

THIRD READING SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2014-0153 – The Enclave at Oak Parke

REQUEST:

Approve third reading of an ordinance amending City Code Chapter 25-2 by zoning property locally known as 10301-10317 Salmon Drive (Slaughter Creek Watershed-Barton Springs Zone) from interim – rural residence (I-RR) district zoning and interim – single family residence-standard lot (I-SF-2) district zoning to single family residence-standard lot (SF-2) district zoning, with conditions. A Restrictive Covenant would require vehicular access through the property from Salmon Drive to the north property line.

DISTRICT AREA: 8

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The ordinance is consistent with City Council action taken on First and Second Readings.

The Owner does not desire to sign the proposed Restrictive Covenant as written. The proposed Restrictive Covenant outlines the requirement to provide a vehicular connection to the adjacent 12 acre (Sawmill) tract to the north and was approved on First and Second Readings. As directed by Council at Second Reading, the Staff and the Applicant have discussed this issue a few times and have not reached a mutual agreement on the Restrictive Covenant provisions. Staff has elected not to recommend SF-2 zoning for this property (the Salmon tract) in the absence of a restrictive covenant addressing vehicular access to the Sawmill tract.

As an alternative, Staff has determined that if permanent RR and SF-2 district zonings are approved in accordance with the six (6) lots shown on the existing recorded subdivision plats and the zoning map, then a Restrictive Covenant would not be required. The Owner, however, is seeking permanent SF-2 zoning that may or may not align with the current lot layout.

The requirement to provide a secondary vehicular access point from the property provides for connectivity and is not a Code requirement. Impervious cover on the subject tract as well as the undeveloped 12 acre Sawmill tract to the north is limited to 15 percent which affects how the property can be developed. To that end, Staff estimates that the Salmon tract could be developed with a maximum of 11 lots. This is fewer lots than shown in the conceptual drawings received by Staff during the course of this zoning case.

The Owner has provided correspondence and substitute draft Restrictive Covenant language addressing his concerns. The Oak Parke Home Owners Association has submitted correspondence that supports a vehicular connection to the Sawmill tract to the north. Please refer to attached correspondence following this Summary Sheet.

OWNER & APPLICANT: Mark Alan Cunningham

DATE OF FIRST READING: November 20, 2014, Approved SF-2 district zoning, with conditions, on First Reading (7-0).

DATE OF SECOND READING: February 12, 2015, Approved SF-2 district zoning with conditions of a Restrictive Covenant, on Second Reading (11-0). Direction was given to staff to work with the applicant on the conditions to be included in the Restrictive Covenant.

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: November 12, 2015

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

ASSIGNED STAFF: Wendy Rhoades
e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

Rhoades, Wendy

From: Mark Cunningham [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2015 11:08 AM
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Cc: 'Thelma Alvarado-Garza'
Subject: Re: Zoning Case No. C14-2014-0153; (Enclave at Oak Parke)

Hello Wendy -

I had a very nice meeting yesterday with representatives of the Oak Parke HOA. During the meeting we were able to discuss theoretical, philosophical, and practical issues related to the zoning of this property and it's potential development in the future. I think, as a result of the meeting, there is a lot better understanding all around of the issues at hand. I was able to show, as an example, a variety of possibly plat layouts wherein a road through the property is not necessary to take advantage of a north side ingress/egress to divide the traffic between north and south (and, in fact, would be problematic to such a design). I was also able to point out that all of this would and will be revisited if/when a plat is ever submitted.

Also during the meeting, I expressed again my willingness to sign a restrictive covenant, if written (strictly) as below, that insures the use of a north side ingress/egress option if it exists - even though I think it moot because anyone with any sense would do that anyway. (Bear in mind that it matter, a lot, where such a connection might be - as there is really only one location along my north property line where such a connection could work, and I have no control over what the adjacent property owner might independently do.) I also made clear though that I won't support any restrictive covenant that a) seeks to burden the property any further than SOS has already (or would otherwise dictate to generations in the distant future unalterable limitations that might conflict with the then prevailing City rules or objectives), b) seeks to burden this property disproportionately or in ways the adjacent property (with Oak Parke HOA support) was not, c) that would impractically consume much of what precious little impervious cover there is left as allowed under SOS for additional street extensions that do not fit or otherwise do not allow for the full spectrum of various potential platting designs and options that exist, or d) could potentially result in money and/or impervious cover wasted on what could become a street or access or "road to nowhere".

My sense is the concerned residents want more clarity or confirmation from you about the density of development practically allowed under SOS with an SF2 zoning (meaning, I think they want some assurance that the total number of lots effectively allowed under SOS is more clearly 20 or even less and not secretly 50) and then also some sense about what can be genuinely expected with respect to the adjacent property and it's development since it enjoyed HOA support and has no restrictions (other than the 50 lot limit the HOA and owner agreed to privately between the the owner and HOA) So a meeting in that regard might be requested by them, and I'd be quite happy to attend too if useful.

Thanks!

Mark

"If the Property (combined, together, and in whole) is (re)platted, and if the property and/or properties adjacent and adjoining have been (re)platted so as to provide to an appropriate and compatible location along the north property line of the Property an extension of the vehicular access and public right-of-way via Sawmill Drive, such (re)platting of the Property will be of a form and design that reasonably and appropriately takes

advantage and makes use of said right-of-way extension so as to provide and facilitate, for the residents of all or part of the Property, vehicular access (ingress and egress) to and from the north that would be in addition and/or an alternative to vehicular access via Salmon Drive (from the south)."

January 31, 2015

Mayor Steve Adler &
City Council

301 W. Second St.
Austin, TX 78701

RE: Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153

- Delia Garza | District 2
- Gregorio "Greg" Casar | District 4
- Don Zimmerman | District 6
- Leslie Pool | District 7
- Sheri Gallo | District 10
- Ora Houston | District 1
- Sabino "Pio" Renteria | District 3
- Ann Kitchen | District 5
- Ellen Troxclair | District 8
- Kathie Tovo | District 9

Dear Mayor and Council:

I am writing about an issue that needs to be addressed and resolved relative to the referenced zoning case #C14-2014-0153, which is next scheduled for review at the February 12 City Council meeting. It is not a complex issue, nor even contentious really, but unfortunately it needs some explaining ahead of time so that any questions or concerns can be addressed in advance of the meeting.

The subject property is one of two small "twin" 12 acre properties that are the remainder tracts of a large residential development (Oak Parke). These properties are squeezed between that development (Oak Parke) and Slaughter Creek and they have significant restrictions on development due to the presence of some flood plain and, more impactfully, the application of the *Save our Springs* (SOS) ordinance. I own one of these 12 acre properties, but not the other. Both were annexed by the City many years ago now and had not yet been through the zoning process. All of the surrounding property and existing development is zoned (appropriately) SF-2, and last year the Council approved, without conditions, SF-2 zoning for the adjoining "twin" 12 acre property – which, again, I do not own and which is accessed via Sawmill Rd (whereas my property is accessed via Salmon Dr.). This (re)zoning was done without controversy or restrictions and with the full support of the Oak Parke neighborhood association. I subsequently filed to have my property zoned SF-2 as well, which was approved by the Zoning and Platting Commission and then sent on for first reading by the City Council, which gave approval on November 20. However, during the zoning hearings, because my property is accessible via Salmon Dr., versus Sawmill Rd, some neighbors (on one side of the neighborhood) expressed concern about that access and the related vehicular activity.

