SECOND/THIRD READINGS SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C814-2014-0083 – Sunfield PUD

REQUEST:

Approve second and third readings of an ordinance amending City Code Chapter 25-2 by zoning property locally known as 1901 Turnersville Road and the southwest corner of Turnersville Road and South Turnersville Road (Rinard Creek Watershed; Plum Creek Watershed; Onion Creek Watershed) from interim – rural residence (I-RR) district zoning to planned unit development (PUD) district zoning. The Restrictive Covenant is for conditions of the Traffic Impact Analysis.

DISTRICT AREA: 5

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The ordinance and Restrictive Covenant are consistent with City Council action taken on First Reading.

OWNER & APPLICANT: A&M Option 541 LLC and 2428 Partners LLC (Barry S. Villines; Brian P. McGowan)

AGENT: Coats Rose (Pamela Madere)

<u>DATE OF FIRST READING</u>: June 11, 2015, Approved PUD district zoning, on First Reading (11-0).

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: September 10, 2015

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

<u>ASSIGNED STAFF</u>: Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C814-2014-0083 – Sunfield Planned Unit Development

P.C. DATE: December 9, 2014

May 26, 2015

ADDRESS: 1901 Turnersville Road; Southwest corner of Turnersville Road and South Turnersville Road

DISTRICT AREA: 5

OWNERS: A&M Option 541 LLC and 2428 Partners LLC AGENT: Coats Rose (Barry S. Villines; Brian P. McGowan) (Pamela Madere)

ZONING FROM: I-RR

TO: PUD

AREA: 482.5 acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant planned unit development (PUD) district zoning with the conditions of the Environmental Board Motion 20150506-005b, as shown in Attachment A, and as shown in the Land Use Plan as provided in Exhibit B, and supporting Exhibits C, D, E, F, F-1, G, H, I, J and K.

The Restrictive Covenant includes all recommendations listed in the Traffic Impact Analysis memorandum, dated May 22, 2015, as provided in Attachment B.

ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD MOTION:

May 6, 2015: The Environmental Board recommends approval of the conditions for the Sunfield PUD C814-2014-0083 as described in background documents. [R. DEEGAN; J. SCHISSLER – 2ND] (6-0) M. NEELY – ABSENT

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

December 9, 2014: APPROVED AN INDEFINITE POSTPONEMENT REQUEST BY **STAFF**

[R. HATFIELD; J. STEVENS-2ND] (5-0) A. HERNANDEZ, J. NORTEY, B. ROARK - ABSENT; S. OLIVER - NOT YET ARRIVED

May 26, 2015: APPROVED PUD DISTRICT ZONING WITH THE CONDITIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AND A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR THE CONDITIONS OF THE TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS, AS STAFF RECOMMENDED, WITH A WORDING CHANGE TO EXHIBIT K, ITEM #9, AS FOLLOWS: "LOTS OFFERED FOR AFFORDABLE OWNERSHIP SHALL BE PLATTED AND DEVELOPED IN AT LEAST 3 AREAS OF THE PUD, IN SECTIONS OF AT LEAST 25 LOTS....", BY CONSENT [S. OLIVER; J. NORTEY – 2ND] (5-0) J. STEVENS, R. HATFIELD, A. HERNANDEZ

- ABSENT; J. SHIEH - NOT YET ARRIVED

ISSUES:

None at this time.

EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS TO THE STAFF REPORT:

Exhibits A, A-1, A-2, A-3: Vicinity Map, Zoning Map and Aerial, MUD Land Use Plan

Exhibit B: Sunfield PUD Land Use Plan

Exhibit C: Zoning Exhibit

Exhibit D: Parkland and Open Space Exhibit

Exhibit E: Environmental Exhibit

Exhibit F: Slope Map

Exhibit F-1: Slope Map with Land Use Plan

Exhibit G: Compatibility Exhibit

Exhibit H: Basis for Superiority / Tier 1 and Tier 2 Table

Exhibit I: Code Modifications

Exhibit J: Permitted and Prohibited Uses

Exhibit K: Sunfield PUD Affordable Housing Program

Exhibit L: Sunfield PUD Typical Street Sections

Attachment A: Environmental Board Motion and support material

Attachment B: Traffic Impact Analysis Memo Attachment C: Educational Impact Statement

Correspondence Received

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The proposed Sunfield Planned Unit Development (PUD) consists of undeveloped land zoned interim - rural residence (I-RR) and located on Main Street east of its intersection with County Road 118 and further west, IH 35 along Travis / Hays County border. The proposed zoning area is known as Sunfield Municipal Utility District No. 2, and is one of four MUDs known as Winfield (Winfield MUD No. 2 was re-named as Sunfield). For the purposes of this PUD application, the area has been divided into six land use tracts. Tracts 1 and 2 are located on the south side of Main Street, and between them is an approximately 93 acre tract that has been purchased by Hays Consolidated Independent School District for a high school and is not a part of the PUD. Tracts 3 through 6 are bordered by Turnersville Road on the north and Main Street on the south. There are farming / ranching operations on the northeast side of the PUD, and otherwise, the properties surrounding the proposed PUD are undeveloped except for a few single family residences on large tracts on the east side of South Turnersville Road (County). For context, the closest development is a food processing plant on County Road 118 to the west, and portions of Winfield Municipal Utility District No. 1, a single family residential subdivision under construction to the southwest. Please refer to Exhibits A (Vicinity Map), A-1 (Zoning Map) and A-2 (Aerial View).

C814-2014-0083

The Applicant is requesting PUD district zoning for a 482.5 acre mixed use project that may be developed with up to 1,165 single family residential units, 1,751 multi-family residential units, a 20,000 square foot amenity center, 35,000 square feet of liquor store and cocktail lounge uses, 128,000 square feet of commercial uses, 62.5 acres of parkland, and 73.5 acres of open space. A 2.5 acre City of Austin Fire / EMS station site is proposed to be located on the triangular shaped Tract 1, at the intersection of Main Street and South Turnersville Road, and a 5 acre reservoir (water tower) site is proposed on Tract 2. Over 12 acres of land for the extension of Main Street right-of-way to South Turnersville Road will also be provided by the Applicant.

In general, single family residential is located along the north side of Main Street, and multifamily residential and commercial uses are grouped along the subdivision entrance. Parkland and open space are interspersed throughout, and hike and bike trails follow designated parkland areas and roads that connect to Main Street. Commercial uses are proposed along the south frontage of Main Street, with a multi-family residential tract further south. Please refer to Exhibit B (PUD Land Use Plan).

Per the Land Development Code, PUD district zoning was established to implement goals of preserving the natural environment, encouraging high quality development and innovative design, and ensuring adequate public facilities and services. The City Council intends PUD district zoning to produce development that achieves these goals to a greater degree than and thus is superior to development which could occur under conventional zoning and subdivision regulations.

City Council approved revisions to the PUD regulations that became effective June 29, 2008. To help evaluate the superiority of a proposed PUD, requirements are divided into two categories: Tier 1, which is requirements that all PUDs must meet, and Tier 2 which provides criteria in 13 topical areas in which a PUD may exceed code requirements and therefore demonstrate superiority. A PUD need not address all criteria listed under Tier 2, and there is no minimum number of categories or individual items required.

As more fully detailed in the Tier Table and Land Use Plan (please refer to Exhibit B), this proposed PUD meets all 12 of the applicable Tier 1 items, meets one of three additional Tier 1 items (Commercial Design Standards), and offers some elements of superiority in four of the 13 Tier 2 categories (Open Space; Environment/Drainage; Community Amenities and Transportation).

Code Modifications

There are ten modifications to Code requirements requested by the Applicant and recommended by Staff (please refer to Exhibit H – Code Modification chart for details)

 25-1-21(103) Definition of Site – This section is modified to state that a site may cross a public right-of-way

25-2-243 Proposed District Boundaries – Waived as it applies to Sunfield PUD which consists of two non-contiguous areas

- 25-2-491 Permitted, Prohibited and Conditional Uses Establishes a specific set of uses for the PUD
- 25-2-1063(C) Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites Waived for certain central areas of the PUD
- 25-4-152(A) and 25-4-152(B) *Dead-end Streets* Allows for cul-de-sacs
- 25-4-173 Lot Arrangement Allows for side lines of lots at approximately right angles to straight street lines or radial to curved lot lines
- 25-4-193 Gas Lines Subdivider will provide reasonable accommodation to the franchise holder
- 25-8-42(D)(4) Administrative Variances Allows for cut and fill, provided it is not located on a slope exceeding 15% or within 100' of a classified waterway, except for stormwater pond construction

Staff recommendation and conditions:

Given the number and breadth of items offered in the PUD that exceed current code standards for single family residence-small lot (SF-4A) district zoning (310.16 acres), multifamily residence-high density (MF-5) district zoning (up to 146.28 acres), community commercial (GR) district zoning (18.54 acres), public (P) district zoning (7.52 acres) and commercial-liquor sales (CS-1) zoning (0.80 acres; 35,000 square feet), Staff believes the proposal can result in superior development along a major street in southern Travis County. Therefore, Staff recommends PUD zoning based on the following factors that make this project superior:

Affordable Housing

- Providing 10% of owner occupied units at 80% Median Family Income, for a period of 40 years
- Providing 10% of rental units at 60% Median Family Income, for a period of 40 years

Environmental and Landscaping

- Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways.
- A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments outside of dedicated parkland which exceeds commercial landscape requirements.
- For commercial and multi-family sites, treat the entire lot as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements which exceeds commercial landscape requirements.

Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be used for irrigation in CWQZs, floodplain or CEF buffers.

Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation/filtration ponds for water quality ponds. This is a preferred water quality method that provides greater overall pollutant removal. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval of the City.

Green Building

 All buildings within the district will achieve an Austin Energy Green Building two star rating or comparable green building standard outside of Austin Energy Service Area.

Location and Land Uses

- Located near the Regional Center for Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan
- Prohibition of selected intensive commercial uses, especially within the creek setback area.

Parkland and Open Space

- Providing 136.1 acres of total open space, including parkland. 57.5 acres is dedicated parkland (57.4 acres is required for MUD/PUD superiority). For comparison purposes, standard parkland dedication would be 29.0 acres.
- Providing the remaining 78.6 acres in open space. The required open space is 36.6 acres, and the balance is 42 acres which also exceeds the required Critical Water Quality Zones, floodplain and Critical Environmental Features (CEF) buffers.
- All residences within ½ mile of parks and/or open space.

