from this survey what is being asked, but I have no comment (except of course, to say, "no comment")
B&C Members	Building and Fire Code Board of Appeals	help the transition go	Do not believe the combining of any boards should dilute the the number of subject matter experts. Oppose reducing the number of licensed professionals on technical boards	Not a subject matter expert in this area. The city needs to streamline their process on just about anything involving the public. Streamlining should not mean less care and consideration to given to planning and zoning issues.	A board or commission best represents the diversity of the population it serves. It expanding it's diversity requires the use of technology then it would serve a good purpose. Diversity for diversity sake will serve no one. Hopefully having a larger talent pool could make the selection of candidate are more competitive process resulting in better qualified members
B&C Members	Building and Standards Commission	It's ok.	It's ok.	I don't have enough knowledge of the case load, or what the 22 member board will look like to make comments at this time.	

	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Building and Standards Commission		expertise.	Most of the recommendations seem reasonable. The proposal for the structure of the PC and the PAZ seem very complex, but they could work. The issue of maintaining coordination and communication among the entities bothers me. The part of this report that bothers me most is that for the Building and Standards Commission. I currently serve on this commission and there currently is simply not a need for the proposed 2 panels. We are currently functioning efficiently and effectively with the one panel meeting once a month. We have discussed a division of work that could be assigned to two separate panels, but haven't found such a plan for division that we think would work for this group at this time. Given the additional inspectors that have recently been added to the City staff, the workload for this Commission could expand. Also, as this work expands we may find it reasonable to separate the Commissions functions around single and multi-family cases, or some types of cases. At that time it would be appropriate to revisit these recommendations, but until that time we would like an exemption from implementing the 2 panel system.	

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Building and Standards Commission	The only concern with section one is the election of B&C officers for a shorter term and then in June, having another election for the same or newly elected officers for the balance of the year. The most competent potential officers may not agree to take the position under those circumstances.	No comments on Section 2	The Building and Standards Commission has discussed the proposal to create 2 panels, on multiple occasions, and has unanimously agreed (and recommended to council) to keep the one panel for the foreseeable future, and revisit this option as its workload develops over time.	No comments on section 4

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
Staff	Building and		Recommendations seem reasonable, but many of these issues are outside my	Most of the recommendations seem reasonable.	Recommendations seem
	Standards	researched and the	expertise.	The proposal for the structure of the PC and the PAZ	reasonable.
	Commission	recommendations sound		seems very complex, but they could work. The issue	
		reasonable.		of maintaining coordination and communication	
				among the entities would be very difficult. The part	
				of this report that bothers me most is that for the	
				Building and Standards Commission. I have been a	
				member of this Commission for over 25 years, and I	
				currently serve as its Chair. My opinion is that there	
				is simply not a need for the proposed 2 panels. We	
				are currently functioning efficiently and effectively	
				with the one panel meeting once a month. We have	
				discussed a division of work that could be assigned	
				to two separate panels, but haven't found such a	
				plan for division that we think would work for this	
				group at this time, nor is there currently a volume of	
				work to warrant such a division. Given the	
				additional inspectors that have recently been added	
				to the City staff, the workload for this Commission	
				could expand in the future, but that has not	
				materialized so far in this budget year. As this work	
				does expand we may find it reasonable to separate	
				the Commission's functions around single and multi-	
				family cases, or some other types of cases. At that	
				time it would be appropriate to revisit these	
				recommendations, but until that time we would like	
				an exemption from implementing the 2 panel system.	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
<b>By</b> : B&C	Commission	The Transition Plan was	I am completing this survey as a representative of the Commission for Women.	I do not feel I am qualified to comment on this	The suggestions in
Members	for Women	•	The Commission for Women is greatly affected by this section, as it faces	section as I am not involved with a board or	Section 4 seems to be a
			elimination. However, I take objection to the plans developed in Section 2.	commission related to planning and zoning issues.	good start. However,
			First, as a commission, we do not feel that the task force was thorough. We		there needs to be a plan
			were only contacted once. There were no follow-ups. No one from the task		to make sure that reach-
			force attended one of our meetings or met with us personally. We do not feel		out extends to all 10
			they conducted the background research necessary to make the		geographic districts.
			recommendations outlined in Section 2 on our commission. More important,		Perhaps plans to hold
			due to this lack of background research, we do not feel the task force knows		forums in each district to
			what our commission does and what it represents. In addition, we feel poor		explain the boards and
			communication hindered this process greatly. The task force never explained		commissions to the public
			how it would make its recommendations or that the questionnaire it sent us		would be a good addition.
			would be the only information it would use. We feel we were not given a voice		The recommendations are
			as a commission throughout the process. Second, I am uncomfortable with		often somewhat passive
			many of the recommendations to combine commissions since most of the		they rely on community
			commissions in question are related to promoting minority voices, such as		outreach through third
			African-Americans, Hispanics, disabled citizens and women, in the City of		party outlets, such as
			Austin. This seems to send the wrong message. And, as stated in my first		council candidates and
			point, I do not know if the task force did the necessary research to make this		local organizations.
			call. Finally, under this section, a seat for a woman would be reserved on the		Perhaps the city could be
			Joint Inclusion Committee. The initial seat would be filled by one		more active and host
			representative from the (former) Commission for Women. However, going		forums of its own to
			forward, no plans are stated for how the representative would be chosen or		promote boards and
			who would chose her. This is very important. If women's voices are limited to		commissions?
			one, there has to be a guarantee that she is the right one for the City of Austin.		
			However, the task force gives no insight into this - nor does it recognize this as		
			an issue. However, as a commission, we do not think one woman can		
			represent or give sufficient voice to the issues facing women as a whole in the		
			City of Austin - and we feel that these issues would be lost on the Joint		

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
			Inclusion Committee. It is important to note that the issues facing women are often the headlines of the day: access to family planning and healthcare; equal pay; discrimination; sexual harassment; domestic violence; and etc. How will these issues be given weight under the new plan? How will they be addressed under the new plan? What will happen if these issues are not addressed by the Joint Inclusion Committee? How can we ensure that they are? Minus the representative for the LGBT community (my committee also feels this is inadequate representation for this minority voice) and the female seat, all voices on the Joint Inclusion Committee would be supported by full commissions and boards. If an issue were neglected by the Joint Inclusion Committee, it could be taken up by the respective commission or board. However, this would not be a possibility for issues related to women under this new plan. As a side note, the committees facing mergers also had an option B, which would allow council not leave them alone. However, the Commission for Women was not given an Option B.		
B&C Members	Commission on Immigrant Affairs	I agree with the recommendations put forth in section 1.	I generally agree with the recommendations put forth. I would choose Option 2 in the case of all the following commissions: African-American Quality of Life Commission, Asian-American Quality of Life Commission, Hispanic/Latino Quality of Life Commission. Under B.7, I would choose option 1 for People with Disabilities and Seniors Quality of Life Commission.		I agree with the recommendations put forth in section 4.

