Paul Robbins 7405 Callbram Lane Austin, TX 78767

December 22, 2017

Rondella Hawkins City of Austin, Telecommunications and Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088

Subject: Petition for appeal of 2018 Texas Gas Service Conservation Adjustment Clause to City of Austin under Subchapter D, Sec. 104.151, of the Gas Utility Regulatory Act of the State of Texas Utility Code

Dear Ms. Hawkins:

I am petitioning for appeal of the 2018 Texas Gas Service (TGS) Conservation Adjustment Clause (CAC) for its service territory regulated by the City of Austin. I am affected by this charge because I am a Residential Class ratepayer receiving TGS service in the Austin city limits.

The TGS CAC budget for 2018 has been administratively approved by your office, which represents the City of Austin. The City has original jurisdiction over TGS rates. I believe this rate went into effect on November 29, 2017.

My challenge concerns the cost effectiveness of the Residential conservation programs. In utility energy efficiency efforts that I am familiar with that are operated by Austin Energy (the electric utility that I am served by), most programs adhere to Benefit/Cost tests proving that the programs save energy at less than the cost of the conservation measure or retrofit and the program administrative expenses. Low-income programs and pilot programs are generally exempt from this benchmark, though even then, their costs must be justified.

In the case of the 2018 TGS conservation budget, the company's own expert submitted documentation to the City of Austin showing that about 43% of its budget for Residential energy-efficiency programs has a Benefit/Cost result of below 1.0 (as measured by the Total Resource Cost test). This 43% does not include low-income programs.

This amounts to about \$1.2 million, or about \$5 per Residential customer that will be misspent for programs that are not cost effective in the next budget year.

As petitioner in the appeal, I will seek to prove that these programs should not be funded with ratepayer money, and will ask that they be disallowed in the 2018 CAC.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Paul Robbins, (512) 447-8712

BASIS OF APPEAL

- 1. TGS is required to operate demand-side conservation programs as part of its franchise agreement with the City of Austin.
- 2. The program is funded by the CAC, a surcharge on the monthly bills of all participating ratepayers, which TGS updates on an annual basis. The 2018 CAC budget has been approved administratively by the City of Austin Office of Telecommunications and Regulatory Affairs.
- 3. In demand-side conservation programs, one of the primary goals is to save natural resources cost effectively.

The cost effectiveness of these programs is measured by various Benefit/Cost (B/C) tests. The most essential of these tests is called the Total Resource Cost test, which is a proxy for the cost of energy. If, for example, the B/C is 1.0, the program is saving gas at what it costs the utility to purchase. If the B/C is 0.5, it is saving gas at twice what it costs the utility to purchase. If the B/C is 2.0, it is saving gas at half of what it cost the utility to purchase.

4. TGS had its proposed programs evaluated by its consultant, Paul Raab. Using this expert's own evaluation, I have estimated that 43% of the TGS 2018 Residential conservation budget will be spent for programs with a Total Resource Cost B/C of below 1.0. These various programs have a B/C of between 0.26 and 0.62. See partial summary of the Raab evaluation in Attachment 1.

Further, the consultant's determination of cost effectiveness of the rebate program for high-efficiency central furnaces in new homes is questionable. The calculated savings appears to be the same for both old and new homes, even though older homes were constructed with older building codes and are likely to be less energy-efficient than new homes with modern building codes.

Low-income programs, which are conducted as a social service, and pilot programs, are often considered exempt from cost-effectiveness benchmarks in utility conservation programs and are not included in this (43%) estimate of wasted funds.

5. The Raab evaluation can be considered too lenient, first because it was conducted on behalf of the utility and not as a third party analysis, and second because it fails to factor in the administrative costs of individual program evaluations, including clerical costs, inspections, and marketing.

Administrative overhead is about 18%, so if this were factored into the Total Resource Cost B/C, it would likely lower the B/C of the programs in question to between 0.22 and 0.53 for the programs in Attachment 1.

Note that in Attachment 1, Administrative costs are higher than 18%. This is because in Raab's calculations, he includes education programs as part of Overhead. I excluded education programs to be conservative. If I were to include education expenses, Administrative costs would be almost twice as high.

6. The programs that are not cost effective amount to about \$1 million, and about \$1,200,000 with estimated administrative overhead. This amounts to about \$5 per participating customer in the 17 cities it has been approved for. See Attachments 2 and 3.

Attachment 2 is the proposed 2018 TGS conservation budget, and Attachment 3 calculates the amount of money for Residential programs that is listed in Attachment 2 that is not cost effective.

Similar programs that are not cost effective continue to be approved year after year.

