

AUSTIN CITY CLERK
RECEIVED

AUSTIN ENERGY 2016 RATE REVIEW

2016 MAY 23 AM 11 15

**AUSTIN ENERGY'S TARIFF PACKAGE §
UPDATE OF THE 2009 COST OF § BEFORE THE CITY OF AUSTIN
SERVICE STUDY AND PROPOSAL TO § IMPARTIAL HEARING EXAMINER
CHANGE BASE ELECTRIC RATES §**

NXP Semiconductors and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLCs' Response to Austin Energy's Sixth Request for Information

NXP Semiconductor, Inc. ("NXP") and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC, ("Samsung") (collectively, "Customers"), each on its own behalf, by and through its attorneys of record, files this Response to Austin Energy's ("AE") Sixth Request for Information, submitted on May 17, 2016. Pursuant to the March 10, 2016 Revised Procedural Schedule, this Response is timely filed.

Respectfully submitted,

Maria Faconti

By: _____

J. Christopher Hughes
State Bar No. 00792594
Maria C. Faconti
State Bar No. 24078487
HUSCH BLACKWELL, LLP
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400
Austin, Texas 78701
Phone: (512) 472-5456
Fax: (512) 481-1101
chris.hughes@huschblackwell.com
maria.faconti@huschblackwell.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR NXP SEMICONDUCTORS AND
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading has been forwarded by fax, e-mail, U.S. first class mail, hand-delivery, or by courier service to all parties and filed with the City Clerk on the 23rd day of May, 2016.



Maria C. Faconti

AE 6-1. For the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Marilyn J. Fox, please reconcile the \$6.5M listed on page 35, row 3 to WP D-1.2.8.1, column E, row 4 of Austin Energy's Cost of Service Study and proposal to Change Base Electric Rates.

Answer: Work Paper E-4.3 Excel lines 11 and 24 shows the test year amount of the Transfer to the Communications and Technology Management to be \$6,451,092. This compares to WP D-1.2.8.1, column E, row 4 of \$7,037,555 and a known and measurable adjustment (\$90,930.) The difference appears to be an overstatement of the Test Year amount of \$586,463 which is not included in my recommended adjustment.

Prepared by: Marilyn J. Fox
Sponsored by: Marilyn J. Fox

AE 6-2. Please identify the source for the 721,000 of Decker kW Rated Output used for page 349 of NXP/Samsung's response to Austin Energy's third request for information. How does this differ from the 726 MW Capacity for Decker Units 1 and 2 referenced in Appendix I, Bates Stamp 526, of Austin Energy's 2015 Cost of Service Study and Proposal to Change Base Electric Rates – Appendices?

Answer: The calculation contains a typo. The capacity should be 726 MW. The corrected calculation is understated by \$87,000 for a three year period or \$29,000 annually. This does not change my revenue requirement because my recommendation is to allow this amount in reserves which are already overfunded.

		Revised Calculation	
Total Decker kW Rated Output		726000	
NewGen Survey of PUC allowed Costs (mean)	\$	17.40	
	\$	12,632,400	\$ 87,000
To Be Funded from Excess Reserves	\$	4,210,800	\$ 29,000

Prepared by: Marilyn J. Fox
Sponsored by: Marilyn J. Fox

AE 6-3. For the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Marilyn J. Fox, please provide all supporting documentation for the \$70M dollar reduction of power supply costs stated in the footnote on page 3.

Answer: Please see the email to Key Accounts dated Monday, February 29, 2016 4:02 PM from Kerri Davis, Manager, Commercial & Key Accounts, Austin Energy.

Prepared by: Marilyn J. Fox

Sponsored by: Marilyn J. Fox

AE 6-4. For the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Marilyn J. Fox, please provide the supporting calculations, models and work papers for Exhibit MJF – 2 and MJF – 3 in native format (Excel preferred).

Answer: See attachment.

Prepared by: Marilyn J. Fox
Sponsored by: Marilyn J. Fox

AE 6-5. For each witness you sponsor, please provide in native format all calculations, exhibits, models, studies, and workpapers supporting the testimony and positions taken therein.

Answer: See attachment.

Prepared by: Marilyn J. Fox and Gary L. Goble
Sponsored by: Marilyn J. Fox and Gary L. Goble