THIRD READING SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2014-0153 – The Enclave at Oak Parke

REQUEST:

Approve third reading of an ordinance amending City Code Chapter 25-2 by zoning property locally known as 10301-10317 Salmon Drive (Slaughter Creek Watershed-Barton Springs Zone) from interim – rural residence (I-RR) district zoning and interim – single family residence-standard lot (I-SF-2) district zoning to single family residence-standard lot (SF-2) district zoning, with conditions. A Restrictive Covenant would require vehicular access through the property from Salmon Drive to the north property line.

DISTRICT AREA: 8

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The ordinance is consistent with City Council action taken on First and Second Readings.

The Owner does not desire to sign the proposed Restrictive Covenant as written. The proposed Restrictive Covenant outlines the requirement to provide a vehicular connection to the adjacent 12 acre (Sawmill) tract to the north and was approved on First and Second Readings. As directed by Council at Second Reading, the Staff and the Applicant have discussed this issue a few times and have not reached a mutual agreement on the Restrictive Covenant provisions. Staff has elected not to recommend SF-2 zoning for this property (the Salmon tract) in the absence of a restrictive covenant addressing vehicular access to the Sawmill tract.

As an alternative, Staff has determined that if permanent RR and SF-2 districtzonings are approved in accordance with the six (6) lots shown on the existing recorded subdivision plats and the zoning map, then a Restrictive Covenant would not be required. The Owner, however, is seeking permanent SF-2 zoning that may or may not align with the current lot layout.

The requirement to provide a secondary vehicular access point from the property provides for connectivity and is not a Code requirement. Impervious cover on the subject tract as well as the undeveloped 12 acre Sawmill tract to the north is limited to 15 percent which affects how the property can be developed. To that end, Staff estimates that the Salmon tract could be developed with a maximum of 11 lots. This is fewer lots than shown in the conceptual drawings received by Staff during the course of this zoning case.

The Owner has provided correspondence and substitute draft Restrictive Covenant language addressing his concerns. The Oak Parke Home Owners Association has submitted correspondence that supports a vehicular connection to the Sawmill tract to the north. Please refer to attached correspondence following this Summary Sheet.

OWNER & APPLICANT: Mark Alan Cunningham
DATE OF FIRST READING: November 20, 2014, Approved SF-2 district zoning, with conditions, on First Reading (7-0).

DATE OF SECOND READING: February 12, 2015, Approved SF-2 district zoning with conditions of a Restrictive Covenant, on Second Reading (11-0). Direction was given to staff to work with the applicant on the conditions to be included in the Restrictive Covenant.

CITY COUNCIL HEARING DATE: November 12, 2015

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

ASSIGNED STAFF: Wendy Rhoades
e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov
Hello Wendy -

I had a very nice meeting yesterday with representatives of the Oak Parke HOA. During the meeting we were able to discuss theoretical, philosophical, and practical issues related to the zoning of this property and it’s potential development in the future. I think, as a result of the meeting, there is a lot better understanding all around of the issues at hand. I was able to show, as an example, a variety of possibly plat layouts wherein a road through the property is not necessary to take advantage of a north side ingress/egress to divide the traffic between north and south (and, in fact, would be problematic to such a design). I was also able to point out that all of this would and will be revisited if/when a plat is ever submitted.

Also during the meeting, I expressed again my willingness to sign a restrictive covenant, if written (strictly) as below, that insures the use of a north side ingress/egress option if it exists - even though I think it moot because anyone with any sense would do that anyway. (Bear in mind that it matter, a lot, where such a connection might be - as there is really only one location along my north property line where such a connection could work, and I have no control over what the adjacent property owner might independently do.) I also made clear though that I won’t support any restrictive covenant that a) seeks to burden the property any further than SOS has already (or would otherwise dictate to generations in the distant future unalterable limitations that might conflict with the then prevailing City rules or objectives), b) seeks to burden this property disproportionately or in ways the adjacent property (with Oak Parke HOA support) was not, c) that would impractically consume much of what precious little impervious cover there is left as allowed under SOS for additional street extensions that do not fit or otherwise do not allow for the full spectrum of various potential platting designs and options that exist, or d) could potentially result in money and/or impervious cover wasted on what could become a street or access or "road to nowhere".

My sense is the concerned residents want more clarity or confirmation from you about the density of development practically allowed under SOS with an SF2 zoning (meaning, I think they want some assurance that the total number of lots effectively allowed under SOS is more clearly 20 or even less and not secretly 50) and then also some sense about what can be genuinely expected with respect to the adjacent property and it’s development since it enjoyed HOA support and has no restrictions (other than the 50 lot limit the HOA and owner agreed to privately between the the owner and HOA) So a meeting in that regard might be requested be requested by them, and I’d be quite happy to attend too if useful.

Thanks!

Mark

"If the Property (combined, together, and in whole) is (re)platted, and if the property and/or properties adjacent and adjoining have been (re)platted so as to provide to an appropriate and compatible location along the north property line of the Property an extension of the vehicular access and public right-of-way via Sawmill Drive, such (re)plating of the Property will be of a form and design that reasonably and appropriately takes
advantage and makes use of said right-of-way extension so as to provide and facilitate, for the residents of all or part of the Property, vehicular access (ingress and egress) to and from the north that would be in addition and/or an alternative to vehicular access via Salmon Drive (from the south)."
January 31, 2015

Mayor Steve Adler &
City Council
301 W. Second St.
Austin, TX 78701

RE: Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153

Delia Garza | District 2
Gregorio “Greg” Casar | District 4
Don Zimmerman | District 6
Leslie Pool | District 7
Sheri Gallo | District 10
Ora Houston | District 1
Sabino “Pio” Renteria | District 3
Ann Kitchen | District 5
Ellen Troxclair | District 8
Kathie Tovo | District 9

Dear Mayor and Council:

I am writing about an issue that needs to be addressed and resolved relative to the referenced zoning case #C14-2014-0153, which is next scheduled for review at the February 12 City Council meeting. It is not a complex issue, nor even contentious really, but unfortunately it needs some explaining ahead of time so that any questions or concerns can be addressed in advance of the meeting.

The subject property is one of two small “twin” 12 acre properties that are the remainder tracts of a large residential development (Oak Parke). These properties are squeezed between that development (Oak Parke) and Slaughter Creek and they have significant restrictions on development due to the presence of some flood plain and, more impactfully, the application of the Save our Springs (SOS) ordinance. I own one of these 12 acre properties, but not the other. Both were annexed by the City many years ago now and had not yet been through the zoning process. All of the surrounding property and existing development is zoned (appropriately) SF-2, and last year the Council approved, without conditions, SF-2 zoning for the adjoining “twin” 12 acre property – which, again, I do not own and which is accessed via Sawmill Rd (whereas my property is accessed via Salmon Dr.). This (re)zoning was done without controversy or restrictions and with the full support of the Oak Parke neighborhood association. I subsequently filed to have my property zoned SF-2 as well, which was approved by the Zoning and Platting Commission and then sent on for first reading by the City Council, which gave approval on November 20. However, during the zoning hearings, because my property is accessible via Salmon Dr., versus Sawmill Rd, some neighbors (on one side of the neighborhood) expressed concern about that access and the related vehicular activity.