Submitted	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Commission on Seniors	to make sure boards and commissions have members to conduct business	The Austin Mayor's Committee on Disability and the Commission on Seniors should not be merged. While they may have some matters in common the AMCPD places a primary focus on implementing the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act and working on full inclusion for persons with disabilities. The Commission on Seniors is focused on city policies to protect and improve the lives of seniors as they age in place. The two committees have agreed to work together as needed and this is a positive collaberation.	No comment	Efforst should be made to include persons with disabilities and seniors and to do targeted outreach to these communities in a method that is effective as technology approaches may not be appropriate for these groups. Efforts should be made to ensure that these two groups are broadly represented across different boards and commissions to provide additional community perspectives. Participation of seniors and persons with disabilities should not be limited to those commissions that directly work on these populations issues.
B&C Members	Commission on Seniors		I am specifically against the merger of the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities and the Commission on Seniors. Although there are commonalities to the issues the philosophy underlying each is very different. The Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities comes from a platform of Civil Rights. The Commission on Seniors comes from a platform of Health and Human Services. Aging is more of a psycho social services issue.	No Comment	Any time we can increase Diversity in our activities that is a good thing.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
Staff	Commission	Agree	Would like to continue the CoS as is with the added positions. Combining with	certainly having more backup material would speed	Increasing diversity is a
	on Seniors		the Disability people is not a good idea but certainly would want to work with	up the meetings	wonderful goal and would
			them on an as needed basis		entail much more training
					in the use of the City
					Website E-mail and the
					use of electronics as this
					is the only way
					communications are done

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
Staff	Commission	The Commission on	WHEREAS, Austin City Council Resolution No. 20131121-056 directed the	N/A	Commission on Seniors
	on Seniors	Seniors supports the	City Clerk to form a task force of current board and commission members that		supports any efforts by
		Task Force	will recommend a boards and commissions transition plan. WHEREAS, the		the city staff, and
		recommendations for	Boards and Commission Workgroup recommends communication and		volunteers on
		transition, and	collaboration between the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with		commissions to increase
		recommends that the	Disabilities and the Commission on Seniors. Their justification: common		diversity and recruitment
			issues of healthcare, fixed income, transportation, housing, etc. WHEREAS,		through the use of
			they recommend keeping the commissions separate but establishing quarterly		technology and other
		and full participation	joint meetings to more formally exchange information. WHEREAS, the		tools. The Commission
		should some new	Commission on Seniors is a new commission formed at the recommendation		also specifically
			of the Mayor's Task Force on Aging and directed to: (1) advise the council on		encourages the city to
		able to complete	issues related to the senior population in the Austin area; (2) evaluate and		seek seniors and people
		appointments by June	recommend programs, policies, and practices that create a positive impact and		who represent seniors as
		30, 2015. The	reduce the burden on seniors; (3) determine the needs of seniors in the Austin		members of boards
		Commission suggests	community and advise council regarding these needs; and (4) promote the		across all fields of
		allowing existing Board	contributions of seniors to the cultural, economic, and historical value of Austin.		interest. The Commission
		members to continue	WHEREAS, the Commission on Seniors recognizes that, although there may		on Seniors also
		their service until such	be some common issues, there are significant differences in needs and the		encourages diversification
		time as new	policies, strategies, and solutions required for appropriately serving seniors		in board participation by
		1	and people with disabilities. WHEREAS, the Commission on Seniors		incorporating strategies to
		completed to avoid	recognizes the necessity of maintaining a separate structure to carry out the		improve ability for citizens
		quorum issues and	directives of the ordnance creating the Commission on Seniors. WHEREAS,		to participate on
		vacant positions on	the Commission on Seniors understands and supports the value of		Commissions for
		Boards - instead of	collaborating with the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with Disabilities		example, easier access to
		automatically expiring	and recommends scheduling a joint meeting every six months with alternating		attend meetings.
		all members terms on	meeting schedule between the Commission on Seniors and the Austin Mayor's		
		June 30.	Committee for People with Disabilities. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT		
			RESOLVED that the Commission on Seniors opposes merger of the		
			Commission on Seniors and the Austin Mayor's Committee for People with		

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
			Disabilities and instead supports establishing a joint meeting every six months as well as ongoing communication as needed to support collaboration. The Commission on Seniors also recommends that the two commissions meet no more than twice a year and then hold subcommittee meetings more often as needed at the recommendations of the staff liaisons and the Chairs of the two committees. The Commission on Seniors also wanted to point out that remaining an independent commission is important to stay aligned with the commission representation set up by the City Council in the ordnance that established the commission. The members of the Commission on Seniors are currently appointed by the City Council, the Mayor, Area Agency on Aging, Capital Metro, and Travis County.		
Staff	Commission on Veterans Affairs	na	na	NA	
B&C Members	Community Development Commission	Sounds reasonable.	The recommendations to consolidate related boards or commissions seem reasonable. More thought will need to go into defining the membership, mission and purview of new groups like the economic prosperity commission. Also, it seems odd to create an inclusion commission separate from a substantive area. shouldn't all B&Cs deal with inclusion? Separating discussion of the concerns of particular groups from the work of boards dealing with the services or infrastructure affecting them seems to silo them. It also seems odd to have the prosperity commission include a CDC rep and then many reps of low paying industries. perhaps training institutions and programs that foster collaborations between employers and trainers would be better members? these might include Capital IDEA, ACC.	glad to see the recommendations for refocusing these groups on citywide policy issues associated with implementing the new plan.	It is critically important that all new board members receive training on topics like reviewing budgets, running meetings, use of working groups, and communicating with the groups they purportedly represent. Otherwise, inclusion may not be very meaningful.

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:					
By: B&C	Board or Commission Community Development Commission	No comments.	Sections B4 through B7 were not well thought out. Really wish the task force had made a conclusive suggestion and supported a single option. The option 2 under each section was little more of an improvement on the status quo. They missed the boat on B7: senior citizens and persons with disabilities are not the same demographics and forming subcommittees would lessen the voice for one or both of these demogs. I hope the final resolution was to retain both commissions!	Not sure that the suggestion to have members serve on two commissions is a great idea as it dilutes the time spent and places more responsibility on the	
B&C Members	Community Development Commission	I have not seen the Transition Plan.	I have not seen the Transition Plan.	I have not seen the Transition Plan.	I have not seen the Transition Plan.

