

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

April 2, 1970
9:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor LaRue presiding.

Roll Call:

Present: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Absent: No one

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND HARWELL NORRIS, JR., St. Andrew's Presbyterian Church.

ORDINANCE ORDERING IMPROVEMENTS

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITY FOR AND ORDERING THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS, DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF ESTIMATES, INVOKING THE ALTERNATE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION 5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALLED SESSION OF THE 40TH LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS, DETERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTING SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE TO BE FILED IN THE MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Johnson moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The

motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

~~The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading.~~ The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

EASEMENTS RELEASED

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for public utility purposes in, upon, over and across a part of Lot 6, Block 1, Bryker Woods "E", a subdivision of record in Book 4 at Page 105 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, by map or plat of said Bryker Woods "E"; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described portion of said easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the Deputy City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described portion of said public utility easement, to-wit:

A strip of land five (5.00) feet in width, same being out of and a part of Lot 6, Block 1, Bryker Woods "E", a subdivision of record in Book 4 at Page 105 of the

Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which strip of land five (5.00) feet in width is more particularly described as follows:

BEING all of the west one hundred twenty-five (125.00) feet of the north five (5.00) feet of said Lot 6. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for public utility purposes in, upon, over and across a part of Lot 4, Block D, Manor Hill, a subdivision of record in Book 6 at Page 10 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, by map or plat of said Manor Hill; and,

WHEREAS, the owners of the above described property have requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described portion of said easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described portion of said easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the Deputy City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described portion of said public utility easement, to-wit:

A strip of land five (5.00) feet in width, same being out of and a part of Lot 4, Block D, Manor Hill, a subdivision of record in Book 6 at Page 10 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas; which strip of land five (5.00) feet in width is more particularly described as follows:

BEING all of the north 118.27 feet of the west five (5.00) feet of said Lot 4, Block D, Manor Hill."

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

ANNEXATION HEARING SET

Councilman MacCorkle offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That a public hearing be held at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the City Council Chambers of the City of Austin on the 16th day of April, 1970, for the purpose of providing an opportunity for all interested persons to be heard on consideration of the institution of annexation proceedings with respect to the below described property, to-wit:

25.27 acres of land, same being out of and a part of the T. J. Chambers Grant in Travis County, Texas, which 25.27 acres of land are more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron pin on the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated July 22, 1965, which point of BEGINNING is the most westerly corner of Lot 1, Block P, Northwest Hills, Section Seven, a subdivision of record in Book 26 at page 32 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, same being the most southerly corner of the herein described tract of land, which point of BEGINNING is in the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, and from which point of BEGINNING the intersection of the west line of West Rim Drive with the south line of Deepwoods Drive bears northeasterly 205 feet, more or less;

THENCE, with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in a westerly direction with the following eight (8) courses:

- (1) N 54° 21' W 178.51 feet to a concrete monument;
- (2) N 79° 02' W 266.37 feet to an iron pin;
- (3) N 50° 09' W 254.66 feet to a concrete monument;
- (4) N 17° 41' W 209.56 feet to an iron pin;
- (5) N 34° 16' W 94.28 feet to an iron pin;
- (6) N 73° 17' W 201.20 feet to an iron pin;
- (7) N 56° 56' W 234.02 feet to an iron pin;
- (8) N 45° 58' W 201.26 feet to a concrete monument at the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in a northerly direction with the following three (3) courses:

- (1) N 41° 30' E 150.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (2) N 01° 50' W 93.15 feet to an iron pin;

(3) N 32° 28' E 130.00 feet to an iron pin at the most westerly northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in an easterly direction with the following eleven (11) courses:

- (1) S 44° 33' E 132.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (2) S 44° 45' E 160.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (3) S 54° 36' E 69.89 feet to an iron pin;
- (4) S 66° 59' E 420.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (5) S 73° 23' E 162.59 feet to an iron pin;
- (6) S 80° 00' E 90.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (7) S 89° 47' E 110.65 feet to an iron pin;
- (8) N 83° 42' E 160.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (9) N 33° 45' E 115.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (10) N 45° 02' E 45.26 feet to an iron pin;
- (11) N 55° 38' E 117.24 feet to an iron pin on a curve having an angle of intersection of 25° 32', a radius of 231.07 feet and a tangent distance of 42.36 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the right an arc distance of 42.83 feet, the chord of which arc bears N 25° 18' W 52.71 feet to an iron pin at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in a northerly direction with the following six (6) courses:

- (1) N 71° 15' E 50.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (2) N 64° 30' E 102.79 feet to a concrete monument;
- (3) N 42° 08' E 260.87 feet to an iron pin;
- (4) N 24° 08' E 150.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (5) N 03° 08' E 70.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (6) N 85° 12' E 143.03 feet to an iron pin on a curve having an angle of intersection of 54° 32', a radius of 271.47 feet and a tangent distance of 139.92 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the left an arc distance of 97.39 feet to the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, N 66° 48' E 50.00 feet to an iron pin at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 68° 01', a radius of 28.16 feet and a tangent distance of 19.00 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the left an arc distance of 33.43 feet, the chord of which arc bears S 57° 12' E 31.50 feet to an iron pin;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, N 88° 47' E 89.42 feet to an iron pin at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 31° 33', a radius of 297.79 feet and a tangent distance of 84.13 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the right an arc distance of 163.98 feet, the subchords of which arc bear S 84° 38' E 68.37 feet to an iron pin and S 68° 51' E 95.05 feet to an iron pin at the point of tangency of said curve, same being the most easterly corner of the herein described tract of land, and which point is in the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated June 27, 1968;

THENCE, with said present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated June 27, 1968, an ordinance dated October 27, 1966, and the aforesaid ordinance dated July 22, 1965, in a southerly direction to the point of BEGINNING.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and directed to cause a notice of such hearing to be published in a newspaper having general circulation in this City and in the area proposed to be annexed, such publication to be made not more than twenty (20) days, nor less than ten (10) days prior to the hearing.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

SPACE ASSIGNMENTS

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Southern Union Gas Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its gas mains in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT Southern Union Gas Company be and the same is hereby permitted to lay and construct its gas mains in and upon the following streets;

- (1) A gas main in MEADOR AVENUE, from Wilkes Avenue, northerly 320 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 5.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MEADOR AVENUE.
- (2) A gas main in MOUNTAIN QUAIL ROAD, from Rundberg Lane, northerly 1884 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MOUNTAIN QUAIL ROAD.
- (3) A gas main in RUTLAND DRIVE, from a point 125 feet east of the east property line of Gamble's Quail Drive, westerly 1750 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said RUTLAND DRIVE.
- (4) A gas main in GAMBLE'S QUAIL DRIVE, from Rutland Drive to Whippoorwill Road; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east line of said GAMBLE'S QUAIL DRIVE.
- (5) A gas main in WHIPPOORWILL ROAD, from Chukar Circle, easterly 1712 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said WHIPPOORWILL ROAD.
- (6) A gas main in SPRINGER LANE, from Chukar Circle to Blue Quail Road; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said SPRINGER LANE.
- (7) A gas main in OAK HOLLOW DRIVE, from Whippoorwill Road, northerly 628 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said OAK HOLLOW DRIVE.
- (8) A gas main in DESERT QUAIL LANE, from Oak Hollow Drive, westerly 772 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said DESERT QUAIL LANE.
- (9) A gas main in NEWFOUNDLAND CIRCLE from Whippoorwill Road, southerly, easterly and northerly 1130 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west, south, and west of and parallel to the east, north and east property lines of said NEWFOUNDLAND CIRCLE.

