## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

| DATE: Monday February,12, 2018 | CASE NUMBER: C15-2018-0001 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Y Brooke Bailey                |                            |
| N William Burkhardt            |                            |
| - Christopher Covo             |                            |
| N_ Eric Goff                   |                            |
| YMelissa Hawthorne             |                            |
| YBryan King                    |                            |
| YDon Leighton-Burwell          |                            |
| YRahm McDaniel                 |                            |
| YVeronica Rivera               |                            |
| YJames Valadez                 |                            |
| NMichael Von Ohlen             |                            |
| YKelly Blume (Alternate)       |                            |
| Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)    |                            |
| Pim Mayo (Alternate)           |                            |
|                                |                            |

OWNER/APPLICANT: Tricia and David Ciccocioppo

**ADDRESS: 1608 CEDAR AVE** 

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant has requested variance(s) from Section 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Regulations) to increase the maximum impervious cover from 45% (required/permitted) to 49% (requested) in order to erect a new two-story accessory dwelling unit in a SF-3-NP, Family Residence zoning district. (Chestnut)

BOARD'S DECISION: Jan 8, 2018 The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Postpone to February 12, 2018, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne second on a 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO FEBRUARY 12, 2018. Feb 12, 2018 The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Deny, Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell second on an 8-3 vote (Board members William Burkhardt, Eric Goff and Michael Von Ohlen nay); DENIED.

## FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Leane Heldenfels Executive Liaison

William Burkhardt

Chairman