	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: Staff	Community Development Commission	No specific feedback.	No specific feedback.	Where possible, Boards should periodically receive training from the appropriate entity (Law, OCC) so that they remain within their purvey and do not step outside the boundaries of their charge.	The Imagine Austin listserve offers an excellent resource for recruitment efforts with contacts from recently engaged citizens.
B&C Members	Construction Advisory Committee			I feel the construction advisory board is very active, productive and meetings usually run out of time. I do not think the board would be as effective if it had to take on more issues. Code changes and minority business issues are unrelated to the boards current focus on safety, contractor performance measures and prevailing wage issues.	
B&C Members	Construction Advisory Committee	a new or merged board.	As the chair of the Construction Advisory Board, I agree that the suggested merger of our board and the MWBE Board is potentially a good idea. The only obstacle that I can identify if the substantial knowledge of some of the existing members of both commissions. In order to have some continuity on the old/new work plan of the merged board, it may be a good idea to bring in some of the existing members. This at least will assure continuity and available knowledge within the newly formed board. Having assisted to a few MWBE Boards, we deal in two completely different areas of the contraction arena. Making sure that their is a semi-seamless integration of all or most of the (MWBE/Construction Advisory Board) concerns and ideals the newly formed and or merged board should have an opportunity to assist to an original board meeting to be informed of current work plan, issues and or concerns currently facing said board prior to its merger.	As read, the recommendation make sense	I think that the recommendations reflect the current media and is a great idea to promote participation. A partial concern is how to avoid one sided recruitment of members that would divert the core curriculum and goal of the board. I think that adding some sort of screening process to assure a more global participation should also be part of the process.
Staff	Construction Advisory Committee	I think a transitional period would be a great idea			

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
B&C	Downtown	I agree the "new council"	I strongly disagree with merging the DACC Advisory Committee with the Public		Any means to include
Members	Austin		Safety Commission. While I am in favor of reducing the number of Boards and		diversity and gain a better
	Community	understand their role in	Commissions (and Committees, etc), I do not feel that forcing activities		ability to diversify should
	Court Advisory	selecting members of	together will cause 1 + 1 to equal 2, but rather it may likely equal 1/2. The		be considered. However,
	Committee	Board and	DACC is not a punitive Court and should not be considered to be doing		if members are chosen by
		Commissions.	"Safety" work. The Court administers Class C Misdemeanors that are rarely		Council members directly,
			involved in safety, but rather are appropriately referred to as "Quality of Life"		I see no way to ensure
			ordinances. Furthermore, the real mission of the Court has been to remove		diversity at the sake of
			'frequent offenders' from the system which more often than not (actually 95%+		affirmative action.
			of the time) involves case management for people experiencing		
			homelessness. It would be tragic to send the message that homeless		
			individuals are a threat to our safety. If, and that is an italic "if", the DACC is		
			to be merged, it more likely would be beneficial to consider merging with health		
			and human services. However, I recommend the DACC retain it's own		
			purposeful committee. This has been discussed with the Administrator of the		
			DACC, Mr. Valdez, and he has expressed his desire to keep the Committee as		
			and Adviser to the Court. We meet 6 times annually for 1.5 hours and have not		
			been able to end one meeting early due to the large amount of the work we are		
			achieving together. Here is the Resolution we passed regarding this issue:		
			BOARD/COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION Downtown Austin Community		
			Court Advisory Committee Recommendation Regarding the		
			Recommendations of the Transition Taskforce Pertaining to the Downtown		
			Austin Community Court Advisory Committee WHEREAS, The City of Austin		
			Council introduced Council Resolution no. 20131121-056 on November 21,		
			2013 thus creating the Transition Taskforce; and WHEREAS, The Transition		
			Taskforce presented their findings to City Council in a report dated May 1,		
			2014; and WHEREAS, The Transition Taskforce findings made the		
			recommendation that the Downtown Austin Community Court Advisory		
			Committee, among other recommendations, be referred to as a Commission		
			and that this Commission be merged with the Public Safety Commission; and		
			WHEREAS, The Downtown Austin Community Court routinely collaborates		
			with Austin Police Department, Austin Fire Department, Austin Emergency		