Public Facilities

- Donation of a 2.5 acre site, which supports the 2-net buildable acre requirement by Austin Fire Department
- Donation of land for a 5.0 acre water reservoir site for Austin Water Utility

Transportation and Connectivity

- Dedication of right-of-way for Main Street, Turnersville Road and South Turnersville Road
- Compliance with the Complete Streets policy and the County on: 1) typical street cross-sections; 2) Standards for internal blocks and street connections; 3) Sidewalks, Trails and Streetscape Standards; and 4) Bicycle improvements and amenities
- Providing for a trail and bicycle network throughout the development
- Providing connectivity between the proposed trails
- Providing 5' bike lanes along entry roads.
- Providing ADA-compliant pedestrian/bicycle connectivity across Main Street to parks and other adjacent destinations

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

	ZONING	LAND USES
Site	I-RR	Undeveloped (originally part of the Heep Ranch)
North	County	Undeveloped
South	County	Undeveloped
East	I-RR	Undeveloped (purchased from the Applicant by Hays Consolidated ISD); Undeveloped; Single family residences on large tracts; Farm / ranch operations
West	County	Undeveloped

MUNCIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT: Sunfield MUD No. 2

<u>TIA:</u> Is required – Please refer to Attachment B

<u>WATERSHEDS:</u> Rinard Creek, Plum Creek <u>DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE:</u> Yes Onion Creek – Suburban

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

SCENIC ROADWAY: No

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

627 – Onion Creek Homeowners Association

1037 – Homeless Neighborhood Organization 1075 – Bike Austin

1224 – Austin Monorail Project 1228 – Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group

1258 – Del Valle Community Coalition

SCHOOLS:

The property is within the Hays Consolidated Independent School District.

Tom Green Elementary School R.C. Barton Middle School Jack C Hays High School

Note: An Educational Impact Statement (EIS) is required. Please refer to Attachment C.

CASE HISTORIES:

There are no recent cases in the vicinity.

RELATED CASES:

The City annexed this area for limited purposes in 2006 and an interim-rural residential (I-RR) zoning designation was assigned to the area (C7L-06-001). Full purpose annexation will occur in accordance with the terms of the Strategic Partnership Agreement between the City and Winfield MUD No. 2 (re-named as Sunfield). The Agreement states full purpose annexation shall occur no sooner than the earlier of: 1) December 2035, or 2) upon the completion of District bonds for 100% of utility infrastructure by the District in accordance with the Consent Agreement between the City and the District.

A Development Assessment for Sunfield PUD was submitted on February 6, 2012 and in accordance with the revised regulations for PUDs, a briefing was presented to Council on June 7, 2012 (CD-2012-0002). On May 22, 2014, the Applicant made a formal application for PUD zoning.

On March 5, 2015, Council approved First Reading of an ordinance to amend the original Consent Agreement and Land Plan dated May 19, 2005 to allow future development to include predominantly single and multi-family residential uses, as well as commercial uses and to accommodate the construction of a public high school (C12M-2014-0003). Second and Third Readings will be scheduled concurrently with final readings of this PUD case. Please refer to Exhibit A-3.

EXISTING STREET CHARACTERISTICS:

Name	Classification	Sidewalks	Bike Route	Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)
IH-35 (approx. 1 mile west)	6 lane freeway (133,000 vpd between Main Street and Turnersville Rd, 2012)	No	Route 421	No
SH-45 (approx. 1 mile north)	6 lane toll freeway (10,500 vpd east of IH-35; 10,100 vpd east of N Turnersville Rd, 2012)	No	No	No
Main Street	4 lane divided major arterial from IH-35 to ½ mile to west; transitions to 3 lane undivided and ends at the water tower	No	No	No .
FM 2001	2 lane undivided arterial (9,600 vpd between IH-35 and CR 118; 6,200 vpd past Satterwhite, 2012)	No	No	No
Turnersville Road	2 lane undivided	No	No	No
North/South Turnersville Road	2 lane undivided	No	No	No

CITY COUNCIL DATE: June 11, 2015

ACTION: Approved PUD district zoning with a Restrictive Covenant as Planning Commission recommended, with an additional condition to limit one acre lots to no more than 10 percent of the single family lots, on First Reading (11-0).

August 13, 2015

Approved a Postponement request by Staff to September 10, 2015 (11-0).

September 10, 2015

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st June 11, 2015

2nd

3rd

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

<u>CASE MANAGER:</u> Wendy Rhoades e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 512-974-7719

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant planned unit development (PUD) district zoning with the conditions of the Environmental Board Motion 20150506-005b, as shown in Attachment A, and as shown in the Land Use Plan as provided in Exhibit B, and supporting Exhibits C, D, E, F, F-1, G, H, I, J and K.

The Restrictive Covenant includes all recommendations listed in the Traffic Impact Analysis memorandum, dated May 22, 2015, as provided in Attachment B.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The Planned Unit Development (PUD) zoning district is intended for large or complex developments under unified control, planned as a single contiguous project. The PUD is intended to allow single or multi-use projects within its boundaries and provides greater design flexibility for development proposed within the PUD. Use of the PUD district should result in development superior to that which would occur using conventional zoning and subdivision regulations. PUD zoning is appropriate if the development enhances preservation of the natural environment; encourages high quality development and innovative design; and ensures adequate public facilities and services for development within the PUD.

2. Zoning changes should result in a balance of land uses, provides an orderly and compatible relationship among land uses, and incorporates environmental protection measures.

Given the number and breadth of items offered in the PUD that exceed current code standards for single family residence-small lot (SF-4A) district zoning (310.16 acres), multi-family residence-high density (MF-5) district zoning (up to 146.28 acres), community commercial (GR) district zoning (18.54 acres), public (P) district zoning (7.52 acres) and commercial-liquor sales (CS-1) zoning (0.80 acres; 35,000 square feet), Staff believes the proposal can result in superior development along a major street in southern Travis County. Therefore, Staff recommends PUD zoning based on the following factors that make this project superior:

Affordable Housing

- Providing 10% of owner occupied units at 80% Median Family Income, for a period of 40 years
- Providing 10% of rental units at 60% Median Family Income, for a period of 40 years

Environmental and Landscaping

 Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways.

A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments outside of dedicated parkland which exceeds commercial landscape requirements.

- For commercial and multi-family sites, treat the entire lot as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements which exceeds commercial landscape requirements.
- Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be used for irrigation in CWQZs, floodplain or CEF buffers.
- Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation/filtration ponds for water quality ponds. This is a preferred water quality method that provides greater overall pollutant removal. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval of the City.

Green Building

 All buildings within the district will achieve an Austin Energy Green Building two star rating or comparable green building standard outside of Austin Energy Service Area.

Location and Land Uses

- Located near the Regional Center for Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan
- Prohibition of selected intensive commercial uses, especially within the creek setback area.

Parkland and Open Space

- Providing 136.1 acres of total open space, including parkland. 57.5 acres is dedicated parkland (57.4 acres is required for MUD/PUD superiority). For comparison purposes, standard parkland dedication would be 29.0 acres.
- Providing the remaining 78.6 acres in open space. The required open space is 36.6 acres, and the balance is 42 acres which also exceeds the required Critical Water Quality Zones, floodplain and Critical Environmental Features (CEF) buffers.
- All residences within ½ mile of parks and/or open space.

Public Facilities

- Donation of a 2.5 acre site, which supports the 2-net buildable acre requirement by Austin Fire Department
- Donation of land for a 5.0 acre water reservoir site for Austin Water Utility

Transportation and Connectivity

- Dedication of right-of-way for Main Street, Turnersville Road and South Turnersville Road
- Compliance with the Complete Streets policy and the County on: 1) typical street cross-sections; 2) Standards for internal blocks and street connections; 3) Sidewalks, Trails and Streetscape Standards; and 4) Bicycle improvements and amenities

- Providing for a trail and bicycle network throughout the development
- Providing connectivity between the proposed trails
- Providing 5' bike lanes along entry roads.
- Providing ADA-compliant pedestrian/bicycle connectivity across Main Street to parks and other adjacent destinations

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The subject property consists of six undeveloped tracts and is characterized by a mix of very gently rolling hills bisected by low lying tributaries and associated agricultural stock ponds. There are a total of 8 critical environmental features (CEFs) on or within 150 feet of the property. The plant communities within the property consist of grassland/ prairie/ savanna species. Surface soils in this area are described as nearly level and gently sloping, clayey soils. Slopes range between 0 and 15 percent on approximately 97 percent of the property (471.5 acres).

Impervious Cover

The overall impervious cover proposed is 57.87 percent (279.20 acres).

Environmental

Please refer to Attachment A.

Transportation

Please refer to Attachment B.

Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water utilities and Sunfield MUD wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing the water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, system upgrades, utility relocations and or abandonments required. Each lot in the P.U.D. shall have separate wastewater taps, separate water meters, and their respective private water and wastewater service lines shall be positioned or located in a manner that will not cross lot lines. No lot shall be occupied until the structure is connected to the City of Austin water utility system and the Sunfield MUD wastewater utility system. The water and wastewater plan must be in accordance with the City of Austin utility design criteria. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water utility tap permit.

Subdivision

Applications for subdivision preliminary plan(s) and final subdivision plat(s) will be need to be approved prior to approval of any site plan or issuance of any development permit.

Site Plan

Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.





EXHIBIT A







SUBJECT TRACT

ZONING BOUNDARY

PENDING CASE

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT EXHIBIT A


ZONING CASE#: C814-2014-0083

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.



This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.










EXHIBIT A-3 MND LAND USE PLAN




















Sunfield PUD - C814-2014-0083 Basis for Superiority and Recommendation

TIER 1 General PI		
	PUD: Tier 1A Most the chieving of City Code	. Located near the Regional Center of the Image Austin Comprehensive
2 ~ 2	Titled tile objectives of City Code	2. Providing for environmental, community, design and regional goals that
Pt Pt	PUD: Tier 1B	meet or exceed Code standards and encourages high quality mixed use in
•	Provide for development standards that achieve equal Transfer consistency with consent DID: intent and	the Desired Development Zone with a public facility and open space. 3. Providing for preservation of the natural and historical environment.
	exceed standard Code regulations	encouraging high quality development extensive open space areas. 4. Provides for a mixed-use project.
Open Space PU	PUD: Tier 1C	1. Providing for parkland amenities at the increased parkland amount for
•	• Provide open space at:	MUD/PID Superiority - 10 x No. of Residents x Units.
10	10% Residential	(57.4 acres required for MUD, 29.0 acres per standard requirement;
15	15% Industrial	57.5 acres provided)
20	20% Commercial	2. Meets the requirements for open space
		(36.6 acres required; 136.1 acres provided including parkland)
		3. Parks with amenities and trail system to be owned, operated, and
		maintained by municipal utility district. Parks and trails will be accessible to the public
Green Building Pt	PUD: Tier 1D	1. Providing for 2-Star Green Building or comparable green building
	• Comply with the City Planned Unit Development Green Building Program	standard outside of Austin Energy service area.
		1. The proposed design meets the requirements of compatibility with
	 Be consistent with neighborhood plans, historic and surrounding uses. 	adjacent iand uses and preserving historic reatures and monuments.
Compatibility		1 Pronoced land use ties in with overall Sunfield community and
		development to the south and west.