Again, this is only a 12 acre tract, almost half of which is rendered undevelopable/unbuildable due to the presence of some flood plain and, more critically, application of the *Save our Springs* (SOS) ordinance – meaning it can only have less than 20 or so lots in a neighborhood and area where there are hundreds of homes - so there really isn't a 'traffic' issue, and whatever access issues there might be are best, and more appropriately, addressed at the time of (re)platting - when something specific can be designed, presented, and approved. As will happen in these matters, some neighbors were in favor of the existing access via Salmon while, in contrast, others were in favor of an additional/alternative access via Sawmill. However, this (my) property has no access to Sawmill, and it will never have access to Sawmill unless the adjacent property owner were to build a road through his equally small property to mine (which neither the Zoning and Platting Commission nor the City Council required of that owner and which that owner, on his own, has no reason or incentive or requirement to do). Bear in mind please also that none of this has anything to do with what the proper zoning is, which is uncontestedly SF-2, just like the adjacent 12 acre "twin" (Sawmill) property and the entire surrounding Oak Parke area.

So it can easily be argued that these access issues are (and will be) more properly managed during the platting process, when real access/road plans can and will be made - but (in a bit of a split the baby move) the Zoning and Platting Commission recommended to approve the zoning subject to a restrictive covenant requiring that access from the north be facilitated as well (even though, again, no requirement was made of the adjacent property owner to extend a road through his property to mine and, therefore, such a requirement might just be a road to nowhere for no reason, especially given the limited number of potential lots involved. Even more, the generic, boiler plate restrictive covenant that was introduced by the City staff to try and fulfill this requirement was/is inadequate to that purpose and, in fact, would place my property in violation of it as soon as it is signed and recorded – that because it requires the creation of vehicular access to the north property line while being completely silent about how or where or when or if "facilitating vehicular access" to/from the north would now or ever be accomplished and with what specifications or placement. This being even more problematic in light of the fact that the adjoining property is under no obligation to extend any road to the adjacent property line and, even if he were, there is no mechanism available to insure that the placement of this "vehicular access" would match and/or meet.

If this property was treated like the adjacent/surrounding Oak Parke property was in the past, there would be ~50 single family lots on it, but its **development is highly restricted such that, at most, maybe 20** (and maybe well less than that) **SF-2 lots could be created**. It is also conceivable that **the property may remain just 6 lots**, as previously/currently platted, or it **may legally be replatted to even fewer lots than that** (down to even 1). So, in all scenarios, the traffic/access impact on the Oak Parke development is negligible anyway and, in any case, **any restrictive covenant created and recorded needs to accommodate all of these possibilities**.

There are two ways to address this. The simplest, and **most appropriate** is to **drop the restrictive covenant requirement altogether**, realizing that **all of these access issues will arise again at the time of (re)plating, when they can be more appropriately addressed with specificity**. The other way to address it is to write a restrictive covenant **to replace the generic one that was used and approved at first reading** with one that is more precisely written so that it:

1. Satisfies the general, practical, and underlying intent of the original (which the current proposed restrictive covenant is considerably vague about).
2. Does not place the property in violation at the time the restrictive covenant is recorded and prior to a platting or replating and subsequent development (which is why the current proposed restrictive can never be executed as is).
3. Does not preclude (practically or by law) any future otherwise legal platting or replating.

The existing proposed restrictive covenant simply says: *"Vehicular access is required through the Property from Salmon Drive to the north property line"*. You can see that this is so vague that it becomes impractical and inappropriate - it **accomplishes nothing for any party on either side** of this issue (especially since there is no access at the north property line to connect to). Worse, it **places the property immediately in violation** because, until some future replating and alternative development, there can/will be no such vehicular access designed or built or placed or provided. **Such a restrictive covenant will simply clutter and confuse the chain of title without practical purpose**. If the Council, even after this clarification and review, **still feels it must attach such a condition to this zoning, though it did not do so for the "twin" (Sawmill) property, we have proposed the attached restrictive covenant**, which more specifically and appropriately describes the situation and intent (i.e. accomplishing 1-3 above). [See #1 of the attached restrictive covenant for the relevant section.]

I believe **this replacement restrictive covenant more properly addresses this issue and covers the various practical contingencies**. Bear in mind though that **we still feel any restrictive covenant along these lines is impractical, premature, and unnecessary**, as **this issue is best, and more appropriately handled during the platting process** when specifics, and the then current reality on the ground, can and will be addressed (designed and approved) - but if a restrictive covenant must be attached to the zoning, it needs to be both more specific to this particular case while also being sufficiently broad so as to accommodate any future (otherwise allowable) legal platting or replating - **otherwise we risk requiring the building of a road to nowhere for no reason**.

I **apologize that such a tedious issue must be placed before you**, especially because it is such a small issue and really is moot anyway until the time of (re)plating. But **it is important to me that any questions or confusion or concerns be addressed with you prior to the February 12 City Council meeting** so we can all arrive at the meeting with clarity of intent and purpose (as often these meetings are very time constrained for discussion, which can understandably sometimes lead to actions that, upon further reflection, may not be the same ones taken had there been more time to discuss and review).

So, the bottom line, **I am asking that the Council specifically agree to drop the restrictive covenant requirement** altogether - knowing that no such requirement was made of the "twin" (Sawmill) property last year and **knowing that nothing intended by it can happen until (re)plating anyway**, when all of these issues will be addressed again, in earnest, and with better clarity. **This is the best solution all around**. But if somehow this is not done, then I am asking at least that the alternative restrictive covenant (as rewritten and presented/attached here) be approved instead.

Thank you for your time, attention, and consideration. I am available at anytime to discuss this further with you or staff.



Mark Cunningham

cc: Wendy Rhoades; Case Manager; Planning Department



Oak Parke

HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION

October 5, 2015


Mayor Steve Adler
Delia Garza, District 2
Gregorio "Greg" Casar, District 4
Don Zimmerman, District 6
Leslie Pool, District 7
Sheri Gallo, District 10

Ora Houston, District 1
Sabino "Pio" Renteria, District 3
Ann Kitchen, District 5
Ellen Troxclair, District 8
Kathie Tovo, District 9

Re: #C14-2014-0153

Honorable Mayor and Council:

We, the acting board of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association are writing to you regarding zoning case #C14-2014-0153. The main point of contention with the zoning designation is its affect on ingress/egress issues of our neighborhood as well as future fire and emergency access to a property that is in the very back of our neighborhood. The property is approximately 12 acres. As shown below (see "x"), this property currently has only one access point at Salmon Drive which is at the back of our neighborhood.



While we recognize that the current recommendation is to approve the zoning request of SF-2 for the entire tract with condition requiring the extension of Salmon Drive to the north property line of the adjacent property, we are also aware of Mr. Cunningham's reluctance to enter into such a covenant. If there is any chance that a zoning approval would be made without condition, we must request that the property be permanently zoned as is; i.e. I-RR to permanent RR and I-SF-2 to permanent SF-2. As indicated by the City Rezoning Department in their summary sheet "if permanent RR and SF2 rezoning are approvedthen a Restrictive Covenant would not be required." The key reasons why we are requesting this zoning follows.

First, there are significant traffic and ingress/egress issues that already exist in our neighborhood. We are a cut-through for cars from Brodie Lane to Slaughter Lane and we back up to the largest high school in Austin - Bowie High.