- (10) A gas main in CHUKAR CIRCLE, from a point 126 feet north of the north property line of Springer Lane, southerly, easterly, and northerly 1567 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west, south, and west of and parallel to the east, north and east property lines of said CHUKAR CIRCLE.
- (11) A gas main in EKTOM DRIVE, from Westgate Boulevard, easterly 756 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said EKTOM DRIVE.
- (12) A gas main in INDIO DRIVE, from Ektom Drive, southerly 129 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said INDIO DRIVE.
- (13) A gas main in WESTGATE BOULEVARD, from Jones Road southerly 849 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said WESTGATE BOULEVARD.
- (14) A gas main in TAHOE TRAIL, from Westgate Boulevard, easterly and northerly 995 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south and west of and parallel to the north and east property lines of said TAHOE TRAIL.
- (15) A gas main in LARK COVE, from Richmond Avenue, northerly 150 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said LARK COVE.
- (16) A gas main in HAWK COVE, from Richmond Avenue, northerly 406 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east line of said HAWK COVE.
- (17) A gas main in FALCON COVE, from Richmond Avenue, easterly 220 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said FALCON COVE.
- (18) A gas main in LANSING DRIVE, from a point 282 feet east of the east property line of Brighton Avenue, easterly 125 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said LANSING DRIVE.
- (19) A gas main in PARKER LANE, from a point 1,095 feet north of the north line of Woodward Drive, northerly 1,004 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 10.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said PARKER LANE.

- (20) A gas main in EAST 19TH STREET, from a point 146 feet west of the west property line of Oldfort Hill Road, westerly 328 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 12 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said EAST 19TH STREET.
- (21) A gas main in BROADHILL DRIVE, from East 19th Street, northerly 2075 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said BROADHILL DRIVE.
- (22) A gas main in VASQUEZ STREET, from a point 361 feet south of the south property line of Felix Avenue, southerly 263 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet east of and parallel to the west property line of said VASQUEZ STREET.
- (23) A gas main in SUENA DRIVE, from Vasquez Street to Villita Avenida; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south and west of and parallel to the north and east property lines of said SUENA DRIVE.
- (24) A gas main in VILLITA AVENIDA, from Vasquez Street, easterly 684 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said VILLITA AVENIDA.
- (25) A gas main in SEABROOK STREET, from Broadhill Drive, westerly 179 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north line of said SEABROOK STREET.
- (26) A gas main in WALWORTH STREET, from Broadhill Drive, easterly 132 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said WALWORTH STREET.
- (27) A gas main in MOUNTAIN RIDGE DRIVE, from Hyridge Drive, northerly 529 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MOUNTAIN RIDGE DRIVE.
- (28) A gas main in HYRIDGE DRIVE, from a point 105 feet west of the west property line of Mountain Ridge Drive, easterly 351 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 18 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said HYRIDGE DRIVE.
- (29) A gas main in WILDRIDGE DRIVE, from Westover

Club Drive, northerly 629 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 18 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said WILDRIDGE DRIVE.

- (30) A gas main in WILDRIDGE CIRCLE, from Wildridge Drive, westerly 360 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said WILDRIDGE CIRCLE.
- (31) A gas main in BERGER STREET from a point 125 feet north of the north property line of Sara Lane, northerly 120 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said BERGER STREET.
- (32) A gas main in SAHARA AVENUE from a point 173 feet west of the west property line of Emerald Forest Drive, easterly 747 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said SAHARA AVENUE.
- (33) A gas main in EMERALD FOREST DRIVE, from Sahara Avenue, southerly 228 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 43.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said EMERALD FOREST DRIVE.
- (34) A gas main in EMERALD FOREST DRIVE, from Sahara Avenue northerly 146 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said EMERALD FOREST DRIVE.
- (35) A gas main in RICHMOND AVENUE, from Lansing Drive, easterly and northerly 1018 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet north and west of and parallel to the south and east property lines of said RICHMOND AVENUE.
- (36) A gas main in CAMERON ROAD, from a point 20 feet north of the north property line of Coronado Hills Drive, northerly 1928 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 12 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said CAMERON ROAD.
- (37) A gas main in CAMERON ROAD from a point 1928 feet north of the north property line of Coronado Hills Drive, easterly 20 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 22 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said CAMERON ROAD.
- (38) A gas main in the CAMERON ROAD and LOOP 111

intersection, from the point of intersection of a line 380 feet south of and parallel to the centerline of said LOOP 111 and 30 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said CAMERON ROAD, to the point of intersection of a line 98 feet north of and parallel to the centerline of said LOOP 111, and 12.5 feet west of and parallel to the point of intersection of the south property line of Old Cameron Road and the north property line of said LOOP 111.

- (39) A gas main in the intersection of LOOP 111 and OLD CAMERON ROAD, from the point of intersection of a line 12.5 feet west of and parallel to the intersection of the north property line of said LOOP 111 and the south property line of said OLD CAMERON ROAD, to the point of intersection of a line, 25 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said OLD CAMERON ROAD and 27.5 feet east of and parallel to the southerly prolongation of the centerline of Cameron Road.
- (40) A gas main in CAMERON ROAD, from a point 100 feet south of the south property line of Loop 111, northerly 106.5 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 12.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said CAMERON ROAD.
- (41) A gas main in LOOP 111, from Cameron Road, easterly 978 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said LOOP 111.
- (42) A gas main in SONNET AVENUE, from Westchester Avenue, northerly 810 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 20 feet east of and parallel to the west property line of said SONNET AVENUE.
- (43) A gas main in SOUTH LAKESHORE BOULEVARD, from East Riverside Drive, easterly 388 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said SOUTH LAKESHORE BOULEVARD.
- (44) A gas main in EAST RIVERSIDE DRIVE, from a point 10 feet north of the north property line of South Lakeshore Boulevard, southerly 397 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 8 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said EAST RIVERSIDE DRIVE.
- (45) A gas main in JONATHAN DRIVE, from Huntland

Drive, southerly 645 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said JONATHAN DRIVE.

- (46) A gas main in HIGHLAND MALL BOULEVARD, from Jonathan Drive, westerly 700 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 18 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said HIGHLAND MALL BOULEVARD.
- (47) A gas main in HIGHLAND MALL BOULEVARD, from the south property line, of said HIGHLAND MALL BOULEVARD northerly 18 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 75 feet east of and parallel to the east property line of AIRPORT BOULEVARD.
- (48) A gas main in WEST 23rd STREET, from San Gabriel Street, easterly 740 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6 feet north of and parallel to the south property line of said WEST 23rd STREET.
- (49) A gas main in MARLBOROUGH DRIVE, from Rundberg Lane, northerly 1540 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MARLBOROUGH DRIVE.
- (50) A gas main in MARLBOROUGH CIRCLE, from Marlborough Drive, westerly 177 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said MARLBOROUGH CIRCLE.
- (51) A gas main in AVEBURY CIRCLE, from Marlborough Drive, westerly 287 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said AVEBURY CIRCLE.
- (52) A gas main in BRIGHTON CIRCLE, from Marlborough Drive, westerly 205 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said BRIGHTON CIRCLE.
- (53) A gas main in BRIGHTON LANE from Marlborough Drive to Cameron Road; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said BRIGHTON LANE.
- (54) A gas main in MONMOUTH CIRCLE, from Brighton Lane, northerly 210 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said MONMOUTH CIRCLE.
- (55) A gas main in MARSTON CIRCLE, from Marlborough Drive, westerly 150 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 40 feet south of and parallel to the north

property line of said MARSTON CIRCLE.