The section of the section of	DIT Tion 1E	1 Description Critical Water Orality Zone gethooly for unterways of
Ellvironnental	rod liet ir	1. FIGNIUM CHILD WATER QUAINTY LONG SCHOOLS FOR WALER WAYS AS
	Provide for environmental preservation	
		2. Providing for compliance with the Commercial Landscape Ordinance,
		which applies to irrigation, landscaping and use of innovative water
		management options such as directing stormwater to on-site uses (e.g.,
9		3. Utilizing and enhancing existing agricultural ponds to create stormwater
		features to serve the development. Wet ponds maintained by municipal
		utility district.
		4. Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM) plan to be provided in Desired
2		Development Zone when not required. •
Public Facilities	PUD Tier 1G	1. Utilizing Austin Water Utility as the retail water provider.
	Provide for public facilities and services	
		development along Turnersville Road and IH 35. The design will
		adhere to the proposed sizing and route of infrastructure noted in
		the current Austin Water Utility Service Extension Request No.
		3469 will design and construct the improvements in accordance
		with the City's Utility Criteria Manual.
		3. Dedicating 5.0 acre site and easements for the construction of a
		water reservoir to serve the Sunfield PUD and surrounding
		properties.
		4. The water line extension, reservoir site, and improvements will
		help create a new "Far South Pressure Zone" for Austin Water
		Utility to service the surrounding properties.
		Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed
		water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is
		economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use
		restrictions imposed by the City.
		6. Donation of a 2.5 acre fire station site, which supports the 2-net
		buildable acre requirement by Austin Fire Department
Landscaping	PUD Tier 1H	
	 Exceed minimum landscaping requirements in City 	For commercial and multi-family tracts, the entire lot will be treated
		as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements. "
-		

Connectivity	• PUD Tier II • Provide appropriate transportation connections and mitigate impacts	 Main Street will be extended to Turnersville Road. Five foot bike lanes will be provide along entry roads. These bike lanes will connect to other bike lanes existing or proposed within the overall Sunfield community and connect to bike lanes outside of the community. Providing a network of trails throughout the development and provide connectivity to the overall Sunfield development and existing transportation infrastructure. Commit to contact Capital Metro periodically about transit services
Gated Roadways	PUD Tier 1J • Gated Roadways Prohibited	 Gated roadways will be prohibited in Sunfield PUD.
Architectural, historical, cultural and archaeological areas	PUD Tier 1K • Protect areas of significance	Architectural, historical, cultural, or archaeological areas will be preserved where applicable.
PUD Size and Uniqueness	PUD Tier 1L Minimum10 acre size unless special issues	1. The PUD encompasses 483 acres.
ADDITIONAL		
Commercial Design Standards	PUD Additional Tier Comply with CDS Comply with Core Transit Corridor if in Urban Area Contain pedestrian-oriented uses on the first floor of a multi-story commercial or mixed use building.	1. The commercial and mixed use sections of the PUD will comply with Suburban Standards of Subchapter E.
	<i>3</i> 7	
,		

TIER 2		
Open Space	PUD Tier 2 ■ Provide at least 10% above the requirement	1. 10% additional open spaces provided within the development (38.6 acres required; 136.1 total acres provided)
Environmental / Drainage	PUD Tier 2 • Provide various environmental ontions	1. Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways.
D		- The condition of all Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ)
		shall be assessed using the Zone 2 functional assessment
		methodology described in Appendix X of the Environmental
		Criteria Manual. (Zone 2 is the area from the edge of the active
		channel to the edge of the CWQZ.) All CWQZs found to be in "Pose (13") a "Fois (23") and disconsiderable (13") a "Fois (23") and disconsiderable (13") and disconsiderable (13
	te de la contraction de la con	(3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition: CWOZs found to be in "Good
		(3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition shall not be disturbed except
	×	as otherwise allowed by code. The applicant shall prepare a
		Riparian Restoration Plan demonstrating that all parameters of
		the Appendix X "Scoring: Zone 2 - Critical Water Quality
		Zone" table shall be raised to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)"
		condition. The Zone 2 functional assessment of existing
	5	conditions and the Riparian Restoration Plan shall be
		submitted, reviewed, and approved with each residential
		subdivision and commercial site plan.
		2. Tree plantings use Central Texas native seed stock and with
		A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of
		lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail
		network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail
=		
	220	4. For single family residential lots, require three trees to be planted
		5. For commercial and multilamily sites, treat the entire lot as street
-		Implementation of species diversity in any landscaping or
		revegetation requirement, using no more than 25% of any one
		7. Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed
		water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is
		economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use
		restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be
		used for irrigation in CWQZ's, CEF buffers, or floodplain.

		8. Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation filtration ponds for water
		quality controls. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls oreen water quality controls.
		may be utilized with approval from the city.
	, d	9. Additional open space, 23.4 acres, is provided in excess of the
Community	PUD Tier 2	Pr
Amenities	Provide for various community services and	2. Donation of a 2.5 acre fire station site, which supports the 2-net
		3. Providing a network of trails throughout the community. These trails
		will also be provided adjacent to the proposed amenity ponds.
		5. A minimum of three trees selected from the City's appropriate
		species list will be provided on each residential lot.
		6. Applicant has agreed to provide 10% at 60% of MFI for rental units,
		deed restricted for 40years. Applicant has agreed to provide 10% at
		7. A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots
		to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network.
		In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments
		outside of dedicated Park Land.
		8. Recycling services will be requested from the City of Austin or a
		contractor acceptable to the City of Austin.
Transportation	PUD Tier 2	1. Providing a trail and bicycle network throughout the community that
	 Provide bicycle facilities that connect to existing or 	connects to the existing and proposed trail system within the overall
	planned bicycle routes or provides other multi-modal	
	transportation features not required by code.	2. Provide connectivity between the proposed trails and other trail
		Bike lanes will be proposed along the main entry roads.
		meeting City Code requirements.
		6. Install ADA-compliant pedestrian/bicycle connectivity across Main
		Street to provide connectivity to parks and other destinations without
		crossing major roads within the project.

		Proposed Chapter 25 Variances Sunfield PUD C814-2014-0083	
Category	Code Section	Code Section Description	Proposed PUD Regulations
General	25-1-21(103)	Defines SITE as a contiguous area.	Modified to state a SITE may cross a public street or right-of-way.
Zoning	25-2-243	Proposed District Boundaries Must Be Contiguous	Waived in its entirety with respect to Sunfield PUD.
Zoning	25-2-1063(C)	Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites	Refer to Compatibility Exhibit for specific area where this variance is proposed to apply. The proposed multifamily uses will serve as intermediate density between the higher density commercial and lower density single family.
Zoning	25-2-491	Permitted, Conditional, and Prohibited Uses	Allow for a specific set of permitted, conditional, and prohibited uses to be applicable per the Sunfield PUD – Permitted and Prohibited Uses exhibit.
Subdivision	25-4-152(A)	Dead-End Streets	Modified to state, "A street may terminate in a cul-de-sac."
Subdivision	25-4-152(B)	Dead-End Streets	Modified to add the following language: Subsection (B) shall not apply to roadways that will be extended or other street connections will be made in the future that will reduce the dead-end street to not more than 2,000 feet, and such streets are depicted on plans.
Subdivision	25-4-173	Lot Arrangement	Modified to state, "The side lines of lots must be approximately at right angles to straight street lines or radial to curved street lines. An arrangement placing adjacent lots at right angles to each other may be disallowed by the single office, provided it is not a "key," or comer lot."
Subdivision	25-4-193	Gas Lines	Modified to state, "a subdivider is not required to prepare plans or install natural gas lines, but will provide reasonable accommodation to the franchise holder. In the event natural gas lines are not installed then a note stating so shall be placed on the plat.

EXHIBITI

Environment	25-8-42(D)(4)	Administrative Variances	Modified to state, "For a variance described in Paragraph
			(B)(6), the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a gradient
			of more than 15 percent, or within 100 feet of a classified
			waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed
			ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for stormwater
			pond construction."

Sunfield PUD - Permitted and Prohibited Uses

<u>Residential</u> - All uses allowed in Single Family Residence Small Lot ("SF-4A") and Multifamily Residence High Density ("MF-5") except for the following prohibited uses:

Conservation Single Family Residential Mobile Home Residential Bed & Breakfast (Group I)

<u>Commercial</u> - All uses allowed in Neighborhood Office ("NO"), Limited Office ("LO"), General Office ("GO"), General Commercial Services ("CS"), Commercial Liquor Sales ("CS-1") and Community Commercial ("GR") except for the following prohibited uses:

Carriage Stable

Recreational Equipment Maintenance & Storage Recreational

Equipment Sales

Scrap and Salvage

Stables

Cabaret

Adult-oriented businesses

Bail bond services

Pawn shop services

Permitted uses shall also include the following uses:

Food Preparation

Plant Nursery

Civic- The following uses are permitted:

Club or Lodge V

College and University Facilities

Communication Service Facilities

Community Events 🗸

Community Recreation (Private)

Community Recreation (Public)

Congregate Living

Convalescent Services

Counseling Services

Cultural Services

Day Care Services (Commercial)

Day Care Services (General)

Day Care Services (Limited)

Employee Recreation

Emergency Response Facility, Le. Fire and EMS Station

Family Home

Group Home, Class I (General)

Group Home, Class I (Limited)

Group Home, Class II



Guidance Services Hospital Services (General) Hospital Services (Limited) Local Utility Services Park Recreation (General) Postal Facilities Private Primary Educational Facilities Private Secondary Educational Facilities Public Primary Educational Facilities Public Secondary Educational Facilities Religious Assembly Residential Treatment Safety Services Telecommumcation Tower Transitional Housing Transportation Terminal

Agricultural - The following uses are permitted:

Community Garden Urban Farm

Sunfield PUD Affordable Housing Program — DRAFT

- 1. The developer shall set aside at least 10% of the owner occupied residential units within the PUD for occupancy by households whose income is less than 80% of the median family income of the Austin metropolitan statistical area ("Affordable Ownership Requirement") for a period of 40 years. Affordable housing provided under this section cannot be used to meet any affordable housing bonus or exception and vice-versa. The affordability period shall commence upon the date of recordation of a final plat containing lots designated for affordable ownership.
- 2. The Affordable Ownership Requirement for multifamily projects shall be based on the number of units and calculated on a multifamily project by project basis. Multifamily projects shall follow the multi-bedroom unit requirement as are set forth for apartments in Paragraph 5 below. For single family housing (either attached or detached), affordability shall also be calculated based on the number of units and, to the extent economically feasible, shall be spread across the various product type within the PUD. Although there shall be no requirement that each project or plat within the PUD contain a specific number of affordable units, the Developer shall be responsible for ensuring that ultimately the entire PUD contains the requisite number of affordable ownership units. The Developer shall report to the Director of the Neighborhood Housing Community Development on the status of the number and location of affordable ownership units within the PUD on a semi-annual basis commencing on the date the first plat is recorded.
- 3. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Developer provides more affordable units in any given apartment project than the 10% required per Paragraph 4 below, then the number of units required to meet the Affordable Ownership Requirement in the PUD shall be reduced: (i) on a 1.5:1 ratio for up to 3% of the 10% Affordable Ownership Requirement for the PUD; and (ii) on a 4:1 ratio for up to an additional 2% of the 10% Affordable Ownership Requirement for the PUD. Provided, however, in no event shall the number of units required to meet the Affordable Ownership Requirement in the PUD be reduced below 5% of the total ownership units through the method described above.
- 4. The developer shall set aside at least 10% of the rental units for apartments within the PUD for occupancy by households whose income is less than 60% of the median family income in the Austin metropolitan statistical area ("Affordable Rental Requirement") for a period of 40 years. Affordable housing provided under this section cannot be used to meet affordable housing requirements for a bonus or exception and vice-versa. The affordability period begins on the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for a rental project.
- 5. The Affordable Rental Requirement shall be based on the number of units and calculated on an apartment project-by-apartment project basis. The ratio of affordable single units to affordable multi-bedroom units shall be equal to the ratio of total single to total multi-bedroom units for the applicable project. The affordable units within any given apartment project shall be interspersed with market rate units.
- 6. Income limits with respect to affordable ownership shall be established annually as

EXMIBIT K


NHCD + APPLICANT AGT.