Again, this is only a 12 acre tract, almost half of which is rendered undevelopable/unbuildable due to the presence of some flood plain and, more critically, application of the Save our Springs (SOS) ordinance – meaning it can only have less than 20 or so lots in a neighborhood and area where there are hundreds of homes - so there really isn’t a ‘traffic’ issue, and whatever access issues there might be are best, and more appropriately, addressed at the time of (re)platting - when something specific can be designed, presented, and approved. As will happen in these matters, some neighbors were in favor of the existing access via Salmon while, in contrast, others were in favor of an additional/alternative access via Sawmill. However, this (my) property has no access to Sawmill, and it will never have access to Sawmill unless the adjacent property owner were to build a road through his equally small property to mine (which neither the Zoning and Platting Commission nor the City Council required of that owner and which that owner, on his own, has no reason or incentive or requirement to do). Bear in mind please also that none of this has anything to do with what the proper zoning is, which is uncontestedly SF-2, just like the adjacent 12 acre “twin” (Sawmill) property and the entire surrounding Oak Parke area.

So it can easily be argued that these access issues are (and will be) more properly managed during the platting process, when real access/road plans can and will be made - but (in a bit of a split the baby move) the Zoning and Platting Commission recommended to approve the zoning subject to a restrictive covenant requiring that access from the north be facilitated as well (even though, again, no requirement was made of the adjacent property owner to extend a road through his property to mine and, therefore, such a requirement might just be a road to nowhere for no reason, especially given the limited number of potential lots involved. Even more, the generic, boiler plate restrictive covenant that was introduced by the City staff to try and fulfill this requirement was/is inadequate to that purpose and, in fact, would place my property in violation of it as soon as it is signed and recorded – that because it requires the creation of vehicular access to the north property line while being completely silent about how or where or when or if “facilitating vehicular access” to/from the north would now or ever be accomplished and with what specifications or placement. This being even more problematic in light of the fact that the adjoining property is under no obligation to extend any road to the adjacent property line and, even if he were, there is no mechanism available to insure that the placement of this "vehicular access" would match and/or meet.
If this property was treated like the adjacent/surrounding Oak Parke property was in the past, there would be ~50 single family lots on it, but its development is highly restricted such that, at most, maybe 20 (and maybe well less than that) SF-2 lots could be created. It is also conceivable that the property may remain just 6 lots, as previously/currently platted, or it may legally be replatted to even fewer lots than that (down to even 1). So, in all scenarios, the traffic/access impact on the Oak Parke development is negligible anyway and, in any case, any restrictive covenant created and recorded needs to accommodate all of these possibilities.

There are two ways to address this. The simplest, and most appropriate is to drop the restrictive covenant requirement altogether, realizing that all of these access issues will arise again at the time of (re)plating, when they can be more appropriately addressed with specificity. The other way to address it is to write a restrictive covenant to replace the generic one that was used and approved at first reading with one that is more precisely written so that it:

1. Satisfies the general, practical, and underlying intent of the original (which the current proposed restrictive covenant is considerably vague about).
2. Does not place the property in violation at the time the restrictive covenant is recorded and prior to a platting or replating and subsequent development (which is why the current proposed restrictive can never be executed as is).
3. Does not preclude (practically or by law) any future otherwise legal platting or replating.

The existing proposed restrictive covenant simply says: "Vehicular access is required through the Property from Salmon Drive to the north property line". You can see that this is so vague that it becomes impractical and inappropriate - it accomplishes nothing for any party on either side of this issue (especially since there is no access at the north property line to connect to). Worse, it places the property immediately in violation because, until some future replating and alternative development, there can/will be no such vehicular access designed or built or placed or provided. Such a restrictive covenant will simply clutter and confuse the chain of title without practical purpose. If the Council, even after this clarification and review, still feels it must attach such a condition to this zoning, though it did not do so for the "twin" (Sawmill) property, we have proposed the attached restrictive covenant, which more specifically and appropriately describes the situation and intent (i.e. accomplishing 1-3 above). [See #1 of the attached restrictive covenant for the relevant section.]

I believe this replacement restrictive covenant more properly addresses this issue and covers the various practical contingencies. Bear in mind though that we still feel any restrictive covenant along these lines is impractical, premature, and unnecessary, as this issue is best, and more appropriately handled during the platting process when specifics, and the then current reality on the ground, can and will be addressed (designed and approved) - but if a restrictive covenant must be attached to the zoning, it needs to be both more specific to this particular case while also being sufficiently broad so as to accommodate any future (otherwise allowable) legal platting or replating — otherwise we risk requiring the building of a road to nowhere for no reason.

I apologize that such a tedious issue must be placed before you, especially because it is such a small issue and really is moot anyway until the time of (re)plating. But it is important to me that any questions or confusion or concerns be addressed with you prior to the February 12 City Council meeting so we can all arrive at the meeting with clarity of intent and purpose (as often these meetings are very time constrained for discussion, which can understandably sometimes lead to actions that, upon further reflection, may not be the same ones taken had there been more time to discuss and review).

So, the bottom line, I am asking that the Council specifically agree to drop the restrictive covenant requirement altogether - knowing that no such requirement was made of the "twin" (Sawmill) property last year and knowing that nothing intended by it can happen until (re)plating anyway, when all of these issues will be addressed again, in earnest, and with better clarity. This is the best solution all around. But if somehow this is not done, then I am asking at least that the alternative restrictive covenant (as rewritten and presented/attached here) be approved instead.

Thank you for your time, attention, and consideration. I am available at anytime to discuss this further with you or staff.

Mark Cunningham

cc: Wendy Rhoades; Case Manager; Planning Department
October 5, 2015

Mayor Steve Adler  
Delia Garza, District 2  
Gregorio “Greg” Casar, District 4  
Don Zimmerman, District 6  
Leslie Pool, District 7  
Sheri Gallo, District 10

Ora Houston, District 1  
Sabino “Pio” Renteria, District 3  
Ann Kitchen, District 5  
Ellen Troxclair, District 8  
Kathie Tovo, District 9

Re: #C14-2014-0153

Honorable Mayor and Council:

We, the acting board of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association are writing to you regarding zoning case #C14-2014-0153. The main point of contention with the zoning designation is its affect on ingress/egress issues of our neighborhood as well as future fire and emergency access to a property that is in the very back of our neighborhood. The property is approximately 12 acres. As shown below (see “x”), this property currently has only one access point at Salmon Drive which is at the back of our neighborhood.
While we recognize that the current recommendation is to approve the zoning request of SF-2 for the entire tract with condition requiring the extension of Salmon Drive to the north property line of the adjacent property, we are also aware of Mr. Cunningham’s reluctance to enter into such a covenant. If there is any chance that a zoning approval would be made without condition, we must request that the property be permanently zoned as is: i.e. I-RR to permanent RR and I-SF-2 to permanent SF-2. As indicated by the City Rezoning Department in their summary sheet "if permanent RR and SF2 rezoning are approved ...then a Restrictive Covenant would not be required." The key reasons why we are requesting this zoning follows.

