



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20170215 008a

Date: February 15, 2017

Subject: Water Service Extension Request (SER) #3473

Motion by: Mary Ann Neely

Seconded by: Pam Thompson

RATIONALE:

Whereas, this water SER would facilitate increased development intensity in an environmentally sensitive area located in the Drinking Water Protection Zone, the Barton Springs Zone, and the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone; and

Whereas, this site has identified Critical Environmental Features (CEFs), including wetlands and recharge feature that drain to headwaters of creeks; and

Whereas, the property is outside of the City of Austin Full Purpose Jurisdiction;

Therefore, the Environmental Commission recommends denial of the request for a water SER.

VOTE 5-4 (Motion failed for lack of six votes)

For: Perales, Thompson, Neely, Maceo and B. Smith

Against: Creel, Moya, H. Smith and Grayum

Abstain: Guerrero

Recuse: None

Absent: None



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20170215 008a

Date: February 15, 2017

Subject: Water Service Extension Request (SER) #3473

Motion by: Hank Smith

Seconded by: Andrew Creel

RATIONALE:

Whereas, this proposed development is partially in the desired development zone and partially within the wastewater CCN for the City of Austin (COA) and fully within the COA Impact Fee Boundary; and

Whereas, there is benefit to serving the portions of the development and along the transmission mains in the desired development zone; and

Whereas, there is significant detrimental impact to development with on-site wastewater disposal;

Therefore, the Environmental Commission recommends approval of the request for a water SER in accordance with the conditions established in SER 3473.

VOTE 4-5

For: Creel, Moya, H. Smith and Grayum

Against: Perales, Thompson, Neely, Maceo and B. Smith

Abstain: Guerrero

Recuse: None

Absent: None



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20170215 008b

Date: February 15, 2017

Subject: Wastewater Service Extension Request (SER) #3474

Motion by: Hank Smith

Seconded by: Andrew Creel

RATIONALE:

Whereas, this proposed development is partially in the desired development zone and partially within the wastewater CCN for the City of Austin (COA) and fully within the COA Impact Fee Boundary; and

Whereas, there is benefit to serving the portions of the development and along the transmission mains in the desired development zone; and

Whereas, there is significant detrimental impact to development with on-site wastewater disposal;

Therefore, the Environmental Commission recommends approval of the request for a wastewater SER in accordance with the conditions established in SER 3474.

VOTE 4-5

For: Creel, Moya, H. Smith and Grayum

Against: Perales, Thompson, Neely, Maceo and B. Smith

Abstain: Guerrero

Recuse: None

Absent: None



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20170215 008c

Date: February 15, 2017

Subject: Water Service Extension Request (SER) #3530

Motion by: Hank Smith

Seconded by: Andrew Creel

RATIONALE:

Whereas, this proposed development is partially in the desired development zone and partially within the wastewater CCN for the City of Austin (COA) and fully within the COA Impact Fee Boundary; and

Whereas, there is benefit to serving the portions of the development and along the transmission mains in the desired development zone; and

Whereas, there is significant detrimental impact to development with on-site wastewater disposal;

Therefore, the Environmental Commission recommends approval of the request for a wastewater SER in accordance with the conditions established in SER 3530.

VOTE 4-5

For: Creel, Moya, H. Smith and Grayum

Against: Perales, Thompson, Neely, Maceo and B. Smith

Abstain: Guerrero

Recuse: None

Absent: None



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20170215 008d

Date: February 15, 2017

Subject: Wastewater Service Extension Request (SER) #3531

Motion by: Hank Smith

Seconded by: Andrew Creel

RATIONALE:

Whereas, this proposed development is partially in the desired development zone and partially within the wastewater CCN for the City of Austin (COA) and fully within the COA Impact Fee Boundary; and

Whereas, there is benefit to serving the portions of the development and along the transmission mains in the desired development zone; and

Whereas, there is significant detrimental impact to development with on-site wastewater disposal;

Therefore, the Environmental Commission recommends approval of the request for a wastewater SER in accordance with the conditions established in SER 3531.

VOTE 4-5

For: Creel, Moya, H. Smith and Grayum

Against: Perales, Thompson, Neely, Maceo and B. Smith

Abstain: Guerrero

Recuse: None

Absent: None