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
Dy.			Medical Services, Health and Human Services, Neighborhood Housing and Community Development, Austin Travis County Integral Care as well as several other City and County Agencies and Commissions; and WHEREAS, The Court is involved in non-punitive retribution; and WHEREAS, The Court is involved in rehabilitative treatment and care; and WHEREAS The purpose of the Court is to collaboratively address the quality of life issues of all residents in the downtown Austin community through the swift, creative sentencing of public order offenders; and WHEREAS, The perception of merging the Court with the Public Safety Commission sends the wrong message as to the purpose of the Court and by de facto "criminalizes" quality of life ordinance citations against the purpose of the Court; and WHEREAS, The Court, by it's purpose and mission of non-punitive rehabilitative care, more closely aligns with the Health and Human Services Commission; and WHEREAS, The Administrator of the Court has publically expressed his desire to maintain a stand-alone Commission; NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Downtown Austin Community Court Advisory Committee recommends the change from an Advisory Committee to a Commission; the Commission remain a stand alone Commission as the merger into the Public Safety Commission is not in the best interest of the Court; the Commission, if merged in to any commission is best served under the Health and Human Services Commission. Date of Approval: June 20, 2014 Record of the vote: Unanim.		
B&C Members	Downtown Commission			It is a mistake to replace the interdisciplinary composition of the Downtown Commission with a joint-subcommittee of PC and ZAP members. The downtown's stewardship should benefit from the subject matter expertise in several areas not found in PC and ZAP memberstransportation, parks, art, music, design, and historic preservation. It was thought that the DC was indeed the model for transitioning siloed commissions into crossfunctional bodies.	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission			
Staff	Downtown		Re: Dear Mayor Leffingwell, Mayor Pro Tem Cole,	
	Commission		and Councilmembers: We are writing to express	
			our concerns with the recommendation of the Boards	
			and Commissions Transition Task Force to eliminate	
			the Downtown Commission. The Mission of the	
			Downtown Commission includes the following:	
			(1)advise the city council and city staff regarding	
			policies and projects impacting downtown Austin;	
			(2)serve as stewards for the Downtown Austin Plan,	
			and, as such: a.serve as a sounding board for the	
			city council and staff on the implementation of the	
			Downtown Austin Plan; and b.offer	
			recommendations on amendments to the Downtown	
			Austin Plan as needed. We believe these roles	
			cannot be performed adequately by another	
			commission and offer the following specific points:	
			1. The task force proposes shifting the work of the	
			Downtown Commission over to the Planning	
			Commission. Shifting the Downtown Commission's	
			responsibilities to the Planning Commission will	
			inevitably dilute the time consuming and extensive	
			efforts that are needed to implement the Downtown	
			Austin Plan. The Planning Commissioners already	
			face a very heavy workload. It is hard to see how	
			they could take on the proactive initiatives that the	
			Downtown Commission has been working on in our	
			role of stewards for the Downtown Austin Plan, such	
			as our recent work on activating downtown alleys	
			(e.g., the 20 wide alley project and alley activation	
			next steps), increasing public open space downtown,	
			and facilitating improvements to the Rainey	
			neighborhood. We have concerns that downtown	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
<b>/</b> :	Commission				
				will not get the attention it deserves, as the economic	
				engine of our community and heart of our city, if our	
				workload is shifted to an already busy Planning	
				Commission. 2.Shifting the work of the Downtown	
				Commission over to the Planning Commission is	
				also problematic given the different foci of the two	
				commissions. The work of the Downtown	
				Commission is crucial and about much more than	
				land planning—it extends to the many areas	
				represented by our diverse membership, from arts	
				and music, to transportation, public safety, social	
				services, parks and open space, and more. 3.One	
				of the goals of the Task Force was to break down	
				silos. A unique aspect of the Downtown Commission	
				is that it furthers this goal of breaking down silos. We	
				have representatives from many different	
				backgrounds including designated representatives	
				from the Parks and Recreation Board, the Music	
				Commission, the Arts Commission, the Historic	
				Landmark Commission, the Planning Commission,	
				the Urban Transportation Commission, and the	
				Downtown Austin Community Court Advisory	
				Committee. As a result, in tackling issues such as	
				implementation of the Downtown Austin Plan, we are	
				able to do so in a manner that breaks down silos and	
				bridges communications across different stakeholder	
				groups. Shifting the work of the Downtown	
				Commission over to the Planning Commission will	
				do nothing to break down silos. With a primary	
				mission of serving as stewards of the Downtown	
				Austin Plan, the Downtown Commissioners are	
				taking a leadership role in leading the city towards	
				taking a leadership role in leading the city towards	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
				implementing the many complex parts of the plan,	
				from enhancing access to public open spaces, to	
				making downtown more family-friendly, to creating	
				greater pedestrian mobility. We hope you will	
				continue to entrust the Downtown Commission to	
				provide this service to the City of Austin. Sincerely,	
				Austin Downtown Commission	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C	Commission				
B&C	Electric Board	I have no problem with	No comments.	As a member of the Electric Board, I am very much	No comment.
Members		the recommendations in		opposed to the recommendation by the Boards and	
		this section.		Commissions Transition Task Force to consolidate	
				the Electric Board, the Building & Fire Code of	
				Appeals, and the Mechanical, Plumbing, and Solar	
				Code into a new Life Safety Board of Appeals. No	
				one on the Electric Board even knew about the	
				Boards and Commissions Transition Task Force	
				until after it had presented its recommendations to	
				the City Council in May of this year. The	
				recommended make-up of the proposed Life Safety	
				Board of Appeals would severely limit the voices of	
				the stakeholders in electrical construction in this city.	
				With this proposed Life Safety Board, the expertise	
				in the various fields represented would be greatly	
				diluted. While I understand that some of us on the	
				Electric Board were notified of the formation of the	
				Transition Task Force through our BC emails, we	
				still did not know of its existence. I know that I	
				receive my correspondences from staff about	
				upcoming Board meetings through my personal	
				email, so I have no reason to check my BC email. I	
				am not trying to blame anyone or find fault in	
				anyone's actions. I am just pointing out that the	
				Transition Task Force recommendation was made	
				with no input from the Electric Board, and that this is	
				unacceptable for whatever reason. The	
				recommended structure of the proposed Life Safety	
				Board of Appeals contains a very small slice of the	
				electrical construction sector's stakeholder	
				representatives. There is no electrical engineer, no	
				electrical contractor, no journeyman electrician, no	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission			worker representative, and no citizen representation at all. The differing fields of expertise in the task force's recommendation could pose difficulties and prove to be unwieldy. This proposal is asking master electricians to make decisions concerning structural engineering, master plumbers to make decisions concerning architecture, and residential/commercial builders/contractors to make decisions concerning HVAC. For the reasons listed above, I urge rejection of the Boards and Commissions Transition Task Force's recommendation to consolidate the Building and Fire Code Board of Appeals, the Electric Board, and the Mechanical, Plumbing, and Solar Board into a new Life Safety Board of Appeals. Furthermore, I urge adoption of the Electric Board's July 30th, 2014 recommendation to increase the 7-member Electric Board to an 11-member Board. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.	
B&C Members	Electric Board	Simultaneous expiration of all Board Member terms on July 15th may lead to discontinuity of Board business.	Agree with assessing Start, Stop, Continue Approach	No comment	Good to use Social Media and other means to reach out and broaden awareness among constituents.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
Staff	Electric Board			The Electric Board discussed the complexity of the	
				Electrical code and the technical codes, and the	
				current board structure provides a broad spectrum of	
				the industry in Austin. The 3 technical boards are the	
				only boards with construction expertise. All other	
				boards focus on zoning issues. The Electric Board	
				made a motion to not support the consolidation of	
				the three technical boards. The Board also	
				recommends the following composition for the 11	
				member Electric Board. 2 Master Electrician (State	
				of Texas licensed) 2 Journeyman Electrician (State	
				of Texas licensed) 2 Electrical Contractors	
				(registered in Austin) 2 Electrical Engineers 1 IEC	
				representative 1 IBEW representative 1 Citizen	
B&C	Electric Utility	_	,	Agreed.	Agreed.
Members	Commission	prudent.	commissions and boards as well as between said commissions and city		
			council.		

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
B&C	Electric Utility Commission	I disagree with extending the transition period to June 30, 2015. City staff will begin working on the 2015-2016 budget in February. At least at the EUC, staff has presented budget updates until the budget is released at the end of July. If this is standard city practice, the commissioners who are receiving budget updates will not be voting on the budget in August. The commissioners who are expected to offer advice on the budget will have been on the Commission for one month. I would recommend March 31, 2015 as the transition date.			These are good suggestions about how to reach more citizens in the City of Austin about serving on Commissions. The proposed tutorial for new Commissioners might also include a glossary of commonly used terms and acronyms that are used regularly by the staff of the department, but which may not be familiar to the general public.
B&C Members	Electric Utility Commission	Approve	Approve	No Comment	No Comment

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Commission Electric Utility Commission	Seems like an orderly and fair transition plan.	Agree with recommendations.	No commentnot my area of expertise.	Agree with outreach ideas but support any system where the most qualified applicant is selected.
Staff	Electric Utility Commission		1. The Resource Management Commission (RMC) strongly recommends creation of a Sustainability Commission, not a joint committee. As with the RMC, Council should appoint members with expertise on energy efficiency, renewable energy and water conservation directly to a Sustainability Commission. 2. As a body, a joint Sustainability Committee would have no standing with City Council meaning Council members would have no advisory board or commission to advise them on issues of sustainability. 3. Replacing the RMC with a joint committee would not decrease staff time and support because reports and briefings would have to be made to one or more of the 7 commissions and the committee. 4. There would be no single body acting as the City's stewards of water and energy conservation, clean air and climate protection. 5. At a minimum there is a perceived conflict of interest for a utility commission with advisory responsibility for fiduciary and operational advice that is also supposed to advise on environmental, social and conservation measures that could negatively impact the finances of the utility. 6. In summary, the RMC feels there should be a separate Sustainability Commission, not a joint committee, because sustainability is too important an issue and goal of the City not to have a separate commission appointed by City Council.		
B&C Members	Environmental Board	Great plan!			

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Ethics Review Commission	Board Members will be reappointed. This will help the process. To		the answer to take some of the responsibilities from the Planning Commission which has a major job -	It does take a lot of time to be on a board or commission. This process could be publicized very early, with information available at the candidate forums - a list of the board and commissions with a brief paragraph about their duties. Information on the city website would help, but the website is not exactly user friendly in comparison with the old website. A candidate also needs to be aware of the boards and commissions, just the shear number is mind boggling.
B&C Members	Ethics Review Commission	None	None	None	None

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Human Rights Commission	forward for the city's boards and commissions. The proposed transition period seems longer than necessary. If possible, it might be worth considering shortening it by a month or so and have the new terms start earlier. We should move to the new system as quickly as possible.			These proposed efforts to increase diversity seem reasonable.
B&C Members	Impact Fee Advisory Committee	ok	ok	needed	ok

Submitted Bv:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Library			j '	
B&C Members	Library Commission	Find the plan to be feasible and equitable.	NA	I think the recommendations are satisfactory.	I agree that the city needs to do more outreach for appointments.

