




 SUBJECT TRACT
 ZONING BOUNDARY

PUBLIC NOTIFICATIONS

CASE#: C15-2016-0115



1" = 166.67'

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

H3
12

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment/Interpretation
Decision Sheet

DATE: November 21, 2016

CASE NUMBER: C15-2016-0115

☒ Y ☐ Brooke Bailey 2nd the Motion
☒ Y ☐ Michael Benaglio
☒ Y ☐ William Burkhardt
☒ N ☐ Eric Goff
☒ Y ☐ Melissa Hawthorne
☒ - ☐ Bryan King (Abstained)
☒ Y ☐ Don Leighton-Burwell Motion to PP 12/12
☒ Y ☐ Rahm McDaniel
☒ Y ☐ Melissa Neslund
☒ Y ☐ James Valadez
☒ Y ☐ Michael Von Ohlen
☒ Y ☐ Kelly Blume (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Kim Johnson, South Lamar Neighborhood Assoc.

OWNER: PSW, William Doerr

ADDRESS: 3206 and 3208 ALDWYCHE DR

INTERPRETATION APPEAL REQUESTED: The appellant has requested that the Board of Adjustment determine whether staff erred in its issuance of a building permit (BP- 2016-107075) for 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr. to construct two new single family attached dwelling units with an arbor structure connecting the dwelling units in an "SF-3", Family Residence zoning district because the connecting structure proposed (arbor) does not meet Section 25-2-3 (B) 11 of the Land Development Code which requires connection of two single family attached dwelling units by either a common or abutting wall or by a carport, garage, or other structural element.


BOARD'S DECISION: October 10, 2016 Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motion to Postpone to November 14, 2016, Board Member Bryan King second on a 9-1 vote (Board member Eric Goff nay): POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 14, 2016; Nov 14, 2016 Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motion to postpone to a Special Called Meeting November 21, 2016 at 5:30 pm at Council Chambers, 301 West 2nd Street, Board Member Brooke Bailey second on a 9-0-1 vote (Board member Bryan King Abstained): POSTPONED TO A SPECIAL CALLED MEETING NOVEMBER 21, 2016 AT 5:30 PM AT COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 301 WEST 2ND STREET BY APPLICANT DUE TO FULL BOARD NOT PRESENT; Nov 21, 2016 Public hearing was closed on Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell motion to Postponed to Dec 12, 2016; Board Member Brooke Bailey second on a 10-1-1 vote (Board members Bryan King Abstained, Eric Goff nay): POSTPONED TO DECEMBER 12, 2016.

FINDING:


1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:

2. An appeal of use provisions could clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:
3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated in that:

173/3



Leane Heldenfels
Executive Liaison



William Burkhardt
Chairman

Heldenfels, Leane

015-2016-0115

From: BRYAN [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 12:44 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Postponement Request

H3
4

Leane Heldenfels
BOA

Postponement request

Dear Leane,

On Thursday I became aware that two regular board members will be unavailable for the 11/14 hearing. Board members Michael Von Ohlen and Rahm McDaniel will not be present.

Kim Johnson, president of SLNA is not expected to attend as he is out of town due to hospice care with his mother. Therefore I will be presenting for SLNA and also off the dais.

Thus the Board of Adjustment will have 3 of the 11 regular members absent.

Since this is an important code interpretation matter, the South Lamar Neighborhood Association believes it would be best for a full board to hear the case.
The board typically grants a postponement for this cause.

Although this case was postponed at the October meeting due to different members being absent, we would look forward to a full board hearing of the case at the December meeting.

I live next door to the subject property and naturally monitor it on a daily basis. The site work has not paused. This past week crews were working 6 days a week. Work continues on the site on a daily basis. It does not appear that this code interpretation appeal has prevented work on the bulk of the site.

Therefore we respectfully request a postponement until a full board is present to hear the case.

Thank you in advance,

Bryan King

for SLNA

Heldenfels, Leane wrote:

13/5

**CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet**

DATE: October 10, 2016

CASE NUMBER: C15-2016-0115

☐ Y ☐ Brooke Bailey
☐ Y ☐ Michael Benaglio
☐ Y ☐ William Burkhardt
☐ Y ☐ Eric Goff
☐ O ☐ Melissa Hawthorne OUT
☐ A ☐ Bryan King ABSTAIN
☐ Y ☐ Don Leighton-Burwell
☐ Y ☐ Rahm McDaniel
☐ O ☐ Melissa Neslund OUT
☐ Y ☐ James Valadez
☐ Y ☐ Michael Von Ohlen
☐ Y ☐ Kelly Blume (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Kim Johnson

OWNER: South Lamar Neighborhood Assoc.

ADDRESS: 3206 ALDWYCHE DR


VARIANCE REQUESTED: The appellant has requested that the Board of Adjustment determine whether staff erred in its issuance of a building permit (BP- 2016-107075) for 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr. to construct two new single family attached dwelling units with an arbor structure connecting the dwelling units in an "SF-3", Family Residence zoning district because the connecting structure proposed (arbor) does not meet Section 25-2-3 (B) 11 of the Land Development Code which requires connection of two single family attached dwelling units by either a common or abutting wall or by a carport, garage, or other structural element.


BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 14, 2016

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motion to Postpone to November 14, 2016, Board Member Bryan King second on a 9-1 vote (Board member Eric Goff nay); POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 14, 2016.

FINDING:

1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:
2. An appeal of use provisions could clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:
3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated in that:


Leane Heldenfels
Executive Liaison


William Burkhardt
Chairman

H3
6

C15-2016-0115 H3
1

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Bryan King
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 3:38 PM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Postponement request

Leane,

I just realized the two regular board members will be unavailable for the 10/10 hearing. Melissa Hawthorn announced at the September meeting that she would not be attending the October BOA meeting.

Since I will likely be presenting, we will then be two members short. We only have one alternate at present. Thus we would only have a panel of 10 members instead of 11.

Since this is an important code interpretation matter, the South Lamar Neighborhood Association believes it would be best for a full board hear the case.

Since Melissa is very sharp on code matters, it would be helpful to have her present.

In addition, our SLNA president, Kim Johnson had to leave town due to his mother going into the hospital. Therefore we respectfully request a postponement until a full board is present to hear the case.

Thank you in advance,
Bryan King
for SLNA

The information transmitted herein is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender by hitting reply and destroy all copies of this document.

----- Original Message -----

From: Heldenfels, Leane

To: Doni Allen ; kim.johnson.austin@gmail.com ; Bryan King ; GNDC ; Teresa Elliott ; Teresa Elliott ; Susan Brewer ; crfunh ; newcastle homes ; Eric deYoung ; bfaust@outlook.com ; Jay Otto ; Rye, Stephen ; Delia Meave ; Ryan, Janae ; Ron Thrower ; Beth Turner ; Jawels Nickells ; astowell@drennergroupp.com

Cc: McDonald, John ; Word, Daniel ; Johnston, Liz ; Wren, Carl

Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 2:48 PM

Subject: FW: September 28 Board of Adjustment agenda, back up

Greetings 10/10 Board of Adjustment Applicants:

Please see attached meeting agenda and AE report.

If you would like to request to have your case postponed or withdrawn from the Board's agenda please reply to just me, not all, and advise.

Please print out a copy of the agenda for your use at Monday's hearing as we will not have paper copies at the hearing.

