

#### Amendment No. 7 to Contract No. 6400 NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

- 1.0 The City hereby exercises an administrative increase of \$61,000 for the subject contract.
- 2.0 The total contract amount is increased by \$61,000.00 by this amendment. The total contract authorization is recapped below:

| Action   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Term: 08/20/2014 - 08/19/2016                            |                |                       |
|  | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change<br>09/04/2015                       |                |                       |
|  | \$0.00         | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1 – Extension<br>08/20/2016 – 08/19/2017 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 3: Option 2 – Extension<br>08/20/2017 – 08/19/2018 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$3,894,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 4: Option 3 – Extension<br>08/20/2018 – 08/19/2019 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$4,661,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 5: Add Five 12-month Extension Options 09/12/2019  |                |                       |
|  | \$0.00         | \$4,661,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 6: Option 4 – Extension<br>08/20/2019 – 08/19/2020 | \$0.00         | \$4,661,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 7: Administrative Increase                         | \$61,000.00    | \$4,722,623.00        |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals do not apply to this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the vendor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

Sign/Date: Sherry Clark 9/18/19

Printed Name: Sherry Clark Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840 Sign/Date:

Printed Name:\_\_\_\_\_ Authorized Representative

City of Austin Purchasing Office 124 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 310 Austin, Texas 78701



Amendment No.6 to Contract No. 6400 NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

1.0 The parties hereby agree to add five additional 12-month extension options for the above-referenced contract effective August 20, 2019. The extension options are as follow:

| 08/20/2019 - 08/19/2020 |
|-------------------------|
| 08/20/2020 - 08/19/2021 |
| 08/20/2021 - 08/19/2022 |
| 08/20/2022 - 08/19/2023 |
| 08/20/2023 – 08/19/2024 |
|                         |

2.0 The total contract authorization is recapped below:

| Action   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount                  |
|--|----------------|--|
| Initial Term:  |                | ······································ |
| 08/20/2014 08/19/2016  | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change<br>09/04/2015                       | \$0.00         | \$2,360,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1 – Extension<br>08/20/2016 – 08/19/2017 | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 3: Option 2 – Extension<br>08/20/2017 – 08/19/2018 | \$767,000.00   | \$3,894,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 4: Option 3 – Extension<br>08/20/2018 – 08/19/2019 | \$767,000.00   | \$4,661,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 5: Add Five 12-month Extension Options 09/012/2019 | \$0.00         | \$4,661,623.00                         |
| Amendment No. 6: Option 4 – Extension<br>08/20/2019 - 08/19/2020 | \$0.00         | \$4,661,623.00                         |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals do not apply to this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the vendor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

Sign/Date: 8/28/2019

Sherry Clark Printed Name: Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840

Sign/Date: Printed JANEL T. HONAD Name: Authorized Representative

City of Austin Purchasing Office 124 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 310



#### Amendment No. 5 to Contract No. 6400 NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

1.0 The parties hereby agree to add five additional 12-month extension options for the above-referenced contract effective August 20, 2019. The extension options are as follow:

| Extension Option 4 | 08/20/2019 - 08/19/2020 |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Extension Option 5 | 08/20/2020 - 08/19/2021 |
| Extension Option 6 | 08/20/2021 - 08/19/2022 |
| Extension Option 7 | 08/20/2022 - 08/19/2023 |
| Extension Option 8 | 08/20/2023 - 08/19/2024 |

2.0 The total contract authorization is recapped below:

| Action   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Term: 08/20/2014 - 08/19/2016                            |                |                       |
|  | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change                                     |                |                       |
| 09/04/2015   |                |                       |
|  | \$0.00         | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1 – Extension                            |                |                       |
| 08/20/2016 – 08/19/2017  |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 3: Option 2 – Extension                            |                |                       |
| 08/20/2017 – 08/19/2018  | ¢767.000.00    | ¢2 804 622 00         |
| Amendment No. 4: Option 2. Extension                             | \$767,000.00   | \$3,894,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 4: Option 3 – Extension<br>08/20/2018 – 08/19/2019 |                |                       |
| 06/20/2016 - 06/19/2019  | ¢767,000,00    | ¢4 661 622 00         |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$4,661,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 5: Add Five 12-month Extension Options             | \$0.00         | \$4,661,623.00        |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals do not apply to this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the vendor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

Sign/Date: Sherry Clark 9/12/2018

Sign/Date:

Printed Name: Sherry Clark Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840 Name: Authorized Representative

Printed

City of Austin Purchasing Office 124 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 310 Austin, Texas 78701



Amendment No. 4 to Contract No. 6400 NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

- 1.0 The City hereby exercises this extension option for the subject contract. This extension option will be August 20, 2018 through August 19, 2019. No options remain.
- 2.0 The total contract amount is increased by \$767,000.00 by this extension period. The total contract authorization is recapped below:

| Action   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Term: 08/20/2014 - 08/19/2016                            |                |                       |
|  | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change<br>09/04/2015                       |                |                       |
|  | \$0.00         | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1 – Extension<br>08/20/2016 – 08/19/2017 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 3: Option 2 – Extension<br>08/20/2017 – 08/19/2018 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$3,894,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 4: Option 3 – Extension<br>08/20/2018 – 08/19/2019 |                |                       |
|  | \$767,000.00   | \$4,661,623.00        |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals do not apply to this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the vendor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

Sign/Date: Sharry Clark 6/19/2018

L Sherry Clark Printed Name:

Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840

Sign/Date: Printed HowArd FNES Name:

Authorized Representative

City of Austin Purchasing Office 124 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 310 Austin, Texas 78701



Amendment No. 3 to Contract No. NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

- 1.0 The City hereby exercises the extension options for the above-referenced contract. Effective August 20, 2017 the term for the extension option will be August 20, 2017 through August 19, 2018 with one (1) option remaining.
- 2.0 The total contract amount is increased by \$767,000.00 for the current extension option period. The total contract authorization is recapped below:

| Action   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Term:<br>8/20/2014 8/19/2016                           | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change<br>09/04/2015                     | \$0.00         | \$0.00                |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1 – Extension<br>8/20/2016 – 8/19/2017 | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623.00        |
| Amendment No. 3: Option 2 – Extension<br>8/20/2017 – 8/19/2018 | \$767,000.00   | \$3,894,623.00        |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals do not apply to this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the vendor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

9.13.17 Sign/Date:

Printed Name:\_\_\_\_Craig Seekamp\_\_\_\_\_ Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840

Sign/Date: Krie CONSal,

PrintedName: 201 XC Authorized Representative City of Austin

City of Austin Purchasing Office



Amendment No. 2 of Contract No. NS140000043 for eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair and Maintenance between Tyler Technologies, Inc. and the City of Austin

- 1.0 The City hereby exercises this extension option for the subject contract. This extension option will be effective August 20, 2016 to August 19, 2017. Two options remain.
- 2.0 The total contract amount is increased by \$767,000.00 for the extension option period. The total Contract authorization is recapped below:

| Term   | Action Amount  | Total Contract Amount |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Basic Term: 08/20/14 - 08/19/16                  | \$2,360,623.00 | \$2,360,623 00        |
| Amendment No. 1: Name Change<br>09/04/15         | \$0.00         | \$2,360,623 00        |
| Amendment No. 2: Option 1<br>08/20/16 – 08/19/17 | \$767,000.00   | \$3,127,623 00        |

- 3.0 MBE/WBE goals were not established for this contract.
- 4.0 By signing this Amendment the Contractor certifies that the Contractor and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the General Services Administration (GSA) List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.
- 5.0 All other terms and conditions remain the same.

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this Amendment is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced contract.

Signature and Date:

Frinted Name:

Authorized Representative

Tyler Technologies, Inc. 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, TX 77840

Signature and Date:

Shawn Willell, Deputy Purchasing Offi City of Austin Purchasing Office



## Amendment No. 1 Of Contract No. NS140000043 For eCitations, eCrash Parts, Service and Maintenance Between Brazos Technology Corporation And the The City of Austin

1.0 The Contract is hereby amended as follows: Merge the vendor's name and other information as requested on: June 18, 2015

|                                 | From                             | То                       |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Vendor Name                     | Brazos Technology<br>Corporation | Tyler Technologies, Inc. |
| Vendor Code (for City use only) | VS0000035506                     | V00000931737             |
| Vendor Federal<br>Tax ID (FEIN) |                                  |                          |

2.0 All other terms and conditions of the Contract remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BY THE SIGNATURE affixed below, this Amendment No. 1 is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the Contract.

Joe Barrios Acting Contract Compliance Supervisor City of Austin, Purchasing Office

115 Date



**Financial Service Department Purchasing Office** 124 W. 8<sup>th</sup> St., Austin, Texas, 78701

August 20, 2014

Brazos Technology Corporation Michael S. McAleer 526 University Drive East, Suite 201A College Station, Texas 77840

Dear Mr. McAleer:

The Austin City Council approved the execution of a contract with your company for eCitation and eCrash parts, repair and maintenance.

| Responsible Department:           | Wireless Communications                            |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Department Contact Person:        | Arletha Guerrero                                   |
| Department Contact Email Address: | Arletha.Guerrero@Austintexas.gov                   |
| Department Contact Telephone:     | (512) 927-3262                                     |
| Project Name:                     | eCitation and eCrash parts, repair and             |
|                                   | maintenance  |
| Contractor Name:                  | Brazos Technology Corporation                      |
| Contract Number:                  | MA-6400-NS140000043                                |
| Contract Period:                  | August 20, 2014 through August 19, 2016            |
| Extension Options:                | Three 12-month Options                             |
| Dollar Amounts:                   | Not to Exceed \$2,360,623 for the initial term and |
|                                   | Not to Exceed \$767,000 for each extension         |
|                                   | option   |
| Agenda Item Number:               | 41   |
| Council Approval Date:            | June 12, 2014                                      |

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the City of Austin. If you have any questions regarding this contract, please contact the person referenced under Department Contact Person.

Sincerely,

## Shawn M. Willett

Shawn M. Willett Corporate Contract Compliance Manager IT Contract Management and Procurement Team City of Austin, Purchasing Office

cc: Arletha Guerrero, Wireless Communications

#### CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND Brazos Technology For eCitation and eCrash Parts, Repair, and Maintenance

This Contract is made by and between the City of Austin ("City"), a home-rule municipality incorporated by the State of Texas, and Brazos Technology ("Contractor"), having offices at 526 University Drive, Suite 201A, College Station, TX 77840.

#### SECTION 1. GRANT OF AUTHORITY, SERVICES AND DUTIES

1.1 <u>Engagement of the Contractor</u>. Subject to the general supervision and control of the City and subject to the provisions of the Terms and Conditions contained herein, the Contractor is engaged to provide the services set forth in Section 2, Scope of Work.

1.2 **<u>Responsibilities of the Contractor</u>**. The Contractor shall provide all technical and professional expertise, knowledge, management, and other resources required for accomplishing all aspects of the tasks and associated activities identified in the Scope of Work. In the event that the need arises for the Contractor to perform services beyond those stated in the Scope of Work, the Contractor and the City shall negotiate mutually agreeable terms and compensation for completing the additional services.

1.3 **Responsibilities of the City.** The City's Contract Manager will be responsible for exercising general oversight of the Contractor's activities in completing the Scope of Work. Specifically, the Contract Manager will represent the City's interests in resolving day-to-day issues that may arise during the term of this Contract, shall participate regularly in conference calls or meetings for status reporting, shall promptly review any written reports submitted by the Contractor, and shall approve all invoices for payment, as appropriate. The City's Contract Manager shall give the Contractor timely feedback on the acceptability of progress and task reports.

1.4 **Designation of Key Personnel.** The Contractor's Contract Manager for this engagement shall be Michael S. McAleer, Phone: (979) 690-2811 x1501, Email Address: mmcaleer@brazostech.com. The City's Contract Manager for the engagement shall be Arletha Guerrero, Phone: (512) 927-3262, Email Address: Arletha.Guerrero@austintexas.gov. The City and the Contractor resolve to keep the same key personnel assigned to this engagement throughout its term. In the event that it becomes necessary for the Contractor to replace any key personnel, the replacement will be an individual having equivalent experience and competence in executing projects such as the one described herein. Additionally, the Contractor will promptly notify the City Contract Manager and obtain approval for the replacement. Such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld.

## SECTION 2. SCOPE OF WORK.

2.1 <u>Contractor's Obligations</u>. The Contractor shall fully and timely provide all Deliverables described herein and in the Contractor's Offer in strict accordance with the terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract and all applicable Federal, State, and local laws, rules, and regulations.

#### SECTION 3. COMPENSATION:

3.1 <u>Contract Amount</u>. The Contractor will be paid as indicated herein upon the successful completion of the Scope of Work, as described herein. In consideration for the services to be performed under this Contract, the Contractor shall be paid an amount not to exceed \$2,360,623 for the initial term, \$767,000.00 for the first extension, \$767,000.00 for the second extension, and \$767,000.00 for the third extension, for a total estimated contract amount not to exceed \$4,661,623.00 comprising the software maintenance and support fees.

#### 3.2 Invoices.

3.2.1 Invoices shall contain a unique invoice number, the purchase order or delivery order number and the master agreement number if applicable, the Department's Name, and the

**name of the point of contact for the Department**. Invoices shall be itemized. The Contractor's name and, if applicable, the tax identification number on the invoice must exactly match the information in the Contractor's registration with the City. Unless otherwise instructed in writing, the City may rely on the remittance address specified on the Contractor's invoice. Invoices received without all required information cannot be processed and will be returned to the Contractor. Invoices shall be mailed to the below address:

|                       | City of Austin                               |
|-----------------------|--|
| Department            | Communication Technology Management or (CTM) |
| Attn:                 | Accounts Payable                             |
| Address               | PO Box 1088                                  |
| City, State, Zip Code | Austin, TX 78767                             |

3.2.2 Invoices for labor shall include a copy of all time-sheets with trade labor rate and Deliverables order number clearly identified. Invoices shall also include a tabulation of work-hours at the appropriate rates and grouped by work order number. Time billed for labor shall be limited to hours actually worked at the work site.

3.2.3 Unless otherwise expressly authorized in the Contract, the Contractor shall pass through all Subcontract and other authorized expenses at actual cost without markup.

3.2.4 Federal excise taxes, State taxes, or City sales taxes must not be included in the invoiced amount. The City will furnish a tax exemption certificate upon request.

#### 3.3 **Payment.**

3.3.1 All proper invoices received by the City will be paid within thirty (30) calendar days of the City's receipt of the Deliverables or of the invoice, whichever is later.

3.3.2 If payment is not timely made, (per this paragraph), interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance at the lesser of the rate specified in Texas Government Code Section 2251.025 or the maximum lawful rate; except, if payment is not timely made for a reason for which the City may withhold payment hereunder, interest shall not accrue until ten (10) calendar days after the grounds for withholding payment have been resolved.

3.3.3 The City may withhold or off set the entire payment or part of any payment otherwise due the Contractor to such extent as may be necessary on account of:

3.3.3.1 delivery of defective or non-conforming Deliverables by the Contractor;

3.3.3.2 third party claims, which are not covered by the insurance which the Contractor is required to provide, are filed or reasonable evidence indicating probable filing of such claims;

3.3.3.3 failure of the Contractor to pay Subcontractors, or for labor, materials or equipment;

3.3.3.4 damage to the property of the City or the City's agents, employees or contractors, which is not covered by insurance required to be provided by the Contractor;

3.3.3.5 reasonable evidence that the Contractor's obligations will not be completed within the time specified in the Contract, and that the unpaid balance would not be adequate to cover actual or liquidated damages for the anticipated delay;

3.3.3.6 failure of the Contractor to submit proper invoices with all required attachments and supporting documentation; or

3.3.3.7 failure of the Contractor to comply with any material provision of the Contract Documents.

3.3.4 Notice is hereby given of Article VIII, Section 1 of the Austin City Charter which prohibits the payment of any money to any person, firm or corporation who is in arrears to the City for taxes, and of §2-8-3 of the Austin City Code concerning the right of the City to offset indebtedness owed the City.

3.3.5 Payment will be made by check unless the parties mutually agree to payment by credit card or electronic transfer of funds. The Contractor agrees that there shall be no additional charges, surcharges, or penalties to the City for payments made by credit card or electronic transfer of funds.

3.4 **Non-Appropriation.** The awarding or continuation of this Contract is dependent upon the availability of funding. The City's payment obligations are payable only and solely from funds Appropriated and available for this Contract. The absence of Appropriated or other lawfully available funds shall render the Contract null and void to the extent funds are not Appropriated or available and any Deliverables delivered but unpaid shall be returned to the Contractor. The City shall provide the Contractor written notice of the failure of the City to make an adequate Appropriation for any fiscal year to pay the amounts due under the Contract, or the reduction of any Appropriation to an amount insufficient to permit the City to pay its obligations under the Contract. In the event of non or inadequate appropriation of funds, there will be no penalty nor removal fees charged to the City.

#### SECTION 4. TERM AND TERMINATION

4.1 <u>Term of Contract</u>. The Contract shall be in effect for an initial term of 24 months and may be extended thereafter for up to 3 additional 12 month periods, subject to the approval of the Contractor and the City Purchasing Officer or his designee.

4.1.1 Upon expiration of the initial term or period of extension, the Contractor agrees to hold over under the terms and conditions of this Contract for such a period of time as is reasonably necessary to re-solicit and/or complete the project (not to exceed 120 calendar days unless mutually agreed on in writing).

4.2 **<u>Right To Assurance</u>**. Whenever one party to the Contract in good faith has reason to question the other party's intent to perform, demand may be made to the other party for written assurance of the intent to perform. In the event that no assurance is given within the time specified after demand is made, the demanding party may treat this failure as an anticipatory repudiation of the Contract.

4.3 **Default.** The Contractor shall be in default under the Contract if the Contractor (a) fails to fully, timely and faithfully perform any of its material obligations under the Contract, (b) fails to provide adequate assurance of performance under the "Right to Assurance paragraph herein, (c) becomes insolvent or seeks relief under the bankruptcy laws of the United States or (d) makes a material misrepresentation in Contractor's Offer, or in any report or Deliverable required to be submitted by Contractor to the City.

4.4 Termination For Cause. In the event of a default by the Contractor, the City shall have the right to terminate the Contract for cause, by written notice effective ten (10) calendar days, unless otherwise specified, after the date of such notice, unless the Contractor, within such ten (10) day period, cures such default, or provides evidence sufficient to prove to the City's reasonable satisfaction that such default does not, in fact, exist. The City may place Contractor on probation for a specified period of time within which the Contractor must correct any non-compliance issues. Probation shall not normally be for a period of more than nine (9) months, however, it may be for a longer period, not to exceed one (1) year depending on the circumstances. If the City determines the Contractor has failed to perform satisfactorily during the probation period, the City may proceed with suspension. In the event of a default by the Contractor, the City may suspend or debar the Contractor in accordance with the "City of Austin Purchasing Office Probation, Suspension and Debarment Rules for Vendors" and remove the Contractor from the City's vendor list for up to five (5) years and any Offer submitted by the Contractor may be disqualified for up to five (5) years. In addition to any other remedy available under law or in equity, the City shall be entitled to recover all actual damages, costs, losses and expenses, incurred by the City as a result of the Contractor's default, including, without limitation, cost of cover, reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum lawful rate. All rights and remedies under the Contract are cumulative and are not exclusive of any other right or remedy provided by law.

4.5 **Termination Without Cause.** The City shall have the right to terminate the Contract, in whole or in part, without cause any time upon thirty (30) calendar days prior written notice. Upon receipt of a notice of termination, the Contractor shall promptly cease all further work pursuant to the Contract, with such exceptions, if any, specified in the notice of termination. The City shall pay the Contractor, to the extent of funds Appropriated or otherwise legally available for such purposes, for all goods delivered and services performed and obligations incurred prior to the date of termination in accordance with the terms hereof.

4.6 **Fraud.** Fraudulent statements by the Contractor on any Offer or in any report or Deliverable required to be submitted by the Contractor to the City shall be grounds for the termination of the Contract for cause by the City and may result in legal action.

#### SECTION 5. OTHER DELIVERABLES

- 5.1 **Insurance**: The following insurance requirements apply.
  - 5.1.1 General Requirements.
    - 5.1.1.1 The Contractor shall at a minimum carry insurance in the types and amounts indicated herein for the duration of the Contract and during any warranty period.
    - 5.1.1.2 The Contractor shall provide a Certificate of Insurance as verification of coverages required below to the City at the below address prior to Contract execution and within fourteen (14) calendar days after written request from the City.
    - 5.1.1.3 The Contractor must also forward a Certificate of Insurance to the City whenever a previously identified policy period has expired, or an extension option or holdover period is exercised, as verification of continuing coverage.
    - 5.1.1.4 The Contractor shall not commence work until the required insurance is obtained and has been reviewed by City. Approval of insurance by the City shall not relieve or decrease the liability of the Contractor hereunder and shall not be construed to be a limitation of liability on the part of the Contractor.
    - 5.1.1.5 The City may request that the Contractor submit certificates of insurance to the City for all subcontractors prior to the subcontractors commencing work on the project.
    - 5.1.1.6 The Contractor's and all subcontractors' insurance coverage shall be written by companies licensed to do business in the State of Texas at the time the policies are issued and shall be written by companies with A.M. Best ratings of B+VII or better.
    - 5.1.1.7 All endorsements naming the City as additional insured, waivers, and notices of cancellation endorsements as well as the Certificate of Insurance shall be mailed to the following address:

City of Austin Purchasing Office P. O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767

5.1.1.8 The "other" insurance clause shall not apply to the City where the City is an additional insured shown on any policy. It is intended that policies required in

the Contract, covering both the City and the Contractor, shall be considered primary coverage as applicable.

- 5.1.1.9 If insurance policies are not written for amounts specified in Paragraph 5.1.2, Specific Coverage Requirements, the Contractor shall carry Umbrella or Excess Liability Insurance for any differences in amounts specified. If Excess Liability Insurance is provided, it shall follow the form of the primary coverage.
- 5.1.1.10 The City shall be entitled, upon request, at an agreed upon location, and without expense, to review certified copies of policies and endorsements thereto and may make any reasonable requests for deletion or revision or modification of particular policy terms, conditions, limitations, or exclusions except where policy provisions are established by law or regulations binding upon either of the parties hereto or the underwriter on any such policies.
- 5.1.1.11 The City reserves the right to review the insurance requirements set forth during the effective period of the Contract and to make reasonable adjustments to insurance coverage, limits, and exclusions when deemed necessary and prudent by the City based upon changes in statutory law, court decisions, the claims history of the industry or financial condition of the insurance company as well as the Contractor.
- 5.1.1.12 The Contractor shall not cause any insurance to be canceled nor permit any insurance to lapse during the term of the Contract or as required in the Contract.
- 5.1.1.13 The Contractor shall be responsible for premiums, deductibles and selfinsured retentions, if any, stated in policies. All deductibles or self-insured retentions shall be disclosed on the Certificate of Insurance.
- 5.1.1.14 The Contractor shall endeavor to provide the City thirty (30) calendar days written notice of erosion of the aggregate limits below occurrence limits for all applicable coverages indicated within the Contract.
- 5.1.2 **Specific Coverage Requirements.** The Contractor shall at a minimum carry insurance in the types and amounts indicated below for the duration of the Contract, including extension options and hold over periods, and during any warranty period. These insurance coverages are required minimums and are not intended to limit the responsibility or liability of the Contractor.
  - 5.1.2.1 <u>Commercial General Liability Insurance</u>. The minimum bodily injury and property damage per occurrence are \$500,000 for coverages A (Bodily Injury and Property Damage) and B (Personal and Advertising Injuries). The policy shall contain the following provisions and endorsements.
    - 5.1.2.1.1 Contractual liability coverage for liability assumed under the Contract and all other Contracts related to the project.
    - 5.1.2.1.2 Contractor/Subcontracted Work.
    - 5.1.2.1.3 Products/Completed Operations Liability for the duration of the warranty period.
    - 5.1.2.1.4 Waiver of Subrogation, Endorsement CG 2404, or equivalent coverage.
    - 5.1.2.1.5 Thirty (30) calendar days Notice of Cancellation, Endorsement CG 0205, or equivalent coverage.

5.1.2.1.6 The City of Austin listed as an additional insured, Endorsement CG 2010, or equivalent coverage.

5.1.2.2 **Professional Liability Insurance**. The Contractor shall provide coverage, at a minimum limit of \$1,000,000 per claim, to pay on behalf of the assured all sums which the assured shall become legally obligated to pay as damages by reason of any negligent act, error, or omission, or breach of security (including but not limited to any confidential or private information) arising out of the performance of professional services under this Agreement. The required coverage shall extend to technology licensed and/or purchased, including any Software licensed or Hardware purchased under this Contract. If coverage is written on a claims-made basis, the retroactive date shall be prior to or coincident with the date of the Contract and the certificate of insurance shall state that the coverage is claims-made and indicate the retroactive date. This coverage shall be continuous and will be provided for 24 months following the completion of the contract.

5.1.2.3 **Business Automobile Liability Insurance.** The Contractor shall provide coverage for all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles with a minimum combined single limit of \$500,000 per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. Alternate acceptable limits are \$250,000 bodily injury per person, \$500,000 bodily injury per occurrence and at least \$100,000 property damage liability per accident. The policy shall contain the following endorsements:

- 5.1.2.3.1 Waiver of Subrogation, Endorsement CA0444, or equivalent coverage.
- 5.1.2.3.2 Thirty (30) calendar days Notice of Cancellation, Endorsement CA0244, or equivalent coverage.
- 5.1.2.3.3 The City of Austin listed as an additional insured, Endorsement CA2048, or equivalent coverage.

5.1.2.4 **Worker's Compensation and Employers' Liability Insurance**. Coverage shall be consistent with statutory benefits outlined in the Texas Worker's Compensation Act (Section 401). The minimum policy limits for Employer's Liability are \$100,000 bodily injury each accident, \$500,000 bodily injury by disease policy limit and \$100,000 bodily injury by disease each employee. The policy shall contain the following provisions and endorsements:

- 5.1.2.4.1 The Contractor's policy shall apply to the State of Texas.
- 5.1.2.4.2 Waiver of Subrogation, Form WC420304, or equivalent coverage.
- 5.1.2.4.3 Thirty (30) calendar days Notice of Cancellation, Form WC420601, or equivalent coverage.

#### 5.2 Equal Opportunity

5.2.1 **Equal Employment Opportunity:** No Contractor or Contractor's agent shall engage in any discriminatory employment practice as defined in Chapter 5-4 of the City Code. No Bid submitted to the City shall be considered, nor any Purchase Order issued, or any Contract awarded by the City unless the Contractor has executed and filed with the City Purchasing Office a current Non-Discrimination Certification. The Contractor shall sign and return the Non-Discrimination Certification attached hereto as Exhibit B. Non-compliance with Chapter 5-4 of the City Code may result in sanctions, including termination of the contract and the Contractor's suspension or debarment from participation on future City contracts until deemed compliant with Chapter 5-4.

5.2.2 **Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Compliance:** No Contractor, or Contractor's agent shall engage in any discriminatory employment practice against individuals with disabilities as defined in the ADA.

#### 5.3 **Delays.**

5.4.1 The City may delay scheduled delivery or other due dates by written notice to the Contractor if the City deems it is in its best interest. If such delay causes an increase in the cost of the work under the Contract, the City and the Contractor shall negotiate an equitable adjustment for costs incurred by the Contractor in the Contract price and execute an amendment to the Contract. The Contractor must assert its right to an adjustment within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of receipt of the notice of delay. Failure to agree on any adjusted price shall be handled under the Dispute Resolution process specified herein. However, nothing in this provision shall excuse the Contractor from delaying the delivery as notified.

5.3.1 Neither party shall be liable for any default or delay in the performance of its obligations under this Contract if, while and to the extent such default or delay is caused by acts of God, fire, riots, civil commotion, labor disruptions, sabotage, sovereign conduct, or any other cause beyond the reasonable control of such Party. In the event of default or delay in Contract performance due to any of the foregoing causes, then the time for completion of the services will be extended; provided, however, in such an event, a conference will be held within three (3) business days to establish a mutually agreeable period of time reasonably necessary to overcome the effect of such failure to perform.

5.4 **<u>Rights to Proposal and Contractual Material</u>**. All material submitted by the Contractor to the City shall become property of the City upon receipt. Any portions of such material claimed by the Contractor to be proprietary must be clearly marked as such. Determination of the public nature of the material is subject to the Texas Public Information Act, Chapter 552, Texas Government Code.

5.5 **Publications**: All published material and written reports submitted under the Contract must be originally developed material unless otherwise specifically provided in the Contract. When material not originally developed is included in a report in any form, the source shall be identified.

## SECTION 6. WARRANTIES

#### 6.1 Warranty – Price.

6.1.1 The Contractor warrants the prices quoted in the Offer are no higher than the Contractor's current prices on orders by others for like Deliverables under similar terms of purchase.

6.1.2 The Contractor certifies that the prices in the Offer have been arrived at independently without consultation, communication, or agreement for the purpose of restricting competition, as to any matter relating to such fees with any other firm or with any competitor.

6.1.3 In addition to any other remedy available, the City may deduct from any amounts owed to the Contractor, or otherwise recover, any amounts paid for items in excess of the Contractor's current prices on orders by others for like Deliverables under similar terms of purchase.

6.2 <u>Warranty – Services</u>. The Contractor warrants and represents that all services to be provided to the City under the Contract will be fully and timely performed in a good and workmanlike manner in accordance with generally accepted industry standards and practices, the terms, conditions, and covenants of the Contract, and all applicable Federal, State and local laws, rules or regulations.

6.2.1 The Contractor may not limit, exclude or disclaim the foregoing warranty or any warranty implied by law, and any attempt to do so shall be without force or effect.

6.2.2 Unless otherwise specified in the Contract, the warranty period shall be <u>at least</u> one year from the acceptance date. If during the warranty period, one or more of the warranties are breached, the Contractor shall promptly upon receipt of demand perform the services again in accordance with above standard at no additional cost to the City. All costs incidental to such additional performance shall be borne by the Contractor. The City shall endeavor to give the Contractor written notice of the breach of warranty within thirty (30) calendar days of discovery of the breach of warranty, but failure to give timely notice shall not impair the City's rights under this section.