School buses on any given afternoon trying to exit from Wolftrap to Slaughter Lane.



Aspen Creek exit onto Brodie Lane (vehicles headed southbound)

We have very high traffic coursing through our neighborhood, at least, twice a day. Thus our desire to ensure that the density of homes remains at a minimum. If the pending zoning application is approved, the owner of the tract (Cunningham) has proposed, at least, 20 new homes on the tract (see diagram above). Allowing the only access to this property and new development to remain at the back of our neighborhood, places high demand on inner streets that are not meant for thoroughfare traffic.

On a practical basis, the streets at the back of Oak Parke, can easily be single lane streets when cars are parked on the curb.



A prior rezoning application for an adjacent tract (the "Sawmill Tract") north of the subject Cunningham tract was granted and allows for, at a minimum, 30 new homes. That could allow for a total of 50 new homes. With the typical home having two cars, this puts at a minimum 100 new cars in our already busy neighborhood.

Should the Cunningham Tract in its entirety be approved for SF-2, it is imperative that there be a stub out at the northern end of the property. There is little doubt that the Sawmill tract will eventually be developed and this forward thinking request will ensure an irreversible mistake is not made. The stub out would allow for the future connectivity of Salmon and Sawmill streets for better and safer traffic flow and would further allow fire and emergency services quick access to the back of the neighborhood. It would also ease traffic flow through the neighborhood. If the property is developed without a stub out, traffic from the Cunningham tract, all new residents, fire and emergency will be required to navigate through to the back of the neighborhood rather than having a quick ingress/egress via Sawmill Drive.

We further request that an 11-home restriction be included with the stub out condition. With these two requests (stub-out and unit restriction), the additional

impervious cover, and watershed restrictions on the Cunningham property we believe (and city staff agrees) that approximately 11 homes could be constructed.

Approval of these reasonable requests:

- I-RR to permanent RR and I-SF-2 to permanent SF-2; **or**
- Condition requiring the extension of Salmon Drive to the north property line of the adjacent property *should the entire tract get zoned SF-2; **and***
- 11 home restriction *should the entire tract get zoned SF-2.*

will ensure a good quality of life for new and existing residents and more importantly will ensure fast emergency access for our new neighbors.

Sincerely,

The Oak Parke Homeowners Association

ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2014-0153 – The Enclave at Oak Parke

Z.A.P. DATE: October 21, 2014
November 4, 2014

ADDRESS: 10301 – 10317 Salmon Drive

DISTRICT AREA: 8

OWNER: Mark Alan Cunningham

AGENT: Frie Planning, Development
& Construction (Ross Frie)

ZONING FROM: I-RR; I-SF-2

TO: SF-2

AREA: 12.82 acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district zoning.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmon Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

October 21, 2014: *APPROVED A POSTPONEMENT TO NOVEMBER 4, 2014*
[S. COMPTON; B. BAKER – 2ND] (5-0) P. SEEGER; R. MCDANIEL – ABSENT

November 4, 2014: *PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED. THE MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF SF-2-CO DISTRICT ZONING WITH CONDITION REQUIRING THE EXTENSION OF SALMON DRIVE TO THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE TO THE ADJACENT PROPERTY, ALSO INCLUDING THE TRANSPORTATION REVIEWERS COMMENTS WAS APPROVED BY COMMISSIONER SEEGER, COMMISSIONER GABRIEL ROJAS SECONDED THE MOTION ON A VOTE OF 6-1; COMMISSIONER SEAN COMPTON VOTED AGAINST THE MOTION (NAY).*

ISSUES:

The Owner does not desire to sign the Restrictive Covenant outlining the requirement to provide a vehicular connection to the adjacent 12 acre tract to the north that was approved on First Reading. Furthermore, the Owner has provided correspondence and a substitute draft Restrictive Covenant addressing his concerns. Please refer to attached correspondence following this Summary Sheet.

Staff has determined that if permanent RR and SF-2 district zonings are approved in accordance with the lot layout shown on the existing recorded subdivision plats and the

zoning map, then a Restrictive Covenant would not be required. The Owner, however, is seeking permanent SF-2 zoning that may or may not align with the current lot layout.

The Oak Parke Home Owners Association met on Thursday, October 16, 2014 to discuss the Applicant's request. All correspondence received is attached at the back of the Staff packet.

A conceptual plan was provided to Staff and shows a cul-de-sac extending from the terminus of Salmon Drive and into the adjacent 12.85 acre SF-2-CO zoned tract to the north that accesses Sawmill Drive (the Sawmill tract). The conceptual plan shows a total of 27 lots with all lots except one located on the eastern portion of the property. The conceptual plan shows that the west one-half (approximately) of the property as well as that of the Sawmill tract is within the water quality transition zone (WQTZ) and critical water quality zone (CWQZ) of the 100-year flood plain of Slaughter Creek. In the absence of a variance to the Barton Springs Zone regulations, no development may occur in the WQTZ and CWQZ.

Impervious cover is limited to 15 percent in the Barton Springs Zone which also presents a challenge in development. Staff estimates that approximately 11 single family residential lots could be constructed on the subject 12.82 acre rezoning area. Additional lots could be constructed on the adjacent SF-2-CO property to the north for a unified development, or the properties could be developed independently resulting in cul-de-sac design for both properties. In the absence of a variance, cul-de-sacs are limited to 2,000 linear feet, measured from lot line to the beginning of the "bulb" of the cul-de-sac.

In order to distribute vehicular traffic generated from the proposed development as well as that of the Sawmill tract, adjacent neighbors have expressed an interest in connecting the two developments, with street access provided to Salmon Drive as well as Sawmill Drive. The conceptual plan indicates that the existing ranch house that accesses Sawmill Drive will remain, which may explain why a through-street design is not shown. The 15 percent impervious cover limit includes street infrastructure, hence, a connection between Salmon and Sawmill would result in a higher allocation for street infrastructure, and likely, fewer lots.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject platted lots are undeveloped and located at the terminus of Salmon Drive. The eastern portion of the site is platted for five flag lots and zoned interim – single family residence-standard lot (I-SF-2) district and the western portion of the property is platted as one 10+ acre lot and zoned interim – rural residence (I-RR). At the time the plat was reviewed and recorded, it was subject to Travis County standards. The Applicant reports that water and wastewater lines are in place at the terminus of Salmon Drive and extend to the northeast lot of this subdivision. The property is surrounded by one single family residence to the north (zoned SF-2-CO and limited to 30 units), single family residences to the east and south within the Oak Creek Parke subdivision (SF-2), and the Circle C Ranch Metropolitan Park to the west (I-RR). Slaughter Creek traverses the west property line. Please refer to Exhibits A (Zoning Map), A-1 (Aerial View), B and C (Recorded Plat).