The agenda and case back up are also posted online at the Board's website:

11/14

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Leah Bojo [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 5:03 PM
To: Heldenfels, Leane; Lloyd, Brent
Cc: 'Matthew Welch'; Casey Giles; Ross Wilson; Greta Goldsby
Subject: FW: Postponement request for interpretation appeal at 3206, 3208 Aldwyche/c15-2016-0115

Hello Leane,

We object to this postponement request. The code specifies in 25-1-187 that development is not permitted during an appeal and that an approved plan or permit is suspended on the timely filing of an appeal of the plan or permit. Then, 25-1-188 states that a hearing will be scheduled for the first available meeting for which notice can be timely provided. That is the 10/10 meeting and we would like to proceed on that date. The Rules of Procedure state that the reasons for postponement are that additional evidence is needed, alternate solutions need further examination, or evidence presented at the hearing needs further examination

Please let us know if you need more information. Thanks!
Leah

Leah M. Bojo, Sr Land Use & Policy Manager
Drenner Group, PC | 200 Lee Barton Drive | Suite 100 | Austin, TX 78704
512-807-2918 direct | 1-512-665-1570 cell | [REDACTED] | www.drennergroupp.com

From: Casey Giles [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2016 4:09 PM
To: Ross Wilson <ross@pswrealestate.com>; Matthew Welch <matthew.welch@pswrealestate.com>; Greta Goldsby <GGGoldsby@drennergroupp.com>; Leah Bojo <LBojo@drennergroupp.com>
Subject: Fwd: Postponement request for interpretation appeal at 3206, 3208 Aldwyche/c15-2016-0115

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Heldenfels, Leane <Leane.Heldenfels@austintexas.gov>
Date: Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 3:53 PM
Subject: Postponement request for interpretation appeal at 3206, 3208 Aldwyche/c15-2016-0115
To: "G. [REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "Lloyd, Brent" <brent.lloyd@austintexas.gov>
Cc: "McDonald, John" <John.McDonald@austintexas.gov>, "Word, Daniel" <Daniel.Word@austintexas.gov>, "Lloyd, Brent" <brent.lloyd@austintexas.gov>

See below request for postponement of the above matter to the 11/14 hearing.

You can reply and advise that you do not object to the request and then don't have to appear Monday; or you can be present at the beginning of the hearing to note your objection to the request (you can also reply to this email and advise why you do/don't object to the request and I can include that info in the Board's late back up packet along with the request below).



CITY OF AUSTIN

Development Services Department
One Texas Center | Phone: 512.978.4000
505 Barton Springs Road, Austin, Texas 78704

113
119

Board of Adjustment Interpretations Application Appeal of an Administrative Decision

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, [click here to Save](#) the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. *If more space is required, please complete Section 6 as needed.* All information is required (if applicable).

For Office Use Only

Case # C15-2016-0115 ROW # 11605972 Tax # 0404090339

Section 1: Applicant Statement

Street Address: 3206 & 3208 Aldwyche Drive

Subdivision Legal Description:

Lightsey 2

Lot(s): Lots 6A & 6B

Block(s): Block A

Outlot: _____

Division: Lightsey 2 subdivision SF-3

Zoning District: SF-3

I/We Kim Johnson, president on behalf of myself/ourselves as
authorized agent for South Lamar Neighborhood Association affirm that on
Month September, Day 20, Year 2016, hereby apply for an interpretation
hearing before the Board of Adjustment.

43/10

Development Services Department Interpretation is:

see attached

I feel the correct interpretation is:

see attached

Section 2: Findings

The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable findings statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.

1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:

see attached

2. An appeal of use provisions could clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:

see attached

3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated in that:

see attached

H3
11

Section 3: Applicant/Aggrieved Party Certificate

I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Applicant Signature: [Signature] Date: 09/20/2016

Applicant Name (typed or printed): Kim Johnson for South Lamar Neighborhood Association

Applicant Mailing Address: 2608 Del Curto #2

City: Austin, TX 78704 State: TX Zip: 78704

Phone (will be public information): (512) 657-0675

Email (optional – will be public information): _____

Section 4: Owner Information

Owner Name: _____

Owner Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Section 5: Agent Information

Agent Name: _____

Agent Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone (will be public information): _____

Email (optional – will be public information): _____

Section 6: Additional Space (if applicable)

Please use the space below to provide additional information as needed. To ensure the information is referenced to the proper item, include the Section and Field names as well (continued on next page).

H3
12

Section 1: Applicant Statement

Development Services Department interpretation is:

Single Family Attached buildings may qualify as such with only a superficial or decorative attachment such as trellis or grape arbor, and that such attachment somehow comprises a structural element.

We feel the correct interpretation is:

Single Family Attached requires a substantial structural element to form the attachment. Chapter 25-1-21 (8) defines "attached" as "having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway." 25-2-3 (B) (1) requires connection of the two dwelling units, if not by "common or abutting walls," then "by a carport, garage, or other structural element", that is significant to the integrity of the buildings.)

A trellis or grape arbor is at best a decorative or landscape feature. It is clearly not a structural element, as the removal of such a non-integral feature would not compromise the structural integrity of either dwelling. It could easily be removed, post-construction, and have no effect on the remaining structures' integrity.

Section 2 Findings:

1. There is a reasonable doubt of the difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations in that:

Single Family Attached Residential allows for subdividing a 7000 sq ft or greater site into two lots, with one lot being as small as 3000 sq ft. The entire site must contain two buildings attached in a meaningful - not superficial - way, by using a structural element such as a common wall, covered porch, loggia, or passageway per the definition of "attached", per LDC Section 25-1-21(8). Section 25-2-3 B (11) which speaks to "connection... by a common wall, carport, garage, or other structural element". A grape arbor or trellis does not create the type of connection that rises to the level of a structural element between two single family dwellings.

2. An appeal of this interpretation would clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objective of the zone in question because:



A variety of acceptable structural attachment methods are available to all who choose to build Single Family Attached properties.

3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties similarly situated in that:

All properties would be subject to the same guidelines for "attachment", delineated in the Code definitions, as clarified by this interpretation.

[illegible]

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
APPROVED FOR PERMANENT
J. Federico Gonzalez
Department of Services Department
dated 2/2/16
The granting of a permit for, as requested, to have plans and specifications that may be submitted to the public has to be approved by any decision of any of the members of the same. Approved by the Council on 2/2/16, when members of the City of Albuquerque.



CITY OF AUSTIN - TECHNICAL REVIEW

Approval of these plans and specifications shall not be construed to be a permit for or an approval of any violation of any of the provisions of the currently adopted residential building code or any other ordinance of the City of Austin which might have been enacted or overruled in the plan review process.


11/26/2024

INSTRUMENTALS @ 140135

SECTION 210000 - ELECTRICAL

LIGHTSEY TWO'S SECOND
RESUBMISSION OF LOT 20
THE OORE LOW HEIGHTS
DOC NO. 20160020

LOT 8 BLOCK A CALCULATIONS



U.S. HOUSE PLAN (16) BLOCK A

A002

43/13

[illegible]
$$\frac{43}{14}$$

43
15

Bryan King

From: "Camou, Juan" <Juan.Camou@austintexas.gov>

To: <bryan@bkradio.net>

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 2:54 PM

Subject: 3206+3208 ALDWYCHE DR

The residential permit application for the address listed above has been approved.