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C Members	Library	I think all the transition suggestions are workable. There is always the possibility that board members will resign after their regular term is up.	I think that all "Quality of Life" Commissions should be eliminated. Forget any idea of a Joint Sustainability Committee or Intergovernmental Commission or Economic Prosperity Commission. More of what we have already. VERY IMPORTANT: Joint Inclusion Committee - get different ethnic groups to work TOGETHER!!!	Absolutely incomprehensible. Will only make sense to policy wonks and people totally familiar with planning and development issues. Not a report for lay people.	One of the most important sections of the report. Very important to have as many citizens become aware that they can serve on boards and commissions. One aspect that was not mentioned is the BIG NEED for board and commission training that goes beyond the set of videos that all new B&C members must view. That is an important task for the city clerk's office. Also some mode of recognizing the volunteer effort that goes into B&C service. Members are contributing A BIG CHUNK of time when serving. An annual recognition event should contribute to members' loyalty and willingness to continue to serve.
B&C Members	Library Commission		Joint Commissions would be a good idea to support Complete Communities concept brought forth by the Comprehensive Plan		By diversity I hope that you mean ethnic as well as by income

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
B&C	MBE/WBE and	It seems that placing the	STRONGLY DISAGREE with the recommendation noted in Section B3.	Section 5.6: STRONGLY DISAGREE with this	Suggest to also include
Members	Small		STRONGLY DISAGREE with the merger of the MBE/WBE Small Business	recommendation. Instead, maintain the MBE/WBE	information about
	Business	appointing vacancies	Enterprise Procurement Program Advisory Committee with the Construction	Small Business Enterprise Procurement Program	recruitment efforts and
		during the transitional	Advisory Committee. Each of these committees focuses on key issues	Advisory Committee as a separate	application process as a
	Procurement	period to one person	impacting the City that are unique to the ordinances which established them.	Committee/Commission from the Construction	standard Agenda item on
	Program			Advisory Committee. Maintain current, unique roles	
	Advisory			of each of the MBE/WBE Small Business Enterprise	
	Committee		before each of the current Committees. The MBE/WBE Small Business	Procurement Program Advisory Committee and the	committees/etc from now
			Enterprise Procurement Program Advisory Committee is not limited to	Construction Advisory Committee.	through June 30, 2015, so
		•	construction contracts - the Committee oversees Commodity, Construction,		that all citizens who
		Perhaps there may be	Non-Professional, and Professional Services contracts as they specifically		attend the meetings and
		consideration to adding	relate to the M/WBE ordinance. We have always been proud that our City		all citizens who follow the
		• .	places attention on Small Local Businesses. We have one of the most revered		meetings are made aware
		Chair of a	M/WBE Small Business Programs in the nation. A great contributor of the		of this recruitment effort.
			success of our M/WBE program is the attention that City Council, Staff, and		It is easiest to draw
		experiences these	Citizens place on this program, especially through the MBE/WBE Small		membership from a crowd
		vacancies would solicit	Business Enterprise Procurement Program Advisory Committee. It is		that has an interest in the
			disheartening to think that the leadership of a City that thrives on Local,		general subject of the
		potential member	M/WBE, and Small Businesses would eliminate the unique voice of its key		Committee. *
			economic sector. Honestly, knowing the respect and attention that our current		Appreciate the Task
		Mayor for filling the	City Leadership places on M/WBE and Small Businesses, I find it hard to		Forces time and efforts.
		vacancies.	believe that our current City Leadership would accept to merge these		Hope the input provided in
			Committees. 2. In Section C7 (Economic Prosperity Commission) - if there is a		this survey response is
			feeling to create this new Commission, and if these activities are currently not		sent to the correct
			undertaken by existing Economic Development, M/WBE, or Construction		individuals and the input is considered.
			committees, then consider including Trade Associations as part of the pool of potential members. 3. To remain consistent with the Task Force's		is considered.
			<del> </del>		
			recommendation to name groups as "Commissions," include the MBE/WBE Small Business Enterprise Procurement Program Advisory Committee on the		
			list of Committees who's name would change from "Committee" to		
			"Commission."		

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Ву:	Commission				
B&C Members		the election is sufficient. I believe it is crucial for the new Council to meet	Joining the MBE/WBE Advisory Board with the Construction board at first glance does sound like a good idea however the MBE/WBE is enforcing and strengthening the ordinance so that ALL small businesses can partake in city contracts. We do not only deal with construction but with professional services and commodities. I'm afraid that by joining the 2 committees the purpose of the ordinance will get lost.	If it is the desire of the council to combine the Construction Advisory and the MBE/WBE Advisory Committee then with the description of the new committee there needs to be a strong emphasis on the ordinance.	Hopefully with the new city council, members would select representatives from their own districts who understand the importance of the commissions and have been impacted by the mission of the that commission. I think if the City is planning on promoting recruitment it needs to do it in a place where people are open to accepting this type of information such as a vendor fair but not at "Austin's New Year" Most people are there to spend time with there family and aren't thinking about serving on a board or commission. Handouts that are passed out would (in my opinion) would wind up on the floor.

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members	Mexican American Cultural Center Advisory Board		Leave the Hispanic/Latino Quality of Life Commission and the Emma Barrientos Mexican American Cultural Center as two separate commissions but encourage better communication through the use of joint meetings to discuss common The two are totally different. I do agree on 11 members for each commission appointed by the City Council and the two commissions should be required to hold a joint meeting quarterly to discuss common issues.	By maintaining a regular schedule as the Planning Commission subcommittees have for several years will help sustain effective joint subcommittee work. I agree with this	Each new member of council should be bringing in their knowledge of what's happening in their communities and contribute names of individuals to recruit, technology and other methods are to recruit are great.
B&C Members		All Board members currently serving shall continue to serve through June 30, 2015.	While there is a very slight over lap in the Hispanic/Latino Quality of Life Commission and the Emma Barrientos Mexican American Cultural Center Mission Statements, the primary focus and goals are vastly different. The two commissions should remain separate and independent. Communication may be enhanced by a liaison representative from each of the two commission should attend the other's meetings and report back to their respective commission. Recommend establishment of a joint cultural committee as describe in the Board and Commission Task Force report.	No comment	Boards and Commissions should have diversity not only in the membership, but also in the chair and co-chair position.

