CodeNEXT- City Council Discussion Topics & Question UP

Below are suggested topics, subtopics, and policy questions for guiding Council's CodeNEXT deliberations. This list is not exhaustive and can be expanded if and as new questions arise during deliberations. This staff/consultant list is only a draft and intended to be a starting point for Council to discuss how deliberations might proceed. Please note that several topics are more fleshed out than others for illustrative purposes. Topics in grey are still very preliminary and not yet ready to be discussed in depth.

LINK

I. Encourage the development and preservation of affordable housing

1.A Income-restricted Housing

- I.A.1 What is our income-restricted housing goal? What capacity is needed to reach that goal? How often should we evaluate and recalibrate the program to ensure we meet that goal?
- 1.A.2 How should affordable housing bonuses be calibrated to maximize the production of incomerestricted units?
- I.A.3 How should base zoning entitlements be calibrated with affordable housing bonuses?
- 1.A.4 How should we maximize the development of income-restricted housing in all parts of the city? What should be our goals for producing income-restricted housing in moderate, high, and very high opportunity areas?
- I.A.5 Should there be incentives for providing a greater number of family-sized units in incomerestricted housing?
- I.A.6 Should affordable housing bonuses be available in residential house scale zones (LA R4) to create income-restricted units?
- I.A.7 How could we revise S.M.A.R.T. housing to better incentivize affordable housing projects?

I.B Density Bonus Program Administration

I.B.1 When should income restricted housing be required on-site vs. off-site vs. fee-in-lieu, etc.? Which entity should make that determination?

I.C Preserving Existing Affordable Housing

- I.C.1 Should preservation incentives, such as larger ADUs or increased FAR, be used to discourage demolition of existing single-family homes?
- I.C.2 How should gentrifying areas and areas susceptible to gentrification (such as the Eastern Crescent) be mapped so as to prevent accelerated displacement of low-income residents?
- I.C.3 How should older, affordable multifamily properties be zoned to promote affordability?

I.C.4 How can incentives be used to preserve existing housing that is affordable to middle to lower income citizens?

I.D Tenant Relocation Protections

- 1.D.1 How can tenant relocation programs assist with affordability?
- 1.D.2 How do base zoning entitlements impact the tenant relocation ordinance?
- I.E. Live/Work Spaces to Support Artists, Musicians, and Small Business Owners

II. Provide more housing choices and supply for Austinites at all stages of life and incomes

II.A Strategic Housing Blueprint

- II.A.1 What should be our overall housing capacity goal to meet the goals in Imagine Austin and the Strategic Housing Blueprint?
- II.A.2 Does Draft Three or Planning Commission recommendations meet our housing capacity and policy goals in the Strategic Housing Blueprint?
- II.A.3 Which are the best tools in the Strategic Housing Blueprint that help meet housing goals?
- II.A.4 How do we measure and calibrate the non-zoning sections to achieve housing capacity goals along with environmental, transportation and other Imagine Austin goals?

II.B Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU's)

- II.B.1 Should ADU's be allowed in more areas across Austin?
- II.B.2 What should be the maximum allowable size of ADUs?
- II.B.3 Should ADU's be made more feasible in single family zones (Residential house-scale zones LA R4)?
 - II.B.3.a Where should ADUs be made more feasible, such as near transportation corridors or activity centers?
 - II.B.3.b How should ADUs be made more feasible, such as FAR bonuses for preserving an existing house or parking reductions?

II.C More Housing Types and Choices (House scale multi-unit buildings)

- II.C.1 Should more housing choices and types be allowed in more areas across Austin?
- II.C.2 Where should more housing choices and types be allowed?
- II.C.3 Should there be equitable distribution of housing density throughout the city?

II.C.4 In which zones should Cooperative Housing be allowed?

II.D Fair Housing

- II.D.1 How do we measure and calibrate how the land development code affirmatively furthers fair housing over the next 30 years?
- II.D.2 How do we create more affordable housing throughout the city, including in high opportunity areas?
- II.D.3 What land development policies conflict with or hinder the ability to affirmatively further fair housing?

II.E Housing Supply

- II.E.1 Where should more by-right housing be allowed through increased base entitlements?
 - II.E.1.a Should there be by-right housing increases allowed?
 - II.E.1.b Should additional by-right housing be allowed only through Affordable Housing Density Bonuses?
 - II.E.1.c How much new housing on corridors should be by-right versus affordable housing bonus?
- II.E.2 Where should more intense Residential House Scale Zones (R4) and Residential Multi-Unit Zones (RM1 RM5) be mapped so as to allow for sufficient housing choice in appropriate places?
- II.E.3 How many dwelling units should be allowed per lot (depending on the size) in residential zones R2 R4?
- II.E.4 In which zones should STRs be allowed by-right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?

II.F Prioritize Future Growth along Corridors and in Centers

- II.F.1 Should we focus new, denser, mixed-use development achieving our housing goals on transportation corridors and in activity centers, rather than in the core of existing single-family neighborhoods?
- II.F.2 What degree of change should be allowed to accommodate transitions between centers and corridors and residential house-scaled areas?
- II.F.3 How can we ensure that sites on transportation corridors are able to achieve and balance sufficient housing supply with non-zoning requirements related to environmental protection, open space, reducing flood risk, transportation, infrastructure, urban forest protection, etc.