Juan P. Camou
Engineering Associate C
Development Services Department
juan.camou@austintexas.gov
(512)-974-2621 office



We want to hear from you. Please take a few minutes to complete our online customer survey:

[Commercial Plan Review - English Survey](#)

[Residential Plan Review - English Survey](#)

Nos gustaría escuchar de usted. Por favor, tome un momento para completar nuestra encuesta:

[Comerciales - Encuesta en Español](#)

[Residencial - Encuesta en Español](#)

9/20/2016

NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

Austin City Code 25-1-461 (see page 2 of 2 for appeal process)



Planning and Development Review Department

Address of Property in Question

3206 & 3208 Aldwyche

Permit Number

2016-107075 BP

Appellant Filing Appeal

South Lamar Neighborhood Association

Relationship to Property

Listed Community Registry NA

Appellant's status as Interested Party

PROPERTY within SLNA Boundaries

Appellant Contact Information

Name

Kim Johnson

Street

2608 Del Canto #2

City

Austin

State

Zip

78704

Telephone

(512) 657-0675

E-Mail

Kim.Johnson.Austin@gmail.com

Date of Decision Being Appealed:

9/2/16

Permit Holder Contact Information

Name

609 West Lynd LP (William Doerr)

Street

2003 S 1st

City

Aus

State

Zip

78704

Telephone

512 762-4142

E-Mail

Billy@PSWRealEstate.com

Date Appeal is Filed:

9/21/16

Decision being appealed: (use additional paper as required)

Challenge of Single Family attachment does not
comply w/ code

see interpretation attachment

Reason the appellant believes the decision does not comply with the requirements of the Land Development Code (Title 25)

see interpretation attachment

BELOW FOR CITY USE ONLY

Hearing Date:

Board or Commission:

Action on Appeal:

Date of Action

Form Bldg 100 Page 1 of 2

The applicant must complete page 2 of 2 and sign before this application of appeal is complete. The application will not be processed unless the applicant reads and signs page 2 of 2.

4/3
18

Section 1: Applicant Statement

Development Services Department interpretation is:

Single Family Attached buildings may qualify as such with only a superficial or decorative attachment such as trellis or grape arbor, and that such attachment somehow comprises a structural element.

We feel the correct interpretation is:

Single Family Attached requires a substantial structural element to form the attachment. Chapter 25-1-21 (8) defines "attached" as "having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway." 25-2-3 (B) 11 requires connection of the two dwelling units, if not by "common or abutting walls," then "by a carport, garage, or other structural element", that is significant to the integrity of the buildings.)

A trellis or grape arbor is at best a decorative or landscape feature. It is clearly not a structural element, as the removal of such a non-integral feature would not compromise the structural integrity of either dwelling. It could easily be removed, post-construction, and have no effect on the remaining structures' integrity.

Section 2 Findings:

1. There is a reasonable doubt of the difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations in that:

Single Family Attached Residential allows for subdividing a 7000 sq ft or greater site into two lots, with one lot being as small as 3000 sq ft. The entire site must contain two buildings attached in a meaningful - not superficial - way, by using a structural element such as a common wall, covered porch, loggia, or passageway per the definition of "attached", per LDC Section 25-1-21(8). Section 25-2-3 B (11) which speaks to "connection... by a common wall, carport, garage, or other structural element". A grape arbor or trellis does not create the type of connection that rises to the level of a structural element between two single family dwellings.

2. An appeal of this interpretation would clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objective of the zone in question because:

A variety of acceptable structural attachment methods are available to all who choose to build Single Family Attached properties.

3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties similarly situated in that:

All properties would be subject to the same guidelines for "attachment", delineated in the Code definitions, as clarified by this interpretation.

43
19/6

DRENNER GROUP

H3
20

Greta E. Goldsby
512.807.2909
ggoldsby@drennergroupp.com

September 28, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

City of Austin, Members of Board of Adjustment
c/o Leanne Heldenfels, Board of Adjustment Liaison

Dear Chairman Burkhardt and Members of the Board of Adjustment,

We represent and are writing to you on behalf of Lightsey Two, LP, the owner of the below-referenced Property.

We are in receipt of the Board of Adjustment Interpretations Application (Appeal for Administrative Decision) (the "Appeal") submitted by Kim Johnson, president of the South Lamar Neighborhood Association, relating to a building permit issued for the construction of single family attached residential homes on properties having an address of 3206 & 3208 Aldwyche Drive, Austin, Texas (the "Property").

In the Appeal, Ms. Johnson asks the Board of Adjustment to limit the interpretation of "Single Family Attached Residential" to the definition of "attached" in the General Definitions of the City of Austin Land Development Code (the "Code" or "LDC"), Chapter 25-1-21(8): "Attached: when used with reference to two or more buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway." However, this definition is a "general definition", as the lead-in at the beginning of Chapter 25-1-21 states: "Unless a different definition is expressly provided, in this title [25]."

The term "Single Family Attached Residential" is specifically described and defined in Chapter 25-2-3(B)(11) as "the use of a site for two dwelling units, each located on a separate lot, that are constructed with common or abutting walls or connected by a carport, garage, or other structural element" (emphasis added). Therefore, this section (Chapter 25-2-3(B)(11)) would expressly expand and control over the general definition of "attached" in Chapter 25-1-21.

Therefore, the Applicant's suggestion in the Appeal that "structure" be modified by "that is significant to the integrity of the buildings" is not only unnecessary, it is contrary to and more restrictive than the definition of "structure" in the Code. A "structure" is defined in Chapter 25-1-21(113) as "a building of any kind, or a piece of work artificially built-up or composed of parts joined together in a definite manner." A trellis is made up of 2 beams and purlins that span between the beams. The beams are therefore structural members, as they are supporting the purlins. A trellis or covered walkway is therefore a structure, as defined by the Code.

43
21

For these reasons, the City's prior determination (as set forth in a memorandum dated July 8, 2002 signed by Janet L. Gallagher, Deputy Building Official of Watershed Protection & Development Review Department, a copy of which is attached hereto) that "an arbor constitutes a connection between single-family attached residence," is consistent with the description of use set forth in Chapter 25-2-3(B)(1) and Chapter 25-1-21(8) in that (i) a trellis or arbor joins two dwellings in a definite manner (see definition of "structure" above) and (ii) a trellis or arbor connecting two buildings creates a passageway between the two dwellings.

As stated above, the City has allowed connections including trellis, arbors, and other "structures" that connect the dwellings to constitute and satisfy the requirements in Code relating to "Single Family Attached Residential" dwellings for many years. Therefore, to make this conclusion going forward would have the unintended effect of making numerous existing homes legally non-conforming.

We appreciate your consideration to the matters contained in this letter.

Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Very truly yours,



Greta Goldsby

cc: Leah Bojo (of the Firm)
Steve Drenner (of the Firm)
Matthew Welch (via electronic mail)
Ross Wilson (via electronic mail)

H3
22

AUG-13-2003 WED 10:51 AM HAWKONDS HOMES

FAX NO. 5123318070

P. 02/02



City of Austin

Founded by Congress, Republic of Texas, 1839
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
One Texas Center, 303 Burton Springs Road
P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

July 8, 2002

Mr. Kipp Flores
Sabas Flores, A.L.A.
11776 Jollyville Road, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78759

Dear Mr. Flores:

It has been determined by the Building Official of the City of Austin that an arbor constitutes a connection between single-family attached SF3 residences. The arbor must be constructed twice as long as it is wide.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (512) 974-2089.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Gallagher, Deputy Building Official
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

JLG: rvm

43
23

AUG 13-2003 WED 10:51 AM HAMMONDS HOMES

FAX NO. 5123318870

P. 02/02



City of Austin

Founded by Congress, Republic of Texas, 1839
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road
P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

July 8, 2002

Mr. Kopp Flores
Sabns Flores, A.I.A.
11776 Jollyville Road, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78759

Dear Mr. Flores:

It has been determined by the Building Official of the City of Austin that an arbor constitutes a connection between single-family attached SF3 residences. The arbor must be constructed twice as long as it is wide.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (512) 974-2089.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Gallagher, Deputy Building Official
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

JLG:rvn



CITY OF AUSTIN
Development
SERVICES DEPARTMENT

H3
24

One Texas Center | 505 Barton Springs Road, Austin, Texas 78704 | Phone: 512.978.4000

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Adjustment
FROM: John M. McDonald, Development Services Manager
DATE: September 27, 2016
SUBJECT: C15-2016-0115

An appeal of the building official's administrative decision to approve a building permit at 3206/3208 Aldwyche for the construction of a new single-family attached residential use was filed on September 21, 2016.