7. While I am currently not questioning the merits of the balance of the 2018 CAC budget, I may use this appeal to review expenditures in any of its programs to assure that the money is being spent prudently.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Taul Bolling

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties listed below on December 22, 2017 by email. Copy also mailed to Stephanie Houle, Texas Gas Service, by United States first-class mail of the same day.

Paul Robbins

Clark Cornwell, Assistant City Attorney (e-mail) City of Austin P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088 clark.cornwell@austintexas.gov

Hayley Cunningham (e-mail) Texas Gas Service 1301 S. MoPac, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78746 hayley.cunningham@onegas.com

Stephanie Houle (e-mail and first-class mail) Texas Gas Service 1301 S. MoPac, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78746 stephanie.houle@onegas.com

Kate Norman (e-mail) Coffin Renner LLP P.O. Box 13366 Austin, TX 78711 kate.norman@crtxlaw.com

ATTACHMENT 1: TEXAS GAS SERVICE SUMMARY OF TOTAL RESOURCE COST TEST EVALUATIONS

Program	COST	NET BENEFIT	BENEFIT/COST
Commercial Tankless Water Heater	\$15,000	\$95,050	7.34
Dryer Program	\$9,164	\$11,785	2.29
Commercial Food Service Program - Fryers	\$5,500	\$29,321	6.33
Commercial Food Service Program - Ovens	\$7,000	\$8,876	2.27
Natural Gas Vehicle Commercial Rebate	\$16,000	(\$14,090)	0.12
Weather Stripping Direct Install	\$48,000	\$86,994	2.81
HH Showerhead Direct Install	\$1,680	\$2,550	2.52
Fixed Showerhead Direct Install	\$39,000	\$109,144	3.8
Faucet Aerator Direct Install	\$65,000	\$341,888	6.26
Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Direct Install	\$1,400	\$5,281	4.77
Dryer Smart Direct Install	\$10,000	\$90,170	10.02
Steam Trap Replacement Direct Install	\$4,000	\$25,313	7.33
Subtotal Small Commercial	\$221,744	\$792,282	4.57
Tankless Water Heater Residential Rebate	\$337,500	(\$171,713)	0.49
Furnace Tune-up Residential Rebate	\$59,378	(\$43,960)	0.26
High Efficiency Furnace Residential Rebate (>92%)	\$52,130	(\$34,732)	0.33
Natural Gas Dryer Program	\$916,410	\$400,071	1.44
Duct Efficiency Improvement Residential Rebate	\$367,215	\$436,768	2.19
Mail Out Kits	\$10,800	\$59,041	6.47
Residential Wireless Thermostat	\$2,917	(\$1,111)	0.62
Attic Insulation Residential Rebate	\$114,285	\$1,491,226	14.05
New Construction Tankless Water Heater Residential Rebate	\$862,500	(\$438,823)	0.49
New Construction High Efficiency Furnace Residential Rebate (>92%)	\$240,600	(\$160,303)	0.33
New Construction Natural Gas Dryer Program	\$394,056	\$172,031	1.44
Low Income Program - Water Heater	\$8,750	(\$6,535)	0.25
Low Income Program - Stove	\$32,322	\$21,448	1.66
Low Income Program - Furnace	\$32,080	(\$26,800)	0.16
Low Income Program - Dryer	\$4,582	\$2,000	1.44
Subtotal Residential	\$3,435,525	\$1,698,607	1.49
Overhead Expenses	\$870,000	(\$870,000)	-
TOTAL PORTFOLIO	\$4,527,269	\$1,620,889	1.36

NOTE: This analysis compares total costs of both the utility and the participant to gas savings. Administrative (overhead) costs appear to be ignored in the analysis of the individual programs.