First, there are significant traffic and ingress/egress issues that already exist in our neighborhood. We are a cut-through for cars from Brodie Lane to Slaughter Lane and we back up to the largest high school in Austin - Bowie High.
School buses on any given afternoon trying to exit from Wolftrap to Slaughter Lane.

Aspen Creek exit onto Brodie Lane (vehicles headed southbound)
We have very high traffic coursing through our neighborhood, at least, twice a day. Thus our desire to ensure that the density of homes remains at a minimum. If the pending zoning application is approved, the owner of the tract (Cunningham) has proposed, at least, 20 new homes on the tract (see diagram above). Allowing the only access to this property and new development to remain at the back of our neighborhood, places high demand on inner streets that are not meant for thoroughfare traffic.

On a practical basis, the streets at the back of Oak Parke, can easily be single lane streets when cars are parked on the curb.

A prior rezoning application for an adjacent tract (the “Sawmill Tract”) north of the subject Cunningham tract was granted and allows for, at a minimum, 30 new homes. That could allow for a total of 50 new homes. With the typical home having two cars, this puts at a minimum 100 new cars in our already busy neighborhood.

Should the Cunningham Tract in its entirety be approved for SF-2, it is imperative that there be a stub out at the northern end of the property. There is little doubt that the Sawmill tract will eventually be developed and this forward thinking request will ensure an irreversible mistake is not made. The stub out would allow for the future connectivity of Salmon and Sawmill streets for better and safer traffic flow and would further allow fire and emergency services quick access to the back of the neighborhood. It would also ease traffic flow through the neighborhood. If the property is developed without a stub out, traffic from the Cunningham tract, all new residents, fire and emergency will be required to navigate through to the back of the neighborhood rather than having a quick ingress/egress via Sawmill Drive.

We further request that an 11-home restriction be included with the stub out condition. With these two requests (stub-out and unit restriction), the additional
impervious cover, and watershed restrictions on the Cunningham property we believe (and city staff agrees) that approximately 11 homes could be constructed.

Approval of these reasonable requests:

- I-RR to permanent RR and I-SF-2 to permanent SF-2; or
- Condition requiring the extension of Salmon Drive to the north property line of the adjacent property should the entire tract get zoned SF-2; and
- 11 home restriction should the entire tract get zoned SF-2.

will ensure a good quality of life for new and existing residents and more importantly will ensure fast emergency access for our new neighbors.

Sincerely,

*The Oak Parke Homeowners Association*
ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2014-0153 – The Enclave at Oak Parke

Z.A.P. DATE: October 21, 2014

November 4, 2014

ADDRESS: 10301 – 10317 Salmon Drive

DISTRICT AREA: 8

OWNER: Mark Alan Cunningham

AGENT: Frie Planning, Development & Construction (Ross Frie)

ZONING FROM: I-RR; I-SF-2

TO: SF-2

AREA: 12.82 acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district zoning.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmon Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

October 21, 2014: APPROVED A POSTPONEMENT TO NOVEMBER 4, 2014
[S. COMPTON; B. BAKER – 2ND] (5-0) P. SEEGER; R. MCDANIEL – ABSENT

November 4, 2014: PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED. THE MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF’S RECOMMENDATION OF SF-2-CO DISTRICT ZONING WITH CONDITION REQUIRING THE EXTENSION OF SALMON DRIVE TO THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE TO THE ADJACENT PROPERTY, ALSO INCLUDING THE TRANSPORTATION REVIEWERS COMMENTS WAS APPROVED BY COMMISSIONER SEEGER, COMMISSIONER GABRIEL ROJAS SECONDED THE MOTION ON A VOTE OF 6-1; COMMISSIONER SEAN COMPTON VOTED AGAINST THE MOTION (NAY).

ISSUES:

The Owner does not desire to sign the Restrictive Covenant outlining the requirement to provide a vehicular connection to the adjacent 12 acre tract to the north that was approved on First Reading. Furthermore, the Owner has provided correspondence and a substitute draft Restrictive Covenant addressing his concerns. Please refer to attached correspondence following this Summary Sheet.

Staff has determined that if permanent RR and SF-2 district zonings are approved in accordance with the lot layout shown on the existing recorded subdivision plats and the
zoning map, then a Restrictive Covenant would not be required. The Owner, however, is seeking permanent SF-2 zoning that may or may not align with the current lot layout.

The Oak Parke Home Owners Association met on Thursday, October 16, 2014 to discuss the Applicant’s request. All correspondence received is attached at the back of the Staff packet.

A conceptual plan was provided to Staff and shows a cul-de-sac extending from the terminus of Salmon Drive and into the adjacent 12.85 acre SF-2-CO zoned tract to the north that accesses Sawmill Drive (the Sawmill tract). The conceptual plan shows a total of 27 lots with all lots except one located on the eastern portion of the property. The conceptual plan shows that the west one-half (approximately) of the property as well as that of the Sawmill tract is within the water quality transition zone (WQTZ) and critical water quality zone (CWQZ) of the 100-year flood plain of Slaughter Creek. In the absence of a variance to the Barton Springs Zone regulations, no development may occur in the WQTZ and CWQZ.

Impervious cover is limited to 15 percent in the Barton Springs Zone which also presents a challenge in development. Staff estimates that approximately 11 single family residential lots could be constructed on the subject 12.82 acre rezoning area. Additional lots could be constructed on the adjacent SF-2-CO property to the north for a unified development, or the properties could be developed independently resulting in cul-de-sac design for both properties. In the absence of a variance, cul-de-sacs are limited to 2,000 linear feet, measured from lot line to the beginning of the “bulb” of the cul-de-sac.

In order to distribute vehicular traffic generated from the proposed development as well as that of the Sawmill tract, adjacent neighbors have expressed an interest in connecting the two developments, with street access provided to Salmon Drive as well as Sawmill Drive. The conceptual plan indicates that the existing ranch house that accesses Sawmill Drive will remain, which may explain why a through-street design is not shown. The 15 percent impervious cover limit includes street infrastructure, hence, a connection between Salmon and Sawmill would result in a higher allocation for street infrastructure, and likely, fewer lots.

**DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:**

The subject platted lots are undeveloped and located at the terminus of Salmon Drive. The eastern portion of the site is platted for five flag lots and zoned interim – single family residence-standard lot (I-SF-2) district and the western portion of the property is platted as one 10+ acre lot and zoned interim – rural residence (I-RR). At the time the plat was reviewed and recorded, it was subject to Travis County standards. The Applicant reports that water and wastewater lines are in place at the terminus of Salmon Drive and extend to the northeast lot of this subdivision. The property is surrounded by one single family residence to the north (zoned SF-2-CO and limited to 30 units), single family residences to the east and south within the Oak Creek Parke subdivision (SF-2), and the Circle C Ranch Metropolitan Park to the west (I-RR). Slaughter Creek traverses the west property line. Please refer to Exhibits A (Zoning Map), A-1 (Aerial View), B and C (Recorded Plat).
The Applicant has requested the single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district in order to provide additional single family residential lots on the property (under the current configuration a total of six single family residences could be constructed). One path to accomplish this would be to vacate the existing two plats on the property and plat the property with a new lot configuration. Access would be taken from the terminus of Salmon Drive. The adjacent property to the north zoned SF-2-CO may be developed in conjunction with the subject property. SF-2 zoning is consistent with the adjacent properties in the Oak Creek Parke subdivision which also have SF-2 zoning.

**EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>SF-2-CO</td>
<td>One single family residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>DR; SF-2</td>
<td>Parkland (owned by the City of Austin); Single family residences in the Oak Creek Parke Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>SF-2</td>
<td>Single family residences in the Oak Creek Parke Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>I-RR</td>
<td>Circle C Ranch Metropolitan Park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AREA STUDY:** N/A

**TIA:** Is not required

**WATERSHED:** Slaughter Creek – Barton Springs Zone – Recharge Zone

**DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE:** No

**CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR:** No

**SCENIC ROADWAY:** No

**NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:**

217 – Tanglewood Forest Neighborhood Association
298 – Oak Hill Association of Neighbors (OHAN)
384 – Save Barton Creek Association 427 – Circle C Homeowners Association
627 – Onion Creek Homeowner’s Association 742 – Austin Independent School District
943 – Save Our Springs Alliance 967 – Circle C Neighborhood Association
1037 – Homeless Neighborhood Association 1075 – Bike Austin
1118 – Oak Parke Homeowner’s Association
1200 – Super Duper Neighborhood Objectors and Appealers Organization
1228 – Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group
1340 – Austin Heritage Tree Foundation 1363 – SEL Texas

**SCHOOLS:**

Baranoff Elementary School Bailey Middle School Bowie High School
CASE HISTORIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-2013-0125 - Sawmill Rezoning - 4010 Sawmill Dr (12.853 acres)</td>
<td>I-RR to SF-2</td>
<td>To Grant SF-2-CO w/CO for max. 30 units</td>
<td>Apvd as Commission rec. (12-12-2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-89-0060 - Bowie 200 – Along Brodie Ln and south of W Slaughter Ln</td>
<td>DR to SF-2</td>
<td>To Grant</td>
<td>Apvd SF-2 (1-4-1990).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATED CASES:

The subject property was annexed into the City limits on December 31, 1998 (C7a-98-005 – Ordinance No. 981210-I). The eastern portion of the rezoning area is platted as The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and consists of five flag lots accessed from Salmon Drive. The western portion is platted as The Enclave of Oak Parke Section Two and consists of one 10+ acre lot. Both subdivisions were recorded in October 1998, prior to annexation into the City limits (C8-94-0278.1A; C8-94-0278.2A).

ABUTTING STREETS:

Note: The existing frontage for the site is a stub out which does not extend through the property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmon Drive</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>30 feet</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY COUNCIL DATE: November 20, 2014  
ACTION: Approve SF-2 district zoning, with conditions requiring vehicular access through the property from Salmon Drive to the northern property line, as recommended by the Zoning and Platting Commission, on First Reading (7-0).

December 11, 2014  
Approved a Postponement request by the Applicant to January 29, 2015 (6-1, Council Member Morrison voted nay).
January 29, 2015  Approved a Postponement request by
Staff to February 12, 2015 (11-0).

February 12, 2015  Approved SF-2 district zoning with
conditions of a Restrictive Covenant, on
Second Reading (11-0). Direction was
given to Staff to work with the Applicant
on the conditions to be included in the
Restrictive Covenant.

October 8, 2015  Approved a Postponement request by the
Staff and the Applicant to November 12,
2015 (10-0, Council Member Pool was
off the dais.)

November 12, 2015

**ORDINANCE READINGS:** 1\textsuperscript{st} November 20, 2014 2\textsuperscript{nd} February 12, 2015 3\textsuperscript{rd}

**ORDINANCE NUMBER:**

**CASE MANAGER:** Wendy Rhoades  
e-mail: wendy.rhoades@austintexas.gov  
**PHONE:** 512-974-7719
SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff recommendation is to grant single family residence – standard lot (SF-2) district zoning.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmcn Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. *The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.*

   The single family residence standard lot (SF-2) district is intended for a moderate density single-family residential use on a lot that is a minimum of 5,750 square feet. This district is appropriate for existing single-family neighborhoods having moderate sized lots or to new development of single-family housing areas with minimum land requirements.

2. *Zoning changes should promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses.*

3. *Zoning should be consistent with approved and existing residential densities.*

   SF-2 zoning is consistent with the adjacent lots in the Oak Creek Parke subdivision which also have SF-2 zoning.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The subject property is undeveloped and slopes to the west, towards Slaughter Creek. The western portion of the rezoning area is identified as a drainage easement. In particular, the western portion of the site has dense tree cover.

Impervious Cover

The maximum impervious cover allowed by the SF-2 zoning district is 15% based on the more restrictive watershed regulations.

Comprehensive Planning/ Imagine Austin

This zoning case is located on 12.8 acres of undeveloped land, to the north of Salmon Drive. This property is not located within the boundaries of a neighborhood planning area. Surrounding land uses includes undeveloped land and Bowie High School to the north, single family houses to the south and east, and the Circle C Ranch Metro Park to the west. The proposed use is a single family.
Imagine Austin
The site is situated over the Barton Springs Zone and the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone as identified on the Imagine Austin’s Environmental Resources Map, found in the Image Austin Comprehensive Plan (IACP). An aquifer contributing zone is an area where runoff from precipitation flows to the recharge zone of an aquifer. Streams in the contributing zone flow downstream into the recharge zone and “contribute” water to the aquifer. The following Imagine Austin policies are relevant to this case:

- **LUT P21.** Ensure that redevelopment in the Edwards Aquifer’s recharge and contributing zones maintains the quantity and quality of recharge of the aquifer.

- **LUT P22** Protect Austin’s natural resources and environmental systems by limiting land use and transportation development in sensitive environmental areas and preserving areas of open space.

- **CE P2.** Conserve Austin’s natural resources systems by limiting development in sensitive environmental areas, including the Edwards Aquifer, its contributing and recharge zones, and endangered species habitat.