Single-family attached residential use is defined in 25-2-3(B)(11) of the Land Development Code (LDC) and is a permitted use in SF-3 districts.

SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL use is the use of a site for two dwelling units, each located on a separate lot, that are constructed with common or abutting walls or connected by a carport, garage, or other structural element.

The term "attached" is defined in 25-1-21(8) of the Land Development Code (LDC).

ATTACHED, when used with reference to two or more buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway.

The appeal is challenging the Development Services Department interpretation of 25-2-3 (B)(11) on the grounds that a "trellis" or "grape arbor" does not constitute a structural element.

In response to the points raised in the appeal, staff finds that the term "structural element" to be inherently vague and undefined in the LDC. This makes enforcement of any particular definition difficult to defend and fraught with inconsistency. In such instances, staff relies on past precedent to provide guidance.

Related to single-family attached residential use, staff finds a long-standing interpretation issued in 2002 (attached) that specifically allows for an arbor to be used as the connection between the two dwelling units of a single-family attached residential use. Additionally, staff research has found multiple cases involving new single-family attached subdivisions where a trellis or similar connection was approved.

Del Curto Place, S. Lamar NPA,
2004

Rose Glen, S. Manchaca NPA,
2008



Development CITY OF AUSTIN
SERVICES DEPARTMENT

H3
25

One Texas Center | 505 Barton Springs Road, Austin, Texas 78704 | Phone: 512.978 4000

Salem Center, S. Manchaca
NPA, 2010

Blarwood Forest, Garrison Park NPA,
2011

Bouldin Meadows, Galindo
NPA, 2010

Enclave at Westgate, 2012

Cima Homes, 2014

Given the consistent application of the code, staff believes that it is appropriate to continue allowing a "trellis" or "arbor" to serve as the required attachment between dwelling units for a single-family attached residential use.

H3
26



City of Austin

Founded by Congress, Republic of Texas, 1839
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road
P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

July 8, 2002

Mr. Kipp Floris
Sabas Flores, A.L.A.
11776 Jollyville Road, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78759

Dear Mr. Flores:

It has been determined by the Building Official of the City of Austin that an arbor constitutes a connection between single-family attached SF3 residences. The arbor must be constructed twice as long as it is wide.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (512) 974-2089.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Gallagher, Deputy Building Official
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

JLG:rvn

C15-2016-0115

(1)
H3
27

From: McDonald, John

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:21 PM

To: 'Bryan King'

Cc: Rivera, Mayra; Word, Daniel; Wren, Carl; Roig, Jose G; Hernandez, Tony [PDRD]
(Tony.Hernandez@austintexas.gov); Stillwell, Kelly

Subject: RE: Single Family Attached

Hi Bryan,

Sorry for the delayed response. In analyzing the code there are two sections that provide guidance, and I have pasted them below.

§ 25-1-21 - DEFINITIONS.

(7) ATTACHED, when used with reference to two or more buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway.

§ 25-2-3 - RESIDENTIAL USES DESCRIBED.

(B) Residential use classifications are described as follows:

(11) SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL use is the use of a site for two dwelling units, each located on a separate lot, that are constructed with common or abutting walls or connected by a carport, garage, or other structural element.

2

Under the code language pasted above, the attachment would need to be a covered porch at a minimum. This area would be counted as impervious cover and building coverage, respectively according to how much of the covered area is on either lot. Obviously, a carport or a garage would work for the attachment as well and would count towards impervious cover and building coverage.

The connections/attachments that you provided pictures for obviously do not meet the intent nor the language of the code. It is possible this matter was never brought to my work unit before now. I can attest that it has not been brought to my attention nor have I looked into the matter before you brought it to my attention. I will be informing my staff of the appropriate nature of the attachment for future single family attached applications for a building permit.

Respectfully,

John M. McDonald

Development Services Manager

Residential Plan Review/DSD

974-2728 – Office

john.mcdonald@austintexas.gov

From: Michael Padavic [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2016 3:31 PM
To: Culver, Beth; Word, Daniel
Cc: Jessica McCarty; Camou, Juan
Subject: Single Family Attached

2
HB
28

Beth/Daniel:

I wanted to reach out because we received a new comment on a recent application that has not been brought to our attention before. For application 2016-068734 PR, Juan (included) noted that the trellis is not an acceptable method of attachment, per LDC 25-1-21 (8). However, we have always used this method and it has never previously been questioned

. Further - while I don't have a copy - I have seen a city memo from 8-10 years ago that specifically states an "arbor" is an acceptable method of attachment. Could you please clarify this further?

If indeed the trellis does not qualify as a "covered porch, loggia, or passageway," does this mean we just slap a roof on the trellis and call it a day? Or are there specific parameters to the attachment, such as size, area, etc.?

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Regards,

7

Mike

MICHAEL PADAVIC, AIA, LEED BD+C

From: Michael Padavic
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 4:08 PM
To: Word, Daniel
Cc: Jessica McGarty; Culver, Beth; Camou, Juan; Wren, Carl;
McDonald, John
Subject: Re: Single Family Attached

3
H3
29

Daniel,
If there was a stop work order, could we not just slap a roof on it and call it a "covered passageway" per the code sections you have quoted? It would not be required to go to BOA then, correct?

Please confirm.

Thank you.

Mike

MICHAEL PDAVIC, AIA, LEED BD+C

From: Michael Padavic [mailto:
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 1:35 PM
To: Jessica McCarty
Cc: Word, Daniel; Culver, Beth; Camou, Juan; Wren, Carl
Subject: Re: Single Family Attached

④

H3
30

Daniel,

Thank you for following up. As Jessie noted, please use the Cima Hills trellis details of an example of a recently accepted structural element (per 25-2-3 B 11). I am not sure who else will be included in the discussion, but I have copied Carl Wren on this email to help explain the situation from our point of view. I know there is a City of Austin memo that allows an arbor (trellis) to qualify as the structural element (see 25-2-3 B 11). I have seen it, but do not have a copy of it. If you'd like, I can search for it again and bill my hours back to the city as a code consultant.

Frankly, I think this is another example of the city kowtowing to the neighborhoods. The city's code has vague and conflicting information (see 25-2-3 (B) 11 versus 25-1-21 (8)), in addition to a memo allowing this as an acceptable method of compliance. Perhaps there is another memo revoking the first, but I doubt it, as I'm sure that process requires notification of stakeholders. We waited two weeks to hear back from the primary reviewer, and here we are in the second week of review on this specific question, entering the fourth week just trying to get clarification of the permit comments.