6.2.3 If the Contractor is unable or unwilling to perform its services in accordance with the above standard as required by the City, then in addition to any other available remedy, the City may reduce the amount of services it may be required to purchase under the Contract from the Contractor, and purchase conforming services from other sources. In such event, the Contractor shall pay to the City upon demand the increased cost, if any, incurred by the City to procure such services from another source.

#### 6.3 <u>Warranties by Licensor against Infringements</u>.

6.3.1 The Licensor represents and warrants to the City that:

- (i) the Licensor shall provide the City good and indefeasible license or sublicense to the Deliverables (as appropriate) and
- (ii) the Deliverables supplied by the Licensor in accordance with the specifications in the Contract do not infringe, directly or contributorily, any patent, trademark, copyright, trade secret, or any other intellectual property right of any kind of any third party;
- (iii) that no claims have been made by any person or entity with respect to the ownership or operation of the Deliverables and
- (iv) the Licensor does not know of any valid basis for any such claims.

6.3.2 The Licensor shall, at its sole expense, defend, indemnify, and hold the City harmless from and against all liability, damages, and costs (including court costs and reasonable fees of attorneys and other professionals) arising out of or resulting from:

- (i) any claim that the City's exercise of the rights associated with the City's ownership, or if applicable, license rights, and its use of the Deliverables as set forth in this Contract infringes the intellectual property rights of any third party; or
- (ii) the Licensor's breach of any of Licensor's representations or warranties stated in this Contract. In the event of any such claim, the City shall have the right to monitor such claim at its own expense or at its option engage its own separate counsel to act as co-counsel on the City's behalf.

6.3.3 Further, Licensor agrees that the City's specifications regarding the Deliverables shall in no way diminish Licensor's warranties or obligations under this paragraph and the City makes no warranty that the production, development, or delivery of such Deliverables will not impact such warranties of Licensor. If a claim described above may be or has been asserted, City shall permit Licensor, at Licensor's expense, to provide one of the following remedies in the following prioritized order, all at no additional cost to City:

- (i) procure the right to continue using the Software; or
- (ii) replace or modify the Software to eliminate the infringement while providing substantially equivalent functional performance. If Licensor remedies the claim by providing City replacement Software, City may reject such option, if in its reasonable judgment the replacement Software does not provide equivalent functional performance.

6.3.4 Licensor shall have no indemnity obligation to the City under this Section if the infringement claim results from and would not have occurred but for (i) a modification of the Intellectual Property not provided by Licensor or authorized in writing by an authorized representative of Licensor, (ii) the

failure to use any corrective update or the most recent version of the Intellectual Property, provided at no cost to the City, or (iii) the combination of the Intellectual Property with other non-Licensor products, other than such other Software as is reasonably intended for use with the Intellectual Property and approved in advance by an authorized representative of Licensor.

No Warranty by City Against Infringement. The Contractor represents and warrants to the City 6.4 that: (1) the Contractor shall provide the City good and indefeasible title to the Deliverables and (2) the Deliverable supplied by the Contractor in accordance with the specifications in the Contract will not infringe, directly or contributorily, and patent, trademark, copyright, trade secret or any other intellectual property right of any kind of any third party; that no claims have been made by any person or entity with respect to the ownership or operation of the Deliverables and the Contractor does not know of any valid basis for such claims. The Contractor shall, at its sole expense, defend, indemnify, and hold the City harmless from and against all liability, damages, and costs (including court costs and reasonable fees of attorneys and other professionals) arising out of or resulting from: (1) any claim that the City's exercise anywhere in the world of the rights associated with the City's ownership, and if applicable, license rights. and its use of Deliverables infringes the intellectual property rights of any third party; or (2) the Contractor's breach of any of Contractor's representations or warranties stated in this Contract. In the event of any such claim, the City shall have the right to monitor such claim or at its option engage its own separate counsel to act as co-counsel on the City's behalf. Further, Contractor agrees that the City's specifications regarding the Deliverables shall in no way diminish Contractor's warranties or obligations under this paragraph and the City makes no warranty that the production, development, or delivery of such Deliverables will not impact such warranties of Contractor.

6.5 <u>Warranty - Software and other Non-Service Deliverables</u>. The Licensor warrants and represents that during the Warranty Period all Deliverables purchased, licensed, or sublicensed to the City under the Contract shall be free from material defects in design, workmanship or manufacture, will function substantially in accord with their documentation, and conform in all material respects to the specifications, drawings, and descriptions in the Solicitation, to any samples furnished by the Licensor, to the terms, covenants and conditions of the Contract, and to all applicable State, Federal or local laws, rules, and regulations, and industry codes and standards.

- 6.5.1 The Licensor may not limit, exclude or disclaim the foregoing warranty or any warranty implied by law; and any attempt to do so shall be without force or effect.
- 6.5.2 Unless otherwise specified in the Contract, the warranty period shall be not less than one year from the date of Final Acceptance of the Deliverables or from the date of Final Acceptance of any replacement Deliverables. If during the Warranty Period, one or more of the above warranties in this Section are breached, the Licensor shall promptly upon receipt of demand either use commercially reasonable efforts to correct the non-conforming Deliverables, or replace the non-conforming Deliverables with materially conforming Deliverables, at the City's option and at no additional cost to the City. All costs incidental to such repair or replacement, including but not limited to, any packaging and shipping costs. shall be borne exclusively by the Licensor. The City shall endeavor to give the Licensor written notice of the breach of warranty within thirty (30) days of discovery of the breach of warranty, but failure to give timely notice shall not impair the City's rights under this section. If City elects to allow Licensor to correct the non-conforming Deliverable and notifies Licensor before the expiry of the Warranty Period that the Software does not function substantially as warranted, Licensor shall use commercially reasonable efforts to rectify each such non-conformity within the Warranty Period. If any such non-conformity, which has been reasonably verified or replicated by Licensor, has not been rectified by Licensor within the Warranty Period, the Warranty Period shall be extended until such non-conformity is rectified but in no case more than thirty (30) days from notice date. If during the Warranty Period, a non-conformity remains uncorrected for more than thirty (30) days from the time Licensor is informed of the non-conformity, then the City may (at its sole discretion and as its sole and exclusive monetary remedy): (i) reduce the quantity of Deliverables it may be required to purchase under the Contract from the Licensor, and obtain an immediate refund of money paid by the City for the non-conforming Deliverables; provided, however, that in

addition to (and notwithstanding the foregoing) the City may seek any other monetary remedy available under this Agreement if Licensor fails to use its reasonable best efforts to correct the non-conformity. In addition, and notwithstanding the above, this paragraph shall not apply to any contractual requirements on Licensor to provide either insurance or indemnification and shall not limit the operation of or remedies provided by those sections of the Contract. Licensor warrants that it will perform a standard virus check for known viruses prior to shipping the Software to City. Additionally, Licensor warrants that, to its knowledge, it has not inserted any time bomb or other similar disabling device into the Software. Licensor warrants and represents that it has taken reasonable steps in accordance with its standard procedures to test the Licensed Programs for which a license is granted hereunder for spy ware and malware code and for code that collects and/or distributes information without Licensee's or the actual user's consent (hereafter referred to as "Invasive Code"); that to Licensor's best knowledge the Licensed Programs are free of Invasive Code as of the date of delivery by Licensor, and that Licensor will continue to take such reasonable steps with respect to future enhancements or modifications to the Licensed Programs. Licensee will also take reasonable steps in its other procurements and in the operation of its operating environment to monitor for and detect the presence of Invasive Code from other sources.

6.5.3 If the Licensor is not the manufacturer, and the Deliverables are covered by a separate manufacturer's warranty, the Licensor shall transfer and assign such manufacturer's warranty to the City. If for any reason the manufacturer's warranty cannot be fully transferred to the City, the Licensor shall assist and cooperate with the City to the fullest extent to enforce such manufacturer's warranty for the benefit of the City.

### **SECTION 7. MISCELLANEOUS**

7.1 <u>Significant Event</u>. The Contractor shall immediately notify the City's Contract Manager of any current or prospective "significant event" on an ongoing basis. All notifications shall be submitted in writing to the Contract Manager. As used in this provision, a "significant event" is any occurrence or anticipated occurrence which might reasonably be expected to have a material effect upon the Contractor's ability to meet its contractual obligations. Significant events may include but not be limited to the following:

7.1.1 disposal of major assets;

7.1.2 any major computer software conversion, enhancement or modification to the operating systems, security systems, and application software, used in the performance of this Contract;

7.1.3 any significant termination or addition of provider contracts;

7.1.4 the Contractor's insolvency or the imposition of, or notice of the intent to impose, a receivership, conservatorship or special regulatory monitoring, or any bankruptcy proceedings, voluntary or involuntary, or reorganization proceedings;

7.1.5 strikes, slow-downs or substantial impairment of the Contractor's facilities or of other facilities used by the Contractor in the performance of this Contract;

- 7.1.6 reorganization, reduction and/or relocation in key personnel;
- 7.1.7 known or anticipated sale, merger, or acquisition;
- 7.1.8 known, planned or anticipated stock sales;
- 7.1.9 any litigation against the Contractor; or
- 7.1.10 significant change in market share or product focus.

#### 7.2 Right To Audit.

7.2.1 The Contractor agrees that the representatives of the Office of the City Auditor or other authorized representatives of the City shall have access to, and the right to audit, examine, or reproduce, any and all records of the Contractor related to the performance under this Contract. The Contractor shall retain all such records for a period of three (3) years after final payment on this Contract or until all audit and litigation matters that the City has brought to the attention of the Contractor are resolved, whichever is longer. The Contractor agrees to refund to the City any overpayments disclosed by any such audit.

7.2.2 The Contractor shall include this provision in all subcontractor agreements entered into in connection with this Contract.

7.3 **Stop Work Notice.** The City may issue an immediate Stop Work Notice in the event the Contractor is observed performing in a manner that is in violation of Federal, State, or local guidelines, or in a manner that is determined by the City to be unsafe to either life or property. Upon notification, the Contractor will cease all work until notified by the City that the violation or unsafe condition has been corrected. The Contractor shall be liable for all costs incurred by the City as a result of the issuance of such Stop Work Notice.

#### 7.4 Indemnity:

#### 7.4.1 Definitions:

7.4.1.1 "Indemnified Claims" shall include any and all claims, demands, suits, causes of action, judgments and liability of every character, type or description, including all reasonable costs and expenses of litigation, mediation or other alternate dispute resolution mechanism, including attorney and other professional fees for:

7.4.1.1.1 damage to or loss of the property of any person (including, but not limited to the City, the Contractor, their respective agents, officers, employees and subcontractors; the officers, agents, and employees of such subcontractors; and third parties); and/or;

7.4.1.1.2 death, bodily injury, illness, disease, worker's compensation, loss of services, or loss of income or wages to any person (including but not limited to the agents, officers and employees of the City, the Contractor, the Contractor's subcontractors, and third parties),

7.4.1.2 "Fault" shall include the sale of defective or non-conforming Deliverables, negligence, willful misconduct, or a breach of any legally imposed strict liability standard.

7.4.2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DEFEND (AT THE OPTION OF THE CITY), INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD THE CITY, ITS SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES AND ELECTED OFFICIALS HARMLESS FROM AND AGAINST ALL INDEMNIFIED CLAIMS DIRECTLY ARISING OUT OF, INCIDENT TO, CONCERNING OR RESULTING FROM THE FAULT OF THE CONTRACTOR, OR THE CONTRACTOR'S AGENTS, EMPLOYEES OR SUBCONTRACTORS, IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTRACTOR'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE CONTRACT. NOTHING HEREIN SHALL BE DEEMED TO LIMIT THE RIGHTS OF THE CITY OR THE CONTRACTOR (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE RIGHT TO SEEK CONTRIBUTION) AGAINST ANY THIRD PARTY WHO MAY BE LIABLE FOR AN INDEMNIFIED CLAIM.

7.5 **<u>Claims</u>**. If any claim, demand, suit, or other action is asserted against the Contractor which arises under or concerns the Contract, or which could have a material adverse affect on the Contractor's ability to perform thereunder, the Contractor shall give written notice thereof to the City within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of notice by the Contractor. Such notice to the City shall state the date of notification of any such claim, demand, suit, or other action; the names and addresses of the claimant(s); the basis thereof; and the name of each person against whom such claim is being asserted. Such notice shall be

18609 Service Contracts Rev 0612 part 1.doc

delivered personally or by mail and shall be sent to the City and to the Austin City Attorney. Personal delivery to the City Attorney shall be to City Hall, 301 West 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Austin, Texas 78701, and mail delivery shall be to P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767.

7.6 **Notices.** Unless otherwise specified, all notices, requests, or other communications required or appropriate to be given under the Contract shall be in writing and shall be deemed delivered three (3) business days after postmarked if sent by U.S. Postal Service Certified or Registered Mail, Return Receipt Requested. Notices delivered by other means shall be deemed delivered upon receipt by the addressee. Routine communications may be made by first class mail, telefax, or other commercially accepted means. Notices to the City and the Contractor shall be addressed as follows:

| To the City:                      | To the Contractor:               |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| City of Austin, Purchasing Office | Brazos Technology                |
| ATTN: Contract Administrator      | ATTN: Michael S. McAleer         |
| P O Box 1088                      | 526 University Drive, Suite 201A |
| Austin, TX 78767                  | College Station, TX 77840        |

Confidentiality. In order to provide the Deliverables to the City, Contractor may require access to 7.7 certain of the City's and/or its licensors' confidential information (including inventions, employee information, trade secrets, confidential know-how, confidential business information, and other information which the City or its licensors consider confidential) (collectively, "Confidential Information"). Contractor acknowledges and agrees that the Confidential Information is the valuable property of the City and/or its licensors and any unauthorized use, disclosure, dissemination, or other release of the Confidential Information will substantially injure the City and/or its licensors. The Contractor (including its employees, subcontractors, agents, or representatives) agrees that it will maintain the Confidential Information in strict confidence and shall not disclose, disseminate, copy, divulge, recreate, or otherwise use the Confidential Information without the prior written consent of the City or in a manner not expressly permitted under this Contract, unless the Confidential Information is required to be disclosed by law or an order of any court or other governmental authority with proper jurisdiction, provided the Contractor promptly notifies the City before disclosing such information so as to permit the City reasonable time to seek an appropriate protective order. The Contractor agrees to use protective measures no less stringent than the Contractor uses within its own business to protect its own most valuable information, which protective measures shall under all circumstances be at least reasonable measures to ensure the continued confidentiality of the Confidential Information.

7.8 **<u>Advertising</u>**. The Contractor shall not advertise or publish, without the City's prior consent, the fact that the City has entered into the Contract, except to the extent required by law.

7.9 **No Contingent Fees.** The Contractor warrants that no person or selling agency has been employed or retained to solicit or secure the Contract upon any agreement or understanding for commission, percentage, brokerage, or contingent fee, excepting bona fide employees of bona fide established commercial or selling agencies maintained by the Contractor for the purpose of securing business. For breach or violation of this warranty, the City shall have the right, in addition to any other remedy available, to cancel the Contract without liability and to deduct from any amounts owed to the Contractor, or otherwise recover, the full amount of such commission, percentage, brokerage or contingent fee.

7.10 **Gratuities.** The City may, by written notice to the Contractor, cancel the Contract without liability if it is determined by the City that gratuities were offered or given by the Contractor or any agent or representative of the Contractor to any officer or employee of the City with a view toward securing the Contract or securing favorable treatment with respect to the awarding or amending or the making of any determinations with respect to the performing of such contract. In the event the Contract is canceled by the City pursuant to this provision, the City shall be entitled, in addition to any other rights and remedies, to recover or withhold the amount of the cost incurred by the Contractor in providing such gratuities.

7.11 **Prohibition Against Personal Interest in Contracts.** No officer, employee, independent consultant, or elected official of the City who is involved in the development, evaluation, or decision-making process of the performance of any solicitation shall have a financial interest, direct or indirect, in the Contract resulting from that solicitation. Any willful violation of this section shall constitute impropriety in office, and any officer or employee guilty thereof shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal. Any violation of this provision, with the knowledge, expressed or implied, of the Contractor shall render the Contract voidable by the City.

7.12 **Independent Contractor.** The Contract shall not be construed as creating an employer/employee relationship, a partnership, or a joint venture. The Contractor's services shall be those of an independent contractor. The Contractor agrees and understands that the Contract does not grant any rights or privileges established for employees of the City.

7.13 <u>Assignment-Delegation</u>. The Contract shall be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the City and the Contractor and their respective successors and assigns, provided however, that no right or interest in the Contract shall be assigned and no obligation shall be delegated by the Contractor without the prior written consent of the City. Any attempted assignment or delegation by the Contractor shall be void unless made in conformity with this paragraph. The Contract is not intended to confer rights or benefits on any person, firm or entity not a party hereto; it being the intention of the parties that there be no third party beneficiaries to the Contract.

7.14 <u>Waiver</u>. No claim or right arising out of a breach of the Contract can be discharged in whole or in part by a waiver or renunciation of the claim or right unless the waiver or renunciation is supported by consideration and is in writing signed by the aggrieved party. No waiver by either the Contractor or the City of any one or more events of default by the other party shall operate as, or be construed to be, a permanent waiver of any rights or obligations under the Contract, or an express or implied acceptance of any other existing or future default or defaults, whether of a similar or different character.

7.15 <u>Modifications</u>. The Contract can be modified or amended only in writing signed by both parties. No pre-printed or similar terms on any Contractor invoice, order or other document shall have any force or effect to change the terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract.

7.16 <u>Interpretation</u>. The Contract is intended by the parties as a final, complete and exclusive statement of the terms of their agreement. No course of prior dealing between the parties or course of performance or usage of the trade shall be relevant to supplement or explain any term used in the Contract. Although the Contract may have been substantially drafted by one party, it is the intent of the parties that all provisions be construed in a manner to be fair to both parties, reading no provisions more strictly against one party or the other. Whenever a term defined by the Uniform Commercial Code, as enacted by the State of Texas, is used in the Contract, the UCC definition shall control, unless otherwise defined in the Contract.

#### 7.17 Dispute Resolution.

7.17.1 If a dispute arises out of or relates to the Contract, or the breach thereof, the parties agree to negotiate prior to prosecuting a suit for damages. However, this section does not prohibit the filing of a lawsuit to toll the running of a statute of limitations or to seek injunctive relief. Either party may make a written request for a meeting between representatives of each party within fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt of the request or such later period as agreed by the parties. Each party shall include, at a minimum, one (1) senior level individual with decision-making authority regarding the dispute. The purpose of this and any subsequent meeting is to attempt in good faith to negotiate a resolution of the dispute. If, within thirty (30) calendar days after such meeting, the parties have not succeeded in negotiating a resolution of the dispute, they will proceed directly to mediation as described below. Negotiation may be waived by a written agreement signed by both parties, in which event the parties may proceed directly to mediation as described below.

7.17.2 If the efforts to resolve the dispute through negotiation fail, or the parties waive the negotiation process, the parties may select, within thirty (30) calendar days, a mediator trained in

18609 Service Contracts Rev 0612 part 1.doc

mediation skills to assist with resolution of the dispute. Should they choose this option, the City and the Contractor agree to act in good faith in the selection of the mediator and to give consideration to qualified individuals nominated to act as mediator. Nothing in the Contract prevents the parties from relying on the skills of a person who is trained in the subject matter of the dispute or a contract interpretation expert. If the parties fail to agree on a mediator within thirty (30) calendar days of initiation of the mediation process, the mediator shall be selected by the Travis County Dispute Resolution Center (DRC). The parties agree to participate in mediation in good faith for up to thirty (30) calendar days from the date of the first mediation session. The City and the Contractor will share the mediator's fees equally and the parties will bear their own costs of participation such as fees for any consultants or attorneys they may utilize to represent them or otherwise assist them in the mediation.

#### 7.18 Subcontractors.

7.18.1 If the Contractor identified Subcontractors in an MBE/WBE Program Compliance Plan or a No Goals Utilization Plan, the Contractor shall comply with the provisions of Chapters 2-9A, 2-9B, 2-9C, and 2-9D, as applicable, of the Austin City Code and the terms of the Compliance Plan or Utilization Plan as approved by the City (the "Plan"). The Contractor shall not initially employ any Subcontractor except as provided in the Contractor's Plan. The Contractor shall not substitute any Subcontractor identified in the Plan, unless the substitute has been accepted by the City in writing in accordance with the provisions of Chapters 2-9A, 2-9B, 2-9C and 2-9D, as applicable. No acceptance by the City of any Subcontractor shall constitute a waiver of any rights or remedies of the City with respect to defective Deliverables provided by a Subcontractor. If a Plan has been approved, the Contractor is additionally required to submit a monthly Subcontract Awards and Expenditures Report to the Contract Manager and the Purchasing Office Contract Compliance Manager no later than the tenth calendar day of each month.

7.18.2 Work performed for the Contractor by a Subcontractor shall be pursuant to a written contract between the Contractor and Subcontractor. The terms of the subcontract may not conflict with the terms of the Contract, and shall contain provisions that:

7.18.2.1 require that all Deliverables to be provided by the Subcontractor be provided in strict accordance with the provisions, specifications and terms of the Contract.

7.18.2.2 prohibit the Subcontractor from further subcontracting any portion of the Contract without the prior written consent of the City and the Contractor. The City may require, as a condition to such further subcontracting, that the Subcontractor post a payment bond in form, substance and amount acceptable to the City;

7.18.2.3 require Subcontractors to submit all invoices and applications for payments, including any claims for additional payments, damages or otherwise, to the Contractor in sufficient time to enable the Contractor to include same with its invoice or application for payment to the City in accordance with the terms of the Contract;

7.18.2.4 require that all Subcontractors obtain and maintain, throughout the term of their contract, insurance in the type and amounts specified for the Contractor, with the City being a named insured as its interest shall appear; and

7.18.2.5 require that the Subcontractor indemnify and hold the City harmless to the same extent as the Contractor is required to indemnify the City.

7.18.3 The Contractor shall be fully responsible to the City for all acts and omissions of the Subcontractors just as the Contractor is responsible for the Contractor's own acts and omissions. Nothing in the Contract shall create for the benefit of any such Subcontractor any contractual relationship between the City and any such Subcontractor, nor shall it create any obligation on the part of the City to pay or to see to the payment of any moneys due any such Subcontractor except as may otherwise be required by law.

7.18.4 The Contractor shall pay each Subcontractor its appropriate share of payments made to the Contractor not later than ten (10) calendar days after receipt of payment from the City.

7.19 **Jurisdiction And Venue.** The Contract is made under and shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas, including, when applicable, the Uniform Commercial Code as adopted in Texas, V.T.C.A., Bus. & Comm. Code, Chapter 1, excluding any rule or principle that would refer to and apply the substantive law of another state or jurisdiction. All issues arising from this Contract shall be resolved in the courts of Travis County, Texas and the parties agree to submit to the exclusive personal jurisdiction of such courts. The foregoing, however, shall not be construed or interpreted to limit or restrict the right or ability of the City to seek and secure injunctive relief from any competent authority as contemplated herein.

7.20 **Invalidity.** The invalidity, illegality, or unenforceability of any provision of the Contract shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of any other portion or provision of the Contract. Any void provision shall be deemed severed from the Contract and the balance of the Contract shall be construed and enforced as if the Contract did not contain the particular portion or provision held to be void. The parties further agree to reform the Contract to replace any stricken provision with a valid provision that comes as close as possible to the intent of the stricken provision. The provisions of this section shall not prevent this entire Contract from being void should a provision which is the essence of the Contract be determined to be void.

| Holiday                            | Date Observed               |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| New Year's Day                     | January 1                   |
| Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Birthday | Third Monday in January     |
| President's Day                    | Third Monday in February    |
| Memorial Day                       | Last Monday in May          |
| Independence Day                   | July 4                      |
| Labor Day                          | First Monday in September   |
| Veteran's Day                      | November 11                 |
| Thanksgiving Day                   | Fourth Thursday in November |
| Friday after Thanksgiving          | Friday after Thanksgiving   |
| Christmas Eve                      | December 24                 |
| Christmas Day                      | December 25                 |

7.21 **Holidays**: The following holidays are observed by the City:

If a Legal Holiday falls on Saturday, it will be observed on the preceding Friday. If a Legal Holiday falls on Sunday, it will be observed on the following Monday.

7.22 <u>Survivability of Obligations</u>. All provisions of the Contract that impose continuing obligations on the parties, including but not limited to the warranty, indemnity, and confidentiality obligations of the parties, shall survive the expiration or termination of the Contract.

7.23 **Non-Suspension or Debarment Certification.** The City of Austin is prohibited from contracting with or making prime or sub-awards to parties that are suspended or debarred or whose principals are suspended or debarred from Federal, State, or City of Austin Contracts. By accepting a Contract with the City, the Vendor certifies that its firm and its principals are not currently suspended or debarred from doing business with the Federal Government, as indicated by the General Services Administration List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-Procurement Programs, the State of Texas, or the City of Austin.

7.24 <u>Incorporation of Documents</u>. Section 0100, Standard Purchase Definitions, is hereby incorporated into this Contract by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were incorporated in full text. The full text versions of this Section are available, on the Internet at the following online address: <a href="http://www.austintexas.gov/sites/default/files/files/Finance/Purchasing/standard-purchase-definitions.pdf">http://www.austintexas.gov/sites/default/files/files/Finance/Purchasing/standard-purchase-definitions.pdf</a>.

7.25 **Order of Precedence.** The Contract includes, without limitation, the Solicitation, the Offer submitted in response to the Solicitation, the Contract award, the Standard Purchase Terms and Conditions, Supplemental Terms and Conditions if any, Specifications, and any addenda and amendments thereto. Any inconsistency or conflict in the Contract documents shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order.

7.25.1 any exceptions to the Offer accepted in writing by the City;

- 7.25.2 the Supplemental Purchase Terms and Conditions;
- 7.25.3 the Standard Purchase Terms and Conditions;

7.25.4 the Offer and exhibits; within the Offer, drawings (figured dimensions shall govern over scaled dimensions) will take precedence over specifications or scope of work.

In witness whereof, the parties have caused duly authorized representatives to execute this Contract on the dates set forth below.

Brazos Technology

By:\_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature White MCI

Name: <u>Michael 5. McAl-een</u> Printed Name

Title: President

Date: 8-19-2014

CITY OF AUSTIN By:\_\_\_\_\_ Signature Name: Printed Name Title: à Date:

18609 Service Contracts Rev 0612 part 1.doc

## List of Exhibits

- Exhibit A Pricing Agreement and Statement of Work
- Exhibit B Software License and Maintenance Agreements
- Exhibit C Non Discrimination Certification

Exhibit A Pricing Agreement and Statement of Work Electronic Citations Phase II

Scope of Work

## Table of Contents

# Table of Contents

| Purpose  |    |
|--|----|
| Purpose<br>Summary                                   | 4  |
| Scope  | 5  |
| Task 1 – Project Management                          | 5  |
| Task 2 – Business Analysis                           | 6  |
| Task 3 – Product Implementation<br>Task 4 – Training | 7  |
| Task 4 – Training                                    | 8  |
| Task 5 – Project Closure                             | 8  |
| Phase II Payment Milestones                          |    |
| Phase II   | 9  |
| Milestone 1 – Deployment                             | 9  |
| Milestone 2 – Deployment                             | 9  |
| Milestone 4 – Deployment                             |    |
| Milestone 5 – Deployment                             |    |
| Functional Requirements                              |    |
| All Phase Requirements<br>Maintenance                | 14 |
| Maintenance  |    |
| Phase II Cost Spreadsheet                            |    |

## **Scope of Work**

## Purpose

The Austin Police Department is seeking to continue the roll out Phase II and future phases of the e-Citations and e-Crash applications. The initial phase of this project successfully deployed 209 systems. Phase II was to be requested upon the successful implementation of Phase I.

This project will complete Phase II and future phases of the e-Citation and e-Crash project with the purchase of e-Citation and e-Crash devices, software, hosting and warranty services, maintenance, parts and accessories. The new contract will outfit the additions to APD's vehicle fleet as well as to equip the complement (561 vehicles) of APD's existing fleet. All patrol vehicles will be equipped with e-Citation handheld ticket writers, printers, and other related necessities.

The project will also provide like equipment to the Austin Fire Department, Austin Transportation Department and other departments, based on the need.

The electronic citation system replaces the manual process of citation issuance and citation data entry still used by a majority of APD patrol officers. Most citations are written out by hand by the police officer and the Municipal Court uses the written ticket to manually input the citation data into the court's judicial enforcement management system (JEMS).

The e-Citation solution has proven its worth in improved officer safety, time saved in traffic stops, increased accuracy, reduced costs in ticket processing, and overall improved service to citizens. Electronic citations increase safety by allowing for quicker citation issuance, thereby lessening the time that an officer and citizen are parked on the side of a roadway. Citations and crash forms are more accurate as the system automatically populates fields by scanning bar codes and magnetic information strips from identification cards and vehicle registration stickers. The electronic citation system also provides for near-real time data gathering and forwarding to APD's electronic data warehouse, which facilitates the effective and efficient deployment of traffic officers on a daily basis. The citations are uploaded and transferred to the JEMS electronically for use in fine collection and legal proceedings. The system also provides statistical and state required reporting.

The scope of work for Phase II for the City of Austin Police Department and Municipal Court Electronic Citation system includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Department specific custom interfaces
- Provision of hand-held citation devices
- Provision of portable printers
- Provision of applicable peripherals
- Provision of magnetic stripe and barcode readers
- System installation and setup
- Implementation support services
- Training (Administrator and end user training and materials)
- Product documentation
- System testing, fixes and configuration
- Maintenance and support
- Project management
- E-Z Street Draw diagramming software
- CarFax Interface
- ARIC Interface
- Provision of Third Party Software (if applicable)
- Provision of drawings that show the entire flow of data throughout the system..
- Detailed design of the Electronic Citation system for Phase II
- Deployment plan for Phase II and future phases
- Substation readiness (i.e. electrical, wiring, networking and other needs)
- TxDOT Submission Services
- Enhanced Business Intelligence Turn-key reporting functionality with ad-hoc and canned reporting tool for Citations and Crash data.
- Citations and Crash data access via MicroStrategy
- Thermal paper for citation issuance and crash information
- Most up to date e-Citation/e-Crash equipment recommended by Vendor
- Any software needed for future enhancements
- Direct interface with the Municipal Courts Case Management system without the need for an interim server

#### Summary

This Contract covers the City's purchase of the Brazos Technologies Hosted Citation System. The implementation of the Phase II Electronic Citation system would have the following benefits:

- Continued Officer Safety
- Continued Citation Accuracy Eliminates the typical errors associated with handwritten citations
- Continued Efficiency Data from the citation form can be electronically transferred to the necessary back-end system(s) without manual intervention
- Continued Safety Enables officers to clear traffic stops much faster, which significantly increases officer safety and minimizes trips from violator's vehicle to patrol unit.