The Applicant has requested the single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district in order to provide additional single family residential lots on the property (under the current configuration a total of six single family residences could be constructed). One path to accomplish this would be to vacate the existing two plats on the property and plat the property with a new lot configuration. Access would be taken from the terminus of Salmon Drive. The adjacent property to the north zoned SF-2-CO may be developed in conjunction with the subject property. SF-2 zoning is consistent with the adjacent properties in the Oak Creek Parke subdivision which also have SF-2 zoning.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

	ZONING	LAND USES
<i>Site</i>	I-RR	Undeveloped
<i>North</i>	SF-2-CO	One single family residence
<i>South</i>	DR; SF-2	Parkland (owned by the City of Austin); Single family residences in the Oak Creek Parke Subdivision
<i>East</i>	SF-2	Single family residences in the Oak Creek Parke Subdivision
<i>West</i>	I-RR	Circle C Ranch Metropolitan Park

AREA STUDY: N/A

TIA: Is not required

WATERSHED: Slaughter Creek –
Barton Springs Zone – Recharge Zone

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: No

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

SCENIC ROADWAY: No

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

217 – Tanglewood Forest Neighborhood Association
 298 – Oak Hill Association of Neighbors (OHAN)
 384 – Save Barton Creek Association 427 – Circle C Homeowners Association
 627 – Onion Creek Homeowner's Association 742 – Austin Independent School District
 943 – Save Our Springs Alliance 967 – Circle C Neighborhood Association
 1037 – Homeless Neighborhood Association 1075 – Bike Austin
 1118 – Oak Parke Homeowner's Association
 1200 – Super Duper Neighborhood Objectors and Appealers Organization
 1228 – Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group
 1340 – Austin Heritage Tree Foundation 1363 – SEL Texas

SCHOOLS:

Baranoff Elementary School

Bailey Middle School

Bowie High School

CASE HISTORIES:

NUMBER	REQUEST	COMMISSION	CITY COUNCIL
C14-2013-0125 – Sawmill Rezoning – 4010 Sawmill Dr (12.853 acres)	I-RR to SF-2	To Grant SF-2-CO w/CO for max. 30 units	Apvd as Commission rec. (12-12-2013).
C14-89-0060 – Bowie 200 – Along Brodie Ln and south of W Slaughter Ln	DR to SF-2	To Grant	Apvd SF-2 (1-4-1990).

RELATED CASES:

The subject property was annexed into the City limits on December 31, 1998 (C7a-98-005 – Ordinance No. 981210-I). The eastern portion of the rezoning area is platted as The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and consists of five flag lots accessed from Salmon Drive. The western portion is platted as The Enclave of Oak Parke Section Two and consists of one 10+ acre lot. Both subdivisions were recorded in October 1998, prior to annexation into the City limits (C8-94-0278.1A; C8-94-0278.2A).

ABUTTING STREETS:

Note: The existing frontage for the site is a stub out which does not extend through the property.

Name	ROW	Pavement	Classification	Sidewalks	Bike Route	Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)
Salmon Drive	50 feet	30 feet	Local	Yes	No	No

CITY COUNCIL DATE: November 20, 2014

ACTION: Approve SF-2 district zoning, with conditions requiring vehicular access through the property from Salmon Drive to the northern property line, as recommended by the Zoning and Platting Commission, on First Reading (7-0).

December 11, 2014

Approved a Postponement request by the Applicant to January 29, 2015 (6-1, Council Member Morrison voted nay).

January 29, 2015	Approved a Postponement request by Staff to February 12, 2015 (11-0).
February 12, 2015	Approved SF-2 district zoning with conditions of a Restrictive Covenant, on Second Reading (11-0). Direction was given to Staff to work with the Applicant on the conditions to be included in the Restrictive Covenant.
October 8, 2015	Approved a Postponement request by the Staff and the Applicant to November 12, 2015 (10-0, Council Member Pool was off the dais.)


November 12, 2015




ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st November 20, 2014 2nd February 12, 2015 3rd

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Wendy Rhoades
e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 512-974-7719



-  SUBJECT TRACT
-  PENDING CASE
-  ZONING BOUNDARY



1" = 400'

ZONING


ZONING CASE#: C14-2014-0153

EXHIBIT A




This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



THE ENCLAVE AT OAK PARKE SECTION TWO



1.0 ACRES
EVALUATED BY: J. B. HARRIS, JR.
DATE: 12/15/99, FIG. 4105

- SCALE: 1" = 100'
- LEGEND:
- Iron Red Found In Concrete
 - Iron Red Found
 - Iron Red Set
 - Sidewalk
 - Utility Flatish Floor Elevations

DETAIL OF SALMON DRIVE
ENLARGED FOR CLARITY


For Joint Use: BEAR, Waterways Department
Refer to Vol. 102, Page 107
of the Deed Records of Travis County,
Texas.

CURVE	DELTA ANGLE	RADIUS	ARC	TANGENT	CHORD	CHORD BEARING
C 1	04°41'22"	390.00'	32.18'	16.10'	32.17'	N 37°20'56"E
C 2	12°30'58"	390.00'	87.05'	43.70'	86.87'	N 20°40'42"E
C 3	07°12'10"	560.00'	70.42'	35.28'	70.38'	N 24°01'53"E
C 4	02°28'18"	545.00'	23.18'	11.60'	23.19'	N 28°47'20"E
C 5	05°55'37"	495.00'	47.48'	23.70'	47.48'	N 33°54'36"E
C 6	10°31'02"	414.00'	76.00'	38.10'	75.80'	N 25°41'14"E
C 7	05°33'34"	545.00'	52.88'	26.46'	52.86'	N 23°12'30"E
C 8	04°07'38"	550.00'	38.22'	19.12'	38.22'	N 27°50'30"E
C 9	05°49'32"	525.00'	35.17'	17.48'	35.17'	N 25°41'14"E
C 10	05°49'32"	525.00'	35.17'	17.48'	35.17'	N 25°41'14"E
C 11	05°35'32"	515.00'	53.24'	26.64'	53.21'	N 27°02'47"E
C 12	01°58'30"	515.00'	40.87'	8.88'	40.87'	N 25°41'14"E
C 13	07°40'35"	500.00'	60.35'	34.23'	60.29'	N 21°24'58"E
C 14	10°31'02"	495.00'	47.48'	23.70'	47.48'	N 20°05'31"E
C 15	04°41'22"	390.00'	32.18'	16.10'	32.17'	N 25°40'36"E

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L 1	N 32°16'53"W	20.11'
L 2	S 60°02'42"E	15.01'
L 3	N 30°03'32"E	15.00'
L 4	S 30°59'32"E	15.00'
L 5	N 30°03'28"E	116.34'
L 6	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 7	N 30°00'28"E	116.34'
L 8	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 9	N 30°01'21"W	15.00'
L 10	S 59°03'14"E	15.00'
L 11	S 59°03'14"E	15.00'
L 12	S 59°03'14"E	15.00'
L 13	N 30°03'32"E	15.00'
L 14	S 30°59'32"E	15.00'
L 15	N 30°03'28"E	116.34'
L 16	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 17	N 30°00'28"E	116.34'
L 18	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 19	N 30°00'28"E	116.34'
L 20	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 21	N 30°03'28"E	116.34'
L 22	S 59°59'32"E	15.00'
L 23	N 30°00'28"E	116.34'
L 24	S 59°59'32"E	15.14'
L 25	N 30°03'28"E	15.15'
L 26	S 59°03'14"E	15.15'
L 27	N 30°03'14"E	8.00'
L 28	N 30°56'46"E	8.00'

CITY OF AUSTIN LAND INFORMATION SERVICES
ADDRESS BY: J. B. HARRIS, JR.
DATE: 12/15/99
FIG. 4105
PLAT 102-267
ADDRESS QUESTIONS, CALL 512-468-2707



© 1999 - ACCUTEX SURVEY SYSTEMS, INC.