Again, if the answer is slapping a sheet of plywood on the trellis and calling it a "covered passageway" per 25-2-21 (8), then we'll do that. I don't see anything in the code stating minimum sizes, so while it still won't appease the neighborhoods, it will meet the zoning code. And then we'll pull the plywood after CO and fight the neighborhood at the Board of Adjustments when they flag us for code compliance.

Please let me know how we can quickly resolve this one way or another.

Regards,
Mike

MICHAEL PADAVIC, AIA, LEED BD+C
directorofarchitecture&planning

From: Word, Daniel
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 10:28 AM
To: Casey Giles
Cc: Michael Padavic; Jessica McCarty; Culver, Beth; Camou, Juan; Wren, Carl; McDonald, John
Subject: RE: Single Family Attached

5
H3
31

An appeal has not been filed yet. It can't be appealed until we (COA) make the administrative decision to approve the permit request. But yes, they appeal a specific permit, not the concept in general.

I am advising you, that given the public attention on this particular project and my understanding that there are members of the public that do not agree with our past practice of allowing a trellis to be the "structural element" necessary for single-family attached residential use, an appeal is probable on this project.

I think the trellis design would be very vulnerable to being overturned by the BOA. A design with common or abutting walls, or a connection with a garage or carport would be less vulnerable to being overturned. The term "structural element" is not defined, which leaves it open to interpretation, which makes the BOA involvement likely. It would come down to whether 9 members of the BOA could agree on what constitutes a "structural element".

If the BOA overturns the building official, they will issue an "interpretation" that is binding on your project and any subsequent permit submittals relevant to the issue across the city.

Daniel Word
Planner Principal, Residential Review
City of Austin
Development Services Department
mailto:Daniel.Word@austintexas.gov
Tel: 512-974-3341

C15-2016-0115

Ignore dates – camera defaulted to 2006. These were taken in Oct 2016

H3
32



H3
33



01/01/2006

H3
34




01/01/2006



01/01/2006

H3
35



C15-2016-0115

H3
36

Single Family Attached Code Interpretation

11/30/2016

Introduction

- *Development Services Department interpretation is:*
-
- Single Family Attached buildings may qualify as such with only a superficial or decorative attachment such as trellis or grape arbor, and that such attachment *(which does not contribute to the impervious cover calculation)* somehow comprises significant part of the buildings or some kind a structural element.

Correct Interpretation

- Single Family Attached requires a substantial structural element to form the attachment. Chapter 25-1-21 (8) defines "attached" as "having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway." 25-2-3 (B) 11 requires connection of the two dwelling units, if not by "common or abutting walls," then "by a carport, garage, or other structural element", that is significant to the integrity of the buildings.)
-
- A trellis or grape arbor is at best a decorative or landscape feature. It is clearly not a structural element, as the removal of such a non-integral feature would not compromise the structural integrity of either dwelling. It could easily be removed, post-construction, and have no effect on the remaining structures' integrity.

Findings

- Single Family Attached Residential allows for subdividing a 7000 sq ft or greater site into two lots, with one lot being as small as 3000 sq ft. The entire site must contain two buildings attached in a meaningful - not superficial - way, by using a structural element such a common wall, covered porch, loggia, or passageway per the definition of "attached", per LDC Section 25-1-21(8). Section 25-2-3 B (11) which speaks to "connection... by a common wall, carport, garage, or other structural element". Something that connects the functions of the two buildings. A grape arbor / trellis or tacked on feature, does not create the type of meaningful connection that rises to the level to satisfy the code.

Area Character

- The area character is generally comprised of either SF detached or duplex uses with the massing and lot sizes appropriate to the SF-3 district. SF-3 attached when developed with superficial attachments resembles that of a small not subdivision not that of regular expected development.

Special Privilege

- All properties would be subject to the same guidelines for “attachment”, delineated in the Code Definitions, as further clarified by this interpretation.

11/30/2016

Superficial Attachments

This is where the misinterpretation began



11/30/2016

H3
42

Superficial Attachments



11/30/2016

H3
43

Superficial Attachments

2010 - This is where it got ridiculous!



11/30/2016

9

5/1/13

Superficial Attachments




11/30/2016

10

H3
45

Home Depot Attachment



11/30/2016

11
H3
46

Home Depot Attachment



11/30/2016

12

H3
47


Acceptable Attachments Common Carport



11/30/2016

43
H3

Common Passageway




11/30/2016

14

49/43

Passageway / side porch with exterior doors



11/30/2016

15

8/13


Acceptable Attachments Has impervious cover



11/30/2016

16
5/1/16

Common front Loaded Carport



11/30/2016

17

H3
62

Passageway attaching exterior doors / mud rooms



11/30/2016

18

53/H3

Covered Passageway




11/30/2016

19

H3
54

Passageway to Nowhere




11/30/2016

20

53/43

Acceptable Attachments - NOT



11/30/2016

21

52/53

November 2015 Determination

- Hi Bryan,
- Sorry for the delayed response. In analyzing the code there are two sections that provide guidance, and I have pasted them below.
- § 25-1-21 - DEFINITIONS.
- (7) ATTACHED, when used with reference to two or more buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway.
- § 25-2-3 - RESIDENTIAL USES DESCRIBED.
- (B) Residential use classifications are described as follows:
- (11) SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL use is the use of a site for two dwelling units, each located on a separate lot, that are constructed with common or abutting walls or connected by a carport, garage, or other structural element.
-
- Under the code language pasted above, the attachment would need to be a covered porch at a minimum. This area would be counted as impervious cover and building coverage, respectively according to how much of the covered area is on either lot. Obviously, a carport or a garage would work for the attachment as well and would count towards impervious cover and building coverage.
-
- The connections/attachments that you provided pictures for obviously do not meet the intent nor the language of the code. It is possible this matter was never brought to my work unit before now. I can attest that it has not been brought to my attention nor have I looked into the matter before you brought it to my attention. I will be informing my staff of the appropriate nature of the attachment for future single family attached applications for a building permit.
-
-

Respectfully,
John M. McDonald
Development Services Manager
Residential Plan Review/DSD

11/30/2016

5/17/13

Plans Initially REJECTED

- Meeting with Juan Camou and John McDonald to review
- Mr. Camou stated he rejected the plan as they “did not meet the attachment requirements described in LDC”

11/30/2016

23

58/13

Ordinance 1987 (87-0219-A)

SF Attached Clearly created a Duplex flexibility code provision. A bailout for unsold duplexes.

The reason the NPC homes code was created:

- 13-3-108 PART 4. A new Section 13-2A-1557 is added to the Austin City Code of 1981, as amended, to read as follows:
- SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL
- The use of a site for two dwelling units constructed
- with common or abutting walls, or are connected by a
- carport, garage, or other structural element, and where
- each is located on a separate lot. Any existing duplex
- which applies for subdivision must first conform to all
- current duplex requirements...

11/30/2016

24

59
43

From 1987 ORDINANCE NO. 870219-R
Clearly references duplexes

- 13-3-108 (g) Party Wall Agreement
- The owner or owners of single-family attached residential lots shall execute and deliver a Party Wall Agreement for recording with the required subdivision

11/30/2016

25

60/43

How did the 2004 "Grape Arbor" come about?