- (56) A gas main in MARSTON LANE, from Marlborough Drive to Kendal Drive; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said MARSTON LANE.
- (57) A gas main in HALIFAX DRIVE, from Marston Drive to Greenwich Drive; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said HALIFAX DRIVE.
- (58) A gas main in KENDAL DRIVE, from Marston Drive to Glacier Drive; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said KENDAL DRIVE.
- (59) A gas main in GREENWICH DRIVE, from Kendal Drive, northerly 451 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 15 feet west of and parallel to the east property line of said GREENWICH DRIVE.
- (60) A gas main in GLACIER DRIVE, from Cameron Road, westerly 271 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said GLACIER DRIVE.
- (61) A gas main in RUNDBERG LANE, from Marlborough Drive, westerly 779 feet; the centerline of which gas main shall be 6.5 feet south of and parallel to the north property line of said RUNDBERG LANE.

Said gas mains described above and Number 1 through 61 shall have a cover of not less than 2 1/2 feet.

be and the same is hereby granted and the Director of Public Works is hereby authorized to issue a permit for the construction of such improvements, said grant and permit to be subject to the following conditions:

- (1) The improvements shall be constructed and maintained in compliance with all ordinances relating thereto.
- (2) The permit shall be issued and accepted subject to all reasonable police, traffic, fire and health regulation as the City of Austin, now existing or hereafter adopted.
- (3) The repair or relocation of any and all utilities in the vicinity necessitated by the laying of these improvements shall be done at the expense of the Southern Union Gas Company of Austin, Texas.
- (4) The Southern Union Gas Company of Austin, Texas, will indemnify and save the City of Austin harmless from any and all claims against said City growing out of or connected with the construction or maintenance of said improvements.

(5) That all backfill under street surfaces between existing or future proposed curbs and under driveways and alleys, shall be tamped with mechanical tampers in six (6) inch layers. Each layer shall be compacted to not less than 90 per cent of maximum density as determined by the Standard Method of Test for Compaction and Density of Soils, A.A.S.H.O. Designation T99-49

(6) The City of Austin may revoke such permit for good cause after notice to the Southern Union Gas Company, in Austin, and hearing thereon, and upon such revocation the owner of such improvements will remove the same and pay all costs and expenses attendant therewith. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

REFUND CONTRACT

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE DEPUTY CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CERTAIN REFUND CONTRACT WITH AUSTIN SAVINGS AND LOAN; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Price moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Johnson inquired about a staff report on refund contracts.

SALE OF STRUCTURES

Councilman MacCorkle offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS APPROVING THE PRICES AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE BID OF MRS. ALFRED C. PESCHKA FOR THE PURCHASE OF STRUCTURE(S) LOCATED AT 2204 LONGFELLOW, ON PARCEL 31-2, UNIVERSITY EAST PROJECT NO. TEX. R-103.

WHEREAS, on March 24, 1970, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 83-70, by which the Board accepted the bid of \$20.00 for the purchase of structure located on parcel 31-2, situated in the University East Project, No. Tex. R-103, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution Number 83-70, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, an executed copy of said Resolution was forwarded to the City Council on the 25th day of March, 1970, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the prices and conditions of the proposed sale of said structure; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the prices and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 83-70,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the prices and conditions set forth in the bid of Mrs. Alfred C. Peschka for the purchase of structure located on Parcel 31-2 University East Project, Tex. R-103, are hereby approved. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman MacCorkle offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS APPROVING THE PRICES AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE BID OF

MR. M. D. EYERLEY FOR THE PURCHASE OF STRUCTURE(S) LOCATED AT 2052 SABINE, ON PARCEL 13-2, UNIVERSITY EAST PROJECT NO. TEX. R-103

WHEREAS, on March 24, 1970, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 84-70, by which the Board accepted the bid of \$350.00 for the purchase of structures located on parcel 13-2, situated in the University East Project, No. Tex. R-103, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution Number 84-70, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, an executed copy of said Resolution was forwarded to the City Council on the 25th day of March, 1970, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the prices and conditions of the proposed sale of said structures; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the prices and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 84-70.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the prices and conditions set forth in the bid of Mr. M. D. Eyerley for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 13-2, University East Project, Tex. R-103, are hereby approved. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

ACQUISITION OF MO-PAC RIGHT-OF-WAY

Councilman Gage moved that the Council authorize acquisition of 2000 Sunset Avenue at average of appraisals, for the Mo-Pac right-of-way. The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

EMINENT DOMAIN

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

" Eula Williamson

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific Boulevard, together with overpasses, underpasses, access roads, connecting, and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

Being all of the north fifty (50.00) feet of Lot 7, Block 2, Sunset Heights, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, of record in Volume 333 at Page 65 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, which north fifty (50.00) feet of Lot 7 was conveyed to Eula Williamson by warranty deed dated September 25, 1935, of record in Volume 536 at Page 56 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas. "

(

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

" Ras Redwine

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable

component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

Being all of Lot 19 and the southeast one-half (1/2) of Lot 18, Block B, Royal Oak, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, of record in Book 4 at Page 287 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lot 19 and the southeast one-half (1/2) of Lot 18, were conveyed to Ras Redwine, et ux, by warranty deed dated February 27, 1952, of record in Volume 1224 at Page 300 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas. "

(802 Winstead)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

ZONING ORDINANCE

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 AS FOLLOWS:

A 2.72 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 4620-4642 WEST GATE BOULEVARD AND 4651 SUNSET TRAIL, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Gage moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Gage moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Gage moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

PUBLIC HEARING ON ANNEXATION OF 40.38 ACRES OF LAND
OUT OF HENRY P. HILL LEAGUE

Councilman MacCorkle opened for discussion the economics of annexing land whenever an owner requested such, and suggested taking a good long look at this policy. The City Manager agreed some cases would not be economically justified to add to the corporate limits, and those areas would be brought to the Council's attention. He stated he would come in with a suggested annexation policy and a report on the cost in many cases of providing the services. In view of a cost factor, the area might need to be annexed for control. Councilman Gage pointed out strategic areas that should be annexed. Councilman Johnson emphasized the necessity of control of subdivisions and development on this particular area. The public is demanding that some of this area (in the Barton Creek vicinity) be preserved, and rightfully so. The Council is behooved to be aware of proposed subdividing in this area. The Mayor recalled some two or three years ago, the Council did declare a statement of policy, which has been followed up to this time, that property would not be annexed unless it was advantageous for the City; and that land had not been taken in that would be an immediate obvious burden.

MR. TOM BRADFELD, property owner in the Barton Creek region, displayed a plat showing a development scheme for the area along Barton Creek. He pointed out the 40 acres in question and stated the whole acreage was surrounded by all utilities. Mr. Bradfield pointed out the City limit line as it pertained to the requested annexation. He discussed Barton Creek area as a public park; and as an access. Mr. Bradfield stated if the area is not to be a public park, they would use it as a private park area, the property line being the center of the creek, where they own.

After discussion, the Council agreed to hold this matter in abeyance until after the public hearing on the development of creeks and water ways scheduled for April 16th.

RECOGNITION OF FIRE MARSHAL HEATON
ON HIS RETIREMENT

Mayor LaRue stated the Council was recognizing a tremendous contribution to the City and community as well as the departure of a very fine employee. He read the Resolution emphasizing the dedication, leadership on a national level in fire prevention, and diligence which was largely responsible for lack of major fire disasters during Mr. Heaton's tenure. Fire Chief KIRKHAM commended Fire Marshal Heaton, noting he was recognized in Texas and throughout the nation; is past president of the Fire Marshal's Association, and Instructor in Southern Methodist University's First Fire Marshal's School. Mrs. Heaton was introduced. Mayor LaRue presented a citation to Mr. Heaton, expressing best wishes and appreciation for over 34 years of loyal service to the City.