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C	Commission				
	Mexican		B3. Municipal Contracts and Construction Commission RECOMMENDATION:		Taken from the B&C Task
Members	American		These two commissions should NOT be merged. The nature of the work of the		Force Report as follows,
	Cultural Center		MBE/WBE Small Business Enterprise Advisory Committee is essential as a		"The group emphasized
	Advisory Board		forum for community issues that have to do with access to business		the belief that a well-
			opportunities with the city of Austin. The current set of members have a broad		functioning city requires
			set of expertise in engineering, construction, banking, contracts and many		citizen engagement, and
			more attributes essential for advising city council on minority owned business		that the boards and
			issues with the added plus that they are minorities and women - a key		commissions should
			characteristic for serving on a board that is directly related to it's mission.		reflect the city's diversity.
			Many great strides have been made to date to improve the quality and policies		The group agreed that it
			impacting the certification program and I fear the advances that have been		will be the City Council's
			made would be lost. There is always a loss of institutional memory when staff		responsibility to pick the
			changes and merging these two commissions would considerably double the		most qualified nominees
			loss of institutional memory with commissioners that are unfamiliar with the		among the applicants."
			ordinance and the program rules that have been refined over the years. The		New requirements should
			MWBE Advisory Commission needs to stand on its own! B4. African American		be added not only for
			Quality of Life Commission RECOMMENDATION: Unifying the African		applicants, but for council
			American Resource Commission with the African American Cultural & Heritage		members as well making
			Facility will NOT necessarily have higher visibility and a unified voice before		appointments for future
			council. It's true, there should be cooperation and common interests among		city of Austin
			both, but this statement is a purely theoretical conclusion based more on		commissions that have a
			hopeful intent that fact. The commission has a much broader mission for their		mission based on cultural
			community and issues to be weighted down by the operations of the AAC&H		ethnicity, especially
			Facility. The facility requires special and focused attention by a set of		cultural centers and
			commissioners that has only the interest of the facility and their community as		facilities. 1.lt is essential
			its first priority and nothing else. A merger of any kind is NOT the right path to		for council members to
			take. Option 2 is a more practical and viable recommendation by establishing		consider appropriate
			a COUNCIL APPOINTED African American Cultural & Heritage Facility		appointments to its
			Commission of 11 members. Holding a joint meeting of both commissions on		cultural centers with
			a quarterly basis with 22 members would only serve to have an unnecessary		minorities that match the
			and chaotic exercise when it would be much simpler for the Chair of the		MISSION of the cultural
			AAC&H Facility Commission to join the AARC on a quarterly basis for a much		center. Where there is

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
			orderly and productive meeting and still fulfill the objective of interacting with		not an obviously intuitive
			each other and avoiding the creation of silos. The African American Cultural &		appointment possible with
			Heritage Facility is currently under the Austin Convention Center and should		an appropriate ethnicity
			be hosted in a newly created city department that hosts all 3 cultural center		that matches the mission
			facilities under one roof. This new department would include the African		of the cultural center, the
			American Cultural & Heritage Facility, the Asian American Resource Center		applicant should have and
			and the Emma S Barrientos Mexican American Cultural Center. B5. Asian		be able to demonstrate
			American Quality of Life Commission RECOMMENDATION: Unifying the		SUBSTANTIAL life
			Asian American Quality of Life Commission with the Asian American Resource		experience and
			Center is NOT a viable option either and will not necessarily add more value		knowledge of the mission
			with higher visibility. The broader role of the AA Quality of Life Commission		of the cultural center
			has a much broader mission to be weighted down by the operations of a		being applied for. It does
			facility. The Resource Center currently does not have a council appointed		little good to appoint
			commission but should have one separate and apart from the AAQLC.		applicants with no prior
			Holding a joint meeting of both commissions on a quarterly basis with 22		experience, knowledge or
			members would only serve to have an unnecessary and chaotic exercise when		practical relationship with
			it would be much simpler for the Chair of the AAC&H Facility Commission to		the mission of the cultural
			join the AARC on a quarterly basis for a much orderly and productive meeting		center other than a
			and still fulfill the objective of interacting with each other and avoiding the		passing interest.
			creation of silos. The Asian American Resource Center is currently under		Appointments that don't
			Parks and Recreation Department and should be hosted in a newly created		match has the effect of
			city department that hosts all 3 cultural center facilities under one roof. This		undermining the mission
			new department would include the African American Cultural & Heritage		of the cultural center and
			Facility, the Asian American Resource Center and the Emma S Barrientos		unintentionally insulting
			Mexican American Cultural Center. B6. Hispanic/Latino Quality of Life		stakeholders the cultural
			Commission RECOMMENDATION: Unifying the Hispanic/Latino Quality of		center serves with an
			Life Commission with the Emma S Barrientos Mexican American Cultural		insensitive appointment.
			Center will NOT necessarily have higher visibility and a unified voice before		2.There should be at
			council. It's true, there should be cooperation and common interests among		least a minimum 5 year
			both, but this statement is a purely theoretical conclusion based more on		requirement for residency
			hopeful intent that fact. The commission has a much broader mission for their		in the city limits of Austin
			community and to broad a set of issues to be weighted down by the operations		before an individual

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
			of the ESBMACC which has been in operations for almost 7 years. The facility		applicant is qualified to
			requires special and focused attention by a set of commissioners that has only		serve on a cultural center
			the interest of the facility and their community as its first priority and nothing		commission on top of
			else. This particular project has a 40 year history and is too much of a major		demonstrable knowledge
			institution to be merged with another. The ESBMACC is presently a fully		and experience. Anything
			functioning and ongoing facility that would demand too much time of the HQL		less has the same effect
			Commission to address the balance of their mission. A merger of any kind is		of trivializing an
			NOT the right path to take. Both commissions should be kept as is going		appointment to a cultural
			forward. Holding a joint meeting of both commissions on a quarterly basis with		center commission and
			22 members would only serve to have an unnecessary and chaotic exercise		insulting stakeholders
			when it would be much simpler for the Chair of the ESBMACC Commission to		with an insensitive
			join the H/LQLRAC on a quarterly basis for a much orderly and productive		appointment.
			meeting and still fulfill the objective of interacting with each other and avoiding		3.Appointments from
			the creation of silos. To date, the chairs of each commission have already		associations in the
			been working together, visiting each other's commission meetings and		immediate neighborhood
			addressing common issues for support without any formal requirement to meet		adjacent to a cultural
			on a quarterly basis. The ESBMACC advisory board has recommended that		center should not be
			interaction between the two commissions be on a more flexible as needed		sought, solicited or
			basis in case more frequent than quarterly interaction becomes necessary.		accepted by council
			The ESBMACC is currently under Parks and Recreation Department and		members seeking to fill a
			should be hosted in a newly created city department that hosts all 3 cultural		vacancy on a cultural
			center facilities under one roof. This new department would include the		center board. It sends the
			African American Cultural & Heritage Facility, the Asian American Resource		wrong culturally
			Center and the Emma S Barrientos Mexican American Cultural Center. The		insensitive message to
			ESBMACC Board and the community have expressed overwhelming support		stakeholders by setting a
			against the idea of any merger. C7. Economic Prosperity Commission		precedent for designating
			RECOMMENDATION It's odd that this proposed commission that deals with		privileged associations a
			construction would include chambers of commerce and exclude the 3 minority		seat on a cultural center
			contractors associations, namely the Austin Black Contractors Association,		commission when
			Asian Contractor Association and the US Hispanic Contractors Association of		stakeholders of cultural
			Austin and the current MWBE Commission that deals with construction far		centers did not have any
			more often than the Community Development Commission and the Urban		input on selection of an

ıbmitted ':	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
-			Transportation Commission. These 4 construction entities should most		association or any otners
			definitely be included in the Economic Prosperity Commission.		to occupy a seat on the
					cultural center
					commission. 4.Council
					members should not
					appoint vacancies to a
					commission after
					declaring to run for re-
					election to office to avoid
					the perception of
					pandering for votes,
					especially from an
					adjacent association that
					represents a potential
					voting block that would
					benefit a re-election
					campaign. These kind o
					appointments have the
					effect of trivializing the
					mission and importance
					of the cultural center to it
					community of
					stakeholders while using
					the cultural center for the
					political purposes.