II.G Cooperative Housing

- II.G.1 In which zones should Cooperative Housing be allowed by-right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?
- II.H Occupancy Limits

III. Preserve and respect neighborhood identity and quality of life

III.A Building Form and Scale

- III.A.1 How should existing McMansion standards for regulating the scale and form of infill housing be carried forward or changed in a new code?
- III.A.2 How do we incentivize preserving existing affordable structures in residential house scale zones (LA-R4) by making ADUs and additions more feasible?
- III.A.3 Would a lower cap on size or FAR and/or allowing more units on lots help incent more affordable housing options?
- III.A.4 Would further refining the McMansion standards being carried into the proposed new code such that it fully accounts for the entire built square footage of a building help incentivize preservation of existing smaller homes?

III.B Uses and Parking

- III.B.1 In which zones should Short Term Rentals be restricted?
- III.B.2 In which zones should Level 1 and 2 Bar/Nightclubs be allowed by right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?
- III.B.3 Should we require higher level of review for Level 1 and 2 Bar/Nightclubs within 200 feet of residential house scale zones (LA R4)?
- III.B.4 How could changing parking requirements affect our ability to achieve Strategic Housing Blueprint, public safety, mobility, and other Imagine Austin goals?
- III.B.5 What should the minimum parking requirement be for residential house scale zones (LA R4)? in areas with narrow streets and in areas lacking sidewalks, different size streets, or different sidewalk conditions?
- III.B.6 What should the minimum parking requirement be for residential house scale zones (LA R4)? in areas with access to transit, or other context elements?

III.C Compatibility

- III.C.1 How does compatibility affect our Strategic Housing Blueprint housing capacity and other Imagine Austin policy goals?
- III.C.2 Should transition zones be used between centers and corridors and residential house-scaled neighborhood cores?
- III.C.3 Should compatibility standards be used between centers and corridors and residential house-scaled neighborhood cores?
- III.C.4 How should we minimize the impact of noise and light pollution, deliveries and trash collection in areas of transition?

- III.C.5 Should minimum lot sizes in residential zone districts (LA R4) reflect patterns found in existing single family areas?
- ill.C.6 What lot sizes should be allowed in the City?
- III.D. Historic Preservation Incentives and Historic Preservation Districts

III.E Uses with MUPs or CUPs

- III.E.1 In which zones should STRs be allowed by-right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?
- III.E.2 In which zones should small (by number of children) child care uses be allowed by-right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?
- III.E.3 In which zones should Level 1 and 2 Bar/Nightclubs be allowed by right, or allowed with a Minor Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit?
- III.E.4 Should we require higher level of review for Level 1 and 2 Bar/Nightclubs within 200 feet of residential house scale zones (LA R4)?
- III.F Occupancy Limits
- III.G Neighborhood Plans
 - III.G.1 How should Neighborhood Plan Fiture Land Use Maps inform the proposed zoning maps?

IV. Reduce time and cost of permitting by providing more clarity, certainty, and ease of use

IV.A Notification & Public Input

- IV.A.1 Should existing notification timelines be changed from current code?
- IV.A.2 Should opportunities for public input on development projects change from current code?
- IV.A.3 Should the level of notification and opportunities for input, for example for Minor Use Permits and Conditional Use Permits, be commensurate with the potential impact(s) of proposed type of uses?

IV.B Development Review Timelines and Processes

- IV.B.1 Should review timelines be shortened? If so, how?
- IV.B.2 Should some types of projects have reduced requirements to reduce permitting barriers and home remodeling costs (for example, to help families stay in their homes)
- IV.B.3 Should we establish pre-approved building and remodel design options (for example to help seniors age in place, and middle and lower income households to remain in their neighborhoods)?

IV.C Residential Permitting

- IV.C.1 Do we want to make diverse housing types more feasible by modifying the site plan process?
- IV.C.2 How should permitting barriers and home remodeling costs be addressed to help families stay in their homes as directed by the Family Homestead Initiative
- V. Better manage the costs of growth and provide more effective planning tools
 - V.A Development Impact Fees (including Transportation impact fees)
 - V.B Energy efficient green building requirements
 - V.C. Planning for capacity in our utility and storm water infrastructure
 - V.D. Small area planning process
 - V.E F25
 - V.E.1 Should zoning from the current land development code be preserved via F25? Should it be maintained in some cases and not others? What should be the process for future changes to F25?
- VI. Support small, local businesses and the creative community,
 - VI.A Should we allow more live/work/opportunities by-right?(office, retail, and residential spaces)
 - VI.8 Should there be a more specific zoning category for creative spaces?
- VII.Reduce wildfire and flood risk and manage runoff as a resource
 - VII.A impervious Cover
 - VII.B Reduce wildfire and flood risk and manage runoff as a resource
 - VII.C Flood mitigation and wildfire mitigation
- VIII. Enable transportation choices, improve safety, and prepare for our mobility future
 - VIII.A Parking Reductions
 - VIII.B Streat design (traffic signal design, bus stops, bike lanes, curb cuts)
 - VIII.C Sidewalk design
 - VIII.D Urban trail connections
- IX. Strangthen environmental protections, increase public open spaces, and conserve natural resources
 - IX.A. Parkland, civic space and open space requirements
 - IX.B Environment and water quality
 - IX.C Integrated Green Infrastructure Plan

- IX.D Dark Skies initiative (flood lighting on facades)
- IX.E "Functional Green" tools (green roofs and walls, stormwater collection and re-use, rain gardens)
- IX.F Open space requirements