From:
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Cc:

Subject: Re: Orig pdf of 6705 Pixie Cove case info Date: Thursday, March 01, 2018 12:28:04 PM

Leanne,

There are some last minute amendments that are needed to this application. I need to postpone the case one time to the April 9 agenda.

Please confirm.

Kind Regards, DC

Sent from a mobile device. There will be typos.

On Feb 27, 2018, at 4:47 PM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov > wrote:

Sounds good – thanks

Leane

From:

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 4:40 PM

To: Heldenfels, Leane

Cc:

Subject: Re: Orig pdf of 6705 Pixie Cove case info

Leanne,

Kasey can scan PDF's to you tomorrow morning if that's ok?

Kind Regards,

DC

Sent from a mobile device. There will be typos.

On Feb 27, 2018, at 4:05 PM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov > wrote:

Hi David – I just have a paper copy of the application, can you reply and attach your pdf version of everything. The electronic packet board members prefer original pdfs to the ones I can make via our copies – say the origs have better resolution, etc.

Thanks –

Leane Heldenfels

Planner Senior – Board of Adjustment Liaison
City of Austin Development Services Department
One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road, 1st Floor, Development
Assistance Center

Walk-in hours 9a-12p M-F

Office: 512.974.2202 Cell: 512.567.0106 (personal, for meeting day & after hours

emergency use only)
<image001.png>

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed paior

Austin, TX 78767-1088

to the hearing to be received in time for this hearing)

Enrail: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

(512) 974-6305

Fax:

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels P. O. Box 1088

seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via:

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public bearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission, may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission amountees a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the amounteement, no further notice is will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the recard owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
 - is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

www.austintexas.gov/department/development-services

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the
board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing, the Case Number and the contact nerson listed on the notice. All comments
received will become part of the public record of this case.
Case Number: C15-2018-0009, 6705 Pixic Cove
Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane, heldenfels@nusintexas, gov Public Hearing: Board of Adiustment. April 9, 2018
MANINA THOMPSON
Your Name (please print)
E COVE, AUSTIN
Your address(es) affected by this application
Wonder Thomas 8711 4/6/15
Signature
Daytime Telephone: 519-775-3923
Comments: PLERSE SEE ATTACKED
LETTER .
1140 11 1/2/21
一体であった。
Comments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be

WANDA THOMPSON

6703 PIXIE COVE AUSTIN, TEXAS 78746 512-775-3923

April 6, 2018

Via: Facsimile No. 512-974-6305
City of Austin-Development Services Department
Leane Heldenfels
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

Re: Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, April 9, 2018; Agenda Item No. C15-2018-0009, 6705 Pixie Cove

Dear Ms. Heldenfels:

I am writing to the Board of Adjustments to object to the Impervious Cover Variance being requested for the property located at 6705 Pixie Cove. I own and occupy as my residence the property next door to this property, at 6703 Pixie Cove. I feel that this variance should be denied for the following reasons:

- (1) This is waterfront property, with direct runoff into Lake Austin, and I believe that it is especially important for property within a close proximity to the lake to have adequate porous space for absorption for water runoff, so that harmful pollutants do not end up in the lake. Restrictions for impervious cover are designed to prevent this from happening. Granting a variance to allow more impervious cover immediately adjacent to the lake will actually accelerate the runoff of harmful pollutants found in neighborhoods like this, including motor oils that are washed off of the streets at the beginning of each rain event and lawn treatment chemicals used to fertilize and kill weeds in almost every lawn nearby.
- (2) The additional size (height and mass) of the proposed new home will unreasonably block my existing view of the lake, which will substantially and unfairly decrease the value of my home.
- (3) The applicant has <u>not</u> satisfied the applicable provisions of Code Section 25-8-41 (where the variance application must satisfy <u>all</u> of the subsection A requirements), because the proposed new home will be larger than other recently-built homes in the neighborhood that were forced to comply with the ordinance-mandated impervious coverage cap, and, notwithstanding the applicant's denials, the variance <u>is</u> necessitated by the scale, layout construction method or other design decisions made by the applicant.

Your thoughtful consideration of my objections to granting this variance request will be appreciated.

Wanda Thompson

W. RANDOLPH DAVIS

Attorney-Mediator SWBC Tower 9311 San Pedro Avenue, Suite 707 San Antonio, Texas 78216

> Telephone: (210) 342-2707 Facsimile: (210) 342-2107

> > April 5, 2018

City of Austin Development Services Department, 1st Floor Leane Heldenfels P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088

VIA Email: <u>leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov</u>

Re: Case Number: C15-2018-0009

Dear Ms. Heldenfels,

Our office represents Mr. and Mrs. Lennard Lewis and DeSeay, LLC, the owner of 6702 Elfland lot, immediately across the canal from the Applicant's property at 6705 Pixie Cove, in the above captioned case number.

The Lewis' have owned their property for a number of years. The Applicant's request for variance will seriously impact the Lewis' property in a number of respects. The request to reduce this side yard setback from Ten (10) feet to Four (4) feet Ten (10) inches is problematic in that the new structure to be built will not only obstruct but will reduce the flow of air between the Applicant's new structure and the Lewis' existing home. The new structure will allow both houses to look directly into each others homes.

The decrease of the shoreline set back from Twenty-Five (25) feet to Fourteen (14) feet, six (6) inches will allow any new construction to obstruct the Lewis' existing view of the lake. The request under C. is hard to evaluate without drawings to show the impact the reduction will have on adjoining properties.

The original subdivision Plat was developed to provide the most efficient use for each lot in the subdivision, so as to not impose or impact other property owners.

Our request is to postpone the hearing from April 9. 2018 to a later date and have the Applicant provide drawings and specifications to each of the property owners affected by his requests. It is difficult, if not impossible, to evaluate the total impact of Applicant's requests without some visual aids to review in advance of the hearing. This request for postponement and submission of visual drawings and aide could help eliminate objections to what is actually being proposed by the Applicant. In the alternative, if the postponement is not granted, then let this notice serve as strong objection to the granting of any of the requested variances in the Application for Variance of Mr. Phillip Cameron.

We feel the Lewis' lot is the one most effected by the proposed changes.

Sincerely,

W. Randolph Davis

Agent to Deseay, LLC Owner of 6702 Elfland

WRD/th