ATTACHMENT 2

Texas Gas Service 2018 Central Texas Energy Efficiency Program Budget

		2018 Budget vs 2017							2017 Actual (9+3) vs			
		2018 I Rebate	Proposed	Budget			2017 Budget vs. Actual (9+3)		2016 Actual Rebate		2016 Actual Rebate Expenditure	
Program Commercial	Rebate Amount	Count	Budget	Variance	Count	Expenditure	Budget	Variance	Count	Expenditure	Variance	Variance
Water Heating Programs	140/ (02 00 55) 200/											
Water Heating System	14% (.8286 EF) or 20% (>.87 EF) of water heater system >75,000 BTUs	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -			6	\$ 20,333	(6)	\$ (20,333)
Tankless or Super High-Efficiency Natural Gas Water Heater	\$600	20	12,000		4	2,400			24	14,400	(20)	(12,000)
Solar Water Heater with Natural Gas Backup Total Water Heating Programs	20% of installed cost	20	\$ 12,000	\$ (18,383)	- 4	\$ 2,400	\$ 30,383	\$ (27,983)	30	\$ 34,733	(26)	\$ (32,333)
Natural Gas Dryer with Moisture Sensor Program	\$225	10	\$ 2,250	\$ (1,350)	4	\$ 900	\$ 3,600	\$ (2,700)	15	\$ 3,375	(11)	
	ý.E.S	10	2,230	(1,550)	7	300	3,000	\$ (2,700)	15	\$ 3,575	(11)	(2,473)
Food Service Equipment Programs: EnergyStar griddles, fryers, convection ovens and steam cookers	\$400	10	\$ 4,000	\$ 3,857	2	\$ 3,500	\$ 143	\$ 3,357	2	\$ 763	-	\$ 2,737
Natural Gas Vehicle Programs Converted Natural Gas Vehicle	\$3,000	4	12,000		1	3,000			1	3,000		
Natural Gas Vehicle Refueling Station	\$2,000		-		-	-			-	-	-	-
Total Natural Gas Vehicle Programs		4	\$ 12,000	\$ 9,000	1	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ -	1	\$ 3,000	-	\$ -
<u>Direct Install Programs (Measures)</u> Weather Stripping	\$8/ft.	6,000	\$ 48,000		5,500	\$ 44,000			2,423	\$ 19,384	3,077	\$ 24.616
Low-Flow Handheld Showerhead	\$21	80	1,680		80	1,680			71	1,491	9	189
Low-Flow Fixed Showerhead Low-Flow Faucet Aerator	\$13 \$13	3,000 5,000	39,000 65,000		2,500 4,500	32,500 58,500			1,447 2,824	18,811 36,712	1,053 1,676	13,689 21,788
Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Dryer Smart	\$140 \$1,000	10 10	1,400 10,000		10	1,400			12	1,680	(2)	(280)
Steam Trap Replacement	\$400	10	4,000		-				-		-	-
Total Direct Install Programs Total Commercial		14,110 14,154	\$ 169,080 \$ 199,330	\$ 60,053 \$ 53,177	12,590 12,601	\$ 138,080 \$ 147,880	\$ 109,027 \$ 146,153		6,777 6,825	\$ 78,078 \$ 119,949	5,813 5,776	
Residential												
Residential Retrofit												
Water Heating Programs High-Efficiency Natural Gas Water Heater: .67 EF81 EF	Sunset		\$ -		8	\$ 800			11	\$ 1,100	(3)	\$ (300)
Tankless or Super High-Efficiency Natural Gas Water Heater: .82 EF or above	\$650	450	292,500		375	281,250			335	251,250	40	30,000
Solar Water Heater with Natural Gas Backup	\$750		-		-	-			-	-	-	-
Total Water Heating Programs		450	\$ 292,500	\$ 115,923	383	\$ 282,050	\$ 176,577	\$ 105,473	346	\$ 252,350	37	\$ 29,700
Heating Programs Annual Natural Gas Furnace Tune-Up	\$40	650	\$ 26,000		525	\$ 21,000			372	\$ 14,880	153	\$ 6,120
Natural Gas Furnace: 80% AFUE or higher	Sunset in 2017	-	-		1	75			284	\$ 21,300	(283)	\$ (21,225)
Natural Gas Furnace: 92% AFUE or higher Total Heating Programs	\$675	65 715	43,875 \$ 69,875	\$ (69,707)	45 571	30,375 \$ 51,450	\$ 139,582	\$ (88,132)	1,015	43,200 \$ 101,505	(19) (444)	(12,825) \$ (50,055)
Natural Gas Dryer Programs			, .,,	, , , , ,		, , , , ,	,,	, ,,,,,,	,	,	, ,	
Bonus Dryer Voucher	\$100	50	\$ 5,000		50	\$ 5,000			149	\$ 14,900	(99)	
Natural Gas Dryer with Moisture Sensor Natural Gas Dryer Stub	\$225 \$300	1,000 50	225,000 15,000		1,000 50	225,000 15,000			1,052 50	236,700 15,000	(52)	(11,700)
Total Natural Gas Dryer Programs		1,100	\$ 245,000	\$ (53,681)	1,100		\$ 298,681	\$ (53,681)	1,251	\$ 266,600	(151)	\$ (21,600)