ACCUTEX
SURVEY SYSTEMS

PROFESSIONAL SURVEYING & MAPPING
AUSTIN, TEXAS
512-468-2707

C8-94-0278.2A Plat No. D-056.a SHEET 1 OF 2

EXHIBIT C
RECORDED PLAT

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district zoning.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmon Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.

The single family residence standard lot (SF-2) district is intended for a moderate density single-family residential use on a lot that is a minimum of 5,750 square feet. This district is appropriate for existing single-family neighborhoods having moderate sized lots or to new development of single-family housing areas with minimum land requirements.

- 2. Zoning changes should promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses.*
3. Zoning should be consistent with approved and existing residential densities.

SF-2 zoning is consistent with the adjacent lots in the Oak Creek Parke subdivision which also have SF-2 zoning.

EXISTING CONDITIONS**Site Characteristics**

The subject property is undeveloped and slopes to the west, towards Slaughter Creek. The western portion of the rezoning area is identified as a drainage easement. In particular, the western portion of the site has dense tree cover.

Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the SF-2 zoning district is 15% based on the more restrictive watershed regulations.

Comprehensive Planning/ Imagine Austin

This zoning case is located on 12.8 acres of undeveloped land, to the north of Salmon Drive. This property is not located within the boundaries of a neighborhood planning area. Surrounding land uses includes undeveloped land and Bowie High School to the north, single family houses to the south and east, and the Circle C Ranch Metro Park to the west. The proposed use is a single family.

Imagine Austin

The site is situated over the Barton Springs Zone and the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone as identified on the Imagine Austin's Environmental Resources Map, found in the Image Austin Comprehensive Plan (IACP). An aquifer contributing zone is an area where runoff from precipitation flows to the recharge zone of an aquifer. Streams in the contributing zone flow downstream into the recharge zone and "contribute" water to the aquifer. The following Imagine Austin policies are relevant to this case:

- **LUT P21.** Ensure that redevelopment in the Edwards Aquifer's recharge and contributing zones maintains the quantity and quality of recharge of the aquifer.
- **LUT P22** Protect Austin's natural resources and environmental systems by limiting land use and transportation development in sensitive environmental areas and preserving areas of open space.
- **CE P2.** Conserve Austin's natural resources systems by limiting development in sensitive environmental areas, including the Edwards Aquifer, its contributing and recharge zones, and endangered species habitat.

Staff believes that the proposed single family subdivision is consistent with the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan. However, due to the site's location over an environmentally sensitive area, there will be at the site planning stage an environmental review to determine if any critical environmental features are located on the site. If any are located, mitigation and setbacks necessitated by the land development code will be required.

Environmental

This site is located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Slaughter Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Barton Springs Zone Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. It is in the Drinking Water Protection Zone.

Project applications at the time of this report are subject to the SOS Ordinance that allows 15% impervious cover in the Recharge Zone.

According to floodplain maps there is a floodplain within the project location. In addition, COA GIS shows a Water Quality Transition Zone and a Critical Water Quality Zone within the project location. Construction within these areas is limited.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development's requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep

slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site. Runoff from the site is required to comply with pollutant load restrictions as specified in Land Development Code.

Transportation

If the requested zoning is granted for this site, 50 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from each side of the centerline of Salmon Drive in accordance with the Transportation Criteria Manual, in order to accommodate traffic anticipated to be generated by this site. LDC, 25-6-55; TCM, Tables 1-7, 1-12.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmon Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

Please contact Nadia Barrera, Urban Trails, Public Works Department regarding pedestrian connectivity per the Council Resolution.

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-113]

Water and Wastewater

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

Site Plan and Compatibility Standards

No site plan comments. The site is proposed to be zoned SF-2 and does not trigger Commercial Design Standards or Compatibility, and is not located within any Overlays.

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

GARY W. FREYTT
Your Name (please print)

4018 TROUT DRIVE, AUSTIN 78749
Your address(es) affected by this application

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: *512-698-7498*

Comments: *OUR BIGGEST CONCERN IS LOSING OUR PRIVACY. A BIG REASON WE PICKED THIS HOME IS BECAUSE OF THE PRIVACY BEHIND US. WE HOPE THERE WILL BE A TALL FENCE SO WE MAY CONTINUE TO HAVE A PRIVATE YARD.*

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

**Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council**

Scott Stacher

Your Name (please print)

3633 Malone Dr, Austin TX 78749

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: (512) 222-9935

Comments:

I don't think anyone in the community has any serious objections to expanding the development, i.e. adding more homes. There will be objections if the area is rezoned for anything more than single family homes (SF2). Based on feedback I've been exposed too the primary objection (as well as mine) is related to traffic flow, during construction, as well as after (and emergency services). The streets as built may be able to accommodate the expected traffic flow per some city engineer, but the reality of the situation is that's not what the existing residents want. As long as the development plan has traffic routed through a single point of failure (Salmon via Trout) to the new homes, the plan will continue to be objected too. Two routes are desired. The primary path should be Wolftrap to Sawmill to the new homes, Wolftrap to Trout to Salmon should be secondary. Merge the open properties if necessary, but as a resident of Oak Parke, I implore you to reject any development plan that does not require extending Sawmill and access via Sawmill, or any plan that allows a housing density greater than that of the existing community.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

Austin, TX 78767-8810



PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

**Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council**

JEAN - DAW MILLER

Your Name (please print)

404 DALLAS DR, 78749

Your address(es) affected by this application

Daw Miller

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-750-7868

Date

10-21-14

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

Comments:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Thelma and Saul Garza

Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

Your address(es) affected by this application

4022 Shavano Drive

10/20/2014

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-292-3435

Comments:

We object because of the possibility that the land and the adjoining land to the South that was recently re-zoned will be platted like the attached draft. We received this draft at the most recent meeting of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association meeting. This platt would be a traffic disaster for our street and neighborhood. It would create a safety hazard to the people on the proposed extension of Salmon Drive. Salmon Drive through the two new areas needs to connect to Sawmill Drive and Trout Drive to eliminate this problem. We will fight this platt with all legal means at our disposal. If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: including the courts.

City of Austin

Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

**Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council**

Mike and Elizabeth Cochran

Your Name (please print)

3921 Trout Drive Austin, TX 78749

Your address(es) affected by this application

10/20/2014

Date

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-415-2854

Comments:

We object because of the possibility that the land and the adjoining

land to the South that was recently re-zoned will be platted like

the attached draft. We received this draft at the most recent

meeting of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association meeting.

This platt would be a traffic disaster for our street and neighborhood.

It would create a safety hazard to the people on the proposed

extension of Salmon Drive. Salmon Drive through the two new areas

needs to connect to Sawmill Drive and Trout Drive to eliminate this problem. We will fight this platt with all legal means at our disposal,

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: including the courts.

City of Austin


Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object



SAWMILL RD. PROPERTY
TRAVIS CO., TX
FEASIBILITY LAND PLAN

NO.	DATE	REVISION
1	10/1/00	INITIAL DESIGN
2	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
3	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
4	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
5	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
6	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
7	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
8	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
9	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN
10	10/1/00	REVISED DESIGN

J.A. Engineering, Inc.
13150 West Loop South, Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77040
Phone 281.488.1100
Fax 281.488.1101
www.ja-engineering.com
J.A. Engineering, Inc. is a professional engineering firm providing a wide range of engineering services to the public and private sectors. Our services include: civil, mechanical, electrical, and structural engineering. We are licensed in the state of Texas and have a long history of successful projects. Our team of experienced engineers and staff is dedicated to providing high-quality, cost-effective solutions for our clients.