Councilman Gage offered the following Resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the lives and safety of the citizens of Austin have been protected from fire by the dedication and diligence of the members of the Austin Fire Department, often with considerable sacrifice on the part of its members; and,

WHEREAS, through the excellent leadership of Fire Marshal W. L. Heaton during his thirty-four years' service, the Austin Fire Department has attained a position of national leadership in fire prevention and has been a model for other municipal fire departments throughout the United States; and,

WHEREAS, the diligent and unselfish service of Fire Marshal W. L. Heaton is largely responsible for the fact that there have been no major fire disasters in the City of Austin during his tenure and for the quality of fire prevention which is reflected in very low insurance rates and a minimal loss of life and property due to fire; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That upon the occasion of his well earned retirement, the consistent diligence in the performance of duty by Fire Marshal W. L. Heaton who has shown us how to be great by being the servant of all, be given public recognition and that a page be especially set apart for that purpose in the Official Minutes of the City Council and that a copy of this Resolution be presented to the said W. L. Heaton.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

RECOMMENDATION FROM PARKS BOARD THAT
ZACHARY SCOTT THEATER BOARD BE PERMITTED
TO ERECT BUILDING ON BUTLER TRACT

MR. PHILLIP CREER, Chairman of Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, stated the Council had the revised proposal before it, which in effect would continue the Parks and Recreation Department's interest in the civic theater movement, which theater would be available to the City at no cost, and would use land behind the Recreation Department building for erection of the building. MR. ALVIN GOLDEN, President of the Zachary Scott Theater Center, speaking also for the Arts Council, said the civic theater would fill a definite need to the citizens and the children. The building would be used as a public structure much in the way the Garden Center is used. MR. JACK GOODMAN showed a synopsis of some slides showing site of the building, and its location in line with the Recreation Building, Toomey and Lamar Boulevard; the parking lot, and an architectural concept of what the 7400 square foot building would look like, stating it would be a movable structure that could be demounted and moved. The building would seat about 250-300 people. Councilman MacCorkle complimented this group, stating this was the sort of thing Austin needs, and would get its citizens active in community activities.

Councilman MacCorkle then moved that the idea be approved in principle, with details to be reviewed by the City Manager. Councilman Janes seconded the motion.

In discussion, the City Manager stated the Council would want a contract drawn to protect the City's interest. He also pointed out that it would be necessary to set a public hearing at the same time the contract comes in, in order to utilize Park space for other than City park purposes. Mr. Golden stated that should the movable structure need to be relocated, that the Theater Board be given 365 days' notice. Councilman Janes stated their indication was that this activity would be an extension of the Park and Recreation Department's program, and that they could establish priorities. Mr. Golden stated they were paying for the structures and would have first call to use it for theater purposes. When it was not in use, other groups would be allowed to schedule it through Parks and Recreation Department. As to the maintenance, Mr. Golden stated the Parks Board's recommendation was that the Parks Board and the City would be responsible for maintaining the parks area around the building, and that the contract would provide that the Theater Center would be responsible for the maintenance of the building itself up to the standards for City owned buildings.

Councilman Johnson assumed the contract would basically follow the 11 stipulations set out in the Minutes of the Parks Board Minutes, plus whatever items needed to be added by the staff and the group.

The Parks Board's stipulations are as follows:

1. The building should be recognized as a public building which would primarily serve the needs for good theater in Austin.
2. The building would first serve the program needs of Z.S.T. before being used for other purposes.
3. The City Recreation Department's performing arts program would be scheduled in the building when not in conflict with Z.S.T.'s use of the building.

4. As the Z.S.T. program would be considered a phase of PARD's drama program, the director of PARD and/or his representative should be an ex-officio member of the Board of Directors of Z.S.T.
5. The drama offerings in this building should not be presented in cabaret style on a regular scheduled basis.
6. The Z.S.T. should vacate the site on 365 day's notice if the City has other use for the land.
7. If the City should decide that the building would serve another municipal function, the City would pay the Z.S.T. for their equity in the building. The schedule of payments should be agreed upon and written into the contract.
8. If a more adequate theater building is constructed at some future date and the Z.S.T. chooses to move its operations, the full use of the building would revert to the City at no cost.
9. The City would maintain the park land outside the building.
10. The Z.S.T. would maintain the building, parking area, and equipment to the standard of other City-owned property.
11. The City would provide the utilities.

Roll call on Councilman MacCorkle's motion that the idea be approved in principle, with details being worked out by the City Manager, showed the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Johnson moved that the Council set a public hearing at 10:00 A.M., May 7, 1970, (in compliance with recent Legislation) regarding the use of park land. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

ROBERT E. LEE P.T.A. REPRESENTATIVES
TO DISCUSS TRAFFIC CONTROLS AT DUVAL & HARRIS BOULEVARD

MR. DAVID SPIELMAN and some of the P.T.A. group had met with the Traffic and Transportation Engineer, Mr. Ternus to discuss the several traffic and safety problems around Robert E. Lee School. In line with the traffic hazards, the group felt this was brought about by lack of sidewalks, by lack of positive action on requiring developers to construct sidewalks at the time of development, and to require sidewalks to be placed in the City for the safety of the children, senior citizens, and others, as well as a driver who might inadvertently hit a

child. Councilman Gage pointed out the Council did pass an ordinance requiring subdividers to install sidewalks in new subdivisions and at the same time make provisions for existing neighborhoods to install sidewalks on a volunteer basis, whereby if 51% of the property owners petition for sidewalks, the City would participate up to 60% of the cost. Since May, to date, no petitions have been received. Mr. Spielman pointed out another problem was the hedges at the edges of the street. He reported also an uncovered swimming pool. As to the sidewalks, Councilman Johnson pointed out the importance of understanding the ordinance, and that the petitions would need to be signed by the respective property owners - not just a petition of people asking for sidewalks on their neighbor's properties.

As to cost, the City Manager stated the property owner's participation would be around \$1.50 a foot.

Councilman MacCorkle asked for cooperation of the citizens, in that on many streets very young children - 2, 3, 4, and 5 years old are playing in the streets with their dogs, tricycles, and toys, and in these cases, sidewalks are not the solution. COLONEL ROBERT WUCHER stated sidewalks were on both sides of his street, Briarwood Lane, but they do not keep the children off the street. He agreed that the parents would have to do something. The principals of Robert E. Lee and St. Johns Schools reported a meeting of all the Safety Committee Chairmen of all the P.T.A.'s to be held at Robert E. Lee School to discuss with Mr. Ternus, Traffic Engineer and others, the safety situation in the whole City pertaining to areas surrounding schools. He concurred in Councilman MacCorkle's statement, that parent education in safety factors is important. Councilman Gage suggested that the group secure copies and information on the sidewalk ordinance for distribution at the safety meeting. Mr. Spielman stated he was merely presenting the problems that beset that area around his school.