- Village Oaks Court – Hammond Homes
- Hammond Applied for SF-4 Zoning
- SLNA was formed and responded
- Council Denied Zoning Change:
- "Small lot not compatible with surroundings properties"
- Next – Property was Subdivided into 7000' lots
- We Expected Affordable Duplexes
- Instead - SF-3 attached is approved with the , now infamous, "grape arbor"

11/30/2016

26

6/1/23

When the Grape Arbor appears again - 2010 Bouldin Meadows

- Was first new SF grape arbor application SLNA was aware of.
- Contacted Greg Guernsey to appeal when discovered
- Was informed it was past 20 day deadline of an admin decision
- Would have to await an active permit review to appeal
- Next known case = PSW Aldwyche application


Lightsey Ridge sales website, No attachments shown




11/30/2016

6/3/23

No attachments Shown on any units



11/30/2016



6/1/13

PSW Marketing Web page - Attached? They really are not and not represented as such



[visit the gallery](#)
[see my favorites](#)
[homeowner login](#)
[get updates](#)

[find](#)
[experience](#)
[contact](#)

[welcome](#)
[floorplans](#)
[pricing](#)
[siteplan](#)
[features](#)
[gallery](#)
[the area](#)
[contact](#)



PLAN D2
starting from \$555,000

	3		2.5		1656+
beds		baths		sq. ft.	


PREVIEW / DOWNLOAD



PLAN E
starting from \$555,000

	3		2.5		1750+
beds		baths		sq. ft.	

PREVIEW / DOWNLOAD



PLAN F
starting from \$655,000

	4		3		2090+
beds		baths		sq. ft.	

PREVIEW / DOWNLOAD



PLAN F2
starting from \$655,000

	4		3		2090+
beds		baths		sq. ft.	

PREVIEW / DOWNLOAD

What this code language loophole creates:


- Under the present interpretation of code:
- SF attached with this loophole has the effect of Administratively approving a small lot subdivision!
- Small lot subdivisions should go through a public process of a zoning change with Planning Commission and Council decisions
- SF attached is now creating a more expensive product than something that resemble a duplex or town home street appearance

6/13

What should an attachment really look like?

- A common or abutting wall
- Common carport or Covered Porch
- Passageway that actually connects doors
- Offset units (front load – back load)
- L shape attached units
- Common porch or entrance way
- OTHER STRUCTURAL ELEMENT
needs interpretation to define substantial to the structure and have impervious cover

Appropriate Attachments



11/13/2016

11/30/2016

62/53 33


Appropriate Attachment



11/30/2016

6/1/17³⁴

Appropriate Attachment



11/30/2016

35

7/13/10

- We hope that you will find that:
- Any form of an “attachment” between dwellings should be a structural component, have a common purpose relating to a common use of the two units and be a substantial element that is counted as impervious cover

Thank You

11/30/2016

37

2/15/17

Opposition to Appeal
C15-2016-0115
PSW Real Estate

November 21, 2016

H3
73

25-1-190, Appellate Burden

The appellant must establish that the decision being appealed is contrary to applicable law or regulations.

H3
74

BOA Community Guidebook:

“It’s important to remember that neither city staff nor the Board of Adjustment can re-write the Land Development Code, even if they see flaws in how it’s written.

If the code supports the department’s determination, the Board of Adjustment will not overturn the decision even if members of the Board don’t like the result.

Only the City Council, through the code amendment process, can change the code itself.”

H3
75

Rules of Procedure – Must meet all three.

1. Reasonable doubt or difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations.
2. Will not grant special privilege to one property owner inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated.
3. Out of character with the structures enumerated for the various districts and with the objective of the district in question.

H3
76

1. Reasonable doubt or difference
of interpretation as to the
specific intent of the
regulations? False

7/13

Definitions

- Chapter 25-1-21(8), Attached: when used with reference to two or more buildings, means having one or more common walls or being joined by a covered porch, loggia, or passageway.
 - This definition is a "general definition".
- Chapter 25-2-3 (B)(11), Single Family Attached Residential: the use of a site for two dwelling units, each located on a separate lot, that are constructed with common or abutting walls or connected by a carport, garage, or other structural element.

2/13

"Structure"

- 25-1-21(113) – a building of any kind, or a piece of work artificially built-up or composed of parts joined together in a definite manner.

Consistent with Prior Determination & Practice



City of Austin

Founded by Congress, Republic of Texas, 1839
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department
One Texas Center, 503 Burton Springs Road
P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

July 8, 2002

Mr. Kipp Flores
Sabas Flores, A.L.A.
11776 Jollyville Road, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78759

Dear Mr. Flores:

It has been determined by the Building Official of the City of Austin that an arbor constitutes a connection between single-family attached SF3 residences. The arbor must be constructed twice as long as it is wide.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (512) 974-2089.

Sincerely,


A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Janet L. Gallagher".

Janet L. Gallagher, Deputy Building Official
Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

JLG:rvn

8/1/03

H3
81



H3
82



H3
83




2. Will not grant special privilege to one property owner inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated? False

3. Out of character with the structures enumerated for the various districts and with the objective of the district in question? False

8/5
H3

Character of Family Residential District



86 H3

Character of Family Residential District



H3
87

Land Development Code Diagnosis

3.4 Lack of Household Affordability and Choice Household Affordability "Gap" Continues to Grow

Household Affordability

The City of Austin faces a significant challenge in meeting the growing demand for affordable housing in a large segment of its residents. Though the city and its private and nonprofit partners have made considerable progress in a number of fronts, the Land Development Code (LDC) still better assist in reducing costs and enabling the creation and preservation of more quality affordable housing units.

The current regulations and processes could be revised to help lower development costs, encourage density and diversity, and promote the development of affordable housing in more areas. These changes include streamlining the permitting and approval systems, allowing more housing by good and approved systems, allowing reuse that incorporates affordable housing in the city's neighborhoods. The following aspects of the existing LDC present barriers to household affordability:

"Trendy Cap" Unduly Impacts Construction Costs
As currently codified, the "Trendy Cap" limits affordable housing by reducing the potential number of dwelling units on a particular site and driving up ground land cost. For all housing development, each dwelling unit must bear a portion of the cost of the land, which in Austin is one of the most significant challenges to the provision of affordable housing. The LDC, however, has strict density limitations on dwelling units per acre that reduce the development flexibility of affordable housing in the MF-4, MF-5, and MU districts.

Existing Housing Choices in Austin



H3
88

In conclusion,

- This appeal does not make the three required findings
- Effectively a code change
- Create many non-complying dwelling units
- Materially changes missing middle housing option

We respectfully request that you deny the appeal before you.

#3
89

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are **not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016 -- Nov 14

Serena Lissy
Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ object

2520 Bluebonnet Lane, Atx, 78704
Your address(es) affected by this application

3210 Aldwyche Dr


Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 760 419 7945

Comments: I live at Bluebonnet Lane, not am under contract for 3210 Aldwyche Dr. The builder has been working with the city and got permission to construct properties as designed. If there is any ambiguity with the language in city code, any changes to language should be tightened up and future projects should be held to updated code

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

2/15/16

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Patricia Sprinkle [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2016 10:00 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Aldwyche C15-2016-0115

H3
91

Leane Heldenfels

Senior Planner

City of Austin

November 12, 2016

Re: C15-2016-0115

Dear Board of Adjustment Members,

The use of a trellis as a structural element between single family residences at 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Drive violates Section 25-2-3 (B) of the Land Development Code.

The trellis is not a structural element as defined by architecture and construction standards as it is not a wall, beam, floor or other necessary means of support.* For staff to grant an administrative decision using faulty standards sets a terrible precedence and is a gross misinterpretation of the code.

