

AUSTIN ENERGY 2016 RATE REVIEW

AUSTIN ENERGY
2016 MAR 11 AM 10:46

AUSTIN ENERGY'S TARIFF	§	
PACKAGE UPDATE OF THE 2009	§	BEFORE THE CITY OF AUSTIN
COST OF SERVICE STUDY AND	§	IMPARTIAL HEARINGS
PROPOSAL TO CHANGE BASE	§	EXAMINER
ELECTRIC RATES	§	

IMPARTIAL HEARING EXAMINER'S MEMORANDUM NO. 12:

**RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
WITH REGARD TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

The Impartial Hearing Examiner convened a prehearing conference on March 4, 2016 to address among other matters, NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel responses to its First Request for Information served on Austin Energy. After review of the parties' pleadings and arguments made at the prehearing conference, pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rule § 8.1(a), the Impartial Hearing Examiner sets forth his rulings on NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel in Attachment A to this Memorandum No. 12.

The Impartial Hearing Examiner underscores that a ruling that an issue is within the scope of discovery does not necessarily mean that a related issue is relevant from an evidentiary perspective and thus within the scope of the issues in this proceeding. The standard for "relevance" for purposes of discovery is whether discovery of the requested information is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. *See Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 192.3(a).*



By comparison, under the Texas Rules of Evidence, Rule 401, evidence is relevant if it has a tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence and the fact is of consequence in determining the action. For example (and only as an example and not intended as a limitation or expansion of the Impartial Hearing Examiner's rulings shown in Attachment A nor as in any way a suggestion that such is

the case), whether Austin Energy recovers costs under its Power Supply Adjustment and recovers the same costs in base rates, is relevant to determining Austin Energy's base rates. Thus, even if the amount of costs Austin Energy recovers through and the level of the rate shown in its Power Supply Adjustment, are not subject to change in this proceeding, whether Austin Energy is doubly recovering the same costs – once in base rates and again through the Power Supply Adjustment – is relevant to the level of Austin Energy's base rates. Assuming there were a double recovery, this fact would have a tendency to show that it is more probable that Austin Energy's base rates are higher than they otherwise needed to be and is a fact of consequence in determining whether Austin Energy's base rates are just and reasonable. The same holds true for Austin Energy's Regulatory Charge.

Thus, it follows that discovery of information regarding the costs Austin Energy recovers under, for example, its Power Supply Adjustment and its Regulatory Charge, is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

The Impartial Hearing Examiner provides this explanation in an effort to present to the parties the Impartial Hearing Examiner's reasoning for the rulings shown in Attachment A to this Memorandum No. 12.

Further, the Impartial Hearing Examiner directs Austin Energy to provide responses to the requests for information that are the subject of NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel by no later than March 18, 2016.


Alfred R. Herrera *By permission*
Impartial Hearing Examiner 

DATE: MARCH 11, 2016

Xc: Copy Transmitted via Email to following:

Rate.Review@austinenergy.com;
andrea.rose@austintexas.gov;
andy.perny@austintexas.gov;
tleisey@lglawfirm.com;
hwilchar@lglawfirm.com;
tbrocato@lglawfirm.com;
Chris.Hughes@huschblackwell.com;
maria.faconti@huschblackwell.com;
roger@borgeltlaw.com;
mwhellan@gdhm.com;
carolb@texasrose.org;
tsalinas@3pointpartners.com;
john.sutton@tgsle.org;
bdunkerley1@austin.rr.com;
lcooper@tlsc.org;
kwhite@citizen.org;
Rebecca@ibuyaustin.com
paul_robbins@greenbuilder.com
Charles.girard@hcahealthcare.com
cbirch@citizen.org
john@johcoffman.net
cjenergyconsult@att.net
janeebrie@gmail.com
paul@austinaptassoc.com
jim78731@gmail.com
wsmc@dotlaw.biz
barry.dreyling@cypress.com
bryan_stevenson@amat.com
mrollins@austinchamber.com
ed@arma-tx.org
customerscare.austinenergy@gmail.com
jerry.davis@goodwillcentraltexas.org
nsimpson@streamrealty.com
Cyrus.reed@sierraclub.org
Maureen.whitfield@crowncastle.com
cliff.wells@bethany-umc.org