FINANCE STATEMENT FOR FIVE MONTHS' PERIOD
ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 1970

The City Manager stated the Finance Director would review the report. Mr. Norman Barker, Director of Finance, reported the City was in good financial shape as the totals from all the Department Heads involved and figured by the Finance Office came out as they should at this time of the year, by the monthly allotment statement. The same is true of the expense - the total expenses of the general fund were not in excess of what they were contemplated. Land acquisition at Highway 183 and Lamar has been accelerated by the administration and Council, and appears an over-expenditure; however, it is not. The same was true of the Utility Fund, even though payments of fixed assets were accelerated. The report as a whole reflects providence on the part of the total City administration of forecasting revenues and expenses.

Councilman Gage asked for a breakdown of what is charged to the City Council account, and what the line items in that budget account are.

Councilman Johnson moved that the Council note receipt of the Financial Statement for five months' period ending February 28, 1970. The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

MODEL CITIES

Councilman Gage stated about three weeks ago, the Council had asked that whatever personnel necessary be hired and that the Model Cities application be prepared at full speed. He asked for a progress report on the following:

1. What has been done the last three weeks.
2. Has a Director been hired or not.
3. What contacts have been made with the School Board, Health Planning Proponents, and Economic Development proponents.
4. Have any of the offers from groups like the Community Council and other been accepted; and has the help of the Governor's staff been utilized.

At the afternoon meeting, the City Manager made the report on the Model Cities application status, stating since the Council had authorized him to look into and come up with a plan to carry out and secure an approved application for Model Cities, he had met in several conferences with consultants regarding fees on completing a plan and an application for Model Cities grant. It was determined there was no deadline to file the application with HUD. Cooperation from State and other groups had been offered. He pointed out some conflicts.

1. If consultants are employed, \$18,000 would be needed to complete the application plus employing extra personnel at additional costs to the City. It was not known now if HUD would repay the City for this money as part of the Planning Grant. Since the Planning grant runs out, two questions need to be resolved -- whether or not the planning grant can be extended again, and whether or not the procedure is satisfactory to HUD. He pointed out City funds would be \$18,000 for consultants, and a total amount of \$25,000 or \$30,000 additional money.

MRS. ANN DONNER, Model Cities Commissioner, urged the Council to proceed with this program and get the application to HUD. Lengthy discussion was held on various matters on Model Cities. Mayor LaRue summarized the issue stating the Council wanted the City Manager to determine if the individual input from the consultants would be acceptable to HUD, in that it would finance the \$18,000 City cost; and until this were determined, the Council would not want to take any action. He concurred with other Council members in that the City had an obligation to put forth every effort to get this application in on time; if not possible, to ask for additional time.

Later in the meeting, the Council went into executive Session to discuss personnel to complete the application.

BUSES AND BUS FRANCHISES

The City Manager stated the time had arrived that the City must consider controlling all buses that operate over the streets of the City, and that he would have such an ordinance ready for the Council to study and take whatever action it felt necessary. Buses are operating under the

authority that they have no regulation governing their operations. The total transportation situation in Austin should be viewed and steps taken to keep the transportation for the public. Mayor LaRue stated the Council had indicated its interest in this matter several months ago and is very definitely interested in controlling buses.

PARADE REQUIREMENTS

The City Manager reported he had a recommendation on parade requirements which he would have distributed to the Council for consideration.

Vehicles for Hire - Taxi Cabs, Buses...

The City Manager stated this ordinance would apply to "for hire" vehicles or any vehicle operating over the City, carrying passengers, whether it be taxis, buses or whatever.

GAZEBO

Councilman Johnson made a short report on the progress of the Gazebo, stating quite a bit of work was being done in the beautification, and he asked for all emphasis as possible to be put in the area to complete it.

CLARKSVILLE AND MO-PAC BOULEVARD

MAYOR LaRUE had a request from Mr. Paul Wagner Jones to appear before the Council in reference to Clarksville and their problems. They had asked that the Council meet with them on Tuesday, April 7th, or Friday, April 10th, at 7:30 p.m. at Sweetholm Missionary Baptist Church in Clarksville. The consensus of the Council generally was the meeting should be held at the Council Room where it would be far more advantageous to all concerned, and that the Council could schedule a meeting after 7:00 p.m. in the evening. Councilman Gage stated this Council had met with them in their area three times. Mayor LaRue stated the reply would be made that the Council had visited there at least three different times, and the Council would be happy to place their request on the Agenda any time at the City Hall; and if necessary, schedule a night date.

CONTRACTS

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 16, 1970,

for Eighty-four (84) each Emergency Lighting Units to be used in Brackenridge Hospital; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of General Electric Supply Company in the sum of \$9,660.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of General Electric Supply Company in the sum of \$9,660.00 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with General Electric Supply Company. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The City Manager reported this contract was for emergency lights for the present Brackenridge Hospital. The City Manager stated the specifications required rechargeable units, and were drawn by Mr. Al Eldridge calling for the high quality type which are rechargeable, with a 10 year guarantee. Three bids were received, one not meeting specification, and the other requiring annual maintenance. Councilman Atkison brought up for discussion types of emergency lighting at the Hospital. It was decided to have a full report of the situation of emergency lighting in the afternoon meeting. Councilman Atkison stated in most cases this type of lighting was a glare type; and in some cases are blinding and would not be adequate for evacuation of a hospital. Councilman Atkison described the manner of installation of correct lighting in public buildings, and read from the National Electric Code concerning lighting in public buildings.

In the afternoon meeting, the City Manager reported on the emergency lighting at the hospital, reviewing the contract of 1954 installing lighting to an addition to the Hospital. An inspector, other than a City electric inspector, was employed to do the inspection work. At the near completion, officials found a substantial portion of the work was incorrectly installed and could not be corrected. The Contractor was paid off; and later bids were re-advertised for the electric with the view of remedial construction feasible to make the system as functional as possible. A small generator was installed in the basement of the north wing, serving operating rooms 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 on the west end of the surgical suite, but the east end of the surgical suite was not serviced. In 1959 a contract was executed for electric remodeling of the anesthetizing area of the hospital at \$9950, including installation of automatic transfer switch

April 2, 1970

for the small unit installed as part of the 1954 contract. The emergency room and intensive care section are served by a manually started generator, but it was not a dependable unit. From prior records plus what he had, the City Manager reported that to do the job in the hospital would take about \$50,000 to \$60,000 to get a 500 to 600 KW unit to just tie in and operate elevators, exit lights and everything that is needed. To do a partial job on this, now, is to light the areas such as the delivery room, open heart surgery, x-rays, stairwells in particular and patient corridors. These lights fit on the wall, and shine on the ceiling so there would be no blinding lights as discussed this morning. They will not do an adequate job over the years but will give protection until an adequate job can be installed. In the new hospital unit, the wiring circuits are made so as to provide adequate lighting for exit lights, and other needs, but the total needs are not provided. He listed what was needed in the old hospital, and stated in the meantime, he would recommend approval of this bid to get some lighting into the hospital.

Councilman Atkison stated if this bid were approved he would hope it would not preclude investigating as soon as possible the engineering of a system which is adequate that would comply with the state laws. He said he would be the first to recommend that whatever necessary steps are needed to correct the situation be taken in order to save lives, even before any additional monies are spent on an addition to Phase B of the other hospital. He hoped the investigation would bring out that these units are started periodically. He stated the reason he questioned the bid this morning was so that it could have been brought up on the floor, and to the public's knowing that the City Manager would get it corrected. After further discussion roll was called.

The City Manager submitted the following:

" To: Mr. F. D. Glenn, Purchasing Dept. Date: March 20, 1970

Subject: Evaluation of bids on 35 Police
Sedans and 12 Administrative Sedans

Attached tabulation of bids for 35 each Police sedans and 12 each sedans for Administrative uses have been evaluated.