Staff believes that the proposed single family subdivision is consistent with the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan. However, due to the site’s location over an environmentally sensitive area, there will be at the site planning stage an environmental review to determine if any critical environmental features are located on the site. If any are located, mitigation and setbacks necessitated by the land development code will be required.

Environmental

This site is located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Slaughter Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Barton Springs Zone Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City’s Land Development Code. It is in the Drinking Water Protection Zone.

Project applications at the time of this report are subject to the SOS Ordinance that allows 15% impervious cover in the Recharge Zone.

According to floodplain maps there is a floodplain within the project location. In addition, COA GIS shows a Water Quality Transition Zone and a Critical Water Quality Zone within the project location. Construction within these areas is limited.

Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development’s requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep
slopes, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site. Runoff from the site is required to comply with pollutant load restrictions as specified in Land Development Code.

**Transportation**

If the requested zoning is granted for this site, 50 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from each side of the centerline of Salmon Drive in accordance with the Transportation Criteria Manual, in order to accommodate traffic anticipated to be generated by this site. LDC, 25-6-55; TCM, Tables 1-7, 1-12.

If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that, as a condition of zoning, Salmon Drive is extended and stubbed out at the northern edge of the property line at time of site plan or subdivision.

Please contact Nadia Barrera, Urban Trails; Public Works Department regarding pedestrian connectivity per the Council Resolution.

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-113]

**Water and Wastewater**

The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

**Site Plan and Compatibility Standards**

No site plan comments. The site is proposed to be zoned SF-2 and does not trigger Commercial Design Standards or Compatibility, and is not located within any Overlays.
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153  
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719  
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission  
November 20, 2014, City Council

Gary W. Rueff

Your Name (please print)

4018 TROUT DRIVE, AUSTIN 78749

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-698-7498

Comments: Our biggest concern is losing our privacy. A big reason we picked this home is because of the privacy behind us. We hope there will be a tall fence so we may continue to have a private yard.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin  
Planning & Development Review Department  
Wendy Rhoades  
P. O. Box 1088  
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Scott Stacher
Your Name (please print)
3633 Malone Dr, Austin TX 78749
Your address(es) affected by this application

☐ I am in favor
☐ I object

Signature
Date 10-28-14

Daytime Telephone: (512) 222-9935

Comments:
I don’t think anyone in the community has any serious objections to expanding the development, i.e. adding more homes. There will be objections if the area is rezoned for anything more than single family homes (SF2). Based on feedback I’ve been exposed too the primary objection (as well as mine) is related to traffic flow, during construction, as well as after (and emergency services). The streets as built may be able to accommodate the expected traffic flow per some city engineer, but the reality of the situation is that’s not what the existing residents want. As long as the development plan has traffic routed through a single point of failure (Salmon via Trout) to the new homes, the plan will continue to be objected too. Two routes are desired. The primary path should be Wolftrap to Sawmill to the new homes, Wolftrap to Trout to Salmon should be secondary. Merge the open properties if necessary, but as a resident of Oak Parke, I implore you to reject any development plan that does not require extending Sawmill and access via Sawmill, or any plan that allows a housing density greater than that of the existing community.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Thelma and Saul Garza
Your Name (please print)

Your address(es) affected by this application
4022 Shavano Drive

Signature
Date

10/20/2014

Daytime Telephone: 512-292-3435

Comments:

We object because of the possibility that the land and the adjoining land to the South that was recently re-zoned will be platted like the attached draft. We received this draft at the most recent meeting of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association meeting. This plat would be a traffic disaster for our street and neighborhood. It would create a safety hazard to the people on the proposed extension of Salmon Drive. Salmon Drive through the two new areas needs to connect to Sawmill Drive and Trout Drive to eliminate this problem. We will fight this plat with all legal means at our disposal.

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order for allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Mike and Elizabeth Cochran
Your Name (please print)
3921 Trout Drive Austin, TX 78749
Your address(es) affected by this application

[Signature] 10/20/2014
Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-415-2854

Comments:

[We object because of the possibility that the land and the adjoining land to the South that was recently re-zoned will be platted like the attached draft. We received this draft at the most recent meeting of the Oak Parke Homeowners Association meeting.]

This plat would be a traffic disaster for our street and neighborhood. It would create a safety hazard to the people on the proposed extension of Salmon Drive. Salmon Drive through the two new areas needs to connect to Sawmill Drive and Trout Drive to eliminate this problem. We will fight this plat with all legal means at our disposal.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: including the City of Austin Planning & Development Review Department Wendy Rhoades P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-8810
Needs to connect to Sawmill Drive as well as Trout Drive.
Wendy,

Regarding the attached zoning case, as an affected homeowner in the immediate area, I would like to provide the following comments for consideration at this evening’s Zoning and Platting commission meeting:

1. I oppose the zoning change from RR to SF-2. I feel that the areas designated as RR ought to stay RR and there is no justification to revise the zoning from RR to SF-2. Due to the area’s location within the aquifer zone, the character of the area, proximity to Slaughter Creek, proximity to the Veloway, and proximity to the future Violet Crown trail, etc I feel the burden of illustrating the need & justification to revise from RR to SF-2 ought to be placed on the applicant, and they have not made a suitable case for that revision. The “default” ought to be that RR zoning remain RR, and SF-2 zoning remain SF-2.

2. The applicant has erroneous content in their application. They have included an endorsement letter from the Oak Parke HOA, which does not apply to this zoning case. I question if this was an error or intentional on the part of the applicant. I would hope that all aspects of the application be placed under sufficient scrutiny.

3. The initial layout showing entry only via Salmon Drive (and not Sawmill) is not desirable or feasible or practical. I realize the initial layout is not being ruled or decided upon today, but I want to provide this comment “early and often” to ensure this input is heard.

Thank-you for considering this input as part of the decision-making process.

Kris & Anne Koellner
10325 Salmon Drive
512-467-4184 (home)

Kristian M. Koellner, PE
Supervisor, System Protection
kristian.koellner@lcra.org
512.578.4573
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719

Terry Ann Allbright

3917 Trout Drive

I am in favor [ ]
I object [x]

Your Name (please print)

Your address(es) affected by this application

J. Allbright

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-983-7306

Comments: I object to the development plan to route all traffic from the area of new development (both proposed now and in the future) out onto Salmon and then Trout. I request that a second exit route be made onto Sawmill, which is a shorter and more direct route to Wolftrap and Slaughter. The increased traffic on Trout will be dangerous and have a negative impact on the neighborhood.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Michael Rush
Your Name (please print)

3917 Trout Drive
Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

I am in favor
I object

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-983-5096

Comments: Would like to see the development limited to fewer than 30 homes. Traffic exiting from the development & Salmon St would have to travel through most of existing homes. Traffic should exit the younger development on Salmonoust streets to existing homes. Much faster as well. Existing streets cannot absorb additional traffic safely. Many children in neighborhood.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-4810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number: C14-2014-0153</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 20, 2014, City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Judy B. Skeen

Your Name (please print)

4101 Idalia Dr. & 4021 Shavano Dr.

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signed Judy B. Skeen

Signature

I am in favor

I object

Access to this property via our neighborhood streets is problematic. If rezoned it should be done so only as "RR" to limit the number of new houses (whose residents will access via our streets). An SF2 designation would seriously increase the traffic on our streets which already have to handle Bowie High School traffic. We cannot take the additional traffic. Landowner needs to find a solution that doesn’t include Trout and/or Sawmill as their access points.

