From:	Paul Robbins
To:	Paul Robbins
Cc:	Cyrus Reed (cyrus.reed@sierraclub.org); A. Bryan Stevenson (bryan_stevenson@amat.com); Alfred Herrera (IHE@herreraboylelaw.com); Alfred Herrera (IHE-LL@herreraboylelaw.com); Alfred Herrera (IHE- MW@herreraboylelaw.com); Rose, Andrea; Barry Dreyling (barry.dreyling@cypress.com); Betty Dunkerley (bdunkerley1@austin.rr.com); Carol S. Birch (cbirch@citizen.org); Charles Girard (charles.girard@hcahealthcare.com); Christopher Hughes (chris.hughes@huschblackwell.com); Clarence Johnson (cjenergyconsult@att.net); Clifford G. Wells (Cliff.wells@bethany-umc.org); Ed Latson (ed@arma- tx.org); Gerald Davis (jerry.davis@goodwillcentraltexas.org); Hannah Wilchar; James K. Rourke (iim78731@gmail.com); Janee Briesemeister (janeebrie@gmail.com); Lara, Jose; John B. Coffman (john@johncoffman.net); John Sutton (john.sutton@tgslc.org); Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org); Lanetta Cooper (lcooper@tlsc.org); Laurie Barker (CustomersCARE.austinenergy@gmail.com); Maria Faconti (maria.faconti@huschblackwell.com); Maureen Whitfield (maureen.whitfield@crowncastle.com); Michael J. Whellan (mwhellan@gdhm.com); Michael W. Rollins (mrollins@austinchamber.com); Nathan Simpson (nsimpson@streamrealty.com); Paul Canduro (paul@austinaptassoc.com); Randy Brittin (rdbritton@duke- energy.com); Randy Chapman (rchapman@tlsc.org); Rebecca Melancon (rebecca@ibuyaustin.com); Roger Borgelt (roger@borgeltlaw.com); Tanya Leisey; Thomas Brocato; Trey Salinas (tsalinas@3pointpartners.com); W. Scott McColloudh (wsmc@dotlaw.biz); jeenna@lglawfirm.com; Rate Review
Subject:	Argument on Behalf of Paul Robbins" Motion to Compel his Fourth RFI
Date:	Friday, April 22, 2016 3:03:38 PM

AUSTIN ENERGY 2016 RATE REVIEW

AUSTIN ENERGY'S TARIFF PACKAGE UPDATE OF THE 2009 COST OF SERVICE STUDY AND PROPOSAL TO CHANGE BASE ELECTRIC RATES

BEFORE THE CITY OF AUSTIN IMPARTIAL HEARINGS EXAMINER AUSTIMEMERGY 2016 APR 25 AM 7: 5

Judge Herrera,

I received a letter by Austin Energy on Friday, April 22, asking you to dismiss my Motion to Compel because it was submitted 3 hours and 2 minutes after a deadline.

When crafting this motion, I referred to the procedural rules regarding "Motions to compel" on page 15, which allowed 3 days to respond. It was a deductive assumption that if there was a deadline other than 5 PM (the common definition for the end of the business day), it would have been stated in this section and not elsewhere, or restated in this section.

The 12 o'clock deadline that AE is referring to is stated elsewhere in the rules and reads:

All documents shall be filed by 12:00 p.m. on the due date, unless otherwise ordered by the Impartial Hearing Examiner.

By my reading, that allows you the discretion to 'otherwise order.'

I am asking you to judge the Motion on its merits and not a somewhat obscure time deadline.

Sincerely,

Paul Robbins (512) 447-8712