## RESTRICTIVE COVENANT TERMINATION REVIEW SHEET

CASE: $\quad$ C14-84-346(RCT2)-Loyola Landing
ADDRESS: 5601 Durango Pass AREA: 3.79 acres

## DISTRICT:

OWNERS/ APPLICANT: Harold K. Kaemerle, Jr., Sharie Kaemerle, and Patrick T. Kaemerle; 3 S \& D Interest (David Kalisz)

AGENT: A. Glasco Consulting (Alice Glasco)
ZONING: SF-3, MF-3

## SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the restrictive covenant termination.

## ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

March 20, 2018:

## ISSUES:

The proposed restrictive covenant termination (RCT) would eliminate requirements tied to a 1984 zoning case (City File \#C14-84-346). Please refer to Exhibit A (Restrictive Covenant). Several other RCTs and one restrictive covenant amendment (RCA) are also being processed concurrently with this RCT request. Removing/modifying these restrictive covenants (RCs), would allow consolidation of the parcels for redevelopment. These are being processed under the following City File numbers: C14-75-042(RCT1), C14-75-042(RCT2), C14-76-083(RCA2), and C14-84-346(RCT1). Please note that C14-84-346(RCT1) is for a separate RC that was attached to the same 1984 zoning ordinance and applies to the same property. Using multiple RCs with a single zoning case is no longer standard City of Austin practice. Please refer to Exhibit B (RCT Map Exhibit).

A rezoning request is also being processed concurrently with this request; the request would rezone the tracts affected by these restrictive covenants to GR-MU and CS. (City File \#C14-2008-0006).

By terminating the restrictive covenant ( RC ), the Applicant proposes removing the following requirements:

1. Lots 8-20, of Block B of the Northeast Territory Subdivision, shall contain one fourplex on each lot.
2. The street identified as "Durango Pass" on the Northeast Territory Subdivision, plat, C8-14-84-158.1 (85) shall be completed prior to construction of the fourplexes mentioned in condition 1 above.
3. Each of the lots 8-20, in Block B of the Northeast Territory Subdivision, shall be sodded in its entirety only with St. Augustine grass; each of these lots shall be landscaped with four (4) trees and eight (8) shrubs.

The subdivision referenced above has expired, and the proposed redevelopment of this area is for multifamily housing, not duplexes. Durango Pass is not adjacent to the RC tract and is already constructed. All future development will be required to comply with current City Code, including subdivision and landscaping requirements. Please see Exhibit C (Applicant Correspondence).

## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

The 1984 rezoning case included 24.24 acres of land. The RC includes only 3.79 acres out of the 24.24 acres. The proposed restrictive covenant termination (RCT) would eliminate requirements tied to a 1984 zoning case and a subdivision (City Files C14-84-346 and C84.158.1(85)). The subdivision plat has expired.

The land affected by this RC is located at the terminus of Lazy Creek Drive, south of Purple Sage Drive. To the north is a residential neighborhood that is zoned SF-3. This neighborhood is primarily developed with duplex residences and LBJ High School. Immediately to the east is a small tributary to Walnut Creek that has 100-year floodplain and a 200' wide creek buffer centered on the tributary. Any site development in this floodplain/buffer area would be extremely limited. East of the tributary is undeveloped land zoned SF-2-CO. Further east, across Millrace Drive, is more of the residential neighborhood, which is mostly zoned SF-2, with a few SF-3 properties as well. This area is developed with duplexes and single family residences. Southeast of the property are tracts that are under the same ownership as the subject property; these undeveloped tracts are the subject of the related cases referenced in the Issues Section. These are zoned SF-3, LO, and GR. To the west is undeveloped property zoned GR. Staff has received correspondence from LBJ Neighborhood Association supporting the rezoning, RCTs and RCA. Please see Exhibit D (Neighborhood Correspondence).

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the restrictive covenant amendment.

## 1. The proposed zoning should promote consistent and orderly planning.

The conditions of the 1984 are outdated and have been replaced by more appropriate and effective development regulations under current code. The existing restrictive covenants are a patchwork of outdated requirements that make cloud the property title and make regulatory application confusing. Moving forward with redevelopment of the property is significantly impacted by the existing RC.

## 2. The proposed rezoning does not grant an unequal benefit to the landowner.

Removal and/or modification of the restrictive covenant will make the property subject to current City codes and regulations, which is more consistent with other properties.

## EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING | LAND USES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | MF-3, SF-3 | Undeveloped |
| North | SF-2, SF-3 | Duplex, Single family, LBJ High School |
| South | GR, LO, SF-3 | Undeveloped |
| East | SF-2-CO, SF-2, SF-3 | Undeveloped, Duplex, Single family |
| West | GR | Undeveloped |

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Senate Hills Homeowners' Association
Austin Innercity Alliance
Del Valle Community Coalition
East MLK Combined Neighborhood Contact Team University Hills Neighborhood Association
LBJ Neighborhood Association
Homeless Neighborhood Association
Claim Your Destiny Foundation

## CITY COUNCIL DATE/ACTION:

April 12, 2018:
ORDINANCE READINGS: $1^{\text {st }} \quad 2^{\text {nd }} \quad 3^{\text {rd }} \quad$ ORDINANCE NUMBER:
CASE MANAGER: Heather Chaffin e-mail: heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 974-2122

Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
Neighbors United for Progress
East Austin Conservancy
University Hills Neighborhood Plan Contact Team
Austin Neighborhoods Council
Friends of Northeast Austin
SELTexas
Black Improvement Association


| THE STATE OF TEXAS | 5 | 1000 | 109653 | 1 | $02 / 06 / 87$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | COUNTY OF TRAVIS

WHERBAS, Northeast Territory Joint Venture of Travis County, Texas, ifs the owner of the following described property, towit:

A11 that property in the Northeast Territory Subdivision, Lots 8-20 of block B as described in city of Austin final subdivision plat number cB-84-158.1 (85). Field notes describing this property are attached as Exhibit "A".
WHEREAS, the city of Austin and Owners have agreed that the above described property should be impressed with certain covenants and restrictions running with the land and desire to set forth such agreement in writing:

NOW, THEREFORE, Owners for and in consideration of One and No/100 Dollars (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration In hand to the undersigned paid by the city of Austin, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby agree with respect to said proeprty described above, such agreement to be deemed and considered as a covenant running with the land, and which shall be binding on him, his successors and assigns, as follows, tomite

1. Lots 8-20 of Block $B$ of the Northeast Territory Subdivision, described above, shall contain one Fourpiex on each lot.
2. The street identified as "Durango Pass" on the Northeast Territory Subdivision plat, described above, shall be completed prior to construction of the fourplexes mentioned above in 1.
3. Bach of the lots 8-20 in Block $B$ of the Northeast Territory Subdivision shall be sodded in its entirety only with St. Augustine grass; each of these lots shall be landscaped with four (4) trees and eight (8) shrubs.
4. If any person, persons, corporation or entity of any other character shall violate or attempt to violate the foregoing agreement and covenant, it shall be lawful for the city of Austin, a municipal corporation, its successors and assigns, to
prosecute proceedings at law, or in equity, againet ald person or entity violating or attempting to violate auch agreement or covenant and to prevent said person or entity from violating or attempting to violate such agreament or covenant.
5. If any part or provision of thig agreement or covenant herein contained shall be declared invalid, by judgment or court order, the same shall in nowise affect any of the other provisions of this agreement, and such remaining portion of this agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
6. The failure at any time to enforce this agreement by the city of Austin, its successors and assigns, whether any violations hereof are known or not, shall not constitute a waiver or estoppel of the right to do so.
7. This agreement may be modified, amended or terminated oniy by joint action of both (a) the majority of the members of the city Council of the city of Austin, of such other governing body as may succeed the city Council of the city of Austin, and (b) by the owners of the above described property at the time of such modification, amendment or termination.

EXECUTBD, this the $\qquad$ . 1985.


THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OP TRAYIS 5 5

BeFORE HE, the undersignewd authority, a Notary Public in apd for eaid gounty and staje, on this day personaliy appeared $K M M, K / P K M A A$, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the Eoregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.


Hy commiasion exples: $2-18-86$

are of that certain tract or parcel of candout of the j. C. tannehill leacue no. 29, travis county, texas, and beng a portion of that same 25.24 acre tract of laild as conveyed to the crty natconal dank of austin bY deed recordeo In voluhe 5478, page 2232 of the deed records of travis COUnty, texhs, said tract of land being hore particularly described by hetes mid bounde as follohs:
beginming at a point in the fortheast line of the said 24.24 acre tract, being the Northeast corner of Lot 1 , Block $C$ of H G G Estates section IX, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, as recbrded in Plat Book 77, Page 31 of the Plat Recordy of Travis County, Texas, and being a point in the Southynst line of lot 2, Bleck a, Las cyhas Section Dne, a subdivislon in Travis County, Texas, as recorded in Plat book, 65 , Page 88 of the Plat Records of travis County, Texes, for the Harthuest coriner hereofs
THEACE with the Rortheast 1 ine of the said $24 \mathbf{j}^{24}$ acre tract, being tha Southwest line of ras Cimas Section One, s $59^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$ E fot at distance of 1312.88 feat to an iron stake lound at the korthasat corner of the said 24.24 acre tract, being tho Southeast cornar of lot'4, Block 7 of Las Cimas, Section Onn, and being a point in the Harthyast, finp, gf Lot 2 , plock 7, Las

sald 24土24 aoriatrack: Heing a North-
 162.59 feet pass an iron stake found and continue on for a total distance of 457.71 feet to an iron stake found at the Southeast corner of the sald 24.24 acre tract, being a point in the Horthwest line of Lot 13, Block 1 of Las Cimas Saction One and being a Northeast cornec of a tract of land as convayed to L. D. Turnor by Dead recorded in Voluma 611, Page 253 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, for the Southaast corner hereof;

THENCE with the Southuast line of the said 24.24 acge tract, belag the Hortheast line of the said E. D. Turnar mact, if $59^{\circ} 55^{*} W$ for a distance of 1,382.30 feet to concrete monument found in tha Southwest line of the said 24.24 acre tract, being the Southeast corner of the said tot 1 , Block C , for the Southwest corner hereofy