Rhoades, Wendy

From: Kristian Koellner <kristian.koellner@lcra.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 2:08 PM
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Cc: hoa@ophoa.org; Monique Wells; Thelma Alvarado-Garza; Anne Koellner
Subject: Citizen comments re: Salmon Drive re-zoning application
Attachments: 20141014075216800.pdf; Cook-Cunningham Subdivision Design 6-3-14.pdf

Wendy,

Regarding the attached zoning case, as an affected homeowner in the immediate area, I would like to provide the following comments for consideration at this evening's Zoning and Platting commission meeting:

1. I oppose the zoning change from RR to SF-2. I feel that the areas designated as RR ought to stay RR and there is no justification to revise the zoning from RR to SF-2. Due to the area's location within the aquifer zone, the character of the area, proximity to Slaughter Creek, proximity to the Veloway, and proximity to the future Violet Crown trail, etc I feel the burden of illustrating the need & justification to revise from RR to SF-2 ought to be placed on the applicant, and they have not made a suitable case for that revision. The "default" ought to be that RR zoning remain RR, and SF-2 zoning remain SF-2.
2. The applicant has erroneous content in their application. They have included an endorsement letter from the Oak Parke HOA, which does not apply to this zoning case. I question if this was an error or intentional on the part of the applicant. I would hope that all aspects of the application be placed under sufficient scrutiny.
3. The initial layout showing entry only via Salmon Drive (and not Sawmill) is not desirable or feasible or practical. I realize the initial layout is not being ruled or decided upon today, but I want to provide this comment "early and often" to ensure this input is heard.

Thank-you for considering this input as part of the decision-making process.

Kris & Anne Koellner
10325 Salmon Drive
512-467-4184 (home)

Kristian M. Koellner, PE
Supervisor, System Protection
kristian.koellner@lcra.org
512.578.4573



SHEET NO. 1	JOB NUMBER: 1001	LJA Engineering, Inc. 3018 Highway 280 West Suite 100 Austin, Texas 78735 Phone 512.636.6700 Fax 512.636.6716 FRN - F-1385	THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REVIEW UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CHASES FINAL P.E. SEAL. ON ADOPTA. IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.	DATE:	8/20/14	NO.	REVISIONS		BY	DATE
				DESIGNED BY:	JMC					
				CHECKED BY:	JMC					
				DRAWN BY:	JMC					
				DATE:	8/20/14					

SAW MILL RD. PROPERTY
TRAVIS CO., TX

FEASIBILITY LAND PLAN

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Planning Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Terry Ann Albright

Your Name (please print)

3917 Trout Drive

Your address(es) affected by this application

T. Albright

Signature

10.21.14

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-983-7306

Comments: I object to the development plan to route all traffic from the area of new development (both proposed new and in the future) out onto Salmon and then Trout. I request that a second exit route be made onto Sawmill, which is a shorter and more direct route to wolf trap and slaughter. The increased traffic on Trout will be dangerous and have a negative impact on the neighborhood.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Michael Rosh

Your Name (please print)

3917 Trout Drive

Your address(es) affected by this application

Michael Rosh

Signature

10/22/14

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-983-5096

Comments: Would like to see the development
limited to fewer than 30 homes.
Traffic exiting from the development @ Salmon
Dr would have to travel through most of
existing homes. Traffic should exit the
proposed development at Sammill - avoiding
95% of existing homes. Much faster and
well. Existing streets cannot absorb
additional traffic safely - many children
in neighborhood.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Judy B. Skeen
Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

4101 Idalia Dr. & 4021 Shavano Dr.

Your address(es) affected by this application

Judy B. Skeen
Signature

Date

10-21-14

Daytime Telephone: 512-963-1465

Comments: Access to this property via our neighborhood streets is problematic. If rezoned it should be done so only as 'RR' to limit the number of new houses (whose residents will access via our streets). An SF2 designation would seriously increase the traffic on our streets which already have to handle Bowie High School traffic. We cannot take the additional traffic. Landowner needs to find a solution that doesn't include Trout and/or Sawmill as their access points.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: <http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Planning Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Michael Jeter
Your Name (please print)
4030 Shavano Dr.

☐ I am in favor
☒ All object

Your address(es) affected by this application
4030 Shavano Dr.
10/21/14
Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-300-4019

Comments: The Cook Farmingham development off Salmon & San Mill in Oak Creek Park. Show remain zoned as R2 or require at least 7500 sq ft lots. Certainly make sure never gets to SFL. There will be access on both Salmon and San Mill for emergency and to reduce traffic. Please consider SDS + important cover ordinances in this environmentally sensitive area near Bowie Wild Flower Center - One Brother Wile. This property

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

Fax - 974-6054, 974-2669 *

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Planning Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

4021 Idalia Dr

Your address(es) affected by this application

10/23/14
Date

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-413-9457

Comments:

This appears to be a very awkward & unusual exit for these new houses. This exit routes them through an established community adding cars, increased danger to children & time for ingress & egress. All of this could be avoided by simply exiting SE & linking up to Sawmill.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin

Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Michael Jeter
Your Name (please print)

4030 Shavano Dr.

Your address(es) affected by this application

4030 Shavano Dr.

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: *512-300-4019*

Comments: *The Cook Cunningham development off Salmon & San Mill in Oak Creek Park. Show remain zoned as RR or rezone at least 7500 sq ft lots. Certainly make sure never gets to SFL. There need to be access on both Salmon and San Mill for emergencies and to reduce traffic. Please*

Consider SDS + improved cover ordinances in this environmentally sensitive area near Bowie, Wild Flower Center and Brodie Wild. This property should be purchased by the City of Austin and preserved.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin

Planning & Development Review Department

Wendy Rhoades

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

Rhoades, Wendy

From: Shelley Delayne <shelley@shelleydelayne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 2:30 PM
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Subject: Case C14-2014-0153
Attachments: Zoning hearing OP - comment form only.pdf

Dear Wendy,

This is in regards to the rezoning request/plans for the land immediately adjacent to our Oak Creek Parke subdivision in south Austin.

My concerns about the development of these properties largely has to do with traffic. Is it possible to require the developer to manage the approval and installation of appropriate traffic signals to keep our little neighborhood safe?

We live on the corner of Wolftrap and Sawmill. In the mornings, it is nearly impossible to exit our subdivision via Aspen Creek Parkway onto Brodie northbound, because the cars come north in a steady line and will seldom let you in. So the vast majority of cars leaving our subdivision in the morning do so via Wolftrap. And since the entrance to Bowie High School is also on Wolftrap, and a great many cars cut through our subdivision to get to it — well, you can imagine the backup in the morning during the 8-9am hour. The reverse happens at 4-5pm; with it being very difficult to re-enter the subdivision.

Adding construction trucks and then significantly more cars to those logjams will be horrible. And unsafe. There are so many little kids in the neighborhood, including ours, and cars speed down Wolftrap as it is. More of them will only make matters worse.

If there were a stop sign at Wolftrap/Sawmill, it would be less of a concern to me. Same if there were a signal or stop sign at Aspen Creek/Brodie, to increase the options for traffic leaving the subdivision in the morning.

So... unless there is something done about traffic impact, I'd like to be on record as being against any increase of density to those parcels of land.