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

RFI and Objection	Nature of Objection	IHE Ruling
<p>NXP/Samsung 1-8. Please provide the fully working versions of all schedules and exhibits included in each witness's testimony/or narrative in electronic (MS Excel, MS Word, etc.) format.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request in part because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested pass-through charges information.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested competitive information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-10. Please provide the Austin Energy coincident peak (CP) load and the ERCOT CP load in each month of the test year and the nine previous years by customer class. Provide the date and time of the peak load in each of the months and identify any peak loads that occurred on Saturdays, Sundays, or holidays. If fewer than nine years of historical data are available, provide the requested information for as many years as it is available.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding system load characteristics and customer usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-11. Please provide all supporting workpapers and materials that were employed or relied upon by Austin Energy to develop demands by customer class.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding customer billing, contract, and usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-12. Please identify the source(s) of demands estimates by class. If load research studies were employed, please provide the following information for each class: (a) a definition of the class; (b) the time period from which the load research data was obtained; (c) the number of load research meters employed in the sample by load research strata; (d) a narrative description of the manner by which load research data was expanded to represent the class population; (e) any and all workpapers employed in developing these class demands; and (f) the source of the load research data if not specific to Austin Energy consumers.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov’t Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined part (e) of the request seeks certain information regarding customer billing, contract, and usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-15. Please provide a list of Austin Energy's test year energy efficiency programs, the classes eligible to participate in each program, and the amount of money Austin Energy spent by program in the test year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's energy efficiency programs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-16. What does Austin Energy plan (or budget) to spend in FY 2015-2016 by rate class for energy efficiency programs?</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request in part because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's energy efficiency programs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-17. Are any costs related to the Company's GreenChoice program subsidized by rates, charges or fees collected from any other rate class, rider, or tariff schedule? If yes, please identify the amounts of such subsidies, and the sources and uses of such subsidies by rate class.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request in part because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's GreenChoice program is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-25. Please provide the annual class non-coincident peak (NCP) load by customer class in the test year and the nine previous years. For each class, provide the date and time of the NCP load and identify any peak loads that occurred on Saturdays, Sundays, or holidays. If fewer than nine years of historical data are available, provide the requested information for as many years as it is available.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding system load characteristics and customer usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-30. Please provide monthly energy by rate class at the meter and at the generator for the test year, the five previous years. To the extent available, please provide monthly energy by customer class by time period in the time periods that Austin Energy uses for time-of-use rate schedules (i.e., on-peak 2am to 8pm June-September weekdays, mid-peak all remaining hours from 6 am to 10 pm year-round, and off-peak all other hours).</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding customer usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-31. Please provide the total system megawatts of generation installed or contracted for, megawatts of forced outage, megawatts on maintenance outage, and megawatts unavailable due to lack of wind power in the peak hour of each month in the test year and the five previous years.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available on the Austin Energy website. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response.</p>	<p>The information requested is available from publicly available sources.</p>	<p>AE’s objection that the data is available from publicly available sources is sustained, but only to the extent AE provides sufficient details to allow the party seeking the data to readily locate the information it seeks.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-32. Please identify the timing of all alerts or rotating blackouts affecting ERCOT in the test year and the five previous years.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available on the ERCOT website. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response.</p>	<p>The information requested is available from publicly available sources.</p>	<p>AE’s objection that the data is available from publicly available sources is sustained, but only to the extent AE provides sufficient details to allow the party seeking the data to readily locate the information it seeks.</p>
<p>NXP/Samsung 1-33. Please provide hourly load by customer class for all classes of customers. <u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov’t Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding customer usage information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-34. Please provide hourly generation by power plant in the test year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Govt. Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding generation unit operating characteristics that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
<p>NXP/Samsung 1-35. Please provide hourly generation provide through purchased power agreements in the test year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov’t Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding purchased power agreements that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-36. Please provide ERCOT day-ahead and hour-ahead prices for energy on an hourly basis for the test year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available on the ERCOT website. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response</p>	<p>The information requested is available from publicly available sources.</p>	<p>AE’s objection that the data is available from publicly available sources is sustained, but only to the extent AE provides sufficient details to allow the party seeking the data to readily locate the information it seeks.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-37. Please refer to Footnote 45 on page 3-18 of the rate filing package. Please list the amount and frequency that Austin Energy has offered resources from any type of generation and purchase power agreements at below cost during the Test Year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's generation and purchase power agreements are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Austin Energy also objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available on the ERCOT website. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding purchased power agreements that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure and the information requested is available from publicly available sources</p> <p>14 of 39</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p> <p>AE's objection that the data is available from publicly available sources is sustained, but only to the extent AE provides sufficient details to allow the party seeking the data to readily locate the information it seeks.</p>
