South Lamar Neighborhood Association

February 2, 2016

Andrew Moore
Senior Planner
City of Austin - Planning & Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Road, 5th Floor
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: Case C14-2015-0047 2303-2311 Thornton Road

Dear Andy,

Please accept this summary document regarding of the discussions we have had regarding Case C14-2015-0047 2303-2311 Thornton Road for your backup. This document will incorporate previous information and provide additional background regarding the history and character of existing development on Thornton Road.

We still believe that the proposed development is not consistent with the goals of the South Lamar Mitigation Plan and will unnecessarily displace small businesses that contribute to the neighborhood character. We remain concerned that, despite your requests, we have not received any additional or specific information from Watershed regarding the impact of this development on the Del Curto Phase 1A plans or any alternatives to prevent flooding from upstream impacts or current drainage deficiencies. While the transportation analysis appropriately accounts for current designed projects, we believe that there is or will be additional development on Thornton Road in 2016 that should be included to meet the goal of anticipating the “cumulative effects of increasing density” and the impact of this development on other neighborhood roads.

The attached document will provide information including:

1. The South Lamar Mitigation Plan Goals
2. Thornton Road Description and Existing Density
3. Lack of precedent for VMU
4. Traffic Analysis and Limitation of Proposed Solutions
5. Watershed Impacts
6. Cumulative Development Impacts and Mitigation Tool Limitations
7. Defining Neighborhood Character
8. Thornton Road History Highlights

Sincerely,

Kim Johnson
President South Lamar Neighborhood Association

Cc: SLNA Thornton Road Working Group
2303-2311 Thornton Road Background

1. South Lamar Mitigation Plan Review
As we presented at the Planning Commission meeting, the South Lamar Neighborhood Mitigation Plan\(^1\), the South Lamar Mitigation Plan included a requirement to address the effects of infill development on several issues. The October 3 staff memo highlights goals including:

- “address the challenges created by many years of development on a site-by-site basis, lacking water detention and exacerbated by recent infill developments where inadequate infrastructure exists”
- “anticipate the cumulative effects of increasing density on a neighborhood’s natural and manmade infrastructure”
- “incorporate methods to define and protect a neighborhood’s character, infrastructure and safety and provide commensurate mitigation requirements to better manage density and its associated costs.”

This proposed zoning change fails on all measures. The applicant proposal for VMU along with current construction would represent a 348% increase in the number of residential units on this dead end street that is already overburdened and at times impassable. By proposing a solution that is designed for a transit corridor, the applicant seeks to establish a precedent for transit corridor density in any environment rather than a solution compatible neighboring properties.

The staff recommendation also fails to address the core issue of *anticipating* the cumulative effects of increasing density and protecting the neighborhood’s character and infrastructure.

South Lamar Neighborhood has worked successfully to implement affordable housing along the Lamar transit corridor including the 107-unit Foundation Communities Bluebonnet Studios project at Lamar and Del Curto.

2. Thornton Road Description and Existing Density– It is a Local Road acting as a Collector
The applicant’s presentation to Planning Commission incorrectly describes the property as being located at Thornton and Oltorf. The property is 0.21 miles from the intersection of Oltorf and Thornton. Thornton Road has a total length of 2700’ or .51 miles. The road dead ends at Oltorf on the north and provides limited access to other Local Roads via Bridgeway and Waterloo Trail on the south. The property is 0.21 miles from the nearest bus stop for the 338 bus route, and 0.41 miles to the 3/803 and 0.6 miles to the 5 bus stop. Figure 1 shows the overview of Thornton Road.

---

\(^1\) Memorandum to Mayor and Council From Gregory I Guernsey October 3, 2014
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Thornton Road is currently home to 136 residences located in 79 properties with a predominant zoning of SF-3. The estimated size of the properties bordering Thornton Road is 29.8 acres. Current projects under construction will add 104 units increasing the residential units by 76%. The following Table 1 shows the present characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-4B-CO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

The characteristics above are graphically presented in Figure 2. The current 6 CS properties are located 1000 feet into the core of the neighborhood and surrounded by residential properties. Historically these properties were listed as Residential A per Austin City Code or the equivalent of SF-3. Section 8 provides a brief history of Thornton Road zoning cases.