#### Scope Task 1 – Project Management

The purpose of this phase is to initiate, organize, and define the overall scope and approach of the project. The following deliverables/activities will be created or performed during this phase of the project:

| Deliverable   | Purpose/Description  | Acceptance Criteria  | Key<br>Activities/Responsibility   |
|---|--|--|--|
| Project Plan  | The Project Plan expresses<br>the understanding of the<br>project in writing and how to<br>accomplish the project<br>objectives.   | This deliverable is completed<br>when the Project Plan defines<br>the goals of the project, critical<br>success factors, key<br>deliverables, resource roles,<br>and project management<br>procedures. | Create and Maintain: City<br>with input from Brazos.<br>Review and Approve: City   |
| Project Schedule                                      | The Project Schedule will<br>detail Work Breakdown<br>Structure and its tasks,<br>timeline and resources<br>needed for the lifespan of<br>the project.   | MS Project will be used as the scheduling tool.  | Create and Maintain: Brazos<br>Technologies with<br>acceptance by City.  |
| Project Trip Reports                                  | The Trip Reports define the<br>on-site objectives and<br>include a daily site visit<br>schedule that identifies<br>tasks, resources required,<br>etc.  | This deliverable is completed<br>for each scheduled on-site by<br>BRAZOS.  | Create and Maintain:<br>BRAZOS with acceptance by<br>City.   |
| Action / Issue / Task<br>List                         | Issue/Task Log will detail<br>the tasks / issues required<br>to meet the goals and<br>objectives in the Project<br>plan.   | This deliverable is an on-going document and will be used to manage all items listed until resolved.   | Create and Maintain:<br>BRAZOS and City.<br>Review and approve:<br>BRAZOS and City.  |
| Project Risk, Change<br>Management, and<br>Acceptance | The project Risk, Change<br>Management, and<br>Acceptance lists document<br>items related to these<br>specific areas of project<br>management.   | Known changes, risks, and<br>issues are recorded and<br>updated to reflect current<br>status of the project.   | Create and Maintain: City<br>Project Manager.<br>Input: City and BRAZOS.   |
| Requirements<br>Specification<br>Documents            | Provides the ground work<br>for BRAZOS development<br>needs and implementation<br>instructions of designed<br>solution.  | Used for design acceptance<br>and agreement to implement<br>as specified.  | Create and Maintain:<br>BRAZOS Design Engineer<br>Input: City and BRAZOS.<br>Sign-off: Austin Sponsor,<br>Agenda Manager, and City<br>Clerk. |
| Project Status  | The weekly project status<br>reports provide current<br>information as to the status<br>of in process tasks, future<br>tasks, new issues, new<br>change requests, and the<br>overall state of the project. | Project sponsors and project<br>team members are informed of<br>the status of the project on a<br>weekly basis.  | Create and Maintain: City<br>Project Manager with input<br>from BRAZOS and the<br>Project Team.  |

## Task 2 – Business Analysis

This phase will see BRAZOS working with all product end user and project stakeholders to gather, document, and verify business processes and requirements. The following deliverables/activities will be created or performed during this phase of the project:

| Deliverable   | Purpose/Description   | Acceptance Criteria  | Key<br>Activities/Responsibility  |
|---|---|--|---|
| Business Process<br>Analysis                                  | Analysis of City and<br>business processes,<br>meeting types, input and<br>output requirements related<br>to implementation of<br>BRAZOS application. | Findings from analysis are<br>documented in a requirement<br>specification.<br>Analysis documentation is<br>reviewed and approved<br>through signature for<br>implementation to start. | Analysis: BRAZOS and City.<br>Document findings –<br>BRAZOS.<br>Review and approve<br>documentation: City.<br>Formal sign-off required of<br>design specification to start<br>implementation. |
| End-to-End Business<br>Process Flow of the<br>proposed system | Pictorial representation of the entire data flow of the proposed system.  | Business process flow<br>diagram (created in Visio) will<br>be reviewed and approved<br>prior to implementation by the<br>City.  | Create: BRAZOS<br>Accept: City and City Project<br>Team   |
| Network Design<br>Diagram                                     | Pictorial representation of the System  | Network Design (created in<br>Visio) will be reviewed and<br>approved prior to<br>implementation by the City   | Create: BRAZOS<br>Accept: City and City Project<br>Team   |

## Task 3 – Product Implementation

This phase entails the bulk of the project and encompasses the preparation, implementation, and acceptance of the System. The following deliverables/activities will be created or performed during this phase of the project:

| Deliverable                     | Purpose/Description  | Acceptance Criteria   | Key<br>Activities/Responsibility   |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Install BRAZOS                  | BRAZOS is installed in the<br>City Production<br>environment.  | Application can be brought up<br>without error.<br>The System installation is<br>documented and understood<br>by City resources responsible<br>for implementation and<br>ongoing support. | Install – BRAZOS with<br>assistance from City<br>Resources.  |
| System Configuration            | BRAZOS application to be<br>configured to accommodate<br>City  | Configuration / designs /<br>functionality are reviewed and<br>approved by City.  | Configure System: BRAZOS<br>and City.<br>Review and approve: City  |
| Acceptance Testing              | Testing and validating<br>system functionality. Test<br>scripts will include expected<br>results as to functionality<br>and business objectives<br>met.<br>Test case scenarios are<br>conducted, results are<br>documented and compared<br>to expected results,<br>identified problems are<br>resolved and retesting<br>occurs as necessary. | Testing of BRAZOS solution<br>System Testing is reviewed<br>for completeness.<br>Test results are reviewed and<br>approved.<br>Problem resolutions are<br>verified and approved.          | Create test plan: BRAZOS<br>with assistance from City<br>Acceptance Testing<br>Problem Resolution:<br>BRAZOS<br>Verification: City and<br>BRAZOS |
| Implementation to<br>Production | BRAZOS application is migrated to the hosted production environment.   | Production change<br>notification sent.<br>BRAZOS application brought<br>up successfully in production<br>environment.  | Implement: BRAZOS with assistance from City.   |

#### Task 4 – Training

This phase will see BRAZOS deliver training and training materials in order to ensure all users understand how to use the System and technical team can best support the System, as well as provide the knowledge and tools necessary for the City to train any new users. The following deliverables/activities will be created or performed during this phase of the project:

| Deliverable | Purpose/Description  | Acceptance Criteria   | Key<br>Activities/Responsibility   |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| Training    | Coordinate training as<br>required in some cases<br>using train the trainer<br>sessions. | Training has been held for all<br>work areas affected by the<br>new System. ; Court<br>Personnel: 15 students 1 day;<br>Admin training: 15 students 1<br>day; Other departmental<br>personnel as needed: 15<br>students 1 day | BRAZOS will provide with<br>assistance from City.<br>Train the trainer approach. |

#### Task 5 – Project Closure

This activity brings the project to completion. Documentation necessary to support the System is completed, the project solution is turned over to City production support, and the project is closed out. A meeting will be coordinated with BRAZOS College Station office to formally hand off from BRAZOS Project Team to BRAZOS long term support group. The following deliverables/activities will be created or performed during this phase of the project:

| Deliverable   | Purpose/Description   | Acceptance Criteria   | Key<br>Activities/Responsibility  |
|---|---|---|---|
| Application Profile<br>and Related Support<br>Documentation | Provides basic information<br>describing the application,<br>user community,<br>architecture, etc., for support<br>purposes, to include disaster<br>recovery information. | The fundamental information<br>for supporting the application<br>is available and primary and<br>secondary support staff is<br>identified.              | Create and Review: City and<br>Project Staff.<br>Approval: City project<br>manager.   |
| Post Project Review   | Evaluation of the success of the project and lessons learned.   | Findings are reviewed by the<br>project team. All agree that<br>the artifact accurately reflects<br>the project successes and<br>areas for improvement. | Post Project Review: City<br>Project Manager and Project<br>Team.<br>Post Project Audit:<br>Communications and<br>Technology Management<br>Project Management Office. |
| Project Hand-Off to<br>BRAZOS SLC<br>Support                | A meeting to be coordinated<br>with BRAZOS Salt Lake<br>Support office to formally<br>hand off from BRAZOS<br>Project Team to BRAZOS<br>long term support group.          | Completion of call with<br>BRAZOS Support Operations<br>Director and City long term<br>staff support.   | Support Hand-Off: City<br>Project Manager and City<br>Long Term Support.<br>BRAZOS Project Manager<br>and Director of Operations.                                     |

# Phase II Payment Milestones

A logical implementation plan will be designed to install and configure the BRAZOS suite of products specified in the scope of work as each component builds on the other. The final project plan with deliverable dates and payment milestones will be delivered to and approved by the City as a deliverable of Milestone 1. The following are the recommended milestones with accompanying costs and completion criteria:

### Phase II

### Milestone 1 – Deployment

- 1) Procurement of Handhelds and peripherals
- 2) Procurement of Printers and peripherals
- 3) Installation of Software in Test Environment
- 4) Installation of Hardware
- 5) Implementation of Hosted Solution
- 6) Administrative Training Classes and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 7) Delivery of all Manuals and Documentation Associated with Milestone 1
- 8) Technical Manuals and Documentation
- 9) All Milestone 1 Associated Acceptance Testing
- 10) All Milestone 1 Associated Training and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 11) Maintenance costs
- 12) Enhanced Business Intelligence Turn-key reporting functionality with ad-hoc and canned reporting tool for Citations and Crash data.

#### Milestone 1 Payment not to exceed: \$ 1,593,623

Milestone 1 Completion Criteria: Milestone 1 shall be considered complete when all of the actions listed above (1 through 11) have been successfully completed. The Contractor will submit documentation of the task completion to the City, and the City will provide written acceptance thereof, which acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. Milestone 1 is contingent upon funding availability.

#### Milestone 2 – Deployment

- 1) Procurement of Handhelds and peripherals
- 2) Procurement of Printers and peripherals
- 3) Installation of Software in Test Environment
- 4) Installation of Hardware
- 5) Implementation of Hosted Solution
- 6) Implementation of applicable interfaces
- 7) Administrative Training Classes and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 8) Delivery of all Manuals and Documentation Associated with Milestone 2
- 9) Technical Manuals and Documentation
- 10) All Milestone 2 Associated Acceptance Testing
- 11) All Milestone 2 Associated Training and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 12) Maintenance costs

#### Milestone 2 Payment not to exceed: \$ 767,000

Milestone 2 Completion Criteria: Milestone 2 shall be considered complete when all of the actions listed above (1 through 11) have been successfully completed. The Contractor will submit documentation of the task completion to the City, and the City will provide written acceptance thereof, which acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. Milestone 2 is contingent upon funding availability.

#### Milestone 3 – Deployment

- 1) Procurement of Handhelds and peripherals
- 2) Procurement of Printers and peripherals
- 3) Installation of Software in Test Environment
- 4) Installation of Hardware
- 5) Implementation of Hosted Solution
- 6) Administrative Training Classes and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 7) Delivery of all Manuals and Documentation Associated with Milestone 3
- 8) Technical Manuals and Documentation
- 9) All Milestone 3 Associated Acceptance Testing
- 10) All Milestone 3 Associated Training and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 11) Maintenance costs

#### Milestone 3 Payment not to exceed: \$ 767,000

Milestone 3 Completion Criteria: Milestone 3 shall be considered complete when all of the actions listed above (1 through 11) have been successfully completed. The Contractor will submit documentation of the task completion to the City, and the City will provide written acceptance thereof, which acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed Milestone 3 is contingent upon funding availability.

### Milestone 4 – Deployment

- 1) Procurement of Handhelds and peripherals
- 2) Procurement of Printers and peripherals
- 3) Installation of Software in Test Environment
- 4) Installation of Hardware
- 5) Implementation of Hosted Solution
- 6) Administrative Training Classes and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 7) Delivery of all Manuals and Documentation Associated with Milestone 4
- 8) Technical Manuals and Documentation
- 9) All Milestone 4 Associated Acceptance Testing
- 10) All Milestone 4 Associated Training and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 11) Maintenance costs

#### Milestone 4 Payment not to exceed: \$ 767,000

Milestone 4 Completion Criteria: Milestone 4 shall be considered complete when all of the actions listed above (1 through 11) have been successfully completed. The Contractor will submit documentation of the task completion to the City, and the City will provide written acceptance thereof, which acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed Milestone 4 is contingent upon funding availability.

## Milestone 5 – Deployment

- 1) Procurement of Handhelds and peripherals
- 2) Procurement of Printers and peripherals
- 3) Installation of Software in Test Environment
- 4) Installation of Hardware
- 5) Implementation of Hosted Solution
- 6) Administrative Training Classes and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 7) Delivery of all Manuals and Documentation Associated with Milestone 5
- 8) Technical Manuals and Documentation
- 9) All Milestone 5 Associated Acceptance Testing
- 10) All Milestone 5 Associated Training and Delivery of Accompanying Training Manuals
- 11) Maintenance costs

# Milestone 5 Payment not to exceed: \$ 767,000

# Appendix A

**Functional Requirements** The System shall meet or exceed all of the following Functional Requirements.

| Req Nmbr      | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response  | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| Phase II-F001 | Must Have | The solution shall have the ability to be agency specific.  | Solution will be agency specific   | The DACC will be able to<br>specify their own<br>requirements, officers and<br>offenses.  |
| Phase II-F002 | Must Have | The Brazos Software shall add the task<br>of DACC Citation to the drop down list  | DACC can be<br>made a separate<br>task.  | DACC can be made a<br>separate task, though it may<br>be to the city's benefit to<br>allow the DACC users to<br>utilize the same task as<br>APD, but restrict the court<br>they can write to and the<br>offenses presented. |
| Phase II-F003 | Must Have | The citation number shall include no alpha characters   | Citation numbers<br>will contain no<br>alpha characters                            | Brazos Technology will set<br>the citation numbering<br>scheme to meet DACC<br>requirements.  |
| Phase II-F004 | Must Have | The Citation number shall be no less<br>than 8 characters long  | Citation number<br>length will be at<br>least 8 digits                             | Citation number length can<br>be customized to meet<br>DACC requirements.   |
| Phase II-F005 | Must Have | The DACC Citation shall include, but<br>not be limited to, the following fields:<br>o Race<br>o Sex<br>o Date of Birth<br>o Last Name, First Name<br>o Street Address<br>o City<br>o State<br>o Zip Code<br>o Violation Date<br>o Violation time<br>o Violation Location<br>o Violation A | All fields specified<br>can be captured.   | All of the fields specified are<br>captured for APD and can<br>be captured for the DACC.<br>What is the Violation A?  |
| Phase II-F006 | Must Have | The citation shall accommodate fields for more than one charge  | Multiple charges<br>can be written to<br>one citation.                             | Brazos Technology can<br>write multiple violations to<br>one citation as is done for<br>APD.  |
| Phase II-F007 | Must Have | The solution shall have a standardized<br>method for an officer to easily identify<br>the violator as not having a home<br>address Homeless.  | Brazos will create<br>a method to<br>designate a<br>violator as being<br>homeless. | Brazos will add a<br>"Homeless" checkbox for<br>the officer to designate a<br>violator as homeless.   |

| Req Nmbr      | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response   | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|---------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Phase II-F008 | Must Have | The solution shall send the word<br>Homeless in the Street Address field<br>when the Officer has identified the<br>violator as not having a home address | Brazos will send<br>"Homeless" in the<br>street address field<br>for a violator<br>designated as<br>homeless. | Brazos will cause the<br>Homeless checkbox to fill<br>the address information as<br>requested for the DACC.<br>We will need to consider<br>how any downstream<br>systems accommodate<br>violator address<br>information. |
| Phase II-F009 | Info Only | The label " <u>Court Appearance Time</u> " on<br>the DACC Citation shall remain the<br>same as on the current DACC citation                              | Brazos will keep<br>the "Court<br>Appearnace<br>Time" label.  | Brazos will label the "Court<br>Appearance Time" as<br>requested by the DACC.  |
| Phase II-F010 | Must Have | The Court Appearance Time shall always reflect 1:30om  | Brazos will set the<br>Court Appearance<br>time to 1:30 for all<br>DACC citations.                            | Brazos will set the Court<br>Appearance time to 1:30 for<br>all DACC citations.  |
| Phase II-F011 | Info Only | The label " <u>Court Location"</u> shall<br>remain the same as on the current<br>DACC citation   | Brazos will keep<br>the "Court<br>Location" label.  | Brazos will keep the "Court<br>Location" label.  |
| Phase II-F012 | Must Have | The Court Location shall display the location of the DACC (address will be provided.   | Brazos will<br>display the DACC<br>address next to the<br>Court Location<br>label.                            | Brazos will display the<br>DACC address next to the<br>Court Location label.   |
| Phase II-F013 | Must Have | The Court Appearance Date field shall<br>populate automatically with a date that<br>is (+) 3 business days from the<br>Violation Date                    | Brazos will<br>calculate the<br>Court Appearance<br>Date as requested<br>by the DACC.                         | Brazos can calculate a court<br>date for the DACC<br>automatically. The DACC<br>must define the days that<br>are not business days to<br>account for all Federal,<br>State, Municipal and Court<br>holidays.             |
| Phase II-F014 | Expected  | The Court Appearance Date shall print<br>on both the Court copy and the<br>defendant copy.   | Brazos will print<br>the Court<br>Appearance Date<br>on both the court<br>and violator<br>copies.             | Brazos can print the Court<br>Appearance Date on any<br>and all documents needed.  |
| Phase II-F015 | Info Only | The DACC Violations are only Class C Misdemeanors.   |   |  |
| Phase II-F016 | Info Only | The DACC Citations will never be a Traffic Citation  |   |  |
| Phase II-F017 | Must Have | The Citation shall have the letters<br>'DACC' as a watermark for citations.  | Brazos can print a<br>watermark on the<br>court copy of the<br>citation.                                      | Brazos can print a<br>watermark on the PDF of a<br>citation. If this is referring<br>to the violator copy, we will<br>need more information<br>about this requirement.   |

| Req Nmbr      | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response  | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Phase II-F018 | Must Have | Citations issued with DACC Task will<br>be placed into a specific DACC zip file<br>when downloaded to the SFTP Server. | Citation written to<br>the DACC court<br>will be placed in a<br>specified DACC<br>zip file.                            | Brazos will keep citations<br>written to the DACC<br>separate from those written<br>to the Municipal Court and<br>deliver them via their own<br>zip file.  |
| Phase II-F019 | Must Have | Each issuing agency shall see only<br>those charges applicable to that<br>department                                   | Charges will be<br>filtered by the<br>department of the<br>issuing agency.   | Brazos will allow each<br>agency to specify the<br>charges that are available to<br>its issuing officers.  |
| Phase II-F020 | Must Have | The legalese portion of the citation shall be dictated by DACC.  | Citation legalese<br>will be that<br>specified by the<br>DACC.   | Citations written to the<br>DACC will use the legalese<br>specified by the DACC.   |
| Phase II-F021 | Must Have | The Legalese for the DACC citations<br>shall be different than that of Municipal<br>Court.                             | Citation legalese<br>will be that<br>specified by the<br>DACC.   | Citations written to the DACC will use the legalese specified by the DACC.   |
| Phase II-F022 | Must Have | The citation will have instructions on<br>the citation such as the paper copy  | Brazos will print<br>the instructions on<br>the violator copy<br>as specified by the<br>DACC.                          | Brazos will print the<br>instructions on the violator<br>copy as specified by the<br>DACC.   |
| Phase II-F023 | Must Have | The legalese on the citation shall be<br>able to be changed at any time by<br>authorized Court Personnel.              | Authorized<br>DACC users will<br>be able to update<br>DACC citation<br>legalese and<br>deploy the<br>changes to users. | The Brazos Web Portal<br>allows administrators to<br>access our Print Job Editor.<br>From there, the legalese of<br>the violator copy of the<br>citation can be edited. They<br>can then publish the change<br>to users. |

All Phase Requirements The System shall meet or exceed all of the following Technical Requirements.