Thank you,
Shelley

Shelley Siracusa
10200 Wolftrap Dr

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

**Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council**

Shelley Siracusa

Your Name (please print)

10200 Wolftrap Dr

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-522-7730

Comments:

I am firmly opposed to increasing the density of these parcels to any more than RR. Because of the heavy traffic on

Brodie that likes to cut through our subdivision, over Aspen Creek to

wolftrap to slaughter, and because of the impossibility of exiting

northbound on Brodie from Aspen Creek in the morning, the existing

backups on Wolftrap in the morning will become horrific if

more houses are built back there. I would support SFI zoning

if it can somehow be tied to traffic signals or stop signs

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

Rhoades, Wendy

From: Alison Seaman alisonseaman9@icloud.com
Sent: Friday, October 31, 2014 2:31 PM
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Cc: Alison Seaman
Subject: Case C14-2014-0153

Δεαρ Μσ. Ρηοαδες,

My husband and I are residents in the Oak Parke Development of Austin. We are writing to voice our concern for the proposed housing project that is being considered. Our concern is the traffic, congestion, and impact of this new development. While we can't expect it to never be developed, please consider doing this in a style that is less invasive to the existing homes and homeowners and the peaceful current environment. Please :

- Keep the properties zoned RR so that there are bigger houses and fewer of them.
- Limit the number of houses to no more than 30
- Ensure that the zoning does not go lower than SF2. A designation of SF1 or lower would mean small lots and dense housing
- Have an entrance at Sawmill as well so there isn't so much traffic being sent through the neighborhood and it will make it faster for fire/ems to access.

Thank you,

Alison Seaman & Roger Seaman
10337 Salida Drive, Austin
alisonseaman@yahoo.com

Rhoades, Wendy

From: Family Faulkner <ffaulkners@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2014 11:28 AM
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Subject: Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153)

Dear Ms. Rhoades,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the upcoming public hearing on The Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153) that is scheduled for next Thursday, November 20, 2014's Zoning & Platting Commission. I have received a notification with a form for comment but I realized I could email my comments so I did that instead. I object to Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153) as it is currently presented to the Zoning & Platting Commission.

Here are my comments after review of the plan:

1. There needs to be more than one egress from the newly developed area. The current plan is to funnel all the traffic through already developed residential roadway. This puts unnecessary congestion into the Oak Parke neighborhood and it turns a blind eye to other solutions - a permeable roadway connecting to the newly developed areas to Sawmill Dr. and/or to Trout Dr. Such roadway is used in other parts of Austin and may be a solution here as well. If this type of roadway is NOT a solution, there still needs to be alternate connections for egress/ingress associated with these homes and the current neighborhood.
2. The zoning change from RR to SF-2 means further impervious cover in this area of the aquifer zone. Maintaining the zoning at RR is desirable for the continued health of aquifer recharge in this area and foresight needed to assure that the flood zone associated with Slaughter Creek does not increase due to the impact on run off and recharge.
3. In the original application reviewed by the Home Owner's Association (HOA) years ago, when a plat was presented for review, there was only one of the two tracts of land addressed. In the documents for the Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153), the comments cannot be extrapolated and presented as an extension of the previous comments. This extrapolated "approval" based on the previous HOA is an inaccurate comparison, up to and including the HOA being "in favor" of the rezoning. All of this new information should require comment by the HOA based on the current case rather than the similar, but smaller case which was reviewed in the past.

There are solutions and alternatives for each of the comments presented herein. I hope that the owner of the property and the Zoning & Platting Commission are open to finding solutions based on comments of the Oak Parke neighborhood. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,
Mark and Grace Faulkner
4004 Idalia Drive
Austin, TX 78749
512/280-7314

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	ROBERT BROWN JR	3916 SHAVANO		342754/042634011
	David Schulz	4017 Shavano		342797/0426340228
	Eileen Mauer	10205 SHAVANO CV		342758/04263401
	LINDA VOIGT	10203 SHAVANO COVE		342759/0426340106
	DENNIS CAUDLE	10201 SHAVANO COVE		342720/0426340107

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Krista Kilbale	4001 Shavano		342772/042634020
				—
	KARL KEEL	4005 SHAVANO		342771/042634020
	J. W. Whitman	10204 Shavano		342763/042634010
	Rosie Serrano	10202 Shavano		342762/042634010

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia

Phone Number: 512-517-6196

Cover.

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Matt Larson	10311 Salida		342718/042630042
	CARL DUFFEY	10314 SALIDA DR		342775/04263402
	Matthew Hammer	10317 Salida Dr.		373552/042634031
	Mark LaVine	10321 Salida Dr.		342805/042634037
	THOMAS L. YOUNG	10300 SALIDA DR		342694/042630020

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Anne Koellner	10325 Salmon Dr.		342821/042634041
	Christine Chavez	4025 Idalia		466337/04263401
	GARY R. RUFF	4018 Trout		342769/04263401
	Sandra Brinks	4013 Trout		342823/04263401
	Gary Theiss	4026 Shavano		342767/042634011

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Gayle Madole	4029 Idalia Dr		466336/042634013
	JANA BRITT	3925 Trout Dr.		342821/042634042
	John Susan	4013 Idalia Dr		466340/042634013
	Janet A. Morgan	4017 Idalia Dr		466339/042634013
	Patricia Wamsted	4021 Idalia Dr.		466338/042634013

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	AMY C. DEMPSEY	10320 Salmon Dr.		466329/042634012
	BRENDA GAYLE	14005 Trout Dr		342825/042634042
	VICKI JUNKER	10305 TROUT COVE		342786/042634021
	WILLIAM HEIM	10312 TROUT COVE		342791/042634021
	JIM CHRISTIAN	10316 TROUT COVE		342792/042634022

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza

Phone Number: 512-517-6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	JAY GARRET	4017 TROUT DR		342822/04263404
	Jon Pedson	4018 Shawano Dr		342765/0426340
	Thelma A. Garza	4022 Shawano Dr.		342766/04263401
	Becky Beachy	10301 TROUT CVE		342789/042634022
	Yoon	3913 Trout Pr.		342806/04263404t

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
Judy B. Skeen	Judy B. Skeen	4021 Shavano Dr. Austin, TX 78745		342796/042634022
Judy B. Skeen	Judy B. Skeen	4101 Idalia Dr. Austin, TX 78745		466335/042634013
Walt Fitzgerald	Walt Fitzgerald	4008 Idalia Dr. Austin, TX 78745		342816/04263404
Scott Robuck	Scott Robuck	4005 Idalia Dr. Austin, TX 78745		466342/0426340
Kristina Smith	Kristina Smith	4024 Idalia Dr. Austin, TX 78745		342820/042634041

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**


**The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two**

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Arturo Arce	4001 Idalia Dr.		466343/042634013

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Alison Seaman	10337 Salmon		342801/0426340303
	Greg & Sara Chavira	10334 Salida		342780/042634021
	Debra Howell	3905 Trout		342808/042634041
	Barbara Braun	4105 Idalia		446334/0426340100
	David Favor	3920 Idalia		342813/

0426340408

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Grace Faulkner	4004 Idalia		342815/04263404
	Raymond Vargas	4009 Idalia		466341/042634013
	Patrick Ray	4012 Idalia		342817/042634041
	Linda Checkley	3811 Idalia		345621/042634010
	Keith Carrabike	10416 Wolftrap Drive		342800/0426340302