Please overturn this misapplication of the Land development Code by staff. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Patty Sprinkle

Galindo

1114 Fieldcrest Drive

Austin Tx 78704

512-294-8303

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Brian Chappell

Your Name (please print)

3001 Del Cuyto Rd #29 Austin TX 78704

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature: *[Signature]* Date: 10/12/16

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 646 323 6165

Comments: Superficial of decorative 3rd not structural - Single Family Attached requires a Substantial Structural element

By attaching the two buildings with rear associate much needed AFFORDABLE Family Housing. PSW is offering 1371 sq/ft @ \$449,000! Structural attached

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via: *[initials]*

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088
less cost of purchase

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

9/2/16

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Will Swetnam <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 11:53 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Case C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr... OBJECT
Attachments: Comments_on_3206-3208_Aldwyche_OPPOSE.pdf

H3
93

Leane Heldenfels,

Please see attached response to the request for written comments on this case.

I currently live at 2520 Bluebonnet LN, Unit 61, Austin, TX 78704.

I understand that other properties have been built w/ arbors or other similar features as the "attachment" in the area, and feel that this sets precedent for the approval (which PSW has already received from the city) for the permit under question.

I feel that ***IF*** there is any ambiguity in the code language that the language should be corrected first, and that **FUTURE** projects be held to that updated code.

I feel that this PSW project should continue as permitted, avoiding any construction delays.

Thank you for your consideration of my thoughts on this issue.

- Will Swetnam

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leana.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

WILLIAM SWETNAM

Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☒ I object

2520 BLUEBONNET LN UNIT 61, AUSTIN TX 78707

Your address(es) affected by this application

Leane Heldenfels 10/10/2016
Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: 512 609 0107

Comments: *Be looking over the permit folder it appears the applicant got approval from the city to proceed w/ the current design. I believe the city has indicated that the language of the City Code re "attached properties is ambiguous I believe the city should tighten up code language and the enforce the update code on future projects*

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leana.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

9/13

H3
95

October 10, 2016
Re: 3206-3208 Aldwyche Drive
BOA Interpretation: C15-2016-0115

Members of the Board of Adjustment:

The *Single Family Attached* issue has come to our attention, because it could affect every neighborhood in the City. It appears that the intent of the code is being stretched; *Single Family Attached* is being used to carve up **administratively** parcels of land into smaller lots, which have and will impact the unsuspecting, adjacent property owners due to the lack of a public process.

Single Family Attached is being used to create 2 units on a lot, which should be considered a duplex that requires a shared wall. In order to avoid this shared wall requirement, *Single Family Attached* may be "attached" by way of **nonfunctional structural element** such as a trellis. This is an example of where form and function defy logic. Moreover, this *Single Family Attached* designation defies definition and the code's intent; it is being duplicitously used. If we are going to have administrative decisions made by City staff about the code and its application, then the code must be precise in meaning, functionality, and intent.

We request that a thoughtful interpretation be made by the Board of Adjustment to define exactly what single family attached means, what functional structural elements it encompasses, and how it is different from a duplex (2 units on a residential lot), or remove the current determination of *Single Family Attached* from the code. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Mary Ingle
ANC President

H3
96

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Lorraine Atherton [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 10:00 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Trellis appeal, 3206-3208 Aldwyche, C15-2016-0115
Attachments: Aldwych trellis appeal.docx

Good morning, Leane.

Please find attached a letter of support for the code interpretation case on tonight's BoA agenda, 3206-3208 Aldwyche, C15-2016-0115.

If possible, please include it in the Board's late backup, and in the case file.

Thank you.

L. Atherton
(512-447-7681)

October 10, 2016
Re: 3206-3208 Aldwyche Drive
BOA Interpretation: C15-2016-0115

H3
97

Members of the Board of Adjustment:

The *Single Family Attached* issue has come to our attention, because it could affect every neighborhood in the City. It appears that the intent of the code is being stretched; *Single Family Attached* is being used to carve up administratively parcels of land into smaller lots, which have and will impact the unsuspecting, adjacent property owners due to the lack of a public process.

Single Family Attached is being used to create 2 units on a lot, which should be considered a duplex that requires a shared wall. In order to avoid this shared wall requirement, *Single Family Attached* may be "attached" by way of nonfunctional structural element such as a trellis. This is an example of where form and function defy logic. Moreover, this *Single Family Attached* designation defies definition and the code's intent; it is being duplicitously used. If we are going to have administrative decisions made by City staff about the code and its application, then the code must be precise in meaning, functionality, and intent.

We request that a thoughtful interpretation be made by the Board of Adjustment to define exactly what single family attached means, what functional structural elements it encompasses, and how it is different from a duplex (2 units on a residential lot), or remove the current determination of *Single Family Attached* from the code. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Mary Ingle
ANC President

Heldenfels, Leane

H3
98

From: Karen McGraw [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, October 09, 2016 7:27 PM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Case G-1 C15-2016-0115 [redacted]

Leane, Please forward my comments to the Board Members.

Thanks,

I urge the Board to clarify this situation in a way that respects the current code and character of SF3 neighborhoods.

The current interpretation of "attached" results in development that undermines the SF3 zoning. The resulting development appears much more like SF4A zoning, with stand alone houses on lots as small as 3,000 square feet, than adjacent complying SF3 development.

Single Family Attached Use has become a convenient way to achieve small lot developments foisted on adjacent unsuspecting homeowners without a public zoning change, notice and hearing procedure.

This likely escalates property values of existing nearby SF3 homes on 5,750 minimum complying lots.

Duplexes must be connected and appear as one building. The zoning code used to define a duplex as a house that has been divided into two units and that still maintains the character of single family development. This language has morphed over the years, but duplexes still have to be connected more substantially than these SF Attached homes.

I understand that Single Family Attached use was created in the 1980s to allow a developer with a large volume of unsold property to sell each side of a duplex separately. If this began as a way to sell sides of a duplex, how did it become a tool for stand alone houses on small lots?

The "attachment" as currently interpreted, is not essential to the buildings, effectively allowing them to be separate structures. Should the simple "attachment" fail in a windstorm, rot away, or not be desired by the residents, it is doubtful that the city would pursue a case to reconstruct the "attachment" to ensure that the intent is continued. The buildings would clearly survive the removal of this "structure". Thus, this loophole simply facilitates separate houses on small lots.

I suggest that you rule in two ways:

- 1) that the attachment must follow that required for a duplex, at the very least.
- 2) that Single-family Attached use requires a zoning change or at least a variance, to require a public notice, comment process and hearing to determine whether it is appropriate where planned, prior to allowing this use in existing SF3 zoned areas.

Thank you,

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leana.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

LEANNE VENIER

Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

2601 DEL OYRTO ROAD #101

Your address(es) affected by this application

Leanne Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-913-8767

Comments: Next door there are

2 enormous houses crammed onto a tiny lot joined together by two by four the front houses soon over the road are very close to our driveway fence.

It makes us feel very crowded. Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

This should never be allowed to

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wednesday prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leana.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

9/13

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

- and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, lean.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

BYRON KING

Your Name (please print)

1809 LIGATSEY

Your address(es) affected by this application

Leane Heldenfels

Signature

10/9/16

Date

Daytime Telephone:

Comments: ST attached should

have substantial attachments

creating a connected structure

with display type massing.

GRACE ARBOR on Trullis

does not any attachment

or structural element make!

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: lean.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

10/13

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Jane Roetman

Your Name (please print)

2513 Southland Dr

Your address(es) affected by this application

Jane Roetman

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512 444 5485

Comments: STOP HIGH DENSITY.

