ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-2015-0073
P.C. DATE: September 8, 2015
September 22, 2015
October 13, 2015

ADDRESS: 7200 E. Ben White Blvd

DISTRICT AREA: 3

OWNER/APPLICANT: KWH Properties

AGENT: Thrower Design, A. Ron Thrower

ZONING FROM: GR-MU-NP & LI-NP TO: SF-6-NP

AREA: 12.03 acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Recommend GR-MU-CO-NP & SF-6-CO-NP (Exhibit A)
The existing LI-NP would change to GR-MU-CO-NP and the existing GR-MU-NP would change to SF-6-CO-NP.

The Conditional Overlay would require:
-If there will be a residential use, a 50 foot buffer is required along the boundary with LI-NP zoning (Exhibit A).
-Right-of-way dedication for the extension of Carson Ridge along the western boundary of the subject tract will be required at the time of site plan submittal and will be subject to a Rough Proportionality determination by the City along with any other transportation requirements.
-In addition to the requirements in City Code Section 25-6-113 and other applicable law that trigger a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA), if the development proposes access to any adjacent properties, then at the time of a site plan application, a TIA shall be required.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:
Planning Commission 9/8/2015: POSTPONED to 9/22 by staff to allow notification for the Neighborhood Plan Amendment.
Planning Commission 9/22/2015: POSTPONED to 10/13 by staff to ensure all qualifying addresses were properly notified.
Planning Commission 10/13/2015: APPROVED staff recommendation on consent (Zaragoza, Stevens 11-0): GR-MU-CO-NP & SF-6-CO-NP.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:
The property consists of 12.03 un-platted acres located along the west bound frontage road of Ben White Blvd/Highway 71 East. The tract has two zoning categories, light industrial (LI-NP) and general retail- mixed use (GR-MU-NP). The applicant proposes to develop the property as “Phase II” of the “Eastwood at Riverside” which is an existing detached, single-
family, condominium subdivision with 117 units. Phase II would add 85 detached, single-family, condominium units. Although the units could be constructed within the GR-MU-NP portion of the site, residential is prohibited in LI-NP necessitating the zoning change. This project is proposing to take vehicle access through the existing Eastwood at Riverside development to Riverside Drive.

The East Riverside Corridor Plan shows the connection of Carson Ridge through this property to Ben White Blvd (Exhibit B1&B2). In addition, the Transportation department has recommended the extension of Yellow Jacket Lane through the property east to west. After discussions with Transportation and the applicant, it has been determined that the staff recommended buffer along the LI-NP property can serve as the right-of-way for the extension of Carson Ridge and provide access to Ben White Blvd. When the adjacent LI-NP property to the west is redeveloped, the remaining right-of-way should be dedicated for Carson Ridge. However, because of rough proportionality, the extension of Yellow Jacket Lane is not feasible in addition to Carson Ridge and access to Ben White Blvd. The priority was determined to be Carson Ridge and Ben White access which provide the added connectivity making the extension of Yellow Jacket unnecessary.

The Neighborhood Plan identified this area as an industrial use however, because of its proximity to the central core it is seeing intense pressure to be developed for residential use. Currently, a large multi-family residential development is underway to the west of the adjacent LI-NP tract.

ISSUES: The applicant is in agreement with the Staff recommendation of separate zoning for tracts 1 & 2. The Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team has submitted a letter in support of the Neighborhood Plan amendment as well as the change to SF-6-NP for both tracts.

Transportation Staff have concerns about both Phase I & II of this development taking access solely to Riverside Drive and requested the CO requiring the TIA at site plan.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>LAND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>GR-MU-NP &amp; LI-NP</td>
<td>Undeveloped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>SF-6-NP</td>
<td>Single family condominium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Auto Sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Mobile Home/RV sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>LI-NP</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA: East Riverside/Oltorf Combined, Parker Lane

TIA or NTA: Applicant has agreed to limit site to 2000 vehicle trips per day however Transportation staff are requiring a TIA at site plan if Phase II shares access with Phase I.
**WATERSHED:** Suburban, Country Club Creek

**DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE:** Yes

**CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR:** No  
**HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY:** No

**NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:**
Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team  
Montopolis Neighborhood Association  
Del Valle Community Coalition  
GO! Austin/VAMOS! Austin – Dove Springs  
Friends of Austin Neighborhoods  
Montopolis Community Alliance  
Austin Heritage Tree Foundation  
Bike Austin  
Austin Neighborhoods Council  
Montopolis Area Neighborhood Alliance  
Southeast Corner Alliance of Neighborhoods  
Carson Ridge Neighborhood Association  
Real Estate Council of Austin  
Onion Creek Homeowners Association  
Crossing Garden Homeowners Assn  
Dove Springs Neighborhood Assn  
Preservation Austin  
Friends of the Emma Barrientos MACC  
Southeast Combined Neighborhood Plan Contact Team  
Sel Texas  
Sierra Club  
Montopolis Tributary Trail Association  
Dove Springs Proud