Recommend award of bid contract to the low bidder, Jay Smith Motors, on the Police cars and Henna Chevrolet Company on the 12 each Administrative sedans.

sgd/ Lester E. Rogers
Fleet Administrator

City of Austin

City of Austin
Tabulation of Bids
AUTOMOBILES

Sealed bids were opened in the office of
the Purchasing Agent at 2:00 P.M. March
20, 1970

	Bid #9976 1970 Model 4 Door Sedans in accordance with Police Specifi- cations 35 each	Bid #1057 1970 Model 4 Door Sedans in accordance with Class "B" (Inter- mediate) Specifications 12 each
Armstrong-Johnson	(\$2,487.00)	(\$2,344.00)
(Ford)	\$87,045.00	\$28,128.00
	Terms	Net
Net Total-		\$28,128.00
Jay Smith Motors	(\$2,338.00)	(\$2,277.00)
(Plymouth)	\$81,830.00	\$27,324.00
	Terms	Net
Net Total		\$27,324.00
Dependable Motors	(\$2,365.00)	(\$2,248.00)
(Dodge)	\$82,775.00	\$26,976.00
	Terms	Net
Net Total		\$26,976.00
Henna Chevrolet	No	(\$2,282.00)
(Chevrolet)	Bid	\$27,384.00
	Terms	2%
Net Total		\$26,836.32 "

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 20, 1970, for Thirty-five (35) each 1970 Model 4 Door Sedan to be used by the Police Department; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Jay Smith Chrysler-Plymouth in the sum of \$81,830.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Jay Smith Chrysler-Plymouth, in the sum of \$81,830.00 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Jay Smith Chrysler-Plymouth. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Price commended the City Manager for the way he received the bids and had them rebid. MR. ROGERS, Fleet Administrator, stated a system was being established which would be on computer, to determine the actual per mile cost. He pointed out the advantage of having fully-equipped air-conditioned cars for all of the fleet, as the other types did not bring much return on trade-ins, and gave an example of 1969 models averaging 30,000 to 40,000 miles having been traded in at an average of \$100 per unit. Mr. Rogers displayed the decal that will be on the sides of all the cars.

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 20, 1970 for Twelve (12) each 1970 Model 4 Door Sedans ("B" Intermediate Specifications) to be distributed to various departments by the Fleet Administrator; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Henna Chevrolet, Inc. in the sum of \$26,836.32 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Henna Chevrolet in the sum of \$26,836.32 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Henna Chevrolet, Inc. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The City Manager announced these as excellent bids, and requested permission to purchase up to four additional vehicles at this same price. Councilman Johnson's motion, Councilman Price's second that the Council award the bid as proposed and include an additional four units as needed, carried by the above vote.

Councilman Gage suggested that this not be a regular practice; that if 16 cars were needed, the advertisement for 16 should be made.

The City Manager submitted the following:

" To: Mr. F. D. Glenn, Purchasing Agent Date: March 20, 1970

Subject: Requisition #81550

The Bell and Howell equipment submitted for this bid is not compatible to Eastman Kodak's Product #5607 Recordak Microstar, Model PR-1 reader in that it does not automatically feed. The B&H equipment has to be hand threaded using our present cartridges and to convert cartridges would cost an estimated five to six hundred dollars.

It is my recommendation to purchase this equipment from Eastman Kodak.

cc: Mr. O. R. McCaslin, Data Processing
Manager

sgd/ E. W. Bunge, Manager
Customer Accounting and Collecting

CITY OF AUSTIN

Tabulation of Bids
Microfilm Reader

Sealed bids were opened in the office of the Purchasing Agent at 2:00 P.M. March 18, 1970 for three microfilm readers with three trade-in units for the Customer Accounting and Collecting Division of Finance.

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>Trade-In</u>	<u>Net Difference</u>
Eastman Kodak Co.	\$1,895.00	\$120.00	\$5,325.00
Bell & Howell	\$1,785.00	\$ 25.00	\$5.280.00

The unit bid by Bell & Howell does not have "Automatic Feed" as requested by the using department. "

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 18, 1970, for Three (3) Microfilm Readers with Three (3) Trade-in Units to be used by the Customer Accounting and Collecting Division of the Finance Department; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Eastman Kodak Company in the sum of \$5,325.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Eastman Kodak Company in the sum of \$5,325.00 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the

City of Austin be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Eastman Kodak Company. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

" To: F. D. Glenn, Purchasing Agent Date: March 23, 1970

Subject: Playground Equipment,
Sporting Goods Bid
#1058-85220

There are several reasons why this particular bid this year is as high as it is. Last year at this time we spent close to \$4,000, but the bids were split up between three companies. Usually during the summer we have had to purchase additional equipment which accounts for this \$5,000 expenditure. This particular bid is higher due to the fact it is the only bid for all our sporting goods equipment, and we did add more equipment to the list so we would not be making additional purchases during the summer months. According to my records there is no real difference in the cost in any of the individual items on the list.

CITY OF AUSTIN
TABULATION OF BIDS
PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT

Sealed bids were opened in the office of the Purchasing Agent on March 13, 1970 for the Parks and Recreation Department Playground Equipment to be used during the summer of 1970. Only one bid was received although invitations to bid were mailed to the following:

Dillards Sporting Goods Center
Montgomery Ward
Sears Roebuck & Co.
Gibson Discount
Rod Coffin
W. H. Richardson Co.
Walter Tips Co.
Texas Sporting Goods
Davis Hardware

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Terms</u>	<u>Net Total</u>
Texas Sporting Goods	2%	\$5,073.41

The unit prices are in line with those paid last year. The following list shows the items and quantity of each item:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>
Softballs	85	Doz.
Basketballs	125	Ea.
Footballs	40	Ea.
Volleyball Nets	9	Ea.
Softball Masks	24	Ea.
Softball Bats	44	Doz.
Softball Bases	30	Sets
Table Tennis Nets	3	Doz.
Deck Tennis Rings	24	Ea.
Acme Thunderbird Whistles	3	Doz.
Tetherballs	60	Ea.
Baseball Bases	6	Sets
Horseshoes	4	Doz.
Table Tennis Balls	20	Doz.
Inflation Pumps	4	Doz.
Stop Watches	6	Ea.
Blank Cartridges - Black Powder 32 Cal.	12	Box
Basketball Chain Nets	12	Pr.
Utility Balls	40	Doz.
Volley Balls	10	Ea.
Base Stakes	10	Doz.
Horseshoe Stakes	1	Doz.
Tennis Net Top Binding	2	Doz. "

Councilman MacCorkle offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 13, 1970, for the purchase of Playground Equipment for the 1970 Summer season to be used by the Parks and Recreation Department; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Texas Sporting Goods in the sum of \$5,073.41 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Texas Sporting Goods, in the sum of \$5,073.41 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Texas Sporting Goods. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The City Manager stated that although only one bid was received, they did check out the prices at San Antonio

for the same type of equipment. Austin bids are better, and checking out previous purchases they are in line and better bids, and recommended approval.

Councilman Price inquired about the use of blank cartridges of 32 caliber, with blank powder. It was pointed out these were for track meets, swimming meets. Councilman Janes inquired about the receipt of only one bid. The Purchasing Agent, Mr. Glenn, replied invitations to bid were mailed out. Several companies reported back this was an inopportune time to bid, but they wanted to be left on the list. In answer to Councilman Johnson's inquiry about the number of basketballs and other equipment that is being purchased, the Director of Recreation stated this was in line with what had been purchased in prior years, and that about \$11,000 worth of items are phased out throughout the year for all of the centers. In answer to Councilman Price's inquiry, the Director of Recreation stated the stop watches were not purchased every year, and there are different types for different activities -- basketball, swimming and track. The City Manager stated the various items could be on one bid basis, and take a good bid and get the best price. He stated also the Parks and Recreation Department as well as other departments are being set up on a perpetual inventory basis. He stated the usual losses would be something that had to be charged off to recreation.

