## WPD Staff Summary: Overview of Main Flood Mitigation Task Force (FMTF) Themes

(In order of when presented in Final Report Recommendations Subsections)

Key Themes	Brief Summary
1. Focus on life-safety-property-	Want WPD and all City Depts. to increase funding and attention on
protection priorities	life, safety, property protection and decrease same for things that
	do not.
2. Inspect, maintain & repair local	See current staffing and resources of Operations & Maintenance
drainage systems	insufficient and want more staff and to use greater share of DUF
	resources to address.
3. Significant capital funding needed	See current capital funding insufficient to resolve existing, known
	flooding problems in a timely fashion and want bond monies to
	resolve.
4. Future FMTF role	Make FMTF permanent to oversee WPD, implement FMTF report,
	provide for continued citizen engagement.
5. RSMP evaluation	Want to ensure accountability and effectiveness of the Regional
	Stormwater Management Program (RSMP).
6. Open channel O&M ("cleaning")	Believe more vegetative and debris management ("cleaning") of
	creeks will have major benefits and should be given more
	resources.
7. Floodplain Variance policy	Support current approach with several suggestions (e.g., public
	notice for surrounding property owners / community for Council
	variance cases).
8. Buyouts: Lower Onion & Williamson	Support current Lower Onion/Williamson buyout programs and
	want them expedited, want evaluation of efficiency of process.
9. Buyouts: Upper Onion	Support immediate, limited buyouts for Upper Onion properties
	with structures "substantially damaged" in the 2013 & 2015 floods.
10. Buyouts: New options	Create & fund (1) recovery buyouts for "catastrophically flooded"
	homes & (2) voluntary buyouts of individual properties (e.g., those
	in 10-year floodplain).
11. Buyouts: Education	Evaluate buyout program for improvements, ensure citizens
	understand how works, consequence of opting out, etc.
12. Buyouts: consistent benefits offer	Adopt a consistent buyout approach to give all participants access
	to equitable benefits
13. Reduce interdept. transfers	Noticed portion of annual WPD budget does not go to mitigate
राष्ट्रव । जारी	watershed concerns and hopes to reduce the amount transferred.
	1000 Para 1
14. DUF structure concerns	Want to reopen DUF fee structure to resolve problems (roof
	overhangs, pct. IC factor, etc.).
15. Annexations	Want Council to include flood problems and mitigation costs when
	considering potential annexations.

## WPD Staff Summary: Overview of Main Flood Mitigation Task Force (FMTF) Themes

(In order of when presented in Final Report Recommendations Subsections)

Key Themes	Brief Summary
16. Partnerships	Want more multi-jurisdictional partnerships to solve regional
	problems (e.g., Onion flooding) and public-private partnerships to
	save money.
17. Public Education & Outreach	Propose a wide range of potential ways to increase public
	education about flood risks and prepare for future flooding.
18. Plan & regulate for flood-prone areas	Call for improved coordination between City planning (e.g.,
	Imagine Austin) and development permitting to ensure new/re-
	development intensity does not exceed capacity of drainage
	infrastructure; support for CodeNEXT prescription for flood
	mitigation for redevelopment.
19. Level of Service	Consider lower Level of Service (LOS) for CIP projects to stretch
	funding and implement more solutions.
20. Anchor objects in floodplains	Require anchoring of dumpsters, furniture, etc. in floodplains to
	prevent clogging downstream culverts & drainage infrastructure.
21. Green infrastructure incentives	Green Infrastructure: incentives to build onsite flood controls, one-
	time DUF discounts, cost-sharing options, and integration with
	"grey" infrastructure.
22. Environmental Commission	Various recommendations (e.g., Env. Comm. be forum for citizens
	with flood concerns; review WPD performance measure
	effectiveness).
23. Regional flood regulations	Encourage communities upstream of Austin adopt improved flood
	mitigation regulations on Austin model to prevent future problems
	and expense.
Onion Creek Flood Study & Mitigation Opt	
24. Creek Cleaning	Believe more vegetative and debris management ("cleaning") of
	Onion Creek may be effective and should be considered as a
	management option.
25. Targeted buyouts	See "Buyouts: Upper Onion" above.
26. Channelization	Believe channelization of Onion Creek may be effective and should
	be considered as a management option in combination with
	regional detention.
27. Discourage interim development near	Want to discourage new/re-development in Onion Creek 500-year
floodplain	floodplain until FEMA review and map updates.
28. Study more detention, funding &	Want ongoing Halff study to add analysis of multiple large-scaled
partnership options	regional detention ponds; implement via regional partnerships and
	taxing district.