---	---	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-38. Please provide daily spot gas prices in the test year.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available from various sources. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response.</p>	<p>The information requested is available from publicly available sources</p>	<p>AE’s objection that the data is available from publicly available sources is sustained, but only to the extent AE provides sufficient details to allow the party seeking the data to readily locate the information it seeks.</p>
--	---	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-39. Please provide the Equivalent Forced Outage Rate and Equivalent Availability Factor of each power plant on the Austin Energy system in excess of 50 MW for the test year and each of the five previous years.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding generation unit operating characteristics and outage scheduling that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-40. Please provide the maintenance schedules for each power plant in excess of 50 MW owned or contracted for by Austin Energy for 2010 through the latest available date in 2015 actual and the remainder of 2015 through- 2017 forecast.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-41. For each power plant owned by Austin Energy, please provide the in- service date, gross plant, an estimate of depreciation reserve as of the end of the test year, non-fuel Operations and Maintenance expense during the test year and the four previous years, and fuel expense during the test year and the four previous years.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request in part because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's fuel expense is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-42. Please identify any firm sales contracts in force in the test year and after the test year, with MW of capacity, MWh by month, and revenue by month. If any sales are from a specific generating unit, identify the unit.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding bidding and pricing information for generation that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-43. Please list any of the purchase power agreements that are "take or pay" contracts by type of power purchased in terms of MW of capacity, MWh by month, and cost by month.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's purchased power is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding purchased power agreements that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Sustained as to AE's relevance objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-51. Please identify the gross revenue from short-term (hourly up to 3 month) firm or non-firm power sales (including sales into ERCOT) in the test year and the fuel cost associated with making those sales. Identify the extent to which that gross revenue is included in the Power Supply Adjustment. If any portion of the gross revenue is not included in the Power Supply Adjustment, identify it by FERC account and explain how that revenue is allocated to customer classes.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's power sales and fuel costs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request in part a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding bidding and pricing information for purchased power, generation and fuel that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p> <p>However, to the extent this request seeks an explanation, Austin Energy does not consider this request a formal request under the Texas</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p> <p>21 of 39</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p> <p><i>IHE Memo No. 12 – Ruling on NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel Response to NXP/Samsung's First Request for Information to AE</i></p>
---	--	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-52. Please provide Austin Energy's best estimate of the capital and fixed and variable non-fuel operations cost, heat rates, and coal costs of new coal- fired, combined cycle, and combustion turbine generating plants. Provide supporting source documentation if available.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's power supply adjustment is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request in part a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding generation unit specific fixed and variable costs that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p> <p>Austin Energy has received information responsive to this request from third parties with whom non-disclosure agreements have been executed. These agreements impose legal restrictions that have implications under the Public Information Act. Specifically, the</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p> <p>22 of 39</p>	<p>Sustained as to AE's relevance objection.</p> <p><i>IHE Memo No. 12 – Ruling on NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel Response to NXP/Samsung's First Request for Information to AE</i></p>
---	--	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-53. Please provide the amount of fixed costs from Purchased Power Agreements included in the Power Supply adjustment during the test year and included in the estimated Power Supply Adjustment to be considered in the 2016-2017 Budget process.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's purchased power agreements and power supply adjustment are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information regarding purchased power agreements that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p> <p>23 of 39</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-60. Please provide an estimate of the number of poles serving</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) primary distribution lines only; (b) secondary distribution lines only; (c) primary distribution lines and secondary distribution lines simultaneously; (d) serving only streetlights. <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to part (d) of this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's streetlight costs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information. Although the request seeks an estimate, and the Texas Public Information Act does not require governmental bodies to provide answers to questions or to general inquiries, nor does it mandate the creation of new documents in response to a request, Austin Energy already possesses the requested information and will, therefore, provide it.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-61. How are power poles that are used exclusively by street lights assigned in the cost of service study?</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's streetlight costs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-74. Please provide the amount of "margin" included in Austin Energy's TCOS most recent filing listed by General Fund Transfer, transfers to Reserves and Debt Services.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's TCOS is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