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F001     | Expected  | The solution shall use a combination of 2010 (or more current) model hand-<br>held and Panasonic Toughbook (or equivalent) technology. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution is<br>completely device-<br>independent and operates on<br>any device with a Windows<br>operating system. This<br>includes handhelds, laptops<br>(including Panasonic<br>Toughbooks), cell phones,<br>etc. |
| F002     | Must Have | The solution shall run on the City's existing Panasonic Toughbooks, models CF29, CF30 and CF31.  | Base Functionality | We have deployed our<br>solutions on all listed<br>Toughbooks and will commit<br>to supporting any Panasonic<br>product desired by the City.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| F003     | Expected  | The solution's hand held device shall have color LCD screen.  | Base Functionality | The recommended Motorola<br>MC75A has a 3.5-inch color<br>LCD screen, which is<br>considered to be one of the<br>top screens on the market<br>today.  |
| F004     | Expected  | The solution's hand held device<br>manufacturer shall have the option of a<br>comprehensive warranty plan that<br>covers accidental damage and/or 'wear<br>and tear' to the hardware. | Base Functionality | Motorola offers a 3-year (or<br>5-year) comprehensive no-<br>fault warranty which includes<br>accidental damage and wear-<br>and-tear to the device.  |
|          |           |   |                    | Zebra offers an extended<br>warranty, however this does<br>not cover accidental damage.<br>Thus we do not generally<br>recommend that our<br>customers purchase it. In our<br>experience with over 180 law<br>enforcement customers who<br>use the Zebra printers, the<br>printers are durable and hold<br>up well over time.   |
| F005     | Must Have | The proposer shall describe, in detail,<br>the warranty for covered items that are<br>damaged beyond normal wear and tear.  | Base Functionality | <ul> <li>The Motorola Bronze Service plan covers the following:</li> <li>Covers normal wear and use</li> <li>Comprehensive coverage: normal wear and coverage, plus accidental breakage (outer casing, screen, exit window, keypad, internal and external components, stylus, hand strap, screen protectors, battery doors, etc.)</li> <li>Includes all materials, parts, and labor</li> <li>3-day repair turnaround</li> </ul> |
| F006     | Must Have | The solution's hand held device<br>hardware replacements shall be new<br>hardware not refurbished.  | Base Functionality | All equipment for this project<br>is guaranteed to be brand new<br>and not refurbished.<br>The turnaround time for the<br>Motorola devices on the<br>Bronze Service plan is 3<br>days.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | If the City would prefers to<br>upgrade to the Gold Service<br>plan, Motorola guarantees<br>one day turnaround on<br>replacements. Please note<br>that all replacements for<br>repair will not necessarily be<br>new units.  |
| F007     | Must Have | The solution shall have a non-volatile<br>memory, so if the handheld or the<br>Toughbook loses power, data will not<br>be lost and once re-initialized, the<br>solution will regain the last entry. | Base Functionality | Yes, the Brazos Solution<br>supports the non-volatile<br>requirement. All data are<br>saved in non-volatile memory<br>on the device until the device<br>is next synced. At that point<br>all data are transferred to the<br>central eCitation server.  |
| F008     | Must Have | The solution's hand-held units shall<br>have enough of a NIT rating to prevent<br>glare in the daytime and allow users to<br>view the screen at night.  | Base Functionality | The Motorola MC75A<br>product is the preferred<br>handheld platform at all of<br>our public safety customers<br>due to the brightness of the<br>screen. The Light Immunity<br>Readability for the MC75A<br>is: 1) Incandescent = 450 ft.<br>candles, 2) Sunlight = 8000<br>ft. candles, and 3)<br>Fluorescent = 450 ft. candles.   |
| F009     | Must Have | Users of the system should be able to<br>configure the GUI, per user preference,<br>for their needs without impacting the<br>overall system or other users.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution is 100%<br>configurable to the City's<br>needs. Using our BuildIT<br>web-based frontend, we can<br>almost exactly duplicate the<br>City's existing citation<br>format, workflow,<br>processing, etc.<br>Unlike many of our<br>competitors, our solution is<br>much more server instead of<br>device centric. This means<br>that user customizations are<br>defined on the server (i.e.<br>officer favorite offenses).<br>With this solution, all ticket<br>writers at the City will have<br>and load that officer's<br>settings when they log in.<br>With device centric<br>customizations are lost if the<br>device is replaced or if the<br>officer gets another ticket<br>writer. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F010     | Expected  | The hand held solution's battery supply<br>shall last for a minimum 10 hours<br>without the need for recharging.                                     | Base Functionality | The MC75A utilizes an<br>Extended Life Battery for<br>continuous use during a 12-<br>hour shift. The<br>recommended charging<br>solution is the Motorola 4-<br>Bay Ethernet<br>charging/syncing cradle. The<br>loader/charger has an LED<br>light indicating charge level.<br>The MC75A must charge for<br>four hours for maximum<br>usability. Additional<br>batteries and battery chargers<br>may be purchased, in which<br>case the MC75A may be used<br>around the clock 24 x 7<br>simply by switching out the<br>battery. |
| F011     | Expected  | The hand held solution's battery shall<br>be able to be docked and recharged in<br>the vehicle. (the vehicles and<br>motorcycles utilize DC outlets) | Base Functionality | Yes, the MC75A devices can<br>be re-charged via a RAM<br>mount in the vehicle.   |
| F012     | Expected  | The hand held solution's battery shall<br>be able to be recharged on a<br>Motorcycle.  | Base Functionality | The proposed solution<br>includes the charging cables<br>required for recharging the<br>battery on a 12V DC system<br>(motorcycle).  |
| F013     | Must Have | The solution shall enable the agency to<br>fully customize the layout of the<br>citation printout.   | Base Functionality | The City will define and<br>control the layout of the<br>citation printout via our web-<br>based print job editor tool<br>within BuildIT. This means<br>that not only is the printout<br>completely customizable, any<br>future changes can be made<br>by the City (or Brazos<br>support) at no additional cost<br>to the City.  |
| F014     | Desired   | The solution shall be able to support<br>Spanish character sets in the header and<br>footer area of the citation/warning.                            | Base Functionality | Yes, our web-based printjob<br>editor allows us to provide<br>any language desired by the<br>customer.   |
| F015     | Must Have | The solution's citation print out shall be<br>identical from both the mobile<br>computers and handheld ticket writers.                               | Base Functionality | The same screens and<br>printouts will be used for the<br>handhelds and the<br>Toughbooks. The only<br>change required will be a<br>slight modification of the on-<br>screen layouts due to<br>physical screen size on the<br>devices.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F016     | Must Have | The solution's application shall be able<br>to print the citation and violator<br>instructions from the hand held units<br>and Toughbooks, in fewer than 30<br>seconds. | Base Functionality | While the actual printing<br>time will vary some<br>depending upon the citation<br>layout and legalese required<br>by the City, the longest<br>citation printout at an<br>existing Brazos Technology<br>customer is 16 inches long,<br>which includes citation<br>information, legalese,<br>violator instructions, and a<br>fine schedule.   |
|          |           |   |                    | The total time from when the officer hits the 'Print' button to print completion is 15 seconds (which also includes saving the citation record).   |
| F017     | Must Have | The solution shall only print<br>citations/warnings after all the data has<br>been entered and the data saved.  | Base Functionality | This is how the Brazos<br>Solution is designed to work.<br>The City will define and<br>control the business rules for<br>the eCitation and can choose<br>to prevent a user from<br>printing a citation until the<br>data are saved.  |
| F018     | Desired   | The solution shall support other forms<br>of paper products in the printers, not<br>just thermal paper.   | Base Functionality | The recommended Zebra<br>printer generally prints on<br>thermal paper, which is<br>warranted against fading for<br>20 years. However, other<br>non-thermal paper is<br>available as well, including<br>UV-coated paper, waterproof<br>paper, etc. (price can be<br>quoted if desired by the<br>City). Additionally, if the<br>City desires to use other<br>printers, the Brazos Solution<br>will support any printer<br>desired by the City. |
| F019     | Must Have | The solution shall have the ability to<br>reprint a citation from the hand held<br>and the Toughbooks.  | Base Functionality | The officer can re-print a<br>citation or warning from the<br>handheld and/or Toughbook<br>while logged into the device.<br>If the City would like to<br>record whether a citation was<br>re-printed, we can track that<br>information and provide it<br>via the Citation Detail<br>Report.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F020     | Must have | The solution shall support the capture of the violator's signature.   |                    | The MC75A has a touch<br>screen that can be used to<br>capture the violator's<br>signature.  |
|          |           |   |                    | We have included an add-on<br>signature pad for the<br>Toughbooks in our price<br>proposal. Please note that<br>most agencies have elected<br>not to use the signature pad<br>due to the lack of text support<br>which would allow the<br>violator to see what they are<br>signing for. That being the<br>case, most laptop customers<br>are having the violator sign a<br>copy of the citation and then<br>scanning that image for the<br>court records. Either way, all<br>citation information is<br>electronically uploaded to the<br>Court and RMS systems. |
| F021     | Expected  | The solution shall support the capture<br>of the signature of personnel issuing the<br>citation/warning. (Note: The issuing<br>personnel may be either sworn or non-<br>sworn). | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>the City to capture as many<br>signatures as desired – from<br>both the violator and the<br>sworn or non-sworn<br>personnel. These signatures<br>are then associated with the<br>citation number and travel<br>through the system with the<br>citation data according to the<br>workflow defined and<br>controlled by the City in<br>BuildIT.  |
| F022     | Must Have | The solution shall support the printing<br>of the signature of the violator on the<br>violator's copy of the citation.  | Base Functionality | The City will define and<br>control the layout of the<br>printed citation via BuildIT.<br>Whatever signatures the City<br>wants to have printed can be<br>included on the citation.  |
| F023     | Must Have | The solution shall upload the signature<br>of the violator on the citation to the<br>Courts Case Management System.   | Base Functionality | Any signature that the City<br>wants to capture on a citation<br>will be associated with the<br>citation number and travel<br>through the system with the<br>citation data according to the<br>workflow defined and<br>controlled by the City in<br>BuildIT. Additionally, we<br>provide an image of the   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | citation (with or without<br>signatures) to JEMS and<br>Versaterm as a PDF image.   |
| F024     | Must Have | The solution shall support the issuing<br>personnel utilizing the hand held unit to<br>accept or reject the signature of the<br>violator.   | Base Functionality | The officer is presented with<br>the violator's signature and<br>can reject and clear the<br>signature to force the violator<br>to re-sign. The accept feature<br>is assumed for ease of use.   |
| F025     | Must Have | Be able to respond to all problem<br>requests received from the City once<br>system is in production. An initial<br>response will be received within one<br>(1) hour, critical problems will be<br>addressed and resolved within eight (8)<br>hours, with all other production<br>problems addressed and resolved<br>within twenty-four (24) hours. | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology prides<br>itself on customer service and<br>on our support after the sale.<br>Using our web-based<br>technology we offer the most<br>comprehensive maintenance<br>program in the industry. As<br>long as the City stays current<br>with the annual maintenance<br>contract, all of the following<br>will be provided FOR NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE. |
|          |           |   |                    | We offer a multi-tiered<br>support program whereby all<br>problem requests are<br>responded to in order of<br>severity:   |
|          |           |   |                    | • Severity 1. Produces an<br>emergency situation in which<br>the Covered Software is<br>inoperable, produces<br>incorrect results, or fails<br>catastrophically.  |
|          |           |   |                    | RESPONSE: via telephone<br>within one (1) hour. Brazos<br>Technology will continue to<br>provide best efforts to resolve<br>Severity 1 problems in less<br>than forty-eight (48) hours.   |
|          |           |   |                    | • Severity 2. Produces a detrimental situation in which   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | performance (throughput or<br>response) of the Covered<br>Software degrades<br>substantially under<br>reasonable loads, such that<br>there is a severe impact on<br>use; the Covered Software is<br>usable but materially<br>incomplete; one or more<br>mainline functions or<br>commands is inoperable; or<br>the use is otherwise<br>significantly impacted. |
|          |          |                         |                 | RESPONSE: via telephone<br>within four (4) hours. Brazos<br>Technology will exercise best<br>efforts to resolve Severity 2<br>problems within five (5)<br>days.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Severity 3. Produces an<br>inconvenient situation in<br>which the Covered Software<br>is usable, but does not<br>provide a function in the<br>most convenient or<br>expeditious manner, and the<br>user suffers little or no<br>significant impact.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | RESPONSE: Brazos<br>Technology will exercise best<br>efforts to resolve Severity 3<br>problems in the next<br>maintenance release.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Severity 4: Produces a<br>noticeable situation in which<br>the use is affected in some<br>way which is reasonably<br>correctable by a<br>documentation change or by<br>a future, regular release from<br>Brazos Technology.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | RESPONSE: Brazos<br>Technology will provide, as<br>agreed by the parties, a fix or<br>fixes for Severity 4 problems<br>in future maintenance   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |           |  |                    | releases.  |
| F026     | Must Have | Vendor maintenance plan shall be an<br>all inclusive 'flat fee' that will not<br>require the agency to pay any<br>additional fees for State and Federal<br>mandated changes. | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology never<br>charges a Change Fee. Our<br>maintenance plan is a flat fee<br>plan whereby the City will<br>receive all upgrades, changes,<br>fixes, State and Federal<br>mandated changes, etc. at NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE as<br>long as they stay current with<br>the annual maintenance<br>contract. The cost of the<br>maintenance agreement is<br>built into the license fee for<br>the first year. Thereafter, the<br>annual fee per licensed<br>device and will automatically<br>renew unless cancelled by the<br>City. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|---|
| F027     | Desired  | Any changes made to the solution as<br>requested by the City of Austin shall be<br>at no cost. | Base Functionality | Not only will the City receive<br>all upgrades and major/minor<br>versions of the software, we<br>will make any change to any<br>part of the solution at NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE!<br>Our 'No Change Order'<br>policy will ensure that the<br>City will continue to have a<br>relevant and superior solution<br>for many, many years and<br>will save thousands of dollars<br>in the future.   |
|          |          |  |                    | Part of our strategy for<br>providing outstanding<br>support is keeping all of our<br>customers on the current<br>version of software. Any<br>time we release major or<br>minor updates to the<br>software, that software is<br>updated to the server and<br>automatically downloaded to<br>all devices (with no IT<br>intervention). We also have<br>the ability to remotely update<br>the operating system if<br>needed but will be kept up to<br>date FOR NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE. If<br>for any reason the City<br>decides not to receive these<br>upgrades, Brazos Technology<br>will support up to two<br>versions back. If the<br>software becomes older than<br>two versions, there may be an<br>additional cost to 'catch up'<br>the software if the automatic<br>updating process cannot run<br>unattended. We typically<br>provide minor releases at<br>least once per month with<br>major releases once per |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| F028     | Must Have | Vendor shall provide all necessary<br>training and support documentation in<br>electronic format and/or hardcopies. | Base Functionality | All system documentation is<br>provided in electronic format<br>via our website. The ticket<br>writers themselves have the<br>greatest number of changes<br>during the initial startup<br>phase, so we typically wait<br>until all changes have been<br>completed prior to delivery.<br>The enhancement<br>information is typically not<br>added to the existing<br>documentation until the<br>change is approved and<br>implemented by the city.<br>Changes to the<br>documentation are also only<br>made when Brazos makes the<br>change. |
|          |           |   |                    | Sample documentation is included in Appendix D.   |
|          |           |   |                    | Our software is extremely<br>intuitive and easy to use<br>(because the officers define<br>how it works), and the<br>officers will be able to write<br>a full ticket with only about<br>10 minutes worth of training.<br>However, it is not important<br>how fast they learn to use the<br>software but that they can use<br>the software as fast as they<br>write paper tickets.  |
|          |           |   |                    | Training is an underestimated<br>aspect of this project at most<br>cities and is a primary cause<br>for failure. The goal for our<br>training program is that the<br>officers will be able to fill out<br>the citation long-hand (i.e.,<br>no DL swipe, VIN decode, or<br>any other aid) and have it<br>printed in less than four<br>minutes. The training class<br>also teaches first level<br>troubleshooting techniques  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
|          |          |                         |                 | for when things don't go<br>absolutely perfect—the<br>officer can still be fully<br>operational. It has been our<br>experience that identifying<br>and training a handful of key<br>officers who we think will<br>adapt to the technology<br>quickly and can in turn teach<br>the rest of the officers during<br>the full deployment of the<br>solution works best.<br>Therefore the format that we<br>utilize is a Train-the-Trainer<br>approach. We provide the<br>pilot officers with all of the<br>tools that they need to train<br>the remaining officers. |
|          |          |                         |                 | Classroom Training. The<br>pilot officers will be given<br>full device and software<br>training in order to<br>understand and become<br>familiar with the technology.<br>The training process includes<br>producing several test<br>citations with a trainer to<br>ensure comfort with the<br>technology. The duration of<br>this phase is typically very<br>short (one day) as most<br>officers will pick up the<br>technology quickly and be<br>anxious to go out on the<br>street for the practical<br>application portion of<br>training.                   |
|          |          |                         |                 | Practical Application. The<br>practical application phase<br>begins once the officers are<br>familiar with the technology.<br>At this point the pilot officers<br>will begin writing real<br>tickets. This process serves<br>two purposes: 1) we validate<br>the entire process for real<br>prior to engaging the entire<br>police department, and 2) we<br>can have some positive  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |          |  |                    | feedback circulating the<br>department prior to full<br>rollout. The duration of this<br>phase is typically one day.<br>Upon completion of this<br>phase, there will likely be<br>some informal training with<br>other officers by the pilot<br>officers, which is okay but<br>should be kept to a minimum<br>until the officers and court<br>are comfortable enough to<br>train the entire force.  |
|          |          |  |                    | Court Training. Brazos will<br>provide training to Court<br>personnel to provide them<br>with the ability to identify the<br>integrated ticket information<br>as well as how to access the<br>information directly from<br>Brazos' system. This one-<br>day training takes place on<br>Day 3 of the Train-the-<br>Trainer class as outlined<br>below.   |
|          |          |  |                    | Our training class has also<br>been approved by TCLEOSE<br>for other departments so that<br>the officers may be able to<br>receive credits for the time<br>spent in training.   |
| F029     | Desired  | Vendor shall have the ability to fully<br>deploy the solution within a minimum<br>of 180 days of receiving the purchase<br>order | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology is<br>committed to delivering a<br>successful and referenceable<br>automated electronic citation<br>project to Austin. Our<br>implementation approach has<br>been highly successful, and<br>we feel that the steps outlined<br>below provide the best<br>method to minimize risks and<br>ensure a successful project.<br>The key is to involve the<br>customer in all phases of<br>developing and implementing<br>software specifically for<br>them, to meet their specific<br>needs. Our average time to |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |           |  |                    | full deployment from<br>contract signature is 45-60<br>days. This includes: full<br>customization for Austin,<br>electronic interfaces into the<br>court/RMS systems,<br>customized reporting,<br>installation, training, and the<br>end-to-end operation of the<br>entire solution.  |
|          |           |  |                    | Due to the size of the project<br>for the City of Austin, we<br>estimate full delivery of the<br>solution within 90 days.   |
| F030     | Must Have | The Vendor should be capable of data<br>transfers via cradle, cellular, wireless,<br>Bluetooth, etc. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution offers<br>three methods for transferring<br>data from the handheld or<br>Toughbook to the eCitation<br>server:  |
|          |           |  |                    | • Real-time via cellular connection   |
|          |           |  |                    | • Upon placement in sync cradle   |
|          |           |  |                    | • In batch mode at the end of a shift (via sync cradle)   |
|          |           |  |                    | We also support both<br>Bluetooth and wireless<br>communication between the<br>devices and the printers in the<br>field. The Zebra RW420<br>printer is available in both<br>Bluetooth and 802.11<br>configurations, however we<br>recommend that the City<br>proceed with Bluetooth. In<br>our experience the wireless<br>communication is too<br>unstable due to interference. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| F031     | Expected  | All user/owner/technical reference<br>manuals shall be included with each<br>type of equipment and software.   | Base Functionality | All system documentation is<br>provided in electronic format<br>via our website. The ticket<br>writers themselves have the<br>greatest number of changes<br>during the initial startup<br>phase, so we typically wait<br>until all changes have been<br>completed prior to delivery.<br>The enhancement<br>information is typically not<br>added to the existing<br>documentation until the<br>change is approved and<br>implemented by the city.<br>Changes to the<br>documentation are also only<br>made when Brazos makes the<br>change.                           |
| F032     | Must Have | The Vendor shall provide all vendor<br>specific installation documentation,<br>including customization to the standard<br>install configurations/procedures. | Base Functionality | included in Appendix D.<br>All system documentation is<br>provided in electronic format<br>via our website. The ticket<br>writers themselves have the<br>greatest number of changes<br>during the initial startup<br>phase, so we typically wait<br>until all changes have been<br>completed prior to delivery.<br>The enhancement<br>information is typically not<br>added to the existing<br>documentation until the<br>change is approved and<br>implemented by the city.<br>Changes to the<br>documentation are also only<br>made when Brazos make the<br>change. |
| F033     | Must Have | Vendor shall be an authorized dealer for the equipment proposed.   | Base Functionality | included in Appendix D.<br>Brazos Technology is an<br>authorized dealer for both<br>Motorola and Zebra.<br>Documentation is included in<br>Attachment 1 of the proposal.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F034     | Must Have | The solution shall display an alternate<br>message of the fine amount on the<br>citation (as defined by Municipal<br>Court) when the citation is issued to a<br>juvenile. A juvenile is described as a<br>person 16 years of age and under.       | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can be<br>configured to display<br>whatever pop up messages<br>the City wants. The system<br>will calculate a violator's age<br>from a license scan and pop<br>up the defined message box<br>with the fine amount when<br>the violator is a juvenile.<br>This information can also be<br>displayed and/or printed on<br>the citation, as defined and<br>controlled by the City via<br>BuildIT.     |
|          |           |   |                    | All information that is<br>printed and presented to the<br>violator is completely<br>controlled via the web-based<br>printjob editor.  |
| F035     | Must Have | The solution shall display an alternate<br>message of the appearance date (as<br>defined by the Municipal Court) on the<br>printed citation when the violator is a<br>juvenile. A juvenile is described as a<br>person 16 years of age and under. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can be<br>configured to display<br>whatever pop up messages<br>the City wants. The system<br>will calculate a violator's age<br>from a license scan and pop<br>up the defined message box<br>with the appearance date<br>when the violator is a<br>juvenile. This information<br>can also be displayed and/or<br>printed on the citation, as<br>defined and controlled by the<br>City via BuildIT. |