Figure 2
3. Lack of Precedent for VMU

The applicant recommends VMU on non-designated transit corridors and presents two cases where this has happened in the Rundberg Revitalization area. Neither example is relevant. SLNA has supported VMU on the Lamar Transportation corridor as well as congregate living such as the present Bluebonnet Studios project providing 107 permanent supportive housing units at Lamar and Del Curto.

Our belief is that VMU should be located on Core Transit Corridors per §4.3 of Austin Land Development Code. It should be noted that SLNA has “opted-in” to any additional VMU exceptions as allowed by section 4.3.2.A.3 at any time.

The precedent of VMU on this street would present the option for a 580% increase in total dwelling units if universally applied, with no potential for infrastructure improvement such as road widening or additional connectivity.

In addition to not being on a transit corridor, the location is poorly served by bus transportation. The closest bus stop for the 331 is 0.21 miles from the property and does not connect to downtown. The 3 and 803 can be reached in just under 0.5 miles and the 5 can be reached in 0.6 miles.

4 Traffic Analysis and Limitation of Proposed Solutions

Thornton Road is currently over capacity. The Traffic Study suggests that the volume of traffic on the road is currently equal to that of a Collector Street according to the City of Austin. The Traffic Study continues with the following statement on page 1 (Item C17 page 4 of 30); “However, the existing roadway width (approximately 28’ to 30’) and right-of-way width (varies 50’ to 60’) is closer to that of a Local Street….Vehicles park along both sides of Thornton Road essentially narrowing the street to one travel lane.” The photos below show the state of the road Saturday January 16, 2016.

The study further indicates that current daily volume over threshold is 830 vpd leading to a service level of F.

---

2 The Traffic Study references the Thornton Traffic Study dated November 25, 2015 attached as backup to Planning Commission Item C17 pages 1 through 30.
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While the applicant and staff recommendation includes a plan for an additional traffic lane, there is no discussion of the impacts of the additional volume on the remainder of the road, which will remain undersized and overburdened. The current bottleneck will move 200 feet, not be solved. Figure 4 shows the same street on the same day. The present volume on Thornton Road is exacerbated by overflow parking from Austin Beer Garden Brewing Company (ABGB). The pictures below are from a video from a recent Saturday promotion. The overflow parking stretched from Thornton to and past the subject property. Throughout this area, the traffic was essentially limited to one lane except for the no parking zone at the curve.

![Figure 4. Approximately 2211 Thornton Road January 16](image)

Even given this congestion at north end of Thornton and Oltorf, The Traffic Study does not address the impact of increased traffic on alternative routes that are in use shown in red. Residents and businesses on the subject property normally avoid the Thornton Oltorf intersection. The traffic analysis and future plans should address the traffic considerations for these developments and future developments on other streets that are not Collectors.

![Figure 5 Existing Traffic Patterns for Thornton Road](image)
The staff recommendation includes a plan for widening the road to include a left turn lane for northbound traffic on Oltorf with a pedestrian signal near the 338 bus stop. The road-widening plan with pedestrian signal would produce no net benefit to users other than accelerating right turn traffic according to the traffic study. The service level for the intersection would remain the same grade F as it is today in 2017. In addition, the pedestrian signal would encourage additional parking for new “Enchanted Forest” entertainment complex planned on the north side of Oltorf. No engineering has been attempted to analyze the potential path of the road widening and easements, or utility relocation that would be required to accomplish the road widening at Oltorf.

The applicant proposal suggests installation of a signal at Thornton and Oltorf as part of a condition of VMU designation. The traffic signalization is a recent proposal and has not been analyzed from a cost standpoint. The traffic signal would meet only one of 9 potential warrants for signal installation, would require coordination with the railroad signal, increase the interruption to traffic along Oltorf which is already significantly disrupted by train traffic 28 times on an average day. It is unclear that this proposal meets the City of Austin requirements for signal installation.

5. Watershed Impacts

The watershed impacts from this development have not been fully addressed. The West Bouldin Creek Drainage Study Technical Memorandum No. 1; Del Curto Project Area Phase 1A Study identified the subject property as a potential solution for the area flooding problems.