---	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-91. Please explain the Company's justification for subsidizing the cost of Service Area Lighting through the Community Benefit Charge. If the Company does not have any justification for subsidizing the cost of Service Area Lighting through the Community Benefit Charge, please provide documentation from the Austin City Council giving this instruction to the Company and its justification.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's community benefit charge is a pass-through charge. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p>
---	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-92. Please explain, in detail how the Company determines where and what facilities are used to provide service under Service Area Lighting inside and outside the city limits.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's service area lighting costs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-93. Please explain how the cost to developers for street lighting installations is determined and provide the amount of payments to Austin Energy for street lighting installations during the Test Year and the previous five years.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's street lighting installation payments relate to pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-102. Was Decker Creek Power Plant used to sell power into the DAM during the Test Year? If so, how much revenue did Decker produce during the test year and in the previous five years?</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's generation costs are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information regarding generation unit operating characteristics and pricing information for generation that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE’s PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin’s Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE’s confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE’s PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-105. Please rerun the cost of service using 4CP and A&E 4CP and provide the resulting spreadsheets in Excel format.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks documents not in Austin Energy's possession. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(F), a party does not need to produce a document or tangible thing unless that party has constructive or actual possession, custody, or control of the requested item. A party has possession, custody or control of a document or tangible thing if the party can get the document or tangible thing with reasonable effort. This request seeks Austin Energy to produce documents not in Austin Energy's possession because Austin Energy would have to rerun its cost of service to create new documents. Rerunning the model would require more than reasonable effort because the task would be timely and laborious. Further, Austin Energy has publicly provided its working cost of service model to give parties the ability to rerun the model on their own.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy does not consider this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. The Texas Public Information Act does not require governmental bodies to provide answers to questions or to general inquiries, nor does it mandate the creation of new documents in response to a request. Information is subject to disclosure only if it was in existence at the time the request was made. <i>See</i> Open Records Decision No. 555 at 1-2 (1990) (considering request for answers to fact questions). Rerunning the cost of service using 4CP and A&E 4CP and providing the resulting spreadsheets in Excel format would require Austin Energy to create new</p>	<p>The data requested is not in AE's possession, custody, or control.</p> <p>31 of 39</p>	<p>Sustained.</p> <p><i>IHE Memo No. 12 – Ruling on NXP/Samsung's Motion to Compel Response to NXP/Samsung's First Request for Information to AE</i></p>
---	---	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-106. Please identify any filing before the PUC in which a 12 coincident peak allocation method was approved for use in Texas.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information publicly available on the PUC Interchange. Pursuant to City of Austin Procedural Rules for the Initial Review of Austin Energy's Rates § 7.3(c)(2)(D), if the answer to a request can be found in publicly available documents, the responding party does not need to produce the documents. Instead, the party only has to describe where the information can be found. Therefore, notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy has described where the information can be found in its response.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy does not consider this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. The Texas Public Information Act does not require governmental bodies to provide answers to questions or to general inquiries, nor does it mandate the creation of new documents in response to a request. Information is subject to disclosure only if it was in existence at the time the request was made. <i>See Open Records Decision No. 555 at 1-2 (1990)</i> (considering request for answers to fact questions). Identifying any filing before the PUC in which a 12 coincident peak allocation method was approved for use in Texas would require Austin Energy to create a new document in response to a request. Austin Energy is, thus, not required to respond to this request under the Texas Public Information Act.</p>		
--	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-108. Please provide copies of all bond ratings issued by rating agencies and all correspondence between AE and bond rating agencies.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. Austin Energy has determined the request seeks information related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy has received information responsive to this request from third parties. There are legal restrictions on Austin Energy's ability to release the information that have implications under the Public Information Act. Austin Energy is following the appropriate steps pursuant to the Public Information Act related to this information.</p>	<p>Subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-109. Will AE issue bonds for proposed gas units or use its reserve funds?</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is speculative and neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates. This request seeks information that does not exist and is outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Additionally, Austin Energy does not consider this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552. The Texas Public Information Act does not require governmental bodies to provide answers to questions or to general inquiries, nor does it mandate the creation of new documents in response to a request. Information is subject to disclosure only if it was in existence at the time the request was made.⁸ Answering whether AE will issue bonds for proposed gas units or use its reserve funds would require Austin Energy to provide answers to questions and create new documents in response to a request. Austin Energy is, thus, not required to respond to this request under the Texas Public Information Act.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p>Sustained.</p>
--	------------------	-------------------