| P136         Expected         The solution shall provide the ability to<br>fully customize each of the screens on<br>the devices to meet the exact process-<br>flow desired by the City.         Base Functionality         Each screen/form is 100%<br>tailored forthe City. Some<br>of the items that can be<br>configured in BuildT include<br>(but are not limited to):           7136         Expected         Filesoften and the proper-<br>tinuition that the officers are<br>familiar with;         - Any number and type of<br>fields on the screen are<br>possible (or both the),<br>built complex business rules<br>enforced behind each field:           721         - Calculate court and<br>arraignment dates based on<br>current practices         - Calculate court and<br>arraigneent dates based on<br>current practices           8         - So intersection and<br>current practices         - Calculate court and<br>arraigneent dates based on<br>current practices           9         - So intersection and<br>current practices         - Calculate court and<br>arraigneent dates based on<br>current practices           9         - So into possible (or both the<br>screen are scheduled for<br>built to business days.         - O is to possible (or both<br>every Thursday at 9:30 AM.<br>Violators are scheduled for<br>the court asystem<br>directly.           9         - Calculate court and<br>arraigneen the shores to<br>and pop-up a message box to<br>aler the officers in a<br>juvenile;         - Calculate age from a license<br>scan and pop-up a message<br>box to<br>aler the officers in a<br>juvenile;           9         - Calculate age from a license<br>scan and pop-up a message<br>box to an officers time to<br>complete accurate citations           0         - Staning |
|--|
|  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | print to function when the<br>signature box is occupied,<br>otherwise show a message<br>box detailing why the unit is<br>not printing. |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Any number of alternative data entry types;  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Capture signatures – as<br>many as needed (officer,<br>offender, others);  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Capture free-form diagrams<br>– as many as desired;  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Capture pictures and photographs;  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Block photo if violator is a juvenile;   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Capture voice recordings;  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Read 2D barcodes (like the ones other states are using in lieu of magstripes).   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Read any magstripe's data  |
|          |          |                         |                 | o From any state DL or ID;   |
|          |          |                         |                 | o From credit cards;   |
|          |          |                         |                 | o From student or any applicable local ID;   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Read VIN and determine<br>the year, make, model of the<br>vehicle;   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Uniquely organize offenses<br>to help officers quickly find<br>the correct offense based<br>upon personal favorites;                 |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Use dropdowns and lists to help officer input information quickly and accurately;  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Customers can define how many violations can be assigned to each citation.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F037     | Must Have | The solution shall provide the ability to<br>add additional customized "forms"<br>such as parking, racial profiling, field<br>investigation, crash, driver information<br>exchange form etc., that also meet the<br>exact process-flow desired by the City | Base Functionality | Part of the beauty of the<br>Brazos Solution is its<br>tremendous flexibility. As<br>stated above, the system can<br>be configured via BuildIT to<br>work exactly how the City<br>wants according to the City-<br>defined process flow.  |
|          |           |  |                    | Additional add-on features already developed include:  |
|          |           |  |                    | • Zoning   |
|          |           |  |                    | Animal Control   |
|          |           |  |                    | Building Code  |
|          |           |  |                    | • Fire Code  |
|          |           |  |                    | Biometric Software   |
|          |           |  |                    | • Text-to-Speech   |
|          |           |  |                    | Accident Reporting   |
|          |           |  |                    | Crash Diagramming  |
|          |           |  |                    | Vehicle Inventory Forms  |
|          |           |  |                    | • Criminal Trespass<br>Warnings  |
| 1000     |           |  |                    | • And more   |
| F038     | Must Have | The solution shall support printing any<br>customized form, as decided by the<br>City, in the City's required format.  | Base Functionality | As previously stated, the<br>layout of the Citation is<br>defined and controlled via<br>BuildIT. Using our highly<br>flexible print editor, we can<br>almost exactly replicate the<br>City's existing citation<br>format.  |
| F039     | Must Have | The solution shall enable officers to<br>enter notes via text using a keyboard or<br>stylus, voice or diagram allowing<br>markup and automatically attach to<br>citation record.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>for the entry of citation data<br>through a number of different<br>methods including swiping of<br>the mag stripe on a DL,<br>scanning VIN barcodes,<br>scanning registration stickers,<br>QWERTY keyboard, touch-<br>screen, video, audio, photo,<br>and fingerprint capture. All |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |           |  |                    | of the rules surrounding the<br>data entry, editing, reporting,<br>and printing are defined and<br>controlled by the City via<br>BuildIT.   |
| F040     | Must Have | The solution shall have a diagramming tool, to be used with the handheld units and the laptops.                                      | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>the officer to create free-form<br>diagrams. Any or all of these<br>diagrams can be printed on<br>the citation, if desired by the<br>City, and are available on<br>both the handhelds and the<br>laptops.   |
| F041     | Must Have | The solution shall have the function of<br>drawing diagrams and automatically<br>attach to citation record                           | Base Functionality | As with photos, videos,<br>audio, signatures, etc., the<br>diagrams are assigned the<br>citation number of the<br>citation to which it is<br>associated and travel with the<br>citation data throughout the<br>system.  |
| F042     | Desired   | The hand held solution shall provide<br>capability to capture photographs and<br>automatically attach to citation record.            | Base Functionality | The MC75A has a built-in<br>color camera. Photos taken<br>by the officer during the<br>citation issuance are assigned<br>the citation number of the<br>citation to which it is<br>associated and travel with the<br>citation data throughout the<br>system. All media associated<br>with the record is associated<br>and can be viewed via the<br>Citation Detail Report. |
| F043     | Desired   | The hand held solution shall provide<br>capability to uniquely identify<br>photographs taken and attached to the<br>citation record. | Base Functionality | See response to F042.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F044     | Must Have | The solution shall support citations,<br>warnings and field observation<br>issuances with different numbering<br>schemes.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution operates<br>with "blocks" of ticket<br>numbers in whatever format<br>the City defines. The<br>numbering scheme can be<br>different for each type of<br>ticket, as defined and<br>controlled by the City via<br>BuildIT.  |
|          |           |   |                    | The system automatically<br>pushes the blocks of numbers<br>down to the handheld devices<br>every time the devices are<br>cradled. The handheld then<br>populates and increments<br>citation numbers within the<br>block defined by the system.<br>As part of the project kickoff<br>phase, the City can define the<br>starting number, stopping<br>number, block ranges, format<br>of the number (numeric or<br>alphanumeric), increment<br>value, and/or other<br>parameters for the citation<br>number. We also provide<br>standard audit reports so that<br>the City can see at anytime<br>where every ticket number in<br>the city resides and the<br>current status (i.e. void, sent<br>to court sent to RMS etc.) |
| F045     | Must Have | Software shall be in compliance with<br>current Texas legislature rules with the<br>ability to maintain ongoing compliance. | Base Functionality | to court, sent to RMS, etc.).<br>Brazos Technology is based<br>in Texas and has over 130<br>Texas public safety agencies<br>as customers. These<br>customers include Travis<br>County, Bexar County, San<br>Antonio and Dallas. We<br>have never had a legal<br>challenge to our system be<br>upheld in a Texas court. We<br>comply will all Texas<br>legislature rules and will<br>continue to do so. The last<br>significant example was the<br>Texas legalese changes<br>required in Sept of 2009. We<br>made all modifications to the<br>legalese for all customers by<br>the January 1, 2010 start date   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |          |  |                    | and did not charge any<br>monies to any agency.  |
| F046     | Expected | The solution shall be capable of locking<br>the device(s) to prevent tampering.                              | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution requires<br>a valid login ID and<br>password to both the device<br>and the website (for running<br>reports, administration, etc.).<br>The rules around the ID and<br>password are defined and<br>controlled by the City in<br>BuildIT. The locking of the<br>devices is provided via the<br>operating system for the<br>laptops and PDAs.  |
| F047     | Expected | The solution shall incorporate a Geo-<br>File verify function for the validity of<br>the violation location. | Base Functionality | All street information can be<br>imported into the Brazos<br>Solution via GIS shapefiles,<br>flat files, and/or XML files<br>created by another system.<br>We are currently working on<br>the ability to capture street<br>information from the GIS<br>database through the new<br>ESRI web services as well.  |
|          |          |  |                    | Once imported into the<br>Brazos system, these records<br>are downloaded to the ticket<br>writers and available on the<br>device in a drop-down format<br>and we retain the relational<br>information provided by the<br>GIS system. For example, if<br>the officer selects one street,<br>the cross street dropdown is<br>filtered to only those streets<br>that intersect the selected<br>street. One of the most<br>overlooked aspects of the<br>eCitation/eCrash system is<br>capturing accurate location<br>information that can be geo-<br>coded on the back-end for |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
| Keq Nmbr |          | Requirement Description   | Vendor Kesponse    |   |
| F048     | Expected | The solution shall support integration with an e-Crash product in the future. | Base Functionality | that information.<br>Yes, the Brazos Solution<br>offers a complete e-Crash<br>(Accident Reporting) module<br>for the State of Texas, and we |
|          |          |   |                    | have 8 departments using our system today.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F049     | Expected | The solution shall require minimum<br>trips from violator's vehicle to patrol<br>unit. | Base Functionality | Our standard process is to<br>have the officer initiate<br>contact (either empty handed<br>or with the ticket writer), go<br>back to the vehicle, run<br>returns, complete the citation,<br>print it, and return it to the<br>violator. Here is where the<br>process for each officer (and<br>agency policy) will dictate<br>the actual process. If the<br>officer takes the ticket writer<br>with him/her on the first<br>contact, he/she can scan the<br>registration sticker/VIN,<br>swipe the violator's driver's<br>license, fill out the citation<br>while the violator is looking<br>for their information, take a<br>picture, and optionally<br>capture the signature. The<br>next step is then to go back to<br>the vehicle, run the return,<br>swipe the DL, complete the<br>ticket, and print it. The<br>second contact with the<br>violator is then made, any<br>secondary contact<br>information is captured,<br>capture the signature (if not<br>already captured) and the<br>defendant is notified of the<br>court date and given the<br>citation. We strongly believe<br>that both the technology and<br>the process should never<br>force the officer to have a 3rd<br>negative contact with the<br>violator. |
|          |          |  |                    | Please keep in mind that the<br>process outlined above is<br>merely a suggested process.<br>The beauty of the Brazos<br>Solution is that we can make<br>the process work exactly as<br>you want it to – from screens,<br>to print outs, to workflows,<br>etc. We will make the<br>Brazos Solution work for<br>you. And no two citation<br>types must have the same<br>process – traffic, parking, and  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |           |  |                    | criminal eCitations can all<br>have their own business<br>rules, be routed according to<br>their own workflows, and<br>interface with different court<br>systems. It's all up to how<br>the City operates. |
| F050     | Must Have | The solution shall provide a method of<br>editing specific fields (to be determined<br>by the City) after a citation/warning has<br>been issued. | Base Functionality | The City defines and controls<br>in BuildIT what can be edited<br>or changed after a citation or<br>warning has been saved.  |
| F051     | Must Have | The solution shall not allow the deletion of citations/warnings  | Base Functionality | Records are not deleted from<br>the Brazos Solution. Their<br>status is changed to "deleted"<br>and can still be reported.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F052     | Must Have | The solution shall have a user defined<br>audit trail report that will provide<br>reconciliation of, at a minimum, the<br>number of citation issuances, field<br>observations issuances, warning<br>issuances. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution has a<br>robust management reporting<br>capability for which the City<br>controls the security access<br>via BuildIT. As previously<br>stated, we use SQL Server<br>Reporting Services to build<br>and display the reports on the<br>eCitation server. The report<br>designer for Reporting<br>Services is free with the<br>license, and the license to<br>Reporting Services is free<br>when you own at least a<br>standard version of the<br>DBMS. The report writer is<br>very flexible and fairly<br>similar to the Crystal Reports<br>report designer.  |
|          |           |  |                    | Since the citation data are<br>stored on the eCitation<br>server, the reports can be<br>generated from multiple<br>locations/ workstations.<br>Each and every report on the<br>eCitation server allows for<br>optional report parameters<br>and sorting capabilities. For<br>example, the Citation Detail<br>report (which prints the<br>citation exactly as the<br>defendant saw it) can be run<br>for all citations or scoped on<br>any number of the following<br>parameters: Beginning Date,<br>End Date, Officer, Stop<br>Results, Citation Number, or<br>whether there was Officer<br>Notes or not. If a parameter<br>is left blank then all records<br>are assumed. |
|          |           |  |                    | You can export all of our<br>reports to the following<br>formats: 1) HTML, 2)<br>Acrobat [PDF], 3) Excel, 4)<br>TIFF, Web Archive, CSV, or<br>XML file. You can also<br>zoom on the report to provide<br>larger text. When printing  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
|          |          |                         |                 | the report, you are prompted<br>to select any printer on the<br>network as with any standard<br>Microsoft Office product.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | As previously stated, the City<br>determines all user access<br>levels. Via BuildIT, the<br>administrator can manage all<br>aspects of the devices –<br>users, access levels,<br>reporting, and automated<br>software download. Users<br>granted the necessary access<br>level can run reports from<br>any computer connected to<br>the Internet by logging into<br>the eCitation server. |
|          |          |                         |                 | Following is a sample list of<br>some of our standard reports.<br>Although this list is not all-<br>inclusive it offers an example<br>of what is available. If<br>Austin would like to see<br>additional reports, we will<br>create up to 20 custom<br>reports free of charge.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Accident Count –<br>Displays all citations and<br>violations where there was an<br>accident.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Audit – The audit<br>report for the courts with<br>number of citations,<br>violations, and who wrote<br>them.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Count –The<br>number of total citations,<br>number of each violation<br>written by each officer.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Count by Zip Code<br>– Same as above but for a<br>designated area.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Detail – An exact replica of the citation written  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
|          |          |                         |                 | to the defendant. It includes<br>the option to show pictures,<br>voice notes, officer notes,<br>drawings, or any other<br>information gathered by the<br>officer. |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Towing Count –<br>All citations and offenses<br>where a vehicle was towed.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Violation Audit –<br>Same as the Citation Audit<br>except for customer who<br>have a unique ticket number<br>for each offense.                         |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citation Violation Audit by<br>Offense – Same as Citation<br>Violation Audit but can be<br>scoped by a particular<br>offense.                                   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Citations by Location – All<br>Citations and Violations for a<br>particular geographic area.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • FI Detail – Field Interview<br>Report.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Offense List – All offenses<br>that are on the mobile<br>devices.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • STEP Audit (Speeding)<br>Report – Designed for<br>overtime-based or focused<br>traffic enforcement<br>requirements.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • STEP Audit Report – Same<br>as above but for non-<br>speeding based offenses.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Stop Result by Racial<br>Profile Count – Counts all<br>stops by race and sex for<br>each officer specified.   |
|          |          |                         |                 | • Stop Results by Racial<br>Profile Summary – A<br>graphical display of the Stop<br>Result by Racial Profile<br>Count report.                                     |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | • Stop Results Count –<br>Counts all stops and their<br>results as a Citation or<br>Warning.   |
|          |           |   |                    | • Stop Results Search by<br>Race Count - Counts all stops<br>by race for each officer<br>specified.  |
|          |           |   |                    | • Stop Results Search by<br>Race Summary – A graphical<br>display of the Stop Results<br>Search by Race Count report.                              |
|          |           |   |                    | • Stop Results Search Count<br>– Counts all stops where a<br>search was involved and<br>whether or not contraband<br>was found.                    |
|          |           |   |                    | • Stop Results Search<br>Summary – A graphical<br>display of the Stop Results<br>Search Count report.  |
|          |           |   |                    | • Violation Count – Total count of how many of each violation.   |
|          |           |   |                    |  |
| F053     | Must Have | The solution shall not save the citation/warning until all the mandatory fields have been entered | Base Functionality | The citation data will not<br>save until all required fields<br>are completed correctly (as<br>defined and controlled by the<br>City via BuildIT). |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F054     | Must Have | The solution shall incorporate a method<br>by which specific data fields are<br>duplicated from one ticket to the next | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>the city to define which fields<br>will default as 'carry<br>forward' fields, meaning the<br>same data will be transferred<br>from one citation to another.<br>Additionally, the users can<br>also define any field in the<br>system to 'carry forward'<br>after logging into the device.<br>For example, if an officer is<br>going to monitor a school<br>zone, they can set the<br>location, school, offense and<br>speed limit as carry forward<br>fields. Once they are done<br>with that school zone, then<br>can then remove the carry<br>forward option and go back<br>to normal device operation.<br>Finally, we also provide a<br>ReIssue button that will save<br>the current citation, create a<br>new citation, and carry<br>forward all fields to the new<br>citation. The ReIssue button<br>is typically used when the<br>officer enters (and prints) the<br>wrong violation or when the<br>maximum number of<br>violations is reached and the<br>officer wants to issue more<br>violations to a suspect. All<br>of these features are defined<br>and controlled by the City via |
| F055     | Must Have | The solution shall clearly show if the<br>printed copy given to the violator is a<br>warning or a citation             | Base Functionality | BuildIT.<br>This is defined and controlled<br>by the City in BuildIT. The<br>type of violation can be<br>printed wherever and<br>however the City wants it on<br>the citation.   |
| F056     | Must Have | The solution shall clearly show if a citation/warning has been voided  | Base Functionality | Whenever a citation or<br>warning is marked as void in<br>the system, the status is<br>changed to "VOID" and is<br>processed according to the<br>City-defined workflow. The<br>Brazos Solution provides<br>audit reports that allow the<br>City to see where every ticket<br>number resides at any time<br>(including voided or<br>cancelled tickets).   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F057     | Must Have | The solution shall support the upload of citation/warnings that have been voided.   | Base Functionality | The City can elect which<br>users and when citation can<br>be voided. Additionally, we<br>can require additional fields<br>such as void reason,<br>supervisor approval, etc.<br>These citations are uploaded<br>and can also be exported to<br>the court and RMS systems if<br>desired.  |
| F058     | Must Have | The solution shall allow the user to<br>choose the type of citation/warning<br>(parking, moving, field observation<br>etc). | Base Functionality | The type of stop (or<br>citation/warning) is a<br>standard field for all of our<br>customers. It is typically<br>required and can be a drop-<br>down for officer input and<br>can also control the<br>violations viewed by the<br>officer if desired by the City.  |
| F059     | Must Have | The solution shall show the appearance<br>date as set by Municipal Court<br>calendar.                                       | Base Functionality | One of the many<br>customizable items in the<br>Brazos Solution is the court<br>date/arraignment date. The<br>date can be displayed<br>however and wherever the<br>City wants it on the printed<br>citation. The date can be<br>obtained from a lookup table<br>or calculated based on<br>current practices. Example:<br>Court is held every Thursday<br>at 9:30 AM. Violators are<br>scheduled for the earliest date<br>that falls within 10 business<br>days. It is possible for us to<br>also meter the number of<br>people for each date if we<br>can access the court system<br>directly. |
| F060     | Must Have | The solution shall support violation<br>specific fields based on violation type.  | Base Functionality | The City can define and<br>control the screen and print<br>layouts based on the violation<br>type via BuildIT. Not only<br>can we require/unrequire<br>fields based upon the<br>violation, but we can hide<br>those fields that are not<br>applicable as well. This<br>minimizes the number of<br>fields that the officer has to<br>look at on the screen which<br>speeds up the completion of<br>the citation.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| F061     | Must Have | The solution shall allow each violation<br>specific data field to be printed (or not<br>printed) on the citation as determined<br>by the agency  | Base Functionality | The City completely defines<br>and controls what data fields<br>are printed on the citation via<br>BuildIT.   |
| F062     | Expected  | The solution shall allow the user to<br>search for the violation needed using a<br>common search technology.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>the officers to categorize all<br>offenses for ease of looking<br>for the violation.<br>Additionally, they can<br>perform a fuzzy search on<br>any aspect of the violation<br>(name, alias, statute, etc.) to<br>quickly find the appropriate<br>violation.   |
| F063     | Must Have | When printed on the citation, the<br>violation specific data shall include the<br>description of the field being printed<br>along with the actual data that was<br>collected and entered by the officer. | Base Functionality | This is defined and controlled<br>by the City via BuildIT.  |
| F064     | Must Have | The solution shall assign unique citation numbers.   | Base Functionality | The citation numbers and<br>ranges are completely<br>controlled by the City via the<br>website. The Brazos Solution<br>operates with "blocks" of<br>ticket numbers in whatever<br>format the City defines. The<br>system automatically pushes<br>the blocks of numbers down<br>to the handheld<br>devices/Toughbooks every<br>time the devices are cradled<br>(or synced in the case of the<br>Toughbooks). The<br>handheld/Toughbook then<br>populates and increments<br>citation numbers within the<br>block defined by the system. |
| F065     | Must Have | The solution shall indicate if a citation/warning has been successfully or unsuccessfully uploaded   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution works<br>on a request/confirm model<br>where all information is sent,<br>validated, and confirmed<br>before a transmission is<br>considered complete. ALL<br>data transmissions receive a<br>valid and authenticated<br>confirmation message before<br>the information is removed<br>from the mobile device. This<br>method guarantees that all<br>information is received and<br>complete, even if a<br>connection is lost during the<br>sync process. We have never<br>lost a record in transit from            |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |           |  |                    | any mobile device back to the eCitation server.  |
| F066     | Must Have | The solution must use the Municipal<br>Court violation code table and its<br>contents. This list will include<br>information, including but not limited<br>to, Charge code, charge description,<br>early fine, standard fine, list of required<br>fields, list of variable specific fields,<br>description of variable specific fields,<br>format of variable specific fields (text,<br>numeric, y/n, choose from list only),<br>list of answers to choose from for<br>variable specific fields. | Base Functionality | The City defines and controls<br>what values are included in<br>drop down field selections<br>and look-up tables, including<br>the Municipal court violation<br>code tables. These can be<br>initially setup via import in<br>BuildIT and can be changed<br>at anytime. As soon as the<br>updates are approved by the<br>City, the changes are<br>automatically "pushed" out to<br>the devices the next time that<br>they are synced. Brazos<br>Technology can assist the<br>City with this process. |
| F067     | Must Have | New codes added in Court system must<br>populate corresponding lists in e-<br>Citation solution. The solution shall<br>support updates to all code tables, as<br>needed, from the Courts Case<br>Management System. These code lists<br>include but are not limited to: Vehicle<br>type, vehicle make, vehicle model,<br>Vehicle color, state table (including<br>Canada and Mexico)   | Base Functionality | As previously stated, the data<br>in the look up tables can be<br>changed or updated by the<br>City at any time. As soon as<br>the updates are approved by<br>the City, the changes are<br>automatically "pushed" out to<br>the devices the next time that<br>they are synced. Brazos<br>Technology can assist the<br>City with this process.  |
|          |           |  |                    | Additionally, if the City can<br>export any changes made<br>within JEMS, we can import<br>those changes for an<br>automated method to update<br>the ticket writers.  |
| F068     | Must Have | The solution shall support at a minimum 3000 unique charges in the code table  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution is in use<br>in over 180 public safety<br>customers and can support<br>well over 5,000 charges in<br>the code table without<br>degradation of the system.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| F069     | Must Have | The solution shall allow the city to<br>determine which fields and violation<br>specific variables are mandatory for<br>each violation.   | Base Functionality | Mandatory fields can be<br>highlighted for the user<br>however the City wants –<br>bold type, font color, etc. If<br>the user does not complete<br>one of the mandatory fields,<br>the citation will not save.  |
| F070     | Must Have | The solution shall support a minimum<br>of 10,000 violation specific variables.   | Base Functionality | Brazos will support any<br>number of violation specific<br>variables. We have never<br>seen this many violation<br>specific variables, as most<br>variables are repeated for the<br>violations. Each violation<br>will have a number of<br>different properties such as<br>court code, RMS code, state<br>code, fine amount, court<br>costs, etc., as well as certain<br>violations will require<br>additional information (i.e.<br>posted speed, alleged speed,<br>Vehicle Inspection date, etc.).<br>We support any number of<br>variables desired by the City.   |
| F071     | Must Have | The solution shall allow authorized<br>court personnel to modify required<br>information and court terminology on<br>the citation/warnings and have the<br>change reflected within 24 hours of the<br>change. | Base Functionality | The City controls the security<br>access to the solution,<br>including court personnel. If<br>the City wants to allow<br>authorized personnel to make<br>changes to required<br>information and court<br>terminology on the<br>citations/warnings, these<br>changes will made via the<br>web-based print job editor<br>(please note that Brazos<br>support can make these<br>changes as well as part of the<br>maintenance program). As<br>soon as the changes are saved<br>and approved by the City, the<br>changes are automatically<br>"pushed" out to the<br>handhelds and Toughbooks<br>the next time that they are<br>synced. |
| F072     | Desired   | The solution shall support the calculation of the effective date of the standard fine. The standard fine date is defined as the appearance date plus one day.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution will<br>calculate both the standard<br>date (court date) based upon<br>the formula defined by the<br>court.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F073     | Desired   | The solution shall support the<br>presentation of the effective date of the<br>early fine. The early fine is defined as<br>any date prior or equal to the<br>appearance date. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can also<br>calculate additional date such<br>as an early fine date based<br>upon the formula defined by<br>the court.   |
| F074     | Must Have | The solution shall support specific font<br>size and formatting for citation<br>terminology.  | Base Functionality | All fonts and sizes for the<br>applications are defined via<br>BuildIT. All fonts and sizes<br>for the print outs are define<br>via the web-based print job<br>editor.   |
| F075     | Desired   | The solution shall use the following<br>format for the appearance date: MMM-<br>DD-YYYY   | Base Functionality | The date format is defined<br>and controlled by the City via<br>BuildIT.   |
| F076     | Must Have | The solution shall accept manual data entered   | Base Functionality | Yes, the Brazos Solution<br>allows the user to manually<br>enter data into the fields.   |
| F077     | Must Have | The solution shall be able to print in the field  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution uses<br>either Bluetooth or 802.11<br>communication (we highly<br>recommend Bluetooth) to<br>transmit citation from the<br>handheld or the Toughbook<br>laptop to the Zebra RW420<br>printer in the field (4-inch<br>journal paper). Please note<br>that we typically use a USB<br>connection to the printer for<br>the Toughbooks. Once a<br>citation is completed and<br>saved, the officer can print<br>the citation. |
| F078     | Desired   | The solution shall be able to print<br>citations and warnings on other officers<br>printers without having to go through<br>setup procedures                                  | Base Functionality | Yes, the user can associate a<br>device to a printer by<br>scanning the barcode on<br>another officer's printer and<br>print to it using Bluetooth<br>communication. There is no<br>limit to the number of<br>devices that can be associated<br>with a printer.  |
| F079     | Expected  | The hand held units shall accept data<br>entry from a stylus, keyboard or on<br>screen keyboard.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution allows<br>for the entry of citation data<br>through a number of different<br>methods including swiping of<br>the mag stripe on a DL,<br>scanning VIN barcodes,<br>scanning registration stickers,<br>QWERTY keyboard, touch-<br>screen, video, audio, photo,<br>and fingerprint capture. All<br>of the rules surrounding the<br>data entry, editing, reporting,<br>and printing are defined and                          |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | controlled by the City via<br>BuildIT.  |
| F080     | Expected  | The solution shall capture the violator's address from the magnetic strip/bar code, but will allow the officer to modify the information as needed. | Base Functionality | All fields from the magnetic<br>strip and/or barcode will be<br>filled into the citation (or any<br>other form). The officers<br>always have the ability to<br>manually enter or correct this<br>information.   |
| F081     | Expected  | The solution shall have the ability to scan a vehicle registration bar code.  | Base Functionality | The MC75A has a built-in<br>1D/2D barcode reader that<br>can read the barcode on a<br>vehicle registration. Once<br>the barcode is read, the<br>Brazos Solution can interpret<br>the embedded data and auto-<br>populate fields on the citation<br>as defined by the City.<br>Additionally, we have<br>configured our solution to<br>read many other states<br>registration paperwork as<br>well. |
| F082     | Desired   | The solution shall populate the vehicle<br>fields on the citation from the vehicle<br>registration bar code scan.                                   | Base Functionality | Yes, as stated in requirement<br>F081, once the barcode is<br>read, the Brazos Solution can<br>interpret the embedded data<br>and auto-populate fields on<br>the citation as defined by the<br>City. The registration<br>barcode contains the license<br>plate, VIN, year, make, and<br>model of the vehicle.   |
| F083     | Must Have | The solution shall accept input from a<br>Texas driver's license and Texas<br>identification card swipe.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can read<br>and interpret the data in<br>Texas DL and ID cards' mag<br>stripes.   |
| F084     | Must Have | The solution shall accept input from an<br>out of state driver's license and out of<br>state identification bar code read.                          | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can read<br>and interpret the barcodes<br>and mag stripes from DLs<br>and state ID cards from all 50<br>states, Mexico, and Canada,<br>as well as some university ID<br>cards. If the City would like<br>other barcodes read, the<br>system can be "taught" to<br>interpret these as well (i.e.<br>the University of Texas  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | student ID card).  |
|          |           |   |                    |  |
|          |           |   |                    |  |
|          |           |   |                    |  |
| F085     | Must Have | The solution shall capture the data contained on the magnetic strip/bar | Base Functionality | As previously stated, the<br>Brazos Solution can read and  |
|          |           | code of the driver's license /<br>identification card.                  |                    | interpret all of the fields on<br>the mag stripes and barcodes<br>of drivers licenses and ID<br>cards from all 50 states,<br>Mexico, and Canada, as well |
|          |           |   |                    | as some University ID cards.   |
|          |           |   |                    | The Brazos Solution can read<br>ALL of the fields on the<br>magstripe including:   |
|          |           |   |                    | First name   |
|          |           |   |                    | Last Name  |
|          |           |   |                    | Middle Name  |
|          |           |   |                    | DOB  |
|          |           |   |                    | Sex  |
|          |           |   |                    | DL/ID number   |
|          |           |   |                    | DL/ID type or class  |
|          |           |   |                    | Address (City, State, Zip,<br>Apt #)   |
|          |           |   |                    | Class  |
|          |           |   |                    | Restrictions/Endorsements  |
|          |           |   |                    | Eye Color  |
|          |           |   |                    | Hair Color   |
| F086     | Must Have | The solution shall support the following fields to be separated and     | Base Functionality | We will provide the data in<br>either the standard JEMS  |