Daytime Telephone: 512-963-1465

Date 10-21-14

Comments:
This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing or a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Your Name (please print)
4021 Idalia Dr

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature
10/23/14

Daytime Telephone: 512-413-9457

Comments: This appears to be a very necessary & unusual exit for three new houses. This exit routes them through my established community adding cars, increased danger to children & time for ingress & egress. All of this could be avoided by simply exiting the parking lot & linking up with the adjacent street.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin Planning & Development Review Department Wendy Rhoades P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-8810
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Michael Teter
Your Name (please print)
4030 Shannen Dr
Your address(es) affected by this application
[Signature] 10/20/14
Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-300-4693

Comments: The (Cook/Portman development) off Salmon St. & Saw Mill in Oak Creek Park is not the way. A development at least 2,500 sq ft LOTS. Certainly not to be access on both Salmon and Saw Mill for emergency and to reduce traffic. Please consider $500 + impact fees for this environmentally sensitive area near Bowie, Vild, St. Edward and Broaden Walk - The property should be purchased by the City of Austin and preserved.

City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Dear Wendy,

This is in regards to the rezoning request/plans for the land immediately adjacent to our Oak Creek Parke subdivision in south Austin.

My concerns about the development of these properties largely has to do with traffic. Is it possible to require the developer to manage the approval and installation of appropriate traffic signals to keep our little neighborhood safe?

We live on the corner of Wolfrap and Sawmill. In the mornings, it is nearly impossible to exit our subdivision via Aspen Creek Parkway onto Brodie northbound, because the cars come north in a steady line and will seldom let you in. So the vast majority of cars leaving our subdivision in the morning do so via Wolfrap. And since the entrance to Bowie High School is also on Wolfrap, and a great many cars cut through our subdivision to to get to it — well, you can imagine the backup in the morning during the 8-9am hour. The reverse happens at 4-5pm; with it being very difficult to re-enter the subdivision.

Adding construction trucks and then significantly more cars to those logjams will be horrible. And unsafe. There are so many little kids in the neighborhood, including ours, and cars speed down Wolfrap as it is. More of them will only make matters worse.

If there were a stop sign at Wolfrap/Sawmill, it would be less of a concern to me. Same if there were a signal or stop sign at Aspen Creek/Brodie, to increase the options for traffic leaving the subdivision in the morning.

So... unless there is something done about traffic impact, I'd like to be on record as being against any increase of density to those parcels of land.

Thank you,
Shelley

Shelley Siracusa
10200 Wolfrap Dr
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Shelley Siracusa
Your Name (please print)
10200 Wolftrap Dr

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-522-7730

Date

Comments:
I am firmly opposed to increasing the density of these parcels to any more than RR. Because of the heavy traffic on Brodie that likes to cut through our subdivision, over Aspen Creek to Wolftrap to Slaughter, and because of the impossibility of exiting northbound on Brodie from Aspen Creek in the morning, the existing backups on Wolftrap in the morning will become horrific if more houses are built back there. I would support SFI zoning if it can somehow be tied to traffic signals or stop signs

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
From: Alison Seaman
To: Rhoades, Wendy
Cc: Alison Seaman
Subject: Case C14-2014-0153

Dear Ms. Rhoades,

My husband and I are residents in the Oak Parke Development of Austin. We are writing to voice our concern for the proposed housing project that is being considered. Our concern is the traffic, congestion, and impact of this new development. While we can’t expect it to never be developed, please consider doing this in a style that is less invasive to the existing homes and homeowners and the peaceful current environment. Please:

- Keep the properties zoned RR so that there are bigger houses and fewer of them.
- Limit the number of houses to no more than 30
- Ensure that the zoning does not go lower than SF2. A designation of SF1 or lower would mean small lots and dense housing
- Have an entrance at Sawmill as well so there isn’t so much traffic being sent through the neighborhood and it will make it faster for fire/ems to access.

Thank you,

Alison Seaman & Roger Seaman
10337 Salida Drive, Austin
alisonseaman@yahoo.com
Dear Ms. Rhoades,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the upcoming public hearing on The Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153) that is scheduled for next Thursday, November 20, 2014's Zoning & Platting Commission. I have received a notification with a form for comment but I realized I could email my comments so I did that instead. I object to Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153) as it is currently presented to the Zoning & Platting Commission.

Here are my comments after review of the plan:

1. There needs to be more than one egress from the newly developed area. The current plan is to funnel all the traffic through already developed residential roadway. This puts unnecessary congestion into the Oak Parke neighborhood and it turns a blind eye to other solutions - a permeable roadway connecting to the newly developed areas to Sawmill Dr. and/or to Trout Dr. Such roadway is used in other parts of Austin and may be a solution here as well. If this type of roadway is NOT a solution, there still needs to be alternate connections for egress/ingress associated with these homes and the current neighborhood.

2. The zoning change from RR to SF-2 means further impervious cover in this area of the aquifer zone. Maintaining the zoning at RR is desirable for the continued health of aquifer recharge in this area and foresight needed to assure that the flood zone associated with Slaughter Creek does not increase due to the impact on run off and recharge.

3. In the original application reviewed by the Home Owner's Association (HOA) years ago, when a plat was presented for review, there was only one of the two tracts of land addressed. In the documents for the Enclave at Oak Parke case (C14-2014-0153), the comments cannot be extrapolated and presented as an extension of the previous comments. This extrapolated "approval" based on the previous HOA is an inaccurate comparison, up to and including the HOA being "in favor" of the rezoning. All of this new information should require comment by the HOA based on the current case rather than the similar, but smaller case which was reviewed in the past.