The City Manager submitted the following:

" RELIEF SEWER LINE FOR WALNUT HILLS NO. 2 LIFT STATION. Sealed bids were opened and read on March 9, 1970 at Bryant-Curington, Incorporated, the Consulting Engineers for the above subdivision for the installation of approximately 1,200 feet of 10-inch sewer pipe. This construction will provide relief for the existing Walnut Hills No. 2 Lift Station.

This work has been previously postponed until it could be properly coordinated with the development of the property which it crosses. Bids for the utilities in the subdivision (Lakeside of University Hills) were taken concurrently with the bids on this project so that the best possible bids could be obtained.

The following is a tabulation of bids received for this segment of the contract:

<u>FIRM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>WORKING DAYS</u>
J. C. Evans Construction Company	\$14,698.00	65
Austin Engineering Company	18,904.50	180
Bland Construction Company	48,907.60	150
Schmidt Construction Company	53,905.60	140
City of Austin (estimate)	21,258.50	100

Recommend that this contract be awarded to the J. C. Evans Construction Company for their low bid of \$14,698.00 with 65 working days. "

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 9, 1970 for the installation of approximately 1,200 feet of 10-inch sewer pipe to provide relief for the existing Walnut Hills No. 2 Lift Station; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of J. C. Evans Construction Company in the sum of \$14,698.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Assistant Director of the Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of J. C. Evans Construction Company, in the sum of \$14,698.00 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with J. C. Evans Construction Company. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayas: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

" NEWFIELD LANE AND WINSTED LANE. Sealed bids were received until 11:00 A.M., Wednesday, March 18, 1970 at the Office of the Design Engineer, Webberville Service Building for the installation of approximately 675' of 8-inch and 77' of 6-inch sanitary sewer pipe and appurtenances in Newfield Lane, from Enfield Road northerly; and approximately 1,095' of 15-inch and 85' of 10-inch sanitary sewer pipe and appurtenances in Winsted Lane, from Enfield Road northerly to Woodmont Avenue. The bids were publicly opened and read in the Auditorium of the Webberville Service Building.

The purpose of this project is to relocate and clear right of way for the construction of MoPac Boulevard.

The following is a tabulation of bids received:

<u>FIRM</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>WORKING DAYS</u>
Bill Tabor Construction Company	\$25,053.40	15
Bland Construction Company	33,117.95	45
Griffin Construction Company	44,161.00	30
Schmidt Construction Company	51,199.75	15
Austin Engineering Company	59,159.50	15
City of Austin (estimate)	\$32,582.50	15

It is recommended that this contract be awarded to the Bill Tabor Construction Company with their low bid of \$25,053.40 and 15 working days. "

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on March 18, 1970, for the installation of approximately 675 feet of 8-inch and 77 feet of 6-inch sanitary sewer pipe and appurtenances in Newfield Lane, from Enfield Road northerly; and approximately 1,095 feet of 15-inch and 85 feet of 10-inch sanitary sewer pipe and appurtenances in Winsted Lane, from Enfield Road northerly to Woodmont Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Bill Tabor Construction Company in the sum of \$25,053.40 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of the Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Bill Tabor Construction Company, in the sum of \$25,053.40 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Bill Tabor Construction Company. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

CONTRACT FOR ENGINEER SERVICES FOR WATER AND SEWER
SERVICES TO THREE RECENTLY ANNEXED AREAS

The City Manager submitted the following:

" Consider Contract for Engineering Services for Design of Water and Waste Water Lines to provide service to three newly annexed areas as follows: (1) Oasis Village, (2) St. Elmo Road - Industrial Boulevard Area, and, (3) Pleasant Hill Addition Area (Vicinity of Stassney Lane and South Congress). Fee to be 7 1/2% of low bid received by the City for Construction Work. We consider this fee to be in line with current practice. Estimated cost of projects \$300,000.00. Estimated fee \$22,500.00. The following three firms are submitted for consideration:

Oscar W. Holmes, 2300 Industrial Terrace, Austin, Texas
Last Contract - Shoal Creek Blvd. - Water Lines
Fee - \$7,500.00

Hunter and Associates, 3502 N. Interregional, Austin, Texas
Last Project - Cannon League Drive - Water Lines
Fee - \$1,800.00

National Engineers, 2003 N. Lamar, Austin, Texas
Last Project - Bull Creek Drainage Area Analysis
Fee - \$5,000.00

" To: Mayor and Members of Council

Date: March 27, 1970

Subject: Agenda Item

The purpose of this agenda item is to consider awarding a contract for engineering services for the design of Water and Wastewater lines to provide service to three newly annexed areas as follows:

1. Oasis Village.
2. St. Elmo Road - Industrial Boulevard Area.
3. Pleasant Hill Addition Area (vicinity of Stassney Lane and South Congress.)

The fee for this work will be seven and one-half per cent of the low bid received by the City for the construction work. The total estimated cost of these projects is \$300,000. Based on seven and one-half per cent, the estimated total fee would be \$22,500. The Water and Wastewater Department has submitted the following firms for your consideration:

Oscar W. Holmes, 2300 Industrial Terrace, Austin, Texas
Last Municipal Project - Shoal Creek Blvd. - Water Lines

Hunter and Associates, 3502 N. Interregional, Austin, Texas
Last Municipal Project - Cannon League Drive - Water Lines

National Engineers, 2003 N. Lamar, Austin, Texas
Last Municipal Project - Bull Creek Drainage Area Analysis

If you have any questions, please contact us. "

The City Manager, Mr. Andrews, had submitted a recommendation of three engineering firms that were experienced and qualified, stating whichever one the Council selected, the administration would be glad to utilize. Mayor LaRue asked if the Council could get dates on these projects. Discussion was held on the manner on which the engineers were selected. The Director of Water and Wastewater, Mr. Vic Schmidt, stated his departments were lining up their Capital Improvements Program Projects and he would be coming in with recommendations for engineers to be selected on these projects. There would be a rotation process, and would be some rather large projects coming up in order of half million to million dollar projects. Councilman Gage asked that the Council be provided with a list of those engineering firms doing work for the City, and a list of the projects and dates on which they were awarded. It would help the Council in selecting new firms. Mr. Schmidt, in answer to Councilman Atkison's inquiry, stated this firm was a local man having been here a number of years.