**SCHOOLS:** Del Valle HS, Ojeda MS, Smith Elementary

**RELATED CASES FOR THIS PROPERTY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>PLANNING COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPA-2015-0005.02</td>
<td>Mixed use and Industrial to High Density Single Family</td>
<td>Mixed use and High Density Single Family</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-2015-0356C</td>
<td>Site Plan for 85 unit detached condominiums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-01-0060 (7200)</td>
<td>SF3 &amp; CS to</td>
<td>GR-MU-NP</td>
<td>GR-MU-NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Austin City Council, November 12, 2015
E. Ben White)  | GR-MU-NP Montopolis Neighborhood Plan |  |  
C14-01-0060 (7200 E. Ben White)  | LI to LI-NP Montopolis Neighborhood Plan | LI-NP | LI-NP  

**SURROUNDING PROPERTIES CASE HISTORIES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>REQUEST</th>
<th>PLANNING COMMISSION</th>
<th>CITY COUNCIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14-2011-0169 (7000-6934 ½ E. Ben White)</td>
<td>LI-NP &amp; CS-NP to CS-MU-NP</td>
<td>CS-MU-NP</td>
<td>CS-MU-NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-2012-0113 (7003 E. Riverside Dr.)</td>
<td>SF-3-NP to SF6-CO-NP</td>
<td>SF6-CO-NP</td>
<td>SF6-CO-NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-2012-0112 (7310-7216 E. Ben White Blvd)</td>
<td>CS-NP to ERC</td>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>ERC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CITY COUNCIL DATE:**

**ACTION:**

**ORDINANCE READINGS:** 1st 2nd 3rd

**ORDINANCE NUMBER:**

**CASE MANAGER:** Andrew Moore  
**PHONE:** 512-974-7604  
**EMAIL:** andrew.moore@austintexas.gov
STAFF RECOMMENDATION
SF-6-CO-NP & GR-MU-CO-NP

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION
Land development pressures in this area are changing the uses from light-industrial to residential. Because of this property’s proximity to LI and its frontage along Ben White Blvd, staff is recommending:
LI-NP to GR-MU-CO-NP (Tract 1)
GR-MU-NP to SF-6-CO-NP (Tract 2)

Zoning staff has concerns regarding a single family development fronting Ben White Blvd and adjacent to LI-NP and recommends a mixed-use transition from the LI to SF-6. If there will be a residential development in the mixed use tract, staff recommends a 50 foot setback along the boundary with the LI tract to the west. In response to Transportation staff comments, the applicant should also dedicate right-of-way for extension of Carson Ridge as recommended in the East Riverside Corridor plan. If/when the adjacent LI property is redeveloped, additional right-of-way will be required to complete the Carson Ridge collector. Additionally, Transportation staff requested a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) at site plan should these tracts take access to Riverside Drive via Phase 1 of “Eastwood at Riverside” development.

EXISTING CONDITIONS
The subject tracts are currently undeveloped. The surrounding area is developed to the north and east with a mixture of single-family and manufactured homes and the initial “Phase I” of the proposed development. Because of the single-family districts to the north and along Yellowjacket, the property is subject to compatibility standards. To the east is East Riverside Corridor (ERC) zoned property used as a car dealership. To the west is a currently vacant LI zoned warehouse facility previously used as a gas station warehouse. Immediately to the west of the LI zoned property is a multi-family development under construction on CS-MU.

Site Characteristics
The zoning change request is for a 12.03 acre, unplatted, heavily wooded, undeveloped tract located in the Montopolis Neighborhood Planning Area with frontage along Ben White Blvd/Highway 71 East. It is relatively gently sloped from south to north.

The entire property is located within the Controlled Compatibility Land Use Area of the Airport Overlay. Because it is part of the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan, residential is an allowable use per Chapter 25-13-45.

Comprehensive Planning
There is an associated Neighborhood Plan Amendment for this case. Please see report for NPA-2015-0005.02

Austin City Council, November 12, 2015
ADDITIONAL STAFF COMMENTS

Environmental – Mike McDougal 512-974-6380

1. The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Carson Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. The site is in the Desired Development Zone.

2. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Classification</th>
<th>% of Gross Site Area</th>
<th>% of Gross Site Area with Transfers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family (minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Single-Family or Duplex</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. According to floodplain maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.

4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.

5. Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development’s requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.

6. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site.

7. At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

Transportation – Natalia Rodriguez 512-974-3099
A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-113]

FYI – If the zoning is approved, there is concern that a single family residential development fronts an arterial.

A Neighborhood Traffic Analysis will be required at the time of site plan if triggered per LDC 25-6-114. LDC, Sec. 25-6-114.