OUR NEIGHBORHOODS ARE IMPORTANT
HOW CAN THE LONG TIME RESIDENTS
SUPPOSED TO HANDLE THIS.

HOW ABOUT ROADS ?

WATER?

QUALITY OF LIFE.

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

10/13

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.
Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leanc.heldenfels@austintexas.gov
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Your Name (please print) South Central Coalition

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

% 1114 FLEMING AVE AUSTIN TX 78704

Your address(es) affected by this application

Patricia Spivey 10-8-2016

Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-294-8303

Comments: The South Central Coalition
requests this appeal move forward
Thank you for your assistance in this
matter.

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wednesday prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leanc.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

9/23/16

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

MK SHAW

Your Name (please print)

2601 DeL Curo Rodd #102

Your address(es) affected by this application

Austin TX 78704

MK Shaw

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512/ 743-1556

Date

10/8/2016

Comments:

~~Next door to me~~

Already Buile similar Nod to

me - Don't do it Again - it's

A-Joke - just Don't

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

10/3/16

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.
Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, lane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

William Stoughton
Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

3200 South Oak Dr

Your address(es) affected by this application

William Stoughton
Signature

10/8/16
Date

Daytime Telephone: (512) 785-6423

Comments: The way 'single family attached' is being interpreted is obviously not the intent of those who approved the code.

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: lane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

10/13

October 7, 2016

Re: Board of Adjustment, Reinterpretation Appeal

3206-3208 Aldwyche, C15-2016-0115

H3
105

Chairman and Members of the Board of Adjustment:

The Executive Committee of the Zilker Neighborhood Association (ZNA) has voted to support the South Lamar Neighborhood's appeal of staff's interpretation of LDC 25-2-3 B11, regarding the "Single Family Attached" requirement to connect two dwelling units with a structural element. Here's why I, as a member of ZNA, think this case is so important.

"Single Family Attached" originally meant a single structure divided into two units (a duplex). "Shared wall" was all that was required to describe it in the code. Allowing single structures to be divided into two units is an efficient way for a city to provide for infill, infrastructure, and maintenance of a diverse housing stock. Over the decades, however, the definition of "shared wall" was stretched to include a shared parking area, which was then stretched to include any covered area. By 2002, when an unnamed "Building Official" determined that an "arbor" was an allowable connection, the original intent of a single structure divided into two units was completely lost. An arbor, at least, is still a covered walkway. A trellis is nothing more than a decorative lattice, something to be attached to the side of an arbor. The structures on Aldwyche are not single-family attached. They are two separate dwellings on separate substandard lots requiring separate infrastructure and city services forever.

The refusal to acknowledge the meaning of the word "structural" is just one of many tactics used to circumvent the clear intent of the code, essentially allowing staff to rewrite zoning ordinances administratively, without a public process or the approval of any land use commission or the City Council. As a resident of the Zilker Neighborhood, which is mostly built out, I feel that we are all sinking into a swamp of administrative precedent, being sucked ever farther from solid ground. "Solid ground" can be defined as a zoning code designed to match construction with the available infrastructure. The problem is even more acute in this part of the South Lamar Neighborhood, where the most basic urban infrastructure for drainage, curb and gutter, streets and sidewalks, emergency services and utilities is lacking.

Austin's development process is divided into three disconnected phases: subdivision, zoning, and construction. Usually the infrastructure that a developer will be required to provide is determined when the land is subdivided, but the developer is not required to submit plans for the actual construction, and the Planning Commission is required to approve anything that meets current code in the broadest sense. The infrastructure requirements are based on the zoning district, but the City Council is required to decide zoning cases without benefit of any actual plans from the developer. In the end, all the assumptions and calculations of infrastructure made during the subdivision and zoning processes can evaporate when staff reinterprets a seemingly trivial section of code, like LDC 25-2-3 B11, to double the density of new construction.

The specific code citations are different, but that is what happened several years ago

when this same developer built a project in Zilker. Today, the residents and neighbors are suffering the consequences of inadequate drainage and excessive impervious cover because different staff interpreted various sections of code differently between the subdivision approval and the final building permit approvals.

Please help us reclaim this small patch of solid ground by rejecting the staff interpretation of LDC 25-2-3 B11 and requiring that any structural element serving as an attachment must be integral to the single-family structure.

Sincerely yours,
Lorraine Atherton
Member, Executive Committee
of the Zilker Neighborhood Association

H3
106

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Dan Ross [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 4:04 PM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Case # C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr
[REDACTED]

H3
107

Hi Leane,

I'm reaching out to you in re regarding the appeal of the of case number C15-2016-0115, 3206 an 3208 Aldwyche Drive. I live in the neighborhood and am also building a new home at this location. Duplexes will depreciate the land and home values in the area so I strongly object to this appeal.

Please let me know if there is anything further you need from me.

Thanks

Dan Ross
Director of Business Development
T. 508 683 2558
C. 774 327 7920
45 Perseverance Way
Hyannis, MA 02601

Statement of Confidentiality

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the addressee. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, and the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail or at 508.683.2500 and delete this message and its attachments, if any.

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Elena Howard <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 9:42 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

H3
107

Hi Leane,

I received a letter in the mail regarding an appeal of the permits to a new construction project on Aldwyche Drive: Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr. I do NOT approve the appeal to revoke permits for this project. I believe that single family style homes with NO shared walls are MUCH better and more beneficial to the neighborhood than a duplex style, shared wall type community. The best use of the land and the most beneficial to neighbors would be single family homes. Please include my comments to OBJECT this appeal during the hearing next week.

Confirm receipt please when you receive my email.

Thanks!

Elena Howard
3001 Del Curto Rd #12
Austin TX, 78704

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Kelly Johnson Ilse
Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 2:26 PM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: Case #: C15-2016-0115 - Objection

H3
109

Leane,

I received a notice about an appeal of case number C15-2016-0115 for 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Drive since I live across the street.

I object this appeal. I think that detached homes without shared walls fit in much better with the neighborhood and they would attract more families and long term residents of the community.

I've seen other communities with the same type of connection between two homes (some right across Lightsey at Barton Skyway), and I don't see an issue with it there.

Thanks for your time, please let me know if this email will suffice or if you need anything else for my objection.

Kelly

Kelly Ilse
(512) 484-3663

H3
110

54

hoods.

nd it

ank

ni

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Areta A. Cartwright
Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor
☐ I object

3204 Clawson Rd. Austin TX 78704

Your address(es) affected by this application

Leane Heldenfels
Signature

10.4.16
Date

Daytime Telephone: 512.441.3540

Comments: Single family Attached needs to have a comm well according to Code. A superficial landscape element doesn't meet this code requirement. Affordability is also a factor.

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leaneheldenfels@austintexas.gov

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are **not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.

Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Jan D. Cartwright

Your Name (please print)

3204 Clawson Rd. Austin TX 78704

Your address(es) affected by this application

A.I.A. 10.4.16

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-441-3540

Comments: Single Family attached should have a substantial connection between homes-not just a landscape element. Code calls for a common wall - carport, passage, loggia or other STRUCTURAL element. Affordability is also important

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wednesday prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

1/2/16

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/development

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2016-0115, 3206 and 3208 Aldwyche Dr.
Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, lane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, October 10, 2016

Patricia Fiske
Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

2601 Del Cerro #103
Your address(es) affected by this application

Patricia Fiske
Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-326-8835

Comments: I look up it does and see two
by-laws joining houses - the
way that I builder complied with
this ordinance.
Please don't let it happen
again.

Comments must be returned by noon the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be returned via:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: lane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

113