Councilman Janes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to enter into a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Oscar W. Holmes, for engineering services in connection with providing service to Oasis Village, St. Elmo Road - Industrial Boulevard Area, and Pleasant Hill Addition Area (vicinity of Stassney Lane and South Congress Avenue), in

accordance with the terms and provisions of a contract exhibited to the City Council and marked by the City Clerk for purposes of identification, and the City Clerk is hereby directed to file for permanent record in the office of the City Clerk an executed copy of said contract without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

AMENDMENTS TO ZONING TEXT

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 SO AS TO PROVIDE FOR NOT MORE THAN THREE NON-RESIDENT EMPLOYEES IN CONNECTION WITH CERTAIN OFFICES; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman MacCorkle moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilman Gage

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman MacCorkle moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilman Gage

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman MacCorkle moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilman Gage

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 SO AS TO PROVIDE FOR MINIMUM SIDE YARDS OF TEN FEET IN CERTAIN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICTS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Gage, Janes, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilmen Atkison, Johnson

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Gage, Janes, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilmen Atkison, Johnson

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Price moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Gage, Janes, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilmen Atkison, Johnson

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

LEASE FOR LIBRARY BRANCH IN ALLANDALE

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a certain lease agreement with Allandale Associates for branch library space in Allandale Shopping Center; and in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain lease agreement exhibited to the City Council; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk is hereby directed to file a copy of said agreement in the permanent records of her office without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

MR. EARL HOLT, Librarian, stated the branch library had been in the Allandale area since 1956, first in Northwest Shopping Center, then to the present location under a contract with F. F. Knight, representing the owners. That contract is for \$245.00 a month rental, which was discounted by the owner so that the net rental since then has been \$185.00 a month, or a little

less than eight cents per square foot per month. There are 2300 square feet in this branch library. The owner asks that the contract now provide for \$230.00 per month, which he believed to be reasonable.

The City Manager noted that Mr. Holt's study indicated that the buildings that are being leased for library branches work out much cheaper per square foot than those the City owns. Mr. Holt stated this lease would run to September 30, 1972. In general discussion, Mr. Holt stated no lease has been lost yet, and each time they had asked for a longer term lease. This time the lease was extended to 2 1/2 years to run out at a time when the new budget would be in effect.

CONCESSIONS - MORRIS WILLIAMS MUNICIPAL GOLF COURSE,
MUNICIPAL SWIMMING POOLS, BARTON SPRINGS AND LAKE AUSTIN PARK

The City Manager submitted the following:

" To: Mayor and Members of Council Date: March 27, 1970

Subject: Concession Rights at Various
Municipal Locations

We have received the bids for concession rights at Zilker Park, Lake Austin Park, Morris Williams, Park and for vending machines at swimming pools. A bid tabulation has been attached for your information.

In the case of Lake Austin, Morris Williams and the Vending Machines, only one bid was received in each case and award is recommended to the respective bidders.

In the case of Zilker Park, it is apparent that the high bidder is Dave's Concession Company and we recommend that the contract be awarded to Dave's Concession Company.

If we can answer any questions, please contact us.

sgd/ Dan H. Davidson
Deputy City Manager

CITY OF AUSTIN
TABULATION OF BIDS
CONCESSION RIGHTS

Sealed bids were opened in the office of the Purchasing Agent at 10:00 A.M., March 27, 1970 for concession rights for the following:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Guaranteed Annual Amount</u>	<u>Percentage of Gross Receipts</u>
	Zilker Park	
Dave's Concession Co.	\$ 12,500.00	33.5%
Barton Springs Concession	12,500.00	5.11%

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Guaranteed Annual Amount</u>	<u>Percentage of Gross Receipts</u>
Lake Austin Park		
Mrs. Ann Carter	\$ 600.00	0.0%
Morris Williams Golf Course		
Fieldon W. Berry	\$ 5,100.00	3.11%
Vending Machines at Swim Pools		
Neelley Vending Co.	\$ 5,900.00	.005% "

The City Manager noted the Council had a copy of a letter from MR. DAVID CASTILLO wishing to withdraw his bid for concessions at Zilker Park, and recommended that this request be accepted with the forfeit of the bid bond of \$100.00 and that the award be made to the next bidder. Councilman Johnson requested that this letter go in that file, so in the future all would be fully aware of the problems involved in the bidding.

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with Barton Springs Concession for concession rights at Zilker Park; and in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain contract exhibited to the City Council; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk is hereby directed to file a copy of said contract in the permanent records of her office without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with Mrs. Ann Carter for concession rights at Lake Austin Park; and in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain contract exhibited to the City Council; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk is hereby directed to file a copy of said contract in the permanent records of her office without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with Fieldon W. Berry for concession rights at Morris Williams Golf Course; and in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain contract exhibited to the City Council; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk is hereby directed to file a copy of said contract in the permanent records of her office without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Johnson offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with Neelley Vending Company for concession rights at swimming pools in the City of Austin; and in accordance with the terms and provisions of that certain contract exhibited to the City Council; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk is hereby directed to file a copy of said contract in the permanent records of her office without recordation in the Minutes of the City Council. "

The motion, seconded by Councilman Janes, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

PARADE PERMITS Knights of Columbus

The Council had before it a request for parade permit for TEXAS STATE KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS for May 18, 1970, from 3:50 p.m. to 4:20 p.m. MR. JOE CROWLEY, Co-Chairman of the Convention stated they had reset the time changing the hours from 3:50 to 4:20 p.m., and were asking for only two blocks of Brazos so as not to tie up any more traffic than was necessary.

Councilman Johnson moved that the parade permit be granted as presented. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Student Mobilization Committee Parade and Banner

MR. MALCOLM ROBISON represented the Student Mobilization Committee in their request for a parade on April 18th. He stated the SMC is a student organization at the University of Texas that is against the War in Viet Nam, and this is a nationwide protest. Councilman MacCorkle stated consideration of parades had to include the time and the place of the parade, as well as other matters. The request is at a peak period from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., Saturday afternoon on the main arterial in the City. He questioned the reasonableness of place, hour, and if the parade were for the general good of the public. He did not believe the hours and route were reasonable. Councilman Janes stated he thought the City should again establish criteria for the granting of parade permits. He then moved that the parade permit be granted. No second was heard. The Mayor announced that the motion did for lack of a second.

Councilman Gage stated that by not granting this parade permit, it did not deny those people the right to assemble -- that there are plenty of available places in Austin. Councilman Johnson commented the group asked for the major street in Austin, some 16 blocks of the downtown area for two hours. He heard this group testify that they had permission from the University to meet. They would have miles of streets in the University area for parading, plus an abundance of open space in the community in which to assemble. No action was taken on the banner request.

Round-Up Parade Permit

MISS BARBARA HOFFER, Chairman of the Round-Up Parade, stated this parade was scheduled for 12:00, and would cover an area circling the University, starting at 21st Street, proceeding up to Guadalupe to 26th.

Councilman MacCorkle moved that the Round-Up Parade Permit be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

HEARING ON BARTON CREEK GREEN BELT PLAN
SET FOR APRIL 9TH, 11:00 A.M.

The City Manager submitted a request from the Parks and Recreation Board to discuss the Parks and Recreation Department's Barton Creek Green Belt Plan with the Council before the April 16th hearing.

Councilman Johnson moved that the Council set this discussion with the Parks and Recreation Board at 11:00 A.M., April 9th, 1970. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

HUMAN OPPORTUNITIES CORPORATION

The Mayor announced the Council had a letter from the Chairman of the Board of the Human Opportunities Corporation regarding appointments to the HOC.

The Council then went into Executive Session.

In open meeting, Councilman Johnson moved that the Council appoint the following as members of the Human Opportunities Corporation:

MR. FRED MINTER
MR. W. T. SHROPSHIRE
MR. HUGH HIGGINS
MR. T. W. KINCHEON, III
MR. LOUIS MARTINEZ
MR. T. C. CALHOUN
MR. MORRIS D. JOHNSON

MR. KEN WENDLER
MR. GORDON BAILEY
MR. LESLIE L. GAGE
MR. VICTOR RAVEL
MR. CHARLES M. CHRISTENSEN
MR. LYNDON L. BROWN
MRS. JULIAN WYATT

ADJOURNMENT

Councilman Johnson moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

April 2, 1970

386

APPROVED:

Mayor

ATTESTED:

City Clerk