REC'D 5-12-2015

Page 1 of 2

determined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

- 7. On-site affordable housing units offered for sale shall be sold at a price affordable to persons whose household income is 80% or below the median family income in the Austin statistical metropolitan area. On-site affordable housing units offered for sale shall be reserved, sold, and transferred to an income eligible buyer subject to a resale restricted, shared equity agreement and restrictive covenant approved by the director of Neighborhood Housing and Community Development and recorded at the time of sale of an affordable unit. To ensure long term affordability, AHFC shall hold, from and after the date of sale to an eligible owner, the shared equity agreement for the affordable units. AHFC may also elect to purchase the homes at the affordable price and resell the units to an income eligible buyer.
- 8. Compliance will be monitored by the Neighborhood Housing and Community Development Office through an annual audit of the development sale and/or rental of affordable units in the PUD.
- 9. Lots offered for affordable ownership shall be platted and developed in at least 3 areas of the PUD, in sections of at least 25 lots so long as such sections are integrated into the PUD, located within walking distance of PUD amenities and the residential units sold for affordable ownership have substantially similar architectural design and restrictions as other residential units offered for sale to the general public.



(NEIGHBORHOOD STREET)

NOTES

- ALL SIDEWALKS AND SHARED USE PATHS TO BE ADA COMPLIANT
 ALL SELECT FILL MATERIAL SHOULD CONTAIN NO DELETERIOUS MATERIAL AND SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% STD. PROCTOR TEST.
 FOR NEIGHBORHOOD COLLECTORS, OPTION 1 (60 ROW) ALLOWS FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS AND ON STREET PARKING. OPTION 2 (70' ROW) ALLOWS FOR ON STREET PARKING BUT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS. INTERSECTIONS OF NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS TO INCLUDE 20' CURB RADII MINIMUM.


EXMIBIT L

SHEET 1 OF 6

Kimley»Horn

TYPICAL STREET SECTIONS

SUNFIELD PUD




(NEIGHBORHOOD COLLECTOR OPTION 1)

NOTES:

- ALL SIDEWALKS AND SHARED USE PATHS TO BE ADA COMPLIANT
 ALL SELECT FILL MATERIAL SHOULD CONTAIN NO DELETERIOUS MATERIAL AND SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% STD. PROCTOR TEST. તં
 - ri
- FOR NEIGHBORHOOD COLLECTORS, OPTION 1 (60 ROW)
 ALLOWS FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS AND ON STREET
 PARKING. OPTION 2 (70° ROW) ALLOWS FOR ON STREET
 PARKING BUT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS.
 INTERSECTIONS OF NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS TO INCLUDE 20'
 CURB RADII MINIMUM.
 - SUNFIELD PUD
 TYPICAL STREET SECTIONS

SHEET 4 OF 6


Kimley»Horn



- ALL SIDEWALKS AND SHARED USE PATHS TO BE ADA COMPLIANT
 ALL SELECT FILL MATERIAL SHOULD CONTAIN NO DELETERIOUS
 MATERIAL AND SHOULD BE COMPACIED TO A DRY DENSITY
 OF AT LEAST 95% STD. PROCTOR TEST.
 - FOR NEIGHBORHOOD COLLECTORS, OPTION 1 (60 ROW) ALLOWS FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS AND ON STREET PARKING. OPTION 2 (70' ROW) ALLOWS FOR ON STREET OF ALLOW FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS. INTERSECTIONS OF NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS TO INCLUDE 20' CURB RADII MINIMUM.
 - SUNFIELD PUD
 TYPICAL STREET SECTIONS

SHEET 5 OF 6

Kimley » Horn



NOTES:

- ALL SIDEWALKS AND SHARED USE PATHS TO BE ADA COMPLIANT ALL SELECT FILL MATERIAL SHOULD CONTAIN NO DELETERIOUS MATERIAL AND SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% STD. PROCTOR TEST. 8
 - FOR NEIGHBORHOOD COLLECTORS, OPTION 1 (60 ROW)
 ALLOWS FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS AND ON STREET
 PARKING, OPTION 2 (70' ROW) ALLOWS FOR ON STREET
 PARKING BUT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR DRIVEWAY CONNECTIONS.
 INTERSECTIONS OF NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS TO INCLUDE 20'
 CURB RADII MINIMUM. 'n
 - 4.

TYPICAL STREET SECTIONS SUNFIELD PUD

SHEET 6 OF 6

Kimley » Horn



ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD MOTION 201500506 005b

Date: May 6, 2015

Subject: Sunfield Planned Unit Development C814-2014-0083

Motion By: Robert Deegan Second By: James Schissler

RATIONALE:

Whereas, the project provided numerous Tier 2 benefits to achieve environmental superiority while requesting minimal environmental Code modifications,

Whereas, restoration of classified waterways on the property in particular represents a very substantial environmental benefit.

Therefore, the Environmental Board of the City of Austin recommends approval of the request for environmentally superiority of the PUD.

PUD Conditions for Environmental Superiority:

- 1. Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways.- The condition of all Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ) shall be assessed using the Zone 2 functional assessment methodology described in Appendix X of the Environmental Criteria Manual. (Zone 2 is the area from the edge of the active channel to the edge of the CWQZ.) All CWQZs found to be in "Poor (1)" or "Fair (2)" condition shall be restored to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition; CWQZs found to be in "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition shall not be disturbed except as otherwise allowed by code. The applicant shall prepare a Riparian Restoration Plan demonstrating that all parameters of the Appendix X "Scoring: Zone 2 Critical Water Quality Zone" table shall be raised to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition. The Zone 2 functional assessment of existing conditions and the Riparian Restoration Plan shall be submitted, reviewed, and approved with each residential subdivision and commercial site plan.
- 2. A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments outside of dedicated Park Land.
- 3. For commercial and multifamily sites, treat the entire lot as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements.
- 4. Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be used for irrigation in CWQZ's, CEF buffers, or floodplain.

- 5. Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation filtration ponds for water quality controls. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval from the city.
- 6. Additional open space, 42 acres, is provided in excess of the required open space, CWQZ's, floodplain, and CEF buffers.

VOTE 6-0-0-1

For:

Maxwell, Perales Schissler, Deegan, Redmond, Smith

Against:

None

Abstain:

None

Absent:

Neely

Approved By:

Mary Gay Maxwell, Environmental Board Chair

Many Son Waprell



ITEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AGENDA

BOARD MEETING DATE REQUESTED:

May 6, 2015

NAME & NUMBER

SUNFIELD PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT

OF PROJECT: C814-2014-0083

NAME OF APPLICANT

Coats Rose, PC

OR ORGANIZATION:

John Joseph – Phone (512) 541-3593

LOCATION:

1901 Turnersville Road

PROJECT FILING DATE:

May 22, 2014

PDR/ENVIRONMENTAL

Jim Dymkowski, 974-2707

STAFF:

james.dymkowski@austintexas.gov

PDR/

Wendy Rhoades, 974-7719

CASE MANAGER:

wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

WATERSHED:

Onion, Rinard, and Plum Creek Watersheds (Suburban)

Desired Development Zone

ORDINANCE:

Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO - current Code)

REQUEST:

Review and consider for recommendation the proposed Planned Unit

Development environmental code exception as requested.

1. Modify 25-8-42(D)(4) (Administrative Variances); for a variance described in Paragraph (B)(6) the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a gradient of more than 15 percent or within 100 feet of a classified waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for stormwater pond construction.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

RECOMMEND FOR APPROVAL.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION: THE PROPOSED PUD IS ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR TO THE

DEVELOPMENT THAT COULD OTHERWISE BE BUILT UNDER CURRENT

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Mary Gay Maxwell, Chairperson and Members of the Environmental Board

FROM:

Jim Dymkowski, Environmental Review Specialist Senior

Development Services Department

DATE:

May 6, 2015

SUBJECT:

Summary of Environmental Exception Requested by the Sunfield Planned Unit

Development - C814-2014-0083

This summary is being provided to the Environmental Board as a supplement to the overall Planning and Development Review recommendations for the Sunfield PUD. After numerous site visits and discussions with the applicant, the following is a description of the environmental aspects and considerations that have been addressed during Environmental Review of the proposed PUD, requested exception to the environmental code requirements, and the proposed environmental superiority exhibited in the PUD. Staff recommends approval of the project based on our finding that the proposed project is environmentally superior to what could be built without the PUD.

Description of Property

The PUD is located in the Onion, Rinard, and Plum Creek Watersheds. These watersheds are classified as Suburban. It is within the Desired Development Zone, and has already been annexed into the City of Austin limited purpose zoning jurisdiction. Prior to this PUD submittal, the entire PUD land area was reviewed and approved as a municipal utility district (MUD) with a predominately industrial and commercial use land plan. The current PUD land plan as well as concurrent revisions to the MUD proposes more single and multifamily uses with some commercial uses and additional open space areas required by current code. The PUD is not within the Edward's Aquifer Recharge or contributing zones.

Historically, this site was used for agriculture and still has some remnant ranch buildings on it. The PUD area is approximately 482.5 acres and is situated at the highpoint of all three watersheds within the PUD. With this location there are four minor classified waterways within the PUD boundaries. They consist of two unnamed tributaries of Rinard Creek to the north and two unnamed tributaries of Plum Creek to the south.

Per current code, buffer setbacks of 100 feet from the centerline of the creeks for the Critical Water Quality Zone are established on these waterways.

The PUD is not asking for any increases to the current code allowable impervious cover. In a Suburban Watershed the following impervious cover based on a gross site area calculation are allowed;

DESCRIPTION	ALLOWABLE % of gross site area
SF lots (> 5750 SF)	50%
SF lots (< 5750 SF)	55%
MF	60%
Commercial	80%

Existing Topography/Soil Characteristics/Vegetation

The site elevations range from 788-680 feet above mean sea level. The topography is characterized by moderate sloping hillside mainly from east to west across the property with those areas closest to the four tributaries sloping toward those waterways. Of the roughly 482.5 acre PUD area there are only 8.7 acres of slopes between 15-25%, 1.7 acre of slopes between 25-35%, and 0.64 acres of slopes greater than 35%.

The soils on the property are predominantly silty clay in nature. The plant communities within the PUD area are considered grassland/prairie/savanna species. The vegetation consists of mixed native and introduced grasses resulting from years of agricultural use keeping the site devoid of most woody vegetation. Trees are sporadic onsite mostly mesquite internally and some Hackberry along the perimeter fence lines.

Critical Environmental Features/Endangered Species

The 2014 environmental resource inventory identified eight critical environmental features within the subject area. These are all wetland features and consist of the existing stock ponds and those isolated wetland features found within the minor classified waterways. The PUD proposes current code buffering 150 feet or enhancement of these features if converted to a water quality feature.