|          |           | uploaded into the Courts Case<br>Management system from the magnetic    |                    | format or in a different format if desired. We can   |
|          |           | stripe and bar code as one record:                                      |                    | include all of the data listed below.  |
| F086.1   | Must Have | 1. First Name   | Base Functionality | Yes  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F086.2   | Must Have | 2. Last Name   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.3   | Must Have | 3. Date of birth   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.4   | Must Have | 4. Sex   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.5   | Must Have | 5. Drivers License / Identification Card<br>number   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.6   | Must Have | 6. Address   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.7   | Must Have | 7. Class   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F086.8   | Must Have | 8. Restriction(s) / Endorsement(s)   | Base Functionality | Yes  |
| F087     | Must Have | The solution shall have the capability to<br>capture and upload the required fields,<br>as dictated by the courts, for all<br>violator's into the courts case<br>management system. See Appendix D,<br>E, F and G. | Base Functionality | We have already<br>implemented the PCSS<br>interface at two different<br>Texas courts and are<br>completely confident in our<br>ability to deliver this<br>interface to the City. Once a<br>citation is uploaded and<br>approved for export to the<br>court, an XML file is<br>generated and downloaded to<br>a specific file directory. The<br>PCSS import is then run from<br>JEMS and a status file is<br>generated for each citation<br>that was imported.   |
|          |           |  |                    | While this interface has been<br>developed and implemented,<br>Brazos recognizes that the<br>City has highly modified the<br>PCSS system and may have<br>alternative methods for<br>importing the data.  |
|          |           |  |                    | To date we have interfaced<br>with over 20 different court<br>and RMS systems across the<br>country as well as running<br>vehicle and person returns<br>via our own Brazos Message<br>Switch. We are confident we<br>can meet the interface needs<br>of the City. The Brazos<br>Solution can access ODBC-<br>compliant databases, XML-<br>based APIs, and file-based<br>import/export methods for<br>moving data to and from<br>other applications, including<br>legacy systems. This<br>flexibility provides an |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description                                   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | enormous number of<br>possibilities for increasing<br>the value of the investment<br>by creating new functionality<br>for the ticket writers or<br>adding new applications to<br>the devices.  |
|          |           |   |                    | The entire import/export<br>process is controlled via the<br>BuildIT with our<br>Import/Export Wizard. This<br>allows the City to add new<br>interfaces or modify existing<br>interfaces at any time with no<br>'change orders' being<br>charged back to the City.   |
|          |           |   |                    |  |
| F088     | Must Have | The solution shall support at least 650 concurrent users. | Base Functionality | To date we have over 12,000<br>registered users on our hosted<br>server and regularly have<br>over 1,200 concurrent users<br>logged in with no<br>degradation in response time<br>whatsoever. If the City<br>wishes to install the server<br>locally at Austin, the same<br>system that we use to support<br>150+ customers would be<br>installed there as well. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F089     | Expected | The solution should support 128 bit<br>encryption.  | Base Functionality | All data transferred to and<br>from the devices are<br>performed over an SSL<br>connection via webservices<br>are over 128-bit (or 256-bit)<br>SSL connections. We can<br>also utilize installed<br>certificates on client<br>machines, but most<br>customers do not elect this<br>route due to potential<br>maintenance issues. This<br>includes downloads, uploads,<br>software distribution and<br>error reporting. All<br>communications are<br>compressed and then<br>encrypted (AES) per he CJIS<br>security requirements.           |
| F090     | Expected | The solution's hand-held units shall<br>have the option for using wireless<br>technology. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can<br>transmit data from the<br>devices to the printers using<br>either wireless or Bluetooth<br>communications. As<br>previously stated, based on<br>our experience at over 180<br>law enforcement agencies,<br>we highly recommend that<br>the City use the Bluetooth<br>version due to interference<br>with the WiFi<br>communications.<br>Additionally, the handheld<br>devices can also use wireless<br>technology (i.e. 802.11 and<br>cellular) to sync and perform<br>real-time lookups and<br>communications. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F091     | Must Have | The solution shall meet or exceed<br>current CJIS standards                 | Base Functionality | DescriptionThe Brazos Solution adheresto and exceeds CJIS securitystandards in several ways.First, all communications arecompressed and thenencrypted (AES) per the CJISsecurity requirements.Additionally, all images/filescan be encrypted to the AESstandard required for CJISsecurity compliance. Finally,if the City elects to utilize ouruser management capability,we provide complete accessto all add/modify/deleteoptions, configuring of userroles as well as specificpassword rules. Forexample, we can allow theCity to define completelyCJIS security rules forstrength of password, cannotuse the last 4 password,expires every 90 days, etc.It is important to note herethat we currently have Texaspolice department runningTLETs returns and have hadseveral of our agencies |
| F092     | Must Have | The solution shall use a unique user<br>name and password for each operator | Base Functionality | audited by the FBI. We have<br>passed every audit.<br>The Brazos Solution requires<br>a unique login ID and<br>password for both the devices<br>and the website. The system<br>allows multiple agencies to<br>run concurrently on the same<br>server (as evidenced by 150+<br>agencies running on our<br>hosted server) without<br>impacting one another. With<br>the flexibility of BuildIT,<br>each agency can have its own<br>business rules, data, screen<br>layouts, print layouts,<br>workflow, security, etc.<br>Each user and device is<br>assigned to an agency as is   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |           |  |                    | each record in the system.<br>This is how we ensure that no<br>information from one agency<br>is released to another without<br>the approval from both<br>agencies.   |
| F093     | Must Have | The solution shall have the ability to be agency specific.   | Base Functionality | Each implementation of the<br>Brazos Solution is agency-<br>specific. Via BuildIT, we<br>can create the citation system<br>that the agency wants – with<br>its own fields, screen layouts,<br>print layouts, workflow,<br>business rules, security, etc.  |
| F094     | Must Have | The solution shall lock out any user<br>who attempts to sign into the system<br>with invalid data. | Base Functionality | The City defines and controls<br>all of the security access rules<br>via BuildIT. The City<br>determines how many invalid<br>sign on attempts are allowed.  |
| F095     | Must Have | The proposed solution shall be capable<br>of providing 99.9% availability.                         | Base Functionality | Since the Brazos solution has<br>existed in a hosted<br>environment for such a long<br>period of time, our reliability<br>methods and strategies are<br>extremely well tested and<br>proven. We employ a<br>combination of RAID 10 and<br>RAID 5 hardware arrays for<br>fault tolerance as well as<br>database mirroring for fault<br>tolerance and reporting<br>performance. All software<br>systems have been tuned for<br>a very highly scalable and<br>available system that is<br>continuously being<br>improved. With our hosted<br>solution, we have one of if<br>not the largest production<br>eCitation solution in the<br>country. If the City elects to<br>install this solution locally,<br>all of the availability and<br>scalability described here<br>would be delivered to the<br>City since the software |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | solution would be the same.   |
| F096     | Must Have | The specifications for the interface<br>shall be coordinated with the Court and<br>PCSS, Inc the vendor of JEMS, the<br>Court's case management system. | Base Functionality | As previously stated, we have<br>successfully interfaced with<br>over 20 court and RMS<br>systems. We have already<br>interfaced with JEMS at two<br>Texas agencies and plan on<br>utilizing their existing<br>interface. If there are<br>changes to the interface due<br>to the customizations at the<br>City, we will make those<br>modifications at no additional<br>charge. |
| F097     | Must Have | The cost of the interface(s) for the<br>court's case management system shall<br>be included in the project.   | Base Functionality | Brazos has interfaces with<br>PCSS in two different police<br>departments in Texas. We<br>are so confident that we can<br>support the implementation<br>in Austin that we are not<br>charging the City any money<br>to do so (from our side).   |
| F098     | Must Have | Violation specific data shall be<br>transferred/loaded into the court's case<br>management system via the interface<br>developed.                       | Base Functionality | We can provide any data to<br>the court system that are<br>captured via the citation in<br>whatever format required.<br>The only caveat is that the<br>JEMS interface includes<br>fields for all the required data<br>for the City.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| F099     | Must Have | An image of the defendant's ticket shall<br>be created by the solution and shall be<br>transferred/loaded into the court's case<br>management system via the interface<br>developed. | Base Functionality | The Citation Detail Report,<br>which is one of the standard<br>reports included in the<br>Brazos Solution, contains an<br>exact copy of the citation as<br>it was provided to the<br>defendant. It can be run for<br>all citations or scoped on any<br>number of the following<br>parameters: Beginning Date,<br>End Date, Officer, Stop<br>Results, Citation Number, or<br>whether there was Officer<br>Notes or not. If a parameter<br>is left blank then all records<br>are assumed. |
|          |           |  |                    | You can export all of our<br>reports to the following<br>formats: 1) HTML, 2)<br>Acrobat [PDF], 3) Excel, 4)<br>TIFF, Web Archive, CSV, or<br>XML file. You can also<br>zoom on the report to provide<br>larger text.   |
|          |           |  |                    | Additionally, we can provide<br>the Citation Detail Report as<br>a PDF for import into JEMS.  |
| F100     | Must Have | The solution shall create an exception<br>file for citations that are manually<br>entered into the Court's Case<br>Management system prior to the<br>citation being uploaded.        | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution includes<br>a web-based Citation Entry<br>Screen for entering any paper<br>tickets. These tickets will be<br>processed through the system<br>just like the electronically<br>collected tickets. We would<br>like the City to consider<br>using this functionality for<br>manually entered citations in<br>order to prevent this<br>situation.   |
|          |           |  |                    | If the City elects to continue<br>entering citations manually<br>into PCSS, the interface of<br>records into JEMS rejects all<br>duplicate citation numbers.<br>Therefore duplicate citations<br>will not be imported into the<br>court system.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |           |  |                    | If the City would like to have<br>the system automatically<br>detect duplicates prior to<br>import into JEMS, Brazos<br>will support the City by<br>running a query against the<br>JEMS system if made<br>available to Brazos by the<br>City.   |
| F101     |           | The solution shall create an exception<br>file for citations that are uploaded to<br>the Courts Management System after<br>the appearance date.                    | Base Functionality | As we understand from our<br>current customer, the City<br>will be provided with an<br>Warning message from<br>JEMS when a citation is<br>imported after the appearance<br>date. Additionally, we can<br>provide a workflow process<br>that will notify City<br>personnel when a citation is<br>pushed to JEMS after the<br>appearance data |
| F102     | Must Have | An image taken from the hand held<br>units (if captured) shall be<br>transferred/loaded into the court's case<br>management system via the interface<br>developed. | Base Functionality | appearance date.<br>The MC75A has a built-in<br>color camera to capture<br>photos of the violator. Once<br>the photo is captured, it is<br>associated with the citation<br>number and processed along<br>with the citation throughout<br>the system, according to the<br>workflow defined by the<br>City.                                   |
|          |           |  |                    | This image can be passed<br>directly into JEMS or as a<br>part of the Citation image<br>previously discussed.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| F103     | Must Have | The solution shall send the citation(s)<br>data, via an upload device, to the<br>Court's Case Management system. | Base Functionality | As described above, we have<br>already implemented the<br>PCSS interface at two<br>different Texas courts and are<br>completely confident in our<br>ability to deliver this<br>interface to the City. Once a<br>citation is uploaded and<br>approved for export to the<br>court, an XML file is<br>generated and downloaded to<br>a specific file directory. The<br>PCSS import is then run from<br>JEMS and a status file is<br>generated for each citation<br>that was imported.  |
|          |           |  |                    | While this interface has been<br>developed and implemented,<br>Brazos recognizes that the<br>City has highly modified the<br>PCSS system and may have<br>alternative methods for<br>importing the data.   |
|          |           |  |                    | To date we have interfaced<br>with over 20 different court<br>and RMS systems across the<br>country as well as running<br>vehicle and person returns<br>via our own Brazos Message<br>Switch. We are confident we<br>can meet the interface needs<br>of the City. The Brazos<br>Solution can access ODBC-<br>compliant databases, XML-<br>based APIs, and file-based<br>import/export methods for<br>moving data to and from<br>other applications, including<br>legacy systems. This<br>flexibility provides an<br>enormous number of<br>possibilities for increasing<br>the value of the investment<br>by creating new functionality<br>for the ticket writers or<br>adding new applications to<br>the devices. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | The entire import/export<br>process is controlled via the<br>BuildIT with our<br>Import/Export Wizard. This<br>allows the City to add new<br>interfaces or modify existing<br>interfaces at any time with no<br>'change orders' being<br>charged back to the City.  |
| F104     | Must Have | The solution shall populate fields in the<br>Court's Case Management System<br>application. | Base Functionality | All fields available for import<br>are defined in the XML<br>document that is passed from<br>Brazos into JEMS. The<br>required fields have already<br>been defined and can be<br>reviewed/modified by the<br>City. Those required fields<br>are enforced on the ticket<br>writers and the user cannot<br>print or save the citation until<br>all business rules are<br>completed. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F105     | Must Have | The solution shall interface with the<br>Police Records Management System                                       | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology has a<br>signed NDA from Versaterm<br>and has already verified the<br>XML layout and processing<br>workflow with Versaterm.<br>Currently, we have been<br>selected as the eCitation<br>vendor for Salt Lake City,<br>UT, and Phoenix, AZ, who<br>are both Versaterm customers<br>and will be implementing an<br>interface to Versaterm.<br>While we have not yet<br>implemented the interface in<br>a production environment,<br>we feel extremely confident<br>that we can do so with no<br>problems. |
|          |           |   |                    | Please note that the contracts<br>for Salt Lake City have been<br>signed with an anticipated<br>go-live date of June 24th, and<br>we have been notified by<br>Phoenix but have not<br>completed the contract<br>process yet.   |
| F106     | Must Have | The solution shall send the citation<br>data, via an upload device, to the Police<br>Records Management system. | Base Functionality | The process for the RMS is<br>very similar to the Court<br>interface. Versaterm has<br>their own XML document,<br>and that XML file will be<br>transferred to a directory on<br>the RMS server where an<br>automated process will input<br>that record. All fields and<br>business rules required by<br>Versaterm will be enforced<br>on the ticket writers before<br>the officer can print and/or<br>save a citation.   |
| F107     | Must Have | The solution shall send the warning<br>data, via an upload device, to the Police<br>Records Management system.  | Base Functionality | Process will be the same as<br>for the citation data in<br>requirement F106 above.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F108     | Must Have | The solution shall interface with<br>Computer Aided Dispatch  | Base Functionality | We have enjoyed a very good<br>relationship with TriTech and<br>are in fact partnered with<br>them on a few deals. During<br>this process we have<br>demonstrated that we can: 1)<br>successfully operate on the<br>same laptop as the VisiNet<br>Mobile software and 2)<br>import person and vehicle<br>return information into the<br>citation and FIR.  |
|          |           |   |                    | We have also discussed but<br>not implemented a direct<br>connection to the TriTech<br>CAD for potentially running<br>returns on the PDAs<br>themselves.   |
|          |           |   |                    | Currently, we will be<br>working on integration with<br>the TriTech CAD for the City<br>of Dallas.   |
| F109     | Must Have | The solution shall be able to obtain the<br>Computer Aided Dispatch assigned<br>number for the Citation issued. | Base Functionality | As described above, Brazos<br>has already developed the<br>ability to capture the CAD or<br>case number for an event<br>from Visinet Mobile on the<br>laptops. We have discussed<br>the ability to query the<br>TriTech CAD directly for<br>both returns and the case<br>number for PDAs, but have<br>not implemented this solution<br>yet. The City of Dallas has<br>selected Brazos Technology,<br>and that integration is<br>included in the project. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F110     | Must Have | The solution shall be able to use<br>wireless communications to query state<br>and national databases for driver's<br>license, warrant, and registration<br>checks. | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology has two<br>methods for running TLETS<br>and NCIC queries:  |
|          |           |   |                    | 1) Brazos has developed our<br>own DPS approved message<br>switch for running<br>TLETs/NCIC queries from<br>any device running the<br>Brazos Solution.   |
|          |           |   |                    | 2) We have spoken with<br>TriTech before and again<br>with the City of Dallas, that<br>they have the ability to allow<br>Brazos to run 27s, 28s and<br>29s against the TriTech<br>message switch for PDAs<br>that do not have Visinet<br>Mobile.                 |
|          |           |   |                    | Brazos can support any<br>connectivity method that<br>would allow a connection<br>from the ticket writers to the<br>message switch server. This<br>connection typically is<br>cellular connection with a<br>Fixed End Point type of<br>solution or a mobile VPN. |
|          |           |   |                    | All of the fields provided for<br>both people and vehicles can<br>be parsed and imported into<br>any form being utilized by<br>the officers.   |
|          |           |   |                    | Additionally, Brazos has<br>recently modified our<br>message switch to provide<br>the pictures of the violators<br>for each 27 run for a person<br>in the state of Texas.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
| F111     | Desired  | The solution should support importing<br>of warrants from the local database into<br>the hand held devices. | Base Functionality | This is standard functionality<br>for our solution. The court<br>will setup an automated<br>export of current warrants.<br>The Brazos Solution will<br>upload that file and update<br>any existing warrants as well<br>as delete those warrants that<br>have been cleared. Any<br>record that is<br>updated/added/deleted will<br>be pushed to the ticket<br>writers during the sync<br>process. Whenever an officer<br>enters the first name, last<br>name, and DOB of a person,<br>we will automatically use<br>that information to lookup<br>any local warrants on that<br>ticket writer. If one is found,<br>the user is notified and can<br>view limited details of the<br>warrant. It is important to<br>note that we instruct the<br>officer during training that<br>they still need to verify the<br>warrant before arresting the<br>suspect. |
|          |          |   |                    | Another benefit of the Brazos<br>Solution is that we can<br>include the local warrants<br>from any other agency<br>desired by the City. These<br>agencies include Travis<br>County, Round Rock, Cedar<br>Park, and several others in<br>and around Travis County.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| F112     | Desired   | The warrant database for the solution<br>should support at a minimum 300,000<br>warrants (records). | Base Functionality | As described above, we have<br>and support the ability to<br>provide local warrant<br>information on the devices.<br>We can support 300K records<br>on both the laptops and<br>handhelds (provided the<br>handhelds has an SD Card for<br>storage). However, with the<br>handheld device, the vast<br>number of records would<br>present significant sync times<br>to pull down and update<br>those records. For the<br>handhelds, we would<br>recommend storing the local<br>warrants on our system<br>database and running a query<br>against them in the same<br>manner as the TLETS query.<br>In this case, the officer would<br>complete the first name, last<br>name and DOB fields as<br>described above, but the<br>device would then initiate a<br>remote query via a cellular<br>connection and return any<br>hits in a similar format as the<br>TLETS return. |
| F113     | Must Have | The solution shall interface with state<br>commercial vehicle inspection<br>reporting system.       | Base Functionality | To date, Brazos has not<br>interfaced with the ASPEN<br>CMV inspection system<br>provided by the state. We are<br>allowing CMV-enabled<br>departments to write<br>citations, but are not<br>currently doing the<br>inspections. We have been<br>working with several<br>agencies to provide this<br>functionality and would<br>enjoy the opportunity to work<br>with the City on this system.<br>Brazos will provide an<br>interface to the state<br>commercial vehicle<br>inspection reporting for<br>citations at no additional<br>charge. If the City would<br>like Brazos to create a<br>commercial vehicle  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
|          |           |  |                    | inspection program, we<br>would need to discuss scope<br>and cost.  |
| F114     | Must Have | The solution shall interface with federal<br>commercial vehicle inspection<br>reporting system.                | Base Functionality | Brazos will provide an<br>interface to the federal<br>commercial vehicle<br>inspection reporting for<br>citations at no additional<br>charge. If the City would<br>like Brazos to create a<br>commercial vehicle<br>inspection program, we<br>would need to discuss scope<br>and cost.  |
| F115     | Expected  | The handheld solution shall have the<br>capability to integrate a Global<br>Positioning System at a later time | Base Functionality | The MC75A has an<br>integrated GPS capability.<br>This can be used to identify<br>the location of accidents,<br>field investigations, etc.<br>however we do not<br>recommend that it be used for<br>citations because the location<br>at which the citation is issued<br>is not necessarily the same as<br>the place in which the<br>infraction occurred. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description                                     | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| F116     | Must Have | The solution shall download software<br>upgrades as needed. | Base Functionality | As previously stated, Brazos<br>Technology offers a<br>comprehensive maintenance<br>plan that includes all<br>changes, updates, bug fixes,<br>modifications, etc., at no<br>additional charge. As long as<br>the City remains current with<br>the annual maintenance<br>contract, all updates are<br>automatically applied at no<br>additional charge.  |
|          |           |   |                    | Not only will the City receive<br>all upgrades and major/minor<br>versions of the software, we<br>will make any change to any<br>part of the solution at NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE!<br>Our 'No Change Order'<br>policy will ensure that the<br>City will continue to have a<br>relevant and superior solution<br>for many, many years and<br>will save thousands of dollars<br>in the future.   |
|          |           |   |                    | Part of our strategy for<br>providing outstanding<br>support is keeping all of our<br>customers on the current<br>version of software. Any<br>time we release major or<br>minor updates to the<br>software, that software is<br>updated to the server and<br>automatically downloaded to<br>all devices (with no IT<br>intervention). We also have<br>the ability to remotely update<br>the operating system if<br>needed but will be kept up to<br>date FOR NO<br>ADDITIONAL CHARGE. If<br>for any reason the City<br>decides not to receive these<br>upgrades, Brazos Technology<br>will support up to two<br>versions back. If the<br>software becomes older than<br>two versions, there may be an |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | Description<br>additional cost to 'catch up'<br>the software if the automatic<br>updating process cannot run<br>unattended. We typically<br>provide minor releases at<br>least once per month with<br>major releases once per<br>quarter. |
| F117     | Must Have | The solution's software update function<br>will not interfere with daily operations<br>of the Courts or the Police. | Base Functionality | All updates occur during the<br>sync process. Any major<br>updates will be coordinated<br>with the Court and PD<br>contacts to ensure that the<br>update is applied across the<br>board with no interruption of                           |
| F118     | Must Have | The solution shall download software  | Base Functionality | daily activity.<br>Please refer to item F116  |
| F119     | Must Have | upgrades as needed.<br>The solution's software update function  | Base Functionality | above.<br>All updates and upgrades are  |
|          |           | shall be automated and shall not require<br>anyone to physically touch each<br>deployed device.                     |                    | applied during the sync<br>process and do not require<br>user intervention.   |
| F120     | Must Have | The solution shall support NIEMS naming standards.  | Base Functionality | Not only are we 100%<br>NIEMS-compliant, but we<br>will also match whatever the<br>City's interpretation of those<br>NIEMS standards are.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| F121     | Expected  | The solution shall support exporting<br>data in the NDEX (National Data<br>Exchange) format to CopLink               | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can<br>export data in any format<br>desired by the City. We will<br>provide an export in the<br>NDEX format at no<br>additional cost.  |
| F122     | Desired   | The solution shall integrate with Active<br>Directory  | Base Functionality | We have the ability to<br>integrate with an existing<br>Active Directory network via<br>LDAP integration.  |
| F123     | Must Have | The solution shall be able to accept<br>street updates from ESRI.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can<br>accept updates from an ESRI<br>application in .shp file<br>format. The frequency and<br>parameters of these updates<br>are defined and controlled by<br>the City via BuildIT. We are<br>currently working on the<br>ability to capture street<br>information from the GIS<br>database through the new<br>ESRI web services as well. |
| F124     | Must Have | The solution's citation/warning issued<br>date/time stamp shall not be made<br>available for alteration by the user. | Base Functionality | The City defines and controls<br>all aspects of the Brazos<br>Solution via BuildIT. The<br>date/time stamp can be<br>system-created and not<br>modifiable. Additionally, the<br>date/time stamps and<br>historical field changes can<br>be locked from any user<br>modification.   |
| F125     | Must Have | The vendor's solution shall ensure<br>database licensing is included as part of<br>the purchase.                     | Base Functionality | A license for Microsoft SQL<br>Server 2008 is included in<br>this proposal but is only<br>required for the locally<br>installed server option. No<br>license is needed for the<br>hosted model.  |
| F126     | Must Have | The vendor shall advise the amount of DBA support that is needed once the system is in production.                   | Base Functionality | Since we would install the<br>same solution locally (if<br>desired by the City) then we<br>can provide a complete<br>maintenance plan that can be<br>administered by either the<br>City or Brazos support<br>personnel. We expect limited<br>DBA support from the City<br>in either case.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|--|
| T001     | Expected | The desktop component of the solution<br>should be able to run on the MS XP<br>Operating System (City desktops are<br>managed via the network). In addition,<br>the vendor should have plans to support<br>Microsoft Windows 7 desktop OS. | Base Functionality | Since there are no desktop<br>installation requirements, we<br>support all Microsoft OS<br>versions required including<br>(XP and Windows 7).<br>Additionally, our laptop<br>software has already been   |
|          |          |  |                    | certified and deployed on<br>both Windows XP and<br>Windows 7.   |
| T002     | Expected | If the solution is client-server<br>architecture, the client software should<br>be Citrix compliant.   | Base Functionality | As described above, the<br>entire Brazos back-end<br>solution is web-based and<br>can operate in a Citrix<br>environment if desired.<br>Additionally, all<br>installed/embedded ticket<br>writer software<br>communicates via<br>webservices and will also<br>support a Citrix environment<br>if desired.  |
| T003     | Expected | The vendor must identify any third-<br>party software products used within the<br>proposed system configuration.   | Base Functionality | The eCitation server is web-<br>based running under IIS6.0<br>with a SQL Server 2008<br>database. We use SQL<br>Server Reporting Services to<br>build and display the reports<br>on the eCitation server. The<br>report designer for Reporting<br>Services is free with the<br>license, and the license to<br>Reporting Services is free<br>when you own at least a<br>standard version of the<br>DBMS (which the City does,<br>per the RFP). The report<br>writer is very flexible and<br>fairly similar to the Crystal<br>Reports report designer. |
| T004     | Expected | Patch updates to operating system<br>software, application software,<br>database software and client software<br>should be tested and identified for<br>implementation within 5 days of a new<br>patch release.                            | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology maintains<br>all hosted systems with the<br>latest versions and patches as<br>they are delivered by<br>Microsoft. We typically test<br>all patches 2 days after<br>release in order to eliminate<br>the immediate issues that<br>have arisen in the past.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | If a patch/upgrade causes an<br>issue with any component of<br>the system, we notify our<br>customers of the<br>incompatibility and work<br>with Microsoft to identify<br>and resolve the issue.  |
| T005     | Must Have | The Vendor should provide<br>documentation depicting the technical<br>architecture of the components of this<br>solution, including a simple network<br>architecture diagram.   | Base Functionality | All system documentation is<br>specific to each<br>implementation. It is<br>maintained online. Please<br>refer Appendix D of the<br>proposal for a sample<br>diagram.   |
| T006     | Expected  | The proposed solution client software<br>should not depend on Microsoft Office<br>applications for proper operation.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution does not<br>require Microsoft Office<br>applications at all. It only<br>requires an internet browser.   |
| T007     | Expected  | If the application is web-based, the<br>solution must support updated versions<br>of Internet Explorer and Firefox client<br>web browsers within 30 days of new<br>releases of the browsers.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Technology<br>Enterprise server is 100%<br>web-based today and is<br>validated for Internet<br>Explorer and Firefox. As<br>new versions are released, we<br>download and test the latest<br>versions for compatibility.<br>We will then identify<br>members of our development<br>team who will use the latest<br>versions in their day to day<br>operations in order to not<br>only fully test the browser<br>but identify what new<br>features we should<br>incorporate into our solution. |
| T008     | Must Have | The proposed solution's client interface<br>(whether client software or web<br>browser) must maintain compatibility<br>with routinely updated desktop<br>components such as browser interim<br>update releases, updates to Java and<br>Javascript, Windows OS security<br>patches, etc. | Base Functionality | As described previously, our<br>development team will<br>download and install all<br>patches and upgrades to test<br>and certify the upgrades 2<br>days after release. Once<br>those patches have been<br>certified, we will identify<br>team members to operate on<br>those changes in their day to<br>day operations as a fail-safe<br>method for both<br>identifying/resolve issues as<br>well as identifying new<br>features that can be  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |          |   |                    | incorporated into our solution.   |
| T009     | Expected | The solution should support multiple<br>instances of production, testing<br>(application updates and OS updates)<br>and training environments<br>simultaneously.  | Base Functionality | For the ticket writer software,<br>we recommend that the City<br>have separate laptops for the<br>testing and/or training<br>environments. If the City<br>elects to utilize the hosted<br>environment, these<br>procedures are completely<br>provided by Brazos.  |
|          |          |   |                    | In the locally installed model,<br>the City can either have<br>replicated environments and<br>maintain those environments<br>for testing and training<br>purposes. We would not<br>recommend a virtualized<br>environment for testing but<br>would consider using a<br>virtualized environment for<br>training. Our licensing<br>model would allow for the<br>testing environment at no<br>additional cost, but we would<br>charge for licensing the<br>training environment. |
| T010     | Expected | If data archiving is provided, the<br>solution should enable data storage and<br>retrieval from archived data in a<br>manner which is consistent with<br>production integrity. Data Archiving<br>mechanisms must include configuration<br>and metadata elements as part of their<br>backup scheme, and restoration should<br>be automated as part of the restoration<br>solution. | Base Functionality | Brazos Technology believes<br>strongly that any backup<br>and/or DRA procedures must<br>adhere to the DRA plan<br>provided by the City for<br>mission critical systems. All<br>DRA systems/procedures are<br>completely controlled by<br>Brazos in the Hosted<br>environment and we have<br>NEVER lost a record.  |
|          |          |   |                    | If the City elects the locally<br>installed option, we would<br>work with the City to comply<br>with this requirement within  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |          |   |                    | the existing DRA<br>processes/tools that exist<br>within the environment.   |
|          |          |   |                    | In terms of the overall Brazos<br>Solution, we do not archive<br>citations/records. They are<br>always available for reporting<br>purposes, and we currently<br>have over 3 million citations<br>available on our hosted<br>servers today.  |
| T011     | Expected | If data archiving is provided, the<br>solution should allow a user with<br>appropriate privileges to define datasets<br>to be archived, retention periods for<br>current and archived data, and the date<br>and time of archival. | Base Functionality | As described previously, the<br>DRA process should mirror<br>the existing City's plan for<br>backup and recovery.   |
|          |          |   |                    | We do not force the City to<br>archive data within our<br>solution, and we always have<br>that data available for<br>reporting purposes.  |
| T012     | Expected | The solution should allow users with<br>appropriate permissions to view & print<br>application error logs online.   | Base Functionality | Users with administrative<br>privileges can view multiple<br>log files. All log files<br>include date/time, user<br>information, and software<br>versions. Device log files<br>contain all activity performed<br>on the device, user logins and<br>any error that occurs on the<br>device. Server log files<br>contain all user logins, failed<br>login attempts, pages<br>accesses, and any errors that<br>occur on the server.<br>Webservice logs contain all<br>activity related to the<br>webservices, including any<br>errors that occurred. |
| T013     | Expected | Application updates and operating<br>system security patches should be<br>administered without downtime.  | Base Functionality | All updates are pushed down<br>to the devices and laptops<br>during the sync process with<br>no user and/or IT<br>intervention.   |

| Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response   | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| Must Have | The proposed solution must be capable<br>of providing 99.9% uptime if the City<br>of Austin chooses to require it. This<br>level of availability may be directly<br>supported by the proposed solution, or<br>may use third-party tools and methods<br>to achieve 99.9% uptime. | Base Functionality  | Since the Brazos Solution has<br>existed in a hosted<br>environment for such a long<br>period of time, our reliability<br>methods and strategies are<br>extremely well tested and<br>proven. We employ a<br>combination of RAID 10 and<br>RAID 5 hardware arrays for<br>fault tolerance as well as<br>database mirroring for fault<br>tolerance and reporting<br>performance. All software<br>systems have been tuned for<br>a very highly scalable and<br>available system that is<br>continuously being<br>improved. With our hosted<br>solution, we have one of, if<br>not the largest production<br>eCitation solution in the<br>country. If the City elects to<br>install this solution locally,<br>all of the availability and<br>scalability described here<br>would be delivered to the<br>City since the software<br>solution would be the same. |
| Expected  | The solution should be able to recover specific data records and/or files from backup and/or near-line storage.   | Base Functionality  | As described previously, we<br>do not archive data as with<br>other solutions and that data<br>is available to authorized<br>users at any time.   |
| Expected  | The solution should be scalable for<br>future growth.   | Base Functionality  | The current Brazos Solution<br>supports over 12,000 users<br>and over 6,000 ticket writers.<br>We regularly have over 1,200<br>concurrent users on our<br>administrative/reporting<br>website and push over 6,500<br>citation per day. The Brazos<br>Solution can easily<br>demonstrate the scalability of<br>our solution to meet not only<br>the current requirements for<br>the City, but allow for future<br>applications and/or<br>functionality in the future.<br>We have included a per-<br>license as well as site license<br>cost in the price proposal for  |
|           | Expected  | ExpectedThe solution should be able to recover<br>specific data records and/or files from<br>backup and/or near-line storage. | of providing 99.9% uptime if the City<br>of Austin chooses to require it. This<br>level of availability may be directly<br>supported by the proposed solution, or<br>may use third-party tools and methods<br>to achieve 99.9% uptime.ExpectedThe solution should be able to recover<br>specific data records and/or files from<br>backup and/or near-line storage.Base Functionality   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |          |   |                    | Phase 2.   |
| T017     | Expected | Authorized users should be able to<br>control and correct suspended or<br>aborted transactions caused by an<br>unexpected system, network or<br>workstation outage. | Base Functionality | With the Brazos Solution, all<br>aspects of the solution are<br>available to system<br>administrators. With our<br>guaranteed data delivery<br>mechanisms, there is no loss<br>of data. All records can be<br>reviewed/searched with the<br>workflow statuses changed<br>by authorized users for<br>processing at any point in the<br>process. For example, if a<br>citation ever fails to import<br>into the court system due to a<br>court system outage, those<br>records can be marked to re-<br>download at any time. |
| T018     | Expected | The solution should include a method<br>of purging record data from the<br>production database(s).  | Base Functionality | Authorized users can delete<br>any record if allowed for and<br>desired by the City. This<br>level of delete does not purge<br>the system and can be<br>recovered by a system<br>administrator. System<br>administrators can<br>permanently purge records<br>which will physically remove<br>them from the database.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
| T019     | Expected | The Vendor should explain the<br>relationships between data stored on<br>the main servers, the report servers (if<br>applicable), the training servers (if<br>applicable), the archive servers (if<br>applicable), and any off line data. | Base Functionality | The primary production<br>database is the primary point<br>for all transactions<br>(adds/updates/deletes). This<br>database is replicated to a<br>reporting server and all<br>reports are generated from<br>that reporting server.  |
|          |          |   |                    | In the hosted model, testing<br>and training data are located<br>on the primary server under<br>another logical customer.<br>This way all aspects of the<br>system can be tested and/or<br>used for training purposes<br>with no production impact.   |
|          |          |   |                    | In the locally installed model,<br>the testing and training<br>servers are stand-alone<br>systems that are refreshed<br>from production data in order<br>to 'seed' the databases and<br>then the testing/ training can<br>be performed.   |
| T020     | Expected | The application should manage<br>concurrent data updates by multiple<br>users without creating deadlocks or<br>data loss.   | Base Functionality | Since Brazos utilizes a multi-<br>tenant database for all<br>customers, deadlock<br>conditions have been<br>addressed in a number of<br>different ways. Stored<br>procedure definitions, single<br>threading specific tasks, and<br>other data concurrency<br>strategies have been<br>extensively employed. |
| T021     | Expected | The solution should ensure master file<br>records cannot be deleted if any child<br>records exist (referential integrity).  | Base Functionality | Referential integrity is<br>enforced at both the<br>application and database<br>levels. Master file deletes are<br>performed via stored<br>procedures to ensure that<br>records are not orphaned.<br>We do NOT use cascading<br>deletes.  |
| T022     | Desired  | The proposed system should provide<br>data integrity to ensure the accuracy<br>and availability of the data at all times.   | Base Functionality | As described above, we<br>employ referential integrity at<br>the application and database<br>levels through the widespread  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |          |   |                    | use of stored procedures,<br>foreign key constraints as<br>well application level<br>validations.  |
| T023     | Expected | The solution should provide a method<br>for archiving historical data.  | Base Functionality | As described above, we allow<br>all historical records to be<br>accessed and reported on<br>based upon the City's<br>requirements. Instead of an<br>archival strategy involving<br>removing historical records,<br>we strongly recommend<br>leveraging our flexible<br>workflow process to define<br>what actions can be<br>performed by which users.<br>For example, after a citation<br>is exported and received by<br>the Court system, we do not<br>allow any modification to<br>that record unless approved<br>by a system administrator.<br>This is just one example of<br>how we allow for historical<br>data integrity without the<br>need of a physical archiving<br>process.     |
| T024     | Desired  | If specified in Functional<br>Requirements, the solution should<br>allow one or more files to be attached<br>to, or associated with, a data record.<br>(i.e. text files, scanned images, digital<br>photos, faxes, etc.). | Base Functionality | All files related to a record<br>including photographs,<br>signatures, video, audio and<br>citation images are associated<br>with a citation and available<br>for viewing via the Citation<br>Detail Report. While we do<br>not have a formal size policy,<br>we will work with the City to<br>minimize the size of any<br>attached files such as faxes,<br>scanned images, and or<br>digital photos not captured<br>via the Brazos solution.<br>These files are stored on the<br>server with the file reference<br>stored in the DBMS. We<br>have several services that run<br>on the server to verify the<br>location/presence of the files<br>versus what is identified in<br>the DBMS. |
| T025     | Expected | The solution should perform forms-<br>based data validation (field level<br>validation) and display error messages<br>when validation fails (i.e. user enters<br>text in a numeric field).                                | Base Functionality | All fields are validated<br>whenever the user prints<br>and/or saves the record.<br>These business rules are<br>completely defined by the  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |          |   |                    | City. The user is presented<br>with the error message and<br>immediately taken to the<br>offending field for correction.  |
| T026     | Expected | Tables or logs of transaction updates<br>should be maintained that indicate the<br>data element value(s) changed and the<br>date, time, and User ID of the person<br>making the change.                         | Base Functionality | Every field on each record is<br>logged every time a user<br>changes a value and saves the<br>record. The historical log<br>captures the date/time, user<br>ID, machine used by the user,<br>original value, and changed<br>value.  |
| T027     | Expected | If bulk or batch data loads are<br>supported, the solution should use the<br>same data validation criteria for bulk<br>data loads as it does for manual data<br>entry.  | Base Functionality | All bulk updates perform the<br>same validation for each<br>record (as well as the change<br>logging) as if the user<br>manually updated the record.  |
| T028     | Expected | The application should support user-<br>defined fields, and Application<br>authorized users should be able to<br>create, store, and retrieve data elements<br>that are not part of the standard<br>application. | Base Functionality | This is an absolute strength<br>of the Brazos Solution. Each<br>application can add an<br>unlimited number of user<br>defined fields that are fully<br>validated, stored and<br>retrieved by authorized users.<br>Since the Brazos Solution is<br>built on a development<br>platform, each application<br>can capture exactly what is<br>desired by the City. |
| T029     | Expected | The solution database should be well-<br>documented, including a current data<br>dictionary and Entity Relationship<br>Diagram.   | Base Functionality | Brazos will provide a<br>complete ERD for the<br>database if selected by the<br>City.   |
| T030     | Expected | Future releases of the application<br>should NOT render archived data<br>unusable.  | Base Functionality | Since we do not archive data,<br>this will NEVER be an issue.   |
| T031     | Expected | The solution should include a<br>transaction update confirmation or<br>failure notification for user<br>transactions, batch transactions and<br>system administrator transactions.                              | Base Functionality | Each transaction provides the<br>user with notification of the<br>success and/or failure. All<br>failures provide the specific<br>error and allow the user to re-<br>try and/or make<br>modifications for submission.   |
| T032     | Expected | The solution must support DFS shares for file access.   | Base Functionality | File access can be via DFS shares in all cases.   |
| T033     | Expected | If applicable, all supplied portable<br>devices (laptops, hand-held units, etc.)<br>must have display screens that are<br>readable in conditions ranging from<br>darkness to direct sunlight.                   | Base Functionality | The Motorola MC75A has<br>been selected by almost all<br>police departments due to the<br>superior readability of the<br>screens not only at night but<br>also in the direct sunlight.<br>Please refer to the MC75A<br>brochure at the end of this  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |           |  |                    | proposal for detailed<br>specifications.   |
| T034     | Expected  | If applicable, all supplied portable<br>devices (laptops, hand-held units, etc.)<br>should be resistant to heat, cold,<br>moisture, dust and shock.  | Base Functionality | The MC75A is extremely<br>rugged, is rated for a 4-5 foot<br>drop to concrete, and is water<br>resistant (can be used in the<br>rain with no problems). It<br>operates at temperatures<br>between 40° and 140°F (-40°<br>and 60°C). In addition, the<br>MC75A can operate in<br>weather with up to 95%<br>humidity.  |
| T035     | Expected  | If applicable, all supplied portable<br>devices (laptops, hand-held units, etc.)<br>should be capable of receiving program<br>or firmware updates via network<br>connections.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution includes<br>all software, configuration,<br>and dropdown updates<br>automatically during the sync<br>process. This process<br>requires no user intervention<br>and can be completely<br>controlled by the system<br>administrators. Currently,<br>the only potential update that<br>the Brazos Solution cannot<br>perform is the PDA/laptop<br>operating system. |
| T036     | Must Have | The proposed solution should include a detailed explanation of any real-time or near real-time data interchange required to/from other systems.  | Base Functionality | There are no real-time data<br>exchanges required by the<br>system except for the TLETS<br>queries. All data connections<br>from other systems<br>(locations, offenses, officers,<br>etc) can be in a batch mode<br>and will update the DBMS<br>server. The ticket writers<br>will update the ticket writers<br>during the sync process.   |
| T037     | Desired   | If email is used within the application,<br>the email component should be SMTP<br>and/or Microsoft Exchange compliant<br>and provide a messaging development<br>environment through the provision of<br>documented APIs. | Base Functionality | All Brazos messaging can<br>utilize either SMTP or<br>Microsoft Exchange.<br>Our development<br>environment consists<br>primarily for development of<br>the applications for the<br>mobile devices and not the<br>message itself. All<br>messaging notifications,<br>addresses, workflow, etc., are<br>controlled via administrative<br>screens within the                           |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |          |   |                    | application.  |
| T038     | Desired  | The solution must support the<br>import/export of data from/to various<br>other data sources/repositories (i.e.<br>comma delimited, text, HTML, XML,<br>SQL, etc.).                                       | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution includes<br>a very powerful<br>Import/Export Wizard. The<br>Import/Export Wizard allows<br>for XML, ODBC, and flat<br>file formats for both<br>incoming and outgoing data<br>requirements.  |
| T039     | Desired  | The solution should generate reports in<br>a variety of file formats, including<br>XML, PDF etc.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos reporting engine<br>utilizes SQL Server<br>Reporting Services. Each<br>report can be exported in<br>PDF, Excel, CSV, XML, and<br>TIFF formats.   |
| T040     | Expected | The solution should use standard<br>(published) API's for interfaces to other<br>systems. The vendor is responsible for<br>developing the needed API's.   | Base Functionality | Brazos has taken the system<br>approach that we are the<br>utility that integrates with<br>other master systems (RMS,<br>Court, etc.). As such, we<br>have interfaced with many<br>other system's APIs for<br>pulling and pushing of data<br>to our system. The list of<br>these APIs is extensive and<br>proves we have the ability to<br>utilize many different formats<br>and transport methods. We<br>do not provide a published<br>API for exposing our system<br>functionality, but we do<br>provide an extremely<br>powerful Import/Export<br>Wizard and have provided<br>specific webservices for<br>different<br>customers/applications. |
| T041     | Expected | If the proposed solution requires access<br>to GIS data or an interface to the City's<br>GIS system, the Vendor should specify<br>all spatial software components<br>required, with version(s) supported. | Base Functionality | We have worked primarily<br>with ESRI shapefiles for<br>capturing location data and<br>presenting that data to the<br>devices to validate addresses.<br>We have worked with both<br>ESRI versions 9 and 10.<br>Currently we are deploying a<br>mapping capability that will<br>utilize ESRI 10 for geo-<br>validating street against an   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |          |   |                    | agencies shapefile as well as<br>location services from<br>Google, Yahoo, Microsoft,<br>and ESRI.   |
| T042     | Expected | If the proposed solution requires<br>proprietary spatial data to function, the<br>Vendor should specify all required<br>spatial datasets necessary for the<br>solution to operate.  | Base Functionality | In order to provide the geo-<br>coding that supports spatial<br>reporting, we will need the<br>full ESRI shapefile for the<br>City. This file can be<br>received from either a file<br>drop or a webservice<br>provided by the City.  |
| T043     | Desired  | Reports provided by the application<br>should be compatible with Crystal<br>Reports, or provide a mechanism by<br>which they can be initiated via<br>integration with a Crystal Report's<br>Server. The City of Austin will also<br>support MicroStrategies BI tools. | Base Functionality | The Brazos reporting system<br>is based upon SQL Server<br>Reporting Services which is<br>very similar to Crystal<br>Reports. Since we are<br>providing the ERD, all<br>reports created by the City<br>can be in either Crystal<br>Reports or SQL Server<br>Reporting Services. If the<br>City elects to create reports<br>via Crystal Reports, we<br>would define those reports<br>for access on our system and<br>then link to the Crystal<br>Report's server for report<br>access. All user access to<br>those reports (from the<br>Brazos system) will be<br>controlled via Brazos. |
| T044     | Expected | If the proposed solution is to be<br>provided to remote end users, the<br>distribution of data should minimize<br>the amount of data sent over the WAN.   | Base Functionality | All data transmissions from<br>the ticket writers to the<br>servers are compressed,<br>encrypted (AES), and<br>transmitted via HTTPs. This<br>strategy minimizes the<br>overall data size that is sent<br>via the WAN. In addition to<br>compression, we only<br>transmit changes to lookup<br>data instead of transmitting<br>all lookup data on every<br>sync.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| T045     | Expected  | The proposed solution's components<br>should be capable of being monitored<br>by network management tools to<br>determine that the components are<br>communicating properly.  | Base Functionality | Since all back-end server<br>components are web-based<br>and the DBMS is SQL<br>Server, all aspects of the<br>system can easily be<br>integrated with existing<br>network monitoring<br>strategies. We will work<br>with the City to define our<br>monitoring strategies and<br>support additional strategies<br>required by the City.  |
| T046     | Expected  | The Vendor should use standard<br>Domain Name Services (DNS) for<br>identifying all server components in the<br>system.   | Base Functionality | All communications to and<br>from the servers is performed<br>via webservices. Each<br>implementation can utilize<br>DNS names (or IP addresses)<br>for each of the servers<br>(production, test, and/or<br>training).  |
| T047     | Must Have | The solution software must use an<br>accurate, verifiable time source such as<br>GPS clock, NTP or Stratum time<br>source for a traceable time stamp,<br>which is applied to various transactions<br>or key events. | Base Functionality | All servers are configured to<br>utilize NTP for time<br>synchronization. Each<br>device also verifies the time<br>source every time it<br>communicates with the server<br>(in batch or real-time) to<br>ensure the proper time on the<br>device. All activities (record<br>save, error logs, photograph<br>taken, etc) are date and time<br>stamped. All date/time<br>stamps are records and<br>available for reporting. |
| T048     | Must Have | If the solution back-end components<br>use date/time stamping, the client-side<br>components should be synchronized<br>with the back-end servers.   | Base Functionality | As described above, this is<br>done every time the device<br>communicates with the server<br>in real-time or batch mode.  |
| T049     | Expected  | TCP/IP switched and routed protocol<br>must be used as the sole network<br>protocol for both LANs and WANs.   | Base Functionality | Since all communications to<br>the external sources uses<br>webservices, all traffic<br>explicitly uses TCP/IP.   |
| T050     | Expected  | The Vendor should provide a backup<br>process that does not impact the<br>performance of the core system or the<br>availability of online data.   | Base Functionality | The hosted Brazos Solution<br>uses the off-site storage and<br>backup services from Iron<br>Mountain. We have not had<br>any issues with production<br>performance or availability of<br>on-line data.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| T051     | Must Have | The application must be able to fully<br>support the number of concurrent users<br>specified in functional requirements<br>without any system modification to the<br>application as proposed. | Base Functionality | The server model that we<br>recommend for the City is the<br>same model that we use to<br>host over 150 customers. On<br>this server we have over<br>12,000 registered users and<br>over 6,000 devices<br>authenticated on our system.<br>We have seen over 1,200<br>concurrent users on the<br>server performing reporting,<br>data entry and administrative<br>functions. Our current run<br>rate is over 2.5 million<br>records processed per year<br>with over 1 million database<br>transactions per month. This<br>type of volume demonstrates<br>that our system can handle<br>the volume requested by the<br>City with the system that is in<br>production today. |
| T052     | Expected  | The solution shall not require operating<br>system administrator privileges on the<br>client workstation(s) to run or receive<br>application updates.   | Base Functionality | Since our<br>reporting/administrative<br>server is web-based, there are<br>no installation requirements.<br>For the laptop based ticket<br>writers, there are no<br>administrative requirements<br>for Windows XP. We do<br>need to install the solution<br>with Administrator privileges<br>for Windows 7, but all<br>execute and updates do not<br>require administrative<br>privileges.  |
| T053     | Expected  | If bulk data loads via the Internet are<br>supported by the solution, a secure<br>network transport method for bulk data<br>shall be supported.   | Base Functionality | All bulk uploads (i.e. location<br>data) are provided via<br>webservices. We utilize a<br>windows service (GetLocal)<br>that will run on-demand or<br>via a schedule, get the data<br>(from a file, webservice, or<br>ODBC connection), and push<br>the data to a webservice on<br>our server. Additionally, we<br>can provide a user screen for<br>a direct file upload if desired.<br>All communications would<br>then be via HTTPs.  |
| T054     | Expected  | When new users are created, the<br>security permissions assigned to the<br>new accounts shall default to least<br>privileged.   | Base Functionality | We can set the default user<br>privileges to whatever the<br>City desires.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| T055     | Desired   | Authorized users shall have the ability<br>to monitor (in near real-time) and<br>report on file access activities for a<br>particular user, group, application,<br>device, and file.                                | Base Functionality | All logging and audit reports<br>pull the latest information<br>available on the server. This<br>means that all activity on the<br>server itself is available<br>immediately; all activity from<br>the ticket writers is available<br>after a sync. |
|          |           |   |                    | All import/export or file<br>based activity is available<br>immediately after execution.  |
| T056     | Desired   | The solution shall provide authorized<br>users the ability to track and log all<br>transactions originating from external<br>network sources.   | Base Functionality | We track all activity<br>regardless of whether it is<br>internal or external. Part of<br>those log files includes not<br>only the user but the machine<br>(name and IP address) that<br>performed the function.                                     |
| T057     | Desired   | The solution shall display a configurable security banner upon login.   | Base Functionality | We can support this feature if desired.   |
| T058     | Desired   | The solution shall NOT use Active<br>Server Pages for web-based<br>communications.  | Base Functionality | Our entire solution is .NET,<br>and we do not use ASP for<br>any part of our application.   |
| T059     | Expected  | To maintain network security, the<br>solution shall include re-assignable<br>ports for the solution.  | Base Functionality | All network communications<br>(including DBMS access) can<br>specify particular ports.  |
| T060     | Expected  | The solution shall minimize the number<br>of different IP ports and protocols to<br>limit exposure and simplify security<br>administration.   | Base Functionality | As described above, the City<br>can select the specific ports<br>available for the system.<br>Typically, we only expose<br>port 80 and port 443 for any<br>external traffic.  |
| T061     | Expected  | The solution will allow built-in accounts to be renamed.  | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our installation.   |
| T062     | Expected  | The solution will provide a method to<br>change the passwords for built-in<br>system accounts (i.e. Administrator,<br>Admin, Super, etc.).  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution provides<br>a complete password strategy<br>including changing<br>passwords, optional<br>password reminders, and<br>password strengths as defined<br>by CJIS.   |
| T063     | Must Have | When the vendor is connected to the<br>City's VPN for solution support<br>purposes, single tunneling is required<br>(which means that they are<br>disconnected from their local network<br>during the VPN session). | Base Functionality | We can agree to this solution<br>with the caveat that we would<br>be unable to sync a device<br>from the Brazos support team<br>and push that record (if the<br>City selects the locally<br>installed option).                                      |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| T064     | Must Have | If the solution provides a Web server,<br>the solution's Web interface must be<br>able to operate a secure communication<br>session as SSL 128 bit encrypted<br>HTTPS.                                     | Base Functionality | This is built into our standard system.   |
| T065     | Must Have | The solution must provide and enforce<br>complex password formats. Passwords<br>must be a minimum of 8 characters,<br>and must allow use of upper and lower<br>case and numeric and special<br>characters. | Base Functionality | We allow the City to define<br>the format of passwords<br>including supporting the<br>password strength<br>requirement of CJIS.   |
| T066     | Must Have | Passwords must not be displayed as<br>readable text when users are entering<br>them on-screen.   | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.   |
| T067     | Must Have | Passwords must NOT be included in<br>automated sign-on procedures, stored<br>unencrypted in cache, or transmitted as<br>clear text over the network.   | Base Functionality | All passwords are stored as<br>password fields in .NET and<br>encrypted in transit.<br>Additionally, all network<br>traffic is performed via<br>HTTPs.  |
| T068     | Must Have | The solution must be capable of<br>supporting tiered user permissions to<br>provide application security.  | Base Functionality | All users are assigned roles<br>for determining their access<br>levels and what they can do<br>and/or see in the system. The<br>City has complete control<br>over each user and the roles<br>assigned to that user via the<br>website.  |
| T069     | Expected  | If secure data transport is required, the<br>solution shall provide a method of<br>encrypting the application data between<br>the front-end user system and the back-<br>end servers.                      | Base Functionality | As described above, we<br>utilize HTTPs for all web-<br>access for both webservices<br>and website access. Please<br>note - we would create a<br>certificate if the City elects to<br>install the solution locally.<br>Additionally, all traffic to and<br>from the mobile devices<br>encrypts the data via AES<br>before sending the<br>communications in the<br>HTTPs tunnel. |
| T070     | Expected  | The system shall protect against<br>unauthorized access to data by persons<br>and other software programs.   | Base Functionality | The database server is<br>configured to not connections<br>from any server except the<br>IIS server. Only a VPN<br>connection can establish a<br>direct connection to the<br>DBMS. Additionally, we<br>recommend that Windows<br>authentication be turned off<br>for SQL Server.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|--|--------------------|---|
| T071     | Expected | To help enforce City of Austin's<br>security policies, the solution shall<br>provide a secondary means of<br>identifying system users (i.e.<br>workstation identification numbers,<br>TCP/IP addresses, vendor assigned<br>client IDs, or public key<br>authentication). | Base Functionality | We can support additional<br>security measures as required<br>by the City. Most of these<br>measures could affect the<br>installation requirements<br>depending upon the strategy<br>desired. We can limit access<br>to specific IP addresses,<br>require installation of<br>certificates, etc. |
|          |          |  |                    | We would be happy to<br>explain our current security<br>strategies if desired, but<br>would consider those<br>strategies to be confidential.  |
| T072     | Expected | The application shall provide a transaction log related to changes made to security (roles/groups/permissions).  | Base Functionality | All changes to the security<br>configuration is recorded in<br>the system logs as required.   |
| T073     | Expected | To help enforce City of Austin's<br>security policies, the solution shall<br>allow the application administrator to<br>disconnect a particular user and to lock<br>out a user during an active session.  | Base Functionality | If a user is deactivated or<br>marked Inactive, their session<br>is discontinued and they<br>would be unable to re-login.   |
| T074     | Expected | Authorized users shall be able to define<br>the number of login attempts allowed<br>before a user account is locked and/or<br>disabled.  | Base Functionality | This is a configurable feature<br>of the website. However, it<br>is applicable to all users and<br>not configurable on a user-<br>by-user basis.  |
| T075     | Expected | The solution shall log an event and<br>alert the application administrator when<br>a user exceeds login attempts.  | Base Functionality | We can set up a workflow to<br>notify the administrator<br>whenever a user account is<br>locked due to exceeding the<br>number of login attempts.   |
| T076     | Expected | The system must automatically log-off<br>a user's work session due to inactivity<br>within a City of Austin-defined period.  | Base Functionality | The session timeout period<br>can be set by the City and<br>will automatically destroy the<br>user session and log the user<br>out when the time limit is<br>exceeded.  |
| T077     | Desired  | Authorized users shall be able to create<br>user IDs with an expiration date and<br>time (I.e. for contractors and temps).   | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.   |
| T078     | Expected | System authorized users shall be able to<br>create security profiles/templates to<br>establish individual and group<br>privileges.   | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.   |
| T079     | Expected | The application shall allow the<br>Application Administrator the ability to<br>create user groups based on defined<br>roles.   | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| Т080     | Expected  | The application shall allow the<br>Application Administrator to restrict<br>generic logins.  | Base Functionality | While we cannot explicitly<br>determine if a user is used by<br>an entire group, we can set<br>the login name format if<br>desired. For example, must<br>be in a specific format (like<br>firstname. lastname, etc.).   |
| T081     | Expected  | The solution shall allow for the<br>operator to quickly lock the<br>workstation/tablet in the event that they<br>must leave the workstation unattended.  | Base Functionality | This feature is not explicitly<br>set within our application and<br>would be supported<br>specifically by the operating<br>system.  |
| T082     | Expected  | The application shall allow the<br>Application Administrator to set the<br>number of concurrent logins for a<br>particular user on the same or on<br>multiple workstations. The application<br>shall generate an alert if a user attempts<br>or exceeds this number. | Base Functionality | This can be configured with<br>this system. Notifications<br>would be the same as the<br>other system notifications<br>(email, text message, SMS,<br>etc.).   |
| T083     | Must Have | The solution must allow for multiple<br>security roles for users (i.e.: Admin,<br>Supervisor, Staff etc.) with appropriate<br>permissions.   | Base Functionality | Our role-based security<br>allows for users to have<br>multiple roles. While we do<br>have a number of pre-defined<br>roles, the City can elect to<br>create new roles for further<br>system refinement. We do<br>not use Windows user<br>accounts on the server and/or<br>DBMS. The roles contain<br>only the application level<br>security. Any direct DBMS<br>security would be set outside<br>of the application on the<br>database itself. |
| T084     | Must Have | If the solution provides the means of<br>user authentication, it must provide the<br>ability for system users to change their<br>active or expired passwords.  | Base Functionality | Users have the ability to<br>access their profile and<br>change their passwords at<br>any time. Once a password<br>expires, they are prompted to<br>change their password when<br>they login by entering their<br>username, previous<br>password, and new password.   |
| T085     | Expected  | The solution should implement<br>intelligent input entry controls (i.e.<br>drop-down selection lists, pre-<br>formatted screens, shortcut keys, etc.)<br>to make data entry more efficient.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can be<br>set up however the City<br>wants via BuildIT. We use<br>tabs on our screens so that<br>there is minimal scrolling<br>involved as the officers<br>navigate through the citation.<br>The City can also use<br>dropdown fields, listboxes,<br>hotkeys, etc. to minimize the<br>keystrokes necessary to   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |          |   |                    | complete the citation. In<br>addition, the Brazos Solution<br>allows the user to input and<br>record all required data via<br>the touchscreen, QWERTY<br>keyboard, mag stripe reader,<br>2D imager/camera, audio,<br>etc.  |
| T086     | Expected | The application data entry forms should<br>retain previously entered data if users<br>must navigate between related screens<br>and/or modules.                          | Base Functionality | Once a record is entered into<br>our system, all of that data<br>(including people, vehicles,<br>location, etc) is available to<br>pre-populate any other form<br>on the system. For example,<br>once a citation is written and<br>saved, a Tow report can be<br>create and the person and<br>vehicle would not have to be<br>entered by the officer again.<br>This would include any form<br>developed in our system. |
| T087     | Expected | City of Austin's system authorized<br>users should be able to create and/or<br>modify the content of on-screen error<br>messages.                                       | Base Functionality | All on-screen messages can<br>be edited or created at any<br>time by the City's personnel<br>via BuildIT.  |
| T088     | Expected | End users should not have to log in<br>separately to different modules of the<br>application. A single sign-on should be<br>all that is required.                       | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution supports<br>a single login for all modules.<br>The roles assigned to the user<br>define which modules can be<br>accessed as well as whether<br>those records can be viewed,<br>edited, added or deleted.   |
| T089     | Expected | If the application provides help<br>messages and/or usage tips, such screen<br>messages should be definable by the<br>City of Austin's application<br>administrator(s). | Base Functionality | All fields within the<br>application can have editable<br>help text. This help text is<br>completely defined using<br>BuildIT. Additionally, the<br>City can create and upload<br>help documentation to be<br>available via the Help screen<br>on the website.   |
| T090     | Expected | The solution should include<br>functionality for cut, copy, paste, and<br>undo.   | Base Functionality | All identified functions are<br>supported on the website and<br>laptops. They are supported<br>on certain PDAs (including<br>the MC75A) but not others.  |
| T091     | Expected | The solution should reduce repetitive<br>keying for data entry fields such as<br>dates, city, state, zip, etc.  | Base Functionality | All of the Brazos solutions<br>attempt to minimize the<br>effort for data entry. All<br>fields can be defaulted to any<br>value (such as today's date)<br>via BuildIT. Dropdowns are   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
|          |           |  |                    | related (i.e. City/Zip) and can<br>search automatically by<br>starting to type the first<br>characters.  |
| T092     | Expected  | A customizable application user<br>interface should not require<br>modification of program code.   | Base Functionality | All of our applications are<br>100% customizable with<br>absolutely no coding. It is all<br>performed via the web-based<br>BuildIT platform.   |
| T093     | Expected  | A customizable application interface<br>should not prevent the application from<br>benefiting from future updates of the<br>software. If the application is<br>customized, there is assurance that<br>future upgrades and bug fixes will work<br>without additional fees, time or<br>consultative work to apply the upgrade. | Base Functionality | This is an absolute strength<br>of Brazos Technology.<br>While each application is<br>100% customizable, all of<br>our customers are running the<br>same software. This means<br>that will never be left behind<br>and require you to re-buy the<br>software. With the Brazos<br>maintenance package, you<br>will receive all upgrades, bug<br>fixes and enhancements at no<br>additional charge for the<br>software or for upgrading the<br>solution. |
| T094     | Expected  | The solution should support automated<br>alerting of specified users when key<br>components are unavailable (such as<br>DBMS, servers, interfaces, network<br>transport).  | Base Functionality | We have a complete error<br>reporting strategy that allows<br>all errors to be sent to the<br>Brazos support staff as well<br>as any designated City<br>personnel. These<br>notifications can be<br>configured by issue so that<br>only the court support staff<br>would receive interface<br>issues and that City IT would<br>receive DBMS connectivity<br>issues.  |
| T095     | Must Have | If the solution uses Oracle, it must use minimum release level of version 10g.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution utilizes SQL Server 2008.  |
| T096     | Expected  | The solution should be capable of<br>utilizing computer storage devices<br>(SAN).  | Base Functionality | All or portions of the data<br>storage can be designed by<br>the City as to the physical<br>location such as a SAN.  |
| T097     | Expected  | The solution should allow real-time<br>monitoring, logging, and reporting on<br>key application performance metrics,<br>and should allow for the coexistence of<br>non-invasive Database performance<br>monitoring tools like Oracle Grid<br>control and/or Idera Software.  | Base Functionality | For the locally installed<br>option, we strongly<br>recommend using an existing<br>monitoring toolset being used<br>by the City. We utilize SQL<br>Server tools for<br>troubleshooting but do not<br>typically leave them running<br>in normal operations.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| T098     | Must Have | Executable server software processes<br>must be capable of running as<br>service(s) (or daemon(s)) that run<br>automatically upon system start-up, and<br>do not require a user login to start up.  | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.  |
| T099     | Expected  | The Vendor should specify any<br>requirements for job scheduling<br>software. The City currently supports<br>UNIX CRON, Tivoli Work Scheduler,<br>Oracle DBMS_JOBS and MS SQL<br>DTS.   | Base Functionality | There are no job schedule<br>software requirements. We<br>do utilize SQL Server job<br>scheduling for backups and<br>maintenance.  |
| T100     | Desired   | The City prefers Linux/UNIX OS for<br>public web servers. Windows 2003<br>and 2008 Server and Linux/UNIX<br>operating systems are acceptable for<br>internal servers.   | Base Functionality | We support Windows 2003<br>and Windows 2008. We<br>utilize and recommend<br>Windows servers.   |
| T101     | Desired   | Linux/UNIX or Windows operating<br>systems are preferred for application<br>servers.  | Base Functionality | We support Windows 2003<br>and Windows 2008. We<br>utilize and recommend<br>Windows servers.   |
| T102     | Expected  | If applicable, the solution should be<br>implemented using N-tier architecture.<br>N-tier implementation should be<br>capable of supporting load balancing<br>across a series of solution servers.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos server solution<br>has a 3-tier architecture.<br>Since both the application<br>and business layer use SOA,<br>we very easily support load<br>balancing across multiple<br>servers (physical and virtual).   |
| T103     | Expected  | The Vendor must propose both<br>minimum and optimal specifications<br>for the servers (if applicable), client<br>workstations, and mobile computing<br>devices. This equipment must have the<br>capacity to do run the proposed<br>application, perform data analysis,<br>querying and reporting functions. | Base Functionality | Optimal Server specs:<br>Dual – 6 Quad 2.93 GHz<br>processors<br>32 GB UDIMMS with<br>Advanced ECC<br>Three (3) 300GB 15k<br>RPM SCSI HDs (RAID 5)<br>Redundant power supply<br>Two (2) 10GbE Network<br>Adapters<br>Windows Server 2003<br>Optimal PDA specs:<br>Windows Mobile 6.5 or<br>greater<br>1GB storage or greater |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | 256 MB RAM or greater   |
|          |           |   |                    | 2D barcode  |
|          |           |   |                    | VGA Screen  |
|          |           |   |                    | 3.5" diagonal screen or greater   |
|          |           |   |                    | Optimal Laptop specs:   |
|          |           |   |                    | Windows XP or Windows<br>7  |
|          |           |   |                    | 100 MB of storage   |
|          |           |   |                    | 1 GB RAM  |
|          |           |   |                    | Microsoft .NET<br>Framework 3.5 or greater  |
| T104     | Must Have | If the proposed solution requires an<br>Application Server, specify the<br>recommended platform, including<br>Operating System, OS version(s) and<br>OS release(s) supported. | Base Functionality | We recommend either<br>Windows Server 2003 or<br>Windows Server 2008 with<br>the latest OS<br>patches/versions. This server<br>will run IIS 6.0 or greater.   |
| T105     | Expected  | The City of Austin should be able to<br>accurately plan for storage and backup<br>requirements, both for initial<br>implementation and for future growth.                     | Base Functionality | The estimates provided<br>previously are based upon<br>actual data from the Brazos<br>system. If the City elects the<br>locally installed option, the<br>City should expect the<br>DBMS to be 12GB per 3<br>million records. The storage<br>for the middleware, pictures,<br>video, audio, backup files,<br>and websites is 250GB for 3<br>million records. |
| T106     | Expected  | The solution should be supported in a virtual server environment based on VMWare Infrastructure 3.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution supports<br>VMWare for virtualization.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| T107     | Must Have | The proposed solution must be capable   | Base Functionality | Description<br>In the event that a   |
| T107     | Must Have | The proposed solution must be capable<br>of being fully restored in the event of<br>catastrophic server failures.                       | Base Functionality | In the event that a<br>catastrophic failure occurs for<br>any or all servers, the data<br>will be restored from the<br>backup media and/or offsite<br>location. DBMS backups run<br>incremental backups every<br>four hours. Full backups are<br>run once per day. All<br>designated files are backed<br>up to a tape media every day.<br>Differential files are also<br>backed up to an offsite<br>location every four hours.<br>The maximum amount of<br>data loss with the current<br>backup schedule/plan in the<br>case of a catastrophic failure<br>is 4 hours worth of data from<br>the file server. All DBMS<br>data is immediately<br>replicated to another database<br>and the four hour data loss<br>would only occur if both the |
|          |           |   |                    | would only occur if both the<br>production and replicated<br>sites were destroyed<br>simultaneously.   |
| T108     | Desired   | If the proposed solution includes fail-<br>over, the fail-over event should be<br>completely automated.                                 | Base Functionality | The fail-over to the mirrored<br>DBMS is not automated and<br>does require a change to the<br>web config file. We have<br>elected to make this a manual<br>event to ensure that the<br>system administrators know<br>there has been a switch to the<br>primary DBMS system. Any<br>load balancing or mirroring<br>of the IIS server is automatic.<br>Notifications of both a failure<br>to the DBMS and/or IIS<br>server include notifications to<br>Brazos support and the<br>system administrator.   |
| T109     | Expected  | The RDBMS used by the solution (if<br>applicable) should support transaction<br>logs and allow a data restore from<br>transaction logs. | Base Functionality | MS SQL Server support<br>transaction logs and allows<br>for restoration as well as<br>replication from those logs as<br>a standard feature of the<br>DBMS.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| T110     | Expected  | The system should include a backup<br>and recovery plan for data, data<br>structures, application software files,<br>executables, and application software<br>utilities. The plan should include a<br>backup and recovery test plan.   | Base Functionality | Brazos already has a<br>complete DRA plan<br>implemented for the hosted<br>solution. If the City elects<br>the locally installed option,<br>we strongly recommend that<br>the current DRA processes<br>utilized by the City be<br>implemented for this<br>solution. We have included<br>our off-site storage services<br>from Iron Mountain as an<br>option.                               |
| T111     | Expected  | If the solution uses relational database<br>management technology, it should be<br>capable of supporting high availability<br>and resiliency.  | Base Functionality | As described above, the<br>Brazos Solution replicates the<br>database to another server<br>which is primarily used as a<br>reporting server. This<br>reporting server is also used<br>as a fail-over server for<br>production transactions if a<br>catastrophic failure occurs to<br>the production server.  |
| T112     | Expected  | The software environment should be<br>capable of dynamically accepting<br>changes to network configurations with<br>little or no impact on solution<br>availability (i.e. installing additional<br>servers/workstations and changing the<br>IP or subnet of any of the servers). | Base Functionality | All server names (DNS) and<br>DFS file shares are easily<br>configurable. Any<br>networking changes,<br>including subnet changes,<br>DNS TCP/IP resolutions, etc,<br>do not affect the operation of<br>the system.   |
| T113     | Must Have | If the proposed solution provides high<br>availability (HA) level of service,<br>specify the HA components required<br>(such as Oracle RAC or Data Guard),<br>and indicate if such components are<br>included in the proposal.   | Base Functionality | As described above, Brazos<br>replicates the DBMS to a<br>second server which is<br>normal provisioned as a<br>reporting server. In the case<br>of a catastrophic failure, the<br>reporting server is re-<br>provisioned as the primary<br>production DBMS until the<br>production server is restored.   |
| T114     | Must Have | If the application software is designed<br>for mobile communications, the<br>application software must provide<br>features that protect against data loss<br>when roaming between different<br>networks.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution has been<br>built from the ground up as a<br>mobile solution with<br>complete fault tolerance for<br>multiple transport methods.<br>When pushing data from the<br>mobile devices, we verify all<br>transmissions for<br>completeness and CRC<br>values before sending the<br>'received' notification to the<br>mobile device. If the mobile<br>device does not get the |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | 'received' message, the<br>message is not removed from<br>the device and the push is<br>attempted again when the<br>network is restored. It is<br>important to note that we<br>have never lost a record in<br>transmission.  |
| T115     | Must Have | The solution should use a widely-<br>accepted and well-supported DBMS<br>such as Oracle 10g (or higher) or MS<br>SQL 2000 SP3.  | Base Functionality | We support MS SQL Server<br>2005 but prefer MS SQL<br>Server 2008.   |
| T116     | Expected  | The Vendor should provide<br>recommendations for tuning parameters<br>for all databases.  | Base Functionality | We will provide complete<br>recommendations for tuning<br>and securing all servers if the<br>City elects to install them<br>locally. These tuning and<br>security procedures are<br>confidential and will be<br>provided to the City if we are<br>selected for the project.    |
| T117     | Expected  | If the proposed solution uses an<br>RDBMS system other than Oracle 10g<br>(or higher) or MS SQL 2000 SP3 (or<br>higher), the RDBMS should support<br>two phase commit procedures. | Base Functionality | We support MS SQL Server.  |
| T118     | Expected  | If the proposed solution includes a<br>relational database management system<br>other than Oracle or MS SQL, the<br>RDBMS must be ACID compliant.                                 | Base Functionality | We support MS SQL Server.  |
| T119     | Expected  | The proposed solution should support<br>Service Oriented Architecture.  | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution has been<br>built from the beginning as<br>an SOA platform before the<br>term SOA existed. We<br>exclusively use webservices<br>for all communications and<br>reporting purposes and<br>extensively use webservices<br>for backend processing<br>purposes. |
| T120     | Must Have | If the proposed solution uses Oracle<br>DBMS, and is client-server<br>architecture, specify the required<br>number of Oracle client licenses.                                     | Base Functionality | We support MS SQL Server.  |
| T121     | Expected  | The solution should be able to run in a VLAN environment.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution is fully<br>operational in a VLAN<br>environment. We do not<br>control or require network<br>components other than DNS<br>and DFS file share name<br>resolution.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description  | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| T122     | Desired   | The system components should be<br>capable of using SNMP (V3) to report<br>system metrics via the network.   | Base Functionality | Since all backend server<br>components are web-based<br>and the DBMS is SQL<br>Server, SNMP reporting is<br>available.   |
| T123     | Must Have | The solution should be able to run in an environment that uses 100/1000MB full duplex connections for back-end systems.  | Base Functionality | All network connectivity<br>from the devices and the<br>network cards on all servers<br>support full duplex<br>connectivity.   |
| T124     | Must Have | If the proposed solution includes<br>electronic hardware such as servers or<br>network devices, all network-enabled<br>hardware must support auto-negotiation<br>of network speeds and duplex settings,<br>including 10 mbps, 100 mpbs and<br>Gigabit Ethernet, if applicable. | Base Functionality | This is standard on all<br>hardware proposed.  |
| T125     | Must Have | Application servers should NOT require Layer 2 adjacency.  | Base Functionality | Yes, all servers components<br>can be separated on different<br>network segments and our<br>servers do not require Layer<br>2 adjacency.   |
| T126     | Must Have | The proposed application should NOT require static network routes.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos servers do not<br>require static routes. It is<br>possible however if the City<br>elects to utilize the Brazos<br>Message Switch for TLETS<br>returns that static routes will<br>be needed for communication<br>with Texas DPS. |
| T127     | Expected  | The proposed solution should be<br>compatible with, and easily supported<br>on the City of Austin's native network<br>infrastructure.  | Base Functionality | As described above, all<br>communications between<br>servers is performed via DNS<br>and DFS File Share. We<br>allow the City to configure<br>the specific ports desired for<br>communications to all server<br>components.                |
| T128     | Must Have | The proposed solution must not require<br>broadcast messaging for normal<br>operation.   | Base Functionality | There are no broadcast<br>messaging requirements for<br>any part of our solution.  |
| T129     | Expected  | The proposed solution must be capable<br>of operating over routed sub networks<br>(does not require components to be co-<br>located on the same sub network).  | Base Functionality | Since all server<br>communications utilizes<br>DNS and DFS file shares, the<br>network routing between<br>servers is not controlled via<br>the server components and<br>each component can exist on<br>different sub networks.             |
| T130     | Expected  | If the proposed system components<br>support SNMP, the Vendor must<br>provide standard MIB files for all<br>SNMP-enabled components.   | Base Functionality | Since all server components<br>are Windows operating<br>systems, our servers support<br>full Management Information<br>Base (MIB) which include:   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | DHCP, HOSTMIB, and<br>LMMIB.   |
| T131     | Expected  | The solution should be capable of<br>providing a current copy of the<br>production data that allows system<br>users to perform queries, perform data<br>mining and produce reports on servers<br>other than the production servers.   | Base Functionality | If the City desires to perform<br>true ad-hoc reporting and/or<br>data mining, Brazos can<br>provide all data to the City<br>for this purpose. Methods for<br>providing this data include<br>replication, providing DBMS<br>backup files on a periodic<br>basis, etc. This data would<br>be restored/accessed on a<br>server other than the<br>production environment. |
| T132     | Expected  | The solution shall provide RADIUS,<br>LDAP, or MS Active Directory<br>authentication to provide authentication<br>to the application software, and should<br>provide a scheme for Group level<br>definition and implementation within<br>the LDAP services. Additionally, the<br>software should support SSO (single<br>sign on) methodologies. | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution can<br>provide LDAP integration for<br>all server components and<br>laptops. This will maintain<br>the single-sign on<br>methodology. We have also<br>found that LDAP integration<br>is not practical for PDAs due<br>to the disconnected nature of<br>their login process. Typically<br>we provide the user<br>management for all PDAs.           |
| T133     | Must Have | If the solution includes a firewall, it<br>must not use an application-layer proxy<br>firewall. The solution must use a<br>stateful-inspection firewall instead.  | Base Functionality | We have not proposed a<br>firewall as part of the<br>solution and would not<br>recommend using an<br>application layer firewall. If<br>the City elects to install the<br>solution locally and desires to<br>have a firewall, we strongly<br>recommend a firewall<br>appliance that is already<br>being used in the<br>environment today for<br>supportability.         |
| T134     | Expected  | All Windows-based solutions deployed<br>on both client workstations and servers<br>shall be compatible with Trend Micro<br>Anti-Virus and SMS for servers and<br>workstations.  | Base Functionality | Brazos has certified operation<br>of our solution with the<br>Trend Micro Anti-Virus and<br>SMS.   |
| T135     | Expected  | System directories affected by City of<br>Austin-installed system-hardening<br>software must be easily identifiable by<br>Security Administrators so they will<br>know which directories to lock.   | Base Functionality | Brazos will provide a<br>complete listing of directories<br>and uses for those directories<br>for all components of the<br>solution.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| T136     | Expected  | The Vendor should maintain a recovery<br>test plan and recovery test procedures<br>that result in a full recovery of the<br>system following full and partial<br>system failures. | Base Functionality | Brazos will provide a<br>complete test plan and DRA<br>procedures used for our test<br>plan if desired and selected<br>by the City. We consider this<br>documentation to be<br>confidential.  |
| T137     | Must Have | There is no acceptable loss of data due<br>to system failure.   | Base Functionality | The Brazos Solution has a<br>number of features that<br>ensure that data are never<br>lost, either from the device to<br>the eCitation server or from<br>the eCitation server to the<br>City system(s).   |
|          |           |   |                    | On the Device   |
|          |           |   |                    | Once an officer saves a<br>record (citation, FI, or any<br>other record), that<br>information is backed up to a<br>non-volatile area on each<br>proposed device. That non-<br>volatile area contains all<br>information that has been<br>saved along with the files<br>needed to re-install the<br>application. This means that<br>not only are the data secure,<br>but also that once power is<br>reapplied to the device, the<br>application will reload<br>automatically without the<br>need for IT intervention. We<br>have never lost a record that<br>was saved on the device.<br>One of our customers left a<br>ticket writer on the roof of a<br>patrol car and lost the device<br>in a field. They didn't find<br>the ticket writer for 2 months<br>(during the rainiest season on<br>record for the Dallas area).<br>When the ticket writer was<br>found by a maintenance<br>worker, it was cleaned off<br>and placed in the cradle.<br>After the device was<br>recharged, the software<br>automatically reloaded, and<br>five tickets were pushed off<br>of that device. |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | In Transit<br>When the data are synced,<br>there are two layers of<br>technology that will ensure<br>proper delivery. The Brazos<br>Solution will provide the first<br>safeguard for the<br>information. The Brazos<br>Solution works on a<br>request/confirm model where<br>all information is sent,<br>validated, and confirmed<br>before a transmission is<br>considered complete. ALL<br>data transmissions receive a<br>valid and authenticated<br>confirmation message before<br>the information is removed<br>from the mobile device. This<br>method guarantees that all<br>information is received and<br>complete, even if a<br>connection is lost during the<br>sync process. We have never<br>lost a record in transit from<br>any mobile device back to the<br>eCitation server. |
|          |          |                         |                 | On the Server<br>The Brazos eCitation server<br>serves as a middleware<br>product for electronically<br>moving information from the<br>mobile devices to the City<br>system(s). It also serves as a<br>robust reporting mechanism<br>for any record captured from<br>the mobile device. As such,<br>the only mission-critical<br>disaster recovery needs for<br>this box are the continued<br>processing of records not yet<br>sent to the City system(s) and<br>the continued process of<br>citations that are being<br>written on the street.  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | Once confirmation of the successful receipt of a record from the City system(s) is received by the eCitation server, the Brazos Solution can mark any and all records with the current status and the date that status was received. The Brazos Solution also allows the City to re-download any citation record that was rejected after modifications are made to resolve the conflict. While this is a 'soft' safeguard to pushing the information to the other system(s), it is important to note that the City will have a complete system to resolve issues and resubmit records to any and all system(s). With both the layers discussed above to guarantee data delivery, any outage of the eCitation server would not cause a data loss situation. In the case of the eCitation server being unavailable, the records will remain either on the device or in the queue to be processed when the server comes back on-line. |
|          |          |                         |                 | DRA on the Server<br>As previously stated, our<br>solution comes with two<br>server options – either the<br>hosted model (citation data<br>and reports reside on Brazos<br>Technology's servers) or the<br>locally installed model<br>(servers are installed locally<br>at Austin). If the City opts<br>for the locally installed<br>option, Brazos Technology<br>recommends the same server<br>that we use to support over  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | have opted for the hosted<br>model. The proposed server<br>hardware has a RAID 5 array<br>configuration. The City will<br>receive installation<br>procedures for the server and<br>all software components in<br>case of a catastrophic<br>hardware failure. As to the<br>proposed disaster recovery<br>method for the data on the<br>server, it is our belief that the<br>City should pursue a unified<br>strategy that mirrors the other<br>mission-critical systems<br>already in place.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | Part of a successful recovery<br>is both the availability of the<br>information as well as a<br>documented and well-known<br>process that would minimize<br>any downtime. Our solution<br>comes with built-in database<br>maintenance plans that at a<br>minimum create both full<br>backups once per week and<br>daily incremental backups.<br>With these backup files, the<br>City can fully restore all data<br>once the server has been<br>brought back online. The<br>storage of these backups is<br>the component that needs to<br>mirror the current City<br>strategy. Whether the City is<br>using tape backups, off-site<br>storage, local SANs, or<br>another physical media for<br>retaining backups, the same<br>procedures should be<br>employed for this solution. |
|          |          |                         |                 | The backup software that is<br>being used for other servers<br>should also be standard for<br>the City. We are not able to<br>fully explore this requirement<br>but would be more than<br>willing to consider additional<br>layers of disaster recovery  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
|          |          |                         |                 | options at the City's request.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | The second se |
|          |          |                         |                 |   |
|          |          |                         |                 |   |
|          |          |                         |                 | Another critical aspect of a  |
|          |          |                         |                 | successful backup and   |
|          |          |                         |                 | recovery plan which warrants  |
|          |          |                         |                 | discussion is battery backup<br>on the handheld devices.  |
|          |          |                         |                 | Automated Battery Recovery  |
|          |          |                         |                 | is a feature that cannot be   |
|          |          |                         |                 | fully appreciated until you   |
|          |          |                         |                 | experience it. We were  |
|          |          |                         |                 | recently talking to a client  |
|          |          |                         |                 | who had purchased a   |
|          |          |                         |                 | competing product for   |
|          |          |                         |                 | electronic citation. When one of those units' batteries   |
|          |          |                         |                 | died, the client proceeded to   |
|          |          |                         |                 | spend over 40 hours (over a   |
|          |          |                         |                 | period of days) on the phone  |
|          |          |                         |                 | with the company trying to  |
|          |          |                         |                 | resurrect the dead unit.  |
|          |          |                         |                 |   |
|          |          |                         |                 |   |
|          |          |                         |                 | The fact is when the bettery  |
|          |          |                         |                 | The fact is, when the battery dies on a handheld unit, so   |
|          |          |                         |                 | does all of the data and  |
|          |          |                         |                 | programs that have ever been  |
|          |          |                         |                 | loaded on it. Upon  |
|          |          |                         |                 | restoration of the battery, the   |
|          |          |                         |                 | unit will revert to the original  |
|          |          |                         |                 | factory-shipped state. Brazos   |
|          |          |                         |                 | Technology's Automated<br>Battery Recovery <sup>™</sup> (ABR)   |
|          |          |                         |                 | is unique within the industry   |
|          |          |                         |                 | and, unfortunately, not highly  |
|          |          |                         |                 | valued except by those who  |
|          |          |                         |                 | have experienced battery  |
|          |          |                         |                 | loss. Battery loss is   |
|          |          |                         |                 | inevitable when deploying   |
|          |          |                         |                 | and using handheld devices.<br>Therefore how and if the   |
|          |          |                         |                 | device recovers from loss of  |
|          |          |                         |                 | battery is critical. Brazos   |
|          |          |                         |                 | Technology's ABR is as  |
|          |          |                         |                 | simple as inserting a charged   |
|          |          |                         |                 | battery. Upon battery   |
|          |          |                         |                 | restoration, ABR kicks in,  |
|          |          |                         |                 | and the unit completely   |
|          |          |                         |                 | reinstalls all Brazos software  |
|          |          |                         |                 | and recovers all data as of the last time the software was  |
|          |          |                         |                 | cleanly exited. There are no  |
|          |          |                         |                 | cleanly exited. There are no  |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
|          |           |   |                    | phone calls needed, no<br>software to reinstall, and no<br>lost data. This feature is<br>huge when you consider the<br>fact that these handheld<br>devices are not like PCs –<br>they don't have hard drives.<br>When the battery goes, so<br>does all of the installed<br>software and data. A strong<br>recovery strategy is essential,<br>and Brazos Technology's<br>ABR fills that need.   |
| T138     | Must Have | Hosted solutions must provide a<br>method for the City to periodically<br>download and store copies of the<br>hosted data on City-provided storage. | Base Functionality | As described previously,<br>Brazos can provide the City's<br>data via several different<br>methods. Database<br>replication, SQL Server<br>backup files, and CSV are all<br>available for providing the<br>data to the City. All<br>scheduling will be controlled<br>from the Brazos website and<br>editable by authorized users.<br>The method selected by the<br>City will determine the<br>connection required. We can<br>use VPN, GetLocal to pull<br>the file down, or a secure<br>FTP site if provided by the<br>City. Please note that we do<br>not recommend FTP for any |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description  |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
|          |           |   |                    | purpose and would consider<br>that to be least favorable<br>option.   |
| T139     | Must Have | Authorized users must be able to<br>receive a complete copy of current and<br>archived data hosted by an ASP<br>provider in the event of contract<br>termination.   | Base Functionality | Technical requirement T138<br>explains how the data can be<br>provided to the City. In the<br>event of contract termination,<br>Brazos will agree to provide<br>a complete snapshot of all<br>data via any method desired<br>by the City. Electronic feed,<br>DVD, and/or USB storage<br>drive would be good<br>examples along with certified<br>or in-person delivery of the<br>media. |
| T140     | Expected  | The application's client should be<br>capable of running over a low-speed<br>connection of as slow as 128kbps.  | Base Functionality | As described previously, the<br>Brazos Solution does not<br>specify any bandwidth<br>requirements for web-access<br>or mobile device<br>communications. We can<br>and have operated over many<br>different connection speeds<br>which can occur in the field<br>with varying cellular<br>connection signals.  |
| T141     | Must Have | The vendor must conduct a 3rd party<br>annual security assessment of all tiers<br>of its hosting facility, including<br>application servers and network<br>devices. Copies of the security audit<br>reports must be provided to the City of<br>Austin annually. | Base Functionality | Brazos utilizes Rackspace as<br>the hosting company that<br>provides Tier 1 hosting<br>services. Rackspace does<br>provide audits and Brazos<br>will attempt to provide those<br>to the City. Currently,<br>Brazos does not perform 3rd<br>party audits but would<br>consider doing so if desired<br>by the City.   |
| T142     | Must Have | All user access must be logged with<br>time-stamped entries, and the log made<br>available to the City of Austin.   | Base Functionality | This is a standard feature of our system.   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority  | Requirement Description   | Vendor Response    | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| T143     | Desired   | If, as a result of annual security<br>assessments, high vulnerabilities are<br>discovered, they must be remediated<br>immediately.                          | Base Functionality | We would welcome the<br>opportunity to work with the<br>City and resolve any issues<br>identified. Please note, since<br>we do not control the<br>physical location, we will<br>have limited control over<br>Rackspace for physical<br>security measures. That<br>being said, Rackspace is<br>recognized as the industry<br>leader for high-end hosting<br>services. |
| T144     | Expected  | All packets passing through the<br>gateway and/or firewall to the<br>application servers must be logged, and<br>logs be kept for a minimum of (10)<br>days. | Base Functionality | We currently do not store all<br>packets passing through the<br>firewall for 10 days, but we<br>have verified that we can<br>increase the storage for 10<br>days at no additional cost<br>through Rackspace.   |
| T145     | Expected  | Sensitive data is to be protected; the<br>solution must support a form of<br>network session encryption.  | Base Functionality | Brazos provides full SSL<br>encryption for all network<br>traffic to the website as well<br>as communications traffic to<br>and from the mobile devices.<br>Additionally, all traffic to and<br>from the mobile devices is<br>encrypted via AES (per CJIS<br>security standards) prior to<br>pushing the traffic through<br>the SSL tunnel.                          |
| T146     | Must Have | City of Austin data must not be made<br>available to any other parties not<br>specifically authorized to view or<br>access the data.                        | Base Functionality | All of the City's data are<br>completely owned by the<br>City. Brazos will NEVER<br>provide that information to<br>anyone without the City's<br>permission. This even<br>includes other law<br>enforcement agencies unless<br>specifically requested by the<br>City.   |
|          |           |   |                    | All access to data is restricted<br>to authorized City personnel<br>and the City defines who is<br>authorized and who is not.<br>Brazos employs many<br>different layers and strategies  |
|          |           |   |                    | different layers and strategies for ensuring appropriate   |