	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Members		effective. There is enough time allowed for the transition to get new members trained and	The recommendation regarding the MACC has two options. The first option which is to combine it with another commission with a subcommittee specific to the MACC seems inefficient. The members who would sit on the subcommittee would be expected to attend 2 sets of formal meetings (commission and subcommittee) most likely monthly. The second option where the 2 commissions stay intact with increased communication including a quarterly joint meeting is better use of members time.		
B&C Members	Planning Commission			I am concerned about the downtown commission being absorbed by the joint sub-committee. I am not familiar with their work load. However, it appears that there would be a level of expertise required of downtown planning that would be difficult to achieve within a more generalist group. I also worry about having a disconnect between planning and zoning through the redistribution of tasks between ZAP and PC.	

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C	Commission				
	Public Safety		As the Chair of the Austin Public Safety Commission (PSC), I strongly		
Members	Commission		recommend against the proposal in the City of Austin Board and Commission		
			Transition Taskforce report to consolidate the PSC and the Downtown Austin		
			Community Court Advisory Committee (CCAC). The PSC is the advisory body		
			on all budgetary and policy matters concerning public safety, including		
			police/crime, fire, and EMS issues. This broad mandate results in very full		
			agendas and we typically have matters scheduled out months ahead of time. If		
			we move to an 11-member Commission, then it would be expected that the		
			number of issues raised by the commissioners will increase by half again.		
			Moreover, a district-based model of representation will likely result in local		
			crime issues becoming increasingly important. There is no feasible way the		
			PSC can manage the addition of CCAC responsibilities on top of its current		
			and anticipated workload. The justification for consolidation given in the		
			Taskforce report is: "The combined groups address issues pertaining to		
			emergency services and public safety." This logic is flawed. The CCAC is not		
			concerned with either emergency services or with public safety. Its		
			membership is focused on downtown representatives. And there is a		
			fundamental difference between policing and the judicial system that requires		
			separation. Combining a court advisory committee with a policing commission		
			would place the City of Austin in a problematic and perhaps legally untenable		
			situation, one that may undermine the CCAC's perception of independence.		
			Finally, the PSC was not consulted on the viability of this proposal, despite		
			written communication and a lengthy telephone conversation between the		
			transition Taskforce and the PSC.		
Staff	Public Safety	The transitional period	Given the perpetually tight agenda for the Public Safety Commission, I don't	I don't have any recommended changes or edits to	I am in favor of a robust
	Commission	seems appropriate.	see how combining it with another commission will gain any efficiency.	section 3.	recruitment effort through
					the use of technology.
	<u> </u>				
Staff	Public Safety	Good Plan.			
	Commission				

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C	Residential Design and Compatibility Commission	I think it's a valid recommendation.		As far as the RDCC, I think reassigning members to the Design Commission is a good idea. From what I can tell, most builders, if they are upstanding, know the rules of the McMansion ordinance and do their best to comply. Most of the issues we are asked to deal with are many times design issues and can be resolved with a little ingenuity if they try. Otherwise we either postpone or do not pass the applicant's request and they go to BOJ which is where they should have started.	
B&C Members	Residential Design and Compatibility Commission		I would hope that one would proceed carefully with the reconfiguration of any existing boards or any new joint commissions to make sure that their composition, purpose, and tasks are ell suited and coordinated. Adequate training is essential.	?	?
B&C Members	Resource Management Commission	The transition period seems reasonable.	The description of the future boards and commissions is unclear. The report refers to a Sustainability Committee and a Sustainability Commission. Are these the same or different? What is its the role? Having this be more clear is needed before I comment further.	No comment at this time.	Provide an alternative application for those who might prefer to apply on paper.

Good ideas. I think that
the one important aspect
that is the effective use of
B&C members. To be
effective, B&C members
need to be active
participants and need to
understand the time
commitment and
expectations of the
position. I think people
would be more willing to
commit to active
participation if they felt
that their voices were
heard. Too often council
ignores the
recommendations of the
commissions instead
acting on the
recommendations of
special interest groups
and advocates. It is
rather discouraging and
de-motivating to put the
time and effort into the
position only to be
ignored. If potential
commissioners felt that
their voice would be heard
and valued serving on a
B&C may be more

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
Dy.	Commission				attractive. If the joint committee recommendations are implemented, the burden of those commissioners participating is significant. For the sustainability position, commissioners could potentially have to interact with multiple departmenets as well as attend 2 meetings a months. This would be a significant time commitment. The position is rewarding and allows valuable insight into the workings of city government both on the political side as well as the operational side. That is a sellling point.
B&C Members	Robert Mueller Municipal Airport Plan Implementatio n Advisory Commission	I agree with the proposed transition period ending in June 2015.	The proposals for mergers of some existing commissions make sense.	I have no strong opinions regarding the proposed changes to the planning commission.	While not intentional, the city should find more effective ways to reverse the lack of diversity on city boards and commissions. It appears the pool of talent use to select board members is far to shallow for a city of this size and diversity.

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
	Municipal Airport Plan	I agree that there should be a transitional period	These items do not concern the commission I am serving on.	This section does not pertain to the commission I am serving on.	I agree
	Implementatio n Advisory Commission				
		I see that current			
Members	Municipal	Commission & Board			
	Airport Plan	memberships, or at least			
	Implementatio	mine, will terminate on			
	n Advisory	December 15, 2014.			
	Commission	While the 10/1 City Council will be elected			
		at that time, I'm not sure			
		that adequate time has			
		been allowed for			
		adequate training &			
		education of Board &			
		Commission issues. I			
		realize the new City			
		Council Members will			
		want to make their			
		appointments ASAP, but			
		a month or two more			
		would seem to have			
		been prudent.			
	Urban Forestry	agree with	Agree with recommendations	agree with recommendations	
Members	Board	recommendations.			