The East Riverside Corridor Plan requires the extension of Carson Ridge from Montopolis to Ben White Boulevard through this property. Right of Way should be dedicated to complete Carson Ridge.

The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan calls for a total of 400 feet of right-of-way for E. Ben White Boulevard. If the requested zoning is granted, then 75 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated and 125 feet of right-of-way reserved from the existing centerline of E. Ben White Boulevard in accordance with the Transportation Plan. TXDOT may request the reservation of additional right-of-way in accordance with the Transportation Plan when the site is redeveloped. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-51 and 25-6-55].

Additional right-of-way maybe required at the time of subdivision and/or site plan.

**Complete Streets Review**

It is recommended, as a condition of zoning, that Yellowjacket Lane be extended to Ben White with right-of-way through the property in accordance with our Complete Streets Policy Ordinance #20140612-119 for connectivity to Riverside Drive.

The existing roadway conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Pavement</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Sidewalks</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. Ben White Boulevard</td>
<td>350 ft.</td>
<td>&lt;140 ft.</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, Route # 418.06 Shared Lane</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowjacket Lane</td>
<td>&lt;88 ft.</td>
<td>28 ft.</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Yes, One Side</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No, but there is a bus stop .3 miles away on Riverside Drive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the requested zoning is recommended for this site, stub out internal drives to the west for future connection.

Nadia Barrera, Urban Trails, Public Works Department and Nathan Wilkes, Bicycle Program, Austin Transportation Department may provide additional comments regarding bicycle and pedestrian connectivity per the Council Resolution No. 20130620-056.

According to the Austin 2009 Bicycle Plan Update approved by Austin City Council in June, 2009, a Wide Shoulder is recommended along E. Ben White Boulevard.

**Water and Wastewater**

WW1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

FYI. Based on current public infrastructure configurations, it appears that service extension requests will be required to provide service to this lot. For more information pertaining to the Service Extension Request process and submittal requirements contact Phillip Jaeger with the Austin Water Utility, Utility Development Services at 625 E. 10th St., 7th floor. Ph: 512-972-0232.

**Storm Water Detention**

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in storm water runoff will be mitigated through on-site storm water detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Storm water Management Program if available.

**Site Plan and Compatibility Standards – Rosemary Avila 512-974-2784**

A Site Plan will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540-feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

Austin City Council, November 12, 2015
This site is in a neighborhood planning area, Montopolis, more comments may be generated at time of site plan review.

Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use (Urban Roadway requirements). Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.

The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the northeast and the northwest property line, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet wide is required along the property line. In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection. Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

This property is within the Controlled Compatible Land Use Area defined by Chapter 241 of the Local Government Code. Development on this property is limited by Chapter 25-13 of the Austin City Code. Airport hazards as defined in Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77, as adopted by the City in Sections 25-13-23, are prohibited. For more information, contact Joe Medici, Noise Abatement Officer at (512) 530-6652.
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
ZONING - EXHIBIT A

ZONING CASE#: C14-2015-0091
LOCATION: 505 BARTON SPRINGS RD
SUBJECT AREA: 5.76 ACRES
GRID: T19
MANAGER: Andrew Moore

This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
Figure 1-5: East Riverside Corridor Collector Street Map
Shows existing and new streets designated as Collector streets.

Legend:
- Existing Streets
- Upgrade existing street to collector street
- Required new collector street
- Future potential collector street
- Required collector street connection point
- Location of connection is flexible
- ERC Zoned Parcel
- Parcel within the ERC Boundary not re-zoned as part of the ERC process
- Parcel Boundary

ERC Planning Area Boundary

Note: Collector street alignments shown are approximate and may be located on different ownership parcels. ROW dedication and reservation on specific parcels shall be determined in accordance with LDC Chapter 25-6, Article 2.

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries. This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.
May 26, 2015

Ms. Maureen Meredith  
Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department  
P O Box 1088  
Austin, TX  78767  

RE:  Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 Rezoning and Neighborhood Plan Amendment  

Dear Maureen Meredith:  

The Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team (MNPCT) held its meeting on May 13th, 2015 to review a zoning request and Plan Amendment Request for out-of-cycle. The MNPCT reviewed Garrett S. Martin’s Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 request.

This letter is to express the full support by the Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team for the request by Milestone Community Builders, LLC for the Neighborhood Plan Amendment of the future land use designation from Industry and Mixed Use to Single Family Residential of the Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 property.

Furthermore, we fully support the proposed re-zoning of the tract from LI-NP and GR-MU-NP to SF-6.

We have worked together with Milestone to satisfy the Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Plan Team that these changes will be beneficial to both the surrounding neighborhood and the City of Austin.

Please grant Milestone’s request for these changes.

Sincerely,  

Susana Almanza  
Susana Almanza, President  
Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team