Water/Wastewater

Water and wastewater service will be provided by the City of Austin. The environmental resource inventory identified one Texas Water Board Monitoring Device on an old well near the ranch buildings at the north side of the property closets to Turnersville Road.

Description of Project

The Project contains approximately 482.5 acres of mixed use development including:

- 222.6 acre of standard single family development
- 80.9 acres of multifamily and single family residential style development
- 18.3 acres of commercial development
- Approximately 136 acres of parks and open space.
- A fire station and reservoir site.

Environmental Code Exception Request

The one exception requested with the PUD is:

1. Modify 25-8-42(D) (4) (Administrative Variances); for a variance described in Paragraph (B) (6) the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a gradient of more than 15 percent or within 100 feet of a classified waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for stormwater pond construction.

PUD Conditions for Environmental Superiority:

- 1. Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways.
 - The condition of all Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ) shall be assessed using the Zone 2 functional assessment methodology described in Appendix X of the Environmental Criteria Manual. (Zone 2 is the area from the edge of the active channel to the edge of the CWQZ.) All CWQZs found to be in "Poor (1)" or "Fair (2)" condition shall be restored to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition; CWQZs found to be in "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition shall not be disturbed except as otherwise allowed by code. The applicant shall prepare a Riparian Restoration Plan demonstrating that all parameters of the Appendix X "Scoring: Zone 2 Critical Water Quality Zone" table shall be raised to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition. The Zone 2 functional assessment of existing conditions and the Riparian Restoration Plan shall be submitted, reviewed, and approved with each residential subdivision and commercial site plan.
- 2. A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments outside of dedicated Park Land.
- 3. For commercial and multifamily sites, treat the entire lot as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements.
- 4. Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be used for irrigation in CWQZ's, CEF buffers, or floodplain.
- 5. Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation filtration ponds for water quality controls. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval from the city.
- 6. Additional open space, 42 acres, is provided in excess of the required open space, CWQZ's, floodplain, and CEF buffers.

Recommendations

Staff recommends approval of the environmental superiority of the proposed PUD and the exceptions to the Land Development Code sections as defined in the PUD documents because:

• It will provide critical water quality zone riparian restoration greater than the current code requirement for all degraded areas within the waterways even if that section is not being modified by adjacent construction.

- It will use preferred water quality methods (i.e. wet ponds) that provide a greater overall pollutant removal than the minimum code required sedimentation filtration method and green water quality controls (i.e. rain gardens) for tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval from the city.
- It will exceed current commercial landscape requirements by providing additional trees to be planted along pedestrian trail sections and by using the full lot size of all multifamily and commercial lots to calculate the streetyard tree planting requirement.
- It will be providing for public and private parkland amenities exceeding standard requirement outside of the areas already included in the critical water quality zone, floodplain, and critical environmental feature buffer areas.

Empire man and 1	D:
Environmental	Reviewer:
	, , , , , , , , ,

Environmental Program Coordinator:

Environmental Officer:

Date: April, 27, 2015

Susans

Chuck Legnide

Sunfield PUD - C814-2014-0083 Driving directions

Austin City Hall, TX 78704

Get on I-35 S from W Riverside Dr and S IH 35 Frontage Rd for (2.1 mi)

Follow I-35 S to S IH 35 Frontage Rd. Take exit 223 from I-35 S for (9.5 mi)

Get on TX-45 Toll for (0.8 mi)

Continue on TX-45 Toll to Turnersville Rd N. Take the North Turnersville Road exit from TX-45 Toll for (1.4 mi)


Drive to Turnersville Rd for (1.2 mi)

1901 Turnersville Rd Buda, TX 78610 will be on the right. 2244 West \ 969 [183] in City Hall 🖴 20 min 97) 💬 unset Valley 📦 > 📦 1 h 24 min ICLE C RANCH 2304 812 / 973 224 224 1626 1625 812 2430 812 191 133 2001 2770 260



Sunfield PUD
C814-2014-0083
Existing Conditions and Surrounding Development

1



Sunfield PUD C814-2014-0083 Site Photos





Both photos are PUD land area looking south into property

Sunfield PUD C814-2014-0083 Site Photos



Example of existing critical water quality zone riparian area on one of the minor classified waterways



Example of wetland critical environmental feature



PAMELA MADERE

pmadere@coatsrose.com Direct Dial 512.541.3594

April 22, 2015

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jim Dymkowski Planning and Development Review Department City of Austin 505 Barton Springs; 4th Floor Austin, TX 78704

RE: Sunfield PUD (Case No. C814-2014-0083); Environmental Board PUD Exception Request Application

Dear Mr. Dymkowski:

On behalf of our clients, A&M Option 541, LLC and 2428 Partners, LLC (the "Applicants"), we formally submit to you our Environmental Board PUD Exception Request Application for the above-referenced project. The property on which the PUD will be placed is approximately 482.5 acres located south of Austin in the extra-territorial jurisdiction and is located in the city's desired development zone. It is largely located in Travis County; however, approximately 65.4 acres are in northern Hays County. The property is contained within Sunfield MUD #2 which was annexed for limited purposes on May 1, 2006. The property is currently zoned I-RR.

The PUD proposes a mix of uses such as single-family, multifamily, commercial, parkland, and significant amounts of parkland and open space. The Applicant is also dedicating 2.5 acres (more than what is required by the Applicant's Consent Agreement with the City of Austin) for a Fire/EMS station. The PUD is, among numerous other benefits, reducing impervious cover by approximately 106 acres, providing over 4,000 trees, transforming the ponds to sustain habitat, and will prepare a Riparian Restoration Plan demonstrating the existing conditions and the restoration plan. The PUD benefits the area economically by providing affordable housing, recreation facilities, employment, and consumer/public services in a single planned environment

In summary, the objective of the PUD is to allow for the creation of a more flexible, sustainable, walkable and environmentally friendlier community than would be feasible with conventional zoning. The proposed PUD will provide development far superior to development that would

Barton Oaks Plaza, 901 South MoPac Expressway, Building 1 Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78746

Phone: 512-469-7987 Fax: 512-469-9408

Web: www.coatsrose.com

HOUSTON | CLEAR LAKE | AUSTIN | DALLAS | SAN ANTONIO | NEW ORLEANS ERROR! NO PROPERTY NAME SUPPLIED.

occur under the existing approved land plan (which in large part is a mix of industrial and commercial uses) or conventional zoning and subdivision requirements. The PUD complies with all Tier One requirements and numerous Tier Two requirements as shown on the attached Exhibit "A".

Please contact me at (512) 541-3594 or pmadere@coatsrose.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Pamela Madere

Mal



PAMELA MADERE

pmadere@coatsrose.com Direct Dial 512.541.3594

April 22, 2015

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jim Dymkowski Planning and Development Review Department City of Austin 505 Barton Springs; 4th Floor Austin, TX 78704

RE: Sunfield PUD (Case No. C814-2014-0083); Environmental Variance Request

Dear Mr. Dymkowski:

On behalf of our clients, A&M Option 541, LLC and 2428 Partners, LLC (the "Applicants"), we formally submit to you our request for one environmental exception to the Sunfield PUD:

1. Sec. 25-8-42(D)(4) is amended to state. "For a variance described in Paragraph (B)(6), the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a gradient of more than 15 percent, or within 100 feet of a classified waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for stormwater pond construction."

The proposed Sunfield PUD is environmentally superior to what is currently required by the Land Development Code, and includes the following environmental superiority items:

1. Restores currently degraded Critical Water Quality Zones along all classified waterways. The condition of all Critical Water Quality Zones (CWQZ) shall be assessed using the Zone 2 functional assessment methodology described in Appendix X of the Environmental Criteria Manual. (Zone 2 is the area from the edge of the active channel to the edge of the CWQZ.) All CWQZs found to be in "Poor (1)" or "Fair (2)" condition shall be restored to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition; CWQZs found to be in "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition shall not be disturbed except as otherwise allowed by code. The applicant shall prepare a Riparian Restoration Plan demonstrating that all parameters of the Appendix X "Scoring: Zone 2 – Critical Water Quality Zone" table shall be raised to "Good (3)" or "Excellent (4)" condition. The Zone 2 functional

Barton Oaks Plaza, 901 South MoPac Expressway, Building 1 Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78746

Phone: 512-469-7987 Fax: 512-469-9408

Web: www.coatsrose.com

assessment of existing conditions and the Riparian Restoration Plan shall be submitted, reviewed, and approved with each residential subdivision and commercial site plan.

- 2. A tree planting plan will be prepared during the final platting of lots to determine the proposed trees to be placed along the trail network. In addition, 150 trees will be planted throughout the trail segments outside of dedicated Park Land.
- 3. For commercial and multifamily sites, treat the entire lot as street yard for the purposes of tree requirements.
- 4. Upon reclaimed water being brought to the project, use reclaimed water for irrigation in open space areas where such use is economically feasible, subject to any applicable water use restrictions imposed by the City. Reclaimed water shall not be used for irrigation in CWQZ's, CEF buffers, or floodplain.
- 5. Use wet ponds instead of sedimentation filtration ponds for water quality controls. For tracts where the use of regional wet ponds is not feasible for water quality controls, green water quality controls may be utilized with approval from the city.
- 6. Additional open space, 23.4 acres, is provided in excess of the required open space, CWQZ's, floodplain, and CEF buffers.

Please contact me at (512) 541-3594 or pmadere@coatsrose.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Pamela Madere



ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD VARIANCE APPLICATION TEMPLATE

MONES AND ASSESSED FOR	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION Applicant Contact Infor	
Name of Applicant	Coats Rose; Attn: Pamela Madere
Street Address	901 S. Mopac, Building 1, Suite 500
City State ZIP Code	Austin, TX 78746
Work Phone	(512) 541-3594
E-Mail Address	pmadere@coatsrose.com
Variance Case Informat	ion
Case Name	Sunfield PUD
Case Number	C814-2014-0083
Address or Location	1901 Turnersville Rd.
Environmental Reviewer Name	Jim Dymkowski
Applicable Ordinance	N/A
Watershed Name	Rinard, Plum, and Onion
Watershed Classification	□ Urban X Suburban □ Water Supply Suburban □ Water Supply Rural □ Barton Springs Zone
Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone	☐ Barton Springs Segment ☐ Northern Edwards Segment X Not in Edwards Aquifer Zones
Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone	☐ Yes X No

Distance to Nearest Classified Waterway	
Water and Waste Water service to be provided by	COA
Request	The variance request is as follows (Cite code references: 25-8-42(D)(4) - Modified to state, "For a variance described in Paragraph (B)(6), the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a gradient of more than 15 percent, or within 100 feet of a classified waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for stormwater pond construction."