The subject property is at the West Bouldin Creek confluence that is the central draining point for the Del Curto area as shown by Exhibit 10 of the Study. As the study indicates, “80 acres of the Del Curto neighborhood drain to this parcel”. This exhibit shows the connection of the existing inadequate infrastructure as it meets the property. The connection point of all drainage lines is at the north corner of the property in red.

In the initial study a proposal was included a recommendation number 14 to evaluate the utilization of 2303 Thornton as a retention basin. This recommendation was among the lowest cost on a cfs basis for those projects with cost listed. The sole response from Watershed received in January suggested another potential solution was being considered “upstream” without a specific reference to current solutions being considered from the original list. It also suggests that Watershed is “interested in ensuring that we will have a sufficiently wide drainage easement to accommodate our storm drain pipes. The intent is to keep the proposed alignment as close to

---

3 This study was presented to South Lamar Neighborhood September 3 2015 and can be found at the following link http://southlamar.org/?page_id=648
The current alignment as possible.” The email currently says a number of projects have “gone into design”. SLNA has not received any information regarding the list, which we provided feedback on at the original meeting.

The Watershed comments should specifically address the necessary easements as well as ensure that any solution will not negatively impact the homes to the north of the subject property on Thornton Road from either drainage or upstream flows as described in project 15.

Figure 7

The following picture shows the impact of flooding on Bridgeway on October 21, 2015.
6. Cumulative Development Effects and Mitigation Tools

As noted in Section 1 the South Lamar Mitigation plan objectives include “Develop recommendations for enhanced tools that will better anticipate the cumulative effects of increasing density on a neighborhood’s natural and manmade infrastructure”. The current analysis by the Transportation department did include a review if existing plans on file. The staff recommendation includes a provision for anticipated traffic for the 104 unit PSW Thornton Apartments under construction. This information was analyzed in connection with the Rough Proportionality policy. This analysis does not provide the staff with tools to go beyond past applications and determine true cumulative effects of development on this street, nor does it provide a capacity-planning tool that recognizes existing entitlements. As noted in section 4 no other improvements to this road are proposed to remove the overall bottleneck from the current volume.

As noted in section 1, the area is predominantly Single Family with MF-2 in certain locations. While this analysis looks only CS properties up zoned to MU, the analysis should also consider additional infill development allowed under SF-3 or current CS requirements. The most logical assumptions for CS property analysis based on the applicant and staff recommendations would be that:

A. More Development will occur and will likely occur in the current CS areas
B. The precedent from this case will guide the future development.

The following table shows the cumulative effects of all current CS properties being built out at varying densities compared to the current residences on Thornton. 104 units will come on line from PSW Thornton at 2505 Thornton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumed Density</th>
<th>Additional Units</th>
<th>EOY 2015 Units</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline 2016</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline with Applicant</td>
<td>212 + 104 = 316</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>232%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline with Staff Rec</td>
<td>156 + 104 = 260</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>191%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation of Cumulative Development Effects for all CS at Varying Densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>EOY 2015 Units</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VMU 60/ac</td>
<td>473 + 104 = 577</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>424%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 44/ac</td>
<td>347 + 104 = 453</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>331%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF-2 23/ac</td>
<td>181 + 104 = 285</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>209%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

7 Neighborhood Character

The subject property is currently home to the Thornton Road Studios and a collection of small businesses that are low impact commercial establishments. We believe that maintaining the small businesses and artists in the Austin community is vital to our neighborhood character and the character of Austin. The Thornton Road Studios presentation will be provided as a separate attachment. Thornton Road Studios includes award-winning artists that work and live in the neighborhood.

8 Thornton Road History Highlights

There are currently six commercial properties on Thornton which were zoned commercial in 3 separate zoning cases:

2. Nov 6, 1969: 2301-2311 Thornton

Note that in the final CS zoning, the planning commissioner recommended that “this tract should be more restrictive in its use”, and Thornton should be widened to 60'.

The first commercial uses on Thornton Road were around 1955 at #2401 which was then just outside the city limits. City limits extended only through #2315 at that time.
Around 1960, the city annexed the remaining southern section of Thornton Road.

Here is the history with some highlighted quotes from the meeting minutes discussing the problems of Thornton Road. There are pertinent quotes in the planning commission minutes for which links are provided.