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-114. Please provide the workpapers supporting the PSA and Regulatory charges adopted in FY 2015-2016 budget.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks certain information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's power supply adjustment and regulatory charges are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing some of the requested information. However, Austin Energy has determined the request seeks certain information that is related to competitive matters and, thus, not subject to disclosure pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 552.133, Confidentiality of Public Power Utility Competitive Matters. Austin Energy is seeking an Attorney General Open Records Letter Ruling regarding this request and will not disclose the requested information related to competitive matters until instructed to do so by the Attorney General.</p>	<p>Relevance and subject to the Public Information Act exception from disclosure</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p> <p>Regarding AE's PIA objection, while the IHE believes a protective order could be crafted to allow parties to review confidential information, under the City of Austin's Procedural Rules, the IHE does not have the authority to issue a protective order to address AE's confidential information. To that extent, the IHE sustains AE's PIA-based objection.</p>
---	--	--

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-117. Please provide the workpapers supporting the estimated PSA and Regulatory charges to be considered in the FY 2016-2017 budget.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates and issues related to Austin Energy's pass-through charges are outside the scope of this proceeding. Austin Energy's power supply adjustment and regulatory charges are pass-through charges. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
---	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-118. Identify any and all non-utility costs included in the cost of service, including debt service associated with non-utility facilities.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates. Non-utility costs included in the cost of service include district cooling systems operations costs, which are not included in Austin Energy's base electric rates. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG’S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG’S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-119. Identify how non-utility revenue is reflected in the cost of service.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates. Non-utility revenue includes district cooling systems operations costs, which are not included in Austin Energy's base electric rates. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p><i>It appears that no ruling is required regarding RFI No. 1-60 because AE has agreed to provide a response. If a ruling is required, the requesting party should so inform the IHE.</i></p>
--	------------------	---

**ATTACHMENT A TO IHE MEMORANDUM NO. 12
RULINGS ON NXP/SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL
RESPONSES TO NXP/SAMSUNG'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

<p>NXP/Samsung 1-120. Please provide the following for the downtown District Cooling systems operations:</p> <p>A. Amount of investment in infrastructure with related accumulated depreciation.</p> <p>B. Amount and source of funding for the investment at the end of the test year (bonds, short term debt, internally generated cash and AE reserves.</p> <p>C. Number of customers using the system from 2010 through the end of the test year.</p> <p>D. Profit and loss statements since 2009 through the end of the test year.</p> <p>E. Operating and maintenance costs directly or indirectly incurred by providing services from the system.</p> <p><u>Objection:</u></p> <p>Austin Energy objects to this request because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the issues presented in this matter nor is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. As indicated in Austin Energy's Tariff Package and Impartial Hearing Examiner's Memorandum No. 6, the scope of this proceeding is limited to Austin Energy's base electric rates. District cooling systems operations costs are not included in Austin Energy's base electric rates. Thus, this request seeks information outside the scope of this proceeding.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this objection, Austin Energy considers this request a formal request under the Texas Public Information Act, Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 552, and is accordingly providing the requested information.</p>	<p>Relevance</p>	<p>Overruled as to relevance objection for purposes of discovery.</p>
---	------------------	---