AURA on 2018 Affordable Housing Bond
Invest big and maximize construction of new public housing.

## We're in this on our own



## Housing Crisis!

Immediate actions: More Housing
Market-rate Housing = CodeNEXT massive upzoning near schools, jobs, transit, and other amenities

## AND

Public Housing = Massive Housing bond to build housing targeted at those in which the market is unable to provide housing to

## BOTH

| MFI Range | Income Ranges for a <br> Four-Person Household | Percent of Households <br> by MFI Range* | 2025 \# New Housing <br> Units Needed by MFI <br> Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-30 \%$ MFI | $<\$ 22,600$ | $16.6 \%$ | 22,417 |
| $31-60 \%$ MFI | $\$ 22,601-\$ 45,240$ | $18.4 \%$ | 24,963 |
| $61-80 \%$ MFI | $\$ 45,241-\$ 60,300$ | $11.7 \%$ | 15,822 |


| $81-120 \%$ <br> MFI | $\$ 63,301-\$ 90,480$ | $19 \%$ | 25,959 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $121 \%+$ MFI | $\$ 90,481+$ | $34 \%$ | 46,037 |

$$
81 \%+\text { MFI Unit Goal } \quad 71,995
$$

Most of our subsidized need is $0 \%$ MFI to $60 \%$ MFI

## But we're doing very little to meet this

 need.Public housing can do it.

## Why public housing?

- Publicly owned homes let Austin target the current need
- If today's need is for $60 \%$ MFI, with more supply and older Class C apartments with a reduced development incentive (due to more land in Central Austin available for redevelopment), perhaps tomorrow's need is for $50 \%$ or even $30 \%$
- Austin could target the rental cost to the income of the particular qualifying family, without regard to the profitably of a privately managed development.
- Public housing is a public good.


## What makes a good affordable housing bond?

- Production of new homes to meet existing population's need for income-qualified housing
- Leverages private money to maximize the production of housing
- Builds community support for new housing supply
- Targeted to help reduce rental occupancy rate to help reduce rent for all Austinites.
- Increases housing primarily in high opportunity areas, not the suburbs


## Why not more? <br> AURA says \$300,000,000

## Recent Affordable Housing Bonds/Levies

| Bond Issue | size | population | outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Los Angeles 2016 | $\$ 1.2$ billion | $4,000,000$ | $76 \%$ in favor |
| Portland 2016 | $\$ 258$ million | 640,000 | $62 \%$ in favor |
| Santa Clara County 2016 | $\$ 950$ million | $1,900,000$ | $68 \%$ in favor |
| Alameda County 2017 | $\$ 580$ million | $1,600,000$ | $73 \%$ in favor |
| Seattle 2016 | $\$ 290$ million | 790,000 | $68 \%$ in favor |

## How to maximize new public housing?

- Buy land.
- Waive zoning requirements that impact production of homes.
- Issue an RFP awarded to the project that produces the most public housing.
- Lease for $\$ 1 /$ year to the project that delivers the most publicly owned housing.


## Lastly: homes, not units
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When more people want to live somewhere than there are homes, somebody gets excluded, by definition. In a housing market, the mechanism for exclusion is high prices.
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