There are solutions and alternatives for each of the comments presented herein. I hope that the owner of the property and the Zoning & Platting Commission are open to finding solutions based on comments of the Oak Parke neighborhood. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,
Mark and Grace Faulkner
4004 Idalia Drive
Austin, TX 78749
512/280-7314
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SCS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Robert Guidry</td>
<td>3916 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td>342754/042634011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>David Schub</td>
<td>4017 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td>342797/0426340228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Eileen Magee</td>
<td>10205 Shavano CV</td>
<td></td>
<td>342754/04263401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Linda Veigt</td>
<td>10203 Shavano COVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>342759/0426340104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Dennis Caudle</td>
<td>10201 Shavano COVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>342720/0426340107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6146
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krista Kilbey</td>
<td>4001 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342772/0426 3A020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karl Keel</td>
<td>4005 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342771/0426 3A020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Pulliam</td>
<td>1002 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342743/0426 7A0110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342763/0426 3A01C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado Green
Phone Number: 512-517-6194
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Park Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SCS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matt Lunn</td>
<td>10311 Salida Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342718/0412463042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carl Duffy</td>
<td>10314 Salida Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342775/0412463040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matthew Hamner</td>
<td>10377 Salida Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>373552/0412463046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark LaVine</td>
<td>10351 Salida Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342805/04124630407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas Young</td>
<td>10300 Salida Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342694/0412463020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza

Phone Number: 512.917.6194
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parka Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koellner</td>
<td>Anne Koellner</td>
<td>10325 Salmon Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>342823/04.2634048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chauncey</td>
<td>Christine Martínez</td>
<td>4025 Idaho</td>
<td></td>
<td>464337/04.263401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hargrove</td>
<td>Carol Hargrove</td>
<td>4018 Fawn St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>342769/04.263401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlant</td>
<td>Dr. Gary Berlant</td>
<td>4013 Trace</td>
<td></td>
<td>342823/04.263400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinker</td>
<td>Gary Brinker</td>
<td>4026 Shavers</td>
<td></td>
<td>342276/04.263401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thelma</td>
<td>Thelma Alvarez-Garcia</td>
<td>512.512.1196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarez-Garcia
Phone Number: 512.512.1196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C08-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gayle Madole</td>
<td>COPEL MADOLE</td>
<td>4029 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>466326 / 042234013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jana Britt</td>
<td>JANA BRITT</td>
<td>3925 Trout Dr.</td>
<td>342327 / 042234013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Susan</td>
<td>JOHN SUSAN</td>
<td>4013 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>466420 / 042234013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet A. Morgan</td>
<td>JANET A. MORGAN</td>
<td>4017 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>466339 / 042234013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Wamsley</td>
<td>PATRICKA WAMSLEY</td>
<td>4021 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>466338 / 042234013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarez-Guerra
Phone Number: 512-517-6194
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amy C. Dempsey</td>
<td>10320</td>
<td></td>
<td>416329/042634012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henda Gayle</td>
<td>1005 Trout Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342828/042634448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicki Lorkoe</td>
<td>Vicki Lorkoe</td>
<td>10305 Trout CoVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>342786/042634021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William Heim</td>
<td>10312 Trout CoVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>342791/042634021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Christian</td>
<td>10316 Trout CoVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>342792/042634022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Álvarenga Gueza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jay Garrett</td>
<td>4017 Trout Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342827/04243404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Pidson</td>
<td></td>
<td>4018 Shurrwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>342765/04243404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thelma A Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>4022 Shurrwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>342766/04243401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becky Bearden</td>
<td></td>
<td>10301 Trout Cove</td>
<td></td>
<td>342732/042634022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3913 Trout Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td>342804/042634044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Park Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicates lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judy B. Skeen</td>
<td>Judy B. Skeen</td>
<td>4021 Shavano Dr.</td>
<td>Res.</td>
<td>342796/842/39022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy B. Skeen</td>
<td>Judy B. Skeen</td>
<td>4101 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>Res.</td>
<td>416335/042/043013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt  T. Harr</td>
<td>Walt T. Harr</td>
<td>4005 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>Res.</td>
<td>342816/042/04040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Robuck</td>
<td>Scott Robuck</td>
<td>4005 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>Res.</td>
<td>416342/042/04040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristina Smith</td>
<td>Kristina Smith</td>
<td>4005 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td>Res.</td>
<td>342830/042/04041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garcia
Phone Number: 512.517.6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parkes Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SCS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arturo</td>
<td>Arce</td>
<td>4001 Idalia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>46343-3/095434013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza
Phone Number: 512-517-6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alida</td>
<td>Alison Seawright</td>
<td>10337 Salmon</td>
<td>342801/042400303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg</td>
<td>Greg Seagress</td>
<td>10335 Salida</td>
<td>342780/042400303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra</td>
<td>Debra Howel</td>
<td>3905 Trout</td>
<td>342808/042400303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara</td>
<td>Barbara Brown</td>
<td>4105 Idalia</td>
<td>464334/0426 340040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David</td>
<td>David Favor</td>
<td>3920 Idalia</td>
<td>342813/0426340040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Teriina Alvarez-Garza
Phone Number: 512.517.6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SCS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Grace Faulkner</td>
<td>4004 Idalia</td>
<td></td>
<td>342815/04234049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raymond Verger</td>
<td>4009 Idalia</td>
<td></td>
<td>466341/05234013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patrick Ray</td>
<td>4012 Idalia</td>
<td></td>
<td>342817/04234041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>Linda Checkley</td>
<td>3811 Idalia</td>
<td></td>
<td>345621/04234010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kelly</td>
<td>10411 Wilshire</td>
<td></td>
<td>342807/042340302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: _______________________
Phone Number: _________________________
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive
The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dilshad Shahid</td>
<td>16310 Salida Dr, Austin, TX 78749</td>
<td></td>
<td>37135/0436340230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vivian Liu</td>
<td>3601 Aspen Creek Pkwy</td>
<td></td>
<td>34657/0438300405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarez-Gonzalez
Phone Number: 512-617-6154
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arturo Aree</td>
<td>Arturo Aree</td>
<td>41001 Eddie Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>G 6 34 3/043093401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Albrighth</td>
<td>L. Albrighth</td>
<td>3917 Trout Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>342829/0430934024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarez Garza
# PETITION

**Date:** October 31, 2014  
**File No.:** C14-2014-0153  
**Address of Rezoning Request:** 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

**The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two**

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lot/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holly Leani</td>
<td>3801 Aspen Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>34271/0426300503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosaline P.czty</td>
<td>3819 Aspen Creek</td>
<td>5612/0426300502</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramiro Hernández</td>
<td>3632 Malone Dr.</td>
<td>373533/0426301019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>María Doña</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>373411/0426300608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lupel Cohen</td>
<td>3620 Aspen Creek</td>
<td>373711/0426300601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarez-Gonzalez  
Phone Number: 512-517-4194
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>[Owner Name]</td>
<td>[Address]</td>
<td>[Vesting Deed]</td>
<td>[Property ID / Geo ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>[Owner Name]</td>
<td>[Address]</td>
<td>[Vesting Deed]</td>
<td>[Property ID / Geo ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>[Owner Name]</td>
<td>[Address]</td>
<td>[Vesting Deed]</td>
<td>[Property ID / Geo ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>[Owner Name]</td>
<td>[Address]</td>
<td>[Vesting Deed]</td>
<td>[Property ID / Geo ID]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: [Signature]
Phone Number: [Signature]
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014
File No.: C14-2014-0153
Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more.

Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jessica Gallen</td>
<td>3900 Summit Dr</td>
<td>1047Z</td>
<td>342686/042630202631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wendy Kent</td>
<td>2301 Lupine</td>
<td>342799/04263020261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Bunnels</td>
<td>3912 Summit Dr</td>
<td>342689/04263020264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Megan Simmons</td>
<td>3916 Summit Dr</td>
<td>342690/04263020265</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Kejo</td>
<td>10203 Schrock Dr</td>
<td>342691/04263020264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: Thelma Alvarado-Garza
Phone Number: 912.517.6196
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1 and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William Monke</td>
<td>10301 Salmon Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10317 Salmon Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: __________________________
Phone Number: __________________________
PETITION

Date: October 31, 2014

File No.: C14-2014-0153

Address of Rezoning Request: 10301 - 10317 Salmon Drive

The Enclave at Oak Parke Section One and Two

To: Austin City Council

We, the undersigned owners of property affected by the requested zoning change described in the referenced file, do hereby protest against any change of the Land Development Code which would zone the properties to any other classification other than SF-1 or SF-2 with a conditional overlay requiring a maximum allowable of six lots/residential units, 15% impervious cover, and compliance with current regulations/Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance.

The reasons for our protest include:

1. The only access to and from the proposed development is Salmon Dr, a residential street.

2. Given the single point of access, any additional lots beyond the six lots previously platted under case number C8-94-0278.1A and .2A will present an adverse traffic impact and unsafe conditions.

3. The proposed development is in the Slaughter Creek watershed, and in the Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The western property limit of Lot 6 is also immediately adjacent to Slaughter Creek and contains a floodplain. However, instead of being subject to current Save Our Springs (SOS) Ordinance, the plat / C8-94-0278.1A and .2A, indicate lots shall be developed in accordance to Ordinance No. 941205-A which allows significantly more impervious cover and does not provide the level of protection current regulations do. If the property owner wishes to develop under older regulations such as Ordinance No. 941205-A via grandfathering, development should be limited to the six lots previously platted and no more. Should they wish to pursue any additional lots beyond the six originally platted; development must properly address access and be subject to current regulations, including but not limited to the 15% maximum impervious cover and environmental protection measures required under current regulations/SOS ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Vesting Deed</th>
<th>Property ID / Geo ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Tok</td>
<td>4030 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td>842768/65426340115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michele Tok</td>
<td>4030 Shavano</td>
<td></td>
<td>842768/65426340115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name: __________________________

Phone Number: __________________________
PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application’s hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff’s recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin’s land development process, visit our website: http://www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission’s name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C14-2014-0153
Contact: Wendy Rhoades, 512-974-7719
Public Hearing: October 21, 2014, Zoning and Platting Commission
November 20, 2014, City Council

Bill & Roz Painter

3813 Aspen Creek Drive

Your address should be listed by this application.

Bill & Roz Painter

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: (512) 292-3685

Comments:
Will add too much traffic
to our neighborhood. The plan
was misrepresented.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin
Planning & Development Review Department
Wendy Rhoades
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810
Re: Case Number" C14-2014-0153

Contact: Wendy Rhoades

My name is Scott Madole. I own the house at 4029 Idalia. It is located just south of the intersection of Salmon and Idalia. I object to the proposed zoning application. The Feasibility Land Plan from LJA Engineering, Inc. incorporates the development of two separate areas - the Sawmill and the Salmon - with a single access. The plan includes land that is part of two zoning initiatives and envisions a single access to both parcels through the Oak Park neighborhood. The result of 27 new houses with the only access through the neighborhood adds significant traffic to streets and extends the times of fire and EMS response particularly for future owners of homes on the proposed north end of Salmon.

If the Salmon and Sawmill parcels are to be combined and developed simultaneously, it would make more sense to approve the application with the addition of an overlay that includes access from both Sawmill and Salmon. The overlay would mediate the additional traffic caused by the residents of this area transiting the entire neighborhood to gain access to their homes from likely access from Slaughter.
December 10, 2014

Mayor Lee Leffingwell &
City Council
301 W. Second St.
Austin, TX 78701

RE: Postponement of December 11, 2014 Agenda Item#148 Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153

Dear Mayor and Council:

Regarding Zoning Case# C14-2014-0153 and the restrictive covenant associated with it, an issue has arisen regarding the appropriateness of the restrictive covenant language relative to both the intent of the restrictive covenant and the legal status of the property (as well as that of adjacent properties). We believe the issue can be easily resolved to the satisfaction of all but time is needed to work with the planning staff to this end, and staff seems amenable to that.

Accordingly, we officially request a postponement of Agenda Item#148 Zoning Case # C14-2014-0153 from the City Council Meeting of December 11, 2014 to the meeting of February 12, 2015.

Thank you.

[Signature]
Mark Cunningham

cc:  Wendy Rhoades
     Case Manager
     Planning Department
Honorable Mayor and Council:

I am writing to support the position of the Oak Parke HOA regarding Zoning Case C14-2014-0153. I live on Trout Drive, a narrow street that connects Salmon Drive to Wolftrap, which will be the first turn off when residents exit any homes constructed on the property in question owned by M. Cunningham. I fully support the HOA position and the City staff recommendations and decisions made in prior readings.

Oak Parke experiences high traffic from people cutting through from Brodie to Wolftrap and the adult and adolescent traffic in the entire neighborhood to and from Bowie High School, the largest high school in Austin. Residents have to navigate narrow streets that become one lane when cars park on both sides of the street. We also have a high volume of foot traffic, including residents exercising and students walking to Bowie and the bus stops for both elementary and middle schools. While we enjoy the foot traffic, we also recognize the increased risk that Salmon tract development traffic presents if there is only one way to exit the 12 acre tract located in the back of the neighborhood.

I strongly support the inclusion of conditions on the zoning to include construction of a stub out at the north end of the Salmon tract to ensure connectivity with future development on the Sawmill tract of land. In addition, I support limiting development on the Salmon tract to 11 houses. If zoning approval is made without condition, I then ask that the property be permanently zoned as RR and SF-2.

Thank you for your consideration of our neighborhood's well being.

Terry Allbright

3917 Trout Drive

Austin, Texas 78749
From: Mike Rush
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 5:29 PM
To: Searle, Michael
Subject: Salmon Tract Third Reading

Honorable Mayor and Council:

Re: Zoning case C14-2014-0153

I am an affected property owner in the Oak Parke neighborhood and a member of the Oak Parke Home Owners Association. I fully support the position of the Oak Parke HOA regarding this zoning case, known as the Salmon tract for the nearest existing street, and the staff recommendations and decisions reached at two prior readings.

I live near the proposed development and my street, Trout Drive, will be the first option off Salmon Drive for any traffic leaving the development. We also expect an adjoining tract, known as Sawmill tract, to be developed since it has been approved for a minimum of 30 homes. We must have a stub out at the north end of the Salmon tract to insure connectivity with the Sawmill tract which will allow the traffic from both tracts when fully developed to exit the neighborhood with minimal impact on the rest of our streets. The stub out would further allow fire and emergency services quick access to the back of our neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael Rush
3917 Trout Drive
Austin 78749