Impervious cover	Existing	Proposed	
square footage:	Undeveloped	11,322,550.8	
acreage:	482.5 Acres	259.93	
percentage:	N/A - Undeveloped	55%	
Provide general description of the property (slope range, elevation range, summary of vegetation / trees, summary of the geology, CWQZ, WQTZ, CEFs, floodplain, heritage trees, any other notable or outstanding characteristics of the property)	Sunfield PUD is a 482.5 acre tract located at Road and the proposed extension of Main S range from 788' MSL to 680' MSL. The site of trees. There is existing floodplain and CWQ2 Road. See attached ERI prepared by ACI Corregarding existing CEF's and geology on site	itreet. The elevations on the property consists of native grasslands with few Z located just south of Turnersville insultants for additional information	

Clearly indicate in what
way the proposed project
does not comply with

The exception with the PUD is requesting that Section 25-8-42(D)(4) will be amended to state "For a variance described in Paragraph (B)(6), the cut or fill is not located on a slope with a

current Code (include maps and exhibits)	gradient of more than 15 percent, or within 100 feet of a classified waterway, except as required for the construction of proposed ponds within 100 feet of a classified waterway for storm water pond construction."
--	---

FINDINGS OF FACT – NOT APPLICABLE SINCE THIS IS FOR A PUD

As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, in order to grant a variance the Land Use Commission must make the following findings of fact:

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

Project:

Ordinance:

- A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:
 - 1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

Yes/No [summary of justification for determination]

- 2. The variance:
 - a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

- B. Additional Land Use Commission variance determinations for a requirement of Section 25-8-393 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 25-8-423 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 25-8-453 (Water Quality Transition Zone), or Article 7, Division 1 (Critical Water Quality Zone Restrictions):
 - 1. The criteria for granting a variance in Section A are met;

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

2. The requirement for which a variance is requested prevents a reasonable, economic use of the entire property; and

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to allow a reasonable, economic use of the entire property.

Yes/No [summary of basis for determination]

^{**}Variance approval requires all above affirmative findings.

Exhibits for Board Backup and/or Presentation

Please attach and paginate.

- Aerial photos of the site (backup and presentation)
- Site photos (backup and presentation)
- Aerial photos of the vicinity (backup and presentation)
- Context Map—A map illustrating the subject property in relation to developments in the vicinity to include nearby major streets and waterways (backup and presentation)
- Topographic Map A topographic map is recommended if a significant grade change on the subject site exists or if there is a significant difference in grade in relation to adjacent properties. (backup and presentation)
- For cut/fill variances, a plan sheet showing areas and depth of cut/fill with topographic elevations. (backup and presentation)
- Site plan showing existing conditions if development exists currently on the property (presentation only)
- Proposed Site Plan- full size electronic or at least legible 11x17 showing proposed development, include tree survey if required as part of site or subdivision plan (backup and presentation)
- Environmental Map A map that shows pertinent features including Floodplain, CWQZ,
 WQTZ, CEFs, Setbacks, Recharge Zone, etc. (backup and presentation)
- An Environmental Assessment pursuant to ECM 1.3.0 (if required by 25-8-121) (backup only)
- Applicant's variance request letter (backup only)



Date:

May 22, 2015

To:

Wendy Rhoades, Case Manager

CC:

Vivek Deshpande, P.E., Kimley-Horn

Reference:

Sunfield MUD 2/PUD TIA

Zoning Case: C814-2014-0083

The Transportation Review Section has reviewed the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the Sunfield MUD 2/PUD, dated 03/30/2015, and offers the following comments:

TRIP GENERATION

The Sunfield MUD 2/PUD is a 482-acre development located in the southwest quadrant of the Turnersville Road and South Turnersville Road intersection which is also northeast of the City of Buda and in the limited-purpose jurisdiction of the City of Austin. The subject property is currently undeveloped and not zoned. The proposed zoning is Planned Unit Development (PUD) it is to be a mixed-use development consisting of single-family homes, multi-family apartments, mixed commercial uses, an amenity center, a fire station, a reservoir site, and parkland. The estimated completion of the project is expected in the year 2022.

Based on the standard trip generation rates established by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition), the proposed development is estimated to generate approximately 1,931 unadjusted AM peak-hour trips (PHTs) and 2,781 unadjusted PM peak-hour trips upon build-out as shown in Table 1. However, ITE Trip Generation 9th Edition allows adjusted trip rates applicable to certain land uses resulting in reduced trips to/from the site and identified as either pass-by traffic or internal-capture trips. Accordingly, Table 2 indicates 1,883 adjusted trips during the morning peak-hour (AM) and 2,450 trips during the evening peak-hour (PM).

Table 1. Summary	of Unadjuste	d Peak-l	lour Trip	Genera	tion (PH	ITs)	
	L .	AM	Peak H	our	PN	/ Peak F	lour
LAND USE	Size	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit
Single-Family (ITE Code 210)	1,165 Units	874	219	655	1,165	734	431
Multi-family (ITE Code 220)	1,751 Units	894	180	714	1,086	706	380
Commercial (ITE Code 890)	128,000 SF	123	76	47	475	228	247
Recreation Center (ITE Code 459)	20,000 SF	41	27	14	55	27	28
Total Unadjus	sted Trips	1,931	501	1,430	2,781	1,695	1,086

Table 2. Summar	y of Adjusted	Peak-Ho	our Trip	Generati	on (PHT	s)	(4)
		AN	l Peak H	our	PΛ	/ Peak H	lour
LAND USE	Size	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit
Single-Family (ITE Code 210)	1,165 Units	862	214	648	1,114	704	410
Multi-family (ITE Code 220)	1,751 Units	. 882	174	708	1,036	676	360
Commercial (ITE Code 890)	128,000 SF	99	62	37	246	123	123
Recreation Center (ITE Code 459)	20,000 SF	41	27	14	55	27	28
Total Adjuste	ed Trips	1,883	477	1,406	2,450	1,530	920

DATA ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. Background traffic volumes for 2022 included the estimated traffic volumes for Sunfield MUDs 1, 3, and 4. Based on traffic volumes using the CAMPO long range travel demand model, an average annual growth rate of 2.54% was used for this proposed development.
- 2. Pass-by trip reductions are allowed for certain commercial uses based on data provided in the ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition. A pass-by reduction of 26.73% was used only for shopping center during the PM peak period. No pass-by reductions during the AM peak and PM peak periods were assumed for any of the other proposed land uses.
- 3. ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition, allows an internal capture trip reduction for large, mixed-use developments because a percentage of the trips will occur wholly within the PUD. No internal capture reductions were assumed for the recreation center during the AM and PM peak periods. A total internal capture reduction of 2.48% and 7.34%, respectively, was assumed for the other land uses during the AM and PM peak periods.
- 4. No reductions were taken for transit use during any period of the analysis. However, Capital Metro service may expand its service to this development in the future.
- 5. Based on the TIA's scope of work, traffic counts were taken at various key locations to establish the circulation characteristics of the roadways in the study area. The traffic counts taken in conjunction with data from CAMPO and other sites formed the basis for the future traffic assumptions in the study area. A 2.54% annual growth rate was assumed for this proposed development.

EXISTING AND PLANNED ROADWAYS

IH-35 – This state roadway is located approximately 1 mile west of the site. The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan and the CAMPO Mobility Plan classify IH-35 as a six-lane freeway in the site's vicinity area. IH-35 is part of the US Interstate Highway System maintained by the Texas Dept. of Transportation (TxDOT). The IH-35 frontage roads are listed as Bike Route No. 421 in the Bicycle Plan. It is estimated that a large portion of the traffic to this PUD will utilize IH-35 as their primary route. The 2012 traffic volume on IH-35 between Main Street and Turnersville Rd. was approximately 133,000 vehicles per day (vpd).

SH-45 – This state roadway is located approximately 1 mile north of the site. The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan and the CAMPO Mobility Plan classify SH-45 as a six-lane toll freeway in the site's vicinity area. The 2012 traffic volume on SH-45 east of IH-35 was approximately 10,500 vehicles per day and the traffic volume on SH-45 east of North Turnersville Road was approximately 10,100 vehicles per day.

Main Street – This road exists as a four-lane divided major arterial from IH-35 to approximately half a mile to the west and then transitions to a three-lane undivided section further to the west. In the vicinity of the project, Main Street is a three-lane undivided road from IH-35 to approximately one mile to the east of IH-35 and comes to an end at the water tower. The 2035 CAMPO Transportation Plan lists this road to be widened to a four-lane divided section from IH-35 to North Turnersville Road.

FM 2001 – This state road is classified as a two-lane undivided arterial. The 2012 traffic volume on FM 2001 between IH-35 and CR 118 was approximately 9,600 vehicles per day; the traffic volume on FM 2001 past Satterwhite Road was approximately 6,200 vehicles per day. FM 2001 is expected to be realigned to straighten out several 90-degree turns. The CAMPO Transportation Plan lists this road to be widened to a four-lane divided major arterial with bike lanes and sidewalks between IH-35 and SH 21. Currently, Hays County is preparing schematics for widening this road. It is expected that two lanes will be constructed in 2016 through the TxDOT Partnership Program.

Turnersville Road – Currently, this roadway is a two-lane undivided road. The CAMPO Transportation Plan lists this road to be widened to a four-lane section between IH-35 and the intersection with North/South Turnersville Road. The Sunfield MUD developer has widened this roadway to a three-lane cross section between IH-35 and Firecracker Drive and to a four-lane cross section ending at the county line.

North/South Turnersville Road – This roadway is currently a two-lane undivided road. The CAMPO Transportation Plan lists this road to be widened to a four-lane major divided arterial with bike lanes and sidewalks between Turnersville Road and SH-45. Currently, Hays County has no plans for any further upgrades to this road.

TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

The impact of site development traffic on the existing area roadways was analyzed. Two time periods and travel conditions were evaluated:

- 2014 Existing Conditions
- 2022 Build-Out Conditions

INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)

The TIA analyzed 11 intersections, 10 of which are or would be signalized, and 1 which will not be signalized. Existing and projected levels of service are shown on Table 3, assuming that all improvements recommended in the TIA are built:

Table 3. Le	vel of Se	ervice				
Intersection)14 sting	20 Forec	 _	1	PUD + asted
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
SH-45 WB FR and North Turnersville Road*	А	Α	В	В	В	С
SH-45 EB FR and North Turnersville Road*	А	Α	В	В	Α	Α
IH-35 NB FR and Turnersville Road	С	В	F	F	Α	Α

Table 3. Level	of Servi	ce (cont	t .)			
Intersection		014 sting		22 asted		PUD + casted
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
Turnersville Rd. and North Turnersville Road*	Α	Α	В	В	Α	Α
IH-35 SB FR and Main Street*	CC		D	Е	С	D
IH-35 NB FR and Main Street*	B C F F C		С	С		
IH-35 SB FR and FM 2001*	A B C F B		В	D		
IH-35 NB FR and FM 2001*	Α	В	В	F	В	С
SH-45 EB FR/FM 1327 and IH-35 NB FR*	A	Α	Α	Α	В	В
SH-45 WB FR/FM 1327 and IH-35 NB FR*	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В
SH-45 WB FR/FM 1327 and IH-35 SB FR*	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	В

^{* =} SIGNALIZED

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Please see Exhibit A for the summary of traffic improvements recommended with the TIA.
- 2) Based on discussions with TxDOT, the Sunfield PUD developer will provide at their expense the total of construction of the deceleration lane improvement at or before the time when 50% of the development's single-family lots are approved. In addition, the Sunfield PUD developer will provide at their expense the total cost of construction of the acceleration lane improvement at or before the expected build-out year of 2022.
- 3) The cost estimate for the recommended traffic improvements has not been approved by the City of Austin or Travis County. Fiscal posting for the traffic improvements will be deferred to the subdivision stage. A Traffic Phasing Agreement will be required along with an updated TIA when the subdivision preliminary plan is submitted.
- 4) Development of the PUD should be limited to uses and intensities which will not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the TIA and all roadways should be in full compliance with the intent of the City of Austin's Complete Streets Policy.
- 5) Additional right-of-way for Turnersville Road, Main Street, and North/South Turnersville Road shall be dedicated during the subdivision platting stage.
- 6) Development of this property should not exceed or vary from the projected traffic conditions assumed in the TIA, including peak hour trip generations, traffic distribution, roadway conditions, and other traffic related characteristics.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 974-7649.