Total Natural Gas Vehicle Programs (Sunset)	Sunset	-	\$ -	\$ (11,000)	4	\$ 8,000	\$ 11,000	\$ (3,000)	4	\$ 8,000	-	\$ -
Home Improvement Programs (Participants)												
Duct Sealing, Replacement & Insulation	\$.08/ft. ² , \$1.50/ft ² , \$1.00/ft. ²	1,959	\$ 367,215		1,358	\$ 289,399			1,118	\$ 196,900	240	\$ 92,499
	\$.0025 ft.2 x "R" value +											, , , , , ,
Attic Insulation Wi-Fi Thermostat	\$45 setup fee \$25	770 15	114,285 375		660 13	128,751 325			534 3	97,517 75	126 10	31,234 250
Mail Out Kits: 2 showerheads, 3 faucet aerators	\$18	600	10,800		-	-	4	4		-	-	-
Total Home Improvement Programs		3,344	\$ 492,675	\$ 194,200	2,031		\$ 298,475	\$ 120,000	1,655		376	
Total Residential Retrofit		5,609	\$ 1,100,050	\$ 175,735	4,089	\$ 1,004,975	\$ 924,315	\$ 80,660	4,271	\$ 922,947	(182)	\$ 82,028
Residential New Construction Water Heating Programs												
Tankless or Super High-Efficiency Natural Gas Water Heater: .82 EF or above	\$400	1,150	460,000		882	529,200			1,118	670,800	(236)	(141,600)
Solar Water Heater with Natural Gas Backup	\$750	-	-		-	-			-			
Total Water Heating Programs		1,150	\$ 460,000	\$ (382,023)	882	\$ 529,200	\$ 842,023	\$ (312,823)	1,118	\$ 670,800	(236)	\$ (141,600)
Heating Programs Hydronic Heating (Sunset)	Sunset				335	41,875			100	12,500	235	29,375
Natural Gas Furnace: 92% AFUE or higher	\$675	300	202,500	A	218	147,150		A	37	24,975	181	122,175
Total Heating Programs		300	\$ 202,500	\$ 154,832	553	\$ 189,025	\$ 47,668	\$ 141,357	137	\$ 37,475	416	\$ 151,550
Natural Gas Dryer Programs Natural Gas Dryer with Moisture Sensor	\$225	430	\$ 96,750		371	\$ 83,475			222	\$ 49,950	149	\$ 33,525
Natural Gas Dryer Stub	\$300	830	249,000		746	223,800	L		472	141,600	274	82,200
Total Natural Gas Dryer Programs		1,260	\$ 345,750	\$ 105,306	1,117	\$ 307,275	\$ 240,444	\$ 66,831	694	\$ 191,550	423	\$ 115,725
Whole Home Programs												
Whole-Home Program: 5-star AE Green Build/EnergyStar V3	Sunset	-	\$ -		16	\$ 5,200			8	\$ 2,600	8	\$ 2,600
Whole-Home Program: 5-star AE Green Build Total Whole Home Programs	Sunset	-	\$ -	\$ (975)	10 26	5,000 \$ 10,200	\$ 975	\$ 9,225	- 8	\$ 2,600	10 18	5,000 \$ 7,600
Total Residential New Construction		2,710	\$ 1,008,250	\$ (122,860)	2,578		\$ 1,131,110		1,957	\$ 902,425	621	
		2,710			2,378				1,55/		021	
Low-Income Free Equipment Total Residential		8,319	\$ 300,000 \$ 2,408,300	\$ 50,000 \$ 102,875	6,667	\$ 280,000 \$ 2,320,675	\$ 250,000 \$ 2,305,425	\$ 30,000 \$ 15,250	6,228	\$ 688,159 \$ 2,513,531	439	\$ (408,159) \$ (192,856)
Education Expenses		-	\$ 400,000	\$ -		\$ 390,000	\$ 400,000			\$ 365,461	-	\$ 24,539
		-	400,000	-		3 350,000	¥ 400,000	y (10,000)		y 303,401	-	y 24,559
Administrative Expenses Operation Expenses			\$ 445,000	\$ 20,000		\$ 415,000	\$ 425,000	\$ (10,000)		\$ 370,906		\$ 44,094
Pecan Street Sponsorship			25,000	-		25,000	25,000	-		25,000		-
Total Administrative Expenses		-	\$ 470,000	\$ 20,000	-	\$ 440,000	\$ 450,000		-	\$ 395,906	-	\$ 44,094
Grand Total	·	22,473	\$ 3,477,630	\$ 176,052	19,268	\$ 3,298,555	\$ 3,301,578	\$ (3,023)	13,053	\$ 3,394,847	6,215	\$ (96,292)

ATTACHMENT 3: TGS Residential Conservation Programs Not Cost Effective in 2018 Proposed Budget

High-Efficiency Water Heater – Retrofit	\$292,500
High-Efficiency Furnace – Retrofit	\$43,875
High-Efficiency Water Heater – New Homes	\$460,000
High-Efficiency Furnace – New Homes	\$202,500
Natural Gas Furnace Tune-up	\$26,000
Wireless Thermostat	<u>\$375</u>
Total	\$1,025,250
Total with Administration	\$1,209,795

Cost Per Customer \$5.14

Note: Estimate of 235,436 Residential Customers in 2016

Note: Above expenditures do not include customer money contributed to these measures that was not part of the TGS incentive