Apr 6, 1961
First zoning request for Commercial in interior of Thornton which was not granted
City Council heard the application for zoning from "A" residential to "C" commercial at 2317-2409 Thornton (Roy F. Beal, E.F. Evans Company) and 2519-2707 Thornton (H.G. Linscomb) which was NOT RECOMMENDED by the Planning Commission with the following notes:
The Planning Commission had studied these cases at length, and the problem of the zoning was a street problem. Mr. Beal's operations (E.F. Evans - sheet metal company) are under a non-conforming use, and are of an industrial of commercial type that is permitted under "C". Mr Linscomb (property at the south dead end of Thornton) has 6-1/2 acres and wants to put a contractor's office and equipment yard with some warehouses that will store only durable goods. He explained that about 25 acres would be earmarked for commercial uses. Discussion of former zoning, and dedication for right-of-way boulevard was held. Mr. Linscomb stated he would give whatever they wanted as a reasonable amount. The Director of Planning stated the Railroad Company had indicated it would dedicate a right-of-way provided someone else developed it; and he pointed out certain problems concerning the dedicated thoroughfare stating it would be necessary to rechannel the creek; concerning the development of Lightsey Lane in connection with the residential subdivision of South Terrace in which houses are under construction; concerning Thornton Road which is not opened on the ground on the Lindsey Tract; and concerning the early development of the entire area as residential with houses selling on three sides and the commercial area in the middle, away from the thoroughfare. He stated ultimately there would be a public responsibility to develop the streets in a large commercial area; in the meantime the residential developers are required to develop the street, put in the utilities and handle the drainage. Councilman Bechtol discussed the traffic flow, and stated it would be up to the applicants to work that out and that the I&GN Railroad to join in it, and asked Mr. O'Quinn to contact the railroad and work this out. Mayor Pro-temp Palmer stated the Council would make a personal inspection of the area.
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=41208
Discussion at Planning Commission 2/14/61:
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=215317
AND ON 3/14/61 where they voted to DENY:
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=215318
This case stayed pending for years, finally denied after no response from applicant:
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=38206

July 27, 1967
1st successful zoning on Thornton #2401
2401-2411 Thornton from "A" residence to "BB" residence (street side) and 4 acres 2401-2413 Thornton (adjacent to railroad tracks) from "A" to "C"
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=38224
http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=39039
Planning Commission minutes:
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=215219

Nov 6, 1969
Council approves 2nd CS zoning in interior of Thornton
http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=38488
2301-2311 Thornton from "A" residence district to "C" commercial district
Planning Commission minutes:
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=215286

Apr 9, 1970
Council heard 2316-2318 Thornton from "A" to "C", NOT recommended by Planning Commission which recommended "BB" instead.
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=24632
Jan 7, 1970
Council approved 2512-2514 from "A" to "B" w/ restrictive covenant to limit of 15 units. Planning Commission did not recommend change to "B" but instead recommended "BB" which was less intensive - "because of the limited access to this interior area from Oltorf Street and not wishing to set a precedent for more intensive zoning". Restrictive covenant of 15 units and subject to 5’ right-of-way
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=24553

Mar 8, 1973
Council DENIED 2502-2504 Thornton from "A" to "C" but APPROVED "A" to "BB" which is what Planning Commission recommended "BB", explaining: "Thornton Road is a dead-end street extending southerly off of Oltorf. It is an area developed prior to annexation and many of the uses there now are still single family. Recent zonings cover very deep lots, fronting on Thornton Road; and there is a warehouse fronting on the railroad track. Three zoning requests had been zoned "BB" residence and "B" residence and the fourth request was recommended for denial of the “C” Commercial zoning, but recommended for (low density- hence “BB”) apartment zoning."

Mar 7, 1974
3rd successful commercial zoning case
Council approved 2313-2315 Thornton from "A" to "C"
Planning Commission recommended the change subject to 1/2 the r-o-w (5') to increase Thornton Road to 60’. Planning commission comments: "this was an older area in South Austin on a very long dead-end street and was predominantly residential. The previous requests for "C" Commercial, which had been granted, had either been dismissed because of incompletion of requirements or their not being used for "C" purposes. He added that is was the opinion of the staff that rather than continue to zone "C", this tract should be more restrictive in its use."
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/edims/document.cfm?id=27013
http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=27345