Ivan J. Naranjo, MBA, Senior Transportation Planner


Transportation Review Staff – Land Use Review Division

City of Austin - Development Services Department

EXHIBIT A

Intersection	Approach	Mitigation Measure	Unit Cost	Unit	Amount	Total Estimated Cost	Percent MUD 2 Traffic on Movement or Intersection	Pro-Rata Share of Cost for Sunfield MUD 2
Main Street	Travis County	Construct 3-lane section w/ TWLTL				\$ 5,140,244.00	100%	\$ 5.140.244.00
		EB at N Turnersville / SH Add one (1) right-turn bay	\$ 500.00	per I.f. plus taper	400	\$ 200,000.00		
N Turnersville and SH	45 EBFR	Signalize	\$ 175,000.00	175,000.00 per l.f. plus taper	1	\$ 175,000.00		
45 Frontage Roads	SH 45 WBFR	Restripe WB approach to include L, LTR lanes	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 signs/markings	1	\$ 1,200.00	43%	
-		Signalize	\$ 175,000.00	175,000.00 per intersection	1	\$ 175,000.00		63
Turnersville and	Intersection		250,	250,000.00 per intersection	1	\$ 250,000.00	%09	\$ 148,985.22
Main/N Turnersville	SB N Turnersville	Add one (1) right-turn bay	\$ 500.00	500.00 per l.f. plus taper	400	\$ 200,000.00	62%	
Turnersville and IH-35	WB	ane on IH-35 NBFR for WBR Traffic	\$ 500.00	500.00 per l.f. plus taper	1200	\$ 600,000.00	27%	\$ 162,233.07
	NB		\$ 500.00	S00.00 per l.f. plus taper	400	\$ 200,000.00	11%	\$ 22.923.56
		striping U-turn lane	\$ 1,200.00	per l.f. plus taper	1	\$ 1,200.00	14%	
	IH-35 SBFR		\$ 500.00	500.00 per l.f. plus taper	400	\$ 200,000.00		28.
			\$ 40.00	40.00 per s.f. island	1000	\$ 40,000.00		
		Restripe IH-35 NBFR to provide a receiving lane for the U-turn.	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 signs/markings	1	\$ 1,200.00	8%	\$ 98.47
Main Street and IH-35	EB/WB Main under the bridge	Restripe 6-lane section to include 7 lanes with the center lane being used as back-to-back left-turn bays in EB and WB direction.	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 signs/markings	Ħ	\$ 1,200.00	18%	\$ 220.46
	EB Main under the bridge	EB Main under the bridge Change TL to through only	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 signs/markings		\$ 1,200.00	18%	\$ 220.46
	WB Main under the bridge	WB Main under the bridge Change TL to through only	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 per intersection	H	\$ 1,200.00	18%	\$ 220.46
	Intersections	w lanes	\$ 200,000.00	200,000.00 per intersection	2	\$ 400,000,00	17%	\$ 67.136.03
	NB IH-35 FR		\$ 500.00	500.00 per l.f. plus taper	400	\$ 200,000.00	%0	\$ \$
	SB IH-35 FR	Add second L lane by restriping U-turn lane as shared L-U lane	\$ 1,200.00	1,200.00 signs/markings	1	\$ 1,200.00	%0	\$
FM 2001 and IH 35		ne	\$ 40.00	40.00 per s.f. island	850	\$ 34,000.00	%0	. 35
	EB at SBFR	ving existing median		per I.f.	200	\$ 100,000.00		\$
	Intersections	Revise signal design for new lanes	\$ 50,000.00	50,000.00 per intersection	2	\$ 100,000.00	%9	\$ 5.929.49
				TOTAL		\$ 8,022,644.00		\$ 5,929,452.45
			-	Improvements on TxDOT Rdwy	DOT Rdwy	\$ 2,432,400.00		\$ 516,281.31







May 26, 2015

Via Email - Wendy.Rhoades@austintexas.gov>

Ms. Wendy Rhoades City of Austin Development Services Department Land Use Review 505 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Dear Ms. Rhoades,

Based on our student yields for new multi-family and single family residences, we anticipate the change in the development at Sunfield to increase our enrollment by approximately 1,575 students. This is based off our average yield for apartments of 0.4 students and our three-year average for single family homes of 0.75 students.

Based on the location of the development, the new students would attend Tom Green Elementary, middle school #6 and Hays High. We would anticipate 50 percent of the new students in elementary, 30 percent in middle and 20 percent in high.

	Stated	
School	Capacity	Enrollment
Tom Green Elementary	714	618
Middle School #6 *	800	650
Hays High School	2250	2315
*Opening 2016-17 with pro	jected enrolln	nent

This change in the development will require additional facilities to be built and increased transportation costs for the district.

If you need additional information, please let me know.


Sincerely,

Carter C. Scherff
Deputy Superintendent

Carter C. Scherff Deputy Superintendent

(512) 268-2141 scherffc@hayscisd.net

ATTACHMENTC



Educational Impact Assessment

Disclaimer: This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes, it does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries. This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the CIV of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



EXHIBIT VIII

EDUCATIONAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS) DETERMINATION

PART A

If your project is located in one or more of the following school districts, and requires Land Use Commission review; and meets one of the requirements listed below, an Educational Impact Statement is required.

x YES	□ NO	100 or more single family units are proposed
XYES	□ NO	200 or more multifamily units are proposed
□ YES	□ NO	100 or more multifamily units are proposed and a tax credit is requested
□ YES	□ NO	project will demolish more than 50 residential existing units in a structure more than 20 years old

Please check the appropriate school district(s).

Leander Independent School District
Pflugerville Independent School District

☐ Austin Independent School District

Hays County Independent School District
 Hays Consolidated Independent School District

☐ Del Valle Independent School District

 $\hfill \square$ Round Rock Independent School District

☐ Manor Independent School District



If an Educational Impact Statement (EIS) is required, please complete the Educational Impact Analysis (EIA) Part B.

ZONING



EDUCATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM Part B

OFFICE USE ONLY
CASE MANAGER:
APPLICANT/AGENT:
CASE NUMBER:
PROJECT NAME:
PROJECT ADDRESS:
PROPOSED USE:
EXISTING RESIDENTIAL UNITS
Existing Number of Residential Units: 0
Number of existing residential units to be demolished: 0
Age of units to be demolished: N/A
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
Gross Project Acreage: 482.45
Number of lots: Undetermined
Lots per acre: 5
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL UNITS
Proposed number of Residential Units: 3,074 (SF - 1,167 and MF - 1,907)
Size of proposed units in square feet (specify range): Undetermined
Number of bedrooms per unit: Undetermined

ZONING

ESTIMATED SELLING / RENTAL PRICE (EXISTING AND PROPOSED)
Estimated selling price of units (specify range): Undetermined
Estimated rental rates (if applicable): Undetermined
Range of monthly rental rates to be demolished: Undetermined to
Estimated increase in rental rates (specify percentage of increase): N/A
If project is multifamily, will a tax credit be applied for as part of the Smart Housing TM Program? No
Number of Certified Affordable Dwelling Units (Proposed or Existing) Undetermined
OFF-SITE FAMILY AMENITIES EXISTING WITHIN ONE MILE OF PROJECT (Open to the public – attach location plan)
Parks/Greenbelts: None
Recreation Centers: None
Public Schools: None
PARKLAND DEDICATION
Parkland dedication required? XYES □ NO
If yes, please indicate if applicant plans to request fee in lieu or provide parkland. Undetermined
Fee: YES NO
Land: □ YES □ NO
ON-SITE FAMILY AMENITIES PROPOSED
Will space be provided for childcare services? □ YES □ NO
Amount of open space required in acres: 74.5 acres
Amount of open space provided in acres: 86.4 acres
Other proposed amenities: (pools, clubhouse, recreation area): Amenity center; other undetermined
TRANSPORTATION LINKAGES
Closest Public Transit Location: South Park Meadows Station (At IH 35 and Slaughter Ln.)
Pedestrian/Bike Routes: None

Page 19 of 19



March 30, 2015

Mr. John M. Joseph Coats | Rose Barton Oaks Plaza 901 S. Mopac Expressway, Bdg 1, Ste. 500 Austin, Texas 78746

Re: Sunfield MUD No.2 Traffic Impact Analysis- Closeout Approval

Dear Mr. Joseph:

This letter serves as notice that TxDOT's review of the TIA, Rev. Sub. 03-30-2015, performed by Kimley Horn & Associates, for the proposed Sunfield Municipal Utility District No. 2 Development located in the southwestern limited purpose jurisdiction of Austin, Texas, is complete. The following are final comments for this TIA.

TxDOT concurs with the report's assumptions and recommendations for build out year of 2022. TxDOT also confirms the statements about discussions pertaining to the Developer's responsibility for acceleration & deceleration lanes versus the other mitigation measures.

With these comments stated, this TIA is approved.

Sincerely

Imelda L. Barrett, P.E.

Director of Transportation Operations, Austin District, TxDOT

cc: Terry G. McCoy, P.E., Austin District Deputy Engineer, TxDOT Vivek Deshpande, P.E., PTOE, Kimley Horn & Associates

Rhoades, Wendy

From:

Jason Brecht <Jason.Brecht@txdot.gov>

Sent:

Friday, March 27, 2015 8:57 AM

To:

Naranjo, Ivan; Imelda Barrett; jmjoseph@coatsrose.com; 'brian.vandewalle@Kimley-

horn.com'; david.greear@co.travis.tx.us

Cc:

Rhoades, Wendy; Jain, Sangeeta; vivek.deshpande@kimley-horn.com; John Nevares;

Kimberly Buck (kbuck@coatsrose.com); j-tate@swbell.net;

dwguerra@scarboroughlanedevelopment.com

Subject:

Sunfield MUD 2 TIA: Status update

Hello, Mr. Naranjo.

A & M Option 541, L.P. (Developer) and the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) reached a mutual understanding this week that will facilitate the approval of the Sunfield MUD No. 2 TIA. This email is to provide notice to the City of Austin that the pending TIA approval will reflect this understanding.

The Developer agrees to construct the two northbound IH35 frontage road improvements of a deceleration lane and acceleration lane at Turnersville Road. The developer accepts to provide said State improvements as defined by a Travis County Phasing Agreement and incorporated into a City of Austin PUD approval. The State accepts these improvement mitigations to be administered via the COA PUD approval.