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C	Commission				
	Urban Forestry	I support the transition	I'm currently the Chair of the Urban Forestry Board (UFB), and I support the	I support these recommendations because I feel they	
Members	Board	recommendations	recommended consolidation of the UFB and Environmental Board into the	are practical and will lead to greater operational	recommendations for
		because I feel that a six-	Environmental Commission. The current role of the UFB is well served with a	efficiencies.	diversity and recruitment.
		month transition is	makeup of seven members, but I feel that eleven members would be too much		Under the New Council
		practical, along with the	for the current UFB purview of public trees and other vegetation. The		Member Orientation
		provisions for interim	recommended consolidation would appropriately put the purview of both public		portion, perhaps add
		appointments to fill	and private trees under one umbrella. I have some concern about the		something along the lines
		vacancies that may	possibility of the new Environmental Commission becoming overwhelmed with		of: "It is the prerogative of
		arise.	work that urban forestry issues may unintentionally receive lower priority. I		the Council Member to
			recommend that a permanent Urban Forestry Committee be created under the		hire the individual that
			Environmental Commission to ensure that appropriate regular attention is		he/she feels is most
			given to this vital role. This Urban Forestry Committee could possibly meet		qualified. When filling a
			monthly but no less than bi-monthly. Special attention should be given by this		single vacancy, it would
			committee to implementation of Austin's Urban Forest Plan that was passed by		help very much for the
			the City Council in March 2014 after roughly three years of development work		Council Member to review
			by the UFB and City staff. It is impossible to predict in advance if the urban		the current makeup of the
			forestry workload will be too much for the Environmental Commission. One		board/commission before
			recommendation I have to address this is to require that the Environmental		making a final selection."
			Commission annually review the urban forestry workload to see if a		This probably occurs
			recommendation should be made to the City Council about separating out the		most of the time anyway,
			function into a single urban forestry board/commission. It very well could be		but the intent here is to
			that the answer will be "no" to this option each year, but at least this annual		emphasize the
			review would be required as part of a regular meeting agenda for the public to		importance of this review
			provide input. Ideally, a currently practicing professional arborist would always		before making a final
			serve on the Environmental Commission and specifically on the Urban		selection. My concern is
			Forestry Committee. It would help if other experienced arboriculture experts		that some Council
			with practical field knowledge would also serve. I know it can be hard to find		Members under the new
			willing candidates when vacancies arise, but request that this preferred		system may place higher
			experience be noted when appointments are being considered by Council		priority on appointees
			Members.		from their own district,
					which will not necessarily
					lead to an increase in

Submitted By:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
					diversity. For example, it would be ideal for at least one currently practicing professional arborist to serve on a board/commission that has purview over urban forestry. If one was currently not serving, the Council Member should weigh that factor heavily in their appointment selection.
Staff	Urban Forestry Board				
B&C Members	Urban Renewal Board	training seems practical and appropriate.	I like the consistency of having all workgroups named commissions. The mergers will effectively consolidate efforts to tackle issues in the same feeder streams. I think even the minority community and cultural heritage missions would best be consolidated, particularly with the expanded membership from 7 to 11.	Planning Commission and Zoning & Platting Commission reassignment of duties to draw sharper focus on specific land development activities is good use of expertise. Realistic reassignment of Residential Design to Design Commission. I approve leaving Landmark Commission and Board of Adjustment duties intact.	Include outreach efforts to minority radio stations and local newspapers such as KAZI, and The Villager Newspaper. Also reach out through community organizations such as the Austin Black Chamber of Commerce.
Staff	Urban Transportation Commission	I feel the transitional period extends too long.	None	none	
B&C Members	Waterfront Planning Advisory Board	No comment	No comment	I (obviously) think Waterfront Planning Advisory Board handles a large area of 16 subdistricts and complex issues, therefore should be allowed to continue as a separate Board. It would be nice if we were more than advisory, so the decisions that are made have more weight.	no comment

	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By: B&C	Commission				
	Waterfront	good			
Members	Planning				
	Advisory Board				
B&C	Zero Waste				Great recommendations,
Members	Advisory				would like to see more
	Commission				diversity on the boards.
B&C	Zero Waste	I think they did a good		I can see there reasoning.	yes
Members	Advisory	job with something so			
	Commission	difficult.			
B&C	Zero Waste	I am in agreement with	I am in support of all recommendations relevant to ZWAC.	I support all recommendations in Section 3.	I strongly support the
Members	Advisory	all recommendations in			recommendations in
	Commission	Section 1.			Section 4 and would
					support even more
					aggressive goals and
					efforts to expand diversity
					among boards and
					commissions
D00	17 10/				
B&C	Zero Waste	I agree	I agree	I agree. However, I would not be averse to	I agree
Members	Advisory			combining the ZAP and Planning Commission into	
	Commission			one large group and then have that large group	
				assign members to subcommittees for specialty	
01-#	7 \\/	Th	There were no chications to this postion of the Transistica Discount of the	work.	The second of the still of
Staff	Zero Waste	There were no	There were no objections to this section of the Transistion Plan and all current	This was not applicable to the ZWAC.	There were no objections to this section of the
		, ,	members of the Zero Waste Advisory Commission were in support of the		Transistion Plan and all
	Commission	and all current members	recommendations as contained in the report.		
		of the Zero Waste			current members of the
					Zero Waste Advisory Commission were in
		Advisory Commission			
		were in support of the recommendations as			support of the recommendations as
		contained in the report.			contained in the report.
		contained in the report.			contained in the report.
					1

Submitted Bv:	Board or Commission	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
B&C Members  B&C Members  Web Collector	Commission  Zoning and Platting Commission  Zoning and Platting Commission	Well-written: concise and easy to understand.  I am generally supportive of this plan  MP&S Board supports the transition plan.	Well-thought out plan for removal, consolidation and creation/reassignment. While I am not familiar with the workings of all the B&Cs in Section 2, I could follow the logic of the recommendation.  Looks reasonable.	I agree with the recommendations for Planning and ZAP Commissions. However, I am concerned with the workload of ZAP and believe there should be 13 members, same as Planning. Agree with the other B&C recommendations.  I am particularly supportive of the rebalancing of work and collaboration between ZAP and Planning Commission.  The Mechanical Plumbing & Solar Board discussed the consolidation would dilute the expertise provided by the 3 current technical boards. The Board does	We have a problem of vacancies on some B&Cs today. I like the outreach plan.  Board recommends removing the Austin residency requirement for
				not support the consolidation of the three technical boards and added that each board has specialized expertise for the different industries. The Board recommends continuing to include the Texas Gas Utility as a board member to allow collaboration, and to consider a 2/3 majority vote especially for code adoption ordinances. The Board made a motion to modify the MP&S Board composition to create 11 members based on the following list. 1 Mechanical/Electric/Plumbing Engineer 3 HVAC Contractors (at least 1 residential Class B license, 2 commercial Class A license) 3 Plumbing Contractors (at least 1 "Responsible" residential and 1 "Responsible" commercial) 1 Representative from the local gas utility 1 Citizen 1 Residential Contractor 1 Solar Contractor	the technical board members, which would improve recruitment of experienced business

Submitted	Board or	Section 1 Feedback	Section 2 Feedback	Section 3 Feedback	Section 4 Feedback
By:	Commission				
		Section I Feedback	I agree that the BFCBOA should be combined with the Electrical board and Mech, Solar and Plmg Board. As a member of the BFCBOA, we rarely meet except during new code review years and the few appeals that make it to our level. Its my understanding that the other 2 boards are similar in there meeting frequency. By combining, this may provide sufficient agenda items that a more regular meeting pattern could be established. These more regular meetings will help members familiarize themselves with one another and understand their areas of expertise. Knowing the members of the board more than just by their name tag is very important on a technical board as it helps each member understand the others views and logic on the issues. For instance, the BFCBOA has 3 new members over the last year of which none of them do I know their background and expertise. Not knowing if they are an architect,		Section 4 Feedback
			builder, engineer, etc.makes it difficult for me to understand there argument/comment on any technical subject. As for the make up of the new "life safety" board, it should be comprised with a majority of licensed professionals. It should have 6 licensed individuals FPE, ME, EE, SE, Arch, Arch. The remaining 5 slots could be a firefighter, builder, master plumber, master elec, master plumber.		