Contact Name: _____

Phone Number: _____

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive



The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	DILSHAD SHAHID	10310 SALIDA DR. AUSTIN, TX 78749		313550/0426340230
	VIVIAN LU	3601 ASPEN CREEK PKWY		345570/0428300405

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia
Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**



The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Arturo Arce	41001 Idalia Dr.		466343/04263401
	Terry Albright	3917 Trout Dr.		342829/0426340424

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Garza

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One
and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
<i>Holly Leon</i>	Holly Leon	3809 Aspen Creek		342721 / 0426300503
<i>Rosalind Peeples</i>	Rosalind Peeples	3813 Aspen Creek		342720 / 0426300502
<i>Ramiro Hernandez</i>	Ramiro Hernandez	3632 Malone Dr.		373533 / 0426301019
<i>Melton Baker</i>	Melton Baker	3701 Malone Dr.		373471 / 0426300608
<i>Lupe Cobos</i>	Lupe Cobos	3600 Aspen Creek		373711 / 0428300801

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia
Phone Number: 512-517-6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
<i>Desiree Pittman</i>	<i>owner</i>	<i>10301 Salida Dr</i>		<i>342702/0426300406</i>
<i>W. F. Loran</i>	<i>Roggy Faloon</i>	<i>10204 Salida</i>		<i>342692/0426300207</i>
<i>Elizabeth Anger</i>	<i>Elizabeth Anger</i>	<i>10208 Salida Dr</i>		<i>342693/0426300208</i>
<i>B. Weiser</i>	<i>Brent Weiser</i>	<i>10309 Salida Dr</i>		<i>342697/0426300401</i>
<i>Thomas Barry</i>	<i>Thomas Barry</i>	<i>10304 Salida Dr</i>		<i>342695/0426300210</i>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia

Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive






The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and
Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Jessica Cullen	3900 Sawmill Dr		342686 / 0426300201
	WENDY KRENZ	10412 WOLPTON		342799 / 0426340301
	David Banuelos	3912 Sawmill Dr		342689 / 0426300204
	Megan Strawn	3916 Sawmill Dr		342690 / 0426300205
	Paul Riojas	10200 Sahula Dr		342691 / 0426300206

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**



The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations , including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	William Berry	10324 Salmon Dr		
	TR PHAM	4108 Lodi Ln Dr		

Contact Name: _____

Phone Number: _____

PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: **C14-2014-0153**

Address of Rezoning Request: **10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive**



The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.
2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.
3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

Signature	Owner Name	Address	Vesting Deed	Property ID / Geo ID
	Michael Teter	4030 Shavano	—	342768/ 0426340115
	Michele Teter	4030 Shavano	—	342768/ 0426340115

Contact Name: _____

Phone Number: _____

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This ~~zoning~~ rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are ~~expected~~ to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to ~~grant~~ FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Planning Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Scott MADOLE

Your Name (please print)

4029 Idalia Dr, Austin 78748

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-413-7147

Comments:

Please see attached

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:
<http://www.austintexas.gov/development>.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

B. H. and Roz Dwyer
Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

3813 Aspen Creek Way
Your Address(es) affected by this application

B. H. and Roz Dwyer *11/1/2014*
Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: *(512) 392-3625*

Comments: *Will add 7000 sq ft to one neighborhood. The plan was misrepresented.*

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

Re: Case Number" C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades

My name is Scott Madole. I own the house at 4029 Idalia. It is located just south of the intersection of Salmon and Idalia. I object to the proposed zoning application. The Feasibility Land Plan from LJA Engineering, Inc. incorporates the development of two separate areas - the Sawmill and the Salmon - with a single access. The plan includes land that is part of two zoning initiatives and envisions a single access to both parcels through the Oak Park neighborhood. The result of 27 new houses with the only access through the neighborhood adds significant traffic to streets and extends the times of fire and EMS response particularly for future owners of homes on the proposed north end of Salmon.

If the Salmon and Sawmill parcels are to be combined and developed simultaneously, it would make more sense to approve the application with the addition of an overlay that includes access from both Sawmill and Salmon. The overlay would mediate the additional traffic caused by the residents of this area transiting the entire neighborhood to gain access to their homes from likely access from Slaughter.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Scott Madole", followed by a long horizontal line.

December 10, 2014

Mayor Lee Leffingwell &
City Council
301 W. Second St.
Austin, TX 78701

RE: Postponement of December 11, 2014 Agenda Item#148 Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153

Dear Mayor and Council:

Regarding Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153 and the restrictive covenant associated with it, an issue has arisen regarding the appropriateness of the restrictive covenant language relative to both the intent of the restrictive covenant and the legal status of the property (as well as that of adjacent properties). We believe the issue can be easily resolved to the satisfaction of all but time is needed to work with the planning staff to this end, and staff seems amenable to that.

Accordingly, we officially request a postponement of Agenda Item#148 Zoning Case # C14-2014-0153 from the City Council Meeting of December 11, 2014 to the meeting of February 12, 2015.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Cunningham', is written over a horizontal line.

Mark Cunningham

cc: Wendy Rhoades
Case Manager
Planning Department

From: Terry Allbright
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 5:54 PM
To: Searle, Michael
Subject: Zoning Case C14-2014-0153

Honorable Mayor and Council:

I am writing to support the position of the Oak Parke HOA regarding Zoning Case C14-2014-0153. I live on Trout Drive, a narrow street that connects Salmon Drive to Wolftrap, which will be the first turn off when residents exit any homes constructed on the property in question owned by M. Cunningham. I fully support the HOA position and the City staff recommendations and decisions made in prior readings.

Oak Parke experiences high traffic from people cutting through from Brodie to Wolftrap and the adult and adolescent traffic in the entire neighborhood to and from Bowie High School, the largest high school in Austin. Residents have to navigate narrow streets that become one lane when cars park on both sides of the street. We also have a high volume of foot traffic, including residents exercising and students walking to Bowie and the bus stops for both elementary and middle schools. While we enjoy the foot traffic, we also recognize the increased risk that Salmon tract development traffic presents if there is only one way to exit the 12 acre tract located in the back of the neighborhood.

I strongly support the inclusion of conditions on the zoning to include construction of a stub out at the north end of the Salmon tract to ensure connectivity with future development on the Sawmill tract of land. In addition, I support limiting development on the Salmon tract to 11 houses. If zoning approval is made without condition, I then ask that the property be permanently zoned as RR and SF-2.

Thank you for your consideration of our neighborhood's well being,

Terry Allbright

3917 Trout Drive

Austin, Texas 78749

From: Mike Rush
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 5:29 PM
To: Searle, Michael
Subject: Salmon Tract Third Reading

Honorable Mayor and Council:

Re: Zoning case C14-2014-0153

I am an affected property owner in the Oak Parke neighborhood and a member of the Oak Parke Home Owners Association. I fully support the position of the Oak Parke HOA regarding this zoning case, known as the Salmon tract for the nearest existing street, and the staff recommendations and decisions reached at two prior readings.

I live near the proposed development and my street, Trout Drive, will be the first option off Salmon Drive for any traffic leaving the development. We also expect an adjoining tract, known as Sawmill tract, to be developed since it has been approved for a minimum of 30 homes. We must have a stub out at the north end of the Salmon tract to insure connectivity with the Sawmill tract which will allow the traffic from both tracts when fully developed to exit the neighborhood with minimal impact on the rest of our streets. The stub out would further allow fire and emergency services quick access to the back of our neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael Rush

3917 Trout Drive

Austin 78749