The phasing agreement is to require the developer to provide at their expense the total cost of construction of the deceleration lane improvement at or before the time when 50% of the development's single family lots have their final plats approved by the respective county (Travis & Hays collectively). The phasing agreement will go on to require the developer to provide at their expense the total cost of construction of the acceleration lane improvement at or before the end of the TIA's declared build-out year of 2022. If unforeseen outside extenuating circumstances prohibit the developer from completing the development upon the build-out year, then the developer is to notify the State in writing of this condition and provide a TIA addendum with an updated build-out date.

The May 2014 TIA will be revised by Kimley Horn & Associates to reflect these pieces of understanding regarding mitigation. TxDOT will approve the TIA once it verifies these provisions are stated within it.

Please let me know if you have any questions on the above statements or require any clarification information to further process this development.

Regards, Jason

Texas Department of Transportation

Jason L. Brecht, P.E. | Traffic Engineer- South TxDOT Austin District 7901 N. IH 35 Austin, TX 78753 512.832.7349 | Jason.Brecht@txdot.gov

Drive Smart in Winter Weather

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Wendy Rhoades		- 200	
Wander Dlandan	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin
LIAIMING AND ZOUING DEPARTMENT	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin
Planning and Zoning Department	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:	If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	If von nor thin form to accomment it L I.	If von nor thin from to common to it.	If von nor thin found to commonst it
City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department			
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	,		
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department			
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department			
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department			
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department) I JAM I
Hyou use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Himo-	timo-	time-
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: Planning and Zoning Department	FINO - OMEDICAL FUNIT	TIMO - OMIT OF THE HOW	Time -
Asia Course this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Siggest countrion et this	Asiggost coustion et this	Lime - Chartion et this
Figure 2 to the form of the form of the form of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Lime - Charlen et this	Lime - Charlen et this	Diggost comother est this
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Asigguest guastion et this	Siggest & westion et this	Sigged ST & westron est their
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	June J	Chran Chran	of say
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Luce of the of t	Luce of the of t	Lune of the control
induce the sound of the second of the sound it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Luca Ho	Luca de la	Lastro
induces the southern extrusting of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Shule of the state	Luca A	STATE OF THE OF
The gold of the solution of the the solution of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Serve Dinont-	Solve Denont-	Level Dinont-
Comments: Account of not drop of the the debut of the form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Seculary of no me to a hure scishing ture of the start	Secular OF no Secondary of the secondary secsting the secondary	Lewedmont -
Comments: Accolled Co not Anas chout This counce to a white and both in may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	Seculty do not have the form the form of t	Develley do not have the to so with the or	Secully So not have been sone of the seculation
Comments: As college of not door chart This comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning and Zoning Department	LANCE COUNTY - LO LOS MONTOS - LOS LANCES - LOS MONTOS -	LACOPINONA - LO LOS MONTOS - LO LOS MINES	COULT DE NOT TO THE TO
Daytime Telephone: \$12 415-272 Comments: Accolded on not deposite the formation of the proposition of the formation of Austin Planning and Zoning Department.	LANGERANCE DE LA	SIZ 415-2 COLL DO not LO LO DONOMI - LO LO LO DONOMI - LO LO L	COULD DO not how a solution of the working of the construction of
Signature South Sonot Shoor of South Sonot Shoor of Shrite Fune Shoop of Strive Fune Shoon of the Strive Fune Shoon of the Strive Fune Shoon of the The was the returned to: ning Department	SIZ 415-2722 COCIA DO NOT DENSON CO LO DENVERTO DODON LINETURE PROBON L. C. LEDTION CT TO	SIZ 415-2722 COLL DO not don c LO DONON - WILL HAY LO DENNE DE ONDON LA LOS HON ENTERNO LO LOS HON ENTERNO T C LOS HON ENTERNO T	SIZ 415-2722 COLLY DO NOT DEPOND LO DEDWEEDE MAN LINCTURE PROBODING T C WONTON et 1
Signature Daytime Telephone: \$12 415-272 Comments: \$10 colour of net drop chout the second of net drop chout to second of the	Signature 512 415-2722 COLLY DO not don c to letter of the colon trincture of the colon t e vestion of the	Signature 512 415-2722 COLLY DO NOT DED C LOUGHOUNT WITH HAY TO DENINGED LINE THE THE THE THE THE THE COLUMN TO LESSTHON CUT THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	Signature 512 415-272 COULD DO NOT FROM C. LO DENINGENT WITH AVY LO DENINGENT PROPERTY
Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Show of the State String the Show of the State String Department it may be returned to:	Signature 512 415-27-2 COLLY DO NOT ARON C LO LE LINE OF OF STANDS LINE FULL OF	Signature 512 415-272 colley do not draw o bo eduncati many to eduncati many to eduncati many to eduncati many	Signature 512 415-2722 COLLY DO NOT HOUSE COLLY LO DENINGE WAS ENDERNOWN TO LESS HONE PROBABLE TO LESS HONE P
Signature Signature One: \$12 415-2722 As college of not drop of the college of t	Signature 512 415-272 colley de not deron a to restructure probability t c was tron et t	Signature Signature SIZ 415-272 COLLY DO NOT ARON C LANGERINGE AND HAY LANGERINGE AND ROLD IN TO LEAD THOM ON CIT A TO LEAD THOM O	Signature Signature SIZ 415-2722 COLLY OD NOT SERVICE LO DENINGEN LITH HAY LO DENINGEN LITHER LO DENINGEN LITH
Signature Signature Sone: S12 415-2722 Sound On not Amore Constituted to a sound of the constituted to: The comment, it may be returned to: ning Department	Signature 512 415-2722 COLLY DO NOT DEON C. LO DENOM - WILL YOU LO DENOM - WILL LO DENOM - W	Signature 512 415-2722 OUBLY DE NOT DEN C LO LE LOS HON EN EN LA THE	Signature Signature SIZ 415-27-22 COLLY OD NOT Sknow Colly Lo Le Le Labrich and Colly The Le Labrich and Colly The Le Labrich and Colly The Labric and Colly
Signature Signature Signature One: S12 415-27-22 Seculty On not Amore of the string of the proposition of the proposition of the string Department it may be returned to:	Signature Signature Signature SIZ 415-272 OUTO DO NOT FRON C. LANDON ON TOT FRON C. L	Signature Signature S12 415-272 S12 415-272 WACDINGNA - WITH YOU TO WASHION - WANDER STONE OF THE STONE	Signature To a control of the second
Signature Signature South South Stranger South South South Stranger South Sout	Signature	Signature	Signature
Signature Signature Solution Signature Signature Solution Signature Signature Signature Solution Signature Solution Signature Solution Signature Signature Signature Solution Signature Si	Signature	Signature	Signature
Signature Signature Signature Signature Status Comment, it may be returned to:	Signature Signature SIZ 415-2722 OULD ON NOT HOUND LANGE ON NO	Signature	Signature
ase print) (Maximin application) Signature	Signature	Signature	Signature
ase print) Signature Signature Signature One: \$12 415-272 Signature One: \$12 415-272 Signature One: \$12 415-272 Signature One: \$12 415-272 One: \$	Signature	Signature	Signature
ase print) ase print) (Maximized by this application Signature	Signature	Signature	Signature
ase print) ase print) Asserting application Signature Signatur	Signature	Signature	Signature
ase print) ase print) ase print) Agrected by this application Signature	Signature	Signature	Signature
June 11, 2015, City Council ase print) ase print) Signature Signature One: \$12 415-272 Augusture One: \$12 415-272 Augusture One: \$12 415-272 One:	June 11, 2015, City Council [Lw Bow rint] ected by this application Signature \$ 12 4 15 - 2722 Signature \$ 12 5 - 2722 Signature	June 11, 2015, City Council The Bow Signature Signature S12 415-272 Signature S12 415-272 UNDOPINON - UTIN 4VY HO DENINCOLLE PROPERTIES LATINGTUAL PROP	June 11, 2015, City Council The Bow Signature Signature S12 415-272 SULU SO NOT AND COUNCIL Sunday So Not Sunday Lo Le Lead Hoor Council Sunday So Not Council Sunday Sunda
ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council See Print) Signature Signature Signature One: \$12 415-272 Signature One: \$12 415-272 One: \$12 415-	Signature	Signature	May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Signat
ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Summing Department, it may be returned to: June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Si	May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Signat	May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Signatu	May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Signatu
ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council ase print) Signature Signature One: S12 415-272 One: S12 415-272 As colled On not Aron of Aron o	Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature S	Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature S	Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Si
endy Rhoades, 512-974-7719 ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Lase print) Signature Signature One: \$ 12 4 \times - 2 + 22 As collected by this application Not collected by this application Signature Signature Signature One: \$ 12 4 \times - 2 + 22 As collected by this application Signature Si	Signature	Signature	Signature
er: C814-2014-0083 endy Rhoades, 512-974-7719 ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Lime 11, 2015, City Council Signature Signature Signature One: S12 415-272 As colled on this application Signature Signature One: S12 415-272 One: S12 415-2	Rhoades, 512-974-7719 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Sign	Signature	Signature
notice. er: C814-2014-0083 endy Rhoades, 512-974-7719 ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Lase print) Signature Signature Signature One: S12 415-272 As collected by this application Signature Signature Signature One: S12 415-272 As collected by this application Signature	Signature	Signature	Signature
er: C814-2014-0083 endy Rhoades, 512-974-7719 ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Lase print) ase print) Signature Signature Signature One: S12 412-22 As COLLA ON NOT AS COLLA Signature One: S12 412-22 As COLLA ON NOT AS COLLA Signature One: S12 412-22 As COLLA ON NOT AS COLLA Signature One: S12 412-22 As COLLA ON NOT AS COLLA Signature Signat	hearing, and the Case Number and the contect. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Live Dean Council Signature	hearing, and the Case Number and the contect. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Live Dean Signature Signatur	hearing, and the Case Number and the contect. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council June 11, 2015, City Council Signature
er: C814-2014-0083 eri C814-2014-0083 sing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council ase print) Signature Sign	Hearing, and the Case Number and the contest. Hearing, and the Case Number and the contest. Hoades, 512-974-719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Live Dean Signature	Hearing, and the Case Number and the contest. Hearing, and the Case Number and the contest. Hoades, 512-974-719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Live Down Signature Signatur	Hearing, and the Case Number and the control hearing, and the Case Number and the control. 1814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Sign
ase print) Signature Signature Signature To a color of a commission of the conting of the co	include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the conties. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Council Signature Signatur	include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the control. Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature	include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the control of the
n listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing and the Case Number and the control notice. er: C814-2014-0083 endy Rhoades, 512-974-7719 ing: May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council ase print) Signature Signature Signature One: \$12 415 - 2722 One: \$15 4000000000000000000000000000000000000	ted on the notice) before or at a public hearing include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the cont e. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council On this application Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature The state of the control of the	ted on the notice) before or at a public hearing include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the contie. 814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature Si	ted on the notice) before or at a public hearing include the board or commission's name, the hearing, and the Case Number and the contie. 1814-2014-0083 Rhoades, 512-974-7719 May 26, 2015, Planning Commission June 11, 2015, City Council Signature S