

**Recommendation for Council Action – Backup  
Floodplain Variance Request – 7054 Comanche Trail**

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:**

1. PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR BELOW MINIMUM REQUIRED ELEVATION. The proposed building addition will have its finished floor elevation below the City of Austin minimum elevation requirements, which require the finished floor elevation to be at least one foot above the 100-year floodplain elevation. The finished floor elevation of the proposed addition will be at the 100-year floodplain elevation. The finished floor elevation of the existing single family residence is 1.1 feet below the 100-year floodplain.
2. NO SAFE ACCESS. The minimum depth of water at the proposed single family residence will be 1.4 feet during the 100-year flood. The occupants of the building and first responder personnel do not have safe access to the house during a flood event.
3. HARDSHIP CONDITIONS FOR THE PROPERTY DO NOT EXIST. There is currently a residential building on the property. Failure to grant the variance would not result in an exceptional hardship by rendering the lot undevelopable. The property does not have a hardship as defined in the Building Code.

**APPLICABLE CODE AND VARIANCES REQUESTED**

- I. LDC Section 25-12-243, (Local Amendments to the International Residential Code), R322.2.1 Elevation requirements states that: Buildings and structures shall have the lowest floors elevated a minimum of one foot above the design flood elevation.  
  
***VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant requests a variance to International Residential Code section R322.2.1., to allow the finished floor of the proposed building addition to be at the level of the 100-year or design flood elevation (722.0 feet).*
- II. LDC Section 25-12-3, (Local Amendments to the Building Code), Section 1612.4.3 Means of Egress provides that normal access to a building shall be by direct connection with an area that is a minimum of one foot above the design flood elevation.

***VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant requests a variance to Building Code Section 1612.4.3, to allow an addition to a single family residential building to be constructed without normal access, either vehicular or pedestrian, to an area that is a minimum of one foot above the design flood elevation. The majority of the lot is in the 100-year floodplain of Lake Travis. The 100-year floodplain depth at the house is 1.4 feet.*

- III. LDC Section 25-12-3, (Local Amendments to the Building Code), Section G102.3 Nonconforming Uses prohibits expanding, changing, enlarging, or altering the use of a premises in a way which increases its nonconformity.

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** *The applicant requests a variance to Building Code Section G102.3 to allow enlarging the total conditioned area on the property that does not have safe access out of the floodplain.*

- IV. LDC Section 25-7-152 Dedication of Easements and Rights-of-Way requires that the owner of real property proposed to be developed dedicate to the public an easement or right-of-way for a drainage facility, open or enclosed, and stormwater flow to the limits of the 100-year floodplain.

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** *The applicant requests a variance to exclude the footprint of the building from the requirement to dedicate a drainage easement to the full extent of the 100-year floodplain.*

- V. LDC Section 25-7-92 (B) Encroachment on Floodplain Prohibited prohibits encroachment of a building on the 100-year floodplain.

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** *The applicant requests a variance to allow placement of the building addition within the 100-year floodplain of Lake Travis.*

## **PREREQUISITES FOR GRANTING VARIANCES AND FINDINGS:**

Per LDC Section 25-12-3, Technical Codes, Section G105.7 Variances, variances shall only be issued upon consideration of the following prerequisites:

### **PREREQUISITE**

1) A technical showing of good and sufficient cause based on the unique characteristics of the size, configuration or topography of the site.

*Insufficient causes for issuing a variance may include the following:*

- *Less than a drastic depreciation of property.*
- *Convenience of property owner.*
- *Circumstances of owner not land.*
- *To obtain better financial return.*
- *Property similar to others in neighborhood.*
- *Hardship created by owner's own actions.*

2) A determination that failure to grant the

### **FINDING**

1) **CONDITION IS NOT MET.** The applicant has not demonstrated a good and sufficient cause that justifies the construction of an addition that is not elevated one-foot above the 100-year floodplain elevation. In addition, there is no safe access from the home to the street during the time of a flood.

2) **CONDITION IS NOT MET.** The property

variance would result in exceptional hardship by rendering the lot undevelopable;

*The location of the floodplain on the property is a characteristic of the land. Hardship refers to the effect of the floodplain status of the land on its use; it does not refer to personal or financial circumstances of the current owner of the land. In fact financial hardship, inconvenience, aesthetic considerations, physical handicaps, personal preferences or the disapproval of one's neighbors do not qualify as exceptional hardships. The applicant has the burden of proving exceptional hardship. FEMA advises that the reasons for granting floodplain management variances must be substantial and the proof compelling. The claimed hardship must be exceptional, unusual and peculiar to the property involved.*

3) A determination that granting of a variance would not result in increased flood heights, additional threats to public safety, extraordinary public expense, nor create nuisances, cause fraud on or victimization of the public or conflict with existing laws or ordinances.

4) A determination that the variance is the minimum necessary, considering the flood hazard, to afford relief.

*Relief is defined as respite from unnecessary hardship. Unnecessary hardship is defined as:*

- *Loss of all beneficial or productive use.*
- *Deprivation of reasonable return on property.*
- *Deprivation of all or any reasonable use.*
- *Rendering property valueless.*
- *Inability to develop property in compliance with the regulations.*
- *Reasonable use cannot be made consistent with the regulation.*

5) Notification to the applicant in writing over the signature of the building official that the issuance of a variance to construct a structure below the base flood level will result in increased premium rates

currently has an existing single family residence on it. Failure to grant this variance would not render the lot undevelopable.

3) **CONDITION IS NOT MET.** The proposed development does not increase flood heights. The development does increase public safety threat because more occupants could be located in the home without safe access for the occupants and first responders.

4) **CONDITION IS NOT MET.** Increasing the square-footage of the home by building an addition that does not satisfy the elevation requirements is not considered the minimum necessary to afford relief.

5) **CONDITION IS MET.** The finished floor elevation of the proposed addition will not be below the 100-year floodplain elevation.

for flood insurance, and that such construction below the base flood level increases risks to life and property.