| Req Nmbr | Priority | Requirement Description | Vendor Response | Vendor Response<br>Description   |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
|          |          |                         |                 | access to the data. These<br>strategies include: views,<br>stored procedures, field by<br>field security throughout the<br>application, and many others. |
|          |          |                         |                 | We are willing to cover these<br>strategies in-depth with the<br>City if we are selected for<br>this project.  |

# Maintenance

Brazos Technology Corporation will provide e-Citation OEM parts, repair, and application software support services on an as-needed basis as stipulated in this contract. While this contract is intended to provide non-warranty repair services, the resultant Contractor must be authorized by the manufacturer to provide warranty repair services.

### 1.1. General Requirements

- 1.1.A. Brazos Technology Corporation shall own and operate a service center authorized to perform warranty and non-warranty repair of eCitation Writers and Printers and be able to repair and ship them within 3 business days after the repair estimate is approved. The completion of the repair within the specified turnaround time is dependent on the availability of the hardware, parts and technical information or software provided only by MFR needed to complete the repair. Brazos Technology Corporation agrees to contact WCSD within 1 business day if the repair is expected to exceed the 3 business day timeframe. Brazos Technology Corporation will not be responsible for delays associated with inclement weather or natural disasters in the US and abroad effecting resources needed to complete repairs.
- 1.2. Contractor Requirements
  - 1.2.A. Brazos Technology Corporation shall be an authorized service center for warranty and non-warranty repair of eCitation Writers and Printers.
  - 1.2.B. Brazos Technology Corporation shall employ OEM factory-trained technicians for the eCitation Writers. Brazos Technology Corporation shall provide these certifications upon request from WCSD.
  - 1.2.C. Brazos Technology Corporation shall employ OEM factory-trained technicians for the eCitation Printers. Brazos Technology Corporation shall provide these certifications upon request from WCSD.
  - 1.2.D. Brazos Technology Corporation shall have the capabilities to restore all eCitation Writers and Printers to the original manufacturers' specifications.
  - 1.2.E. Brazos Technology Corporation shall have the manufacturer's recommended testing and service equipment available to perform repairs. City has the right to visit Brazos Technology Corporation work location to verify their capabilities prior to award of a contract.
  - 1.2.F. Brazos Technology Corporation shall maintain accurate records of each repair and test so WCSD has direct access to maintenance and repair history at all times.
  - 1.2.G. Brazos Technology Corporation shall not sub-contract any of the services in this specification without the express written consent of WCSD.
- 1.3. Parts
  - 1.3.A. The Contractor shall stock or have immediate access to a parts inventory sufficient to fill stock orders 95% of the time and to complete needed repairs within the timeframe stipulated in this Statement of Work. The stock level required shall be a two-week supply of inventory, which will be determined by the City after Contract award. All parts will be ordered on an as-needed

basis. The City reserves the right to inspect the Contractor's, or the Contractor's Subcontractor's, parts inventory and/or repair facility.

- 1.3.B. The Contractor shall provide new parts for repairs and installations. Parts must meet all applicable federal, state and local requirements for quality and safety. If new parts are not available, or if Wireless Communication Services Division requests them in writing (e.g. email), remanufactured or rebuilt parts may be used. Used, factory seconds, remanufactured, shopworn, demonstrator, prototype, and discontinued parts or materials are not acceptable.
- 1.3.C. The Contractor shall provide OEM parts. If OEM parts are not available, any parts that are not OEM shall be approved by the Wireless Communication Services Division Manager or designee in writing (e.g., email), and shall be equivalent to or better than the manufacturer's parts originally installed on the respective unit.
- 1.3.D. The Contractor warrants that ALL parts are free from manufacturer defects in material and workmanship for a minimum of twelve (12) months or for the standard period as provided by the manufacturer, whichever is for the greatest length of time. This warranty shall provide for replacement parts and shall include pickup of the defective part and delivery of the replacement part at no additional cost.
- 1.3.E. The Contractor shall provide a copy of the manufacturer's parts warranty to the Wireless Communication Services Division Manager or their designee within five (5) calendar days of request by the City. The warranty period for all parts shall not start until the part is actually installed on a unit as evidenced by the City's work order or the Contractor's invoice for repair services.
- 1.3.F. The Contractor shall notify the Contract Manager and the Wireless Communication Services Division Manager or designee of service bulletins, warranty replacements, safety notices, or any applicable notice regarding the hardware or parts being sold. Failure to report this within fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of notice may result in cancellation of the contract.
- 1.3.G. The Contractor shall provide a point of contact for receiving orders from the City. A City representative from Wireless Communication Services Division will contact the Contractor by e-mail, fax, telephone or online to place an order for parts. The request will include the part number, part description, delivery requirements, and a unique delivery order number.
- 1.3.H. The Contractor shall confirm the quantity to be shipped to the ordering Wireless Communication Services Division representative by telephone, e-mail or online within four (4) hours after the order is placed.
- 1.3.I. The Contractor shall ship all orders for parts complete unless arrangements for partial shipments are made in advance. The Contractor shall provide, with each delivery, an invoice showing the description of each item, quantity, and unit price.
- 1.3.J. The Contractor shall provide, upon request, a monthly and/or yearly total of all parts purchased by Wireless Communication Services Division. The City prefers that the report be in an electronic format that may be sorted, or other City-approved format. The report shall include date purchased, invoice

number, part number, part description, price per part, and the total dollar amount for all parts purchased.

- 1.3.K. The Contractor shall provide, as a courtesy, an electronic parts manual and any manufacturer's diagnostic software to be used on standalone laptop computers located in Wireless Communication Services Division. If online diagnostic materials are available by subscription, the Contractor shall provide the subscription to Wireless Communication Services Division as a courtesy.
- 1.4. Repairs
  - 1.4.A. All warranty and non-warranty eCitation Writers and Printers in need of repair shall be shipped directly from the Wireless Office by preferred method and FOB destination.
  - 1.4.B. In response to a repair request, Brazos Technology Corporation shall inspect the entire piece of equipment and provide an estimate within two business days of receipt of the item(s) to be repaired, not counting the day delivered.
  - 1.4.C. Brazos Technology Corporation shall diagnose the repair and provide to requestor the following information in written form: the correction or repair needed, estimated labor hours, description and cost of parts, and total cost to complete repair.
  - 1.4.D. Brazos Technology Corporation shall obtain an approval of the estimate and a purchase order number prior to beginning repairs.
  - 1.4.E. Brazos Technology Corporation shall provide a limited warranty of at least 90 days on repaired items and the replaced parts.

# 1.5. Delivery

- 1.5.A. All deliveries shall be made to the City of Austin Wireless Office at 1006 Smith Road Austin, TX 78721. Unless requested by WCSD in advance, deliveries shall be made between the hours of 7:30 A.M. and 4:30 P.M. except for City of Austin holidays and weekends.
- 1.5.B. Unless otherwise specified, repaired equipment shall be delivered via ground shipping and must be accompanied with a packing slip referencing the purchase order number.
- 1.5.C. All deliveries shall be shipped FOB destination.

# Pricing

# Phase II Cost Spreadsheet

|   | Crash projected itemized costs                        |            |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Handheld/Peripherals  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Item  | Desc  | Price Each |  |  |  |
|   | MC75A   | 1          |  |  |  |
| Handheld  | MC75A (Ethernet capable)                              | \$1,298    |  |  |  |
| Magstripe   | MSR7000-100R  | \$121      |  |  |  |
| 4 Bay Cradle  | CRD7000-410ER   | \$340      |  |  |  |
| Motorola MC75 Wall Charger (with Cables)  | 25-102775-02R and 50-14000-249R and 50-<br>16000-082R | \$95       |  |  |  |
| Motorola Extended Life Batteries  | BRTRY-MC7XEAB00                                       | \$48       |  |  |  |
| Motorola MC75 4-Bay Battery Charger (with cables)                                   | SAC7X00-400CES  | \$107      |  |  |  |
| Brazos Stylus   |   |            |  |  |  |
| RAM Vehicle Mount for MC75As (for use in patrol cars only)                          | RAM-HOL-LG-SYM1PU, RAM-B-101U                         | \$115      |  |  |  |
| USB Cable for RAM to attach to Laptop   |   | \$25       |  |  |  |
|   | MC67  |            |  |  |  |
| Handheld (if delivered before 8/21/2014)  | MC67 (Ethernet capable)                               | \$999      |  |  |  |
| Handheld (if delivered after 8/21/2014)   | MC67 (Ethernet capable)                               | \$1,298    |  |  |  |
| Magstripe   | MSR5500-100R  | \$119      |  |  |  |
| 4 Bay Cradle  | CRD5501-401EES, 23844-00-00R                          | \$372      |  |  |  |
| Motorola MC67 Wall Charger (with Cables)  | 25-102775-02R and 50-14000-249R and 50-<br>16000-082R | \$91       |  |  |  |
| Motorola Extended Life Batteries  | BTRY-MC55EAB02  | \$51       |  |  |  |
| Motorola MC67 4-Bay Battery Charger (with cables)                                   | SAC5500-400CES  | \$141      |  |  |  |
| ** There are no RAM Mounts for MC67   |   |            |  |  |  |
| P   | rinters/Peripherals                                   | I          |  |  |  |
| Item  | Description   | Price      |  |  |  |
| Zebra RW420 Bluetooth Printer   | R4D-0UBA000N-00                                       | \$680      |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Charger (pigtails for MC's and cars)  | CC16614G1   | \$95       |  |  |  |
| Panavise Mounting Bracket (for Patrol Cars)   | 727-06  | \$30       |  |  |  |
| Add'l Batteries for RW420   | AK17463-005   | \$78       |  |  |  |
| Zebra 4-Bay Battery Charger   | AC18177-5   | \$345      |  |  |  |
| Zebra Wall Charger  | AT17696-1   | \$65       |  |  |  |
| Zebra Route Palette with US AC line cord<br>(for charging MC75A and RW420 together) | AK18823-1 and AK18830-1                               | \$324      |  |  |  |

| Printers/Peripherals (continued)  |                        |         |  |  |
|---|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Item  | Description            | Price   |  |  |
| USB Cable for Printer to Connect to Laptop                                    |                        | \$30    |  |  |
| Zebra Extended warranty for RW420 (4 years) includes overnight shipping       | ZA0-RW41-4CO           | \$380   |  |  |
| Zebra RW220 Printer   |                        | \$634   |  |  |
| Zebra RW220 Battery   |                        | \$67    |  |  |
| Zebra RW220 4 Bay Battery Charger   |                        | \$345   |  |  |
| Zebra RW220 Vehicle Cradle  |                        | \$250   |  |  |
| Zebra RW220 12V power supply vehicle wires                                    |                        | \$95    |  |  |
| Sot   | ftware/Interface Costs |         |  |  |
| Item  | Description            | Price   |  |  |
| e-Citation Mobile (includes year-1 warranty<br>and maintenance, i.e. hosting) |                        | \$650   |  |  |
| Includes Citations(Traffic, Parking,<br>Criminal)                             |                        |         |  |  |
| Warnings  |                        |         |  |  |
| Field Interviews  |                        |         |  |  |
| Activity Reports  |                        |         |  |  |
| Custom Interface Cost   |                        | \$7,985 |  |  |
| Brazos Accident Reporting to support 450 users                                |                        | \$175   |  |  |
| Brazos Accident Diagramming   |                        | \$79    |  |  |
| PEO Admin Task  |                        | \$2,500 |  |  |
| eChalking Task  |                        | \$1,200 |  |  |
| Work Order Task (Parking)   |                        | \$0     |  |  |
| Taxi Inspection Task  |                        | \$0     |  |  |
| Real Time db query (Message Switch)   |                        | \$0     |  |  |
| GIS Services: (capture and reporting of X/Y coordinate)                       |                        | \$0     |  |  |
| GIS Special Task: (Geocoding, Reporting, Graphical Display)                   |                        | \$6,500 |  |  |
| Interface: Pay By Phone system  |                        | \$2,500 |  |  |
| Interface: COA ERP system   |                        | \$0     |  |  |

| Training  | and Project Management                     |         |  |
|---|--|---------|--|
| Item  | Description                                | Price   |  |
| Train-the-Trainer sessions  | 2 (8 hr) day (consecutive)                 | \$3,500 |  |
| Project Management: small task                                      |  | \$1,500 |  |
| Project Management: medium task                                     |  | \$2,500 |  |
| Project Management: large task                                      |  | \$5,000 |  |
| New Task Setup and Configuration: small task                        | Installation, Integration, Test and Tuning | \$3,500 |  |
| New Task Setup and Configuration: medium task                       | Installation, Integration, Test and Tuning | \$6.500 |  |
| New Task Setup and Configuration: large task                        | Installation, Integration, Test and Tuning | \$8,500 |  |
| Warr  | anty and Maintenance                       |         |  |
| Item  | Description                                | Price   |  |
| eCitation Mobile License (non-site license, per-unit cost, year 2)  |  | \$250   |  |
| Brazos Accident Reporting (non-site license, per-unit cost, year 2) |  | \$200   |  |
| Brazos Accident Diagramming   |  | \$15    |  |
| Support (initial - years 1, 2, 3)                                   | Bronze (3 Years)                           | \$265   |  |
| Support (extension - years 4, 5)                                    | Bronze (2 year extension)                  | \$205   |  |
|   | SUBTOTAL                                   | \$935   |  |
|   | Consumables                                |         |  |
| Item  | Desc                                       | Price   |  |
| Paper (RW420)   | TMK-R4KN5B (1 pallet)                      | \$5,700 |  |
| Paper (RW220)   | 1 case (36 rolls)                          | \$75    |  |

# Exhibit C City of Austin, Texas EQUAL EMPLOYMENT/FAIR HOUSING OFFICE NON-DISCRIMINATION CERTIFICATION

# City of Austin, Texas Human Rights Commission

To: City of Austin, Texas, ("OWNER")

I hereby certify that our firm conforms to the Code of the City of Austin, Section 5-4-2 as reiterated below:

Chapter 5-4. Discrimination in Employment by City Contractors.

**Sec. 4-2 Discriminatory Employment Practices Prohibited.** As an Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) employer, the Contractor will conduct its personnel activities in accordance with established federal, state and local EEO laws and regulations and agrees:

- (B) (1) Not to engage in any discriminatory employment practice defined in this chapter.
  - (2) To take affirmative action to ensure that applicants are employed, and that employees are treated during employment, without discrimination being practiced against them as defined in this chapter. Such affirmative action shall include, but not be limited to: all aspects of employment, including hiring, placement, upgrading, transfer, demotion, recruitment, recruitment advertising; selection for training and apprenticeship, rates of pay or other form of compensation, and layoff or termination.
  - (3) To post in conspicuous places, available to employees and applicants for employment, notices to be provided by OWNER setting forth the provisions of this chapter.
  - (4) To state in all solicitations or advertisements for employees placed by or on behalf of the Contractor, that all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, creed, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, veteran status, sex or age.
  - (5) To obtain a written statement from any labor union or labor organization furnishing labor or service to Contractors in which said union or organization has agreed not to engage in any discriminatory employment practices as defined in this chapter and to take affirmative action to implement policies and provisions of this chapter.
  - (6) To cooperate fully with OWNER's Human Rights Commission in connection with any investigation or conciliation effort of said Human Rights Commission to ensure that the purpose of the provisions against discriminatory employment practices are being carried out.
  - (7) To require compliance with provisions of this chapter by all subcontractors having fifteen or more employees who hold any subcontract providing for the expenditure of \$2,000 or more in connection with any contract with OWNER subject to the terms of this chapter.

For the purposes of this Offer and any resulting Contract, Contractor adopts the provisions of the City's Minimum Standard Nondiscrimination Policy set forth below.

# City of Austin Minimum Standard Non-Discrimination in Employment Policy:

As an Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) employer, the Contractor will conduct its personnel activities in accordance with established federal, state and local EEO laws and regulations.

The Contractor will not discriminate against any applicant or employee based on race, creed, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, veteran status, gender identity, disability, or sexual orientation. This policy covers all aspects of employment, including hiring, placement, upgrading, transfer, demotion, recruitment, recruitment

advertising, selection for training and apprenticeship, rates of pay or other forms of compensation, and layoff or termination.

Further, employees who experience discrimination, sexual harassment, or another form of harassment should immediately report it to their supervisor. If this is not a suitable avenue for addressing their complaint, employees are advised to contact another member of management or their human resources representative. No employee shall be discriminated against, harassed, intimidated, nor suffer any reprisal as a result of reporting a violation of this policy. Furthermore, any employee, supervisor, or manager who becomes aware of any such discrimination or harassment should immediately report it to executive management or the human resources office to ensure that such conduct does not continue.

Contractor agrees that to the extent of any inconsistency, omission, or conflict with its current nondiscrimination employment policy, the Contractor has expressly adopted the provisions of the City's Minimum Non-Discrimination Policy contained in Section 5-4-2 of the City Code and set forth above, as the Contractor's Non-Discrimination Policy or as an amendment to such Policy and such provisions are intended to not only supplement the Contractor's policy, but will also supersede the Contractor's policy to the extent of any conflict.

UPON CONTRACT AWARD, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COPY TO THE CITY OF THE CONTRACTOR'S NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY ON COMPANY LETTERHEAD, WHICH CONFORMS IN FORM, SCOPE, AND CONTENT TO THE CITY'S MINIMUM NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY, AS SET FORTH HEREIN, **OR** THIS NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY, WHICH HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY THE CONTRACTOR FOR ALL PURPOSES (THE FORM OF WHICH HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE CITY'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT/FAIR HOUSING OFFICE), WILL BE CONSIDERED THE CONTRACTOR'S NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY WITHOUT THE REQUIREMENT OF A SEPARATE SUBMITTAL

#### Sanctions:

Our firm understands that non-compliance with Chapter 5-4 may result in sanctions, including termination of the contract and suspension or debarment from participation in future City contracts until deemed compliant with the requirements of Chapter 5-4.

#### Term:

The Contractor agrees that this Section 0800 Non-Discrimination Certificate or the Contractor's separate conforming policy, which the Contractor has executed and filed with the Owner, will remain in force and effect for one year from the date of filing. The Contractor further agrees that, in consideration of the receipt of continued Contract payments, the Contractor's Non-Discrimination Policy will automatically renew from year-to-year for the term of the underlying Contract.

day of <u>August</u> Dated this

CONTRACTOR

Muto Man

Authorized Signature

Title