THENCE ulth tha Northwest line of the haraln described tract, belng the Southeast line of the said Lot 1 , Block C, the following courses:

N $32^{\circ} 56^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 77.30 feat to an iron stake found
( $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 248,67 feet to an fron thake found
N $42^{\circ} 58^{*} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 123.21 feat to an fron staka found
\& $34^{\circ} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 121.67 feat to tha PLacie or beginaing and containing 14.265 acres of land, more or less.
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This product is for informational putposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for tegal engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference No warranty is made


# ALICE GLASCO CONSULTING <br> 3571 Far West Blvd., PMB 61 <br> Austin, Texas 78731 <br> 512-231-8110 Office 

January 11, 2018

Mr. Greg Guernsey, Director
Planning and Development Review Department
505 Barton Spring Road, Suite 500
Austin, Texas 78704
RE: Restrictive Covenant Termination-C14-84-346(RCT2)
Dear Greg:

## Background:

Under zoning case number C14-84-346 (ordinance no. 870101-O), the area that was rezoned from SF-3 to MF-3 comprised 2.29 acres. However, the recorded restrictive covenant covered 24.24 acres. Two restrictive covenants were recorded - document 10094, page 0938 covers lots 1-7, of Block A, lots 1-7 of Block B, and lots 1-28 of Block C of the Northeast Territory Subdivision; while document 10094, page 0946 covers lots 8-20.

Both restrictive covenants describe the lots as being found in final plat number C8-
84.158.1(85), which was never recorded and therefore expired according to city records. My client intends to purchase ONLY 3.79 cares out of the 24.24 acres described in the recorded restrictive covenant.

On February 12, 2015, a restrictive covenant for 10.49 acres, under case number C14-84346(RCA2), was amended by the City Council to remove all restrictions called out in the document.

The conditions listed in the restrictive covenant are as follows:

1. Lots 8-20, of Block B of the Northeast Territory Subdivision, shall contain one fourplex on each lot.
2. The street identified as "Durango Pass" on the Northeast Territory Subdivision, plat, C8-14-84-158.1(85) shall be completed prior to construction of the fourplexes mentioned in condition 1 above.

Mr. Greg Guernsey
Restrictive Covenant Termination - C14-84-346(RCT2)
3. Each of the lots 8-20, in Block B of the Northeast Territory Subdivision, shall be sodded in its entirety only with St. Augustine grass; each of these lots shall be landscaped with four (4) trees and eight (8) shrubs.

## Justification Restrictive Covenant Termination

- The proposed use is multifamily housing, not duplexes.
- All future development will be required to comply with applicable development regulations and standards, which include subdivision and landscaping requirements.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,


Alice Glasco, President
AG Consulting
Cc: David Kalisz
Heather Chaffing, Zoning Planner

Attachments

# LBJ Neighborhood Association 

February 10, 2018

Dear Mayor Adler, Mayor Pro-Tem Tovo, Council Members and Zoning and Platting Commission Members

Re: Loyola Landing

On Thursday, February $8^{\text {th }}, 2018$, Ms. Glasco attended the LBJ Neighborhood Association meeting to give a presentation about a rezoning request and five restrictive covenant termination applications for 6651 Ed Bluestein Blvd. and 5601 Durango Pass. The LBJ Neighborhood Association supports the applicant's zoning change request and restrictive covenant terminations as follows:

## Rezoning Case no. C14-2018-0006:

Tract 1: from GR/LO/MF-3/SF-3 to GR-MU

## Tract 2: from GR to CS-MU

## Lazy Creck Road Extension:

We understand that the City's transportation staff is requiring the extension of Lazy Creek Drive as a condition of rezoning. Since we, as residents, cannot determine what the pros and cons are of extending Lazy Creek Drive to Ed Bluestein Blvd. at this time, the LBJ Neighborhood Association would like to request that the consideration of whether to extend Lazy Creek Drive be deferred to the next stage of development when the developer will have a site plan and a Traffic Impact Analysis submitted to the city.

We are concerned that extending Lazy Creek Drive would encourage drivers from US Highway 290 East to cut through our neighborhoods. Therefore, waiting until the site development stage to look at whether the extension of Lazy Creek Drive makes sense will help the residents of Crystal Brook, Las Cimas, and Northridge determine what the implications are of extending this road to Ed Bluestein Blvd.

Mayor Adler, Mayor Pro-Tem Tovo, Council Members and Zoning and Platting Commission Members

Re: Loyola Landing
Page 2

Termination of Restrictive Covenants Cases:

1. C14-84-346 (RCT1)
2. C14-84-346 (RCT2)
3. C14-76-083 (RCA2)
4. C14-75-042 (RCT1)
5. C14-75-042 (RCT2)

We support the termination of the five restrictive covenants because we believe any proposed development should be required to comply with current city regulations. Please feel free to contact me at 512-426-1622 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

$$
2 / 10 / 2018
$$

X Jack Nottingham
Jack Nottingham
V/P

Jack J. Nottingham, Vice President
LBJ Neighborhood Association

